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#### Abstract

Experim ental veri cation of the theoretical predictions $m$ ade by $A \mathbb{B}$ ert $E$ instein in his paper, published in 1905, on the $m$ olecular $m$ echanism $s$ of $B$ rownian $m$ otion established the existence of atom $s$. In the last 100 years discoveries of $m$ any facets of the ubiquitous B rownian m otion has revolutionized our fundam ental understanding of the role of therm al uctuations in the exotic structures and com plex dynam ics exhibited by soft $m$ atter like, for exam ple, colloids, gels, etc. T he dom ain of B row nian $m$ otion transcends the traditional disciplinary boundaries of physics and has becom e an area ofm ulti-disciplinary research. B rownian motion nds applications also in earth and environm ental sciences as w ell as life sciences. N ature exploits B row $n$ ian m otion for running m any dynam icalprocesses that are crucial for sustaining life. In the rst one-third of th is article I present a brief historical survey of the initial period, including works of B rown and E instein. In the next one-third I introduce the $m$ ain concepts and the essential theoretical techniques used for studying translational as well as rotationalB row nian $m$ otions and the e ects of tim e-independent potentials. In the last one-third of this article I discuss som e contem porary problem $s$ on B row nian m otion in tim e-dependent potentials, nam ely, stochastic resonance and B rownian ratchet, tw o of the hottest topics in this area of interdisciplinary research.


PACS num bers: 87.10.+e, 82.35.P q, 87.15 R n

## I. INTRODUCTION

The U nited $N$ ations has declared the year 2005 as the $\backslash W$ orld Year of $P$ hysics" to com $m$ em orate the publication of the three papers of A lbert E instein in 1905 on (i) special theory of relativity, (ii) photoelectric e ect and (iii) B row nian $m$ otion [1]I]. These three papers not only revolutionized physics but also provided keys to open new frontiers in other branches of science and alm ost all areas ofm odem technology. In one of these three papers [k], entitled $\backslash \mathrm{O} n$ the $m$ ovem ent of sm allparticles suspended in a stationary liquid dem anded by the $m$ olecular kinetic theory of heat", $E$ instein developed a quantitative theory of $B$ row nian $m$ otion assum ing an underlying $m$ olecular $m$ echanism. P opular science w riters have w ritten very little on th is revolutionary contribution of $E$ instein; $m$ ost of the $m$ edia attention $w$ as attracted by his theory of relativity although he received the N obel P rize for his theory of photoelectric e ect which strengthened the foundation of quantum theory laid dow $n$ som ew hat earlier by $M$ ax $P$ lanck. Is $B$ row nian $m$ otion, in any sense, less im portant than its tw o m ore glam ourous cousins, nam ely relativity and quantum phenom ena?

B efore answ ering this question I would like to draw your attention to the fact that each of the three revolutionary papers published by E instein in 1905 is concemed w ith som e extrem e conditions characterized by a natural constant. The paper on relativity w as concemed w ith extrem ely fastm oving particles whose speed is com parable to that of light in vacuuum (usually denoted by the sym bolc). H is paper on the photoelectric e ect dealt w ith quantum phenom ena that dom inate physics of extrem ely sm all particles whose action, having a dim ension $M L^{2} T{ }^{1}$ ], is com parable to the P lanck's contant (usually denoted by the sym bolh). Sim ilarly, his paper on Brow nian $m$ otion is relevant for the structures of extrem ely com plex system $s$ w here the energies associatd with non-covalent bonds are com parable to the typical therm alenergy $k_{B} T, k_{B}$ being the Boltzm ann constant.

B ased on the progress of science and technology over the last 100 years we can assert that B row nian m otion plays im portant role not only in a w ide variety of system s studied w thin the traditionaldisciplinary boundaries of physical sciences but also in system s that are sub jects of investigation in earth and environm ental sciences, life sciences as well as in engineering and technology. Som e exam ples of these system $s$ and phenom ena w ill be given in this article. $H$ ow ever the greatest im portance ofE instein's theory ofB row nian $m$ otion lies in the fact that experim entalveri cation of his theory silenced all skeptics who did not believe in the existence of atom s .

[^0]D idn't people believe in the existence of atom still 1905? W ell, G reek philosophers like, for exam ple, Dem ocritus and Leucippus assum ed discrete constituents of $m$ atter, John $D$ alton postulated the existence of atom $s$ and, by the end of the nineteenth century a m olecular kinetic theory of gases w as developed by C lausius, M axw elland B oltzm ann. Yet, the existence of atom $s$ and $m$ olecules was not universally accepted. For exam ple, physicist-philosopher E mst $M$ ach believed that atom s have only a didactic utility, i.e., they are usefiul only in deriving experim entally observable results while they them selves are purely ctituous.
$T$ he continuing debate of that period regarding the existence of atom $s$ has been beautifilly sum $m$ arized in the follow ing words by Jacob B mow ski in his A scent of $M$ an $\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]$ : $\backslash W$ ho could think that, only in 1900, peoples were battling, onem ight say to the death, over the issue whether atom s are realor not. T he great philosopher E mst M ach in V ienna said, NO. The great chem ist $W$ ithelm $O$ stwald said, NO. A nd yet one $m$ an, at that critical tum of the century, stood up for the reality of atom son fundam entalgrounds of theory. Hewas Ludw ig Boltzm ann... The ascent of $m$ an teetered on a ne intellectual balance at that point, because had the anti-atom ic doctrines then really w on the day, our advance would certainly have been set back by decades, and perhaps a hundred years."
$T$ herefore, onem ust not underestim ate the im portance ofE instein'spaper in 1905 on the theory ofB row nian $m$ otion as it provided a testing ground for the validity of the $m$ olecular kinetic theory. It is an irony of fate that, just when atom ic doctrine was on the verge of intellectual victory, Ludw ig Boltzm ann felt defeated and com m itted suicide in 1906.

