Super uid Phases of ³H e in A erogel

I.A Fom in

P. L. Kapitza Institute for Physical Problems, Kosygina 2, 119334 Moscow, Russia

Form all derivation of criterion for selection of super uid phases of ${}^3\text{He}$ in aerogel is presented. At the strength of the derived criterion variation of the order parameter of B-like phase in magnetic eld diers from that of B-phase of pure ${}^3\text{He}$. Possible observable consequence of this dierence is discussed. PACS numbers: $67.57 \, \text{Pg}$, $67.57 \, \text{Bg}$, $67.57 \, \text{De}$

1. INTRODUCTION

In pure ${}^3\text{He}$ two super uid phases { A and B are observed. Existence of m ore then one super uid phase is a manifestation of unconventional character of Cooper pairing in this liquid. In both phases Cooper pairs have angular momentum 1=1 and spin s=1. Their order parameters are 3-3 complex matrices A_{j} , index refers to spin and j to the orbital projection. The particular form of order parameter for the A-phase is

$$A_{j}^{ABM} = \frac{1}{2}\hat{d} (\hat{m}_{j} + i\hat{n}_{j}); \qquad (1)$$

where \hat{m} , \hat{n} are \hat{m} utually orthogonal unit vectors in orbital space and \hat{d} -a unit vector in spin space, is an overall amplitude. For B-phase the order parameter is

$$A^{BW}_{j} = \frac{1}{9 - 3} e^{j'} R_{j}; \qquad (2)$$

where R $_{\rm j}$ is a real orthogonal matrix. In a presence of aerogel the property of super uidity survives $^{\rm l}$ and two super uid phases (called A-like and B-like) are observed $^{\rm 2,3}$ as well. In a simplied form aerogel can be represented as an array of random by distributed and random by oriented strands of diameter d $^{\rm 20}$ 30A. For 98% aerogel the average distance between the

200A, i.e. com parable with the correlation length of the constrands is 1 densate $_{0}$, which varies depending on a pressure in the interval 160-500A. A erogel makes condensate of Cooper pairs spatially nonuniform. Scattering of quasiparticles on the strands has depairing e ect on components of the order param eter which extends over a distance of the order of 0 4. An array of strands induces random variation of the order parameter in space. It is convenient to represent the order parameter A ; (r) as a sum of its average value and a uctuating increm ent: A $_{j}$ (r) = A $_{j}$ + a $_{j}$ (r). By the de nition the average $a_{\dot{1}}(r) < a_{\dot{1}}(r) > = 0$. A veraging is assumed over a volume with a characteristic size exceeding a length for which the distribution of the strands is correlated. Onset of the long-range order is formally expressed by the condition A $_{i}$ $\stackrel{6}{=}$ 0. The form of A $_{i}$ describes the change of sym m etry at the phase transition and sym metry properties of the emerging phase. Identi cation of A i for A -like and B -like phases is a key question for theoretical interpretation of their properties. Fluctuating part a i (r) in uences averaged properties of the super uid phases as well and because of nonlinear dependence of free energy of these phases on the order parameter uctuations can in uence a choice of A_j. This in uence has been discussed recently^{5,6,7}. In the present paper som e of the previous discussion is sum m arised and new application of the developed approach is presented.

2. RANDOM FIELD

Since the average distance between the strands is of the order of $_0$ perturbation of the order parameter at a given point appears as elect of several neighbouring strands. In a vicinity of $T_{\rm c}$ correlation length (T) $_0$ and elect of aerogel can be represented by a local random eld. Its form is determined by symmetry argument and natural assumptions about properties of aerogel. Most important assumption is that the scattered quasiparticles do not exchange their spins with the strands. In practice this condition is secured by a coverage of the strands by one-two monolayers of atoms of $^4{\rm He}$. With this precaution aerogel can in unneed directly only orbital part of the order parameter. A principal contribution of interaction with aerogel to the free energy functional is of the second order in the order parameter and it can be written in a form

$$z$$
 $F = N (0) j_1(r)A j_A d^3r;$ (3)

