Tuning the super uid - M ott insulator transition in a resonantly strongly driven Bose - E instein condensate in an optical lattice.

GM.Genkin.

Physics Department and Center for Polymer Studies, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215.

Abstract

We have studied the super uid -M ott insulator transition in a BEC conned in an optical lattice and driven by an additional external electrom agnetic eld, which excites another internal state. It is shown that due to resonant strong driving the critical values of the transition parameter depend on external eld parameters (the Rabi frequency and the detuning from resonance) and can be tuned to a given value. It is also shown that for driven system there are two optical potential depths at a phase transition, which are always m ore than without driving.

* E lectronic address: ggenkin@ argento.bu.edu.

Typeset using REVT_EX

The experimental observation of Bose-Einstein condensation in a dilute gas of ultracold trapped atoms [1-3] has generated much interest in manipulating such coherent matter by external electrom agnetic elds. Recently, atom s have been con ned in optical potentials created by standing light waves [4, 5], with the wavelength of the optical potential much sm aller than the dimensions of the trap. Considerable work has been done, in the last decade, to determ ine the ground state phase diagram of correlated bosons on such a lattice. The bosons can undergo a transition from super uid to insulator. Strong competition between potential and kinetic energies begets a quantum phase transition. The on - site repulsion can produce a M ott insulating phase with a quantum phase transition to a super uid as the interaction strength weakens. A sem inal experiment by Greiner and collaborators [5] demonstrated a quantum phase transition in a Bose - Einstein condensate (BEC) from a super uid (SF) state into a Mott insulator (MI) state, by varying the lattice laser intensity, as proposed theoretically in [6]. The quantum phase transitions have attracted much interest in recent years. These transitions are accomplished by changing not the tem perature, but som e param eter in the H am iltonian of the system; for a BEC in an optical lattice this parameter is the lattice laser intensity, for solid state system s, for example, the magnetic eld in a quantum - Hall system, or the charging energy in Josephson - junction arrays.

In this paper, we propose a manipulation of the quantum phase transition of a BEC in an optical lattice by an additional external electrom agnetic eld. This basic idea of my paper is using the external eld to drive transitions between internal states for atom s in an optical lattice. This driving alter the balance between self – interactons of the atom s in lattice site and hopping of the atom s between the sites, and thereby modify the super uid -M ott insulator phase transition.

An applied resonant eld excites another internal state of the BEC, which is why the populations of two coupled states are varied. W ithout driving a quantum phase transition in an one - component BEC is a single - channel problem, but with resonant driving it becomes a two - channel problem, in which every channel has competition between kinetic

2

and potential energies. The populations of the coupled states are varied. As a result, the e ective on - site interaction and the e ective tunneling in these two channels, which depend on the population, are also changed. However, due to this varying population the variations of the on - site interaction and the tunneling are di erent; therefore, the balance between kinetic and potential energies, which determ ines a phase transition, is altered. As a result, we have a modi cation of the quantum phase transition by the applied resonant eld, which is determined by the two channels. It is shown that for each channel, the critical value of the ratio of the interaction strength and the tunneling depends on the population, which for the strong driving is the Rabioscillations. Therefore, in the regime of the time -dependent Rabi oscillations the MI-SF and the reverse SF - MI transitions occur during a period of the Rabi frequency. The frequency of this oscillating super uidity is determined by the driving strength. In the steady state driving regin e the critical value of the ratio of the parameters is always increased, and two optical potential depths at the phase transition for the driven system are always higher than without driving. Therefore, this e ect opens the possibility creating a super uid state from a initially M ott insulator state. The critical values of the driven system depend on the parameters of the external eld (the Rabi frequency and the detuning from resonance); in particular, the quantum phase transition can be induced by varying only the detuning with the xed eld am plitude. We can tune the critical values of the quantum phase transition to a given value. Our proposal of manipulating a quantum phase transition can be applied to solid state system s. For example, in a quantum -Hallsystem the resonant driving may be a novel way of modi cation a quantum phase transition besides using the magnetic eld which controls the transition between di erent quantized Hallphases.

