Dynam ical frustration in ANNNIm odel and annealing

Parongam a Sen and Pratap Kum ar Das

Department of Physics, University of Calcutta, 92 Acharya Prafulla Chandra Road, Kolkata 700009, India.

Zero tem perature quench in the A xial N ext N earest N eighbour Ising (ANNN I) m odel fails to bring it to its ground state for a certain range of values of the frustration parameter , the ratio of the next nearest neighbour antiferrom agnetic interaction strength to the nearest neighbour one. W e apply several annealing m ethods, both classical and quantum , and observe that the behaviour of the residual energy and the order parameter depends on the value of strongly. C lassical or therm al annealing is found to be adequate for sm all values of . However, neither classical nor quantum annealing is e ective at values of close to the fully frustrated point = 0.5, where the residual energy shows a very slow algebraic decay with the num ber of MCCS.

K eywords: frustration, zero tem perature quenching, dom ain dynam ics, sm allworld network, freezing, Suzuki Trotter m apping

I. IN TRODUCTION

Simulated annealing is usually applied to system swith frustration, like spin glasses and optim isation problem s, where the energy landscape is complex with many spurious minima. There are certain other systems, however, which have very simple energy landscape picture and ground states, but still the system fails to reach its ground state during a energy-low ering dynam ical process. This situation corresponds to \dynam ical frustration". We have speci cally considered the case of the axial next nearest neighbour (ANNNI) chain, where such a situation is encountered. In section II, we elaborate the notion of dynam ic frustration with examples and in section III, the dynam ics in ANNNIm odel is discussed in detail. The results of application of the classical and quantum annealing are discussed in sections IV and V. Summary and som e concluding com m ents are given in the last section.

II.DYNAM IC FRUSTRATION IN ISING MODELS

Q uenching dynamics in magnetic systems has been a topic of intense research over the last few decades. In quenching dynamics, the system has a disordered initial con guration corresponding to a high temperature. As the temperature is suddenly decreased quite a few interesting phenomena take place like domain growth [1,2], persistence $[3\{6\}]$ etc.

The Ising m odelm aybe regarded as the sim plest m odel describing m agnetic properties of m any real system s and it shows a rich dynam ical behaviour with respect to the above phenom ena. The dynam ics of Ising m odels has been extensively studied in lattices of di erent dim ensions as well as on graphs and networks.

In dynam ical studies, the system is allowed to evolve from the initial con guration following a certain prescription and the commonly used dynam ical rule at zero tem perature is the G lauber dynam ics, i.e., a spin is chosen random ly and ipped if it makes the energy lesser, not ipped if energy increases and ipped with probability 1/2 if there is no energy change.




FIG.1. Domain coarsening in one dimensional Ising model: the spin at the boundary of the left domain wall ips making the two domain walls move closer (Top: earlier picture, bottom : later picture).

The zero tem perature determ inistic dynam ics in Ising models can be visualised as the motion of interfaces and the domains grow in size as the interfaces annihilate on approaching each other (Fig. 1). In one dimension, a zero tem perature quench of the Ising model ultimately leads to the equilibrium con guration, i.e., all spins point up (or down).

In two or higher dimensions, how ever, the system does not always reach equilibrium [7{12]. Such a situation corresponds to dynam ical frustration when the system gets frozen in a metastable state which does not correspond to the ground state. For example, in the two dimensional lattice (Fig. 2), the dynam ics stops at a higher energy when the domain walls are straight and appear without any corner. The system thus acquires a \striped phase", where the number of stripes is an even number. In dimensions higher than two, there maybe other kind of frozen states in which the system gets locked.

This kind of freezing or blocking is also encountered in ferrom agnetic Ising models on random graphs and sm all world networks where there are nite number of random long range bonds. In the random graph, any two spins are connected with a nite probability while in the sm all world network, random long range connections occur in addition to nearest neighbour links. In these cases, the dom ain walls m ay get pinned resulting in a frozen state [13{17]. Recently, freezing has been observed on scalefree networks also, where, although the system is locked in an excited state, the dynamics continues inde nitely [18].




FIG.2. Striped phase in two dimensional Ising model under zero tem perature quenching dynamics - the dom ain walls are straight and no spin ips can occur.

In the above examples of freezing in Ising models on nite dimensional lattices, graphs and networks, a few things are to be noted

(a) The ground state is simple in all the cases

(b) There is no frustration arising out of the interactions in the system .

In addition, power law scalings with time (e.g., domain size $t^{1=z}$) exist for the nite dimensional lattices [19] but in the case of networks or random graphs, one has an exponential relaxation behaviour consistent with the mean eld nature of these systems [20{23].