This article is organized as follow s: in section II we consider the period before E instein from a historical perspective. In III we study critically E insteins original work, followed by the most im portant contributions of som e of his contem poraries like Sm oluchow ski, Langevin and others. In his original paper of 1905 E instein was concemed $w$ th the translationalm otion of the center of $m$ asses of the $B$ row nian particles. Subsequently, rotational B row nian m otions of rigid particles as well as B row nian shape uctuations of deform able bodies have been studied extensively; som e typical exam ples of these phenom ena are given in section '근'. M athem atical techniques developed for dealing $w$ ith B row nian $m$ otion found applications in the noise-driven dynam ical phenom ena involving $m$ etastable, bistable and multistable states; these include phenom ena as diverse as chem ical reactions, nucleation of liquid droplets in supersaturated vapour, and so on. The general theory of Brownian m otion in static (i.e., tim e-independent) extemal potentials, which is applicable to som e of these phem om en is brie y discussed in section 'V.'. Tw o of the hottest topics in the area of $B$ row nian $m$ otion, over the last tw o decades, are stochastic resonance and $B$ row nian ratchet; these tw o phenom ena, which involved B row nian m otion in tim e-dependent potentials, are discussed in section $\ddagger$ together w ith exam ples from not only physical and chem ical sciences but also biological sciences as w ell as earth and environm ental sciences. This article ends $w$ ith a brief sum $m$ ary and $m$ ain conclusions given in $V$

## II. PERIOD BEFORE EINSTEIN

In 1828 R obert B rown, a fam ous nineteenth century B otanist, published \a brief account of the m icroscopical observations $m$ ade in the $m$ onths of June, July and A ugust, 1827 on the particles contained in the pollen of plants". Could the incessant random $m$ otion of the particles that he observed under his $m$ icroscope be a consequence of the fact that the pollens were collected from living plants? N aturally, he \w as led next to inquire whether this property continued after the death of the plant, and for what length of tim e it was retained." He repeated his experim ents with particles derived not only from dead plants but also from \rocks of all ages,...a fragm ent of the Sphinx...volcan ic ashes, and $m$ eteorites from various localities". From these experim ents he concluded, lextrem ely m inute particles of solid $m$ atter,w hether obtained from organic or inorganic substances, when suspended in pure water, or in som e other aqueous uids, exhibit m otions for which I am unable to account...".

By the tim e he com pleted these investigations, he no longer believed the random $m$ otions to be signatures of life. Follow ing B row n's w ork, severalother investigators studied B row nian m otion in further detail. A ll these investigations helped in narrow ing down the plausible cause( $s$ ) of the incessant $m$ otion of the $B$ rownian particles. For exam ple, tem perature gradients, capillary actions, convection currents, etc. could be ruled out.

In the second half of the nineteenth century, G iovanni C antoni, Joseph D elsaulx and Ignace C arbonelle independently speculated that the random $m$ otion of the $B$ rownian particles $w$ as caused by collisions $w$ ith the $m$ olecules of the liquid. H ow ever, C arl von N ageli and W illiam Ram sey argued against this possibility. T heir argum ents were based on the assum ption that the particle su ered no collision along a linear segm ent of its tra jectory except those w ith two uid particles at the two ends of the segm ent. If this scenario is true, then, it leads to two puzzles: (i) how can $m$ olecules of $w$ ater, which are so sm all com pared to the pollen grain, cause $m$ ovem ents of the latter that are large enough to be visible under an ordinary nineteenth century m icroscope?
(ii) A m olecule collides over $10^{12}$ tim es per second. On the other hand, our eyes can resolve events that are separated in tim e by $m$ ore than $1=30$ second. Therefore, if each displacem ent of the pollen grain is caused by a single collision w ith a water m olecule, then each such displacem ent would occur at tim e intervals of $10{ }^{12}$ seconds. But, then, how
do our eyes resolve these events and see them as distinct random displacem ents of the pollen grain? On the basis of these argum ents N ageli and R am sey tried to rule out the m echanism based on m olecular collisions. W e shall see how this paradox was resolved later by Sm oluchow ski, a contem porary of E instein.

D id B rown really discover the phenom enon which is nam ed after him ? No. In fact, B row n him self did not claim to have discovered it. On the contrary, he w rote \the facts ascertained respecting the $m$ otion of the particles of the pollen were never considered by $m e$ as wholly original...". B row nian motion had been observed as early as in the fteenth century by Leeuw enhoek, the inventor of optical m icroscope. B rown critically review ed the works of several of his predecessors and contem poraries on B row nian m otion. O ver the next three quarters of the nineteenth century, $m$ any investigators studied this phenom enon and speculated on the possible underlying $m$ echanism $s, m$ a jor contributors being G ouy and E xner. N evertheless, this phenom enon w as nam ed after B row $n$; this rem inds us Stiglers


## III. EINSTEIN AND THE THEORYOFBROWNIAN MOTION

For the sake of sim plicity, we shall w rite all the equations for B row nian m otion in one-dim ensional space; generalizations to higher dim ensions is quite straightforw ard.

## A. E in ste in

E instein published ve papers before 1905 伭.. A llof these ve papers were, in $K$ uhn's term inlogy, \norm alscience". H ow ever, the last three of these, which w ere attem pts to address som efundam entalquestions on the m olecular-kinetic approach to them al physics, prepared him for the \scienti c revolution" he created through his paper of 1905 on B row nian $m$ otion dem anded by the $m$ olecular kinetic theory of heat", did not even $m$ ention B row nian $m$ otion !! E instein was aw are of the possible relevance of his theory in B row nian m otion but w as cautious. H e w rote, it is possible that the m ovem ents to be discussed here are identical w ith the so-called B row nian molecular m otion; how ever, the inform ation available to $m$ e regarding the latter is so lacking in precision, that $I$ can form no judgm ent in the $m$ atter.

E instein form ulated the problem as follow s: \W e m ust assum e that the suspended particles perform an irregular $m$ ovem ent-even if a very slow one-in the liquid, on account of the $m$ olecularm ovem ent of the liquid". This is, indeed, a clearly stated assum ption regarding the $m$ echanism of the irregular $m$ ovem ent.
$T$ he $m$ ain result of $E$ instein's paper of 1905 on B rownian $m$ otion can be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ : the $m$ ean-square displacem ent $<x^{2}>$ su ered by a sphereical $B$ row nian particle, of radius $a$, in tim $e t$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{x}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{\mathrm{RT}}{3 \mathrm{Nava}_{\mathrm{av}}} \mathrm{t} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the viscosity of the uid, $R$ is the gas constant and $N$ av is the A vogadro num ber. Since $<x^{2}>$, $t$, a and are $m$ easurable quantities, the A vogadro num ber can be determ ined by using the equation ( $\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ).
$E$ instein had clear idea of the orders ofm agnitude that would $m$ ake the $m$ ovem ents visible under a $m$ icroscope. He w rote, \In this paper it w illbe show $n$ that according to the $m$ olecular-kinetic theory of heat, bodies ofm icroscopicallyvisible size suspended in a liquid $w$ ill perform $m$ ovem ents of such $m$ agnitude that they can be easily observed in a $m$ icroscope, on account of the $m$ olecularm otions of heat". Taking an explicit exam ple of a sphericalB row nian particle of radius one $m$ icron, he show ed that the root-m ean-square displacem ent w ould be of the order of a few $m$ icrons $w$ hen observed over a period of one $m$ inute.

