

# Decondensed Quantum Criticality at the Quantum Phase Transition from Antiferromagnetism to Algebraic Spin Liquid

Ki-Seok Kim

School of Physics, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-012, Korea

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We investigate the quantum phase transition from antiferromagnetism (AF) to algebraic spin liquid (ASL). We propose that spin-1/2 fermionic spinons in the ASL fractionalize into spin-1/2 bosonic spinons and spinless fermions at the quantum critical point (QCP) between the AF and the ASL. Condensation of the bosonic spinons leads to the AF, where the condensed bosonic spinons are condensed with the spinless fermions to form the fermionic spinons. These fermionic spinons are also condensed to make antiferromagnons as elementary excitations in the AF. Approaching the QCP from the AF, spin-1 critical antiferromagnetic fluctuations are expected to break up into spin-1/2 critical bosonic spinons. Then, these bosonic spinons hybridize with spin-1/2 fermionic spinons, making spinless fermions. As a result the fermionic spinons decay into the bosonic spinons and the spinless fermions. But, the spinless fermions are condensed and thus, only the bosonic spinons emerge at the QCP. This coincides with the recent studies of decondensed quantum criticality [1, 2, 3, 4]. When the bosonic spinons are gapped, the ASL is realized. The bosonic spinons are condensed with the spinless fermions to form the fermionic spinons. These fermionic spinons are decondensed to describe the ASL.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 71.10.Hf, 71.27.+a, 11.10.Kk

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nature of quantum criticality is one of the central interests in modern condensed matter physics. Especially, decondensed quantum criticality has been proposed in various strongly correlated electron systems such as low dimensional quantum antiferromagnetism on square lattices [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9] and geometrically frustrated lattices [10, 11, 12, 13] and heavy fermion liquids [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In the present paper we focus our attention on the quantum antiferromagnetism in two dimensional square lattices. Starting from the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model, one can derive the  $O(3)$  nonlinear model (NLM) as an effective field theory. Utilizing the  $CP^1$  representation of the NLM, one can show that although the appropriate elementary degrees of freedom are given by either spin-1 excitons (gapped paramagnons) in the paramagnetism or spin-1 antiferromagnons in the antiferromagnetism, at the quantum critical point (QCP) such excitations break up into more elementary spin-1/2 excitations called spinons [1, 2, 3, 4]. This is the precise meaning of the decondensed quantum criticality in the context of quantum antiferromagnetism.

In the present paper we investigate the quantum phase transition from antiferromagnetism (AF) to algebraic spin liquid (ASL) based on the decondensed quantum criticality of the  $O(3)$  NLM [1, 2, 3, 4]. According to one possible scenario [6], the pseudogap phase in high  $T_c$  cuprates is proposed to be the ASL for spin degrees of freedom. In this respect it is considerable to understand how the ASL emerges from the AF, i.e., the quantum phase transition between the AF and the ASL. The ASL is the state described by quantum electrodynamics in two space and one time dimensions ( $QED_3$ )

in terms of massless Dirac spinons interacting via  $U(1)$  gauge fluctuations [6]. On the other hand, low energy physics of the AF is well understood by the  $O(3)$  NLM in terms of Neel order parameter fields [19]. One can say that the AF results from the ASL via spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (S $\bar{S}B$ ) [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. The central question in this paper is the nature of the QCP, where the S $\bar{S}B$  occurs. Concretely speaking, we examine how the Neel order parameter fields in the NLM can be smoothly connected to the fermionic spinons in the  $QED_3$  by studying the QCP. We apply the decondensed quantum criticality of the  $O(3)$  NLM to the QCP between the AF and the ASL. Approaching the QCP from the AF, critical antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations in the NLM are conjectured to break up into critical bosonic spinons, consistent with the previous studies [1, 2, 3, 4]. Based on this conjecture we propose that the critical bosonic spinons hybridize with the fermionic spinons in the  $QED_3$  via Kondo-like couplings, screening out spin degrees of freedom of the fermionic spinons and thus, making spinless fermions. In other words, it can be stated that the fermionic spinons in the ASL fractionalize into the bosonic spinons and the spinless fermions at the QCP. In this paper we construct a critical field theory at the QCP and discuss how the AF and the ASL recover from the critical field theory.

In the language of high energy physics the present study examines the chiral phase transition in  $QED_3$ . In this respect we would like to point out an interesting previous study of the chiral phase transition [25]. In the study [25] the authors calculated a fermion-antifermion scattering amplitude in order to find light scalar mesons (fermion-antifermion composites) showing up as poles in the scattering amplitude. Approaching the QCP from the symmetric phase (ASL in the context of quantum

antiferromagnetism), the authors could not find the poles in the scattering amplitude. This leads them to the conclusion that there are no light mesons corresponding to order parameter fluctuations. Based on this result they proposed that the chiral phase transition is different from usual second order phase transitions described by Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson type theories of order parameter fluctuations. Our present scenario of the deconfined QCP for the chiral phase transition seems to be consistent with this previous study since the deconfined QCP is not described by fluctuations of fermion-antifermion composite order parameters owing to spin fractionalization.

## II. FERMIONIC NONLINEAR MODEL AS AN EFFECTIVE FIELD THEORY FOR QUANTUM ANTIFERROMAGNETISM

We consider the following effective action called fermionic nonlinear model

$$\begin{aligned}
 Z &= \int \mathcal{D}n \mathcal{D}D \mathcal{D}a \exp(S_{ASL} + S_M + S_{NLM}); \\
 S_{ASL} &= \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 + \frac{1}{2e^2} \mathbf{j}_a^i \mathbf{j}_a^i \right]; \\
 S_M &= \int d^3x m \bar{\psi} \psi; \\
 S_{NLM} &= \int d^3x \frac{h_{NL} m}{4} \mathbf{j}_n^i \mathbf{j}_n^i - \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{j}_n^i)^2 : \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

In  $S_{ASL}$  is a massless Dirac spinon with a flavor index  $= 1, \dots, N$  associated with  $SU(N)$  spin symmetry.  $a$  is a compact  $U(1)$  gauge field mediating long range interactions between Dirac spinons.  $e$  is an internal electric charge of the Dirac spinon.  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) was proposed to be an effective field theory for one possible quantum paramagnetism (ASL) of  $SU(N)$  quantum antiferromagnets on two dimensional square lattices [6]. However, the stability of the ASL has been suspected owing to instanton excitations of compact  $U(1)$  gauge fields [26]. Condensation of instantons (magnetic monopoles) is believed to cause confinement of charged particles [27, 28, 29], here Dirac spinons. Recently, Hemele et al. showed that the ASL can be stable against instanton excitations [7]. Ignoring the compactness of  $U(1)$  gauge fields  $a$ , one can show that the  $S_{ASL}$  has a nontrivial charged fixed point in two space and one time dimensions  $[(2+1)D]$  in the limit of large flavors [7, 8, 9], identified with the ASL. Hemele et al. showed that the charged critical point in the case of noncompact  $U(1)$  gauge fields can be stable against instanton excitations of compact  $U(1)$  gauge fields in the limit of large flavors [7]. Condensation of instantons can be forbidden at the stable charged fixed point owing to critical fluctuations of Dirac fermions. The  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) is a critical field theory at the charged critical point, where correlation functions exhibit power law behaviors with anomalous critical exponents resulting from long range gauge interactions [9, 30].

