Density operators that extrem ize T sallis entropy and therm alstability e ects

C.Vignat

E E C S., University of Michigan, U S A. and L IS., Grenoble, France

A.Plastino

National University La Plata and Argentina's CONICET C.C.727, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

March 23, 2022

A bstract

Quite general, analytical (both exact and approximate) forms for discrete probability distributions (PD's) that maximize T sallis entropy for a xed variance are here investigated. They apply, for instance, in a wide variety of scenarios in which the system is characterized by a series of discrete eigenstates of the Hamiltonian. Using these discrete PD's as \weights" leads to density operators of a rather general character. The present study allows one to vividly exhibit thee ects of non-extensivity. Varying T sallis' non-extensivity index q one is seen to pass from unstable to stable systems and even to unphysical situations of in nite energy.

1 Introduction

T sallis' therm ostatistics is today a new paradigm for statistics, with applications to several scientic disciplines 1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. Notwithstanding its manifold applications, some details of the basic therm ostatistical formalism remain unexplored. This is why analytical results are to be welcome, specially if they are, as the ones to be here investigated, of a very general nature. We will provide this type of results for discrete probability distributions of exed variance that maxim ize T sallis' entropy.

G iven a discrete probability distribution (DPD) $p = fp_k g$, its T sallis' inform ation measure (or entropic form) is de ned as

$$H_{q}(p) = \frac{1}{q-1} (1 \quad \overset{X^{1}}{\underset{k=-1}{x}} p_{k}^{q}):$$
 (1)

It is a classical result that as q! 1; T sallis entropy reduces to Shannon entropy

W ithout loss of generality, $w \in w$ ill here consider only centered random variables of xed variance.

The aim of this paper is to provide accurate estimates of i) the parameters of the DPD's and ii) their behavior. The maximizers of Tsallis' information measure under variance constraint in the continuous, multivariate case have been discussed in [6].

Consider a quantum system whose eigenstates are characterized by a set of quantum numbers that we collectively denote with an integer, running index k (Cf. Eq. (1)), that will of course also label the eigensolutions (j $_k$ i; $_k$) of the pertinent time-independent Schr dinger equation

$$H j_k i = k j_k i; \qquad (2)$$

with H the Ham iltonian. Let $p_k = j_k \hat{j}$ be the probability of nding our system in the state j_k i. The mixed state

$$= \sum_{k}^{X} p_{k} j_{k} ih_{k} j_{k}$$
(3)

com m utes with the H am iltonian by construction and represents thus a bona de possible stationary state of the system . If we now nd a physical quantity Z whosem can value is proportional to the variance, we can interpret as the mixed state that maxim izes T sallis measure subject to the a-prioriknown expectation value of such a physical quantity. We will show below that, in these circumstances, universal expressions can be given for the p_k 's, and thus for .

We discuss possible applications in the forthcoming section. Afferwards, after having introduced some denitions and notations, we characterize the discrete T sallis maximizers for exed variance in both the q < 1 and the q > 1 cases, and discuss thermal stability questions. We pass then to analyze extensions to the multivariate cases. For the sake of completeness, some of the proofs of assertions referenced to in what follows are given in Annex.

2 Possible physical applications

Several physical models can be adapted to the scenario described above (C f. Eqs. (2) and (3)) The weights p_k in (3)) will be of the T sallis-power law form . With these weights, maximizes T sallis' entropy subject to the constraint of a constant variance, which introduces a Lagrange multiplier that we will call . Given a physical quantity Z whose mean value is proportional to the variance, is that mixed state which maximizes T sallis measure subject to the a-priori

known hZ i value. In the examples below Z is the system's energy E, but many other possibilities can be in agined. Thus, will be the state maxim izing T sallis' H $_q$ for a xed value of the expectation value U = hE i = Tr[H] of the H am iltonian. As a consequence, the multiplier can be thought of as an \inverse tem perature" T, that is, set = 1=(k_B T), with k_B the Boltzmann constant. This is so because we are at liberty of in agining that U is kept constant because it is in contact with a heat reservoir [7]. Of course, this is not necessarily the case. exists by itself and is a legitim ate stationary mixed state of our system. But we can think of as either a \real" or an \equivalent" inverse tem perature.