T wo interm ediate steps of his calculation in this paper are also extrem ely im portant. $F$ irst, he obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}=\mathrm{RT} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{av}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the coe cient of viscous drag force, $D$ is the di usion constant and $T$ is the tem perature. N ote that D is a m easure of the uctuations in the positions of the Brownian particle while is a m easure of energy dissipation; therefore, the form ula $(\underline{2})$ is a special case of the $m$ ore general theorem, called uctuation-dissipation theorem, which was derived half a century later.

The second im portant result was his derivation of the di usion equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ \mathrm{P}}{@ t}=\mathrm{D} \frac{@^{2} \mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{dx}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $P(x ; t)$, the probability distribution of the positions of the Brownian particle at time $t$. A lthough di usion equation w as w idely used already in the nineteenth century in the context of continuum theories, E instein's derivation established a link betw een the random walk of a single particle and the di usion ofm any particles.

For the initial condition $P(x ; 0)=(x)$, the solution of the di usion equation ( $\widehat{W}^{\prime}$ ) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; t)=\frac{1}{[2 \quad(t)]^{1=2}} e^{x^{2}=\left(2^{2}\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The root-m ean-square displacem ent $\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$, which corresponds to the width of the Gaussians shown in $g \overline{1} \overline{1}$, is proportional to $\bar{t}$.


F IG . 1: The G aussian probability distribution of a free B row nian particle, located initially at $\mathrm{x}=0$, is plotted at three later tim es; the width increases follow ing the equation (11). (C opyright: IndraniChow dhury; reproduced with perm ission).

E instein's 1905 paper on B row nian m otion was not the only paper he w rote on this topic. In fact, in the opinion of leading historians of science, E instein's PhD. thesis, which was published in 1906, is perhaps m ore im portant contribution to the theory of $B$ row nian $m$ otion than his 1905 paper. But a detailed discussion of his later papers on this sub ject is beyond the scope of this article.

E instein also realized what would be the fate of kinetic theory in case experim ental data disagreed with his predictions. he wrote, \..had the prediction of this $m$ ovem ent proved to be incorrect, a weighty argum ent would be provided against the $m$ olecular-kinetic conception of heat".

In 1900 Louis B achelier's thesis entitled \Therie de la Speculation" w as exam ined by three of the greatest $m$ athe$m$ atician and $m$ athem atical physicists, nam ely, P aulA ppell, Joseph B oussenesq and H enriP oincare. It was P oincare who w rote the report on that thesis which $m$ ay be regarded as the pioneeing work on the application ofm athem atical theory of nancial m arkets. In his thesis B achelier postulated that stock prioes execute B row nian motion and he developed a m athem atical theory which was, at least in spirit, very sim ilar to the theory E instein developed ve years later!
B. Sm oluchow ski

Unlike E instein, M arian Sm oluchow ski was fam iliar with the literature on the experim ental studies of B row nian $m$ otion. If he had not w aited for testing his ow $n$ theoretical predictions, the credit for developing the rst theory of B row nian $m$ otion w ould go to him. He developed the theory $m$ uch before $E$ instein but he decided to publish it only after he saw E instein's paper which contained sim ilar ideas. In his rst paper, [క్త్ర published in 1906, Sm oluchow ski also pointed out the error in the $N$ ageliR am sey ob jection against the originalC antoni-D elsaulx-C arbonelle argum ent. He clari ed that each of the apparently straight segm ents of the B row nian tra jectory is caused not by a single collision w ith a uid particle, but by an enorm ously large num ber of successive kicks it receives from di erent uid particles which, by rare coincidence, give rise to a net displacem ent in the sam e direction.
C. Perrin

Jean Perrin, togther with his students and collaborators em barked on the experim ental testing of E insteins theoretical predictions. Their rst task was to prepare a colloidal suspension $w$ ith dispersed particles of appropriate size. They used gam boge, a gum extract, which form s spherical particles when dissolved in w ater. W ith the sam ples thus prepared, P errin not only con $m$ ed that the root-m ean-square displacem ent of the dispersed particles grow w ith tim e $t$ follow ing the square-root law (11) but also m ade a good estim ate of the A vogadro num ber. E instein him self was surprised by the high levelof accuracy achieved by P errin and in a letter to P errin he adm itted \I did not believe that it was possible to study the B row nian $m$ otion $w$ ith such a precision". It is true that the critics of m olecular reality were silenced not by just one set of experim ents of Perrin, but by the overw hem ing evidence that em erged from alm ost identical estim ates of the A vogadro num ber obtained by using $m$ any di erent $m$ ethods. For his outstanding contribution, Jean P errin w as the N obel prize in 1926.

> D. Langevin

In the rst approxim ation, we can approxim ate the uid by a continuum. Therefore, the classical equations of $m$ otion of the $B$ row nian particle can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{gather*}
d x=d t=v  \tag{5}\\
M \quad(d v=d t)=F_{\text {ext }} \quad v \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where, at this level of description, is treated as a phenom enological param eter. O ne interesting feature of this equation is that, in case of tiny particles whose inertia (i.e., m ass) is neglighly sm all, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{F}$ ext, a relation rem in iscent of A ristotelian $m$ echanics which was based on the assum ption that it is the velocity (rather than the acceleration) which is proportional to the extemal force acting on it.

H ow ever, on the scale of the size of a realB row nian particle the uid does not appear to be a continuum. In fact, a B row nian particle \sees" that the uid is $m$ ade of $m$ olecules that constantly, but discretly, strike this B row nian particle, accelerating and decelerating it penpetually. \W ew itness in B row nian m ovem ent the phenom enon ofm olecular agitation on a reduced scale by particles very large on m olecular scale " [íli]. A single collision has very sm alle ect on the B row nian particle; the B row nian $m$ otion observed under a $m$ icroscope is the cum ulative e ect of a rapid and random sequence of large num ber of weak im pulses. Since the num ber of collisions su ered by the B row nian particle is very large, we do not intend to follow its path in any detail. Instead, we w ould like to have a stochastic description of its $m$ ovem ent.