where N (0) is the density of states on Ferm i level and $_{j1}(r)$ { a random static tensor eld. Further properties of $_{j1}(r)$ can be found on a strength of general argument. Since F must be real $_{j1}(r)$ is Herm itian matrix and to

secure t! tinvariance of the energy it must be symmetrical. So $_{\rm jl}(r)$ is real and symmetrical tensor eld. Characteristic amplitude of the random eld can be estimated with the use of results of the paper By the order of magnitude it is j $_{\rm jl}$ j ($_{\rm 0}$ d=l²). For 98% aerogel this ratio is 1=10 and elect of aerogel can be treated as a perturbation. With the account of interaction term (3) Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional takes the following form:

$$F_{GL} = N (0) \frac{Z}{d^{3}r[A_{j}A_{j} + j_{1}(r)A_{j}A_{1} + \frac{1}{2} X^{5}_{s=1} sI_{s} + \frac{1}{2} K_{1} \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} + K_{2} \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{1}} + K_{3} \frac{\partial A_{j}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial A_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\dot{a}}{\partial x_{1}};$$

$$(4)$$

where = (T $_{\rm C}$)=T $_{\rm c}$, I $_{\rm s}$ -4-th order invariants in the expansion of the free energy over A $_{\rm j}$ (cf. $^{\rm 8}$). Coe cients $_{\rm 1}$; ::: $_{\rm 5}$; K $_{\rm 1}$; K $_{\rm 2}$; K $_{\rm 3}$ are phenom enological constants. In what follows for their evaluation the weak coupling values are assumed. Possible random corrections to the gradient terms contain extra power of the wave-vector and will not in uence the following discussion. Comparison of two rst terms in the functional indicates a physical meaning of the tensor $_{\rm j1}$ (r) { its isotropic part $_{\rm 3}^{\rm 1}$ mm (r) $_{\rm j1}^{\rm 1}$ describes local variations of T $_{\rm c}$ = T $_{\rm c}$ (r) caused by uctuations of the density of scatterers. A nisotropic part $_{\rm j1}$ (r) $_{\rm j1}^{\rm 1}$ $_{\rm j1}^{\rm mm}$ (r) $_{\rm j1}$ describes the local splitting of T $_{\rm c}$ for dierent projections of angular momenta caused by the local breaking of spherical symmetry by the aerogel strands.

3. CRITERION FOR SELECTION OF THE PHASES

The order param eters of stable phases are found as m in in a of the functional (4). Because of a presence of the eld $_{jl}(r)$ the gradient term s can not be om itted. A coording to the procedure developed for a scalar order param eter in a scalar random eld 9 the order param eter A $_j(r) = A$ $_j + a$ $_j(r)$ is substituted in the GL equation, corresponding to the functional (4) and term s up to the second order in a $_j$ and $_{jl}$ are kept. A fter averaging over coordinate r one arrives at the equation

$$A_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{X^{5}} s \frac{@I_{s}}{@A_{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{@^{3}I_{s}}{@A_{j}@A_{n}@A_{1}} < a_{n}a_{1} > +$$

$$2 \frac{@^{3}I_{s}}{@A_{j}@A_{n}@A_{1}} < a_{n}a_{1} > = < a_{1}l_{j} > :$$
 (5)

A long with A $_j$ the obtained equation contains averages of binary products of uctuations < a $_n$ a $_1$ > etc. Equations for a $_j$ are obtained by separation of fast varying term s in the GL equation

$$a_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{X^{5}} s_{s} \frac{\theta^{2} I_{s}}{\theta A_{j} \theta A_{n}} a_{n} + \frac{\theta^{2} I_{s}}{\theta A_{j} \theta A_{n}} a_{n}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} K \frac{\theta^{2} a_{j}}{\theta x_{1}^{2}} + 2 \frac{\theta^{2} a_{1}}{\theta x_{1} \theta x_{j}} = A_{1} I_{j}; \qquad (6)$$

