

Automatic Generation of Matrix Element Derivatives for Tight Binding Models

Ailin M. Elena and Matthias Meier
Atomic Simulation Centre,
Department of Physics and Astronomy,
Queen's University Belfast
Belfast BT7 1NN, UK, EU

23rd March 2022

Abstract

Tight binding (TB) models are one approach to the quantum mechanical many particle problem. An important role in TB models is played by hopping and overlap matrix elements between the orbitals on two atoms, which of course depend on the relative positions of the atoms involved. This dependence can be expressed with the help of Slater-Koster parameters, which are usually taken from tables. Recently, a way to generate these tables automatically was published. If TB approaches are applied to simulations of the dynamics of a system, also derivatives of matrix elements can appear. In this work we give general expressions for first and second derivatives of such matrix elements. Implemented in a computer program they obviate the need to type all the required derivatives of all occurring matrix elements by hand.

1 Introduction

Tight binding is an approach to the quantum mechanical many particle problem particularly valuable in cases where exact analytic solutions are not available (i.e. almost always) and other numerical approaches like CI or DFT are too time-consuming. For an overview see e.g. [1,2]. The general structure of the TB equations can be derived from DFT [1,3,4]. As is not uncommon in quantum mechanics, matrix elements of operators and overlap matrix elements between states occur also in TB. The distinctive feature of TB is that these matrix elements are considered to arise from atomic-like orbitals. The precise way in which these matrix elements are obtained defines the type of TB approach. The matrix elements can be actually calculated from orbitals localised at atoms, with the orbitals obtained in some way before. Another possibility is to consider the matrix elements as disposable parameters (empirical TB). The matrix elements depend on the relative positions of the atoms involved. Regardless whether the matrix elements are obtained from atom-centred orbitals or introduced as parameters, it is important that this dependence on the relative position has the basic characteristics brought about by the properties of atomic orbitals. In particular, the angular dependence of the overlap of two orbitals located at different atoms is determined by the angular momentum quantum numbers of the orbitals. For two-centre matrix elements, i.e. those which depend on the positions of two atoms only, this angular dependence can be expressed in terms of Slater-Koster coefficients [5], which are published in various tables, e.g. [5,6]. Many TB schemes actually restrict to two-centre integrals, neglecting matrix elements with three or more centres. For a discussion of this see for example [1]. With increasing angular momentum quantum number l the length of the corresponding Slater-Koster tables and also the length of individual entries in such tables increase rapidly. Therefore a procedure to calculate the Slater-Koster coefficients automatically is very useful. Such an approach has been presented in [7].

E-mail: Ailin.Elena@qub.ac.uk




Figure 1: The geometric situation. Atom 1 is located at the origin. The quantities $R^x; R^y; R^z$ are the Cartesian coordinates of atom 2.

If the TB approach is applied to studies of the dynamics of a system (e.g. a molecule), also derivatives of the matrix elements with respect to the positions of the atoms occur, more precisely derivatives of first and second order [8]. To an even higher degree than for the matrix elements themselves, the expressions to be handled (typed into a computer) quickly become numerous and very complicated with increasing l . Therefore general expressions for these derivatives are most helpful. In this work, building on [7], we present such expressions, which, while they may still look a bit awkward, can be well implemented in a TB code.

In section 2 we summarise results of [7] and establish the starting point for the subsequent sections 3 and 4, which contain our results for the first and second derivatives, respectively, of a general two-centre atomic-like matrix element. Some problems with the coordinates chosen are discussed in section 5.

2 Matrix elements

For the convenience of the reader and also to introduce our notation this section summarises results of [7] on the general form of Slater-Koster matrix elements.

