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#### Abstract

W e nd using LSDA + U band structure calculations that the novel one-dim ensional cobaltate $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is not a ferrom agnetic half-m etal but a M ott insulator. B oth the octahedral and the trigonal Coions are form ally trivalent, w ith the octahedralbeing in the low -spin and the trigonal in the high-spin state. T he inclusion of the spin-orbit coupling leads to the occupation of the m inorityspin $d_{2}$ orbital for the unusually coordinated trigonal $C o$, producing a giant orbitalmom ent (1.57 в). It also results in an anom alously large $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropy (of order 70 meV ), elucidating why the $m$ agnetism is highly Ising-like. The role of the oxygen holes, carrying an induced $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of 0.13 в per oxygen, for the exchange interactions is discussed.


PACS num bers: $71.20 . \mathrm{b}, 71.70$.-d, $75.25 .+\mathrm{z}, 75.30 \mathrm{G}$ w

The one-dim ensional (1D) cobaltate $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ [[1] [1] and isostructural $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}_{6} \quad(\mathrm{~A}=$ alkaline-earth m etal; $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{M}^{0}=$ transition m etals) com pounds $\left[\begin{array}{l}\underline{2}] \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ attract now considerable attention due to their peculiar crystal structure and very unusual properties. A large num ber of research activities have been triggered by the discovery of the unconventionalm agnetic structure $w$ ith strangem ag-
 cent ofquantum tunneling ofm agnetization in $m$ olecular $m$ agnets, and also by the observation of the large ther-


From a theoretical point of view, the understanding of the $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ system is far from satisfactory. The crystal structure consists of triangular lattice of c-axis chains of altemating COO 6 octahedra and trigonalprism s sharing com $m$ on faces [1], '13]. B oth the spin and valence state of the $\mathrm{C} \circ$ ions in these tw o positions, i.e. C ooct and C Otrig, respectively, are a $m$ atter of controversy. There
 in 112,1 the non m agnetic low -spin ( $\mathrm{L} S, \mathrm{~S}=0$ ) $\mathrm{Co}_{\text {oct }}^{3+}$ and m agnetic high-spin ( $\mathrm{H} S, \mathrm{~S}=2$ ) $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{o}_{\text {trig }}^{3+}$, or the LS $(\mathrm{S}=1 / 2) \mathrm{C} \mathrm{o}_{\text {oct }}^{4+}$ and HS ( $S=3 / 2$ ) C o otrig. Recent LSD A and G GA calculations do not provide clarity: W hangbo et al. [1] and E yert et al. [1] ${ }^{1}$ ] support the rst altemative, while $V$ idya et al [19] the second one. To add to the confusion, all those

 In fact, it has been even claim ed [19] that this $m$ aterial would be the rst 1D oxide displaying ferrom agnetic halfmetallicity (FMHM).

A nother problem is the nature of $m$ agnetism in this m aterial. In m odeling the intriguing $m$ agnetic properties,辻 was alw ays concluded or assum ed that the Cochains behave as an Ising system with ferrom agnetic (FM) intrachain exchange $\overline{3} 1, \bar{\prime}, \overline{4}, 1$ such behavior, how ever, is com pletely unclear: the origin of Ising-like behavior was not discussed at all, and attem pts to explain the FM exchange using an ionic superexchange $m$ odel $[2 \bar{d}] m$ eet som e problem $s$.

In view of these controversies, we carried out a theoretical study of the electronic structure and magnetic properties of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ in which we took more explicitly into account the correlated $m$ otion of the electrons typical in transition $m$ etal oxides. In this work we used the LSDA + U m ethod [21], including also the spin-orbit coupling (SO C ). W e settle theoretically the issue on the valence (spin) state, and nd that $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is a M ott or rather charge-transfer insulator [2] already form oderate values of $H$ ubbard U.

The inclusion of the SO C leads to a series of surprising but experim entally sound results: a giant onbitalm om ent for the HS C otrig ion consistent w th the experim entally observed large total m agnetic $m$ om ent, an anom alously largem agnetocrystalline anisotropy im portant to explain the Ising-like properties, and an unusualorbitaloccupation of the $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{O}_{\text {trig }}$ ion requiring a com pletely new approach form odeling the exchange interactions.