III. DYNAM ICS IN ANNNICHA IN

W e will now discuss the dynamics in the axial next nearest neighbour Ising (ANNNI) model [24] in which there is frustration but no random ness or disorder. The ANNNI model in one dimension is described by the Ham iltonian

$$H = J_1 S_i S_{i+1} + J_2 S_i S_{i+2}$$
(1)

The ground state of this model is well-known: it is ferror agnetic for $= J_2=J_1 < 0.5$; antiphase for $= J_2=J_1 > 0.5$ and highly frustrated for $J_2=J_1 = 0.5$: All con gurations having domains of size 2 are ground states at the point = 0.5, which we call the fully frustrated point.

The dynam ics of the ANNNI chain has quite a few interesting behaviour [25,26]. When zero temperature quenching dynam ics dynam ics is considered, = 1.0 em erges as a dynam icaltransition point. For < 1, there is no conventional dom ain coarsening or persistence behaviour. Here, all dom ains of size 1 im mediately vanish but dom ains of size two are stable such that two dom ain walls cannot approach each other and annihilate (Fig. 3).

Unlike in the Ising model in d = 2, here the domain walls are not pinned but can move around keeping their number xed. The energy of the system is constant as spin ips occur with zero energy cost. The system thus wanders in a subspace of iso-energy metastable states forever. As a result, the model also does not show a power-law decay in the persistence probability. Interestingly, there is no special ect of the = 0.5 point (which dictates the static behaviour) on the dynam ics.




FIG.3. Dynamics in ANNNI chain for < 1: All domains of size 1 are unstable and they immediately vanish (a). The system is left with domains of size 2. A domain of size two, as shown in (b), is energetically stable as the spins within the domain satisfy the antiferrom agnetic ordering with their second neighbours and energy contribution from rst neighbours is zero. As a result, two domain walls have to maintain a minimum distance and cannot approach each other and annihilate.

As the domain walls continue moving in the system, the number of spin ips at any time becomes a constant in time. This constant is independent of the value of . That is expected as this quantity is proportional to the number of domain walls. The average number of domain walls remaining in the system (per spin) turns out to be close to 0.28 [26].




FIG.4. Constant residual energy of the ANNNIm odel with zero temperature dynamics for < 1.

The residual energy E_r , de ned as the excess energy over the ground state energy shows an interesting behaviour with (Fig. 4). At small values of the large number of dom ain walls makes the residual energy large. As is increased, E_r becomes lesser and at = 0.5 it is zero. This is not surprising how ever; the con gurations with domain sizes 2 are nothing but the degenerate ground states of the = 0.5 point. The residual energy decreases as = 0.5 is approached from both sides.

For the sake of completeness, it should be mentioned that the ANNN Im odel shows conventional relaxation behaviour for > 1 although with a dynamic exponent di erent from that of the nearest neighbour Ising model.

Since the conventional dynam ics does not bring the system to the ground state, one has to employ some other method to do this. Simulated annealing for the Ising model on random graphs has been attempted to \melt " the system with success [13]. For small world networks, freezing can be got rid o by letting more num berofedges in the system also [27]. We discuss in the next two section the result of applying di erent annealing schedules to the ANNNIm odel for various values of < 1.

IV.CLASSICALANNEALING (CA)

We have adopted two di erent schemes for applying classical or therm alannealing.

Scheme A

This is the conventional scheme where one starts with a nite temperature $T = T_0$ and slow by reduces it according to a linear schedule, such that, at the t-th iteration step,

$$T = T_0 (1 t=);$$
 (2)

where is the total number of M onte C arb steps (M C S). The naltem perature (at t = 0 is zero for any starting value of T.

Since for all non-zero tem perature, the ANNNIm odel is in a param agnetic state, one may start with a random initial con guration corresponding to T_0 .

W e have calculated the residual energy and the order param eter as functions of ; the form er is expected to approach zero and the latter should increase towards unity with larger values of .

In Fig. 5, we show the behaviour of E_r against for di erent values. These simulations have been done for a system of 100 spins keeping $T_0 = 10$. The number of con gurations n over which averaging has been done decreases with ; starting with n = 1000 for sm aller values of , it is decreased to n = 100 for very large values. W hile for = 0.2, we nd a stretched exponential decay, the nature of the curves changes to a power law decay for higher values of . C lose to 0.5 it has a very slow decay. Corresponding to a power law t Er is very small here, e.g., for = 0.4; = 0:08 0:01; and for = 0:6;= 0.03 0.01: The slowing down of the decay pattern of E_r seems to depend on the closeness to = 0.5 as well as on the nature of the ground state. For the various values of for which the annealing scheme has been employed, the slowest decay is observed

at = 0:6, when the system is close to = 0:5 and the ground state is also antiphase. The power law remains valid for > 0.5 with an increasing value of .