This is the reason why the state described by the  $S_{ASL}$  is called the ASL. In appendix A we briefly discuss how the effective QED<sub>3</sub>,  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) can be derived from the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model.

However, we should remember that the ASL criticality holds only for large flavors of critical Dirac spinons. If the flavor number is not sufficiently large, the internal charge  $e$  is not screened out satisfactorily by critical Dirac fermions. Then, gauge interactions can make bound states of Dirac fermions, resulting in massive Dirac spinons. This is known to be spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (SSB) [20, 21, 22, 23, 24]. In the case of physical  $SU(2)$  antiferromagnets it is not clear if the ASL criticality remains stable owing to the SSB causing antiferromagnetism. It is believed that there exists the critical flavor number  $N_c$  associated with SSB in QED<sub>3</sub> [20, 21]. But, the precise value of the critical number is far from consensus [21]. If the critical value is larger than 2, the SSB is expected to occur for the physical  $N = 2$  case, resulting in massive spinons. On the other hand, in the case of  $N_c < 2$  the ASL criticality remains stable against the SSB. Experimentally, an antiferromagnetic long range order is observed in the  $SU(2)$  antiferromagnet. This leads us to consider the SSB in the ASL. In Eq. (1)  $S_M$  represents the contribution of a fermion mass due to the SSB.  $m$  is a mass parameter corresponding to staggered magnetization.  $n$  represents fluctuations of Neel order parameter fields regarded as Goldstone bosons in the SSB.  $\sim$  is Pauli matrix acting on the spin (flavor) space of Dirac spinons. The mass parameter  $m$  can be determined by a self-consistent gap equation, given by  $m = e^2 \exp[2 - \frac{1}{N_c - N}]$  in the  $1/N$  approximation [20]. Varying the flavor number  $N$  controls the mass parameter  $m$ . In the present paper we use the mass  $m$  as a controlling parameter of the quantum phase transition. This is analogous to a quantum phase transition in the NLM, where the quantum phase transition is realized by varying the spin stiffness parameter associated with the value of spin in the system [31]. For completeness of this paper we briefly sketch the derivation of dynamical mass generation in appendix B. There are additional fermion bilinears connected with the Neel state by "chiral" transformations because the  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) has more symmetries than those of the Heisenberg model [23, 32, 33]. These order parameters are associated with valence bond orders [23, 32, 33]. But, in the present paper we consider only the Neel order parameter in order to take account of the AF described by the  $O(3)$  NLM.

Contributions of high energy spinons in  $S_{ASL} + S_M$  lead to the  $S_{NLM}$  in the gradient expansion [24, 32, 34]. This effective action is nothing but the  $O(3)$  NLM describing a collinear spin order. In the  $S_{NLM}$  we introduced a Lagrange multiplier field to impose the rigid rotor constraint  $\mathbf{j}_n^i \mathbf{j}_n^i = 1$ . In appendix C we give a detailed derivation of the NLM. The total effective action  $S_{ASL} + S_M + S_{NLM}$  called fermionic NLM in Eq. (1) naturally describes the quantum AF resulting from the

ASL via SSB at half filling. Based on this fermionic O(3) NLM we investigate the QCP of the AF to ASL transition.

In Eq. (1) we concentrate on the Kondo-like spin coupling term  $\mathfrak{n} \sim$  between antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations  $\mathfrak{n}$  and Dirac fermions. This term shows that an antiferromagnetic excitation of spin 1 can fractionalize into two fermionic spinons of spin 1=2. But, long range gauge interactions prohibit antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations from decaying into spinons. Because massive Dirac spinons in the SSB can generate only the Maxwell kinetic energy for the gauge field via particle-hole polarizations, they should be confined to form spin 1 antiferromagnetic fluctuations owing to the effect of instantons. Remember that the Maxwell gauge theory shows confinement of charged matter fields in (2+1)D owing to the condensation of instantons [27, 28, 29]. As a result spinon-antispinon composites appear to be identified with spin 1 antiferromagnetic fluctuations, i.e.,  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{h} \sim \mathfrak{i}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h} \equiv \langle \mathfrak{i} \rangle$  denotes a vacuum expectation value. A resulting effective field theory for this antiferromagnet is obtained to be

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{AF} &= \int \mathcal{D} \sim e^S; \\ S &= \int d^3x \frac{Nm}{4} \mathfrak{j} \sim \mathfrak{j} + \frac{(\sim \cdot \partial \sim)^2}{1 \mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{j}} \\ &\quad \int d^3x \frac{Nm}{4} \mathfrak{j} \sim \mathfrak{j} + (\sim \cdot \partial \sim)^2; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where the Neel vector is represented as  $\mathfrak{n} = (\sim; n^3)$  with  $n^3 = \frac{1}{\mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{j}}$ . In the last line we obtained an effective field theory for small fluctuations of  $\sim$  fields around the Neel axis  $n^3$  by using  $n^3 \approx 1 - (l=2) \mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{j}$ . The  $\sim$  fields are nothing but antiferromagnons, considered to be spinon-antispinon composites  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{i} = \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{i}_1 \mathfrak{i}_2$  with the relativistic spectrum of  $\omega = k$  in the low energy limit. The last term in the last line represents interactions between antiferromagnons. Low energy physics in this conventional quantum antiferromagnet is well described by the interacting antiferromagnons [19].

### III. QUANTUM PHASE TRANSITION FROM ANTIFERROMAGNETISM TO ALGEBRAIC SPIN LIQUID

#### A. Effective Field Theory

Reducing the mass parameter  $m$  in Eq. (1) results in the QCP of the AF to ASL transition. It is important to realize that although the Dirac spinons are confined to form antiferromagnons in the AF, they should be deconfined to emerge in the ASL. In order to investigate their confinement to deconfinement transition, Dirac spinons should be explicitly introduced in the effective action. Especially, it needs much care to treat the  $S_M$  in Eq. (1) representing spin fractionalization. In the AF the spinon

mass can be considered to be infinite owing to the confinement of spinons, allowing us to ignore the process of spinon fractionalization. This permits us to integrate over the spinon degrees of freedom completely and obtain the effective NLM Eq. (2) in terms of only the Neel order parameter fields. On the other hand, near the QCP this full integration of spinons is difficult to be justified. Because the mass parameter  $m$  becomes small near the critical point, the spin fractionalization cannot be ignored and thus, should be taken into account appropriately. Neel fields and Dirac spinons should be treated on equal footing. In order to solve the Kondo-like spin coupling term  $S_M$  in Eq. (1), we utilize the CP<sup>1</sup> representation  $\mathfrak{n} = \frac{1}{2} z^y \sim \circ z \circ$  or equally,  $\mathfrak{n} \sim \circ = U^3 U^y$ , where  $z$  is a bosonic spinon and  $U$ , its corresponding SU(2) matrix  $U = \begin{pmatrix} z'' & z''^y \\ z_{\#} & z_{\#}^y \end{pmatrix}$ . Inserting this CP<sup>1</sup> representation into Eq. (1), the Kondo coupling term of  $\mathfrak{n} \sim \circ \circ \mathfrak{n}$  is written to be  $L_K = m \int (U^3 U^y) \circ \circ$ . Now this Kondo coupling term can be easily solved by the following gauge transformation

$$= U^y \circ \circ; \quad (3)$$

resulting in  $L_K = m \int \circ \circ$ . Physical meaning of this gauge transformation is clear. At the QCP critical bosonic spinons  $U^y \circ$  are expected to hybridize with the fermionic spinons  $\circ$  via the Kondo coupling, thus screening out their spin degrees of freedom and resulting in the spinless fermions  $\circ$ .

