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#### Abstract

A $m$ odel for the adsonption of a binary $m$ ixture on a one-dim ensional in nite lattice $w$ ith nearest neighb our cooperative e ects is considered. The particles of the tw o species are both $m$ onom ers but di er in the repulsive interaction experienced by them when trying to adsorb. A n exact expression for the coverage of the lattice is derived. In the jam ming lim it, it is a monotonic fiunction of the ratio betw een the attem pt frequencies of the tw o species, varying betw een the values corresponding to each of the two single species. This is in contrast with the results obtained in other m odels for the adsonption of particles ofdi erent sizes. T he structure of the jam $m$ ing state is also investigated.


PACS num bers: $02.50 \mathrm{Ey}, 68.43 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}$
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## I. IN TRODUCTION

The adsonption of particles on a solid substrate is a process occurring in $m$ any natural phenom ena and that, consequently, has been extensively studied both experim entally and theoretically [i] [1]. The smplest kind of $m$ odels for adsonption are those de ned in term $s$ of random sequential adsonption (RSA) processes, in which particles of a given kind are adsorbed at random positions on the substrate. $W$ hen the adsorption rates depend on the state of the neighborhood of the position at which they take place, the process is known as cooperative sequential adsonption (CSA). A lattice version of the CSA process is the m onom er lling with nearest-neighbor (NN) cooperative e ects have been extensively used to study the $m$ onolayer grow th of $m$ onodisperse particles. In particular, exact analytical solutions have been derived for the one-dim ensional case [ī1].

The deposition of a $m$ ixture ofdi erent kind of particles has also received som e attention
 $w$ ith the one-com ponent problem. H ere, a one-dim ensional lattioem odelfor a binary $m$ ixture ofm onom ers w ith NN cooperative e ects is considered and its analytical solution is found. B esides their theoretical interest, one-dim ensionalm odels are also useful for the description ofsom e polym er reactions [1ָㄱㄱ]. A controversialpoint in the adsonption of a m ixture is whether it covers the substrate $m$ ore or less e ciently than either of the species separately. Som e results seem ed to indicated than the form er was true for lattioem odels $[\underline{\overline{4}}, \underline{9}, \underline{9}]$, while the latter applied for continuum models $[\bar{\theta}]$. $N$ evertheless, a (continuum ) random car parking $m$ odel
 lattice $m$ odel considered here, it $w$ ill be show $n$ than the jam $m$ ing coverage of the $m$ ixture is alw ays between the coverage associated with each of the two com ponents. This appears to be a direct consequence of the NN cooperative e ects taken into account in the model.

It is interesting to note that lattice $m$ odels $w$ ith $N N$ cooperative e ects have also been considered in the context of Ising $m$ odels. They were introduced by Fredridkson and A nder-
 spin can ip only if its nearest neighbors are in a certain subset of all their possible con $g$ urations and, because of this, they were term ed \facilitated" Ising models. In particular, in the so-called nSFM, one spin can ip only if at least n of its N N spins are orientated against the extemal eld. It is easily veri ed that the zero tem perature lim it of these models is the
spin representation of the hole-particle ( $m$ onom er) models $m$ entioned above, i.e. both are related by a single change of variables. Interestingly, the one-dim ensional 1SFM has also


In the $m$ odel considered in this paper, the two kind of particles com posing the $m$ ixture di er in their dynam ics. Particles of one of the species obey RSA with NN exclusion or blocking [i] $]$, ie. they can occupy an em pty site w th the constraint that both of its NN $m$ ust be em pty. On the other hand, adsonption of one particle of the other species on an em pty site only requires that one of the NN be em pty. Then, the dynam ics ofboth kind of particles is cooperative, but the \facilitation" nules are di erent. A possible interpretation of this form of com petitive adsonption is that particles are of the sam e size, but the e ective repulsive interactions com ing from the adsorbed particles are much stronger for one species than for the other. Then, the repulsive e ect depends on the kind of particle trying to adsorb and on whether the NN sites are occupied or em pty, but not on the type of particle actually occupying them .

In the next Section, the $m$ odel $w$ ill be form ulated in detail and the $m$ aster equation describing its dynam ics $w$ ill be written dow. The dynam ics of the adsonption processes is studied in Sec. "init, where explicit analytical expressions for the tim e evolution of the densities of each com ponent are derived. For very large tim es, the system gets stuck in a jam $m$ ed con guration, where no $m$ ore adsorption events are possible. The asym ptotic values of the densities ofboth species, as well as the total coverage, are also calculated as a function of the adsonption rate constants of the two species. It is found that the maxim um asym ptotic total coverage is alw ays sm aller than the one corresponding to the least repulsive particles. In order to get a deeper understanding of the stationary, jam $m$ ed, state reached by the lattioe in the long tim e lim it, som e correlation functions are analysed in Sec.iNiN. The jam $m$ ed states are characterised by having all the holes isolated, i.e., surrounded by two particles. Therefore, we will study the structure of the lattice around these isolated holes, analysing the probability of nding them betw een all the possible con gurations of their N N
 F inally, som e calculations are presented in an A ppendix.

