T.Domanski

Institute of Physics, M. Curie Sklodowska University, 20-031 Lublin, Poland

W e investigate evolution of the quantum coherence in the ultracold m ixture of ferm ionic atom s and bosonic dim er m olecules. Interactions are there experim entally controlled via tuning the external magnetic eld. Consequently, the ferm ionic atom s and their bosonic counterparts can be driven to a behavior resembling the usual BCS to BEC crossover. We analyze in some detail how this quantum coherence evolves with respect to time upon a smooth and abrupt sweep across the Feshbach resonance inducing the atom -m olecule quantum uctuations.

PACS num bers: 74.20 M n,03.75 K k, 03.75.Ss

#### ATOM SUPERFLUID ITY

The recent experim ental techniques for trapping and cooling of the atom ic vapors enabled exploration of the extremely low temperature regions where quantum effects play a crucial role. A n exam ple can be the Bose E instein condensation (BEC) produced out of the bosonic atom s in alkali m etals, polarized hydrogen, etc. P hase transition to the BEC state is there triggered purely by the quantum statistical requirements which lead to m acroscopic occupancy of the lowest energy level and can occur even in absence of any interactions. Recent activities in the eld of ultracold atom ic system s focus on application of sim ilar techniques to ferm ionic atom s like <sup>6</sup>Lior<sup>40</sup>K (besides even num ber of nucleons they consist of odd number of electrons). At ultralow temperatures such quantum e ects like the Pauli principle play considerable role, but eventual quantum phase transitions would be allowed only if ferm ionic atoms get correlated via interactions.

Interactions between trapped atom s are routinely induced by applying the magnetic eld to ferm ion atom s prepared in several (two or more) hyper ne con gurations. From elementary considerations [1] it turns out that the involved hyper ne states experience the elective scattering described by a potential whose magnitude and sign depend on the applied eld B. In particular, the various (so called) Feshbach resonances can take place. On this basis there was proposed a mechanism of the resonance super uidity [2] with a transition occurring near the Ferm i temperature  $T_c$   $T_F$ . Besides the isotropic phase there has already been observed also the exotic p-wave super uidity [3, 4].

A unique possibility of controlling the e ective interactions gives a chance for the experim ental realization of the BCS to BEC crossover. The BCS lim it corresponds to a case of weakly attracting ferm ion atom s which get coupled into the large Cooper pairs. In opposite lim it, the tightly bound diatom ic m olecules are formed which ultim ately can undergo transition to the BEC. Experim entalists are able to switch between these lim its in a controllable m anner and change of the interactions can be perform ed either adiabatically (by slow ly varying the eld) [5] or in a non-adiabatic way (via the sudden sweep) [6].

In this short paper we investigate the quantum uctuations induced by the time-dependent change of the interactions. We focus on a situation when the magnetic eld is detuned from the resonant value  $B_0$  towards the far BCS regime at higher eld  $B > B_0$ . We consider two different processes: the sm ooth and the sudden sw itching. The fast sweep has been discussed in the literature but with some am biguous conclusions concerning evolution of the order parameters with respect to time [7, 8, 9]. From our analysis we nd that both parameters do oscillate in a damped way.

### HEISENBERG EQUATIONS

In a close proximity to the Feshbach resonance (i.e. when  $B = B_0$ ) the ultracold ferm ion atom s coexist and interact with the diatom ic molecules. On a microscopic basis this situation can be described in terms of the two component boson ferm ion H am iltonian [2]

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ m_{k}^{F} & p_{k}^{Y} c_{k} + m_{q}^{B} + 2 & (B) & 2 & b_{q}^{Y} b_{q} \\ k_{k}^{K} & q \\ + \frac{g}{N} & X & b_{q}^{Y} c_{q \ k \#} c_{k}^{W} + c_{k}^{Y} c_{q \ k \#}^{Y} b_{q} & (1) \end{pmatrix}$$

which has been known and studied in the solid state physics by J. Ranninger and coworkers [10] as a phenom enological model for the high tem perature superconductivity. In the present context (1) describes the atom s in two hyper ne states denoted symbolically by =" and #. The second quantization operators  $c_k^{(y)}$ ,  $b_d^{(y)}$  correspond to ferm ion atom s with energy  $m_k^B = h^2k^2=2m$  and to diatom ic molecules with energy  $m_k^B = h^2k^2=2(2m)$ . The e ect of external magnetic eld is included via the detuning parameter which shifts the boson energies and hence a ects e ciency of the boson-ferm ion coupling g [11]. As usually is the common chemi-cal potential and we use the grand canonical ensemble

to ensure the conservation of the total particle number  $c_k$ ;  $c_k^y c_k + 2 - c_q b_q^y b_q$ .

