arXiv:cond-mat/0504662v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 27 Sep 2006

Green's function theory of quasi-two-dimensional spin-half Heisenberg ferromagnets: stacked square versus stacked kagomé lattice

D. Schmalfuß[a], J. Richter[a] and D. Ihle[b]
[a] *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Magdeburg, 39016 Magdeburg, Germany*
and
[b] *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Leipzig, 04109 Leipzig, Germany*

We consider the thermodynamic properties of the quasi-two-dimensional spin-half Heisenberg ferromagnet on the stacked square and the stacked kagomé lattices by using the spin-rotation-invariant Green's function method. We calculate the critical temperature T_C, the uniform static susceptibility χ, the correlation lengths ξ_ν and the magnetization M and investigate the short-range order above T_C. We find that T_C and M at $T > 0$ are smaller for the stacked kagomé lattice which we attribute to frustration effects becoming relevant at finite temperatures.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Jm; 75.45.+j; 75.50.Ee

Introduction: Quasi-two-dimensional magnets have attracted much attention in recent years.[1,2] According to the Mermin-Wagner theorem[3] strictly two-dimensional (2D) Heisenberg magnets do not possess magnetic long-range order (LRO) at any finite temperature. Therefore, the exchange coupling between planes is crucial for the existence of a finite critical temperature T_C. Though most of the quasi-2D magnetic insulators are antiferromagnets there are also some quasi-2D ferromagnetic insulators like K_2CuF_4, La_2BaCuO_5, Cs_2AgF_4.[4] The calculation of thermodynamic properties for quasi-2D magnets is an important issue,[5,6,7,8,9] in particular with respect to the interpretation of experimental results. Especially in the limit of weak interlayer coupling the evaluation of T_C is challenging. Recently accurate values for the critical temperature of a Heisenberg magnet on a stacked square lattice by Monte-Carlo calculations[10] and on the cubic lattice by high-temperature series expansion[11] have been presented. Another promising approach to calculate the thermodynamics of quasi-2D magnetic systems is a spin-rotation invariant Green's function method (RGM).[12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20] The RGM allows a consistent description of LRO as well as of short-range order (SRO) at arbitrary temperatures in magnetic systems of arbitrary dimension.

In this paper we use the RGM for the calculation of thermodynamic quantities of the quasi-2D spin-half Heisenberg ferromagnet on the stacked square and the stacked kagomé lattice. Both lattices have the same coordination number, but differ in their lattice geometry within the layers. Note that corresponding RGM treatments for the respective stacked antiferromagnets were given in Refs. 16 and 18. The Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the kagomé lattice is a canonical model to study frustration effects in 2D spin-half systems, see, e.g. Refs. 1,17,21,22,23. Due to strong frustration there is most likely no magnetic LRO in the kagomé antiferromagnet. It has been argued recently[18] that even the increase of the dimension in a stacked kagomé antiferromagnet does not lead to magnetic LRO.




FIG. 1: Sketch of one kagomé layer in the congruently stacked lattice with the in-plane geometric basis vectors $\mathbf{a}_1 = (0, 2, 0)\,, \mathbf{a}_2 = \left(\sqrt{3}, 1, 0\right)$. The out-of-plane basis vector $\mathbf{a}_3 = (0, 0, 1)$ is not shown. The geometrical unit cell contains three sites (spins) labeled by a running index $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$.

In this paper we consider layered ferromagnets. Then geometric frustration is irrelevant in the ground state. However, at finite temperatures excited states with antiferromagnetic correlations contribute to the partition function. The energy of such states is influenced by triangular spin configurations and thus the frustrating geometry of the kagomé lattice may become more and more relevant with increasing temperature. By comparison with the unfrustrated stacked square lattice we can discuss these frustration effects.

We consider the Heisenberg model of N spins 1/2

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m\alpha,n\beta} J_{m\alpha,n\beta} \mathbf{S}_{m\alpha} \mathbf{S}_{n\beta}, \quad J_{m\alpha,n\beta} \leq 0, \qquad (1)$$

where the sum runs over all $\mathcal{N}$ unit cells (labeled by m and n) and all spins within a unit cell (labeled by running indices α and β, see Fig. 1 for the kagomé case). The exchange coupling $J_{m\alpha,n\beta}$ is nonzero for nearest neighbors, only. The ferromagnetic exchange parameter within the layers $J_\parallel$ is fixed to $J_\parallel = -1$.