## II. THEMODEL

W e consider two kinds of particles, A and B, that can be random ly adsorbed on a onedim ensional lattioe. T he adsonption processes on an em pty site are restricted by the con $g$ uration of its $\mathrm{N} N$, as follows:
(i) P articles A can be adsorbed on an em pty site only if at least one of its NN is also em pty.
(i) A dsorption of a particle $B$ on a site requires that both of the $\mathrm{N} N$ are em pty.

Let us introduce tw o occupation num bers $n_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ for each site $i$ of the lattige. If there is a particle $A$ at site $i$, it is $n_{i}=0$, otherw ise $n_{i}=1$. Sim ilarly, $m_{i}=1$ if there is a particle $B$ at site $i$, and $m_{i}=0$ if there is not. O fcourse, since there can be at the $m$ ost one particle at each site, it is $\left(1 \quad n_{i}\right)\left(1 \quad m_{i}\right)=0$ for alli. A lattice con guration is speci ed by the sets of occupation num bers of the two species fn $; m g$, where $n \quad f n_{i} g$ and $m \quad f m_{i} g$.

The dynam ics of the system is de ned as a $M$ arkov process, so it is enough to specify the $m$ aster equation for the probability distribution of the con gurations, $p(n ; m ; t)$. As said above, the elem entary processes are the adsonption of particles $A$ and $B$ on an em pty site $i$. In these events, the con guration of the system changes from ( $n ; m$ ) to ( $R_{i} n ; m$ ) or to ( $n ; R_{i} m$ ), depending on whether the adsorbed particle corresponds to species A or $B$. $H$ ere $R_{i}$ is the operator changing the occupation num ber $n_{i}$ or $m_{i}$ into $1 \quad n_{i}$ or $1 \quad m_{i}$, respectively. Thus, the $m$ aster equation reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d}{d t} p(n ; m ; t)=\begin{array}{l}
X \\
X^{i}
\end{array} W_{i}\left(n ; m \not R_{i} n ; m\right) p\left(R_{i} n ; m ; t\right) \quad W_{i}\left(R_{i} n ; m ; n ; m\right) p(n ; m ; t)\right] \\
& +\quad\left[W_{i}\left(n ; m \text { jn } ; R_{i} m\right) p\left(n ; R_{i} m ; t\right) \quad W_{i}\left(n ; R_{i} m \text { jn } ; m\right) p(n ; m ; t)\right]:(1) \\
& \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{i}\left(R_{i} n ; m \text { jn } ; m\right)=\frac{-}{2} n_{i} m_{i}\left(n_{i 1} m_{i 1}+n_{i+1} m_{i+1}\right) ;  \tag{2}\\
W_{i}\left(n_{i} R_{i} m \text { jn } ; m\right)=n_{i 1} m_{i 1} n_{i} m_{i} n_{i+1} m_{i+1}: \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

T he above transitions rates describe the adsonption of a particle $A$ and $B$ on site $i$, respectively, consistently w ith the rules (i) and (ii). The constant param eters and characterise the attem pt frequency for each kind of adsonption process.

If $=0$ or $=0$, the lattice $w$ ill be occupied by particles of only one kind, and the dynam ics of the $m$ odel reduces to the one-com ponent $m$ onom er lling with NN cooperative e ects, whose solution is known and discussed in detail by Evans in Ref. When both rates are nonzero, we have the com petitive adsonption of the two species: particles B need both of the NN sites of a given hole to be also em pty in order to adsorb, while one em pty
 if $=0$ we have the one-dim ensional 1SFM, while for $=0$ the one-dim ensional 2SFM is recovered.

W e will restrict ourselves to translationally invariant states. This requires the consideration of consistent boundary conditions, e.g. periodic ones. In this case, the density of particles A at a given timet is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A}(t)=1 \quad \ln _{1} i_{t} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the angular brackets denote average $w$ th the probability distribution $p(n ; m ; t)$ and the right hand site does not the depend on the site i chosen. Sim ilarly, the density of B particles is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{B}(t)=1 \quad \quad \mathrm{~mm}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total coverage of the line $(t)$ is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=A(t)+B(t): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It veri es $0 \quad(t)<1$, since it is im possible to fully llle the as it will be discussed in detail latter on. A particularly interesting property is the asym ptotic coverage J at jam ming, i.e., once the system gets stuck in a con guration in which no m ore adsorption events are possible. In the model considered here, this happens when all the holes are isolated. Form ally, we can w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=\lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \quad(\mathrm{t}): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is useful to consider the set ofm om ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{hn}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}+1}:::: \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{r}} i_{t} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r$ 0. They give the probability of nding $r+1$ consecutive holes or, equivalently, the density of clusters of at least $r+1$ em pty sites. In particular, $F_{0}(t)$ gives the density of holes and, therefore, it m ust be

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(t)+{ }_{A}(t)+{ }_{B}(t)=1: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above equation can also be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(1 \quad n_{i}\right)\left(1 \quad m_{i}\right) i_{t}=0 ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressing that a site can not be sim ultaneously occupied by a particle A and a particle B . Besides, between each pair of particles B there m ust be at least one em pty site, due to the adsonption rule for particles A. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(t)=1 \quad \text { A }(t) \quad \text { B }(t) \quad B(t): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