FIG.5. Residual Energy vs. MCS in the ANNNIm odel for di erent values of < 1.0. The decay is slow close to = 0.5. T₀ = 10 here.

We have also checked the e ciency of this scheme for di erent values of T_0 . Note that the decrease in T is made with a slope equal to T_0 = (eq. 2), so we compare the results for di erent T_0 's by plotting E_r against $=T_0$. For = 0.4 or 0.6, E_r is independent of T_0 (as long as T_0 is not very small compared to 1) while for = 0.2, lowering T_0 makes the decay faster at large . How ever, when T_0 is made smaller than unity the decay does not become faster any more. This plot (Fig. 6) also shows that for close to 0.5, the power law behaviour is actually valid over a large range of which is not so apparent from Fig. 5.




FIG.6. The residual energy vs the number of MCS () for di erent starting values of T_0 . The scaled data shows a good collapse for T_0 1 for = 0.4;0.6. For = 0.2, the decay is fastest for T_0 = 1 (lled circles). The data for = 0.6 and 0.2 have been shifted for clarity.

Thus E_r depends strongly on : not only does the functional form change from a stretched exponential to power law, there is also a non-universal exponent which depends on the value of . The role of = 0.5 is felt clearly as the annealing is least e ective close to this point.

W hile estimating the order parameter (OP), it should be noted that for < 0.5, the order parameter is just the magnetisation while for > 0.5, it is the average of the four sublattice magnetisations de ned as

$$m = \int_{j=0}^{L=4} S_{+4j}; = 1;2;3;4$$
(3)

as in [26]. In Fig. 7, we plot the behaviour of the OP with

for di erent values of . The behaviour of the order parameter is also dependent. The variations with are not sm ooth: the reason is that decreasing energy is not necessarily equivalent to increasing the order parameter. How ever it seems to have a rough power law increase for all values of (Fig. 7). As expected, the grow th of the order parameter is slow est at = 0.6.




FIG.7.0 rder parameter vs.MCS in the ANNNIm odel for di erent values of < 1.0. The growth is slow close to = 0.5.

Thus we nd that this annealing schedule is not very e ective near = 0.5 but works well for sm all values of . It is not possible to detect whether there is a value of

for which the behaviour of the residual energy changes from stretched exponential to power law from the present num erical study.

Scheme B

In this scheme, we rst let the system evolve from a random con guration (corresponding to a high temperature) using the zero temperature dynamics and then, after a few steps, apply scheme A. The di erence here is, we let the system reach one of the metastable states under zero temperature dynamics, heat it to a nite temperature T_0 which is then gradually decreased.

In the ANNNI chain, for the st 100 iterations, the temperature is kept zero such that when re-heated, the

system is in one of the m etastable iso-energy states. W e nd that this scheme accelerates the decay of the residual energy rem arkably for small values of (e.g., = 0.2) at large . However, for higher values, e.g., = 0.3;0.4;0.6, for which scheme A gave a power law decay of E_r , the results are identical to that of scheme A. Thus near the = 0.5 point, this scheme is also seen to fail to bring the system to its static ground state.

This scheme is highly appropriate for cases where the system has a fractional probability to end up in a metastable or frozen state not corresponding to the ground state. Here it is not possible to predict whether a random initial con guration will reach the ground state under zero tem perature dynam ics or not. A good exam ple is the two dimensional Ising model as it reaches a frozen state in about 30% cases. It is useless to apply scheme A here because 70% of the cases do not require any annealing at all. Therefore in order to see whether CA is useful, it should be better to apply scheme A to an initial con guration of frozen state which can be assumed to have evolved from a perfectly random initial state with zero tem perature G lauber dynam ics. Thus e ectively it has undergone a period of cooling at zero tem perature and when scheme A is now applied to it, it is equivalent to scheme B.




FIG.8.0 rder parameter (m agnetisation) and residual energy versus MC time in the 2-d Ising model under scheme B.The value of T_0 is 1 here. The dashed line has slope equal to 3:33 10 ⁵ in the log-linear plot.

