Evolution of 4fE lectron States in the M etal-Insulator Transition of P rR u_4P_{12}

Kazuaki Iwasa and Lijje Hao

Departm ent of Physics, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578, Japan.

K eitaro K uwahara, M asahum i K ohgi, Shanta Ranjan Saha,^y H itoshi Sugawara,^z Yuji A oki, and H ideyuki Sato Department of Physics, Tokyo M etropolitan University, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0397, Japan.

Takashi Tayama and Toshiro Sakakibara

Institute for Solid State Physics, University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8581, Japan.

(D ated: January 13, 2022)

M agnetic excitations of the led skutterudite PrR u_4P_{12} exhibiting a metal-insulator (M –I) transition at T_{M-I} = 63 K were studied by inelastic neutron scattering experiment. The spectra at temperatures much lower than T_{M-I} are described as well-dened crystal-eld excitations. W ith approaching T_{M-I} , the excitation peaks broaden and shift considerably together with the temperature variation of the carrier number and the atom ic displacement in the transition. The 4felectron state evolve from the well localized state in the insulator phase to the strongly hybridized itinerant state by p-fm ixing near T_{M-I} . The hybridization is responsible for the M–I transition of PrR u_4P_{12} .

PACS num bers: 71.70.Ch, 71.30.+h, 78.70 N x

I. IN TRODUCTION

M any rare-earth and actinide compounds have been studied extensively because of their attractive properties such as heavy electrons, unconventional superconductivity, quantum critical behaviors, valence uctuation etc. It is commonly accepted that interplay between f and conduction electrons is responsible for these properties. The hybridization e ect often gives rise to a quasielastic or broad m agnetic excitation spectra instead of wellde ned crystal-eld (CF) excitations [1]. The CF spectralwidth proportional to tem perature in rare-earth system s has been interpreted by so-called Korringa-law in which exchange interaction between f and conduction electrons is taken into account [2]. Those of dense K ondoe ect or valence uctuation systems often show T $^{1=2}$ behavior. It was theoretically studied based on the degenerate Anderson m odel [3, 4]. To understand the com plex m agnetic structures of C e-m onopnictides, the e ect ofp-fm ixing between 4felectrons and p holes of the pnictogens on the CF levels was discussed [5]. It succeeded in explaining the anom alously small CF split between a ground state and a excited state.

Recently, led skuttenudite RT $_4X_{12}$ (R = lanthanide and actinide elements, T = transition metal, X = pnictogen) has been found to show various physical properties involving f electrons [6]. In their crystal structure with the space group Im 3 (T_h^5), X atoms form corner

shared octahedra. T and R ions are located inside and between the octahedra, respectively. Since R ions are surrounded by 12 X atoms forming an icosahedron, it is suggested that the various properties originate from the p-fm ixing [7]. Among them, $PrRu_4P_{12}$ exhibits a metal-insulator (M-I) transition at $T_{M I} = 63 \text{ K}$ [8]. Electron and X-ray di raction studies elucidated a superlattice characterized by the wave vector $q_0 = (1;0;0)$ with Pm 3 (T_h^1) below $T_M \equiv [9, 10, 11]$. The band structure study proposed that the Ferm i surfaces perpendicular to the [1, 0, 0] axes cross the half way between and X points to form a cubic-like shape [12]. Thus, this phase transition is suggested to be a charge-density-wave (CDW) form ation owing to a three-dimensional Ferm isurface nesting by q_0 . No anom aly of magnetic susceptibility was observed at T_{M-I} [8] and the CF ground state was suggested to be non magnetic from the speci c heat m easurem ent [13]. How ever, an isotropic m agnetic m oment of about 1 $_{\rm B}$ = Pr is induced under a magnetic eld less than 1 T at lower tem perature, as presented in this paper. There has been no trace of magnetic ordering. The electrical resistivity turns up below T_{M-I} , and show s shoulder-like anom aly around 40 K . From these facts, it is natural to expect a contribution of 4f electrons to the M -I transition. Since the magnetic excitation spectrum by 4f-electron CF levels re ect the carrier state as m entioned above, the 4f-electron role in the M -I transition of $PrRu_4P_{12}$ can be investigated by the magnetic excitation m easurem ent.