Let us consider two atoms, 1 at position \mathbf{r}_1 , 2 at position \mathbf{r}_2 , and a quantum state on each of the atoms, characterised by angular and magnetic quantum numbers $l_1; m_1$ and $l_2; m_2$, respectively. These quantum numbers determine the symmetry properties of the states relevant to the subsequent discussion, whereas other quantum numbers play no explicit role. Let $\mathbf{r}_{12} = \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{r}_1 =: \mathbf{r}_{12}$ be the connecting vector of atom 1 and 2. In the two-centre approximation, all matrix elements appearing in a tight binding approach depend only on the positions of two atoms and can be rephrased to depend only on the connecting vector. Therefore one of the atoms can be taken to be situated in the origin of the coordinate system, as illustrated in figure 1. The Cartesian coordinates of atom 2 then are $R^x; R^y; R^z$, and $\alpha; \beta$ are the Euler angles of the rotation bringing the z -axis into alignment with the connecting vector. α is defined as the rotation angle about the z -axis starting from the positive x -axis, $0 \leq \alpha < 2\pi$; β gives the rotation angle about the new y^0 -axis (obtained as result of the α -rotation), starting from the positive z -axis, $0 \leq \beta \leq \pi$. Note that our convention for the first Euler angle differs from [7]. A possible rotation about the connecting vector by a third Euler angle is irrelevant for our purposes and will not be considered. Between the Euler angles and the Cartesian coordinates the following relations hold (with $R =$

$$p \sqrt{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2 + (R^z)^2} :$$

$$\cos = \frac{R^z}{R}; \quad \sin = \frac{r}{1 + \frac{(R^z)^2}{R^2}} \quad (1)$$

$$\cos = \frac{R^x}{\sqrt{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2}}; \quad \sin = \frac{R^y}{\sqrt{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2}}; \quad \text{if } (R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2 \neq 0 \quad (2)$$

The case $(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2 = 0$ implies $x = 0$ or $y = 0$; is undetermined in this case and we will look at this problem in section 5.

The central point is to express a spherical harmonic $Y_m(\theta, \phi)$ of the unrotated coordinates (i.e. θ is measured from the positive z-axis, ϕ from the positive x-axis) as a linear combination of spherical harmonics $Y_m(\theta', \phi')$ in the rotated frame (θ' from positive z'-axis, ϕ' from the new x-axis):

$$f(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ i' \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}) = Y_{lm} (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ i' \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})_{i' \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} } = \sum_{m=0}^X C_{lm}^m Y_{lm} (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix})_{i' \begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix} } \quad (3)$$

Only functions of the same l are involved, because the operator effecting the rotation by the Euler angles

$$R(\vec{r}; \vec{r}) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} J_z 0} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} J_y \pi} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} J_z} \quad (4)$$

(where \bar{y} denotes the intermediate y -direction after the rotation, $z^0 =$ the nal z -direction) commutes with L^2 [9]. From (3) we have

$$C_{lm}^0 = \frac{Z}{D} Y_{lm}^{(0)}(\theta_0, \phi_0) Y_{lm}^{(0)}(\theta'_0, \phi'_0) \sin \theta_0 d\theta_0 d\phi_0 = \frac{Y_{lm}^{(0)}}{D} R Y_{lm}^{(0)} = e^{im\phi_0} \frac{Y_{lm}^{(0)}}{D} P_l^m(\cos \theta_0) Y_{lm}^{(0)} e^{im\phi_0} = : e^{im\phi_0} d_{lm0}^l(\theta_0) e^{im\phi_0} \quad (5)$$

where $d_{m_0}^1(\cdot)$ is the Wigner d-function. For our purposes θ can always be chosen equal to 0, so

$$Y_{\mathbf{m}}((\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{smallmatrix}); (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{smallmatrix})) = e^{i\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{X}} \alpha_{\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{0}}^{-1} Y_{\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{0}}(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{smallmatrix}); (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & \\ & 0 \end{smallmatrix})) \quad (6)$$

Like [7] we use the phase convention $Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) = (-1)^m Y_{l,-m}^*(\theta, \phi)$ and define real-valued spherical harmonics

