On collapse in the nonlinear Schrodinger equation with time dependent nonlinearity. A pplication to Bose-E instein condensates.

V.V.Konotop and P.Pacciani

Centro de F sica Teorica e Computacional, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Prof. Gama Pinto 2, Lisboa 1649-003, Portugal

It is proven that periodically varying and sign de nite nonlinearity in a general case does not prevent collapse in two- and three-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equations: at any oscillation frequency of the nonlinearity blowing up solutions exist. Contrary to the results known for a sign alternating nonlinearity, increase of the frequency of oscillations accelerates collapse. The e ect is discussed from the view point of scaling arguments. For the three-dimensional case a su cient condition for existence of collapse is rigorously established. The results are discussed in the context of the mean eld theory of Bose-E instein condensates with time dependent scattering length.

PACS num bers: 03.75 Lm, 03.75 Kk, 03.75 -b

Stimulated by achievements in experimental realization and management of Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs), considerable attention has recently been paid to possibilities of stabilization of condensates by using time variation of the scattering length [1, 2, 3, 4]. By analogy with the famous K apitza pendulum [5], which acquires an additional dynamically stable point when the pivot is rapidly oscillating, it has been suggested [1, 2] that nonlinearity varying rapidly enough and changing sign during oscillations can stabilize a quasi-two-dimensional (2D) condensate. A coording to [1] stabilization can be achieved even when inter-atom ic interactions are characterized by a negative de nite scattering length.

P revious studies were based either on qualitative argum ents, like variational approach [1, 2, 4] using the G aussian ansatz and m ore accurate m om ent m ethod [3], or on direct num erical simulations of a multidim ensional nonlinear Schrödinger (NLS) equation. W hile most of the obtained results report similar conclusions about the critical collapse, approxim ate character of the exploited approaches results in some discrepancies in conclusions about 3D collapse. In particular, for the negative m ean (i.e. averaged over one period) scattering length the authors of R ef. [1] were not able to arrest the collapse, while stable solutions were reported in R ef. [4].

Thus, the present situation of the theory clearly dem onstrates lack of exact results. It turns out that rigorous statements, which constitute the main goal of the present Letter, are available in a case of a sign de nite scattering length [13]. Being subject to a number of constraints our results do not solve the problem completely, but allow one to understand the e ect of the scattering length m odulation on the solutions of the 2D and 3D N LS equations. In particular, we prove that variation of negative de nite scattering length with any frequency generally speaking does not arrest collapse, i.e. one can always choose an initial condition blow ing up at nite time. Q ualitatively, the above statement can be conjectured on the basis of earlier results. Indeed, as it has been proven in [6], dissipation cannot arrest the overcritical collapse, but only changes the su cient condition of its existence. M eanwhile it is known that the varying nonlinearity in the NLS equation can be transformed into a time dependent dissipative term (see e.g. [7]). Thus one can expect that, in a general situation, time dependent nonlinearity, even rapidly varying, will not arrest collapse, but only change conditions for this phenom enon. In this Letter, we formulate su cient conditions for the collapse in the case of the negative m ean scattering length and nd that in the 3D case oscillations of the nonlinearity are favorable for the collapse, in the sense that increase of the frequency of oscillations leads to decrease of the upper bound for time of collapse.

In the case of a BEC in the mean-eld approxim ation, the problem is described by the Gross-Pitaevskii. (GP) equation [8], which in the absence of the external trap potential is also known as the NLS equation, for which the problem of collapse was intensively studied for a long time [9]. Taking into account that the parabolic trap potential (the typical one for the most experim ental settings) does not a ect the fact of the existence of collapse phenom enon in the case of a constant scattering length [10], we restrict our considerations to the NLS equation. Besides reproducing the main qualitative results for the BEC, such a statem ent provides generality of the results, as the NLS equation is the well known m odel equation for num erous physical phenom ena. In particular, the results described below for the critical case are directly applicable to the problem of beam focusing in a strati ed K err m edium .