Consider, for example, a system for which the energy spectrum consists of a denum erable set of N (N possibly in nite) energy levels labeled by a quantum number k with p $_k = p_k$; so that all levels exhibit a degeneracy

$$q_k = 2 \text{ for } k \in 0; k > 0; \text{ and } q_0 = 1;$$
 (4)

i.e., the sum s in (1) run from 0 up to 1 $\,$ and each sum m and is multiplied by g_k . W ithin the present fram ew ork, U 1

$$U = hE i = \begin{cases} x^1 \\ g_k p_k E_k; \end{cases}$$
 (5)

becomes numerically equal to the DPD's variance, which by denition is xed and assumedly known a priori. As just stated, we may think, if we wish, that our system is in contact with a heat reservoir, which xes the mean energy, and that the associated Lagrange multiplier can be assimilated to an inverse temperature T. Among many examples of such a scenario we mention here:

the planar rotor8], where k is the magnetic quantum number corresponding to the azimuthal angle usually denoted by . The level-energies E $_k$ are proportional to k^2 and

$$E_k = C_E k^2$$
; C_E has dimension of energy: (6)

We have $C_E = \sim^2 = 2M_I$, with M_I the system 's m om ent of inertia [9]. For sim plicity's sake we take here $C_E = 1$, but retain, of course, its energy-units.

the three-dim ensional rigid rotator $\{0\}$, although k now m eans the quantum number L associated to orbital angular m om entum (for large k, the spectrum of (6) boks like that of the 3D rigid rotor) and, for all k 0, $g_k = 1$.

¹M ean values linear in the PD are employed here. They are quite legitim ate and were used by T sallis in his sem inal 1988 paper [2]. The Legendre structure of them odynam ics is de nitely respected using them in conjunction with T sallis' entropy [3]. Recently, Ferri, M artinez and P lastino have shown [4] that PD's obtained in this manner can be easily translated into PD's constructed via M axEnt using q expectation values evaluated a la T sallis-M endes-P lastino [5], showing thereby that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the two types

a vibrating string of length 21 w ith xed ends[0], whose energy eigenvalues are \mathbb{E}_k / $[k=21]^2$.

the case of a particle (of m ass m) in a box subject to periodic boundary conditions (at (L; +L)) whose energy values are $_k$ = [2 $^2\sim^2 k^2=$ (m L 2)] [7].

3 De nitions and Notations

In what follows, m is a positive real number and n a positive integer. O flen, m will take the special form of an odd integer m = 2n+1: The solutions to the problem of maxim ization of T sallis entropy \under variance constraint" (here equivalent to \for xed mean energy") will be called discrete T sallis-probability laws (DTPL's) in this manuscript. Since (see preceding Section) xed mean energy can be thought of as in plying contact with a heat reservoir at the xed tem perature $T=1=(k_B)$ we will call the pertinent Lagrange multipliers inverse tem peratures in what follows. DTPL's are given by the following two theorems.

Theorem 1 If $\frac{1}{3}$ < q < 1, for k_B T = 1= , with k_B the Boltzmann constant, the discrete probability law p with zero mean and mean energy U (equivalently, variance 2) that maxim izes T sallis' entropy is de ned as 2

$$p_k = P rfX = kg = f_q^{-1} (T) (1 + \frac{k^2}{k_B T})^{\frac{1}{q-1}} 8k 2 Z^+;$$
 (7)

where $f_q(T)$ is the partition function, given by

$$f_{q}(T) = \sum_{k=0}^{x^{1}} g_{k} (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{k_{B}T})^{\frac{1}{q-1}};$$
 (8)

and $= 1=k_B T$ is a real positive Lagrangian multiplier such that

In the \lim it T $\,!\,$ 1 , our probability distribution converges to the classical discrete G aussian distribution [11].

Notice the existence of a lower bound q=1=3. Smaller q values are unphysical because for them them ean energy of them odel (for the PD (7)) becomes in nite. A dditionally (see below) the system turns out to be them odynamically unstable because the specic cheat becomes negative. In this case where q<1, we denote the real positive parameter m that we need below by

$$m = \frac{1+q}{1-q}; \tag{9}$$

 $^{^{2}}$ the dependence of p on = 1=k $_{B}$ T is om itted for notational sim plicity

Theorem 2 If q > 1; the discrete probability distribution p with zero m ean and m ean energy U (equivalently, variance 2) that m axim izes T sallis entropy is dened as

$$p_k = P \, rfX = kg = \begin{pmatrix} f_q^{-1} \, (T) \, (1 - \frac{k^2}{k_B \, T})^{\frac{1}{q-1}} & 8k \, 2 \, [0; bk_B \, T \, c - 1] \\ 0 & \text{otherw ise} \end{pmatrix}$$
 (10)

where f_{α} (T) is the partition function

$$f_{q}(T) = \int_{k=0}^{b_{X^{c}}} g_{k} (1 - \frac{k^{2}}{k_{B} T})^{\frac{1}{q-1}};$$
 (11)