Since equation ( $\overline{(6)}$ ) is a good rst approxim ation, we assum e that equation ( $\overline{6} \overline{6})$ correctly describes the average $m$ otion. $W$ e now incorporate the e ects of the discret collisions in a stochastic $m$ anner by adding a uctuating force (w ith vanishing $m$ ean) to the frictional force term :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad(d v=d t)=F_{\text {ext }} \quad v+F_{b r}(t) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far as the $\backslash$ uctuating force" ( $\backslash$ noise") $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})$ is concemed, we assum e:
(i) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})$ is independent of v , and
(ii) $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})$ varies extrem ely rapidly as com pared to the variation of v . Since \average motion" is still assum ed to be govemed by the equation (G), we m ust have

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})>=0: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$M$ oreover, the assum ption (ii) above im plies that during sm all tim e intervals $t$, $v$ and $F$ br change such that $v(t)$ and $v(t+t)$ di er in nitesim ally but $F$ br $(t)$ and $F_{b r}(t+t)$ have no correlation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
<\text { (t) }\left(t^{0}\right)>=2 D \text { T }\left(t \quad t^{0}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{br}}=\mathrm{M}$ and, at this levelof description, D is a phenom enologicalparanm eter. T he prefactor 2 on the right hand side of equation $(\overline{9})$ has been chosen for later convenience. In fact, soon we shall see that, in order that the B row nian particle is in therm al equilibrium w ith the surrounding uid, the constant D cannot be anbitrary; only a speci c choice of D guarantees the approach to the appropriate equilibrium G ibbsian distribution.

N ote that the spectraldensity

$$
S(!)=2_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{i!t}<()(+t)>d t
$$

im plies that, if the noise satis es the condition $\overline{\overline{9}})$, then $S(!)=4 \mathrm{D}$, independent of ! . Since $S(!)$ is independent of the frequency !, this speci c form of noise is called \white". In m ore general cases, the spectral density of the noise would depend on the frequency ! and such noises are called \colored". In the sim plest form ulations of the Langevin theory ofB row nian $m$ otion, one assum es that ( $(t)$ is $G$ aussian distributed (w ith vanishing $m$ ean) and with correlations of the form ( $\overline{\underline{\prime}} \bar{\prime})$; for obvious reasons, such noises are referred to as $\backslash G$ aussian white noise". T here are som e advantages of the $G$ aussian approxim ation. B ut these are too technical to be discussed here.

W hat is the operationalm eaning of the symbol < :> of averaging? The averaging is to be carried out over the distribution of the noise. This can be im plem ented practically in two altemative, but equivalent, ways:
either averaging over an ensem ble ofm any system $s$ consisting of a single $B$ rownian particle in a surrounding uid, or averaging over a num ber of B row nian particles in the sam e uid, provided they are su ciently far apart (possible at low enough density of the particles) so as not to in uence each other.
$W$ hat is $m$ eant by the tem \solution" of a stochastic equation like the Langevin equation? Suppose, we observe a B rownian particle under a m icroscope over a su ciently long time interval $0 \quad t \quad T$ and obtain a record of its position $\nsubseteq(t)$ as a function of tim et. If the observations are $m$ ade repeatedly, say $N$ tim es, we get $N$ tra jectories

$$
\mathbb{x}_{1}(t) ; \mathscr{\Psi}_{2}(t) ;:: \mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad(t):
$$

In general, these trajectories are all di erent, i.e., for a given $t=t, \Re_{1}(t) ; \Re_{2}(t) ;:: \mathbb{\Re}_{N}(t)$ are all di erent from each other. In other words, the $m$ otion of the $B$ row nian particle is not reproducible and, therefore, not determ in istic. $T$ hen, what can physics predict about $B$ row nian $m$ otion on the basis of the Langevin equation? Since, we are unable to $m$ ake determ in istic predictions we $m$ ake probabilistic ones.

If we repeat the observations a large num ber of tim es,we should be able to nd em pirically the distribution of $\mathscr{x}(t)$. In other words, we can calculate the probability $\mathrm{P}\left(x_{;} ; \mathrm{t}_{;} \mathfrak{x}_{0} ; \mathbb{N}_{0}\right)$, which is the probability of nding the particle at position $x$ at timet, given that its initial position and velocity were $x_{0}$ and $v_{0}$, respectively. M oreover, we can also calculate $m$ ore detailed probability distributions like, for exam ple, $P\left(x ; * ; t ; x_{0} ; w_{0}\right)$. H ow ever, we shall rst look at the $m$ om ents of these distributions, e.g., $\langle v(t)\rangle,\left\langle x^{2}(t)\right\rangle$ by using the statistical properties of noise.

C alculation of the $m$ ean-square displacem ent, w th the given initialposition $x=0$ at $t=0$, leads to the nal result (I leave it as an exercise for the students to go through the steps of the calculation)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle=\underline{2 k_{B} T} \quad t \quad \underline{1} 1 e^{t}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us exam ine the two lim iting cases. W hen $t{ }^{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle^{\prime} \quad\left(k_{B} T=M\right) t^{2}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

O n the other hand, when $t \quad 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle^{\prime}\left(2 k_{B} T=\right) t: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the B row nian particle moves, e ectively, \ballistically" for tim est $\quad 1$ whereas for tim es $t \quad 1$ it moves \di usively" w th the ective di usion coe cient $D=k_{\text {B }} T=$. Note that the equation (1) equation (II') derived earlier by E instein through his di usion equation approach.

Thus, the Langevin equation ( $\mathbf{I V}_{1}$ (1) is a stochastic dynam icalequation that accounts for irreversible processes. On the other hand, in principle, one can w rite dow $n$ the equations of $m$ otion for the $B$ row nian particle as well as that of all the other particles constituting the heat bath; each of these $H$ am ilton's canonical equation of $m$ otion will not only be determ in istic but $w$ ill also exhibit tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry. $N$ ote that, in the Langevin approach, one writes dow $n$ only the equation $\left(\underline{1}_{1}\right)$ for the B row nian particle and does not explicitly describe the dynam ics of the constituents of the heat bath. Therefore, a fundam entalquestion is: how do the viscous dam ping term (responsible for irreversibility) and the random force term (which gives rise to the stochasticity) appear in the equation of $m$ otion of the B row nian particle when one \projects out" the degrees of freedom associated w th the bath variables and observes the dynanics in a tiny subspace of the full phase space of the com posite system consisting of the B row nian particle $+B$ ath?

To my know ledge, the sim plest derivation of the stochastic Langevin equation for a B row nian particle, starting from the m utually coupled determ in istic H am ilton's equations (w hich are equivalent to N ew ton's equation) for the B row nian particle and the $m$ olecules of the uid, was given by R obert Zwanzig [l/1]. For the sim plicity of analytical calculations, he m odelled the heat bath as a collection of harm onic oscillators each ofw hich is coupled to the B row nian particle. The di erential equations satis ed by the position $Q$ and the $m$ om entum $P$ of the Brow nian particle have the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=P=M \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dP}=\mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{F}_{\text {ext }}+\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{t}) ; f q(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g} ; \mathrm{fp}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{g}) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $F_{\text {ext }}$ is the extemal force (not arising from the reservoir) while $f q(t) g$ and $f p(t) g$ denote all the positions and m om enta of the harm onic oscillators constituting the reservoir. Sim ilarly, one can also w rite dow $n$ the equations of $m$ otion for each of the harm onic oscillators constituting the reservoir.