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{Y}_{10} &:= Y_{10} \\ m > 0: \quad \overline{Y}_{1m} &:= \frac{P}{2} (1)^m \operatorname{Re} Y_{1jm} \\ m < 0: \quad \overline{Y}_{1m} &:= \frac{P}{2} (1)^m \operatorname{Im} Y_{1jm} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Relations (7) can be summarized as

$$\overline{Y}_{1m} = {}_m 0 Y_{10} + (1 - {}_m 0)^{\frac{p}{2}} (1 - {}_m 0)^m (m) \operatorname{Re} Y_{1jm} + (1 - {}_m 0) \operatorname{Im} Y_{1jm} \quad (8)$$

(m) is the discrete Heaviside function

$$(\eta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m < 0 \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

In the rotated coordinate system we consider "fundamental" matrix elements of a spherically symmetric operator H (this includes $H = I$ for simple overlaps between wavefunctions)

$$Z \quad \overline{Y}_{l_1 m_1}^{(-)}(r_1; \mathbf{r}_1') f_1(\mathbf{r}) H f_2(\mathbf{r}) R \sim \overline{Y}_{l_2 m_2}^{(-)}(r_2; \mathbf{r}_2') \alpha^3 r = (l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2)_{m_1 m_2} \quad (10)$$

where $(\mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{l}_2, \mathbf{m}_1, \mathbf{n}_1)$ is defined by (10). These quantities satisfy

$$(l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2) = (-1)^{l_1 - l_2} (l_2 l_1 j_1 j_2) \quad (11)$$

Here the exchange $l_1 \leftrightarrow l_2$ refers to an interchange of atoms and implies $\sim ! \sim$. Because of the relation (11) not all the matrix elements are independent. It is therefore sufficient to specify the elements for which $l_1 \leq l_2$; the number of independent parameters in a tight binding computer program is thus reduced.

The Slater-Koster tables express a general matrix element between unrotated functions

$$\int d^3r \bar{Y}_{l_1 m_1} f_1 H f_2 \bar{Y}_{l_2 m_2} = h_{l_1 m_1 j H j l_2 m_2 i} \quad (12)$$

in terms of the fundamental matrix elements (10) and coefficients depending on the angular momentum quantum numbers of the states involved. The general form of the relation between (10) and (12) according to [7] is

$$h_{l_1 m_1 j H j l_2 m_2 i}(\mathbf{r}; R) = \sum_{m=0}^{X^<} S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}(\mathbf{r}; R) S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}(\mathbf{r}; R) + T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}(\mathbf{r}; R) T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}(\mathbf{r}; R) \quad (13)$$

$$+ (l_2 j n_0)(R) + 2A_{m_1}(\mathbf{r}) A_{m_2}(\mathbf{r}) d_{j n_1 j}^{l_1}(\mathbf{r}) d_{j n_2 j}^{l_2}(\mathbf{r}) (l_1 l_2 0)(R)$$

where

$$A_m(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m (m) \cos(jn j) + (-m) \sin(jn j) & \text{if } m \neq 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

$$B_m(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} (-1)^m (m) \cos(jn j) - (m) \sin(jn j) & \text{if } m \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m = 0 \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

$$S_{m m_0}^1 = A_m(\mathbf{r}) (-1)^{m_0} d_{j n j m_0}^1 + d_{j n j m_0}^1 \quad (16)$$

$$T_{m m_0}^1 = B_m(\mathbf{r}) (-1)^{m_0} d_{j n j m_0}^1 - d_{j n j m_0}^1 \quad (17)$$

An explicit form of the Wigner d-function is

$$d_{m m_0}^1(\mathbf{r}) = 2^{-1} (-1)^{l m_0} (l+m)! (l-m)! (l+m_0)! (l-m_0)!^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (18)$$

$$\sum_{k=k_>}^{X^<} \frac{(-1)^k (1-\cos \theta)^{1-k} \left(\frac{m+m_0}{2}\right)^k (1+\cos \theta)^{k+\frac{m+m_0}{2}}}{k! (l-m-k)! (l-m_0-k)! (m+m_0+k)!}$$

with $k_< = \min(l_1; l_2)$; $k_< = \min(l-m; l-m_0)$; $k_> = \max(0; m-m_0)$. Expression (18) has been obtained from [10], replacing $(\cos(\theta/2))^2$ with $(1+\cos \theta)/2$ and $(\sin(\theta/2))^2$ with $(1-\cos \theta)/2$. The summation limits in (18) are obtained from imposing the existence condition on the factorials. They differ from [7] but they assure the minimum number of terms in the sum. As is shown in [11] these conditions guarantee that the function is always defined.