III. ANALYTICALSOLUTION OF THEDYNAMICS

Here, the consequences of the dynam ics de ned in the previous section will be analysed. $F$ irst, the tim e evolution of the densities ofboth species will be investigated. Equations for them are readily obtained from the $m$ aster equation ( $\bar{I}_{1}$ ),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}{ }_{A}(t)=F_{1}(t) ;  \tag{12a}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}_{B}(t)=F_{2}(t) ; \tag{12.b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{1}(t)$ and $F_{2}(t)$ are the densities of clusters $w$ ith at least two and three consecutive holes, respectively, de ned in Eq. (㖇) A lso, a hierarchy of equations for all the marginal probabilities $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})$ is derived from the m aster equation,

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t} F_{0}(t)=F_{1}(t) \quad F_{2}(t) ; \\
\frac{d}{d t} F_{r}(t)=  \tag{13b}\\
(+2) F_{r+1}(t) \quad[r+\quad(r \\
1)
\end{array}\right] F_{F}(t) ; r \quad 1: \$
$$

 integral of $m$ otion, as required by Eq. ( $(\underset{1}{9})$. In order to solve the hierarchy of equations


$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; t)=X_{r=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{x^{r}}{r!} F_{r+1}(t) ; \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}(t)=\frac{@^{r 1} G(x ; t)}{@ x^{r 1}} \quad ; \quad r \quad 1: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating function satis es a linear rst-order partial di erential equation, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} G(x ; t)+[+2+(+) x] @_{x} G(x ; t)=G(x ; t) ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has to be solved with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; 0)=G_{0}(x) \quad X_{r=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{x^{r}}{r!} F_{r+1}(0): \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using standard techniques, it is obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x ; t)=G_{0} \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+}+x e^{(+) t} e^{t}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from Eq. (19

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}(t)=G_{0}^{(r 1)} \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} e^{r t} e^{(r 1) t} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}^{(x)}(x) \quad \frac{d^{x} G_{0}(x)}{d x^{x}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the long time lim it $t$ ! 1 , all the $m$ om ents $F_{r}(t)$ such that $r \quad 1$ vanish, provided that \& $0 . T$ his is easily understood: if adsonption of particles A is possible, the system evolves until it gets stuck in a m etastable con guration such that all the holes are isolated, i.e., there are no pairs of consecutive holes. On the other hand, if $=0$, so that only adsonption of particles $B$ is allowed, $\mathrm{F}_{1}(1) 0$ while $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(1)=0$ for r 2. In the long time lim it those con gurations $w$ ith at $m$ ost two consecutive em pty sites are jammed since, in order to adsorb on a given site, particles B need both of the nearest neighbours being em pty. $T$ herefore, the lim it ! 0 m ust be handled with care in the present m odel, as the jam m ed con gurations are di erent for $=0$ and for ! 0 but not identically null.

The evolution equations for the densities of particles ${ }_{A}(t)$ and $A_{B}(t)$ are obtained by


$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}{ }_{A}(t)=G_{0} \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} e^{t} ;  \tag{21a}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}_{B}(t)=G_{0}^{0} \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} e^{(2+) t}: \tag{21b}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations m ust be integrated to get explicit expressions for the densities a ( $t$ ) and в $(t)$. In order to do this, we have to specify the initialcondition for the generating function $\mathrm{G}_{0}(\mathrm{x})$ or, equivalently, the com plete set ofm arginalprobabilities $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(0)$.

For the sake of concreteness, we will consider that the lattioe is em pty at $t=0$. This is the usual initial state in adsonption studies. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(0)=1 ; \quad 8 \mathrm{r} \quad 0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, as a consequenœ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x)=x_{r=0}^{x^{1}} \frac{x^{r}}{r!}=e^{x}: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{\bar{g}})$ we get

$$
F_{r}(t)=\exp \quad \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} \quad \text { rt } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & 1) t ; \tag{24}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for r 1 . In the long timelim itt! 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(1)=\lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})=0 ; \quad 8 \mathrm{r} \quad 1 ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $\in 0$, consistently $w$ ith the above general discussion. A s already indicated, for $=0$ the adsorption of particles $A$ is im possible, and, in general, $F_{1}(1) 0$. Use of Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ into Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ yields

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d}{d t}_{A}(t)=\exp \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} \quad t ;  \tag{26a}\\
& \frac{d}{d t}_{B}(t)=\exp \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t}(+2) t: \tag{26.b}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations can be integrated, w ith the result

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { A }(t)=\frac{1}{1+r} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} Z_{1} \text { duu } \mathrm{Z}^{\frac{r}{1+r}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} ;  \tag{27a}\\
& \text { B }^{(t)}=\frac{r}{1+r} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e_{1}^{(+,) t} d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} ; \tag{27b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the ratio of the attem pt frequencies of adsorption

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=-: \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integration by parts of Eq. (2̄7̄̄̄) gives an explicit relationship between both densities A (t) and $B_{B}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.B(t)=\frac{r}{1+2 r}^{n} 1 \quad e^{t} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e^{\left(+t_{1}\right.} 1\right] \quad A_{A}(t) \quad: \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us analyse the long time lim it of the densities, when the system gets jammed in a m etastable con guration and no more adsonption events are possible. In this lim it, the densities tend to the values