 $bk_B\,T\,c$ denotes the integer part of $k_B\,T$ whose inverse, namely, $\,$, is a real positive Lagrangian multiplier such that

$$U = {}^{2} = {}^{1} g_{k} k^{2} p_{k}$$
:

In the present case where q > 1, we de nem as a real positive parameter related to q by

$$m = \frac{q+1}{q-1}; \tag{12}$$

to be of use below . Note that this de nition di ers from de nition 9) in the case q < 1.

We do not give the proofs of theorems (1) and (2) here. That laws de ned by (8) and (11) are the solutions of the T sallis entropy maxim ization problem can be obtained by extending the results of the continuous case as presented in [6]. The cases i) q < 1 and ii) q > 1 di er essentially by the fact that the latter has a nite support. As far as we know, this is a novel way, in the q literature, of dealing with the celebrated T sallis-cut-o 2].

We remark on the fact that the forms (7) and (10) coincide with the distribution-form given by T sallis in his pioneer 1988 paper [2] (see also [5]), namely,

$$p_k = Z_q^{1} [1 \quad (q \quad 1) \quad k^2]^{1=(q \quad 1)};$$
 (13)

that, for q! 1, tends to the discrete G aussian [2]

$$Z_1^{1} \exp[-k^2]$$
: (14)

In the form er case (Eq. (7)) we have q < 1, while in the later (Eq. (10)) q > 1. Thus, in Eq. (7) we have $= (1 \quad q)$, while for (10) $= (q \quad 1)$. Of course, according to (7), (10), and (14), for q! 1 we have !.

4 Approxim ate treatments for q< 1

4.1 The two regimes

Let us recall that, for q < 1, m = (1 + q) = (1 - q). Thus, m is large if q ! 1 (Boltzm ann lim it (BL)), while a small positive value of m entails q ! 1. Careful inspection of the partition function $f_q(T)$ in the cases $m = 3 \ q = 1 = 2$, $m = 5 \ q = 2 = 3$, and $m = 7 \ q = 3 = 4$ indicates that two regimes should be distinguished:

the rst regim e, called "power law" regim e, corresponds to the case T 1 (low tem peratures)

the second regime called the "Student-t" regime, corresponds to the case T 1 (high temperatures)

Anticipating the results provided by the following theorems, we note that the cases "sm all T "/"large T " can be translated as joint range values of parameters U and m (1+q)=(1-q): typically, the large T case corresponds to jointly large values of U and m; as expected, while the small case corresponds to jointly small values of these parameters. This is illustrated on the curve below (Fig. 1), where the large T property is translated as T 100; and the small T property as T 0:01:N ote that the left bound n = 1=2 corresponds to m = 2n + 1 = 2; i.e., q = 1=3, and thus to an (unphysical) in nite U. For economy's sake we set herefrom

$$a = p \frac{p}{k_B T}$$
 (15)

4.2 The power law regime

In the power-law regime (T $\,$ 1), a detailed characterization of the distribution can be obtained for all real values of m , as shown in the following theorem .

Theorem 3 Assuming that T 1 (a 1) and with m de ned by (9), the following approximations hold:

1. for the partition function

$$f_q(T)' 1 + 2a^{m+1} (m + 1);$$

2. for the probability law

$$p_{k}' = \frac{\frac{a^{m+1}}{1+2a^{m+1}(m+1)}k^{m-1} \quad \text{if } k \in 0}{\frac{1}{1+2a^{m+1}(m+1)}}; \quad \text{if } k = 0;$$
 (16)

and corresponds thus to a discrete power-law. It tends to a Gaussian for ${\bf q}$! 1.

3. for the mean energy

U '
$$2a^{m+1}$$
 (m 1): (17)

4. If, m oreover, m = 2n + 1 with $n \ge N$, n = 1, the characteristic function can be approximated as

m (u) '
$$\frac{1}{(1+2a^{2n+2}(2n+2))}(1+2a^{2n+2}\frac{(-1)^n(2)^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!}B_{2n+2}(\frac{u}{2}));$$

where B $_{\rm n}$ (u) denotes the B emoulli polynom ial of order n :

Proof. the proof is given in Annex 1. ■

The speci cheat C = dU = dT is proportional to (m + 1). This is positive, and thus the system stable, for all $\frac{1}{3} < q < 1$.

