

On collapse in the nonlinear Schrödinger equation with time dependent nonlinearity. Application to Bose-Einstein condensates.

V. V. Konotop and P. Pacciani
Centro de Física Teórica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa,
Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, Lisboa 1649-003, Portugal

It is proven that periodically varying and sign definite nonlinearity in a general case does not prevent collapse in two- and three-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations: at any oscillation frequency of the nonlinearity blowing up solutions exist. Contrary to the results known for a sign alternating nonlinearity, increase of the frequency of oscillations accelerates collapse. The effect is discussed from the viewpoint of scaling arguments. For the three-dimensional case a sufficient condition for existence of collapse is rigorously established. The results are discussed in the context of the mean-field theory of Bose-Einstein condensates with time dependent scattering length.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Kk, 03.75.-b

Stimulated by achievements in experimental realization and management of Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), considerable attention has recently been paid to possibilities of stabilization of condensates by using time variation of the scattering length [1, 2, 3, 4]. By analogy with the famous Kapitza pendulum [5], which acquires an additional dynamically stable point when the pivot is rapidly oscillating, it has been suggested [1, 2] that nonlinearity varying rapidly enough and changing sign during oscillations can stabilize a quasi-two-dimensional (2D) condensate. According to [1] stabilization can be achieved even when inter-atomic interactions are characterized by a negative definite scattering length.

Previous studies were based either on qualitative arguments, like variational approach [1, 2, 4] using the Gaussian ansatz and more accurate moment method [3], or on direct numerical simulations of a multidimensional nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. While most of the obtained results report similar conclusions about the critical collapse, approximate character of the exploited approaches results in some discrepancies in conclusions about 3D collapse. In particular, for the negative mean (i.e. averaged over one period) scattering length the authors of Ref. [1] were not able to arrest the collapse, while stable solutions were reported in Ref. [4].

Thus, the present situation of the theory clearly demonstrates lack of exact results. It turns out that rigorous statements, which constitute the main goal of the present Letter, are available in a case of a sign definite scattering length [13]. Being subject to a number of constraints our results do not solve the problem completely, but allow one to understand the effect of the scattering length modulation on the solutions of the 2D and 3D NLS equations. In particular, we prove that variation of negative definite scattering length with any frequency generally speaking does not arrest collapse, i.e. one can always choose an initial condition blowing up at finite time. Qualitatively, the above statement can be conjectured on the basis of earlier results. Indeed, as it has been proven in [6], dissipation cannot arrest the overcritical collapse,

but only changes the sufficient condition of its existence. Meanwhile it is known that the varying nonlinearity in the NLS equation can be transformed into a time dependent dissipative term (see e.g. [7]). Thus one can expect that, in a general situation, time dependent nonlinearity, even rapidly varying, will not arrest collapse, but only change conditions for this phenomenon. In this Letter, we formulate sufficient conditions for the collapse in the case of the negative mean scattering length and find that in the 3D case oscillations of the nonlinearity are favorable for the collapse, in the sense that increase of the frequency of oscillations leads to decrease of the upper bound for time of collapse.

In the case of a BEC in the mean-field approximation, the problem is described by the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation [8], which in the absence of the external trap potential is also known as the NLS equation, for which the problem of collapse was intensively studied for a long time [9]. Taking into account that the parabolic trap potential (the typical one for the most experimental settings) does not affect the fact of the existence of collapse phenomenon in the case of a constant scattering length [10], we restrict our considerations to the NLS equation. Besides reproducing the main qualitative results for the BEC, such a statement provides generality of the results, as the NLS equation is the well known model equation for numerous physical phenomena. In particular, the results described below for the critical case are directly applicable to the problem of beam focusing in a stratified Kerr medium.

Statement of the problem and scaling arguments { Let us consider the dimensionless NLS equation

$$i \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\Delta \psi + g(t) |\psi|^2 \psi; \quad (1)$$

where $\psi = \psi(\mathbf{x}; t)$ and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ with $D = 2, 3$ being the spatial dimension. The nonlinearity coefficient is considered to be varying with a period $T = 2\pi$, i.e. $g(t) = g(t + 2\pi)$ and to be bounded and positive definite: $g_2 > g(t) > g_1 > 0$ for all t . It will be convenient to

introduce the notation $\psi(x;t) = \psi_1(x;t)$ for the solution of Eq. (1) with $\omega = 1$ which in this way does not contain any free parameters. Thus, $\psi_1(x;t) = \psi_1^D(\omega; t; \psi_1^D(x))$ is a solution of (1) for a given ω , whenever $\psi_1^D(t; x)$ solves (1) with $\omega = 1$.