Statem ent of the problem and scaling arguments { Let us consider the dimensionless NLS equation

$$\frac{i^{0}}{0t} = r^{2} \cdot g(!t)j_{1}j_{2}^{2} \cdot ;$$
 (1)

where (x;t) and $x \ 2 \ R^D$ with D = 2;3 being the spatial dimension. The nonlinearity coe cient is considered to be varying with a period T = 2 = !, i.e. g(t) = g(t+2) and to be bounded and positive de nite: $g_2 > g(t) > g_1 > 0$ for all t. It will be convenient to introduce the notation '(x;t) $_{1}(x;t)$ for the solution of Eq. (1) with ! = 1 which in this way does not contain any free parameters. Thus, $_{!}(x;t) = \stackrel{P}{!} \stackrel{!}{!} (!t;\stackrel{P}{!} \stackrel{!}{!}x)$ is a solution of (1) for a given !, whenever '(t;x) solves (1) with ! = 1.

The energy

$$E_{!}(t) = j_{2} + j_{1}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}g(!t)j_{1}j_{1}^{4} dx; \quad (2)$$

and the num ber of particles $N_{!} = {R \atop j_{!}} fdx$ play a special role in the analysis of the blow up phenom enon (if not speci ed, hereafter the integrals are taken over R^{D}) [9]. One easily veri es the following relations

$$N_{!} = !^{(2 D)=2} N_{1}$$
; $E_{!}(t) = !^{(4 D)=2} E_{1}(!t)$; (3)

The last equation, as well as the link between the solutions ' and $_{!}$, mean that existence of a blowing up solutions of Eq. (1) with ! = 1 and negative energy im plies existence of a blowing up solution of Eq. (1) at any oscillation frequency of the nonlinear term. If that happens in the critical case (D = 2) the collapse occurs with the same number of atom s, while in the 3D case the number of particles required for the collapse decays as $1^{=1}$ as the frequency goes to in nity.

W hen the nonlinear term is a positive constant (ie. when g(t) = const > 0), the solution of (1) blows up at a nite time, provided the energy is negative [9]. Below we will show that this also happens in the case of varying nonlinearity, where energy will be required to be negative at the initial moment of time. As in Eq. (3) the link between initial energies of the solutions \cdot and \prime is given by $E_{\pm}(0) = \pm (4 \text{ D})^{-2} E_{\pm}$ (hereafter we simplify the notation introducing $E_{\pm} = E_{\pm}(0)$) which means that by increasing the frequency one increases the modulus of the energy of the blow ing up solution $\pm (x;t)$ proportionally to \pm and $\frac{P}{2}$ in the 2D and 3D cases respectively.

In the case at hand, however, the energy (2) is not a constant any more but is governed by the equation

$$\frac{dE_{!}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{dg}{dt}^{2} j_{!} j^{4} dx : \qquad (4)$$

The energy grows during half periods with dg=dt < 0, and thus in principle m ay acquire positive values, even being initially negative. Thus the rigorous results of the NLS collapse cannot be applied straightforwardly. They can however be m odi ed to provide su cient condition for the collapse of solutions of Eq. (1), which we will discuss in the next two paragraphs (here we concentrate on physical applications of the theory; m ore m athem atical details and generalizations will be published elsew here).

"Early-time" collapse { Let us start with the most sim – ple, but allowing rather general considerations, situation where g(!t) is growing during the rst half-period, and thus the energy is decaying. Then, $E_{!}(t) < 0$ for the intervalt 2 [0;T=2] (provided the solution exists) and to

get a su cient condition for the collapse it is enough to require that it happens during the rst half-period (that is why we call it \early-time" collapse).

This can be done by a slight modi cation of the standard argum ents [9]. To this end we introduce the quantities Y (t) = $jx f j \cdot f dx$, and Z (t) = Im x r $\cdot \cdot \cdot dx$, which solve the equations:

$$\frac{dY(t)}{dt} = 4Z(t);$$
(5)

$$\frac{dZ (t)}{dt} = D E_{!} (t) + (D 2) jr_{!} j^{2} dx : (6)$$

From (5) and (6) it follows that, if dg=dt > 0, $E_0 < 0$, and $Z_0 = 0$ (hereafter Y (0) = Y₀, Z (0) = Z₀) one can obtain the estimate Y (t) 2D $E_0t^2 = 4Z_0t + Y_0$, from which it follows that the blow up₀ occurs at a nite time T $T_0 < 0$

1 , where $T_0 = \frac{Z_0}{D E_0} + \frac{Z_0^2}{D^2 E_0^2} - \frac{Y_0}{2D E_0}$. In posing now the condition T_0 T=2 we obtain a requirement for Y_0 :

$$Y_0 \quad Y = D \not{\Xi}_0 \not{T}^2 = 2 + 2Z_0 T$$
: (7)

This condition and the requirements $E_0 < 0$ and $Z_0 = 0$ constitute the su cient conditions for the collapse to happen during the rst half-period.