Spin-Rotation-Invariant Green's Function Method:

The rotation-invariant decoupling scheme introduced by Kondo and Yamaji[12] goes one step beyond the random-phase approximation (RPA). An advantage of this method is the possibility to treat magnetic order-disorder transitions driven by quantum fluctuations as well as by thermal fluctuations and to provide a good description of magnetic SRO.[12,13,14,15,16,17,18,19,20] In this paper we only illustrate some basic features of the RGM. For more details the reader is referred to Refs. 12,13, 14,15,17,19, and in particlar to Refs. 16,18,20 where the corresponding stacked antiferomagnets are considered.

To evaluate all relevant correlation functions $\langle \mathbf{S}_{m\alpha}\mathbf{S}_{n\beta}\rangle$ and several thermodynamic quantities we have to calculate a set of Fourier-transformed commutator Green's functions $\langle\langle S^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}; S^-_{\mathbf{q}\beta}\rangle\rangle_\omega$ which are connected with the dynamic spin susceptibilities by $\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}\alpha\beta}(\omega) = -\langle\langle S^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}; S^-_{\mathbf{q}\beta}\rangle\rangle_\omega$. Using the equations of motion and supposing spin-rotational invariance, i.e. $\langle S^z_{m\alpha}\rangle = 0$, we obtain $\omega^2\langle\langle S^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}; S^-_{\mathbf{q}\beta}\rangle\rangle_\omega = \langle[i\dot{S}^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}, S^-_{\mathbf{q}\beta}]\rangle + \langle\langle -\ddot{S}^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}; S^-_{\mathbf{q}\beta}\rangle\rangle_\omega$. The operator $-\ddot{S}^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha} = [[S^+_{\mathbf{q}\alpha}, H], H]$ written in site representation contains products of three spin operators along nearest-neighbor sequences which are treated in the spirit of the decoupling scheme of Shimahara and Takada.[13] For example, the product $S^-_A S^+_B S^+_C$ is replaced by $\eta_{A,B}\langle S^-_A S^+_B\rangle S^+_C + \eta_{A,C}\langle S^-_A S^+_C\rangle S^+_B$, where A, B, C represent spin sites. The vertex parameters $\eta_{\gamma,\mu}$ are introduced to improve the approximation. In the minimal version of the RGM we introduce just as many vertex parameters as independent conditions for them can be formulated, i.e. we have $\eta_\parallel$ and $\eta_\perp$ attached to intralayer and interlayer correlators, respectively. After the decoupling we can write the equation of motion in a compact matrix form omitting the running indices α and β, $\left(\omega^2 - F_{\mathbf{q}}\right)\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega) = -M_{\mathbf{q}}$, where $F_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $M_{\mathbf{q}}$ are the frequency and momentum matrices, respectively. Their dimension equals the number of spins in the respective unit cell. The solution for $\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega)$ can be formulated in terms of the common set of normalized eigenvectors $|j\mathbf{q}\rangle$ of $F_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $M_{\mathbf{q}}$ and reads $\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega) = -\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{m_{j\mathbf{q}}}{\omega^2-\omega^2_{j\mathbf{q}}}|j\mathbf{q}\rangle\langle j\mathbf{q}|$. The case $J_\parallel > 0$ for the stacked kagomé lattice has been discussed previously[18] in detail. Hence, it is not necessary to present the lengthy expressions for the eigenvalues $m_{j\mathbf{q}}$ of $M_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $\omega^2_{j\mathbf{q}}$ of $F_{\mathbf{q}}$ once again. The corresponding expressions for $m_{j\mathbf{q}}$ and $\omega^2_{j\mathbf{q}}$ in case of the stacked square lattice are even simpler, since only one eigenvector $|1\mathbf{q}\rangle$ exists. For both lattices the eigenvalues $m_{j\mathbf{q}}$ and $\omega^2_{j\mathbf{q}}$ contain eight parameters which must be determined selfconsistently, namely the spin-spin correlators $c_{1,0,0}, c_{1,1,0}, c_{2,0,0}, c_{0,0,1}, c_{1,0,1}, c_{0,0,2}$ and the two vertex parameters $\eta_\parallel$, and $\eta_\perp$. The correlators are defined by $c_{k,l,m} \equiv c_{\mathbf{R}} = \langle S^+_{\mathbf{0}} S^-_{\mathbf{R}}\rangle = 2\langle \mathbf{S_0}\mathbf{S_R}\rangle/3$, where $\mathbf{R} = k\mathbf{b}_1 + l\mathbf{b}_2 + m\mathbf{b}_3$ (the $\mathbf{b}_i$ are the basis vectors of the cubic lattice) for the stacked square lattice, but $\mathbf{R} = k\mathbf{a}_1/2 + l\mathbf{a}_2/2 + m\mathbf{a}_3$ for the stacked kagomé lattice, cf. Fig. 1. The spectral theorem[24] for the correlators $c_{k,l,m}$ yields seven equations including the sum rule $c_{0,0,0} = 1/2$. According to Refs. 16,18 and 20 an eighth equation is obtained requiring that the matrix of the static susceptibility $\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}} = \chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}(\omega = 0)$ has to be isotropic in the limit $\mathbf{q} \to \mathbf{0}$, i.e., $\lim_{q_{x(y)}\to 0}\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}\big|_{q_z=0} = \lim_{q_z\to 0}\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}\big|_{q_{x(y)}=0}$. In the RGM scheme magnetic LRO is detected by[13,14,15,16,19] $\lim_{|\mathbf{R}|\to\infty}\langle \mathbf{S_0}\mathbf{S_R}\rangle \neq 0$, i.e. the correlation function contains a long-range part $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}$, introduced by Shimahara and Takada and called condensation part[13], leading to $\langle \mathbf{S}_{m\alpha}\mathbf{S}_{n\beta}\rangle = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\mathbf{q}\neq\mathbf{Q}} S_{\mathbf{q}\alpha\beta}e^{-i\mathbf{q}\mathbf{r}_{m\alpha,n\beta}} + \frac{3}{2}C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}e^{-i\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{r}_{m\alpha,n\beta}}$, where $\mathbf{Q}$ is the magnetic wave vector and $S_{\mathbf{q}\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\frac{m_{j\mathbf{q}}}{2\omega_{j\mathbf{q}}}\left(1 + \frac{2}{e^{\omega_{j\mathbf{q}}/T}-1}\right)\langle\alpha|j\mathbf{q}\rangle\langle j\mathbf{q}|\beta\rangle$. The existence of nonzero $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}$ is accompanied by a diverging static susceptibility $\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}$ at $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}$. This gives an additional equation for one $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}$. If the unit cell contains more than one spin, the further relations for the various condensation terms corresponding to different Green's functions are given by[20] $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}/C_{\mathbf{Q}\gamma\delta} = \lim_{\mathbf{q}\to\mathbf{Q}}\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}\alpha\beta}/\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}\gamma\delta}$. The condensation term $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}$ is related to the order parameter $M_{\alpha\beta}$ by $M^2_{\alpha\beta} = 3|C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}|/2$ with $M^2_{\alpha\alpha}$ being the square of the magnetization[13] of a certain site α in the unit cell. In a short-range ordered phase the condensation terms are zero. For temperatures lower than the respective Curie temperature T_C both systems exhibit ferromagnetic LRO with $\mathbf{Q} = (0,0,0)$. In the kagomé case we have three spins per unit cell, and in principle six nonequivalent Green's functions have to be considered. However, due to symmetry we have $C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta}/C_{\mathbf{Q}\gamma\delta} = 1$, i.e. all three sites in the unit cell carry the same magnetization $M = M_{\alpha\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1,2,3$. The condensation term is obtained by demanding that the static susceptibility in the long-range ordered phase diverges at the magnetic wave vector $\mathbf{Q}$, which yields $J_\parallel\left(1 - 2\eta_\parallel\left(4c_{1,0,0} - c_{1,1,0} - c_{2,0,0}\right)\right) + 4J_\perp\left(\eta_\perp c_{1,0,1} - \eta_\parallel c_{1,0,0}\right) = 0$ for the stacked kagomé lattice and $J_\parallel\left(1 - 2\eta_\parallel\left(5c_{1,0,0} - 2c_{1,1,0} - c_{2,0,0}\right)\right) + 4J_\perp\left(\eta_\perp c_{1,0,1} - \eta_\parallel c_{1,0,0}\right) = 0$ for the stacked square lattice. At zero temperature the solution of our set of equations becomes exact, namely we find $c_{k,l,m} = C_{\mathbf{Q}\alpha\beta} = 1/6$ and $\eta_\parallel = \eta_\perp = 3/2$, and consequently $\langle \mathbf{S_0}\mathbf{S_R}\rangle = 1/4$ and $M_{\alpha\alpha} = 1/2$.