To understand the 4felectron state and the anomalous magnetic state in the M-I transition of PrRu₄P₁₂, we carried out an inelastic neutron scattering measurement. Clear sharp CF excitations of Pr³⁺ 4f² electrons are observed at temperatures much lower than T_{M I}. It is remarkable that, with increasing carrier number by elevating temperature, the CF excitation peaks shift and broaden drastically. We will show that PrRu₄P₁₂ under-

E lectronic address: iw asa@ iiyo phys.tohoku ac.jp

^yP resent address at Muon Science Laboratory, Institute of M aterial Structure Science, High Energy Accelerator Research O rganization,1-1 O ho, T sukuba, Ibaraki 305-0801, Japan.

²P resent address at D epartm ent of M athem atical and N atural Sciences, Faculty of Integrated A rts and Sciences, The U niversity of Tokushim a, Tokushim a 770-8502, Japan.

goes the M-I transition m ediated by strongly hybridized state between 4f electrons and carriers.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

A polycrystalline sample was prepared by the Sn-ux m ethod, whose quality is similar to that used in the transport study [14]. The inelastic neutron scattering experim ents were perform ed at the tim e-of-ight spectrom eters LAM-D (therm al neutron) and LAM-40 (cold neutron) installed in the pulsed neutron facility KENS in KEK, Japan. Scattered neutrons with the energy of 4.59 m eV selected by pseudo-m irror-type pyrolithic graphite crystal analyzers were counted by four or seven detectors at LAM -D and LAM -40, respectively. Sam ple tem peratures were controlled between 5 and 70 K by a cryostat with continuous ow of liquid He.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 1 represents the tem perature dependence of the response functions S (E) = $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{k_{\rm f}}{k_{\rm i}}$ $\frac{d^2}{d dE_f}$ obtained at ${\tt LAM} \ -\!\!\!{\rm D}$. These are evaluated by correcting absorption, subtracting the background estim ated from the measurem ent without the sample, and transform ing to absolute cross sections by incoherent scattering intensity of vanadium. At 5 K, clear peaks are seen at 32, 93, 13.9 and 22.7 m eV. Although the width of the last peak is broader than the instrum ental resolution in contrast to the other peaks having alm ost resolution-lim ited widths, they are presum ably attributed to CF excitations, since the scattering-angle dependence of intensity is consistent with that of magnetic form factor of Pr^{3+} . It is noticeable that, with increasing tem perature, the peaks shift to low er-energy side and becom e very broad. Such drastic behavior will be discussed based on the strong electron hybridization. Open squares in the inset of Fig. 1 depict tem perature dependence of Ru-ion displacem ent determ ined by the X-ray di raction study, where the Ru ions are located at (1=4+ ;1=4+ ;1=4+) and the equivalent positions [9, 10, 11]. The maximum -intensity position of inelastic spectra indicated by circles as well as corresponding to the order parameter obey the BCS-type gap function for CDW state shown by a solid line. Then it is natural to consider the CF levels variation coupling strongly with the carrier state and the structural change.

Because of the superlattice structure below T_{M-I} , local environm ents around the Pr ions at the unit-cell origin and at the body center are di erent. Therefore, there should be two levelschem es for each Pr-ion sites (Pr1 and Pr2) below $T_{\rm M}$ $_{\rm I}$. The CF H am iltonian for 4f electrons in both of Im 3 and Pm 3 structures is represented as

$$H_{CF} = A_4 (O_4^0 + 5O_4^4) + A_6^c (O_6^0 - 210_6^4) + A_6^t (O_6^2 - O_6^6);$$
(1)



20

25

1.0

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.0

0

5

S(E) [barn/meV/sr/f.u.]

FIG.1: Symbols represent S (E) of PrR u₄P₁₂ m easured at LAM -D.O rigins of vertical axes of each tem perature data are shifted by 0.1. In an inset, open squares depicts tem perature dependence of the Ru-ion displacement in units of atom ic coordinate determ ined in the X-ray di raction study [11], a solid line is the ${\tt BCS}$ gap function ${\tt tted}$ to ${\tt ,}$ and ${\tt lled}$ circles are position of the highest inelastic neutron scattering peak.