W e are interested here in studying the time dependent evolution of ferm ion and boson occupancies together with the corresponding order parameters. For this purpose we derive the Heisenberg equations of motion which for the Ham iltonian (1) are given by

$$i\frac{\partial C_{q k \#} Q_{k}"}{\partial t} = (k + q k) C_{q k \#} Q_{k}" + gb_{q}$$
(2)

$$g \qquad b_{q^0} \quad c_{q^0 \ k \#}^* c_{q \ k \#} + c_{k+q^0 \ q}^* c_k ";$$

$$i^{X} \quad \frac{\varrho c_{k}^{y} \quad Q_{k}}{\varrho t} = 2g^{X} \quad b_{q} c_{k}^{y} \quad c_{q \quad k \#}^{y} \quad b_{q}^{y} c_{q \quad k \#} \quad b_{q}^{y} c_{q \quad k \#} Q_{k} \quad ; \quad (3)$$

...

$$i\frac{\partial b_{q}}{\partial t} = E_{q}b_{q} + g \quad c_{q \ k} + c_{k}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\frac{(b_{q}^{b}b_{q})}{(b_{q})} = g \qquad b_{q}^{V}c_{q \ k} + Q_{k} = b_{q}c_{k}^{V}c_{q \ k} + ; \quad (5)$$

where  $_{k} = \mathbf{w}_{k}^{F}$ ,  $E_{q} = \mathbf{w}^{B} + 2$  (B) 2 and we set h = 1. In general equations (2-5) are not solvable exactly. In the next section we brie y discuss an approximate m ethod which shall be valid for the ground state and for very low tem peratures.

## THE SINGLE MODE APPROACH

For temperatures close to the absolute zero we can neglect the excited (nite momentum) boson states. It is su cient to restrict attention to the q = 0 boson level because it is macroscopically occupied. In such single mode approach [7, 8] the initial H am iltonian (1) reduces to

$$H = \begin{cases} X & X \\ {}_{k}C_{k}^{V}C_{k} + E_{0}b_{0}^{V}b_{0} \\ {}_{k}; & q \\ + \frac{g}{N} & X \\ {}_{k}b_{0}^{V}C_{k\#}C_{k}^{*} + C_{k}^{V}C_{k\#}^{V}b_{0} : (6) \end{cases}$$

Following Anderson [12] we introduce the pseudospin notation

$$\begin{array}{c} \stackrel{+}{_{k}} & C_{k\#}Q_{k}"; \\ _{k} & C_{k}^{y}"C_{k\#}^{y}; \\ \stackrel{z}{_{k}} & 1 & C_{k}^{y}Q_{k}" & C_{k\#}^{y}Q_{k} \end{array}$$

such that  $_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ k \end{pmatrix}$  i  $_{k}^{y}$  and  $_{k}^{z} = _{k}^{+}$ ;  $_{k}$  are the usual Pauli operators. In the single mode approach we rewrite the Heisenberg equations (2-5) using the pseudospin notation

$$i\frac{\theta_{k}^{+}}{\theta t} = 2_{k} + gb_{0} +$$

$$i\frac{d^{2}}{dt} = 2g b_{0}^{v} + b_{0} + c_{k}; \qquad (8)$$

$$i\frac{d D_0}{d t} = E_0 b_0 + g \overset{A}{\underset{k}{\overset{+}{\atop}}} ; \qquad (9)$$

$$i\frac{ab_{0}^{y}b_{0}}{at} = g b_{0}^{y} b_{0}^{+} b_{0}^{k} b_{0}^{k}$$
(10)

which are identical with expressions (5) and (6) in the Ref. [8]. One next replaces the boson operators by their time-dependent expectation values  $b(t) = hb_0 i$  and  $b(t) = hb_0^y i$ .

In the stationary case when all parameters in (6) are time independent we can derive various expressions for the static expectation values [10]. Ham iltonian (6) has form ally the following structure

$$H = \overset{X}{\underset{k}{\text{ fr}}}_{k} + \text{const}; \quad (11)$$

so the pseudospin  $\sim_k$  behaves as though a ected by a ctitious magnetic eld  $\tilde{h}_k$  = (  $^{0}; ^{00}; _k$ ) where  $^{0}+i \,^{00}$  ghb\_0 i. Following Anderson [12] we can solve this problem (11) for arbitrary temperature. In analogy to the W eiss theory of ferrom agnetism we obtain that a magnitude of the pseudospin expectation value is  $j_{T^{\prime}k}$  ij = tgh  $\frac{p}{k} + j \, j^2 = 2k_B \, T$ . Determining the angle between the z and xy components of the vector  $\tilde{h}_k$  we nally arrive at the stationary equations [10]

$$h_{k}^{+}i = hc_{k\#Q_{k}"i} \left( p_{\frac{2}{k}+jj^{2}} \right)$$
$$= \frac{g}{2^{p}\frac{2}{k}+jj^{2}} tgh \left( \frac{p_{\frac{2}{k}+jj^{2}}}{2k_{B}T} \right) (12)$$

and

$$h_{k}^{z}i = 1 \qquad h_{k}^{y} o_{k} i$$

$$= p \frac{k}{\frac{k}{k} + j j^{2}} tgh \left( \frac{p \frac{k}{k} + j j^{2}}{2k_{B}T} \right) : (13)$$

# FLUCTUATIONS OF THE ORDER PARAM ETERS

In the symmetry broken state (for  $T < T_c$ ) the two component model (1) is characterized by two order parameters: b(t) and apother one of the ferm ion subsystem de ned as  $(T) = \int_{k} hc_{k\#} q_{k"} i$ . These quantities are complex. In the stationary case they are proportional to each other as can be seen from the equation (12). How – ever, this relation is no longer valid when the H am iltonian (6) depends on time. Evolution of the order parameters b(t) and (t) with respect to time must be determined by solving the H eisenberg equations (7-8) subject to some boundary conditions.