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{A}(1)=\frac{1}{1+r} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} Z_{1} d u u u^{\frac{r}{1+r}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} ;  \tag{30a}\\
& { }_{B}(1)=\frac{r}{1+r} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} Z_{1}^{0} d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} ; \tag{30b}
\end{align*}
$$

which are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(1)=\frac{r}{1+2 r}[1 \quad A(1)] ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as 0 . In the long tim e lim it, the tendency to these asym ptotic lim its are

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{A}(1) \quad{ }_{A}(t) \quad e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e^{t} ;  \tag{32a}\\
& \text { B }^{t}(1) \quad{ }_{B}(t) \quad \frac{r}{2+r} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e^{(2+) t}: \tag{32b}
\end{align*}
$$

Both behaviours are exponential, but the characteristic time $(2+)^{1}$ for particles B is sm aller than the characteristic tim e ${ }^{1}$ for particles A. Thus, the total coverage of the line ( t ), de ned by Eq. ( (- $\overline{\mathrm{I}})$, veri es

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \quad(t)=_{A}(1) \quad A_{A}(t)+X_{B}(1) \quad B(t)=e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+x}} e^{t}+O \quad e^{(2+) t} ; \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ is the total coverage at jam ming, given by Eq. (ī). The asym ptotics of the coverage is dom inated by the contribution of particles A. T his is easily understood, since as time increases a con guration $w$ ill be reached where no more adsonption of particles $B$ are possible. On the other hand, particles A can still be adsorbed on the lattioe. O ur results show that this situation $w$ illbe found fortim es or the order of $(2+)^{1}$, forwhich ${ }_{B}(t)$ has already decayed to ${ }_{B}(1)$ while ${ }_{A}(t)$ is still evolving. This exponential approach near the jam $m$ ing lim it is characteristic of any adsorption process in a one-dim ensional lattioe. P ow er law convergence, like Feder's $t^{1}$ law [ī $\overline{1}$ ill, arises when continuous deposition is considered "1̄9̄1,

In the lim itr! 1 ( ), Eq. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} B(1)=\frac{1}{2} 1 \quad e^{2} ; \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} A(1)=1 \quad 2 \lim _{r!1} B(1)=e^{2} ; \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nonzero. On the other hand, for $=0$ we know that ${ }_{A}(t)=0$ for all $t$. This show $s$ again the singularity of the case $=0$. For any $\{0$, the system gets stuck in con gurations characterised by having all the holes isolated, while for $=0$ those con gurations having, at $m$ ost, tw o consecutive holes are also $m$ etastable. The adsonption of particles A on a previously jam med con guration of particles B is analysed in the Appendix. In that situation, the asym ptotic density of particles A, Eq. (A-A든), equals Eq. ( nonzero, the system rst reaches, over a time scale of the order of ${ }^{1}$, a jam med con guration with only particles B. A fterwards, for tim es of the order of ${ }^{1} \quad{ }^{1}$, particles A are adsorbed on this state, leading to an asym ptotic density of particles A given by Eq. ( The asym ptotic total coverage, de ned in Eq. (ī), in the lim it case we are considering is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1}=\lim _{r!1}\left[A_{A}(1)+{ }_{B}(1)\right]=\frac{1}{2} 1+e^{2} ; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which also equals Eq. ( $\left(\bar{A} \overline{1}^{-} \bar{N}^{2}\right)$, as expected on the basis of the discussion above.
The lim it r ! 0, ie., ! 0 does not present any kind of singularity. For $r=0$ is is readily obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!0} A(1)=1 \quad e^{1} ; \quad \lim _{r!0} B(1)=0: \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system only contains particles $A$, and the result agrees $w$ th the one obtained for the one-com ponent system $\left.{ }_{-1-1}^{-1}\right]$.

A s it would be expected on physical grounds, the asym ptotic density of particles B (1) $^{(1)}$ is a monotonic increasing function of $r$, while $A_{A}(1)$ decreases with $r$. A plot of both asym ptotic densities as a function of the adsonption rates ratio $r$ is shown in $F$ ig.


$$
\begin{equation*}
J={ }_{A}(1)+{ }_{B}(1)=\frac{r}{1+2 r}+\frac{1+r}{1+2 r}_{A}(1) ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 1: P lot of the asym ptotic densities $A^{(1)}$ (crosses) and $B_{1}$ ) (triangles) as a function of

which is a decreasing function of $r$, varying from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!0}=1 \quad e^{1}, 0: 63 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} J=\frac{1}{2} 1+e^{2}, 0: 57: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ igure! ${ }_{-1}^{2}$ show sthe total coverage $v$ as a function ofr. Them axim um value of the coverage is obtained for r ! $0(!0)$, i.e., when the adsonption of particles $B$ is forbidden, and there are only particles A in the system. This is reasonable on physical grounds: due to the facilitation nule, the dom ain betw een two particles B cannot be com pletely fillofparticles A. T herefore, the density ofparticles B is a low er bound for the density ofholes. A s the density of particles $B$ increases w ith $r==$, the asym ptotic density of holes $F_{0}(1)$ also increases $w$ ith $r$ and the jam $m$ ing coverage ${ }_{J}$ decreases, since $J_{J}=1 \quad F_{0}(1)$. This behaviour is in
 lattioe m odels $\left[\underline{-1}, 1,19{ }_{-1}\right]$. In these w orks, it is reported that the substrate is covered either less or m ore e ciently by a binary m ixture than by a single species. T he m odelpresented here,