Representing Eq. (1) in terms of the fractionalized fields  $U \circ$  and  $\circ$ , we already mentioned that the Kondo coupling term is completely solved to be  $m \int \circ \circ$ . In this representation the scattering effect of fermionic spinons by spin fluctuations  $\mathfrak{n}$  appears in the kinetic energy of spinons as  $(U^y \partial U) \circ \circ$ , where currents of the spinless fermions couple to those of the bosonic spinons,

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int \mathcal{D} U \mathcal{D} \circ e^S; \\ S &= \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \partial \circ \partial \circ + [U^y \partial U] \circ \circ \right) \\ &\quad + m \int \circ \circ + \frac{1}{2e^2} \mathfrak{j} \mathfrak{a} \mathfrak{j} \\ &\quad + \text{Tr} \frac{Nm}{8} \mathfrak{j} (U^3 U^y) \mathfrak{j}^2; \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

This action has  $U_a(1) \times U_c(1)$  local gauge symmetry. The former  $U_a(1)$  guarantees the invariance of the action Eq. (4) under the gauge transformations of

$$\begin{aligned} \circ &= e^i \circ, & \circ &= e^i \circ; \\ U^0 \circ &= U \circ, & \mathfrak{a}^0 &= \mathfrak{a} + \partial \circ; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

This is nothing but the gauge symmetry of the U(1) slave boson representation. On the other hand, the latter  $U_c(1)$

conforms the invariance of Eq. (4) under the gauge transformations of

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^0 &= \phi, & \psi^0 &= [e^{i\theta} \psi]^0; \\ U^0 \phi &= U [e^{i\theta} \phi]^0, & a^0 &= a : \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

This new local gauge symmetry originates from the  $CP^1$  representation and implies that there should be a  $U(1)$  gauge field corresponding to the gauge symmetry. Indeed, performing some standard algebra such as the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation, we can reach the following effective action

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int D U D c D \psi D a e^S; \\ S &= \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi - i a_\mu)^2 + \bar{\psi} (i \not{\partial} - m) \psi + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi} \psi \right] \\ &+ \text{Tr} \frac{N m}{4} j (\partial_\mu \phi - i a_\mu) U^\mu \psi^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \bar{\psi} \psi^2 : \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here  $c$  is a new compact  $U(1)$  gauge field guaranteeing the  $U(1)$  local gauge symmetry, where the gauge field  $c$  is transformed into  $c^0 = c + \theta$  under the transformations in Eq. (6).  $g$  is an internal charge of the bosonic spinons  $U^\mu \phi$  and the spinless fermions  $\psi$ . The spinless fermions have both internal charges,  $e$  and  $g$ . The kinetic energy of the  $SU(2)$  matrix  $U^\mu$  can be expressed into the familiar  $CP^1$  representation,  $\text{Tr} \frac{N m}{4} j (\partial_\mu \phi - i a_\mu) U^\mu \psi^2 = \frac{N m}{2} j (\partial_\mu \phi - i a_\mu) \psi^2$ . The Maxwell kinetic energy of the gauge field  $c$  can be safely introduced as the contribution of high energy bosonic spinons because the Maxwell gauge action is irrelevant in  $(2+1)D$  in the renormalization group (RG) sense and thus, does not affect physics of the QCP.

We would like to emphasize that Eq. (7) is just another representation of Eq. (1). For this exact transformation to be physically meaningful beyond a mathematical derivation, there should exist the deconfined quantum criticality of the NLM allowing deconfined bosonic spinons at the QCP. In other words, at least the NLM in the effective field theory Eq. (7) should be stable in the RG sense. This is the reason why we use the term, "based on the conjecture of the deconfined quantum criticality," in the introduction. Fortunately, this deconfined quantum criticality was shown to exist in Refs. [2, 3] by an RG analysis, as will be discussed later. Based on the exact transformation and the existence of the deconfined quantum criticality, we discuss the QCP between the AF and the ASL. When the deconfinement of bosonic spinons is not allowed owing to instanton effects of compact  $U(1)$  gauge fields  $c$ , the effective field theory Eq. (7) becomes unstable in the present decoupling scheme and thus, another effective theory necessarily results. This indeed happens away from the QCP, where the  $O(3)$  NLM with the Neel vectors and the

QED<sub>3</sub> with the massless Dirac spinons and slave boson  $U(1)$  gauge fields recover from Eq. (7) in the AF and in the ASL, respectively.

The gauge transformation Eq. (3) introduced for solving the Kondo coupling term in Eq. (1) may be still suspected to be unnatural although similar decoupling schemes have been utilized in the context of the Kondo lattice model [15, 16, 18]. In this respect it is necessary to understand the present methodology more deeply by comparing this with other well studied ones. A good example is a d-wave BCS theory for superconductivity of high  $T_c$  cuprates [35, 36]. In the context of d-wave superconductivity the coupling term of  $j \psi^\dagger c_i c_i$  between Cooper pairs and electrons plays the same role as the Kondo coupling term of  $m \psi \sim \phi \phi$  between spin fluctuations and Dirac spinons in the context of antiferromagnetism. Here  $j$  and  $\psi$  are the amplitude and phase of Cooper pair fields, analogous to  $m$  and  $\psi$ , respectively. In order to solve this coupling term several kinds of gauge transformations are introduced [35, 36]. In these decoupling schemes critical phase fluctuations of Cooper pairs screen out charge degrees of freedom of electrons, causing electrically neutral but spinful electrons called "spinons". As a result the phase factor disappears in the coupling term when it is rewritten in terms of spinons. Instead, this coupling effect appears as current-current interactions of neutral spinons and phase fields of Cooper pairs in the kinetic energy of electrons. Depending on the gauge transformations,  $Z_2$  [35] or  $U(1)$  [36] gauge fields are obtained. In this respect the gauge transformation Eq. (3) naturally extends the methodology of charge  $U(1)$  symmetry in the context of superconductivity to that of spin  $SU(2)$  symmetry in the context of antiferromagnetism.

In order to convince critical physicists of the effective action Eq. (7), in appendix D we show that Eq. (7) can be derived directly from the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian in a standard fashion. We would like to suggest one good reference [37] which derives a similar effective action with Eq. (7) from the Hubbard Hamiltonian using the same decoupling scheme.