FIG.1: Variation of the ferm ion j (t) jand boson  $j_0(t)$  jorder parameters caused by the detuning from the Feshbach resonance. Initially, for t< 0, the system is in the stationary state with (t< 0) = where the magnitudes of both order parameters are static. Upon lifting the molecule level there appear the dam ped oscillations. In the left h.s. panel we illustrate the case of an abrupt detuning (t> 0) = 0.1g and in the right h.s. a sm ooth detuning (t) / t.

W e analyze here such dynam ics assum ing that initially, for t 0, the mixed atom molecule system is exactly on the Fesbach resonance = . We also assume that the boson order parameter is real and b(t 0) = 1 so that the ferm ion order parameter is real too. Value of (t 0) was determined from the equation (12). For simplicity we focus on the ground state and set the boson ferm ion coupling g as a unit or all the energies appearing in our study.

For time t> 0 we change the detuning parameter in the following ways: a) via the sudden detuning as previously discussed in the Refs [7, 8, 9] and b) through gradually increasing (t) / t. A voiding any constraint solutions we solved numerically the Heisenberg equations (7,8) by m eans of the Runge-Kutta algorithm.

By increasing the boson ferm ion system is pushed to the far BCS regime. For = 0.1g at t! 1 the order parameters b(t) and (t) should reach very small values. Figure 1 shows that indeed temporal evolution occurs into such asymptotics and practically is achieved after several oscillations. In both cases the oscillations are clearly damped in agreement with the previous study by K.Burnet and coworkers [9]. However, this process of damping is sensitive on a particular prole of the time dependent detuning. This can be seen from the gure 1 and also in the next gure 2, where we plot the phase

of the boson order parameter  $b(t) = \frac{1}{2}b(t)\frac{1}{2}e^{i(t)}$ . For a smooth switching the oscillations seem not to look regular at all.




FIG.2: Evolution of the phase of the boson order parameter b(t) with respect to time t for: an abrupt detuning (left), and for a sm oothly increasing detuning (t) / t (right). Instead of the bare angle we plot the function sin = Im b(t)=p(t)j.

## SUM M ARY

We studied the dynamics of the ultracold ferm ion atoms upon the sudden and gradual detuning from the Feshbach resonance. Such situation can be experimentally realized by switching the external magnetic eld from  $B_0$  to the higher values. From the selfconsistent numerical solution of the equations of motion we nd that the order parameters start oscillating with the am plitude decaying in time. Such damped oscillations depend on the specic form in which the detuning (t) is carried out. We also remark that the quantum oscillations of the order parameters turn out to be damped even on the level of the single mode approach without taking into account scattering to the nite boson momenta.

### ACKNOW LEDGEMENT

This work is supported by the Polish Committee of Scientic Research under the grant No. 2P03B06225.

- [1] E.Timmenmans, P.Tommasini, M.Hussein and A.Kerman, Phys. Rep. 315, 199 (1999).
- [2] M. Holland, SJJM F. Kokkelmans, M L. Chiofalo and R. Walser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 120406 (2001).
- [3] C.Ticknor, CA.Regal, D.S.Jin, and JL.Bohn, Phys. Rev.A 69, 042712 (2004).
- [4] E.Gubankova, E.G.M ishchenko, and F.W ilczek, Phys. Rev.Lett. 94, 110404 (2005);
  - Y.Ohashi, Phys.Rev.Lett.94,050403 (2005).
- [5] M. Greiner, C A. Regal, and D S. Jin, Nature 426, 537 (2003).
- [6] M.Holland and SJJM F.Kokkelmans, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 180401 (2002).
- [7] A.V. Andreev, V. Gurarie, and L. Radzihovsky, Phys. Rev.Lett. 93, 130402 (2004).
- [8] R A. Barankov and L S. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 130403 (2004).
- [9] M.H. Szymanska, B.D. Simons, and K. Burnet, condmat/0412454.

[10] J.Ranninger and S.Robaszkiewicz, Physica B 135, 468 (1985); S.Robaszkiewicz, R.Micnas and J.Ranninger, Phys.Rev.B 36, 180 (1987); R.Micnas, J.Ranninger, and S.Robaszkiewicz, Rev.Mod.Phys. 62, 113 (1990); J.Ranninger, J.M.Robin, and M.Eschrig, Phys.Rev. Lett. 74, 4027 (1995); T.Domanski and J.Ranninger, Phys.Rev.B 63, 134505 (2001); M.Cuoco and J.Ranninger, Phys.Rev.B 70, 104509 (2004).

- [11] T.Dom anski, Phys.Rev.A 68, 013603 (2003).
- [12] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. 112, 1900 (1958).