In principle, one can form ally integrate the equations ofm otion for the bath variables, in term $s$ their corresponding initial conditions, and substitute the form al solutions into the equation (1] $1 \overline{1}_{1}$ ) for the B row nian particle. Even at th is stage, the resulting equation is purely determ in istic. But, it involves the intialpositions and initialm om enta of all the harm onic oscillators and, in practice, it is im possible to specify such a large num ber of in itial conditions exactly. If we now assum e that only statistical properties of these initial conditions of the bath variables are know n (or, postulated) we get a di erentialequation for $P$ which is a slight generalization of the Langevin equation (7, I) . This sim ple analytical calculation dem onstrates how both the dissipative viscous drag term and the noise term appear in the equation of $m$ otion of the $B$ row nian particle when the bath degrees of freedom are projected out.
$T$ hus, the $m$ olecules in the uid $m$ edium which give the random $\backslash$ kicks" to the $B$ row nian particle are also responsible for its energy dissipation because of viscous drag. T herefore, it should not be surprising that these tw o m anifestations of the uid $m$ edium are related through the Einstein relation ( $\overline{-1})$. This also answers one of puzzles faced by early investigators: in the absence of any force im posed on the B row nian particle from outside the uid, why doesn't it com e to a com plete halt in spite of the viscous drag? The incessant random m otion of the $B$ row nian particle is $m$ aintained for ever by the delicate balance of the random kicks it gets from the uid particles and the energy it dissipates back into the uid via viscous drag.

## E. Fokker-P lanck versus Langevin approach

E instein's approach has been generalized by several of his contem poraries including Fokker, P lanck, Sm oluchow ski and others. This general theoretical fram ew ork is now called the FokkerP lanck approach [ld 1 . In this approach, one deals $w$ ith a determ in istic partialdi erential equation for a probability density. For exam ple, suppose $P\left(x ; * ; t j_{0} ; w_{0}\right)$ be the conditional probability that, at tim e $t$, the $B$ row nian particle is located at $x$ and has velocity $\forall$, given that its in itial (i.e., at tim e $t=0$ ) position and velocity were $x_{0} ; \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Since the totalprobability integrated over all space and all velocities is conserved (i.e,, does not change with tim e), the probability density $P$ satis es an equation of continuity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ P}{@ t}+\frac{@ J_{p}}{@ x}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $J_{p}$ is the corresponding probability current. N ote that the probability density and the probability current density are analogs of the electrical charge density and electrical current density in electrodynam ics where it follows from the conservation of electrical charge in the system.
$T$ he probability current density $J_{p}$ gets contributions from two sources: the di usion current, given by Fick's law, is caused by the concentration gradient, while the drift current is im posed by the extemally applied force F. . T hus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(x ; t)=D \frac{@ P}{@ x}+\frac{F}{} P \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the rst and the second term son the right hand side arise from di usion and drift, respectively. T he expression (17) can be recast in several altemative, but equivalent, form $s$ using the relation between the force $F$ and the corresponding potential $U$, nam ely, $F=\ldots d U=d x$ and the $E$ instein relation $D=k_{B} T$.

In contrast, the Langevin approach $[\underline{[1]}]$ is based on a stochastic di erential equation for the individual B row nian particle and is, in spirit, closer to N ew ton's equation. Because of the stochasticity, unique initial condition does not lead to a unique tra jectory of the particle.

Stochasticity can enter into a di erential equation either as an additive term or as a multiplicative factor. For exam ple, the Langevin equation for a B rownian H arm onic oscillator in one-dim ension is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \frac{d^{2} X}{d X^{2}}=M!^{2} X \quad \frac{d X}{d t}+F_{B}(t) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the random $B$ rownian force $F_{B}(t)$ introduces stochasticity that appears as an additive term in the dynam ical equation. In contrast, the Langevin equation for the so-called K ubo oscillator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \frac{d^{2} X}{d X^{2}}=M!_{B}^{2}(t) X \quad \frac{d X}{d t} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where frequency $!_{B}(t)$ is random and, thus, stochasticity enters into the dynam icalequation as a m ultiplicative factor.
IV. BEYOND TRANSLATION-ROTATION AND SHAPE FLUCTUATIONS

In undergraduate $m$ echanics courses in colleges (or universities), norm ally, a student rst leams $N$ ew tonian $m$ echanics of point particles which also describes the $m$ otion of the center ofm ass of extended ob jects. Then, one leams the dealw ith the rotationalm otion of rigid bodies. F inally, a student is exposed to the $m$ echanics of deform able bodies, i.e., elastic solids and uids. So far in this article we have considered only the translationalm otion of the center of $m$ ass of the $B$ row nian particles. In this section we shall consider rotational B row nian $m$ otion of rigid particles and the shape uctuations of soft $m$ aterials caused by the $B$ row nian $m$ otion of these deform able bodies.
A. R otational B row $n$ ian $m$ otion of rigid bodies

To $m$ y know ledge, one of the earliest direct experim ental observations of the rotationalB row nian $m$ otion was $m$ ade by Gerlach [1] [] using a tiny mirror xed on a very ne wire; som e of the fundam ental questions on this problem were addressed theoretically soon thereafter by U hlenbeck and G oudsm it [1] II. This is a relatively sim ple problem because the rotation involves only a single angle which $m$ easures the angular de ection. T he corresponding Langevin equation has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
I \frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}=T_{e x t} \quad G \quad \frac{d}{d t}+T_{b r} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the $m$ om ent of inertia of the oscillator, is the friction coe cient, $G$ is the torsionalelastic constant of the ber, $\mathrm{T}_{\text {ext }}$ is the extemaltorque and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{br}}$ is the B row nian (i.e., random) torque. Each term of this Langevin equation is the rotational counterpart of the corresponding term in the Langevin equation (Tli,) for translationalB row nian $m$ otion.

Interestingly, three quarters of a century later the problem of rotationalB rownian $m$ otion of a $m$ irror w as reinvestigated by replacing airby a uidized granularm edium. In this novelexperim ent [12 in a container lled with glass beads and the noisy vertical vibration of the container took place at frequencies much higher than the natural frequency of the torsion oscillator.