To proceed further one needs to introduce a nontrivial modi cation of the procedure of Ref. caused by a more complicated form of the order parameter and its higher degeneracy. Eq.(6) and its complex conjugate form a linear inhomogeneous system. The corresponding homogeneous system has nontrivial solutions as a consequence of the degeneracy of the unperturbed GL functional (functional (4) without the random eld) with respect to orbital rotations of the order parameter. These solutions are the increments of A $_{\rm j}$ and A $_{\rm j}$ at the in nitesimal rotation $_{\rm n}$:

$$!_{j} = {}_{n}e^{jnr}A_{r}; !_{j} = {}_{n}e^{jnr}A_{r};$$
 (7)

where e^{jnr} is the absolutely antisymmetric tensor. Eq. (6) is solved by Fourier transformation. For further argument only a character of singularity of $a_j(k)$ at k! 0 is important. To not it one can neglect anisotropy of the gradient terms in Eq. (6) and to substitute $\frac{1}{2}K = \frac{\theta^2 a_j}{\theta x_1^2} = \frac{\theta^2 a_j}{\theta x_1^2}$ instead of $\frac{1}{2}K = \frac{\theta^2 a_j}{\theta x_1^2} + 2\frac{\theta^2 a_j}{\theta x_1 \theta x_j}$. Then Eq.(6) is multiplied by $!_j$. The result is summed with its complex conjugate and the obtained equation is solved with respect to the projection $a!(k) = a_j(k)!_j + a_j(k)!_j$:

$$a^{!}(k) = \frac{2}{k^{2}K} ne^{jnr}Q_{rl}_{lj}^{(a)}(k)$$
; (8)

where Q $_{\rm rl}$ = A $_{\rm r}$ A $_{\rm l}$ + A $_{\rm l}$ A $_{\rm r}$. This projection gives a contribution to the averages < a $_{\rm n}$ a $_{\rm l}$ > which is proportional to

$$< a^! (k)a^! (k) > d^3k$$

Form of the correlation function in the integrand after averaging over direction of k is determ ined by the sym m etry properties of $^{(a)}_{1i}$:

$$< \binom{(a)}{lj} (k) \binom{(a)}{mn} (k) > \frac{do}{4} = (k) [2_{ljmn} 3(l_{lm} j_n + l_{ln} j_m)]; (10)$$

$$\sum_{p \neq q} e^{npr} e^{lqs} Q_{rl} Q_{sn} + (p_{q rs} p_{s qr}) Q_{rn} Q_{sn}] \frac{Z}{k^2} dk :$$
(11)

The integral in the right-hand side diverges at the lower lim it and the averaged products < a! a! > give diverging contributions to Eq. (5). To make the iteration scheme Eqs. (5),(6) consistent one has to require the coe-cient in front of the diverging integral to be zero. Equating to zero the expression in square brackets in Eq. (11) one arrives at Q $_{\rm rn}=q_{\rm rn}$, where q is a real number. In terms of the order parameter this condition reads as:

$$A_{r}A_{j} + A_{j}A_{r} = const_{rj}$$
: (12)

This is a necessary condition for existence of a super uid phase with the order parameter A $_{\rm r}$. The phases meeting this criterion are \quasi-isotropic" i.e. the energy of their interaction with aerogel does not change at the arbitrary orbital rotation:

$$_{11}^{(a)} A_{j} A_{1} = 0$$
: (13)

Tensor of super uid densities for these phases is isotropic and as a consequence their order parameter is not oriented by a super ow. It has been shown before that when condition (13) is met the general argument of Im ry and M a^{10} does not apply and the long-range order is not destroyed by the random eld $_{jl}(r)$. It might be well to point out here the dierence between the argument of Im ry and M a and the argument presented in this section. In the former case the order parameter is assumed to be exacted by minimization of free energy without the random eld. Possible disordering is caused by a random walk of the order parameter over its space of degeneracy. No other change of the order parameter is admitted. In the latter case all variations of the order parameter A $_j$ are considered. That makes possible an adjustment of the order parameter to the random eld. To emphasize a property of phases, which satisfy criterion (12) or (13) to resist disordering action of the random eld a term \robust" was suggested 6 .