10

15 Energy [meV]

where O_m^n expresses a Stevens' operator equivalent [15]. The last term of A_6^t is caused by the lack of the point symmetry C₄ in T_h. The 4f²-electron state of Pr³⁺ split to four levels; a singlet 1, a non-m agnetic non-K ram ers doublet $_{23}$, and triplets $_{4}^{(1)}$ and $_{4}^{(2)}$. We carried out a least-squares thing procedure of calculated CF excitation spectra in the full measured energy range to the data of S (E) at 5 K . W e took free param eters of the CF coe cients in eq. 1, a scale factor for a ratio of calculated cross section to the experim ental result, and a constant background to approxim ate phonon contribution which is very small compared with the CF excitation peaks. The peak widths are assumed to be equal to the instrumental resolution. The result shown by solid lines in the upper gure of Fig. 2 reproduces well the experim ental data shown by circles, except the broad peak observed at 22.7 meV. The best tCF coe cients are shown in Table I. It is characteristic that, in low er tem perature region, the ground state of Pr1 is non-magnetic 1 and the rst excited state m agnetic $\binom{(2)}{4}$, and vice versa for P r2. In order to check the validity of the obtained CF scheme, we calculated magnetization based on the CF coe cients at 5 K.As shown in Fig. 3, the resultant isotropic magnetization dom insted by the $\binom{2}{4}$ ground state of P r2 agrees quite wellw ith the experim entaldata at 60 m K. The discrepancy between the observation and the calculation at low elds can be dissolved by taking into account a split

TABLE I: CF coe cients and scale factors obtained from the analysis of S (E).

Param eter	5 K	20 K	35 K	44 K	53 K	60 K	70 K
A4(Pr1) [mK]	-6.816	-5.571	-16.69	-14.10	-12.90	-17.17	-15.06
A ₆ ^c (Pr1) [mK]	0.9505	0.952	0.860	0.879	0.844	0.715	0.729
A ^t ₆ (Pr1) [mK]	0.46	0.41	2.01	2.56	2.36	5.69	7.31
A4(Pr2) [mK]	41.2	42.7	22.25	17.45	13.83	-11.50	-15.06
A ₆ ^c (Pr2) [mK]	1.41	1.45	0.944	0.916	0.794	0.847	0.729
A ^t ₆ (Pr2) [mK]	10.0	8.07	7.50	7.43	8.68	3.09	7.31
scale	0.940	1.001	0.850	0.888	0.986	1.067	1,227



FIG.2: Circles are measured spectra at 5 K (upper part) and at 60 K (lower part). Lines are the tted results by the model of two CF level schemes. Vertical bars represent CF energies at Pr1 and Pr2 measured from each ground state.

of the ${4 \choose 4}^{(2)}$ expected from the observed Schottky peak of speci c heat at 0.3 K, although the origin of the split is unknown. B ecause the nearest-neighbor Pr ions (Prl) of Pr2 are non-m agnetic, no m agnetic ordering is naturally expected. The speci c heat value of 0.51 J/K /m olat 9 K evaluated from the CF parameters at 5 K, corresponding to an excitation from ${4 \choose 4}$ to ${}_1$ of Pr2, is close to the observed value of 0.6 J/m ol/K [13]. Such quantitative agreem ent with the m agnetization and the speci c heat supports the present assignment of the CF levels.

For analyzing the data at higher tem peratures, the CF peaks are assumed to be expressed by Lorentzians with



FIG.3: Magnetizations measured at 60 mK (solid lines) and those calculated from the CF parameters at 5 K (dotted lines).

a nite width adjusted in the tting procedure involving convolution of the instrum ental resolution. Since the two Pr-ion sites are equivalent above T_{M-I} , we analyzed the data at 70 K under constraint of the same CF param eters for Pr1 and Pr2. As shown in the lower part of Fig. 2, the tted curve agrees well with the experim ental result at 60 K. The resultant parameters are listed in Table I. The values of CF Ham iltonian coe cients do not show a sm ooth dependence on tem perature. This is due to following reasons. The cross section of the excitation from the ground state $_1$ to $_4^{(2)}$ at 8.14 m eV of Pr1 at 5 K is about 3% of that from $_1$ to $_4$ (1) at 9.27 meV, which were resolved only in the higher-resolution m easurem ent at LAM -40. The cross section of the exci- $_{4}^{(2)}$ to $_{1}$ at Pr2 is also sm all. These sm aller tation from peaks are hidden at high tem perature region because of the spectral broadening. Thus, the determ ination of the small-peak position and intensity shown in Fig. 1 contains uncertainty. However, the overall spectra are well

tted and the scale factors are alm ost one as tabulated in Table I. W e com pared also the tem perature variation of bulk m agnetic susceptibility with that of the CF schem es determ ined in the present neutron scattering study. As seen in Fig. 4, the m agnetic susceptibility m easured underm agnetic eld of 0.1 T applied along the [001] axis (a solid line) agrees quite well with that calculated from the presently determ ined CF H am iltonian param eters as well as the Zeem an term (open squares). Thus, the present