We recover the classical notation of fundamental matrix elements replacing the quantum numbers l and m with their spectroscopic notation ($l = f, 0, 1, 2, \dots; g, !, f, s, p, d, \dots; g, j, n, j = f, 0, 1, 2, \dots; g, !, f, ;, ;, \dots; g$). For the evaluation of $\cos(\theta)$ or $\sin(\theta)$ in A_m and B_m the following relations (Moiré) are useful:

$$\cos(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{m!}{2k!} (\sin \theta)^{2k} (\cos \theta)^{m-2k} \quad (19)$$

$$\sin(\theta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k \frac{m!}{2k+1!} (\sin \theta)^{2k+1} (\cos \theta)^{m-2k-1}$$

Here $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the largest integer not larger than x .

3 First derivative of matrix elements

The matrix elements have been expressed in (13) as functions of θ , ϕ , R . In tight binding molecular dynamics simulations the derivatives of the matrix elements with respect to the Cartesian components of the connecting vector are required. We express θ , ϕ , R as functions of R^x, R^y, R^z . As $\theta = \arccos(R^z/R)$ we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial (R^z/R)} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^z} \frac{\partial R^z}{\partial R^a} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^z} \frac{R^z}{R} = \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^z} (R^z/R) = \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^z} (R^z/R) \quad (20)$$

and, if $R^x \neq 0$, $\tan \phi = R^y/R^x$ yields $\phi = \arctan(R^y/R^x) + \pi$, where

$$\phi = \begin{cases} \arctan(R^y/R^x) & R^x > 0 \\ \arctan(R^y/R^x) + \pi & R^x < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} = \frac{1}{1 + (R^y/R^x)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^x} \frac{R^y}{R^x} = \frac{R^x}{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^x} - \frac{R^y}{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^y} \quad (21)$$

The case $R^x = 0, R^y \neq 0$ implies $\cos \phi = 0$, meaning $\phi = \pi/2$ or $3\pi/2$; the last two expressions in (21) are valid in this case, too.

If $R^x = 0$ and $R^y = 0$ the connecting vector is aligned along the z-axis, and $R^z = R$. Consequently ϕ is undefined and there are also potential problems in (20). The situation at $R^z = R$ is considered in section 5. The expressions in the present section are valid everywhere except at the poles $R^z = R$.

Let us introduce the notation $F(l_1 m_1 j_1 l_2 m_2 i; \theta, \phi; R)$. The derivative then is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} F(l_1 m_1 j_1 l_2 m_2 i) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial R^a} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial R^a} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} \frac{\partial R}{\partial R^a} = \frac{R^x}{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} - \frac{R^y}{(R^x)^2 + (R^y)^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} + \frac{R^z}{R^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} + \frac{R^a}{R} \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} \quad (22)$$

From (13) the derivatives of F are

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} &= \sum_{m=0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \theta} S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \theta} T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \theta} (l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2) \\ &+ 2 \frac{dA_{m_1}}{d\theta} A_{m_2} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) + 2 A_{m_1} \frac{dA_{m_2}}{d\theta} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{m=0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \theta} S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \theta} T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \theta} (l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2) \\ &+ 2 j_1 j_2 A_{m_1} A_{m_2} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) + 2 j_1 j_2 A_{m_1} A_{m_2} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \phi} &= \sum_{m=0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \phi} S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1}}{\partial \phi} T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2}}{\partial \phi} (l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2) \\ &+ 2 A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \frac{d d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1}}{d\phi} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) + 2 A_{m_1} A_{m_2} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} \frac{d d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2}}{d\phi} (l_1 l_2 0) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial R} = \sum_{m=0}^{l_1} S_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m_0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m_0}^{l_2} \frac{d(l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2)}{dR} + 2 A_{m_1} A_{m_2} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_1} d_{j_1 j_2}^{l_2} \frac{d(l_1 l_2 0)}{dR} \quad (25)$$