The energy

$$E_1(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} g(\omega; t) |\psi_1|^4 dx; \quad (2)$$

and the number of particles $N_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_1|^2 dx$ play a special role in the analysis of the blow up phenomenon (if not specified, hereafter the integrals are taken over \mathbb{R}^D) [9]. One easily verifies the following relations

$$N_1 = \omega^{(2-D)/2} N_1; \quad E_1(t) = \omega^{(4-D)/2} E_1(\omega t); \quad (3)$$

The last equation, as well as the link between the solutions ψ and ψ_1 , mean that existence of a blowing up solution of Eq. (1) with $\omega = 1$ and negative energy implies existence of a blowing up solution of Eq. (1) at any oscillation frequency of the nonlinear term. If that happens in the critical case ($D = 2$) the collapse occurs with the same number of atoms, while in the 3D case the number of particles required for the collapse decays as $\omega^{-1/2}$ as the frequency goes to infinity.

When the nonlinear term is a positive constant (i.e. when $g(t) = \text{const} > 0$), the solution of (1) blows up at a finite time, provided the energy is negative [9]. Below we will show that this also happens in the case of varying nonlinearity, where energy will be required to be negative at the initial moment of time. As in Eq. (3) the link between initial energies of the solutions ψ and ψ_1 is given by $E_1(0) = \omega^{(4-D)/2} E_0$ (hereafter we simplify the notation introducing $E_0 = E_1(0)$) which means that by increasing the frequency one increases the modulus of the energy of the blowing up solution $\psi(x;t)$ proportionally to ω and $\omega^{1/2}$ in the 2D and 3D cases respectively.

In the case at hand, however, the energy (2) is not a constant anymore but is governed by the equation

$$\frac{dE_1}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dg}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi_1|^4 dx; \quad (4)$$

The energy grows during half periods with $dg/dt < 0$, and thus in principle may acquire positive values, even being initially negative. Thus the rigorous results of the NLS collapse cannot be applied straightforwardly. They can however be modified to provide sufficient condition for the collapse of solutions of Eq. (1), which we will discuss in the next two paragraphs (here we concentrate on physical applications of the theory; more mathematical details and generalizations will be published elsewhere).

"Early-time" collapse { Let us start with the most simple, but allowing rather general considerations, situation where $g(\omega; t)$ is growing during the first half-period, and thus the energy is decaying. Then, $E_1(t) < 0$ for the interval $t \in [0; T=2]$ (provided the solution exists) and to

get a sufficient condition for the collapse it is enough to require that it happens during the first half-period (that is why we call it "early-time" collapse).

This can be done by a slight modification of the standard arguments [9]. To this end we introduce the quantities $Y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi|^2 dx$, and $Z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi|^4 dx$, which solve the equations:

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = -4Z(t); \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dZ}{dt} = D E_1(t) + (D-2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi|^2 dx; \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) it follows that, if $dg/dt > 0$, $E_0 < 0$, and $Z_0 > 0$ (hereafter $Y(0) = Y_0$, $Z(0) = Z_0$) one can obtain the estimate $Y(t) \leq 2D E_0 t^2 - 4Z_0 t + Y_0$, from which it follows that the blow up occurs at a finite time $T \leq T_0 < 1$, where $T_0 = \frac{Z_0}{D E_0} + \frac{Z_0^2}{D^2 E_0^2} - \frac{Y_0}{2D E_0}$. Imposing now

the condition $T_0 = T=2$ we obtain a requirement for Y_0 :

$$Y_0 - Y = D E_0 T^2 = 2 + 2Z_0 T; \quad (7)$$

This condition and the requirements $E_0 < 0$ and $Z_0 > 0$ constitute the sufficient conditions for the collapse to happen during the first half-period.

The obtained result has transparent physical meaning. Indeed, compared to the standard, time independent problem, a new condition (7) appeared. Since $Y(t)$ is a mean squared width of the wave packet, the new condition requires the initial wave-packet to be localized sufficiently well to decrease the blowing up time, making it less than the first half-period.

Combining the above result with the scaling arguments of the preceding paragraph, one concludes that for any oscillation frequency of the nonlinearity with initially positive derivative, one can find an initial condition for collapse at finite time, in both 2D and 3D cases.

Sufficient conditions for the collapse in the 3D case { Condition (7) loses its practical sense in the case of rapidly varying nonlinearity, i.e. when $T \rightarrow 0$. Then, in physically relevant situations, collapse cannot occur during the first half-period and one has to consider a more general situation which will be restricted to the 3D case. Since the sign of the energy is of primary importance and assuming that initially the energy is negative, $E_0 < 0$, in order to establish a sufficient condition for the collapse we have to control the change of the energy $E_1(t)$ in time. We will do that using the ideas due to Tsutsumi [6].

Taking into account that $g(\omega; t)$ is a periodic function with a period T , we consider an interval $t \in [T_{n-1}; T_n]$, where $T_n = nT$ with n being an integer, and assume that the solution exists in this interval (more precisely in the interval $t \in [0; T_n]$). As we have shown in the preceding paragraph, the way how the nonlinearity is changing during the first half-period is relevant for the early-time collapse. Now we relax this constrain, and choose the most

Second, the upper bound for collapse time decreases as the frequency of oscillation grows. This is in sharp contrast to what is predicted in the 2D case with the sign alternating scattering length [1, 2, 3]. The third feature to be mentioned is the dependence of the collapse time not only on the number of atoms involved but on the aspect of the initial distribution.