O ur calculations were perform ed by using the fullpotential augm ented plane waves plus local orbital $m$ ethod $[23]$. We took the $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ structure data determ ined by neutron di raction $m$ easurem ents at 40 K [1] ${ }_{1}^{1}$ ]. Themu n-tin sphere radii are chosen to be 2.7, 2.0 and 1.6 B ohr for $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{C} \circ$ and O atom s , respectively. The cut-o energy of 16 R yd is used forplane wave expansion, and 555 k -m esh for integrations over the B rillouin zone. The SOC is included by the second-variational $m$ ethod $w$ ith scalar relativistic wave functions [2] $\left.{ }^{2} 1\right]$. The easy $m$ agnetization direction is along the $c$-axis chains.

We plot in Fig. 1 the LSDA results, which give a FM HM solution, in agreem entw ith previous calculations
 cated in a narrow $C o_{\text {trig }}$ 3d band w th no m ore than 1 eV width, which is consistent w the system being 1D. It is then also natural to expect that already $m$ odest electron correlation e ects at the Co sites w illbe able to tum th is m aterial into a M ott insulator.

A nother im portant point to note from our LSDA results is that for the Cotrig ion, the crystal elds do not split up the 3d orbitals into the usual $e_{g}$ and $t_{2 g}$ levels.


F IG . 1: D ensity of states ( DOS ) of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ in the ferrom agnetic halffm etallic (FM H M ) state from LSD A. The Ferm i level is set at zero energy. (a) show $s$ (from upper to low er panels) the totalD O S, the C Ooct 3d, C otrig 3d, and O 2p partial DOS. (b) show s the C Otrig 3d orbitally-resolved partialD OS. Solid (dashed) lines depict the spin-up (down) states.

Instead, it is found that the $x^{2} \quad y^{2}$ is degenerate $w$ ith the $x y$, and the $y z w$ th $z x$ orbital, as can be seen from $F$ ig. 1 (b). In the presence of the SOC, it is then better to use the com plex orbitals $d_{0}, d_{2} / d_{2}$, and $d_{1} / d_{1}$. Signi cant from the LSD $A$ is also that the narrow $d_{0}$ singlet and $\mathrm{d}_{2} / \mathrm{d}_{2}$ doublet bands are alm ost degenerate. Fig . 2 sketches the local crystal eld energy diagram. This im plies that SO C, so far not included in all the LSD A calculations $[1,1$, 1 on the outcom e for the predictions on the $m$ agnetic properties. A $n$ interesting aspect from $F$ ig. 1 (b) is the nding that the $d_{1} / d_{1}$ band is split o from the $d_{0}$ and $d_{2} / d{ }_{2}$ bands by about 1 eV , i.e. m uch larger than the SO C energy scale. Therefore, the SO C H am iltonian can be sim pli ed into just $\frac{l_{2}}{} s_{z}$ by neglecting the $l_{+} s$ and $l s_{+}$ m ixing term s . T his has far reaching consequences for the $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropy as we w ill show below.


FIG. 2: Local crystal eld energy diagram for: (left) C Qct and (right) C Otrig w ithout and w ith spin-orbit coupling.

In $F$ ig. 3 we show our LSD A $+U+S O C$ results $\left[\hat{2}_{2}^{1} \bar{A}_{1}^{1}\right.$. We nd that $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is an insulator $w$ ith ferrom agnetic chains (FM I), i.e. not a m etal, in contrast to the LSDA results $[1]$ lin 119 the C oulomb energy and $J_{H}=0.9 \mathrm{eV}$ for the H und exchange param eter. T hese values are taken from electron spectroscopy $m$ easurem ents [2] [2] and H artree Fock calculations [26]. To investigate to what extent the insulating solution is robust against the particular choice of $U$, we have calculated and plotted in Fig. 4 (top panel) the band gap as a function of $U$. O ne can observe that a value as sm all as 2.5 eV is already su cient to open up a gap. This is consistent with the system being 1D resulting in a sm allw idth of the Co 3d band. T he presence of this gap does not practically depend on the inclusion of SO C and is due to the H ubbard term $\mathrm{U} . \mathrm{W}$ e therefore can conclude that $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is a correlated insulator [2] [2] for any realistic value of U , i.e. $4 \mid 6 \mathrm{eV}$ for $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$.