W e consider a two - com ponent $B \circ = E$ instein condensate in an optical lattice in the presence of an additional external electrom agnetic eld which couples the two com ponents (the di erent internal states). The energy eigenstates are B loch states, and an appropriate superposition of B loch states yields a set of W annier functions which are localized on the individual lattice sites. An external eld couples the two states a and b, and an atom is always

3

in the superposition of these states. The system is driven by a two - photon R am an pulse, the strength of which we characterize by the R abi frequency $_{\rm R}$. We will consider as the two distinct internal states a and b coupled by the external time - dependent eld two di erent vibrational states in the W annier basis, where the initial state a is the lowest vibrational state of the harm onic oscillator with n = 0 and the state b is the excited vibrational state with $n \in 0$. Depending on the relative strength of the atom - atom interaction, the system may lie in the Rabi regime or in the Josephson regime. The equations of motion of a strongly (the Rabi regime) driven [7,8] two - component BEC resemble the Bloch equations describing a driven two - level system if the external driving eld h $_{\rm R}$ is much larger than the di erence in the two-body interaction (the "charging" [9] energy E_{c} which is proportional to $U_a + U_b = 2U_{ab}$). For the two -body interaction, we use a contact potential, $V_1(x x^0) = U_1(x x^0)$, here fl = a, bg; the coupling constants, U_1 , are expressed in term s of the s-wave scattering lengths by $U_1 = \frac{4}{m} \frac{h^2 a_1}{m}$, where a_a and a_b are the scattering lengths for collisions between two atoms in states a and b, respectively, while a_{ab} is the scattering length for collisions between atom s in di erent internal states. The driving eld is a spatially uniform eld of the two - photon pulse of R am an type with the wavelengths much larger than the dimensions of a BEC. The external resonant eld excites the higher internal state, while, the energies involved in the one channel dynam ics to be sm all com pared to the excitation energies to the higher internal state. In this case of the strong driving we can solve the problem in two steps. The rst is taking account only of the strong external eld $_{\rm B}$; in the result of this step we have the B loch equations solution ' , where the functions $'_1$ are determined by the parameters of the external eld (the Rabi frequency $_R$ and the detuning from resonance). This Rabi solution of the Bloch equations obey the condition $\mathbf{j}_{a}\mathbf{j}^{2} + \mathbf{j}_{b}\mathbf{j}^{2} = 1$. And, the wave function of a two - level system is

$$= '_{a a} + '_{b b}$$
: (1)

In this two - state H ilbert space the system is described by the e ective H am iltonian H $_{eff}$. And, a second step is taking account of the remaining term s of the H am iltonian. A s a result, using Eq.(1) we can write the elective H am iltonian in the second -quantization notations for the boson eld operators

$$H_{eff} = {}^{Z} d^{3}x \left[{}^{y}_{a}(x;t) \left({}^{h^{2}r^{2}}_{2m} + V_{0}(x) + {}^{h}_{2} \right) {}_{a}(x;t)'{}^{2}_{a} + {}^{y}_{b}(x;t) \left({}^{h^{2}r^{2}}_{2m} + V_{0}(x) {}^{h}_{2} \right) {}_{b}(x;t)'{}^{2}_{b} + {}^{Z}_{a}^{3}xd^{3}x^{0} \left[{}^{y}_{a}(x;t) {}^{y}_{a}(x^{0};t)V_{a}(x {}^{x}{}^{0}) {}_{a}(x^{0};t) {}_{a}(x;t)'{}^{4}_{a} + {}^{y}_{b}(x;t) {}^{y}_{b}(x^{0};t)V_{b}(x {}^{x}{}^{0}) {}_{b}(x^{0};t) {}_{b}(x;t)'{}^{4}_{b} + 2 {}^{y}_{a}(x;t) {}^{y}_{b}(x^{0};t)V_{ab}(x {}^{x}{}^{0}) {}_{b}(x^{0};t) {}_{a}(x;t)'{}^{2}_{a}'{}^{2}_{b} \right];$$

$$(2)$$

where $V_0(x)$ is the optical lattice potential, usually, the optical lattice potential has the form $V_0(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{P} V_{j0} \sin^2 kx_j$ with wave vectors $k = \frac{2}{-}$ and the wavelength of the laser beam s. The atom ic eld operators have been written in the rotating wave approximation and, consequently, in Eq.(2) there appears a detuning, $= !_0 \cdot !_d$, where $h!_0$ is the energy di erence between the two internal states, and $!_d = !_L \cdot !_s$ is the frequency of the Ram an drive. Following Ref. [6] the boson eld operators can be represent by an expansion in the W annier basis, which is a sum over the lattice i, $a(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{P} a_i w_0 (x x_i); b(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{P} b_i w_n (x \cdot x_i);$ where the operators a_i and b_i are the operators on lattice site i; the annihilation and creation operators a_i and a_i^+ (b_i and b_i^+) obey the canonical commutation relations $[a_i; a_i^+] = i_j ([b_i; b_j^+]] = i_j)$.