To connect our results with realistic experiments we provide the estimates using the data from Ref. [11], where observation of the collapse of a BEC controlled by Feshbach resonance (with monotonically changed magnetic field) was reported. We consider a cloud of condensed ^{85}Rb atoms initially having a Gaussian distribution normalized to the number of particles N and characterized by the radius r (in dimensionless variables):

$(\psi; 0) = \frac{N^{1/2}}{r^{3/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{x^2}{2r^2}\right)$, which gives $Z_0 = 0$, $Y_0 = 3N r^2 = 2$, and $E_0 = \frac{3N}{2r^2} - \frac{N^2}{2^{5/2} r^3}$. For the energy to be negative in the described situation, one must have $N > N_{cr} = 2^{1/2} 6^{-3/2} r$.

Change of the scattering length is modeled by the formula $a_s(t) = a_s^0 \left(1 - \frac{t}{B(t) B_0}\right)$, where [12] $a_s^0 = 20.1 \text{ nm}$, the position of the resonance peak is $B_0 = 154.9 \text{ G}$ and the width of the resonance is $\Delta B = 11 \text{ G}$. We consider the initial magnetic field $B(0) = 166 \text{ G}$, which corresponds to the initial scattering length $a_s(0) = 0.18 \text{ nm}$, and the amplitude of the field oscillations 10 G (which for the frequency 1000 Hz corresponds to the speed 6.37 G/m s of the change of the magnetic field). Considering the initial radius of spherically symmetric cloud to be 16.5 m (which corresponds to $r = 1$ in the dimensionless units) one obtains that the link between N and the real number of particles N is given by $N = (N/7) \cdot 10^6$ (the unit of the dimensionless time corresponds to 0.116 s), and thus N should exceed $N_{cr} = 67498$. In the case at hand the "early collapse" happens at (physical) times bounded by $t_0 = 13.77 \frac{N}{N_{cr}}$ s for the frequencies $\omega^2 < \omega_0^2 = 0.756 \cdot 10^2 (N - N_{cr}) \text{ Hz}$. If frequency increases, or the scattering length initially decreases, collapse occurs at later times, bounded by T . Although the respective analytical expression for T is readily obtained, it appears to be more informative to present dependence of the upper bound of the collapse time vs the frequency of the scattering length graphically (see Fig. 1).

Conclusion { It has been established that nonlinearity periodic in time but sign definite does not prevent collapse in two- and three dimensional condensates with a negative mean scattering length. A sufficient condition for the collapse has been formulated which implies the possibility to create initial configurations of a condensate which will blow up in a finite time.

The sufficient condition of the 3D collapse is obviously not the optimal estimate for the time of the collapse. This is not only due to the fact that in the course of the proof some estimates were shortened (and lower precision was the price to pay for that), but mainly because the




FIG. 1: Upper bound for the collapse time, vs frequency for different numbers of atoms. The frequency, ω_0 , defined in the text for each of the curves is 4.3492 Hz (solid line), 13.0428 Hz (dashed line), and 17.9253 Hz (dotted line).

proof does not involve a specific law of the variation of the nonlinearity (but only the fact that it is periodic). The respective improvement of the estimate, as well as its generalization to the critical case (considered in the present Letter only for the case of early collapse), are left as open questions.

To conclude, the above results can be directly generalized to the nonlinear Schrödinger equations with higher nonlinearity and to the NLS equation with a periodically varying dissipative term.

We acknowledge discussions with R. Kraenkel, G. P. Menzala, J. M. Riveira, V. M. Perez-García, F. K. Abdullaev, and S. A. Dhikari. PP was supported by the FCT fellowship SFRH/BD/16562/2004. The work was supported by the Bilateral CAPES/GRICES program.

-
- [1] F. K. Abdullaev, J. G. Caputo, R. A. Kraenkel, and B. A. Malomed, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013605 (2003).
 - [2] H. Saito, M. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 040403 (2003).
 - [3] G. D. Montesinos, V. M. Perez-García, and P. J. Torres, Physica D 191, 193 (2004).
 - [4] S. K. A. Dhikari, Phys. Rev. A 69, 063613 (2004).
 - [5] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Mechanics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1960).
 - [6] M. T. Sutsumi, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 15, 357 (1984).
 - [7] F. K. Abdullaev, A. M. Kamchatnov, V. V. Konotop, and V. A. Brazhnyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 230402 (2003).
 - [8] L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003).
 - [9] see e.g. C. Sulem and P. Sulem, The Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation: Self-focusing and Wave Collapse (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
 - [10] T. Tsumi and M. Wadati, J. Phys. Soc. Jap. 67, 1197 (1997).
 - [11] J. L. Roberts et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4211 (2001).
 - [12] J. L. Roberts, et al., Phys. Rev. A 64, 024702 (2001).
 - [13] The analogy between the problems considered in [1, 2] and the Kapitza pendulum is not complete: at each moment of time the pendulum has identical stability proper-

ties, while sign-alternating nonlinearity changes the stability properties of the NLS equation.