FIG.4: A solid line represents measured bulk magnetic susceptibility under magnetic eld applied along the [001] axis, and open squares the calculated one based on the CF param eters determ ined in the present neutron scattering experim ents.

analysis succeeds in reproducing the experim entally determ ined cross sections quantitatively [16].

Figure 5 shows the resultant tem perature variation of the level schemes of Pr1 and Pr2, in which the zero energy is at the $_1$ level. The non-magnetic ground state $_1$



FIG. 5: CF level schemes of Prl and Pr2 as functions of temperature. The energy is de ned as dierence from the $_1$ level.

of both P r1 and P r2 around T_{M-I} is consistent with the suggestion from m agnetic susceptibility and speci c heat data [8,13]. The ground state of P r2 switches to $_{4}^{(2)}$ below about 40 K. This switch m ay give rise to the plateau of electrical resistivity around this tem perature [8]. As depicted by symbols in Fig. 6 (a), the intrinsic halfwidth at half m axim um (HW HM) varies from 0 at 5 K to 1.73 m eV at 70 K. This unusual CF evolution evidences

a strong interaction of 4f electrons with carriers.



FIG. 6: (a) C incles are the HW HM of CF peaks and a line calculated one based on eqs.2 and 3. (b) Squares are the shift of eigenenergy of $_{4}^{(1)}$ at Pr1 and a line based on eq.3.

The observed peak broadening will be analyzed by the following form of the HW HM (T) up to T = 6 $_{CF}$, where $_{CF}$ is the CF split energy, expressed as

$$(T) = (0) + 4 f J_{ex} (g_J 1) N (E_F) g^2 k_B T;$$
 (2)

where J_{ex} denotes an exchange integral between 4f and conduction electrons and N (E_F) the density of states at the Ferm i level [2]. In contrast to conventional metals showing T-linear behavior of (T) due to temperatureindependent N (E_F), the broadening of CF peaks in PrRu₄P₁₂ is strongly non-linear against T as depicted in Fig. 6 (a). This phenom enon can be ascribed to the variation of electronic state of PrRu₄P₁₂ in the M-I transition. We analyze the data based on eq. 2 by sim ply assuming that the N (E_F) is proportional to the carrier num ber n (T). It is presum ed to be expressed as

$$n(T) = n_0 e^{-g(T) = k_B T}$$
; (3)

where $_{\rm g}$ (T) is an activation energy following the BCS gap function reproducing the atom ic displacement below $T_{\rm M~I}$ as shown in the inset of Fig.1. $_{\rm g}$ (0) = 37 K is evaluated from the electrical resistivity [8]. Calculated (T) by substituting n (T) for N (E_F) and by putting arbitrary values of (0) = 0.016 m eV and $J_{\rm ex}n_0$ = 0.23 reproduces the experimental HW HM well, as shown by a line in Fig.6 (a). The success of this simple analysis supports that the hybridization e ect become es signi cant with increasing temperature near $T_{\rm M~I}$.

The shift of CF excitation peaks is also explained by the carrier-state variation in the M -I transition. The p-f m ixing forces the valence band level to be raised and the m ixed CF level to be lowered [5]. The CF-energy shift is proportional to the number of holes in valence band. In the case of PrRu₄P₁₂, the holes in the 49th band is thought to be responsible for the p-fmixing [12]. As it is reduced below T_{M-I} , the mixed CF level energy is expected to increase. In addition, to keep the center of gravity of CF energy, even the CF levels with less mixing also shift. In Fig. 6 (b), the experimentally determined shift of eigenenergy of $_{4}^{(1)}$ at PrI is shown as a typical example, together with a line of n(T) in eq. 3 with an arbitrary value of $n_{0} = 2.4$. Their temperature variations are similar to each other, so that the strong hybridization between felectron orbit and p-electron holes at high temperature region is again supported.