The obtained result has transparent physical meaning. Indeed, compared to the standard, time independent problem, a new condition (7) appeared. Since Y (t) is a mean squared width of the wave packet, the new condition requires the initial wave-packet to be localized su ciently well to decrease the blowing up time, making it less than the rst half-period.

C om bining the above result with the scaling arguments of the preceding paragraph, one concludes that for any oscillation frequency of the nonlinearity with initially positive derivative, one can nd an initial condition for collapse at nite time, in both 2D and 3D cases.

Su cient conditions for the collapse in the 3D case { Condition (7) looses its practical sense in the case of rapidly varying nonlinearity, i.e. when T ! 0. Then, in physically relevant situations, collapse cannot occur during the rst half-period and one has to consider a more general situation which will be restricted to the 3D case. Since the sign of the energy is of prim ary im portance and assuming that initially the energy is negative, $E_0 < 0$, in order to establish a su cient condition for the collapse we have to control the change of the energy E_1 (t) in time. We will do that using the ideas due to T sutsum i [6].

Taking into account that g(!t) is a periodic function with a period T, we consider an intervalt 2 $[T_{n-1};T_n]$, where $T_n = nT$ with n being an integer, and assume that the solution exists in this interval (m ore precisely in the intervalt 2 $[0;T_n]$). As we have shown in the preceding paragraph, the way how the nonlinearity is changing during the rst half-period is relevant for the early-time collapse. Now we relax this constrain, and choose the m ost

 $\label{eq:lapse} $$ (because of initial grows of the energy) situation, where dg=dt is time de nite on each of the half-periods, with dg=dt < 0 fort 2 (T_n _1;T_n _ T=2) and dg=dt > 0 fort 2 (T_n _ T=2;T_n).$

Next we de ne two functionals

$$E(t) = jr \cdot j^{2} - \frac{3}{4}g(t)j \cdot j^{4} dx; \qquad (8)$$

$$E'(t) = jr \cdot j \frac{1}{2} g(t) \frac{1}{dt} \frac{dg}{dt} j \cdot j \frac{1}{2} dx:(9)$$

Integrating by parts e ${}^{t}E_{!}$ (t) with respect to time and using (4) we obtain for $t > t_{1}$ and for some positive constant 0 the following relation

$$E_{t} = E_{1}(t) = e^{t_{1}}E_{1}(t_{1}) = e^{s}E(s)ds : (10)$$

Let now t> t_1 and t; $t_1 \ge [T_{n-1}; T_n = T=2)$. Then one has $E'(t_1) = E(t_1)$ and Eq. (10) allows us to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathop{\stackrel{d}{_{t_1}}}\limits_{t_1} e^{(t \ s)} \ E'(s) ds \quad e^{(t \ t_1)} E'(t_1) :$$

The last form ula im plies

$$Z_{t}$$

e ^s E'(s)ds 0 if E (t₁) < 0: (11)

We have assumed that initially the energy is negative, i.e. $E_0 < 0$. Then, using the continuity arguments, which take into account that (11) is valid for all t and t_1 from the interval $[T_{n-1}; T_n = T=2)$, we obtain that in the rst half period E'(t) < 0. Next we observe that $E_{\pm}(T=2) =$ E'(T=2) < 0 and that $E_{\pm}(t)$ is a decreasing function in the second half period. Hence $E_{\pm}(T) < 0$. Noting that $E'(T_n) = E_{\pm}(T_n)$ and $E'(T_n = T=2) = E_{\pm}(T_n = T=2)$ for all n for which the solution exists and applying the previous arguments for the rst n periods, we deduce that the initial condition $E_0 < 0$ guarantees that $E_{\pm}(T_n) < 0$. In other words periodically varying nonlinearity with definite sign cannot result in a change of the sign of an initially negative energy.