W e have perform ed som e sin ulation on a square lattice of size L = 40, where the num ber of stripes s is equal to 2 or 4. In each case, we nd that the behaviour of both the residual energy and the magnetisation is exponential which m eans that the schem e works very well in this case. In Fig. 8, we show the variation of the residual energy and magnetisation for s = 2. The exponential relaxation is easily understood, as the therm all perturbation breaks the structure of the dom ain walls and the system is then again free to evolve dynamically. The results are identical for s = 2 and 4 at large . It may be mentioned here that for a value of the stripe number s comparable to L, the situation is very similar to the ANNNIm odel (as stripe sizes have to be 2) and then the exponential behaviourm ay no longer be present. However, the probability of a large value of s is small and so, we have not considered values of s > 4.

V.QUANTUM ANNEALING (QA)

A lthough the classical annealing m ethods work quite well for the ANNN Im odel for small, we nd that close to the = 0.5 point, it leads to very slow relaxation. In several situations, quantum annealing is farm ore e cient in decreasing the energy of the system [28,29] and we therefore apply this m ethod in the ANNNI m odel. Here instead of therm al uctuation, quantum uctuation is considered to induce tunnelling to enable the system reach the ground state for < 1.

The Hamiltonian for the quantum ANNNI chain is:

$$H = J_1 S_i S_{i+1} + J_2 S_i S_{i+2} S_i$$
(4)

This can be mapped to a 2-dimensional classical model [30,31] using the Suzuki-Trotter form ula:

$$H = J_1 S_{ii}S_{ii+1} + J_2 S_{ii}S_{ii+2} J_p S_{ii}S_{i+1;i}$$
(5)

where

$$J_p = PT = 2 \ln (tanh (= PT))$$

and denotes the th row in the Trotter direction. Subsequently, one can use a linear schedule for the transverse

eld as in [29] and nd out the results for F and the order parameter. However, it is not possible to make equal to zero in the last step as that would make J_p innite.

We would rst show some curious features of the results on applying this method to the ANNNIm odel and then try to justify the results.

The Suzuki-Trotter mapping is exact for P ! 1 but it can be a good approxim ation if P T 1.0 ne needs to nd out an optimum value of PT for which E_r does not change with P.We therefore xPT and nd out E for di erent values of P following [29]. We rst x PT = 1. For small , e.g., for = 0:1, the scheme indeed makes E_r go down with quite e ciently. However, the value ofE_r for the same and di erent values of P shows that E_r actually increases with P. Thus results for any nite P may not be reliable. Even increasing PT to 2, we nd that this behaviour persists. The reason for this maybe that the Suzuki-Trotter mapping works with a non-zero temperature for which the system is disordered and is always at a high energy state compared to the perfectly ordered state and therefore ${\rm E\,}_{\rm r}$ does not go to zero for large and P. These results are shown in Fig. 9.




FIG.9. The quantum annealing scheme does not appear to work well for the ANNNI chain. For example at = 0.1, an optimum value of PT is dicult to nd out as E_r increases with P where PT is xed at 1 or 2 at any value of .




FIG.10. The variation of residual energy and order param – eter for = 0.4 against are shown for 3 di erent values of P.E_r actually remains constant with and P while the OP (m (t)) seems to uctuate around a constant value.

In case of a value of close to 0.5, e.g., = 0.4, we nd that E_r rem ains virtually a constant for all P values when PT = 1 which apparently im plies that PT = 1 is a good optim um value. How ever, E_r actually remains a constant for all values as well showing that it does not relax at all. Thus here too the quantum annealing method will not work well. The reason is again because a non-zero tem perature of the system has been used. How ever, the manifestation of this non-zero tem perature is di erent for small and higher values of .

On hindsight, it may appear that quantum annealing is a redundant exercise in this case. However, it is interesting to nd out how the redundancy makes itself known for di erent values of in di erent ways. In sum m ary, we have shown that in system s with dynam ic frustration, simulated annealing can be applied which gives results according to the nature of the system. For the ANNN I m odel, which has a competing interaction leading to frustration, (but wellde ned ground states with trivial degeneracy for 60.5) classical annealing seem s to work well for values of close to = 0.5

We have applied a di erent scheme of therm alannealing where the system is heated after an initial period of cooling. The results remain same in case of the ANNNI model when the frustration parameter is appreciable. But this method is useful in case of some other models where the conventional scheme is not very handy, e.g., the two dimensional Ising model. The better e ectiveness of the annealing scheme for the two dimensional model in comparison to the ANNNI model may be attributed to the fact that there is no frustration in the form er. The frustration present in the ANNNI model especially near = 0.5 makes it unresponsive to the therm al annealing while the unfrustrated two dimensional Ising model responds fast to the therm al uctuation.