As far as the valencies are concemed, we nd from the LSDA $+U+S O C$ that both the $C O_{\text {oct }}$ and $C O_{\text {trig }}$ sites have the $3+$ valence. The $4+/ 2+$ scenario (also FMI) is unstable and lies higher by 84 meV per form ula unit (fiu). TheC ooct ion in the 3+/3+ ground state is de nitely LS: as can be seen from F ig. 3 (a), the large $C 0_{\text {oct }} t_{2 g}-e_{g}$ band gap does not leave any room for a $3 d^{6}$ state other than the one with $t_{2 g}^{6}$, i.e. non-m agnetic. The $C o_{\text {trig }}$ ion on the other hand, is in the HS state ( $\mathrm{S}=2$ ) . Very interesting is the orbitaloccupation for this $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{o} \mathrm{otrig}_{3+}$ ion: F ig. 3 (b) show s that ve electrons occupy all the spin-up $d_{0}, d_{1} / d_{1}$, and $\mathrm{d}_{2} / \mathrm{d}_{2}$ orbitals, and that the sixth electron resides in the spin-down $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ orbital. T his is the consequence of the SO C in this very narrow band system w ith the tiny crystal eld splitting betw een the $d_{0}$ and $d_{2} / d_{2}$ levels. W hen the $d_{2}$ orbital is forced to be occupied instead, the increasing totalenergy allow sus to estim ate the SO C strength to be about 70 m eV .A s a result, the orbitalcontribution to the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent can be $m$ uch larger than the $1 B$ one usually nds for Co or Fe ions in $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}}$ symmetry. An ionic $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ state would give an orbitalm om ent of 2 B , but here we nd 1.57 B due to covalency and sm all distortions of the trigonal prism, see also Table I. Experim entally,


F IG . 3: D ensity of states ( DOS ) of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ in the ferro$m$ agnetic insulating ( $F M$ I) state from $L S D A+U+S O C$.

Hu et al have recently found using soft-x-ray magnetic circular dichroism that $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ indeed has an orbital m om ent which is signi cantly larger than 1 в

A dding the orbitalm om ent to the 4 в from the $S=2$ spin contribution, we end up with the total magnetic m om ent of about 5.66 в /fiu. E xperim entally, M aignan et al. $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$ found from $m$ agnetization $m$ easurem ents the saturated totalm om ent close to 5.0 B .

W e m ay speculate that perhaps a sm all part of the sam ple ( $10 \%$ ) is som ew hat $m$ isaligned, which due to the anom alously large $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropy as wew ill show below, will then not get $m$ agnetized. In any case, since it is easier to nd experim ental reasons why the sample is not fully $m$ agnetized rather than bver'$m$ agnetized, it is probably better that the theory slightly overestim ates the $m$ om ent. It would be especially unsatisfactory, if one, for exam ple, would ignore the SO C or assum e that the sixth electron occupies the $d_{0}$ spindow $n$ orbital [2]id, giving in both cases a total $m$ om ent of only 4.0 B. In fact, as listed in Table $I$, we nd in our LSDA + U + SO C calculations that the con guration


FIG. 4: U dependence of the insulating gap (top panel), as well as of the spin and orbital contributions to the Cotrig $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent (bottom panel).
w ith the sixth electron forced to occupy the $d_{0}$ spin-dow n orbital is unstable by $71 \mathrm{meV} / \mathrm{fiu}$ as com pared to the ground state. Im portant is that this analysis is also robust against the particular choige of U m ade here. F ig. 4 (bottom panel) show sthat the spin and orbitalm om ents stay constant w thin 02 B as long as the system is an insulator, i.e. when $U$ is varied betw een 2.5 and 6 eV .

The occupation of the spin-down $d_{2}$ orbital has as a consequence that the orbital and, due to the SO C , also the spin contributions to the $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent are oriented along the $z$-direction, i.e. the c-axis. To ip both the spin and orbital m om ents into the plane perpendicular to the c-axis is im possible, since this would require that the spin-dow $n d_{1}$ and $d_{1}$ become partially occupied, and we have seen from the LSD A calculations in Fig. 1 (b) that this would cost roughly the crystal eld energy ( 1 eV ). To ip only the spin $m$ om ent into the plane but keep the orbitalm om ent along the c-axis is also quite di cult, since this would cost the full spin-orbit splitting of about 70 m eV . A lso a third scenario in which one tries to alter the m om ent directions by occupying non-spin-orbit-active spin-down $d_{0}$ orbital is equally unlikely, since our LSD A + U + SO C calculations show that this w ould cost 71 meV , as already m entioned above and in Table I. All this $m$ eans that the $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropy is exceptionally large, and that all the relevant $m$ agnetic degrees of freedom are highly xed in the $z$-direction, justifying why the $m$ agnetic behavior of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ can be well described by Ising m odels.