As a result, in the tight -binding approximation a two -band Bose -Hubbard Ham iltonian of a strongly resonant driven BEC is

$$H_{BH} = t_{aa} X_{ijj} a_{j}^{y} a_{j}^{2} t_{ab} X_{jj} b_{j}^{y} b_{j}^{2} b_{j}^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{U_{aa}}{2} X_{i} a_{j}^{y} a_{i} (a_{j}^{y} a_{i} 1)'_{a}^{4} + \frac{U_{bb}}{2} X_{i} b_{j}^{y} b_{i} (b_{j}^{y} b_{i} 1)'_{b}^{4} + U_{ab} X_{i} a_{j}^{y} a_{i} b_{j}^{y} b_{i}^{2} (b_{j}^{y} b_{i} 1)'_{b}^{4} + U_{ab} X_{i} a_{j}^{y} a_{i} b_{j}^{y} b_{i}^{2} (b_{j}^{2} b_{i} b_{j} b_{j} b_{j}^{2} b_{j} b_{j} b_{j}^{2} b_{j}^{2}$$

where the sum in the two terms runs over all nearest neighbors denoted by $\langle i; j \rangle$. The term involving the chemical potential is added as the calculation is in the grand – canonical ensemble at temperature T = 0. The rst and second sum measure the boson kinetic energy [10], and the parameter t_{11} is the hopping matrix element for channel 1 between neighboring lattice sites i; j; the parameters U_{aa} ; U_{bb} ; U_{ab} characterize the strength of the on - site interaction of two atoms on the lattice site i. These parameters are dened as

$$t_{aa} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ d^{3}xw_{0} (x & x_{i}) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + V_{0} (x)]w_{0} (x & x_{j}); \\ t_{bb} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ d^{3}xw_{n} (x & x_{j}) \begin{bmatrix} \frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + V_{0} (x)]w_{n} (x & x_{j}); \\ \frac{h^{2}}{2m}r^{2} + V_{0} (x)]w_{n} (x & x_{j}); \\ U_{aa} = U_{a}^{S} \begin{bmatrix} d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{bb} = U_{b}^{S} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} d^{3}xjw_{n} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} = U_{ab}^{S} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{bb} = U_{b}^{S} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{bb} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}; U_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} U_{ab}^{S} \\ d^{3}xjw_{0} (x) j^{4}$$

The parameters t_{00} and U_{00} of the one-band Bose-Hubbard model depend on the potential depth V_o , and they were calculated analytically in Ref.[11] in the tight-binding lim it in terms of the microscopic parameters of the atoms in the optical lattice using the approximation [11] the W annier states by the harm onic oscillator wave function w_n (x x_i) of number n.

In order to describe the zero -tem perature quantum phase transition from the super uid to the M ott insulator phase analytically, it is neccessary to m ake som e appropriatem ean - eld approximation in using the H am iltonian (Eq.(3)). A mean - eld theory, developed in [11], constructs a consistent mean - eld theory by substituting $a_i^y a_j = ha_i^y i a_j + a_i^y ha_j i$ $ha_i^y i ha_j i$; where $ha_i^y i = ha_i i$ is the expectation value on site i. Following this theory [11], which exploited the usual Landau procedure for a second - order phase transition, we obtain the critical value of the ratio of the on - site interaction and hopping param eters

$$\frac{(U_{11})}{t_{11}} t_{11}^{(cr)} t_{1}^{2} = 2d(2g + 1 + (2g + 1)^{2});$$
(5)

where the number of nearest neighbors 2d;d is the number of dimensions, g is the integer number of particles at each site i. In these two equations the functions $'_1$ coupled by the normalization condition. For a single channel (one - component model) $'_0$ 1 and these equations are reduced to the well - known result for the critical value [11]. Note that the chemical potential $_c$ at the critical point is calculated also by the cross - interaction U_{ab} between the channels.