The Langevin equation for the $m$ ore general cases of rotation of a rigid body in three dim ensions has m ore com plex form. Recall that the rotationalm otion of a $m$ acroscopic asym $m$ etrical object is given by the Euler equation. The corresponding Euler-Langevin equation for rotationalB row nian $m$ otion has the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \tau}{d t}+t \quad \tau=T_{\text {ext }} \quad!+T_{b r}(t) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $I=I!$, where $I$ is the $m$ om ent of inertia of the body and! is its angular velocity; $T_{\text {ext }}$ is the extemally im posed torque while $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})$ is the random noise torque. For the sake of sim plicity one often assum es a G aussian white noise torque $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{br}}(\mathrm{t})$.

H ow should we write down the FokkerP lanck equation corresponding to the Euler-Langevin equation (211) ? Let us denote by the sym bol $S^{0}$ a principal coordinate system $x e d$ in the rigid body. The orientation of the body can be speci ed by the Euler angles $1 ; 2 ; 3$ of $S^{0}$ w th respect to the laboratory coordinate system $S$. W e can now de ne the probability density $P\left({ }_{1}(t) ; 2(t) ;{ }_{3}(t) ;!_{1}(t) ;!_{2}(t) ;!_{3}(t) j_{1}(0) ;{ }_{2}(0) ; \quad 3(0) ;!_{1}(0) ;!{ }_{2}(0) ;!3(0)\right)$ which represents the conditional probability that, at tim e $t$, the Euler angles are $1(t)$; $2(t)$; $3(t)$ and the angular velocities $!_{1}(t) ;!_{2}(t) ;!_{3}(t)$, given that the corresponding initial values were $1(0) ; 2(0) ; 3(0)$ and $!_{1}(0) ;!2(0) ;!3(0)$, respectively.
 in 1906 [1] [1]. Investigaton of the rotational B row nian $m$ otion in the context of dielectric relaxation was in itiated by P eter $D$ ebye and extended by $m$ any authors in the second half of the tw entieth century [14].

A nother related problem is the relaxationaldynam ics of large single-dom ain particles in rocks $\left.\overline{[1}^{[ } \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. E ach of these particles consists of a large num ber of individual $m$ om ents all aligned parallel to each other such that the particle posseses a giant $m$ agnetic $m$ om ent. Since the particle is em bedded in a solid $m$ atrix, it cannot rotate physically but the direction of the $m$ agm etic $m$ om ent can undergo $B$ rownian rotation. A collection of such single-dom ain particles $w$ ill be aligned parrel to the extemally applied magnetic eld. Then, after the eld is switched o, the rem anent $m$ agnetization $M_{r} w i l l$ vanish as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r}=M_{s} e^{t=} ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $M_{s}$ is the $m$ agnetization of a non-relaxing particle, $t$ is the tim e elapsed after the eld is sw itched o and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0 e^{A V=k_{B} T} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the relaxation tim e where $V$ is the volum e of the particle and $0 \quad 10^{9} \mathrm{sec}$. Therefore, varying V and/or T , the relaxation time can be made to vary from $10^{9} \mathrm{sec}$. to m illions of years.

It w as pointed out by Louis N eel that, at a given tem perature T , the particle $m$ agnetization $w$ ill appear $\backslash b l o c k e d "$ (i.e., frozen in time) in any dynam ic experim ent where the frequency of the $m$ easurem ent ! m is such that $!_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1}$.
$B$ locking of the $m$ agnteization of the super-param agnetic particles nds im portant applications in paleom agnetism (geom agnetism ), as the history of the earth's magnetic eld rem ains frozen in the rocks. D uring the early stage of the form ation of the rock at a relatively higher tem perature, the $m$ agnetic particles exist in them alequilibrium $w$ ith the Earth's magnetic eld, but later, as the rock cools, the magnetization of these particles get \blocked" and they retain the $m$ em ory the direction of the Earth's $m$ agnetic eld.
B. B row $n$ ian $m$ otion of deform $a b l e b o d i e s: ~ s h a p e ~ u c t u a t i o n s ~$

A linear polym er is a sim ple exam ple of a deform able body which is, e ectively, one dim ensional. T he B row nian forces acting on such an ob ject in aqueous $m$ edium can give rise to random wiggling, i.e., random uctuations in its shape. The random B row nian forces tend to induce wiggles in the polym er chain while the bending sti ness tends to restore its linear shape. These tw o com peting e ects determ ines overall conform ation of the polym er chain. O ne of the $m$ ost im portant e ects of its $B$ row nian $w i g g l i n g$ is that, even in the absence of any energy cost for creating such wiggles, the polym er behaves, e ectively, as a spring where its spring constant is tem perature-dependent and the corresponding restoring force it exerts is of purely entropic origin.

Suppose f (0) and $\hat{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{s})$ are the unit norm als to the polym er at tw o points on the polym er separated by a distance $s m$ easured along the contour of the chain. Then, the correlation betw een the orientations of these two unit norm als decreases exponentially $w$ th increasing $s$, i.e., proportional to $\exp \left(s={ }_{p}\right)$ where $p$, the persistence length, is deter$m$ ined by the ratio of the bending sti ness energy and the them al energy $k_{B} T$. If the total length of the polym er is L , 辻 appears sti when $\mathrm{L} \ll \mathrm{p}$ whereas 边 appears oppy when $\mathrm{L} \gg \mathrm{p}$. M icrotubules have very long persistence length.

Sim ilarly, Brow nian $m$ otion of a soft $m$ em brane, e.g., the plasm a m em brane of a red $-b l o o d$ cell, $m$ anifests as \ickering" of the, e ectively, two-dim ensional elastic sheet. The B row nian shape uctuations of soft m em branes have $m$ any im portant consequences. For exam ple, consider a stack of such $m$ em branes which have a tendency to stick to each other because of the ubiquitous Van der W aals attractions. H ow ever, at all non-zero tem peratures the B rownian shape uctuations cause the $m$ em branes to bum $p$ against each other; the higher is the tem perature the stronger is the, e ectively, repulsive entropic force. A s a consequence of this com petition betw een the two forces, an unbinding phase transition takes place in the system at a characteristic tem perature as the tem perature is raised from below .
V. BROWNTANMOTION IN EXTERNALSTATICPOTENTIAL

TranslationalB row nian $m$ otion of particle under the in uence of an extemallinear potentialofthe form $U(x)=a x$ is relevant, for exam ple, in the context of sedim entation of colloidal particles under gravity [l] $]$. B row nian $m$ otion of a harm onically bound particle [1] ], i.e., a particle sub jected to a quadratic potential of the form $U(x)=a x^{2}$, is a reasonably good $m$ odel for the dynam ics of tiny spherical dielectric particle trapped by an optical tw eezer. In order to satisfy the law of equipartition ofenergy in them odynam ic equilibrium, $<x^{2}>$ approaches the value $k_{B} T=\left(m!^{2}\right)$ in the lim it of extrem ely long tim e lim it; U hlenbeck and O mstein [1] derived the exact expression valid for all tim es and, hence, showed how $\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$ approaches the asym ptotic value with the passage of tim e.