The derivatives of $S_{m m^0}^1$ and $T_{m m^0}^1$ with respect to \mathbf{r} are given by

$$\frac{\partial S_{m m^0}^1}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = \frac{dA_m}{d\mathbf{r}} (1)^{m^0} d_{j m^0}^1 + d_{j m^0}^1 = j_m \mathcal{B}_m (1)^{m^0} d_{j m^0}^1 + d_{j m^0}^1 \quad (26)$$

$$\frac{\partial S_{m m^0}^1}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = A_m (1)^{m^0} \frac{dd_{j m^0}^1}{d\mathbf{r}} + \frac{dd_{j m^0}^1}{d\mathbf{r}} \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial T_{m m^0}^1}{\partial \mathbf{r}} &= \frac{dB_m}{d\mathbf{r}} (1)^{m^0} d_{j m^0}^1 + d_{j m^0}^1 \\ &= j_m \mathcal{A}_m (1)^{m^0} d_{j m^0}^1 + d_{j m^0}^1 \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$\frac{\partial T_{m m^0}^1}{\partial \mathbf{r}} = B_m (1)^{m^0} \frac{dd_{j m^0}^1}{d\mathbf{r}} + \frac{dd_{j m^0}^1}{d\mathbf{r}} \quad (29)$$

where use has been made of

$$\frac{dA_m}{d\mathbf{r}} = j_m \mathcal{B}_m; \quad \frac{dB_m}{d\mathbf{r}} = j_m \mathcal{A}_m \quad (30)$$

The derivatives of the Wigner d-function can be expressed as

$$\frac{dd_{m m^0}^1}{d\mathbf{r}} = \frac{1}{2} (1+m^0)(1-m^0+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{m m^0+1}^1 - \frac{1}{2} (1-m^0)(1+m^0+1)^{\frac{1}{2}} d_{m m^0-1}^1 \quad (31)$$

and are defined for $j_m \geq 1$. The radial part of our function is given by the fundamental matrix elements defined in (10), which are model dependent quantities. Their derivatives with respect to \mathbf{R} are thus also model dependent.

4 Second derivative of matrix elements

Relations (30) and (31) are recursive relations for computing the derivatives of $A_m, B_m, d_{m m^0}^1$, so in principle we can compute any higher derivative of the matrix elements. The tight binding approaches we are aware of require derivatives with respect to the nuclear positions up to second order. Below we list the corresponding expressions for these second order derivatives, valid at all points except the poles, which will be discussed in section 5.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^b \partial R^a} h_{l m^0}^1 j_H j_{l m^0}^1 &= \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^b \partial R^a} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{R^b}{R} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \\ &\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^b \partial R^a} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} + \frac{R^b}{R} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \\ &\frac{ab}{R} \frac{R^a R^b}{R^3} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{R^a}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial R^b} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r} \partial \mathbf{r}} + \frac{R^b}{R} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \mathbf{r}^2} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where the derivatives involved are given by