Notice that, according to (16), only the ground state is populated at T=0, as it should.

The probability p_k decreases exponentially with k; being thus concentrated around its m ean value E X = 0:As an example, if a = 0:1; the following table shows, for several values of $q > \frac{1}{3}$, the rst values of p_k :

	k = 0	k = 1		k = 2		k = 3	
1		0.000.0	105	6.040.6	106		
$q = \frac{1}{2}$	0 : 99978	9:9978	10 5	6 : 248 6	10 °	1:2343	10 °
$q = \frac{3}{5}$	0:999 98	9:9998	10 ⁶	3:1249	10 7	4:1151	10 8
$q = \frac{2}{3}$	1:00000	1:00000	10 ⁶	1:5625	10 8	1:3717	10 ⁹
$q = \frac{5}{7}$	' 1:000 00	1:00000	10 ⁷	7:8125	10 ¹⁰	4 : 5725	10 11

Table 1: rst values of p_k for several values of q and a = 0:1

4.3 The Student-t regim e

In the case of the Student-t regime (high temperatures), our main result writes as follows:

Theorem 48">0; $9a_0 > 0$ and $9m_0 > 0$ such that if a a_0 and 2 < m m_0 then

The proof of this result is given in Annex 2. The values of a_0 and m_0 such that (18) holds are given in Fig. 2 for $=10^9$. As a direct consequence of this result, we have the following explicit approximations, valid if, simultaneously, i) a 1 (T 1) and ii) q! 1.

Proposition 5 If a 1, m 1, and $\frac{a^2}{m}$ 1, then the following approximations hold with high accuracy:

for the partition function

$$f_q(a)' a^{p-\frac{m}{2}} \frac{m}{(m+1)};$$

for the probability law (rem em ber that $U = ^2$)

$$p_{k}$$
, $\frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \frac{p}{m} \frac{m}{2} (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{2 (m - 2)})^{\frac{m+1}{2}};$ (19)

while for the mean energy

U'
$$\frac{a^2}{m}$$
: (20)

Note that here

(m 2)
$$U = k_B T = {}^{1};$$
 (21)

a result that will be needed below.

Proof. the expression of the partition function results from theorem (4). Using a by-product of proof of theorem (3), the mean energy U reads writes

$$U = a^{2} \left(\frac{f_{m} 2}{f_{m}} \right) / a^{2} \left(\frac{\frac{\binom{m-2}{2}}{\binom{m-1}{2}}}{\binom{m+1}{\binom{m+1}{2}}} \right) = \frac{a^{2}}{m} 2$$

As a consequence, a ' $\frac{p}{U}$ (m 2) and the law writes

$$p_k' = \frac{\binom{m+1}{2}}{\binom{m}{2}} \frac{\binom{k^2}{2}}{\binom{m}{2}} \frac{\binom{m+1}{2}}{\binom{m}{2}} (1 + \frac{k^2}{\binom{m}{2}})^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}$$

Notice that in this case the speci cheat is proportional to $(m-2)^{1}$. This is negative, and thus the system unstable, unless q > 1=3. In other words, the system is stable in the interval]1=3;1]: We remark also that, as a consequence of (17) and (20), the mean energy U is an increasing function of a (and thus of T), as it should.

R em ark 6 A rem arkable result here is that expression (19) is exactly the sam – pled version – with the sam e partition function – of the continuous maxim izer of the T sallis entropy whose expression is recalled here [12]:

$$f(x) = \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{\frac{m}{2}, \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} (1 + \frac{x^2}{u(m-2)})^{\frac{m+1}{2}} 8x 2 R$$

This entails that, at very high T, one can approximate sums over discrete energy levels by an integral. In order to understand the situation, remember that the results holds for m 1, which entails q 1, i.e., the Boltzmann limit. In this limit, replacing sums by integrals is a commonplace text-book procedure.

5 The q > 1 instance

5.1 A general result and its consequences

Recall that in the case q > 1, m = (q + 1) = (q - 1). The equivalent of theorem (4) writes as follows:

Theorem 7 8" > 0; $9a_0 > 0$ and $9m_0 > 0$ such that if a a_0 and m_0 then

$$X^{1}$$

$$(1 \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \frac{a^{p} - (\frac{m+1}{2})}{(\frac{m}{2} + 1)}$$
": (22)

P roof. The proof of this result is given in Annex 3. It essentially follows the steps of the proof of theorem (4).