Now let us discuss a region of applicability of the above argument. Dimensionless distance from $T_c\colon j j=(T_c-T)=T_c$ is limited from above by the condition of applicability of the GL-expansion j j=1. There exist a limitation from below. Even when criterion (12) is met average uctuation of the order parameter diverge when j j!=0 as $1=\frac{1}{2}$. As in conventional superconductors uctuations are small when $j j=\frac{3}{corr}=l_{T=0}^2$. Here

 $_{\rm corr}$ is the correlation length for the random eld $_{\rm jl}(r)$, $l_{\rm tr}$ a transport mean free path for the Ferm i excitations. Assuming $_{\rm corr}$ 500A, $l_{\rm tr}$ 2000A, $_{\rm 0}$ 200A, one arrives at inequality j j 1/30. This estimation is not very accurate since the poorly known value of $_{\rm corr}$ enters it in the sixth power. More reliable estimation comes from the experimentally observed smearing of the special cheat jump 11 , it renders j j 1/25 for the lower limit.

The order param eter of ABM -phase Eq. (1) does not satisfy the criterion (12). A coording to the above argument it can not be the order param eter of the A-like phase. Possible forms of the order param eters suitable for a description of that phase were discussed elsewhere^{6,7}. For the BW -order param eter criterion (12) is met. Identication of the B-like phase as BW -phase agrees with the recent pulse-NMR experiments and with the observation of hom ogeneously precessing domain (HPD) in that phase ¹². Restriction im - posed by criterion (12) becomes essential when B-phase is perturbed by magnetic eld. Joint elect of aerogel and magnetic eld on the BW -order parameter is discussed in the next section.

4. B PHASE IN MAGNETIC FIELD

In magnetic eld two leading terms in the strength of the eld have to be added to the free energy Eq. (4): linear in magnetic eld and proportional to imaginary part of the tensor A $_{\rm j}$ A $_{\rm j}$:

$$f_H^{(1)} = i e \quad A_j A_j H$$
; (14)

and quadratic in the eld and proportional to real part of the same tensor

$$f_{H}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} (A_{j}A_{j} + A_{j}A_{j}) H H :$$
 (15)

D errivation of criterion (12) is based on the invariance of GL free energy with respect to orbital rotations of the order parameter. The added terms preserve this property and as a consequence the criterion (12). This criterion imposes restriction on possible changes of the order parameter of B-phase. As in the case of pure 3 H e 8 let us search the order parameter in magnetic eld in a form of B₂-phase (or oblate state):

$$A_{j} = \frac{e^{i}}{2} m_{m} (d + ie) (m_{j} in_{j}) + in_{j} + in_{j} + 2 m_{\#} f l_{j} (16)$$

Am plitudes ""; "#; ## are found by m in im ization of the free energy. The order parameter (16) satis escriterion (12) if

$$\frac{2}{"\#} = \frac{1}{2} \qquad \frac{2}{""} + \qquad \frac{2}{\#\#}$$
 : (17)

Separate analysis shows that the ansatz (16) does not im pose additional restrictions on possible forms of the order parameter, provided a deviation from the bulk B-phase is small. Let us introduce following parametrization: "" + ## = 2 , "" ## = 2 , then from eq. (17) $^2_{\text{m#}}$ = 2 + 2 . M in imitization of the free energy in a principal order on a small deviation renders:

$$= \frac{H}{2 \left[\beta_{1} + \beta_{3} + \beta_{4} + \beta_{5}\right]}; \tag{18}$$

Coe cient is proportional to the derivative of the density of states over energy, this makes really small. Keeping only principal order correction we arrive for the order parameter to the expression:

$$A_{i} = R_{i} + i (e d d e) R_{i}$$
 (19)

Eq. (19) shows that in a presence of aerogelm agneticed by roduces a change of the BW -order parameter which is dierent from that in bulk ${}^3\mathrm{H\,e}$. In the bulk the order parameter remains real and principal elect is suppression of "# pairing amplitude while "" ##. In aerogel the increment of the order parameter is imaginary and principal change is appearance of a difference between "" and ##. In both cases the increments are small and hardly observable in a moderate eld, but there is an indirect manifestation of the induced change. Rotation matrix R $_j$ entering Eq. (2) is often parametrized by an angle of rotation and direction of the rotation axis n. The angle is found by minimization of the dipole energy 8 :

$$f_D = \frac{3}{5}g_D (A A_{jj} + A_j A_j);$$
 (20)

while direction of n remains arbitrary. This degeneracy is lifted when B_2 -order parameter (16) is substituted in the dipole energy (20). For the order parameter (19) the relevant part of the dipole energy is

$$f_n = (9-2)g_D^2 n_z^2$$
: (21)