## B. Quantum Phase Transition from Antiferromagnetism to Algebraic Spin Liquid

Now we discuss the quantum phase transition between the AF and the ASL based on Eq. (7). The critical value of the mass parameter  $m$  for the antiferromagnetic to paramagnetic transition is assumed to be  $m^c$ . In the case of  $m > m^c$  condensation of bosonic spinons occurs,  $\langle U^\mu \phi \rangle \neq 0$  ( $\langle z \rangle \neq 0$ ), causing the AF. The spinon condensation leads the gauge field  $c$  to be massive (Anderson-Higgs mechanism). In the context of gauge theories this phase corresponds to the Higgs-confinement phase [28, 29], where the matter fields with internal charge  $g$  are confined to form gauge singlets. The condensed bosonic spinons  $U \phi$  are confined with

TABLE I: Quantum phase transition from antiferromagnetism to algebraic spin liquid

	Antiferromagnetism $m > m^c$	Quantum Critical Point $m = m^c$	Algebraic Spin Liquid $m < m^c$
Order Parameter	$U^y_0 > 0$ ( $z > 0$ )		$U^y_0 = 0$ ( $z = 0$ )
$g$	$U^y_0 \neq 0$	$U^y_0 = 0$	$U^y_0 \neq 0$
	Condensation of $g$	Decondensation of $g$	Condensation of $g$
$e$	$U^y_0 \neq 0$	$U^y_0 = 0$	$U^y_0 \neq 0$
	Condensation of $e$	Decondensation of $e$	Decondensation of $e$
Elementary Excitations	Antiferromagnons	$U^y_0(z), c$ Critical Bosonic Spinons	$a$ Critical Fermionic Spinons

the spinless fermions  $\psi_0$  and other bosonic spinons  $U^y_0$  to make the fermionic spinons  $\psi$  and the Neel order parameter fields  $\mathbf{n}$ , respectively. Integrating over the massive  $U(1)$  gauge field  $c$  in Eq. (7), we obtain the following Lagrangian of  $L = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^\dagger \psi + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{n}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}^2 \right) + \int d^3x \left( \psi^\dagger \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \psi + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{a} \right)$ , where the local interactions between spinless fermions are cancelled. In the AF the Neel vectors align to the  $z$  direction. Admitting transverse fluctuations of the Neel vectors, we obtain an effective field theory,  $L_{AF} = \int d^3x \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\psi}^\dagger \psi + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\mathbf{n}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{n}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}^2 \right) + \int d^3x \left( \psi^\dagger \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \psi + \mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{n} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{a} \right)$ , where we used  $\mathbf{n}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{c} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{c}^2$  as Eq. (2). The massive Dirac spinons should be condensed to form the antiferromagnons. As a result the conventional AF described by Eq. (2) recovers from Eq. (7).

In our recent study [34] we proposed an interesting possibility that massive Dirac spinons can appear to make broad continuum spectrum at high energies in inelastic neutron scattering [38]. The mechanism of spinon decondensation results from the existence of fermion zero modes in single instanton potentials. Neel vectors can make a skyrmion configuration around an instanton of the compact  $U(1)$  gauge field  $a$ . Remarkably, in the instanton-skyrmion composite potential the Dirac spinon is shown to have a zero mode. The emergence of the fermion zero mode forbids the condensation of instantons, resulting in the decondensation of Dirac spinons in the AF. Notice that the Dirac spinons are massive owing to  $S \cdot S_B$ .

Approaching the QCP ( $m \rightarrow m^c$ ), the critical bosonic spinons  $z$  ( $U^y_0$ ) would be decondensed [1, 2, 3, 4] to exhibit broad continuum spectrum in spin susceptibility. In Ref. [2] Berry phase is shown to play a crucial role for the decondensation of bosonic spinons at the QCP. Berry phase gives rise to destructive interference for instanton excitations of the  $c$  gauge fields. As a result only quadrupled instanton excitations contribute to a partition function for the NL M. These quadrupled instanton excitations are known to be irrelevant at the QCP [2]. On the other hand, in Refs. [1, 3, 4] the spinon decondensation is claimed to occur even in the absence of Berry phase. In these studies [1, 3, 4] the crucial point is the existence of quantum criticality itself. Internal electric charges of spinons can be sufficiently screened by critical fluctuations of spinons. The smaller internal

electric charges, the larger internal magnetic charges. As a result instanton excitations can be irrelevant at the QCP. Especially, in Ref. [3] the present author pointed out that the  $CP^1$  action of the NL M in the easy plane limit has two kinds of fixed points owing to an additional vortex gauge field in the dual vortex representation. One is the charged fixed point (inverted XY fixed point) and the other, the neutral fixed point (XY fixed point). In Ref. [3] the inverted XY fixed point is identified with the QCP studied by Senthil et al. [2]. The inverted XY fixed point is shown to be unstable against instanton excitations and the instanton excitations are proliferated. Since condensation of instantons does not allow spinon unbinding, this is consistent with the conclusion of Senthil and coworkers, that is, with the absence of spinon decondensation without a Berry phase term. At the inverted XY critical point the spinon decondensation can occur only in the presence of Berry phase. On the other hand, the XY fixed point is shown to remain stable against instanton excitations. From the RG analysis in Ref. [3] one sees that although quantum criticality the instantons are relevant everywhere, they become irrelevant at the XY QCP. This allows spinon decondensation, which is different from the conclusion by Senthil et al. [2], since this novel critical point does not coincide with their one. The XY fixed point is, instead, identified with the QCP studied by Bemevig et al. [1], thus showing that the decondensation of spinons takes place even without the Berry phase. See Ref. [3] for details. We would like to mention the result of Monte-Carlo simulation [4] supporting the existence of decondensed spinons at the QCP of the O(3) NL M without Berry phase. They claimed that critical fluctuations of bosonic spinons result in a nonlocal action of  $U(1)$  gauge fields and this contribution causes the decondensation of spinons [4].

Although the internal charge  $g$  is decondensed owing to critical fluctuations of the bosonic spinons, the spinless fermions  $\psi$  would not appear at the QCP. Remember that the  $\psi$  carries the internal charge  $e$ . The spinless fermions would feel confining interactions mediated by  $U(1)$  gauge fluctuations arising to their finite mass  $m^c$  at the QCP. Ignoring antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations, i.e.,  $\mathbf{n} = \hat{z}$  in the mean field level, one can find that the antiferro- to para-magnetic transition is determined by the self-consistent gap equation in Ref. [20] (appendix B). In this case the spinon mass  $m$  is zero at the critical

point. However, allowing spin fluctuations beyond the mean field level, the critical value of the spinon mass for the magnetic transition would not be zero. This can be justified by the RG analysis of the  $O(3)$  NLM. Using the standard power law scaling for the  $S_{NLM}$  in Eq. (1), one obtains the wellknown RG equation for the spin stiffness  $m$  in  $(2+1)D$  [39]

$$\frac{dm}{dl} = -m + m^2; \quad (8)$$

where  $l$  is a scaling parameter and  $\nu$ , a positive numerical constant. This RG equation yields three fixed points;  $m = 1$ ,  $m = 0$ , and  $m = m^c$ , corresponding to AF, quantum disordered paramagnetism, and QCP, respectively. The nonzero critical coupling in  $m^c \sim \nu^{-1}$  at the QCP seems to be consistent with that of the Kondo lattice model [14, 15, 16] which has a similar structure with Eq. (7). Integration over the massive fermions generates the Maxwell kinetic energy of the gauge field  $a$ . In this case the wellknown classic result by Polyakov [27] can be applied, leading to confinement of the charge  $e$ . The resulting critical field theory is obtained from Eq. (7) to be  $L_{QCP} = \frac{N m^c}{2} j(\partial_{\mu\nu} c)^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} j(\partial_{\mu\nu} a)^2$ , where  $c$  is a noncompact  $U(1)$  gauge field. In appendix E, in order to confirm this critical field theory we derive the similar critical theory in a different way, where the Neel elds are not introduced.