F IG . 2: P lot of the asym ptotic total coverage $J$ as a function of $r$.
how ever, leads to binary coverage lying betw een that of the tw o m onodisperse cases. T his is a consequence of the cooperativity of the adsorption processes taking place in our system.
IV. CORRELATIONS

In order to get a m ore com plete description of the steady state, we have also investigated som e correlation functions. In the steady state, holes are isolated, i.e., surrounded by two particles. In particular, we w illbe interested in the structure of the lattioe surrounding these isolated holes. In the previous Section, the tim e evolution of the total hole density $\mathrm{F}_{0}$ ( t ) has been found, and here the density of holes for a given con guration of their two nearest neighbours w ill be studied. In the asym ptotic stationary state, they can be both particles A (AA), one particle A and one particle B (AB or BA), and two particles B (BB). Let us de ne the density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{A A}(t)=h\left(1 \quad n_{i 1}\right) m_{i 1} n_{i} m_{i}\left(1 \quad n_{i+1}\right) m_{i+1} i_{t} ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

that corresponds to the density of em pty sites surrounded by two particles A. In a sim ilar way, we introduce

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{A B}(t)=h\left(1 \quad n_{i 1}\right) m_{i 1} n_{i} m_{i} n_{i+1}\left(1 \quad m_{i+1}\right) i_{t} ;  \tag{42}\\
& { }_{0}^{B A}(t)=\operatorname{hn}_{i 1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m_{i 1}
\end{array}\right) n_{i} m_{i}\left(1 \quad n_{i+1}\right) m_{i+1} i_{t} ;  \tag{43}\\
& { }_{0}^{B B}(t)=\operatorname{hn}_{i 1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & m_{i 1}
\end{array}\right) n_{i} m_{i} n_{i+1}\left(1 \quad m_{i+1}\right) i_{t} ; \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

corresponding to the density of holes betw een a particle $A$ on the left and a particle $B$ on the right $\binom{A B}{0}$, etc. In the jam $m$ ed state,
$N$ evertheless, an analogous expression does not hold for arbitrary tim e $t$, since then it is possible to nd con gurations w th two adjacent holes. This is clear from the fact that the $m$ om ents $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})$, w ith $\mathrm{r} \quad 1$, do not vanish except in the lim itt! 1. Then, the asym ptotic fraction of holes betw een two particles A at jam ming is

Sim ilarly, we de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{AB}}=\frac{\stackrel{ }{0}_{\mathrm{AB}}(1)}{\mathrm{F}_{0}(1)} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the relative density of holes betw een a particle $A$ to the left and a particle $B$ to its right,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{J}^{B A}=\frac{{ }_{0}^{B A}(1)}{F_{0}(1)} ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the relative density of holes betw een a particle $B$ to the left and a particle $A$ to its right, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{J}^{B B}=\frac{{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{BB}}(1)}{\mathrm{F}_{0}(1)} ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the fraction of holes betw een tw o particles B .
The evolution equations for these m om ents are again readily obtained from the m aster equation. For the density of holes betw een two particles A, one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{0}^{A A}(t)=\quad{ }_{2}^{A}(t)+{ }_{3}^{A}(t) ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r}^{A}(t)=h n_{i} m_{i} n_{i+1} m_{i+1}::: n_{i+r} 1 m_{i+r 1}\left(1 \quad n_{i+r}\right) m_{i+r} i_{t} ; \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

ie., it is the probability of nding r consecutive holes from site i onw ards, and a particle A on site i+ r. These probabilities obey the hierarchy of equations

$$
\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{r}^{A}=\frac{-}{2}+\quad{ }_{r+1}^{A} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
( & 2
\end{array}\right)\right]_{r}^{A}+\frac{-}{2}\left(F_{r}+F_{r+1}\right) ; \tag{52}
\end{array}\right.
$$

for $r$ 2. For an arbitrary initial condition, this hierarchy of equations can be solved by a generating function $m$ ethod analogous to the one used to integrate the evolution equations for $F_{r}(t)$, in spite of the inhom ogeneous term proportional to $F_{r}+F_{r+1} . N$ onetheless, for the initially em pty lattice con guration, it is sim pler to assum e that

$$
{ }_{r}^{A}(t)=A_{A}(t) e^{\left.\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(r 1)+ & (r \tag{53}
\end{array}\right)\right] t}:
$$

$T$ his tim e dependence is suggested by the own hierarchy of equations ( $\overline{5} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and, also, by the tim e dependence of the inhom ogeneous term, which is precisely of this form, as follow s from
 $\frac{d_{A}}{d t}=\frac{-}{2}+e^{(+) t} A_{A}+\frac{-}{2} \exp \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} e^{(+) t} 1+e^{(+) t}:$