It has a m in im um when $n_z=0$, i.e. when n? H in a contrast to the pure ^3He where the m in im um con guration is nkH. A strength of the orientational e ect is also dierent. Convenient characteristics of this strength is a healing length H i.e. a distance over which orientation of n recovers to its bulk

value being perturbed by for example a boundary of a sample. The healing length is found by comparison of the orientational energy with the gradient energy. For the orientational energy in aerogel eq. (21) one arrives at: $_{\rm H}$ $_{\rm 0}$ $_{\rm 75~pH}$. D in ensionless dipole constant (cf. $^{\rm 8}$) $_{\rm D}$ 5 $_{\rm 10}$. Coe cient can be evaluated from the splitting of A $_{\rm 1}$ -A $_{\rm 2}$ transition in pure $^{\rm 3}$ He as 10 $^{\rm 3}$ 1/koe. For H 1 koe and not too close to T $_{\rm C}$ healing length $_{\rm H}$ is of a few millim eters. This distance is comparable with dimensions of samples used in most experiments. It means that orientation of n is determined by geometry of samples and not by the eld.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Properties of super uid phases of 3 He in aerogel to a great extent are determined by the spatial uctuations of the order parameter induced by aerogel. This contrasts with the pure super uid 3 He where only average value of the order parameter is important. In a presence of aerogel contribution of uctuations to physical quantities remains small only for a special class of order parameters. Formal criterion for selection of such phases in a vicinity of 1 C follows from very general assumptions about properties of aerogel. The derived criterion rules out ABM-order parameter as a possible candidate for A-like phase and imposes restriction on a possible variation of BW-order parameter in magnetic eld. Because of generality of the proposed scheme it may be applied for description of the in uence of a quenched disorder on the other ordered systems with a continuous degeneracy.

6. ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

The author is grateful to V.V.Dm itriev, L.A.M elnikovsky and S.B.S avin for useful discussions and comments. This research was supported in part by RFBR grant (no. 01-02-16714) by M in istry of Education and Science of Russian Federation and by NATO grant SA (PST C.LG.979379)6993/FP.

REFERENCES

- 1. J.V. Porto, J.M. Parpia, Phys. Rev. Lett., 74, 4667, (1995).
- 2. B J. Barker, Y. Lee, L. Polukhina et al. Phys. Rev. Lett., 85, 2148 (2000).
- 3. G. Gervais, T. M. Haard, R. Nomura, N. Mulders, and W. W. Halperin, Phys. Rev. Lett., 85, 035701-1 (2001).
- 4. D. Rainer and M. Vuorio, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys., 10, 3093 (1977).

- 5. IA. Fom in, Pisha Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 77, 285 (2003) [JETP Lett. 77, 240 (2003)].
- 6. IA. Fom in, J. Low Temp. Phys., 134, 769 (2004).
- 7. IA. Fom in, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 125, 1115 (2004) [JETP, 98, 974 (2004)].
- 8. D. Vollhardt and P. W ole, The Super uid Phases of Helium 3, Taylor and Francis (1990).
- 9. A J. Larkin and Yu N. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz., 61, 1221 (1971) [Sov. Phys. JETP, 34, 651 (1971)].
- 10. Y. Im ry and S.Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett., 35, 1399 (1975)
- 11. J.He, A D. Corvin, JM. Parpia and JD. Reppy, Phys. Rev. Lett., 89, 115301 (2002)
- 12. V.V.Dm itriev, V.V.Zavjalov, D.E.Zmeev, I.V.Kosarev, and N.Mulders, Pisha Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz., 76, 371 (2002) [JETP Lett. 76, 312 (2002)].
- 13. S.B. Savin, D iplom a thesis, P.L. K apitza Institute, M oscow, 2004.