In the case of  $m < m^c$  the bosonic spinons are gapped,  $\langle U^y_{\mu\nu} \rangle = 0$  ( $\langle z \rangle = 0$ ). In order to correctly describe the ASL, the massive bosonic spinons should be confined with the spinless fermions to form the fermionic spinons. In other words, the internal charge  $g$  should be confined via gauge fluctuations  $c$ . As the RG Eq. (8) shows  $m \neq 0$ , the spinless fermions would become massless in the quantum disordered paramagnetism. One can think that the vanishing mass makes the field critical, resulting in deconfinement of the internal charge  $g$  owing to critical fluctuations of these fermions. However, this guess is not correct. The vanishing fermion mass gives rise to one problem that the strength of local interactions goes to infinity in Eq. (7). In order to treat the infinitely strong local interactions, we perform the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation in Eq. (7) to obtain  $S = \int d^3x \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu\nu} a)^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} j(\partial_{\mu\nu} c)^2 + i \int d^3x \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi \right]$ , where the gapped bosonic spinons are integrated out to produce the Maxwell kinetic energy of the gauge field  $c$  with a renormalized coupling strength  $g$ , and  $\psi$  is an auxiliary field to impose the local interactions. In the above we utilized  $m \neq 0$ . Integration over the auxiliary field results in the local constraint,  $\sum_{\mu} \psi_{\mu} = 0$ , indicating that the fields do not screen out the internal charge  $g$ . Only the Maxwell kinetic energy is available, causing confinement of the charge  $g$  [27]. The gapped bosonic spinons should be confined with the spin-

less fermions to make the Dirac spinons. Since the effective field theory Eq. (7) is unstable in the parameter range of  $m < m^c$ , a new effective theory necessarily results. Inserting  $\psi = U_{\mu\nu} \psi_{\mu\nu}$  into Eq. (7) under the constraint of  $\sum_{\mu} \psi_{\mu} = 0$  and integrating over the gapped bosonic spinons  $U^y_{\mu\nu}$ , we can obtain the following Lagrangian of  $L_{ASL} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu\nu} a)^2 + \frac{1}{2e^2} j(\partial_{\mu\nu} a)^2$ . Local interactions of spinon currents  $j_{\mu\nu} = \bar{\psi} \gamma_{\mu} \psi$  would appear in the above effective Lagrangian, but this term does not affect low energy physics because it is irrelevant in  $(2+1)D$  in the RG sense. As discussed earlier, the Dirac spinons with the internal charge  $e$  are deconfined to emerge at the charged fixed point of the  $L_{ASL}$ . We summarize the quantum phase transition from the AF to the ASL in Table I.

#### IV. SUMMARY

In summary, we proposed the fractionalization of the Dirac spinons into the bosonic spinons and spinless fermions near the quantum critical point between the antiferromagnetism and the algebraic spin liquid (Table I). Based on this conjecture we constructed the "mother" critical field theory Eq. (7) and showed that the antiferromagnetism and the algebraic spin liquid can successfully recover from Eq. (7).

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

K.-S.Kim would like to thank Dr. A. Tanaka for pointing out his misunderstanding in the early stage of the present work. K.-S.Kim also thanks Dr. Sung-Sik Lee, Dr. Park, Tae-Sun for helpful discussions and Dr. Sreedhar B. Dutta for his reading of this manuscript.

#### APPENDIX A

In appendix A we briefly sketch how to obtain the  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) from the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on two dimensional square lattices,  $H_J = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j$  with  $J > 0$ . Inserting the following spinon representation for spin,  $S_i = \frac{1}{2} f_i^y \sim \psi_i$  into the above Heisenberg model, and performing the standard Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation for an exchange interaction channel, we obtain an effective one body Hamiltonian for fermions coupled to an order parameter,  $H_{eff} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^y \psi_j$  h.c.. Here  $f_i$  is a fermionic spinon with spin  $= \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\psi_{ij}$  is an auxiliary field called a hopping order parameter. Notice that the hopping order parameter  $\psi_{ij}$  is a complex number defined on links  $ij$ . Thus, it can be decomposed into  $\psi_{ij} = |\psi_{ij}| e^{i\phi_{ij}}$ , where  $|\psi_{ij}|$  and  $\phi_{ij}$  are the amplitude and phase of the hopping order parameter, respectively. Inserting this representation for the



The second term in the last line is well derived in Ref. [24],

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{N}{2} \ln \det 1 - \frac{h}{\partial^2 + m^2} \text{Tr} \ln 1 - \frac{h}{\partial^2 + m^2} \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \text{Tr} \int d^3x \text{Tr} \ln 1 - \frac{h}{\partial^2 + m^2} \text{Tr} \int d^3x \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \int d^3x \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \\
& e^{ikx} \text{Tr} \ln 1 - \frac{h}{\partial^2 + m^2} \text{Tr} \int d^3x \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= \frac{N}{2} \int d^3x \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \\
& \text{Tr} \ln 1 - \frac{h}{k^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{2ik} \frac{1}{\partial^2} \\
& \int d^3x \frac{N}{4} \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr} \frac{1}{k^2 + m^2} \\
&= \int d^3x \frac{Nm}{4} \text{Tr} \ln 1 : \quad (C2)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above  $\text{Tr}$  stands for not a functional but a usual matrix trace for both flavor (spin) and spinor indices. In going from the third to the fourth line we have dragged the factor  $e^{ikx}$  through the operator, thus shifting all differential operators  $\partial \rightarrow \partial + ik$  [24]. Expanding the argument of the logarithmic term in powers of  $\partial$  and of  $2ik\partial + \partial^2$ , one can easily obtain the expression in the fifth line. Performing the momentum integration, we obtain an effective spin stiffness proportional to the mass parameter  $m$ . This implies that the rigidity of fluctuations in the Neel field is controlled by the mass parameter  $m$  of Dirac spinons. Eq. (C2) is nothing but the O(3) NLM describing a collinear spin order. Note that higher order derivative terms in the gradient expansion are irrelevant in (2+1)D in the RG sense.

Next, we sketch the derivation of the Maxwell gauge action. Expanding the argument of the logarithmic term in Eq. (C1) to the second order of the gauge field  $a$ , we obtain  $S_{\text{gauge}} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3q \frac{1}{2} a(q) \chi(q) a(-q)$ , where the fermion polarization function  $\chi(q)$  is given by  $\chi(q) = N \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \text{Tr} [G(k+q)G(k)]$  with the single spinon propagator  $G(k) = [i k + m \tau \cdot \hat{k}]^{-1}$ . Utilizing the Feynman identity and trace identity of Dirac gamma matrices, one can obtain the following expression for the polarization function,  $\chi(q) = 2N \text{Tr} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{(4)^{D-2}} (q^2 - q \cdot k) \chi(q) \Big|_0^1 dx (1-x) x (m^2 + q^2 x(1-x))^{D-2} = \frac{(N \text{Tr})}{4} (q^2 - q \cdot q) \frac{m}{2q^2} + \frac{q^2 - 4m^2}{4q^3} \sin^{-1} \frac{q}{4m^2 + q^2}$  [22]. This leads to the Maxwell gauge action in Eq. (C1).