This is easily integrated, w ith the result

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{t})= & \frac{2}{(+2)^{2}} \exp \frac{+2}{2(+)}+\frac{+2}{2(+)} \mathrm{e}^{(+) t} \\
& +\frac{+2}{+2} \exp \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} \frac{}{+2} e^{(+) t}: \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus, we have identi ed all the m om ents $\underset{r}{A}(t)$ and, by using Eq. (5) ${ }_{-1}^{-1}$ ), obtain the follow ing closed evolution equation for ${ }_{0}^{A A}(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{0}^{A A}(t)=A_{A}(t) e^{t} 1+e^{(+) t}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the lattice was initially em pty, $\int_{0}^{A A}(t=0)=0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{0}^{A A}(t)=\frac{2 r}{(1+r)(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)}} Z_{1} e^{1+t^{2}} d u u^{\frac{r}{1+r}}(1+u) e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u} \\
& +\frac{1}{(1+r)(1+2 r)} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e^{1+{ }_{t}} d u u^{\frac{r}{1+r}}(1+u) \frac{1}{1+2 r} \quad \text { u } e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r$ was de ned in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{8} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$. Therefore, the asym ptotic density of holes surrounded by two particles A is

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }_{0}^{\text {AA }}(1)= & \frac{2 r}{(1+r)(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)}} Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} d u u u^{\frac{r}{1+r}}(1+u) e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u} \\
& +\frac{1}{(1+r)(1+2 r)} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} \int_{1}^{0} d u u^{\frac{r}{1+r}}(1+u) \frac{1}{1+2 r} \quad u e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u}: \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

In the lim it $r$ ! 0 , this equation reduces to

$$
\lim _{r!0} \int_{0}^{A A}(1)=e^{Z_{1}} d u(1+u)(1 \quad u) e_{0}^{\mu}=e^{1}=\lim _{r!0} F_{0}(1):
$$

$T$ his is readily understood, as the lim itr $r$ ! corresponds to $=0$, in which no adsonption events for particles B are possible. On the other hand, in the lim itr! 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1}{ }_{0}^{A A}(1)=0: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result $m$ ay appear as nontrivial, since it must be stressed that when $r$ ! 1 there
 $N$ evertheless, as discussed below that equation, the adsonption of particles A takes place for very long timest $=O\left({ }^{1}\right) \quad{ }^{1}$, on sites $w$ ith at $m$ ost one em pty nearest neighbour. As the latter must be next to a particle B, it follows that ${ }_{0}^{A A}$ vanishes for $r!1$. In
 as a function of $r$. It decreases $m$ onotonically from unity for $r=0$ to zero for $r!1$, as expected.

Let us now analyse the density of holes between two particles B, ${ }_{0}^{\text {BB }}$ ( $(t)$. From them aster equation, it is obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{BB}}=2 \quad{ }_{3}^{\mathrm{B}} ; \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where now

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{r}^{B}(t)=\ln _{i} m_{i} n_{i+1} m_{i+1}::: n_{i+r 1} m_{i+r 1} n_{i+r}\left(1 \quad m_{i+r}\right) i_{t} ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., it is the probability of nding $r$ consecutive holes from site $i$ onw ards, and a particle $B$ on site i+ r. N ote the analogy of the notation used here w th the one introduced for the



F IG . 3: P lot of the relative density $x_{J}^{A A}(1)$ (squares) as a function of the adsorption rates ration $r$ (in a logarithm ic scale).
for r
2. A gain, for the initially em pty lattioe we are considering, the fam ily of solutions

$$
{ }_{r}^{B}(t)=B_{B}(t) e^{\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(r & 1)+ & (r \tag{64}
\end{array}\right)\right] t}
$$

can be considered. Substitution of the above expression into Eq. ( $\overline{6} \overline{3} \overline{1})$ yields a closed di erential equation for ${ }_{B}(t)$, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{B}=\frac{1}{2}+e^{(+) t} \exp _{B}+\exp +\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} e^{2(+) t} ; \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be integrated by standard techniques, obtaining
в $(t)=\frac{4(+)}{(+2)^{2}} \exp \frac{+2}{+}+\frac{+2}{+} e^{(+) t} 1 \frac{+2}{2(+)} e^{(+) t}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{(+2)^{2}} \exp \frac{+2}{2(+)}+\frac{+2}{2(+)} e^{(+) t} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

 account Eq. (6̄-1기) and the initial condition, it is found

$$
\begin{align*}
&{ }_{0}^{B B}(t)=\frac{8 r^{2}}{(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} e^{Z_{1}} e^{\left(+t_{t}\right.}{d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} \\
& \frac{4 r^{2}}{(1+r)(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)}} e_{1}\left(+t_{t} d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u}:\right. \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

In the long tim e lim 计,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{BB}}(1)=\frac{8 r^{2}}{(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r}} \mathrm{Z}_{1}^{Z^{1}} d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}} 1 \frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u e^{\frac{1+2 r}{1+r} u} \\
\frac{4 r^{2}}{(1+r)(1+2 r)^{2}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)}} \int_{1}^{1} d u u^{\frac{1}{1+r}} e^{\frac{1+2 r}{2(1+r)} u}: \tag{68}
\end{array}
$$