Further quantities of interest are the uniform static susceptibility $\chi = \lim_{\mathbf{q}\to\mathbf{0}}\chi^{+-}_{\mathbf{q}}/2$ and the intralayer and interlayer correlation lengths $\xi_\parallel$ and $\xi_\perp$, which can be calculated as described in Refs. 13,15,16,18,20.

Results: The critical temperature in dependence on the interlayer coupling $J_\perp$ is plotted in Fig. 2. Note that the RGM fulfills the Mermin-Wagner theorem[3], i.e. $T_C(J_\perp = 0) = 0$. In the inset of Fig. 2 we show $M(T)$ curves for $J_\perp = -0.2$. Obviously, the magnetization M at $0 < T < T_C$ and the value of T_C for the stacked kagomé lattice are always smaller than M and T_C for the stacked square lattice. The relation $T_C^{kagome} < T_C^{square}$ holds for any value of $J_\perp$ (see Fig. 2). To give a possible interpretation for that we have to look at the energy spectrum for the strictly 2D square and kagomé lattices.




FIG. 2: Curie temperature T_C as a function of the interlayer coupling $J_\perp/J_\parallel$. For comparison we give the empirical curves according to Eq. (2). The inset shows the magnetization M in dependence on temperature T for $J_\perp/J_\parallel = 0.2$.




FIG. 3: Inverse intralayer ($\xi_\parallel^{-1}$) and interlayer ($\xi_\perp^{-1}$) correlation lengths vs. T for $J_\perp/J_\parallel = 0.2$. The inset shows the inverse uniform static susceptibility χ for $J_\perp/J_\parallel = 0.2$.

The ground-state energy (lower bound of the spectrum) is $E_{min} = -0.5N$ for both lattices. However, the upper bound for the kagomé lattice $E_{max} = 0.434N$ is much lower than the corresponding value $E_{max} = 0.670N$ for the square lattice (see, e.g., Ref. 1). This upper bound is equal to the ground-state energy of the kagomé *antiferromagnet* (i.e. $J_\parallel = +1$) multiplied by minus one, and its small value signals strong frustration effects being relevant for eigenstates with antiferromagnetic spin-spin correlations. Hence, one can expect that excited states with antiferromagnetic spin correlations have lower energy for the kagomé ferromagnet resulting in a larger contribution to the partition function at $T > 0$ in comparison with the square-lattice ferromagnet. The observation $T_C^{kagome} < T_C^{square}$ corresponds to the data for the susceptibility χ and the correlation lengths ξ_ν, i.e., χ and ξ_ν for the stacked kagomé lattice are smaller than the corresponding values for the stacked square lattice (see below, Fig. 3).