Let us rst consider the time -dependent regime of the R abioscilations for zero detuning, = 0, in this case we have the time -dependent functions $'_1(t)$. In this regime the Eqs.(5)

are valid if the Rabi oscillations period is larger than the nonequilibrium dynam ical times (the characteristic time scales are the time of establishing of the SF state, the restoration time of the phase coherence or the dephasing time). The nonequilibrium dynamic time for trapped bosonic atom s in an optical lattice potential was considered in Ref. [12] and was shown that the characteristic time of the dynam ical restoration of the phase coherence in the domain of a quantum phase transition is the integer of the order of 10 multiplied by a Josephson time h=t. The initial phase of the BEC is M I phase for $V_0 = V_0^{cr}$, where V_0^{cr} is the optical potential depth at the SF -M I transition without driving. The functions $'_{1}(t)$ determ ine the population $_1$ of the states 1, which oscillate as \cos^2 _Rt for channel a and \sin^2 _Rt for channel b. A coordingly, during the period 2 = _R of the Rabi oscillations the transition from MI to SF phases and the reverse transition occur. Really, for the channel a at the point $_1$ there is the M I-SF transition, at $(_1)$ - the opposite SF - M I transition. For times of the Rabioscillations period from t = 0 until $t_1 = 1 = R$ and from (1) until the channel a is in the M I phase, from $_1$ until ($_1$) there is the SF phase. Correspondingly, for the channel b with the opposite time - dependence of population at $_2$ there are the SF -M I transition, at ($_2$) the M I – SF transition. In the channel b, until $t_2 = _2 = _R$ and from ($_2$) until is in the SF phase, from $_2$ until ($_2$), there is the M I phase. Thus, both channels have the super uid -M ott insulator transition twice in each half-Rabiperiod. The frequency of this oscillating super uidity is determined by the driving strength. The time - averaged population N dr of SF phase of a driven BEC, which without driving is in the M I phase, is

$$\frac{N_{dr}(V_0)}{N_0} = \frac{+2[_2(V_0)] + 2[_2(V_0)] + 2[_2(V_0)] + 2[_2(V_0)]}{2};$$
(6)

where N $_0$ is the concentration of a nondriven BEC in the SF phase for V $_0$ V $_0^{\rm cr}$. Here

where $U_{00}(V_0) = \frac{U_{00}(V_0^{cr})}{U_{00}(V_0)}$; $t_{00}(V_0) = \frac{t_{00}(V_0^{cr})}{t_{00}(V_0)}$; the ratios, which are calculated in Eqs.(10) below for n = 1, are $U_{00}^{nn} = \frac{U_{nn}}{U_{00}}$; $t_{00}^{nn} = \frac{t_{nn}}{t_{00}}$: If $\frac{U_{00}(V_0)}{t_{00}(V_0)} = \frac{U_{00}}{t_{00}}$ 1 then $_2(V_0) = =2$.

In the steady state driving regin e every atom is in the stationary superposition of two states, which populations are determined by the Rabi frequency and the detuning from resonance. We have two critical values of the transition parameters of the driven system $(U_{11}=t_{11})_{dr}^{(cr)}$, fl= a, b g. The dependence $U_{11}(V_0)$; $t_{11}(V_0)$ for every channel determines two optical potential depths $V_{0(dr)}^{(a)cr}$ and $V_{0(dr)}^{(b)cr}$ at a phase transition, correspondingly. The critical value of parameter of a phase transition for the driven system $(U_{11}=t_{11})_{dr}^{(cr)}$ is always higher than without driving $(U_{11}=t_{11})_{0}^{(cr)}$. For the channel a

$$\frac{(U_{00}=t_{00})_{0}^{(cr)}}{(U_{00}=t_{00})_{dr}^{(cr)}} = 1 \frac{1}{2} [1 + (---_{R})^{2}]^{1} :$$
(8)

Therefore, there are an interval of the M I phase, in which the driving switches the M I phase to the SF phase. In this band from $(U_{00}=t_{00})_0^{(cr)}$ to $2(U_{00}=t_{00})_0^{(cr)}$, by varying the parameter $=_R$ of the external driving, we can tune the critical value to a given value. With increasing the depth of the optical potential, the on-site interaction increases and the tunneling matrix element is reduced. A coordingly, with increasing the critical value of the transition parameter, the optical potential depth $V_{0(dr)}^{(0)cr}$ at a phase transition always increases.