In case of the ANNNI model, it is also interesting to note that while in the dynamical studies it was shown that the = 0.5 point hardly has any role to play, and dynamical quantities like persistent probability, number of spins ipped at any time etc. were independent, things become strongly dependent under any annealing schedule with non-universal exponents governing the power law decays. The e ect of = 0.5 is also felt as the annealing fails to make any in pact close to it.

The application of a quantum annealing method with non-zero temperature also fails to "melt" the system to its ground states and its e ect is di erently manifested for small and large values of s.

In fact we nd that much is left to be done for a successful annealing program menear = 0.5, e.g., one may attempt a zero-temperature quantum annealing schedule.

E ven for the classical annealing case, the change in behaviour of the residual energy as is varied requires to be studied m ore intricately and possibly for larger system sizes. O ur present study m ay act as a guideline for such future research work.

Lastly, we note that the variations of the residual energy does not follow a Huse-Fisher [32] type scaling in any parameter range for the ANNNIm odel.

A cknow ledgem ents: W e are grateful to A mab D as and Subinay D asgupta for very valuable discussions. P.K.D as acknow ledges support from CSIR grants no. 9/28(608)/2003-EM R-I.

- [1] J. D. Gunton, M. San M iguel and P. S. Sahni, Phase Transitions and critical phenom ena, Vol8, eds.C.Domb and J.L.Lebow itz (A c
- [2] A.J.Bray, Adv.Phys.43 357 (1994) and the references therein.
- [3] For a review, see S. N. Majum dar, Curr. Sci. 77 370 (1999).
- [4] B. Derrida, A. JB ray and C. Godreche, JP hys. A 27 L357 (1994)
- [5] D.Stau er, J.Phys.A 27 5029 (1994).
- [6] P.L.Krapivsky, E Ben-Naim and S.Redner, Phys. Rev E 50 2474 (1994).
- [7] V. Spirin, P.L.K rapivsky and S.Redner, Phys. Rev E 63 036118 (2001); Phys. Rev E 65 016119 (2002).
- [8] P. Sundaram urthy and D. L. Stein, cond-m at/0411286.
- [9] C.Godreche and J.M.Luck, cond-m at/0412077.
- [10] C.M. Newm an and D.L. Stein, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 3944 (1999).
- [11] S. Jain, Phys. Rev E 60 R 2445 (1999).
- [12] P.M.Gleiser, F.A. Tam arit, S.A. Cannas and M.A. Montemurro, Phys. Rev. B 68 134401 (2003).
- [13] P. Svenson, Phys. Rev. E 64 036122 (2001).
- [14] O. Haggstrom, Physica A 310 275 (2002).
- [15] D.Boyer and O.M iram ontes, Phys. Rev. E 67 R 035102 (2003).
- [16] P. Svenson and D. A. Johnson, Phys. Rev. E 65 036105 (2002).
- [17] J.Y.Zhu and H.Zhu, Phys.Rev.E 67 026125 (2003).
- [18] D. Jeong, M. Y. Choiand H. Park, cond-m at/0501099.
- [19] The persistence probability in case of four dimensional lattice, how ever, does not show a power law decay due to blocking (D.Stau er, Int.J.M od.Phys.C 8 361 (1997)).
- [20] N.R. da Silva and J.M. Silva, Phys. Lett. A 135 373 (1989).
- [21] C.P.Herrero, Phys. Rev. E 65 066110 (2002).
- [22] H. Hong, B. J. K im and M. Y Choi, Phys. Rev. E 66 011107 (2002).
- [23] J.V. Lopes et al, cond-m at/0402138.
- [24] W .Selke, Phys.Rep. 170 213 (1988).
- [25] S.Redner and P.L.K rapivsky, JP hys.A 31 9229 (1998)
- [26] P.Sen and S.D.asgupta, J.Phys.A 37 11949 (2004)
- [27] P.K.D as and P.Sen, cond-m at/0503138.
- [28] T.Kadawoki and H.Nishimori, Phys. Rev. E 58 5355 (1998).
- [29] G.E.Santoro, R.M artonak, E.Tosatti and R.Car, Science 295 2427 (2002).
- [30] M. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. 56 1454 (1976).
- [31] B.K.Chakrabarti, A.Dutta and P.Sen, Quantum Ising Phases and Transitions in Transverse Ising models, Lecture Notes in Physics M 41, Springer-Verlag, 1996.
- [32] D.A. Huse and D.S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57 2203 (1986).