In the limit $J_\perp/J_\parallel \ll 1$ we derive more explicit expressions for T_C following Ref. 6. We find $T_C = -J_\parallel\pi/(2\ln(-20T_C/9J_\perp))$ for the stacked square lattice and $T_C = -3J_\parallel\pi/(8\ln(-20T_C/9J_\perp))$ for the stacked kagomé lattice. Note that these expressions are of similar form as corresponding formulas for the Neél temperature T_N obtained by selfconsistent spin-wave theories.[6,8,9] We compare our data for T_C in Tab. I with results for the Neél temperature T_N of the stacked square-lattice antiferromagnet. For the simple cubic lattice (i.e. $J_\perp = J_\parallel$) our result for T_C exceeds the best available value for T_C obtained by high-order high-temperature series expansion[11] by 9% which can be considered as a test of the accuracy of the RGM results. This accuracy is much better than that of the Schwinger boson approach (compare 4th and 5th columns in Tab. I). Note that the accurate results of Ref. 11 show that $T_N > T_C$ for unfrustrated spin-half Heisenberg magnets, whereas an RPA

$J_\perp/J_\parallel$	square FM RGM	kagomé FM RGM	square AFM Schwinger[5]	square AFM QMC[10]
1	1.2351	1.1588	1.51	1.2589
0.5	0.9967	0.9213	1.24	1.0050
0.1	0.6723	0.6029	0.91	0.6553
0.05	0.5851	0.5209	–	0.5689
0.01	0.4439	0.3917	0.63	0.4352
0.005	0.4004	0.3556	–	0.3951
0.001	0.3244	0.2895	–	0.3257

TABLE I: Curie temperatures $T_C/\left(|J_\parallel|s\,(s+1)\right)$ of the $s = 1/2$ ferromagnet on the stacked square and stacked kagomé lattice calculated by the RGM. For comparison we show some results for the Neél temperature $T_N/\left(J_\parallel s(s+1)\right)$ of the stacked square-lattice antiferromagnet obtained by other approaches. For the simple cubic lattice high-order high-temperature series expansion[11] yields $T_C/\left(|J_\parallel|s\,(s+1)\right) = 1.119$ and $T_N/\left(|J_\parallel|s\,(s+1)\right) = 1.259$.

treatment[25] yields $T_N = T_C$. Therefore, the relation between T_C and T_N can be used as a further test of the RGM. Indeed, using the same set of vertex parameters[26] for $J_\perp = J_\parallel$ we obtain $T_N = 1.1225T_C$ which is in excellent agreement with Ref. 11.

The overall dependence of T_C versus $J_\perp$ resembles the results of Yasuda et al.[10] for T_N, see Tab. I. Therefore, we adopt their empirical formula

$$\frac{T_C}{|J_\parallel|} = \frac{A}{B - \ln(J_\perp/J_\parallel)}. \qquad (2)$$

The best agreement with our data is obtained for $A = 2.414$ (2.049) and $B = 2.506$ (2.315) for the stacked square (kagomé) ferromagnet, cf. Fig. 2.

The correlation lengths and the uniform static susceptibility are shown as functions of T in Fig. 3 for




FIG. 4: Short-range intra- and interlayer correlation functions $\langle \mathbf{S}_0 \mathbf{S}_\mathbf{R} \rangle$ for the stacked square lattice with $J_\perp/J_\parallel = 0.05$.

$J_\perp/J_\parallel = 0.2$. As expected, those quantities diverge at T_C and they go to zero for $T \to \infty$. The inverse susceptibility depends linearly on T for temperatures well above T_C, but it deviates from the linear Curie-Weiß law near T_C (see inset of Fig. 3).

Finally, we discuss the short-range spin-spin correlators. As an example we present their temperature dependence for the stacked square lattice for a weak interlayer coupling $J_\perp = -0.05$ in Fig. 4. For this value of $J_\perp$ we have $T_C = 0.4388$, i.e. for $T > 0.4388$ the long-range part of the spin-spin correlation function vanishes. It is obvious that the short-range intralayer and interlayer correlators behave differently. The interlayer correlators become very small at $T = T_C$ whereas the intralayer spin-spin-correlations are still well-pronounced. This behavior corresponds to the data for the intra- and interlayer correlation lengths, see Fig. 3, and indicates the crossover from three-dimensional magnetic LRO at $T < T_C$ to 2D SRO at $T > T_C$, if $|J_\perp| \ll |J_\parallel|$.