If the adsorption of particles $B$ is forbidden, ! 0 or r ! 0 , the expected result,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!}{ }_{0}^{B B}(1)=0 ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained. On the other hand, when no adsonption of particles A, ! O or r! 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} \int_{0}^{B B}(1)=2 e^{2} \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} d u(1 \quad u) e^{2 u}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{3}{2} e^{2}: \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, ${ }_{0}^{B B}(1)$ does not equal the total density of holes $F_{0}(1)$ in this lim it. This is due to the fact that for $\|_{0}$, although very sm all, there is a non vanishing density of particles A, given by Eq. ( $\overline{-15} \overline{-1}$ ). The consistent result is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} F_{0}(1) \quad{ }_{0}^{B B}(1)=\lim _{r!1} A(1): \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s we have already discussed above, in the lim it r! 1 particles A are adsorbed once the system has reached a jam med con guration in which only particles B have been adsorbed ( $=0$ ). These interm ediate con gurations are characterised by having clusters of holes involving at $m$ ost two consecutive sites. Therefore, particles A will be adsorbed in one of the em pty sites of those clusters with two adjacent holes, ie.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1}{ }_{0}^{B B}(1)+{ }_{A}(1)=\lim _{r!1} F_{0}(1) ; \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

because a (1) equals the density of holes between a particle B and another hole in the interm ediate \m etastable" state. In Fig. 'i-i, the fraction of holes between two particles $B, x_{J}^{B B}$, is plotted as a function of the adsonption rates ratio $r$. It is seen that $x_{J}^{B B}$ is a $m$ onotonically increasing function of $r$. It $m$ ust be noted that $\lim _{r!} x_{0}^{B B} 1$, since in that $\lim$ it $F_{0}{ }^{\text {BB }}(1)$ does not equal the total density of holes $F_{0}(1)$, as expressed by Eq. (ī2ín). M ore concretely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} x_{J}^{B B}=\lim _{r!1} \frac{\mathrm{~F}_{0}^{\mathrm{BB}}(1)}{\mathrm{F}_{0}(1)}=\frac{13 \mathrm{e}^{2}}{1} \mathrm{e}^{2}: \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 4: P lot of the concentration $x_{J}^{B B}$ as a function of $r$ (in a logarithm ic scale). N ote that $x_{J}^{B B}$ does not tend to unity for r ! 1 .
 one particle A and one particle B , independently of their relative positions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{0}^{A B}(1)={ }_{0}^{B A}(1)=\frac{1}{2} F_{0}(1) \quad \int_{0}^{A A}(1) \quad \int_{0}^{B B}(1): \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fraction of holes betw een two distinct particles $x_{J}^{A B}=x_{J}^{B A}$ is plotted in F ig. ${ }_{-1}^{15}$. It has a non $m$ onotonic behaviour, exhibiting a maxim um for r' 2 , ie., in the region where the attem pt rates of adsonption and are of the sam e order of magnitude. For r ! 0, $x_{J}^{A B}!0$, since no particles $B$ are adsorbed on the lattice. On the other hand, in the lim it $r!1$ a \m inim um " adsonption ofparticles A is required on those groups oftw o consecutive holes left by the previous adsorption of particles B, as already discussed. T hen,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r!1} x_{J}^{A B}=\lim _{r!1} \frac{{ }_{0}^{A B}(1)}{F_{0}(1)}=\lim _{r!1} \frac{{ }^{A}(1)}{2 F_{0}(1)}=\frac{e^{2}}{1 e^{2}} ; \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$




FIG.5: Plot of $x_{J}^{A B}(1)$ as a function of $r$ (in a logarithm ic scale). A maxim um occurs for r' 2 . V. CONCLUSIONS

The ob jective here has been to study a one-dim ensional model for the adsorption of a binary m ixture on a lattioe w ith nearest neighbour cooperative e ects. B oth species are of the sam e size (m onom ers), but they di er in the strength of the $N \mathrm{~N}$ repulsive interactions, as $m$ easured by the num ber of $N N$ em pty sites required for a particle to adsorb. Em phasis has been put on the jam med con guration reached by the system in the long time lim it. T he asym ptotic coverage of the lattioe by the $m$ ixture di ers from previous results both for the random sequential adsonption of $m$ ixtures on lattioes and continuous substrates [ particles feeling stronger $N N$ repulsion, and less e ciently than the species experiencing a weaker one. In fact, the jam $m$ ing coverage is a $m$ onotonic function of the ratio betw een the attem pt rates of adsonption for the two species. This is a consequence of the cooperativity of the adsonption events considered in our model.

In the long tim e lim it, the tendency to the asym ptotic value of the coverage is dom inated by the least cooperative species. T his is sim ilar to the behaviour observed in $m$ odels with species of di erent sizes, in which this approach is dom inated by the sm aller species
${ }^{1} \overline{1}, \quad$, 1 $t^{1}$ power law $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ does not hold. This is a consistent result, since exponential behaviour is characteristic of any d-dim ensional lattioe deposition, evolving to a power law when a continuum deposition lim it is introduced [1] $\overline{1}, \bar{\prime}, \overline{2} \underline{\underline{2}}]$.