We should comment the reason why imaginary terms do not arise in the present NLM because some previous studies have shown the emergence of imaginary

terms [40, 41]. Following the evaluations in Ref. [41], one can obtain two imaginary terms in the irreducible representation of gamma matrices; one is a coupling term  $J = \frac{1}{8} \text{Tr} \ln \partial \cdot \partial$  and  $U(1)$  gauge fields  $a$ , and the other, a geometrical phase  $iN \text{Tr} \ln [\partial \cdot \partial]$  [40, 41]. The key point is the representation of Dirac gamma matrices [42]. Here, we utilized four-by-four Dirac matrices by combining the two nodal points. Note that the signs of Pauli matrices in the Dirac gamma matrices are opposite for the nodal points. This fact results in cancellation of the imaginary terms. The first imaginary term can be considered from a variation of the logarithmic term in Eq. (C1) with respect to the gauge field  $a$

$$\begin{aligned}
& iN \text{Tr} \ln a - \frac{1}{(\partial \cdot \partial) + m} \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= iN \text{Tr} \ln a - \frac{1}{[(\partial \cdot \partial) + m] \frac{1}{m} \text{Tr} \ln 1} \\
&= iN \text{Tr} \ln a - \frac{m \text{Tr} \ln 1}{\partial^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{\partial^2 + m^2} : \quad (C3)
\end{aligned}$$

In this expression the key point is the triple product of Dirac matrices,  $\text{Tr} \ln a$  in the last line. In the irreducible representation of gamma matrices, i.e., Pauli matrices, this contribution is nonzero, leading to  $\chi(q)$ . As a result the imaginary term of  $J = \frac{1}{8} \text{Tr} \ln \partial \cdot \partial$  can be obtained [40, 41]. Another contribution associated with the Neel vectors appears from the triple product of Pauli matrices,  $\text{Tr} \ln a$ . On the other hand, in the present representation of Dirac matrices the contribution of the + nodal point leads to  $\chi(q)$  while that of the - nodal point,  $\chi(q)$ . Thus, these two contributions are exactly cancelled. The geometrical phase term, considered from a variation of the logarithmic term in Eq. (C1) with respect to the Neel field  $n$  [40, 41],

$$\begin{aligned}
& N \text{Tr} \ln m - \frac{1}{\partial^2 + m} \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= N \text{Im} \text{Tr} \ln m - \frac{1}{\partial^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{m} \text{Tr} \ln 1 \\
&= N \text{Tr} \ln m - \frac{m \text{Tr} \ln 1}{\partial^2 + m^2} \frac{1}{\partial^2 + m^2} \quad (C4)
\end{aligned}$$

is also exactly zero owing to the same reason. Another way to say this is that the signs of mass terms for the Dirac fermions ( $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$ ) at the two Dirac nodes (+ and -) are opposite, resulting in cancellation of the parity anomaly [42]. If we fix the Neel vector in the  $z$  direction ( $n = \hat{z}$ ), we can see the opposite signs explicitly from  $m \tau_z \tau_x \tau_y = m \tau_x \tau_y \tau_z = m \tau_x \tau_z \tau_y = m \tau_y \tau_z \tau_x = m \tau_z \tau_x \tau_y = m \tau_x \tau_y \tau_z = m \tau_x \tau_z \tau_y = m \tau_y \tau_z \tau_x$ . Both massive Dirac fermions ( $\psi_+$ ) contribute to the imaginary terms, respectively. However, the signs of the imaginary terms are opposite and thus, the cancellation occurs. As a result the imaginary terms do not appear in the present NLM. This was already discussed in Refs. [43, 44].

It is possible that the mass terms have the same signs. Considering the two gamma matrices of  $\gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  [22], we can obtain the

following mass terms with the same signs,  $\tilde{\Gamma}_M = m_{n_4 5} z_m = m_{n_4} z_{nm} + m_{n_5} z_{nm} + m_{n_4 5} z_{nm}$ . These mass terms can arise from the  $S_{ASL}$  in Eq. (1) via  $S_{SB}$  because the ASL has the enlarged symmetry [32, 33], as discussed earlier. In this case the cancellation does not occur and thus, the imaginary terms necessarily arise. This AF would not be conventional since it breaks not only time reversal symmetry but also parity symmetry. When this AF disappears via strong quantum fluctuations, its corresponding quantum disordered paramagnet is expected to be the chiral spin liquid [32, 43, 44]. In the present paper we did not discuss the quantum phase transition from this anomalous antiferromagnetism to the chiral spin liquid.

#### APPENDIX D

In appendix D we briefly sketch a direct derivation of Eq. (7) from the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Hamiltonian  $H_J = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i \cdot S_j$  at half filling. Inserting the U(1) slave boson representation of the spin operator  $S_i = \frac{1}{2} f_i^y \sim \circ f_i \circ$  into the Heisenberg Hamiltonian, we obtain  $H_J = \frac{J}{4} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (f_i^y \sim \circ f_i \circ) (f_j^y \sim \circ f_j \circ)$ . These two body interactions of spinons can be decoupled into the Hartree (direct), Fock (exchange), Bogoliubov (pairing) channels respectively,  $\frac{J}{4} (f_i^y \sim \circ f_i \circ) (f_j^y \sim \circ f_j \circ) = \frac{J}{8} [(f_i^y \sim \circ f_i \circ) (f_j^y \sim \circ f_j \circ) + (f_i^y f_i) (f_j^y f_j)] + \frac{J}{4} [(f_i^y f_j) (f_j^y f_i) - f_i^y f_i] + \frac{J}{2} (f_{i\#}^y f_{j\#}^y - f_{i\#}^y f_{j\#}^y) (f_{j\#} f_{i\#} + f_{i\#} f_{j\#}) + \frac{J}{4}$  [45]. Performing the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation for each interaction channel, we obtain the following effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{eff}} = & \sum_i f_i^y (\partial_t - ia_i) f_i + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (f_i^y f_j^y + h.c.) \\ & \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^y m_{ij} \sim \circ f_i \circ + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^y f_j \\ & \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} [(f_{i\#}^y f_{j\#}^y - f_{i\#}^y f_{j\#}^y) + h.c.] \\ & + \frac{1}{J} \sum_{ij} (j_{ij} f_{ij}^2 + \frac{1}{2} j_{ij} f_{ij}^2) + \frac{2}{J} \sum_i (j_{i1} f_{i1}^2 + \frac{2}{i}): \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 1)$$

Here  $j_{ij}$  is an exchange (hopping) order parameter,  $m_{ij}$ , a spin density wave order parameter,  $f_i$ , a charge density wave order parameter, and  $f_{ij}$ , a pairing order parameter.  $a_i$  is a Lagrange multiplier field to impose the single occupancy constraint of  $f_i^y f_i = 1$ , and  $\sim \circ$ , a spinon chemical potential formally introduced. In the following we do not consider the pairing channel. Instead, we focus our attention on the spinon exchange and

magnetization associated with the ASL and AF, respectively. The ansatz of an antiferromagnetic order leads us to the saddle point equations of the three order parameters,  $j_{ij} = J h f_j^y f_i$ ,  $m_{ij} = \frac{J}{4} (1)^i h f_i^y f_j$ , and  $f_i = \frac{J}{4} h f_i^y f_i$ . At half filling the saddle point value of the charge density wave order parameter is given by  $f_i = J=4$ . Shifting the  $a_i$  field into  $ia_i + ia_i - J=4$  in Eq. (D 1) leads to the following effective Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{eff}} = & \sum_i f_i^y (\partial_t - ia_i) f_i + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (f_i^y e^{ia_{ij}} f_j + h.c.) \\ & \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^y \sim \circ f_i \circ + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 2)$$

Here  $\sim \circ = j_{ij} j$  and  $m = m_{ij} z$  are amplitudes of the order parameters, assumed to be uniform in space and time.  $a_{ij}$  is the phase of  $j_{ij}$  and  $\sim \circ$ , that of  $m_{ij}$ .