Summary: In this paper the thermodynamics of the spin-half Heisenberg ferromagnet on the stacked square and kagomé lattices has been investigated applying a spin-rotation-invariant Green's function method. We have calculated the Curie temperatures T_C in dependence on the interlayer coupling $J_\perp$, and simple empirical formulas for $T_C(J_\perp)$ are obtained from the numerical data. Studying short-range spin-spin correlation functions we see clearly a dimensional crossover at $T \sim T_C$ for strongly anisotropic systems. Comparing the values of T_C, of the magnetization, the correlation lengths and of the uniform static susceptibility we come to the conclusion that at $T > 0$ frustration becomes relevant for the stacked kagomé lattice leading to a weakening of magnetic order at finite temperatures and to $T_C^{kagome} < T_C^{square}$.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by the DFG (project Ri615/12-1, Ih13/7-1).

[1] J. Richter, J. Schulenburg, and A. Honecker, Lecture Notes in Physics **645**, 85 (2004).

[2] G. Misguich and C. Lhuillier, in "Frustrated spin systems", H. T. Diep, Ed. (World-Scientific, 2004).

[3] N. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **17**, 1133 (1966).

[4] S. Feldkemper, W. Weber, J.Schulenburg and J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B **52**, 313 (1995); Wei Ku, H. Rosner, W. E. Pickett, and R. T. Scalettar Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 167204 (2002); S.E.McLain, D.A.Tennant, J.F.C.Turner, T.Barnes, M.R.Dolgos, Th.Proffen, B.C.Sales, and R.I.Bewley, \protect\vrule width0pt\protect\href{http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0509194}{cond-mat/0509194} (2005).

[5] V.Y. Irkhin, A.A. Katanin, and M.I. Katsnelson, Phys. Lett. A **157** , 295, (1991).

[6] P. Kopietz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3480 (1992), J. Kappey, Diploma thesis, University of Göttingen (1995).

[7] F. Suzuki and C. Ishii, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62**, 3686 (1993).

[8] V.Y. Irkhin, A.A. Katanin, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 12318 (1997).

[9] V.Y. Irkhin, A.A. Katanin, and M.I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B **60**, 1082 (1999).

[10] C. Yasuda, S. Todo, K. Hukushima, F. Alet, M. Keller, M. Troyer, and H. Takayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 217201 (2005).

[11] J. Oitmaa and Weihong Zheng, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **16**, 8653 (2004).

[12] J. Kondo and K. Yamaji, Prog. Theor. Phys. **47**, 807 (1972).

[13] H. Shimahara and S. Takada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **60**, 2394 (1991).

[14] A. F. Barabanov and V. M. Berezovskii, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **63**, 3974 (1994).

[15] S. Winterfeldt and D. Ihle, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 5535 (1997), ibid. **59**, 6010 (1999).

[16] L. Siurakshina, D. Ihle, and R. Hayn, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 14601 (2000).

[17] B.H. Bernhard, B. Canals, and C. Lacroix, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 104424 (2002).

[18] D. Schmalfuß, J. Richter, and D. Ihle, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 184412 (2004).

[19] I. Junger, D. Ihle, J. Richter, and A. Klümper, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 104419 (2004).

[20] D. Schmalfuß, PhD thesis, University of Magdeburg (2005).

[21] P. Lecheminant, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, L. Pierre, and P. Sindzingre, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 2521 (1997).

[22] C. Waldtmann, H.-U. Everts, B. Bernu, P. Sindzingre, C. Lhuillier, P. Lecheminant, and L. Pierre, Eur. Phys. J. B **2**, 501-507 (1998).

[23] J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, J. Schnack, J. Richter, and H.-J. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 167207 (2002).

[24] S.V. Tyablikov, Methods in the quantum theory of magnetism (Plenum, New York, 1967).

[25] A. Du and G.Z. Wei, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **137**, 343 (1994).

[26] The stacked square-lattice Heisenberg antiferromagnet was treated within the RGM in Ref. 16. However, in that paper an additional vertex parameter was introduced which results in slightly different values for T_N compared to the scheme used in the present paper.