For the next consideration we recall (5) and (6), rew riting the last expression for the 3D case as follows: dZ = dt = 2E(t) $2\hat{E}(t)$ where $\hat{E}(t)$ is a continuous function de ned by: $\hat{E}(t) = E(t)$ when t 2 $[T_{n-1};T_n T=2]$ and $\hat{E}(t) = E_0$ when t 2 $[T_n T=2;T_n]$. Then the following estimate for Y (t) holds

Y (t)
$$Y_0 + 4$$
 ds $Z_0 + 2$ \hat{E} ()d : (12)

Let us de ne = (t) through the relation t = nT + ,where n is chosen to be the largest integer assuring that nT t and thus 0 < 1. Then, the rst integral in (11) is trivially computed, while for the second one we obtain

$$\begin{array}{cccc} Z_{t}Z_{s} & Z_{nT} \\ & & \hat{E}()d \ ds & (nT \ s)\hat{E}(s)ds + \frac{1}{2}_{0}jT \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & E_{0}T^{2} \quad \frac{n^{2}}{4} \quad \frac{5n}{8} \quad + \frac{1}{2}_{0}jT : \end{array}$$

The last form ula and Eq. (12) allow us to obtain the estim ate as follows

Y (t)
$$2E_0T^2n^2$$
 ($5E_0T^2 + 4Z_0T$)n + Y₀ + E_0 jT (13)

From this inequality we can not the number n determining the latest period during which blow up occurs (at that number the right hand side of the inequality becomes negative). In this way we obtain that the blow up occurs at t < $T_{\rm n}$, where

$$T = \frac{5}{4} \frac{T + \frac{Z_0}{E_0}}{16} + \frac{25}{16} \frac{T^2 + \frac{5Z_0}{2E_0}}{16} + \frac{1}{2} T + \frac{Z_0^2}{E_0^2} - \frac{Y_0}{2E_0} : (14)$$

Thus we have outlined the proof of the following

THEOREM:Let $_{!}$ be a su ciently smooth solution of (1) in 3D case, the initial condition for which is characterized by $E_{0} < 0$ and $Z_{0} = 0$; then blow up occurs at a nite time t < T, where T is given by (14).

It is worth to emphasize that although we considered a situation where change of the scattering length is initialized with the \negative" half-period of dg=dt, the above estimates obviously applies for any initial value of dg=dt.

Estimates for real condensates { Let us now discuss the qualitative picture emerging from the obtained results, restricting the analysis to the 3D case. We notice that the tem poral characteristics of the collapse are relevant to the theory of a BEC with periodically varying scattering length due to experim ental constraints on the frequency of the oscillation of the nonlinearity, em erging from the fact that change of inter-atom ic interactions in practice is achieved by m eans of the Feshbach resonance, controlled by varying external magnetic eld. The same physical phenom enon can result in creation of m olecules from pairing atom s, in originating excited atom ic states, etc. The respective processes are not described by the mean eld GP equation (the NLS equation), what restricts the range of m eaningful frequencies. On the other hand, relevant frequencies are bounded from below by characteristic times of the condensate's life.

A lthough the su cient condition gives only an upper bound for the time of the collapse, we will treat the quantities T_0 and T as the collapse times (conjecturing that in a general situation decrease/increase of each of these quantities results in decrease/increase of the time of the collapse). Then the rst observation is that $T_0 < T$.

Second, the upper bound for collapse T decreases as the frequency of oscillation grows. This is in sharp contrast to what is predicted in the 2D case with the sign alternating scattering length [1, 2, 3]. The third feature to be m entioned is the dependence of the collapse time not only on the number of atom s involved but on the aspect of the initial distribution.

To connect our results with realistic experiments we provide the estimates using the data from Ref. [11], where observation of the collapse of a BEC controlled by Fesbach resonance (with monotonically changed magnetic edd) was reported. We consider a cloud of condensed ^{85}Rb atoms initially having a G aussian distribution normalized to the number of particles N and characterized by the radius r (in dimensionless variables): (x;0) = $\frac{N^{1+2}}{r^{3+2}-3+4}\exp{\left(\frac{x^2}{2r^2}\right)}$, which gives $Z_0 = 0$, $Y_0 = 3N r^2=2$, and $E_0 = \frac{3N}{2r^2} - \frac{N^2}{2^{5+2}-3+2}r^3$. For the energy to be negative in the described situation, one must have $N > N_{\rm cr} = 2^{1+2}6$ ^{3+2}r .