The potential $U(x)=a x^{2}+b x^{4}$ has tw o equally deep $m$ in im a which are separated from each other by an energy barrier; B row nian m otion of a particle sub jected to such a potential leads to noise assisted transitions, back and forth, from one well to the other. The average waiting tim $T_{K}$ between two successive noise-induced transitions increases exponentially $w$ th the increase of the barrier height. $N$ oise-induced transitions in bistable system $s$ have found applications in a wide variety of system $s$; we shall call $T_{K}$ as the $K$ ram ers time in honor of $H$ endrik $K$ ram ers who considered such problem s rst in the context of chem ical reaction rate theory in his classic paper entitled \B row nian $m$ otion in a eld of force and the di usion $m$ odel of chem ical reactions" 17.18 .

K ram ers w as not the rst to consider noise-induced transitions from a potential well. In fact, in 1935, Becker and D oring studied the problem noise-assisted hopping of a barrier to escape from a m etastable state. The problem of noise-induced transitions in system $s w$ ith $m$ etastable, bistable orm ultistable system shas a long history with abundant exam ples of unintentional rediscoveries and rederivation of results by experts from di erent disciplines, often using di erent term inologies [19'1. N evertheless, this show $s$ the breadth of coverage of this $m$ ultidisciplinary um brella and the w ide range of applicability of the concepts and techniques.

## VI. BROWNIAN MOTION IN TIME-DEPENDENTPOTENTIAL

In the preceeding section we have considered B rownian $m$ otion in static (tim e-independent) extemal potential. H ow ever, tw o of the hottest topics in the area of B row nian $m$ otion which have kept $m$ any physicists busy for the last quarter of a century, are related to B row nian $m$ otion in tim e-dependent potentials. In the follow ing two subsections we brie y discuss these two phenom ena, nam ely, stochastic resonance and B row nian ratchet.
A. Stochastic resonance and applications


F IG . 2: The back and forth tilting of the bistable potential in one cycle of the periodic focing. (C opyright: IndraniC how dhury; reproduced w ith perm ission).

Let us begin w th a B row nian particle sub jected to a bistable potential. Suppose a sm allam plitude periodic forcing is added so that the left and the right wells periodically exchange their relative stability as shown in $g$ R'. Let $T_{p}=2=p$ be the tim e period of the periodic forcing. $T$ hen, the potential $U(x)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; t)=\frac{a}{2} x^{2} \quad \frac{b}{4} x^{4} \quad A_{0} x \cos (p t) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding Langevin equation for the $B$ row nian particle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{d^{2} x}{d t^{2}}=a x+b x^{3}+A_{0} \cos (p t)+F_{b r} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the periodic forcing is too weak to induce transition in the position of the particle from one well to the other w ithout assistance from noise. H ow ever, in the presence of noise, even in the absence of forcing, noise-induced transition from one well to the other goes on. N ow, extending the concept of resonance, we introduce the concept of stochastic resonence by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{D})=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{K}$ is the $K$ ram ers tim $e$ and it depends on the strength $D$ of the noise $\left[2 d, 21_{2}\right]$.
$T$ he phenom enon of stochastic resonance has been dem onstrated directly in laboratory experim ents [ $\left.{ }^{2} 2 \overline{2}\right]$. A m icronsize dielectric bead is used as the B row nian particle and a bistable potential is created using tw o optical (laser) traps. $T$ he $m$ ost im portant quantity characterizing a stochastic resonance is the signal-to-noise (SN R ) ratio. T he signature of a stochastic resonance is that the SNR, which vanishes in the absence of noise, rises w ith the increase of noise intensity and exhibits a maxim um at an optim um level of noise intensity; on further increase of noise intensity SNR decreases because of the random ization caused by the noise. In other words, contrasy to naive expectations, noise can have a constructive e ect in enhancing the signal over an appropriately chosen $w$ indow of noise intensity. N ot
sunprisingly, it nds applications in electricalengineering. M oreover, $m$ any organ ism s seem to use stochatic resonance for sensory perception; these include, for exam ple, electro-receptors of paddle sh $m$ echano-receptors of cray sh, etc.

Stochastic resosnance has been evoked to explain the periodic occurrence of Ice age on earth; the period is estim ated to be approxim ately 100;000 years. Suppose the ice-covered and water-covered earth correspond to the two local m inim a. Eccentricity of the earth's orbit (and, therefore, incom ing solar radiation) varies periodically w ith a period of about $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{\prime} 100 ; 000$ years. But, this variation is too $w$ eak to cause the transition from ice-covered to water-covered earth and vioe versa. It has been suggested that random noise in the clim atic conditions can give rise to a stochastic resonance causing a transition betw een the tw o localm inim a w ith a period of about 100,000 years.

## B. B row $n$ ian ratchet and applications

Let us now consider a B row nian particle sub jected to a tim e-dependent potential, in addition to the viscous drag (or, frictional force). The potential $s w$ itches betw een the tw $o$ form $s$ (i) and (ii) show $n$ in $g \overline{3} 1 . T$ he saw tooth form (i) is spatially periodic where each period has an asym $m$ etric shape. In contrast, the form (ii) is at so that the particle does not experience any extemal force im posed on it when the potential has the form (ii). N ote that, in the left part of each well in (i) the particle experiences a rightw ard force whereas in the right part of the sam e well it is sub jected to a leftw ard force. M oreover, the spatially averaged force experienced by the particle in each well of length ' is

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle F> & =\frac{1}{V}^{Z} \cdot \frac{@ U}{@ x} d x \\
& =U(0) \quad U(`)=0 \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

because of the spatially periodic form of the potential (i). W hat makes this problem so interesting is that, in spite of vanishing average force acting on it, the particle can still exhibit directed, albeit noisy, rightw ard m otion.

In order to understand the underlying physical principles, let us assum e that initially the potential has the shape (i) and the particle is located at a point on the line that corresponds to the bottom of a well. N ow the potential is sw itched o so that it m akes a transition to the form (ii). Im m ediately, the free particle begins to execute a B row nian $m$ otion and the corresponding Gaussian pro le of the probability distribution begins to spread w the passage of time. If the potential is again sw itched on before the $G$ aussian pro le gets enough tim e for spreading beyond the original well, the particle will retum to its original initial position. But, if the period during which the potential rem ainso is su ciently long, so that the G aussian probability distribution has a non-vanishing tailoverlapping $w$ ith the neighbouring well on the right side of the original well, then there is a sm all non-vanishing probability that the particle will $m$ ove forw ard tow ards right by one period when the potential is sw itched on.