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^b \partial R^a} = \frac{z^b R^a R^2 + \frac{ab}{R^2} R^z R^a R^b}{R^4} - \frac{R^z R^a}{R^2} \frac{R^2 z^a}{(R^z)^2} - \frac{R^b}{R^2} \frac{R^z z^b}{(R^z)^2} \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^b \partial R^a} = \frac{x^b y^a}{(R^x)^2} + \frac{y^b x^a}{(R^y)^2} - 2 \frac{R^x y^a}{(R^x)^2} - \frac{R^y x^a}{(R^y)^2} + \frac{R^x x^b}{(R^x)^2} + \frac{R^y y^b}{(R^y)^2} \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2} = 2A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} \frac{d^2}{dR^2} (l_1 l_2 0) + \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \frac{d^2}{dR^2} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (35)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial^2} &= 2 j_{m_1 m_2} \mathcal{B}_{m_1} B_{m_2} (m_1^2 + m_2^2) A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) \\ &+ \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (36) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial^2} &= 2A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \frac{d^2}{d^2} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} + 2 \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} + \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \frac{d^2}{d^2} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) \\ &+ \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial^2} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (37) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \partial} &= 2 j_{m_1} \mathcal{B}_{m_1} A_{m_2} + j_{m_2} \mathcal{A}_{m_1} B_{m_2} \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} + \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 0) \\ &+ \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (38) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R \partial} &= 2 j_{m_1} \mathcal{B}_{m_1} A_{m_2} + j_{m_2} \mathcal{A}_{m_1} B_{m_2} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} \frac{d}{dR} (l_1 l_2 0) + \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \frac{d}{dR} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (39) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R \partial} &= 2A_{m_1} A_{m_2} \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} + \alpha_{j_{m_1} j}^{l_1} \frac{d}{d} \alpha_{j_{m_2} j}^{l_2} \frac{d}{dR} (l_1 l_2 0) \\ &+ \sum_{m^0=1}^{X^<} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + S_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} S_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} + \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \\ &+ T_{m_1 m^0}^{l_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial} T_{m_2 m^0}^{l_2} \frac{d}{dR} (l_1 l_2 j_{m^0}) \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_{m m 0}^1}{\partial^2} = \frac{d^2 A_m}{d^2} (1)^m d_{j m 0}^1 + d_{j m 0}^1 = m^2 A_m (1)^m d_{j m 0}^1 + d_{j m 0}^1 \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 S_{m m 0}^1}{\partial^2} = A_m (1)^m \frac{d^2 d_{j m 0}^1}{d^2} + \frac{d^2 d_{j m 0}^1}{d^2} \quad (42)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 T_{m m 0}^1}{\partial^2} &= \frac{d^2 B_m}{d^2} (1)^m d_{j m 0}^1 - d_{j m 0}^1 \\ &= m^2 B_m (1)^m d_{j m 0}^1 - d_{j m 0}^1 \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 T_{m m 0}^1}{\partial^2} = B_m (1)^m \frac{d^2 d_{j m 0}^1}{d^2} - \frac{d^2 d_{j m 0}^1}{d^2} \quad (44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 S_{m m 0}^1}{\partial \partial} &= \frac{\partial^2 S_{m m 0}^1}{\partial \partial} = \frac{d A_m}{d} (1)^m \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} + \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} \\ &= j m B_m (1)^m \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} + \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 T_{m m 0}^1}{\partial \partial} &= \frac{\partial^2 T_{m m 0}^1}{\partial \partial} = \frac{d B_m}{d} (1)^m \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} - \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} \\ &= j m B_m (1)^m \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} - \frac{d d_{j m 0}^1}{d} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

5 The poles $R = R^z$

If the second atom is located on the z-axis, the azimuthal angle cannot be defined. The derivative of ψ with respect to R^x or R^y diverges as the connecting vector approaches the z-axis, i.e. if $R^z \rightarrow R$. In the same limit $\partial/\partial R^z \rightarrow 0$ and the derivatives of ψ with respect to R^x or R^y remain bounded, but do not converge. Related behaviour is found for the second derivatives as well. These problems are of course due to a well known coordinate singularity, but, given that we need some expressions at the poles (to type into a computer, eventually), we have to look at this case. A further issue to be addressed is how to handle the dependence of F and of its derivatives on ψ at the poles, i.e. what value of ψ to plug into the expressions where this angle is not defined. In order to solve both problems it is helpful to recall what exactly the Euler angles mean, see section 2. If both atoms are on the z-axis, a rotation about this axis does not change the matrix element between any two orbitals, because both orbitals get rotated by the same angle. Therefore there is no ψ -dependence for the matrix element, as can also be verified by evaluating (13) in the limit $\psi \rightarrow 0$; . A partial derivative is the limit of a differential quotient. At the poles this means the difference between the value of the matrix element at some point P and the value at the pole, divided by the difference in the respective Cartesian coordinate we are considering, taken in the limit that P approaches the pole. But, because our point of reference is a pole, the choice of P , even if at an "infinitesimal" distance from the pole, determines the angle ψ by which to rotate around the z-axis, before a rotation around the thus obtained y^0 -axis by the angle θ . Therefore, regardless along which direction in the xy-plane we move away from the pole, we are always in the situation shown in figure 2; this is also evident from the azimuthal symmetry about the pole. For we thus have in this case $\psi = \arccos(R^z/R)$ with $R = \sqrt{(R^z)^2 + (R^B)^2}$, and it follows