The structure of the jam $m$ ing con guration has also been investigated by com puting som e spatial correlation functions. H ere, the interest has been put on the nature of the holes, i.e., the kind of particles surrounding them. The relative densities of holes betw een two identical particles, of either of the two species, show a m onotonic behaviour as a function of the adsonption rates ratio. On the other hand, the fraction of holes between two particles of di erent species displays a m axim um in the region where both attem pt rates of adsorption are of the sam e order ofm agnitude. Thism ay be a relevant inform ation in order to determ ine the properties of the adsonbed layer in a given physical system .

T he m odel discussed here is closely related to the so-called facilitated Ising m odels, rst
 system $s$ is that, although their them al equilibrium properties are trivial, their dynam ics is highly cooperative and very slow. A recent review on these kinetically constrained Ising m odels can be found in Ref. $\underline{\underline{2} \overline{1} 1 \overline{1}}]$. They are appropriate and have been used to $m$ odelphysical
 which the structural rearrangem ent of a given region $m$ ay be slowed dow $n$ or even blodked by the con guration of the surroundings.

Irreversible cooperative adsorption processes have also been used as m odels for the free relaxation betw een two shakes in vibration experim ents w ith granular system s
 the bottom of its container. D uring the free evolution of the system, i.e., only under the action of gravity, particles can only go down, as long as there is enough em pty space in their surroundings. The total density of particles in the layer grows until the hard-core interaction prevents $m$ ore $m$ ovem ents of particles, and a $m$ etastable ( $m$ echanically stable) con guration is reached. D i erent kind of grains may need also di erent am ounts of free space in their surroundings to be adsorbed on or desorbed from the layer. In our system, these kinetic constraints are $m$ odeled by the di erent \facilitation rules" for the adsorption of both species. On the other hand, when the system is subm itted to vertical vibration, particles can go up, decreasing the density in the layer. This vibration dynam ics can be
m odeled by allow ing desonption events. In this way, a sim ple m odel to analyse granular segregation phenom ena in a binary m ixture can be form ulated
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APPENDIX A: ADSORPTION OFPARTICLESAON A JAMMEDCONFIGURATION OF PARTICLESB

In this A ppendix, we will brie y analyse the dynam ics of a system in which particles A adsorb on a jammed state corresponding to a previous adsonption of particles B. The adsorption of B particles is equivalent to the one-dim ensionalRSA with NN exclusion or blocking, whose solution is well-known [ilil. In the jam $m$ ed state of particles $B$, the density of holes reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{0}(1)=\frac{1}{2} 1+e^{2} ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the density of pairs of consecutive holes is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(1)=e^{2}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the jam $m$ ed state of particles $B$ is characterised by having at $m$ ost tw o consecutive holes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{r}(1)=0 \quad \text { for all } r \quad 2 . \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asym ptotic density of particles B directly follow s from the density of holes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{B}}(1)=1 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0}(1)=\frac{1}{2} 1 \quad \mathrm{e}^{2} \quad: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider that this jam med state for the system of particles B is the in itial state for the adsorption of particles A. Therefore, in term $s$ of the $m$ om ents $F_{r}(t)$ we will have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(0)=0 ; 8 \mathrm{r} \quad 2 ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{1}(0) \notin 0 ; \quad \mathrm{F}_{0}(0) \notin ; \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

being $\mathrm{F}_{0}(0)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{1}(0)$ the initial density of holes and of pairs of consecutive holes, given by Eqs. (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { A }(0)=0 ; \quad \text { B }(0) \not 0 ; \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$


In order to solve this problem, the form alism developed for the com plete system in Sec. "in, $m$ aking $=0$, can be used. The initial generating function is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{0}(x)=X_{r=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{x^{r}}{r!} F_{r+1}(0)=F_{1}(0) ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is independent of x . Then, Eq. (19-19) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(t)=F_{1}(0) e^{t} ; \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., the density of pairs of consecutive holes decays exponentially. $O$ f course, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{r}}(\mathrm{t})=0$ for allr 2. The evolution equations for the densities (21) are very simple,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}{ }_{B}(t)=0 ; \quad \frac{d}{d t}_{A}(t)=F_{1}(0) e^{t} ; \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A}(t)=F_{1}(0) 1 \quad e^{t}: \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result re ects that the initial con guration is a jam $m$ ed state of the particles $B$ and, therefore, the holes are either isolated or in groups oftw o consecutive holes, whose density is $F_{1}(0)$. Particles A can be adsorbed on any of the $2 F_{1}(t)$ sites $w$ hich are next to a hole, w ith a rate $=2$. A s all of these processes are independent, the density of hole pairs $F_{1}(t)$ decays exponentially as $\exp (t)$, and the density of particles A also increases exponentially until it reaches its steady value

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{A}(1)=F_{1}(0)=e^{2} ; \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th a characteristic time $={ }^{1}$. N ote that this is precisely the lim it of $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}(1)$ when $r==!1$, Eq. (3).

$$
\begin{equation*}
J={ }_{A}(1)+{ }_{B}(1)=\frac{1}{2} 1+e^{2} ; \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which agrees w ith Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$.
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