In order to derive Eq. (7) from the above effective Lagrangian Eq. (D 2), one can use the Haldane mapping of  $\sim \circ = (1)^i n_i - 1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_i^2 + \tilde{\Gamma}_i$ , where  $n_i$  is a unitary vector representing the Neel field, and  $\tilde{\Gamma}_i$ , the canting vector orthogonal to  $n_i$  [31, 39]. Using the  $CP^1$  representation of  $n \sim \circ = U^3 U^y$  and introducing the gauge transformation of  $d = U^y \circ f \circ$  in Eq. (D 2), one reaches the following Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{eff}} = & \sum_i d_i^y (\partial_t - ia_i) d_i + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (d_i^y U_i^y e^{ia_{ij}} U_j \circ d_j \circ + h.c.) \\ & \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} d_i^y [(1)^i f_j - 1 - \tilde{\Gamma}_i^2 + \sim \circ \tilde{\Gamma}_i] d_i \circ: \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D } 3)$$

Integrating over the spinless fermions  $d$ , expanding the resulting fermion determinant to the second order of  $U^y \circ U$  and  $L$ , and integrating out the canting fields  $L$ , one can finally obtain the  $O(3)NL M$ . This procedure is well derived in Ref. [37]. Combining the spinless fermions with this  $NL M$  in order to examine the magnetic QCP, and performing the Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation used in section III-A, one can find an effective action with an emergent U(1) gauge field similar to Eq. (7) in the continuum limit.

We would like to comment how the present study can be expanded to the case of geometrically frustrated lattices. Remember that in the present paper a collinear spin order is considered to result in the  $O(3)NL M$  in Eq. (1). In the frustrated antiferromagnets non-collinear spin orders are expected and thus, the present  $NL M$  cannot be applied. In this case the unitary vector  $\sim \circ$  in Eq. (D 2) should be generalized to impose non-collinear spin orders. This requires a different kind of Haldane mapping from the above. In this case a paramagnetic phase resulting from each non-collinear spin order is an interesting problem. Remember that in appendix C we mentioned an exciting quantum phase transition from an

anomalous antiferromagnetism to the chiral spin liquid. These two interesting studies remain as future works.

#### APPENDIX E

In order to confirm the present description of the QCP, we derive the similar critical field theory, but in a totally different way where the Neel order parameter fields are not introduced. We consider the following effective Hamiltonian (appendix A and D)

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (f_i^y e^{ia_{ij}} f_j + h.c.) + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1) f_i^y \sim^3 f_i^0; \quad (\text{E1})$$

describing staggered magnetization in the flux phase of the Heisenberg model [46]. Because the flux phase results in massless Dirac fermions near the nodal points, the QED<sub>3</sub> with SSB,  $L_{\text{QED}} = \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} (\partial_\mu \mathbf{a})^2 + m^2 \mathbf{a}^2$  can be derived from Eq. (E1). We perform bosonization by attaching a flux to the fermionic spinon,  $f_i = b_i \exp i \int_j (i - j) (n_j + n_{j\#})$ , where  $b_i$  is a statistically transmuted bosonic spinon with  $n_i = f_i^y f_i = b_i^y b_i$  [47]. Our strategy is to rewrite Eq. (E1) in terms of the newly introduced boson fields  $b_i$ ,

$$H_{\text{eff}} = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (b_i^y e^{ia_{ij}} e^{ia_{ij}^{CS}} b_j + h.c.) + \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} (1) b_i^y \sim^3 b_i^0 \quad (\text{E2})$$

with the constraint of  $2 \int b_i \hat{z} = (\tilde{r} \cdot \mathbf{a}^{CS})_i$ , arising from the flux attachment. Here  $a_{ij}^{CS}$  is a Chern-Simons gauge field with a statistical angle  $\nu = 1$ , guaranteeing the statistical transmutation of the fermionic spinons. Eq. (E2) can be rewritten to be in a general gauge in the continuum

limit

$$L = j(\partial_\mu \mathbf{a} - \mathbf{a}^{CS})^2 + m^2 \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{u}{2} \mathbf{a}^4 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \mathbf{a}^2 + \frac{i}{4} \mathbf{a}^{CS} \partial_\mu \mathbf{a}^{CS}; \quad (\text{E3})$$

where the boson field  $b$  is replaced with a coarse grained field in the continuum limit. Comparing Eq. (E3) with the QED<sub>3</sub> with SSB, the Dirac Lagrangian in the QED<sub>3</sub> is replaced with the Klein-Gordon one in the same dispersion relation owing to the bosonic statistics of the field in the flux phase.  $m$  is a phenomenological boson mass associated with the magnetization  $m$  in Eq. (E1) and  $u$ , strength of local interactions.  $m$  is assumed to be a function of the fermion flavor number  $N$ . As  $N$  approaches the critical value  $N_c$ ,  $m$  goes to zero. The above bosonization procedure can be found in Ref. [48], but in an opposite direction (boson to fermion instead of fermion to boson). The main point is that at the QCP ( $m \rightarrow 0$ ) the Chern-Simons gauge field does not play any roles [48]. Shifting the gauge field  $\mathbf{a}$  to  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{a}^{CS}$  and integrating over the Chern-Simons gauge field, we obtain a higher order derivative of the gauge field  $\mathbf{c}$ ,  $(\partial_\mu \mathbf{c})^2 \rightarrow (\partial_\mu \mathbf{c})^2$ . This higher order derivative term is irrelevant at the QCP in the RG sense. The resulting effective field theory is obtained to be  $L_{\text{QCP}} = j(\partial_\mu \mathbf{c})^2 + m^2 \mathbf{c}^2 + \frac{u}{2} \mathbf{c}^4 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \text{Tr} \mathbf{c}^2$ . Remarkably, this critical field theory has the same form as that derived in a different way earlier [49]. It is important to realize that this effective Lagrangian can be physically meaningful only at the QCP because the compactness of the gauge field  $\mathbf{c}$  can be irrelevant only at the QCP. Remember that away from the QCP this effective field theory becomes unstable in the RG sense owing to spinon condensation in the case of  $m^2 < 0$  and instanton condensation in the case of  $m^2 > 0$ , respectively. Especially, the case of  $m^2 > 0$  corresponds to the ASL in the fermion representation. This discussion shows that the statistics of spinons is fermionic in the ASL.