Change of the scattering length is modeled by the formula $a_s(t) = a_s^0 (1 \frac{1}{B(t) B_0})$, where [12] $a_s^0 = 20$:1 nm, the position of the resonance peak is $B_0 = 154.9$ G and the width of the resonance is $= 11 \text{ G} \cdot \text{W} = \text{consider the}$ initial magnetic eld B (0) = 166G, which corresponds to the initial scattering length $a_s(0) = 0.18 \text{ nm}$, and the amplitude of the eld oscillations 10G (which for the frequency 1000 H z corresponds to the speed 6:37 G /m s of the change of the magnetic eld). Considering the initial radius of spherically sym m etric cloud to be 16:5 m (which corresponds to r = 1 in the dimensionless units) one obtains that the link between N and the real num ber of particles N is given by N (N=7) 10 (the unit of the dimensionless time corresponds to 0:116s), and thus N should exceed N $_{\rm cr}$ = 67498. In the case at hand the \early collapse" happens at (physical) times $13:77 \stackrel{\text{\tiny F}}{=} \overline{\text{N}} \text{ N}_{cr} \text{ s for the frequencies}$ bounded by t_0 0:756 10² (N N_{cr}) Hz. If frequency in- $!^2 < !_0^2$ creases, or the scattering length initially decreases, collapse occurs at later times, bounded by T . Although the respective analytical expression for T is readily obtained, it appears to be m ore inform ative to present dependence of the upper bound of the collapse time vs the frequency of the scattering length graphically (see Fig. 1).

Conclusion { It has been established that nonlinearity periodic in time but sign de nite does not prevent collapse in two- and three dimensional condensates with a negative mean scattering length. A su cient condition for the collapse has been formulated which implies the possibility to create initial con gurations of a condensate which will blow up in a nite time.

The su cient condition of the 3D collapse is obviously not the optim all estimate for the time of the collapse. This is not only due to the fact that in the course of the proof som e estimates were shortened (and low erprecision was the price to pay for that), but mainly because the




FIG.1: Upper bound for the collapse time, vs frequency for di erent numbers of atoms. The frequency, $!_0$, de ned in the text for each of the curves is 4:3492 Hz (solid line), 13:0428 Hz (dashed line), and 17:9253 Hz (dotted line).

proof does not involve a speci c law of the variation of the nonlinearity (but only the fact that it is periodic). The respective improvement of the estimate, as well as its generalization to the critical case (considered in the present Letter only for the case of early collapse), are left as open questions.

To conclude, the above results can be directly generalized to the nonlinear Shrodinger equations with higher nonlinearity and to the NLS equation with a periodically varying dissipative term.

W e acknowledge discussions with R.Kraenkel, G.P. M enzala, J.M.Riveira, V.M.Perez-Garca, F.Kh.Abdullaev, and S.Adhikari. PP was supported by the FCT fellowship SFRH/BD/16562/2004. The work was supported by the BilateralCAPES/GRICES program.

- [L] F Kh.Abdullaev, J.G. Caputo, R A.K raenkel, and B A. M alom ed, Phys. Rev. A 67, 013605 (2003).
- [2] H.Saito, M.Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 040403 (2003).
- [3] G.D.Montesinos, V.M. Perez-Garca, and P.J.Torres, Physica D 191, 193 (2004).
- [4] S.K.Adhikari, Phys. Rev. A 69, 063613 (2004).
- [5] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, Mechanics (Pergamon, Oxford, 1960).
- [6] M.Tsutsum i, SIAM J.M ath. Anal. 15, 357 (1984).
- [7] F.Kh.Abdullaev, A.M.Kam chatnov, V.V.Konotop, and V.A.Brazhnyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 230402 (2003).
- [8] L.P.P itaevskii and S.Stringari, Bose-E instein Condensation (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2003)
- [9] see e.g. C. Sulem and P. Sulem, The Nonlinear Schrodinger Equation: Self-focusing and W ave Collapse (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
- [10] T.Tsurum iand M.W adati, J.Phys. Soc. Jap. 67, 1197 (1997).
- [11] J.L.Roberts et al, Phys.Rev.Lett.86, 4211 (2001).
- [12] J.L.Roberts, et al., Phys. Rev. A 64, 024702 (2001)
- [13] The analogy between the problem s considered in [1, 2] and the K apitza pendulum is not complete: at each m om ent of time the pendulum has identical stability proper-

ties, while sign-alternating nonlinearity changes the stability properties of the NLS equation.