In trying to explain the intra-chain FM exchange interaction we also have investigated the antiferrom agnetic insulating (AFI) scenario. H ere we took the chain as an altemation ofspin-up and spin-down HS-C otrig ionsw ith LS C-C ooct ions in between. Our LSD A + U + SO C calculations nd that the AFI solution, having the sam e spin

TABLE I: C alculated electronic state of $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{Co}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$; total energy di erence ( meV ) per formula unit; $m$ om ents ( B ) at each $C O_{o c t}, C_{\text {trig }}$, and $O$ ion, as well as in the interstitial region and total $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent per form ula unit. Both $C o$ sites are trivalent in the solutions listed. The high-spin $\mathrm{Co}_{\text {trig }}^{3+}$ has either the m inority-spin $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ occupied.

|  | state | E | $\mathrm{Co} \mathrm{o}_{\text {oct }}^{\text {sp in }}$ | Co | $\mathrm{Co}_{\text {trio }}^{\text {spin }}$ | $\mathrm{Co}_{\text {trig }}^{\text {Orb }}$ | $\bigcirc$ | interstitial | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LSD A | FM HM |  | 0.34 |  | 2.70 |  | 0.13 | 0.13 | 4.00 |
| LSD A + U + SOC | FM I ( $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ ) | 0 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 2.99 | 1.57 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 5.66 |
| LSD A + U + SO C | AFI( $\mathrm{d}_{2}$ ) | 12 | 0 | 0 | 2.99 | 1.57 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 |
| LSDA $+\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{SO} \mathrm{C}$ | FM I ( $\mathrm{d}_{0}$ ) | 71 | 0.002 | 0.03 | 2.96 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 4.13 |

and orbitalm om ents at the HS C Otrig ions as the FM I ground-state, lies above the latter by about $12 \mathrm{meV} / \mathrm{fu}$, see $T$ able I [29]. A s a result, the intra-chain FM coupling param eter of each HS C otrig pair can be estim ated to be of the order of 1.5 meV ( 17 K ), assum ing a sim ple $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel $w$ ith $S=2$. This intra-chain exchange param eter is in reasonable agreem ent $w$ ith the experim entally observed intra-chain C urie tem perature of 242 K [

This result seem $s$ at rst sight to support the ionic superexchange m odel proposed by Fresard et al. $\left.{ }_{2}^{20} \mathbf{n}^{\prime}\right]$. $T$ hat $m$ odel used an ansatz for the $C o$ ions which was con med by our LSD A + U + SO C, nam ely LS C O oct and H S C o trig 3 with the $d_{0}$ orbital half occupied, and found the exchange param eter of about 2 meV , very close to ours. H ow ever, that $m$ odel considered basically a set of virtualexcitationsw hich are purely ionic in characterand involve essentially only the $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{O}_{\text {trig }}$ and $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{o}_{\text {oct }} 3 z^{2} \quad \mathrm{r}^{2}$ orbitals, in which case the solution should be A F , according to the rst G oodenough-K anam ori-A nderson rule [ $\left.{ }_{3}^{3} \mathbf{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$.

W e believe that the intra-chain FM interaction is connected w th the large contribution of holes on the oxygens. On the basis of general argum ents and also our calculations, one nds that the actual charge distribution corresponds rather not to $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$, but to $\mathrm{Co}^{2+} \underline{\mathrm{L}}$ ( $L=$ oxygen hole), although the quantum numbers are those of $\mathrm{Co}^{3+}$. In this case two of such oxygen holes from tw o neighbouring HS-Co otrig form a triplet state at the LS-C Ooct in betw een, $m$ uch the sam e as tw o oxygen holes form a triplet state around a m etal vacancy in ZnO and CaO [ $\mathrm{Bn}_{1}^{[1]}$. This allow s to gain the full coherent hybridization energy w th the em pty $e_{g}$ states of the $C o_{\text {oct }}^{3+}$ ion. A $n$ indication for this is the presence of a covalencyinduced spin $m$ om ent in the oxygen of about 0.13 в per ion as well as in the LS-C ooct of about 0.07 B , see Table I. This picture of the origin of the FM intra-chain exchange should be con $m$ ed by further studies.

To conclude, we nd using LSD A + U + SO C band structure calculations that $\mathrm{Ca}_{3} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is not a ferrom agnetic halfem etal but a M ott insulator with both the octahedral and the trigonal $\mathrm{C} O$ ions being form ally trivalent, which settles the valence-state issue. Spin-orbit coupling and unusual coordination of the trigonal Co ion lead to the occupation of the $d_{2}$ spin-down orbital, generating a
giant orbitalm om ent ( 1.57 в ) and an extrem ely large $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropy ( 70 m eV ), which explains the Ising character of the $m$ agnetism of this $m$ aterial. $T$ he ferrom agnetic intra-chain interaction is presum ably connected w th the e ect of oxygen holes in this sm all charge-transfer gap system. This also leads to an appreciable $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent of 0.13 B on each oxygen.
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