- 
- [1] B. A. Bernevig, D. Giuliano, and R. B. Laughlin, *Annals Phys.* 311, 182 (2004).  
 [2] T. Senthil, A. Vishwanath, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Science* 303, 1490 (2004); T. Senthil, L. Balents, S. Sachdev, A. Vishwanath, and M. P. A. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 144407 (2004).  
 [3] Ki-Seok Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 035109 (2005).  
 [4] D. Yoshioka, G. Arakawa, I. Ichinose, and T. Matsui, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 174407 (2004); G. Arakawa, I. Ichinose, T. Matsui, and K. Sakakibara, hep-th/0502013.  
 [5] H. Kleinert, F. S. Nogueira, and A. Sudbo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 232001 (2002); H. Kleinert, F. S. Nogueira, and A. Sudbo, *Nucl. Phys. B* 666, 361 (2003).  
 [6] P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, cond-mat/0410445, and references therein.  
 [7] M. Hohenle, T. Senthil, M. P. A. Fisher, P. A. Lee, N. Nagaosa, and X.-G. Wen, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 214437 (2004).  
 [8] F. S. Nogueira and H. Kleinert, cond-mat/0501022.  
 [9] Ki-Seok Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 140405 (R) (2004); Ki-Seok Kim, *Phys. Rev. B* 72, 014406 (2005).  
 [10] Ki-Seok Kim, cond-mat/0502652.  
 [11] H. Kawamura, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 10, 4707 (1998), and references therein; C. H. Chung, J. B. Marston, and R. H. McKenzie, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* 13, 5159 (2001); S. Sachdev and N. Read, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* 5, 219 (1991); A. Chubukov, S. Sachdev, and T. Senthil, *Nucl. Phys. B* 426, 601 (1994); S. V. Isakov, T. Senthil, and Y.-B. Kim, cond-mat/0503241; J. Alicea, O. I. Motrunich, M. Hohenle, and M. P. A. Fisher, cond-mat/0503399.

- [12] O. I. Motrunich, cond-m at/0412556; S.-S. Lee and P. A. Lee, cond-m at/0502139.
- [13] Yi Zhou and X.-G. Wen, cond-m at/0210662.
- [14] T. Senthil, M. Vojta, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 69, 035111 (2004); T. Senthil, S. Sachdev, and M. Vojta, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 216403 (2003).
- [15] P. Coleman, C. Pepin, Q. Si (Rice), and R. Ramazashvili, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13, 723 (2001).
- [16] C. Pepin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 066402 (2005).
- [17] Ki-Seok Kim, Phys. Rev. B 71, 205101 (2005).
- [18] Ki-Seok Kim, cond-m at/0505230.
- [19] S. Chakravarty, B. I. Halperin, and D. R. Nelson Phys. Rev. B 39, 2344 (1989).
- [20] T. Appelquist, D. Nash, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2575 (1988).
- [21] T. Pereg-Barnea and M. Franz, Phys. Rev. B 67, 060503(R) (2003), and references therein.
- [22] D. H. Kim and P. A. Lee, Annals Phys. 272, 130 (1999).
- [23] I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 047006 (2002); I. F. Herbut, Phys. Rev. B 66, 094504 (2002).
- [24] D. Diakonov, Lectures at the Enrico Fermi School in Physics, Varenna, (1995), hep-ph/9602375; Lectures at the Advanced Summer School on Non-Perturbative Field Theory, Peniscola, Spain, (1997), hep-ph/9802298; D. I. Diakonov, V. Yu. Petrov, and P. V. Pobylitsa, Nucl. Phys. B 306, 809 (1988).
- [25] T. Appelquist, J. Teming, and L. C. R. Wijewardhana, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2081 (1995); see also page 153 in Ref. [22].
- [26] I. F. Herbut and B. H. Seradjeh, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 171601 (2003).
- [27] A. M. Polyakov, Gauge Fields and Strings (ch.4), hard-wood academic publishers (1987).
- [28] E. Fradkin and S. H. Shenker, phys. Rev. D 19, 3682 (1979).
- [29] N. Nagaosa and P. A. Lee, phys. Rev. B 61, 9166 (2000).
- [30] W. Rantner and X.-G. Wen, cond-m at/0105540; W. Rantner and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B 66, 144501 (2002); M. Franz, Z. Teseanovic, and O. Vafek, Phys. Rev. B 66, 054535 (2002); M. Franz, T. Pereg-Barnea, D. E. Sheehy, and Z. Teseanovic, Phys. Rev. B 68, 024508 (2003); J. Ye, Phys. Rev. B 67, 115104 (2003); D. V. Khveshchenko, Phys. Rev. B 65, 235111 (2002); V. P. Gusynin, D. V. Khveshchenko, and M. Reenders, Phys. Rev. B 67, 115201 (2003).
- [31] N. Nagaosa, Quantum Field Theory in Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems, Springer (1999).
- [32] A. Tanaka and X. Hu, cond-m at/0501365.
- [33] M. Hemele, T. Senthil, and M. P. A. Fisher, cond-m at/0502215.
- [34] Ki-Seok Kim, cond-m at/0503458.
- [35] T. Senthil and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 62, 7850 (2000).
- [36] M. Franz and Z. Teseanovic, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 257003 (2001); This was pointed out by prof. Teseanovic via an e-mail communication.
- [37] K. Borejsza and N. Dupuis, Phys. Rev. B 69, 085119 (2004), and references therein; In this paper the internal U(1) gauge field is not introduced since the U(1) slave boson representation is not utilized.
- [38] C.-M. Ho, V. N. Muthukumar, M. Ogoata, and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1626 (2001).
- [39] A. Auerbach, Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism, Springer (1998).
- [40] J. Goldstone and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47, 986 (1981); T. Jaroszewicz, Phys. Lett. B 146, 337 (1984); T. Jaroszewicz, Phys. Lett. B 159, 299 (1985); T. Jaroszewicz, Phys. Lett. B 193, 479 (1987).
- [41] A. G. Abanov and P. B. Wiegmann, Nucl. Phys. B 570, 685 (2000); A. G. Abanov and P. B. Wiegmann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1319 (2001); A. G. Abanov and P. B. Wiegmann, J. High Energy Phys. JHEP 10(2001)030.
- [42] Dr. A. Tanaka in private communication.
- [43] Z. Zou, Phys. Lett. A 131, 197 (1988); Z. Zou, Phys. Rev. B 40, 2262 (1989).
- [44] X.-G. Wen and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 1025 (1988).
- [45] Dr. Sung-Sik Lee's derivation note.
- [46] D. Bensimon and N. Nagaosa, cond-m at/0506573, and references therein.
- [47] This transformation can be justified by the single occupancy constraint in the Heisenberg model derived from the Hubbard model in the limit of strong repulsive interactions.
- [48] J. Alicea, O. I. Motrunich, M. Hemele, and Matthew P. A. Fisher, cond-m at/0503399.
- [49] If the rigid rotor constraint  $\mathbf{j}^2 = 1$  is a little bit relaxed in the critical field theory  $L_{QCP} = \frac{N m^c}{2} \mathbf{j}(\partial_{\mu} \mathbf{ic})z \mathbf{j}^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \mathbf{j} \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{j}^2$ , the critical field theory can be written as  $L_{QCP} = \mathbf{j}(\partial_{\mu} \mathbf{ic})z \mathbf{j}^2 + m \frac{2}{z} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^2 + \frac{u_z}{2} \mathbf{j} \mathbf{j}^4 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \mathbf{j} \partial_{\mu} \mathbf{c} \mathbf{j}^2$ , where  $m \frac{2}{z} = m^c = m$  is an effective spinon mass and  $u_z$ , strength of local interactions[2].