IV. SUMMARY

It is concluded that the M-I transition of PrR u_4P_{12} is a new type of CDW transition mediated by the strong hybridization between 4f-electron and carrier states. Above T_{M-I} , the instability of the p-hole band due to the three dimensionally perfect nesting is enhanced by the high density of state at the Ferm i level ow ing to the hybridiza-

- [L] E. Holland-M oritz and G. H. Lander, Handbook on the Physics and Chem istry of the Rare Earths Vol. 19 (Elsevier Science B.V., Am sterdam 1994) p.1.
- [2] K.W. Becker, P.Fulde and J.Keller, Z.Physik B 28, (1977) 9.
- [3] Y.Kuram oto and E.Muller Hartmann, J.Magn.Magn. Mater. 52 122 (1985).
- [4] D.L.Cox, N.E.Bickers and J.W. W ilkins, J.Magn. Magn.Mater.54 57,333 (1986).
- [5] H. Takahashi and T. Kasuya, J. Phys. C, Solid State Phys.18,2697 (1985);18,2709 (1985);18,2721 (1985); 18,2731 (1985);18,2745 (1985);18,2755 (1985).
- [6] B.C. Sales, Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths Vol.33 (Elsevier Science B.V., Am sterdam, 2003) pl.
- [7] H. Harim a and K. Takegahara, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 15, S2081 (2003).
- [8] C. Sekine, T. U chium i, I. Shirotani and T. Yagi, Phys. Rev.Lett. 79, 3218 (1997).
- [9] C. H. Lee, H. M atsuhata, A. Yam am oto, T. Ohta, H. Takazawa, K. Ueno, C. Sekine, I. Shirotani and T. Hirayama, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 13, L45 (2001).
- [10] C.H.Lee, H.M atsuhata, H.Yam aguchi, C.Sekine and I. Shirotani, J.M agn.M agn.M ater. 272 276, 426 (2004).

tion e ect, as evidenced by the present work. It gives rise to the CDW transition resulting in lowering of the band energy by the gap form ation and loosing the hybridization with 4f-electron state. This scenario can explain the observed evolution of 4f-electron state through the transition, and explain also the reason why LaR u_4P_{12} without 4f electron does not undergo a CDW transition in spite of sim ilar nesting conditions as that of PrR u_4P_{12} [17].

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors acknow ledge greatly P rof.H.Harin a and Dr.K.M atsuhira for their fruitful discussions and the neutron-scattering instrument group LAM at KEK for the experimental assistance. This study is partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scienti c Research from M inistry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology, Japan (Young Scientists (B) (No.15740219) and Scienti c Research Priority Area \Skutterudite" (No.15072206)).

- [11] L.Hao, K.Iwasa, K.Kuwahara, M.Kohgi, S.R.Saha, H. Sugawara, Y.Aoki, H.Sato, C.Sekine, C.H.Lee and H. Harima, J.Magn.Magn.Mater. 272 276, e271 (2004).
- [12] H. Harim a and K. Takegahara, Physica B 312 313, 843 (2002).
- [13] C. Sekine, T. Inaba, I. Shirotani, M. Yokoyama, H. Am itsuka, T. Sakakibara, Physica B 281& 282, 303 (2000).
- [14] S. R. Saha, H. Sugawara, T. Namiki, Y. Aoki and H. Sato, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 15, S2163 (2003).
- [15] K. Takegahara, H. Harim a and A. Yanase, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 1190 (2001); 70, 3468 (2001); 71, 372 (2002).
- [16] The analytical form of CF eigenenergies for T_h symmetry [15] does not reproduce the peak at 22.7 meV observed below 20 K. The discrepancy may be explained by a coupling between the CF states and optical phonons distorting the CF potential, as the bound state in CeA \downarrow studied by P. Thalm eier and P. Fulde, Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 1588 (1982). We expect a high density of states of optical phonons near the $_{23}$ level at Pr2 of PrR u₄P₁₂, which couple to the excitation from $_{4}^{(2)}$ to $_{23}$. How ever, the coupling has no direct in uence on the therm al evolution of the CF schemes and should be ignored here.
- [17] H. Harim a, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 138, 117 (2000).