In this $m$ echanism, the particle $m$ oves forw ard not because of any force im posed on it but because of its B row nian m otion. The system is, how ever, not in equilibrium because energy is pum ped into it during every period in sw itching the potential betw een the two form s . In other words, the system works as a recti er where the B row nian $m$ otion, in principle, could have given rise to both forw ard and backward movem ents of the particle in the multiples of ', but the backw ard $m$ otion of the particle is suppressed by a com bination of (a) the tim e dependence and (b) spatial asym $m$ etry (in form (i)) of the potential. In fact, the direction of $m$ otion of the particle can be revsered by replacing the potential (i) by the potential (iii) show $n$ in $g$ ' ${ }_{1}$.'.
$T$ he $m$ echanism of directional $m$ ovem ent discussed above is called a B rownian ratchet [ shall now clarify. The concept of $B$ rownian ratchet was popularized by Feynm an through his lectures [24it] although,
 $T$ he random bom bardm ent of the vanes by the air molecules gives rise to torques which uctuates random ly both in m agnitude and direction. B ecause of the asym $m$ etric shape ofeach of the teeth, it $m$ ay appear, the ratchet w ould m ove countercloclow isem ore easily than clockw ise (w hen view ed from the left side) leading to its directed counterclockw ise, albeit noisy, rotation. In principle, it should then be possible to exploit such directed rotation to perform m echanical work. H ow ever, any such device, if it really existed, would violate the second law of therm odynam ics because it would extract therm al energy from its environm ent, by cooling the environm ent spontaneously, and convert that energy into $m$ echanical work. Feynm an resolved the apparent paradox by pointing out that both the clockw ise and counterclockw ise rotations are actually equally likely because the paw lalso executes random B row nian m otion because of the random extension and com pression of the spring that keeps it pressed against the wheel of the ratchet. A linear design of the $B$ row nian ratchet is shown in 9 ' $\bar{i}$
B row nian ratchet has its counterpart in the abstract theory of gam es. In particular, Juan P arrondo [2G] proposed a game with two separate rules, say A and B, of the game. Even in situations where both the rules will ruin the gam bler, $P$ arrondo show ed that the gam bler can $w$ in by using the rules A and B altemately. It is not di cult to $m$ ap


FIG. 3: The two form s of the tim e-dependent potential used for im plem enting the B row nian ratchet mechanism. (C opyright: IndraniC how dhury; reproduced w ith perm ission).


FIG. 4: The direction of the motion of the particle in a B rownian ratchet is determ ined by the form of the asym $m$ etry of the potential in each period. (C opyright: IndraniC how dhury; reproduced w ith perm ission).
this problem onto the $B$ rownian ratchet $m$ echanism depicted in $g$. ( $\left.\overline{1} \mathbf{B}_{1}\right)$ and the $w$ inning of the gam bler corresponds to the directed $m$ ovem ent of the $B$ row nian particle in $g .\left(\bar{l}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.
$T$ he ratcheting via tim e-dependent potential discussed above it not merely a theoretical possibility but nature exploits this for driving a class ofm olecular m otors inside cells of living organism s; this inchudes K IF 1A, a fam ily of $k$ inesin $m$ otor proteins [271]. Such $m$ olecular $m$ otors $m$ ove along $m$ icrotubule lam ents just as trains move along their tracks.

A B rownian-ratchet based $m$ echanism has been proposed $\left.{ }^{2} \overline{2}^{1}\right]$ for translocation of proteins across $m$ em branes. This is easy to understand using a picture sim ilar to the ratchet shown in the 9 before translocation through a narrow pore in the $m$ em brane. O nce the tip of the protein successfully penetrates the $m$ em brane, it can translocate through B row nian $m$ otion provided there exist som em echanism to rectify its backw ard m ovem ents. Several possible m echanism s for such recti cation have been proposed including binding of chaperonins at designated binding sites along the translocated part of the $m$ acrom olecule [2]-1].

ATP is the energy currency of alm ost alleukaryotic cells and the cell synthesizes ATP from the raw m aterials using a m achine, called ATP synthase, which is bound to the $m$ itochondrial (chloroplast) $m$ em brane of anim al (plant) cells.


F IG . 5: Feynm an's ratchet and paw larrangem ent. (C opyright: IndraniC how dhury; reproduced w ith perm ission).


FIG. 6: A linear ratchet and paw larrangem ent. (C opyright: IndraniC how dhury; reproduced with perm ission).

To my know ledge, this is $s m$ allest am ong all the natural and $m$ an $m$ ade rotary m otors. T his com plex $m$ otor actually consists of tw o reversible parts, nam ely $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}$, which are coupled to each other. A B rownian-ratchet m echanism has been suggested [2, [1] for the rotary $m$ otor $F_{0}$. D etailed structure and function of this natural nano fm otor $w$ ill be considered in a separate article [2].

## VII. SUMMARYAND CONCLUSION

W hat started as a curiosity of $m$ icroscopists, who were ba ed by the random movem ents of the pollen grains in water, tumed out to be one of the most challenging scienti c problem s that could not be solved by anybody till the beginning of the twentieth century. It was A lbert Einstein who, in one of his three revolutionary papers of 1905, published the correct theory of B row nian motion. H is theoretical predictions were con m ed by a series of experim ents on colloidal dispersions by Jean P errin and his collaborators. T hese investigation of B row nian m otion in collidal dispersions not only helped in silencing the critics of the molecular kinetic theory of $m$ atter but also laid dow $n$ the foundation of statisticalm echanics.
$B y$ the end of the rst quarter of the twentieth century quantum theory becam e the darling of the $m$ jority of
the physicists and the colloidal suspensions lost its appeal. O ver the next quarter of a century progress was rather slow but steady. H ow ever, in the second half of the tw entieth century, $m$ otivated partly by the industrial dem and for novelm aterials, physicists and engineers discovered great potential of the soft $m$ aterials $[\overline{3}[1]$, including colloids which gradually regained its past glory [11]. M oreover, revolution in opticalm icroscopy in the last ten years has provided a glim pse of the cellular interior, a w onderland dom inated by B rownian m otion. P relm inary explorations in this new frontier of research indicate that, instead of being a nuisance, the B rownian motion is, perhaps, fully exploited by $N$ ature to its advantage not $m$ erely to survive but to thrive. B row nian $m$ otion of pollen grains does not arise from any process of life but som e of the least understood processes of life, including the train-like $m$ otion of the biom olecular $m$ otors on the lam entary tracks, $m$ ay not be possible $w$ ithout $B$ row nian $m$ otion!
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