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R^y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial R^B} = \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{R^z R^B}{(R^z)^2} = \frac{R^z}{R^2} \neq \frac{1}{R} \quad (47)$$




Figure 2: Geometry for the derivatives at the poles. For $\partial = \partial R^x$ the x - and z -axis are as shown, the y -axis, as is also indicated, is pointing towards the reader. For $\partial = \partial R^y$ a rotation about the z -axis by $\pi/2$ is necessary, and instead of the x - and y -axis we obtain the x^0 - and y^0 -axis, the latter pointing towards the reader. As far as β is concerned, both situations are identical. The reader should note that R^B is always nonnegative by construction.

where the limit is for $\beta \rightarrow 0$; $\beta \rightarrow \pi/2$, respectively. The result

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^z} = \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{R^z}{R}} \quad \beta \rightarrow 0 \quad (48)$$

is as expected. Note that the total derivative of β exists everywhere, but is not continuous at the poles; thus there will be no total second derivative of β at the poles.

The meaning of the Euler angles leads to the following choice for the values of β to be used in partial first derivatives of F at the poles: F and $\partial F = \partial R$ do not depend on β at the poles, as follows from (13), so its choice is arbitrary. For expressions involving $\partial F = \partial$, the choice to be made is $\beta = 0$ for x -derivatives and $\beta = \pi/2$ for y -derivatives. The same choices of β , applied to (20) for $\partial = \partial R^x$ and $\partial = \partial R^y$, respectively, reproduce the result (47).

Any deviation from the pole can be entirely expressed in terms of changes in R and β , only enters to x the direction of the deviation. Partial derivatives with respect to β , i.e. changing β while keeping R and R^z fixed, can't be made sense of at the poles. They do not occur. As furthermore we have $\partial R = \partial R^a = \frac{z^a}{R}$ at $R^z = R$, the general expression for the first derivatives of a matrix element at $\beta = 0$; $\beta = \pi/2$, respectively, is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial R^a} h_{l_1 m_1 j_1} h_{l_2 m_2 j_2} = \frac{z^a}{R} \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} \quad x^a \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} j = 0 \quad y^a \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \beta} j = -2 \quad (49)$$

Turning to the second partial derivatives, we find that all but one can be expressed in terms of derivatives of F , β , and R . The problematic one is the mixed $R^x R^y$ -derivative, because it involves two different directions in the xy -plane and thus two conflicting values of β to be used in F and its derivatives. All other second partial derivatives involve at most one of the variables R^x or R^y , and the reasoning for the first partial derivatives, as illustrated in Figure 2, can be carried over. We end at the poles

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (R^x)^2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (R^y)^2} = 0; \quad \frac{\partial^2}{\partial (R^z)^2} = 0;$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^x \partial R^z} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^y \partial R^z} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^z \partial R^x} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^z \partial R^y} = \frac{1}{R^2}$$

As in the case of the first derivatives, derivatives with respect to θ cannot be made sense of at the poles. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial (R^z)^2} h_{l_1; m_1}^j h_{l_2; m_2}^i &= \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial R^2} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^z \partial R^x} h_{l_1; m_1}^j h_{l_2; m_2}^i &= \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} j_{=0} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial R} j_{=0} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^z \partial R^y} h_{l_1; m_1}^j h_{l_2; m_2}^i &= \frac{1}{R^2} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \theta} j_{=-2} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial \theta \partial R} j_{=-2}\end{aligned}\quad (50)$$

where the mixed derivatives are symmetric.