For the channel b the critical value is always more than for the channel a

$$\frac{(U_{nn} = t_{nn})_{dr}^{(cr)}}{(U_{00} = t_{00})_{dr}^{(cr)}} = 2[1 + (-)^{2}] \quad 1:$$
(9)

We obtain for n = 1 and in the tight - binding limit, , the ratio

$$\frac{U_{11}}{U_{00}} \, \prime \, \frac{3}{8} H_6 (0); \frac{t_{11}}{t_{00}} \, \prime \, (\frac{1}{2})^2:$$
(10)

Here = $q \frac{1}{2m_{j}}$ is the size of the ground – state oscillator wave function determined by the atom ic mass m and the oscillation frequency in the wells (see, for example [6]) $_{j} = q \frac{1}{4E_{R}V_{jo}} = h, E_{R}$ is the recoil energy, H₆(x) is Hermite polynomial. In general, the ratio $U_{nn} = U_{00}$ contains sum over the Hermite polynomials H_n(0) till 4n + 2, and t_{nn} = t₀₀ ' (=2)²ⁿ, For all n there are the inequalities t_{nn} t₀₀ and U_{nn} U₀₀, this increase t_{nn} and U_{nn} is due to oscillations of the wave function for n \neq 0. For example, t₁₁=t₀₀ 64 and U₁₁=U₀₀ 45 for sodium [13] where $E_{R} = h$ 2 10⁴H z for a red detuned laser with ' 10³nm and V_0 ' $16E_R$. A lthough, the on - site interaction on the higher channel U_{nn} increases with the number n, the tunneling matrix element t_{nn} increases faster, and we can say that the higher channel n is "more super uid". The ratio $U_{nn}=t_{nn}$ increases also with increasing the optical potential depth V_0 , and because there are the inequalities $U_{nn}=t_{nn}$ $U_{00}=t_{00}$ for the given V_0 , then Eq.(9) yields a relationship $V_{0 (dr)}^{(b)cr}$ $V_{0 (dr)}^{(0)cr}$.

In sum mary, the resonant strong driving by a spatially uniform eld modify the SF -M I transition in a BEC in an optical lattice by the mechanism of the turning on of the second dhannel. Therefore, there are the modi cations of the main dhannel and the second dhannel. As a result, the transition on each dhannel is controlled by the external eld. In the time -dependent driving regime the initial M I phase switches over to the SF phase and the SF phase to the M I phase during the R abi oscillations period. The frequency of the oscillating super uidity is determined by the driving strength. In the steady state driving regime, the critical values of the ratio of the on -site interaction and the atom is tunneling rate depend on the external eld parameters (the strength and the detuning from resonance). Two critical values of the driven system and, accordingly, two optical potential depths at the phase transition are always more than without driving. The proposed mechanism of manipulating and controlling Bose - E instein condensates makes it possible to tune the critical value of parameters of the quantum phase transition to a given value.

I thank H.E.Stanley for encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] M.H.Anderson et al, Science 269, 198 (1995).
- [2] C.C.Bradley et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1687 (1995).
- [3] K.B.Davis et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [4] C.Orzelet al, Science 291, 2386 (2001).
- [5] M.Greiner et al., Nature (London) 415, 39 (2002).
- [6] D. Jaksch et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [7] J.W illiam set al, Phys. Rev. A 59, R31 (1999).
- [8]G.M.Genkin, Phys. Rev. A 63, 025602 (2001).
- [9] S.Kohler and F.Sols, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 060403 (2002).
- [10] Note that the term s t_{0n} and t_{n0} are absent because the hopping matrix element t_{0n} from the initial lowest vibrational state to the ultimate state with $n \in 0$ is identically equal to zero for T = 0. The element t_{n0} is much smaller than the element t_{nn} since the tunneling with emission the excitation contains the additional small parameter.
- [11] D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601 (2001).
- [12] A. Polkovnikov, S. Sachdev, and S.M. Girvin, Phys. Rev. A 66, 053607 (2001).
- [13] D.M. Stam per-Kum et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2027 (1998).