For the mixed second derivative with respect to R^x and R^y we have to think of the Cartesian form of the expression (13). There, from each coefficient multiplying a fundamental matrix element $(l_1 l_2 j_1 j_2)$ we extract, if possible, a factor $\sin \theta \cos \theta (\sin \theta)^{-2}$; this corresponds to $(R^x R^y) = (R^2)$. It is easily seen that at the poles only those terms contribute to the derivative in question where this extraction is possible and where the rest of the coefficient after the extraction does not vanish. If we do this analysis, we find that the only nonvanishing derivatives are at $\theta = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^x \partial R^y} h_{l_1; 0}^j h_{l_2; -2}^i &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{2R^2} \frac{(l_2 + 2)(l_2 + 1)l_2(l_2 - 1)(l_1 l_2 0)}{(1 - l_1 0) P \frac{1}{2R^2} l_1(l_1 + 1)(l_2 + 2)(l_2 - 1)(l_1 l_2 1) +} \\ &\quad (1 - l_1 0)(1 - l_1 1) \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{2R^2} \frac{(l_1 + 2)(l_1 + 1)l_1(l_1 - 1)(l_1 l_2 2)}{(1 - l_1 0)(1 - l_1 1) P \frac{1}{2R^2} l_1(l_1 + 1)(l_2 + 2)(l_2 - 1)(l_1 l_2 1) +}\end{aligned}\quad (51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial R^x \partial R^y} h_{l_1; +1}^j h_{l_2; -1}^i &= \frac{1}{R^2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{P}{l_1(l_1 + 1)l_2(l_2 + 1)(l_1 l_2 0)} - \frac{1}{4} [l_1(l_1 + 1) + l_2(l_2 + 1)](l_1 l_2 1)\end{aligned}\quad (52)$$

At $\theta = \pi$ we obtain $(-1)^{l_1 + l_2}$ these values.

We think that the expressions we have given in the previous sections and completed in this one are suitable for implementation in a TB-based molecular dynamics code. Though the expressions are somewhat lengthy, they are well structured and can be coded by successive function calls. The functions A_m , B_m , and $d_{m m 0}^1$ are expressed explicitly in the code, $S_{m m 0}^1$, $T_{m m 0}^1$ and their derivatives with respect to θ are implemented in terms of these quantities. Derivatives with respect to θ are evaluated calling derivatives of the Wigner d-function, which themselves are stated as combinations of Wigner d-functions.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by EPSRC under grant GR/S80165.

References

- [1] M. F. Innis, *Interatomic forces in condensed matter* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [2] C. M. Goringe, D. R. Bowler, E. Hernandez, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* 60, 1447 (1997).
- [3] W. M. Foulkes, R. Haydock, *Phys. Rev. B* 39, 12520 (1989).
- [4] Th. Frauenheim et al., *Phys. Stat. Sol. (B)* 217, 41 (2000).

- [5] J.C.Slater, G.F.Koster, Phys.Rev. 94, 1498 (1954).
- [6] R.R.Sharma, Phys.Rev.B 19, 2813 (1979).
- [7] A.V.Podolskiy, P.Vogl, Phys.Rev.B 69, 233101 (2004).
- [8] T.N.Todorov, J.Phys.: Condens.Matter 13, 10125 (2001).
- [9] A.S.Dawydov, Quantenmechanik (8th edition, Leipzig: Barth, 1992).
- [10] D.A.Varshalovich et al, Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum (Singapore: World Scientific, 1988).
- [11] B.Hourahine, Cartesian form of rotation matrices
<http://www.wsitum.de/t33/research/codes/tbmatrix/corollary.pdf>