We depict in Fig. 3 the area (north-east), delimited in the plane (a; m), that contains values of a and m for which approximation (22) holds, with " = 10^{-10} .

Corollary 8 For a 1 (T 1) the mean energy U veri es

$$U' \frac{a^2}{m+2}$$
 (23)

P roof. Denoting $n=\frac{m-1}{2}$ and f_n (a) for f_q (a), the normalization constant veri es the following recurrence

$$\begin{split} f_{n+1}(a) &= \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^{n+1} = \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^n - \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} k^2 (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^n \\ &= f_n(a) - \frac{U}{a^2} f_{n+1}(a) \end{split}$$

so that

$$U = a^2 (1 - \frac{f_{n+1}(a)}{f_n(a)})$$
:

M oreover, using the result of theorem (7), we have

$$f_n$$
 (a) $\frac{p}{a} = \frac{(n+1)}{(n+1)}$

we obtain after som e algebra

$$U' \frac{a^2}{m+2}$$
: (24)

We see from the form of (24) that the speciencheat C is proportional to 1= (m + 2). Thus, the is system stable for all q > 1. Also, since $a^2 = k_B T$, we see that the mean energy U is an increasing function of T, as it should.

5.2 Convergence to a sam pled Student-r law

As a consequence of theorem (7), the T sallis maxim izers can be approximated as follows, for a large enough (T large enough).

Theorem 9 If a 1 then the following approximation holds

$$p_{k} ' \frac{\frac{m}{2} + 1}{p - \frac{m+1}{2}} \frac{p}{U} \frac{p}{m+2} (1 - \frac{k^{2}}{U (m+2)})^{\frac{m-1}{2}}$$
(25)

Proof. >From (23), we have

$$a^2$$
 (m + 2)U:

and the result follows from Theorem (7).

Note that here

$$(m + 2)U = k_B T = {}^{1};$$
 (26)

a result that will be needed below. We remark the similarity to the corresponding q < 1 probability law.

Eq. (25) corresponds to the sam pled version of a continuous Student-r distribution -w high m axim izes T sallis entropy for x = x + y = x

5.3 Detailed results

In the case where m is an odd integer, m ore detailed results can be obtained concerning the behavior of this probability law .

Theorem 10 For a 1; if m = 2n + 1 is an odd integer with n 2, then

$$f_m(a) = \frac{2^{2n+1} (n!)^2}{(2n+1)!} a + o(a^2)$$
 (27)

and 8a 1; and if m = 3

$$f_3(a) = a\frac{4}{3} + \frac{a^{-1}}{3}$$
:

M oreover, the mean energy U veri es

$$U = \frac{a^2}{m+2} + o(a^{-1}); (28)$$

P roof. the proof is given in Annex 4. \blacksquare

This quasi linearity of f_m (a) in a for high temperatures is illustrated in Fig. 4, while the quadratic behavior of U vs. a is depicted in Fig. 5.

6 Convergence to the discrete normal distribution

We show now that, in the case where parameter m grows to in nity, both discrete T sallis distributions corresponding to either q < 1 or q > 1 converge to the discrete normal distribution.

Proposition 11 If a 1 and if the mean energy U is xed to a constant value, then, as m grows to in nity (i.e. q ! 1), both discrete T sallis probability distributions (7) and (10) converge to the maxim izer of Shannon's discrete entropy, i.e., the discrete normal distribution.

Proof. in the case q < 1; the result follows by taking m ! + 1 in (19) with

$$\lim_{m + 1 \to \infty} \frac{\frac{\binom{m+1}{2}}{\binom{m}{2}} = \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} \frac{1}{\binom{m}{2}} = \frac{1}{2U}$$

and (Cf. (21))

$$\lim_{m + 1 \to 1} (1 + \frac{k^2}{U (m + 2)})^{\frac{m+1}{2}} = \exp(\frac{k^2}{2U}):$$

In the case q > 1; the result follows sim ilarly by taking m + 1 in (25) with

$$\lim_{\substack{m \mid 1+1}} \frac{p - \frac{m}{2} + 1}{p - \frac{m+1}{2}} = p - \frac{1}{2}$$

and (Cf. (26))

$$\lim_{m \ ! \ +1} \ (1 \ \frac{k^2}{U \ (m \ +2)})^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = \exp{(\ \frac{k^2}{2U})};$$

This result shows that, for high enough temperatures, and if m ! 1 (or equivalently q! 1) the distribution that m axim izes T sallis' entropy converges to the Boltzm ann one, both for q < 1 and q > 1. O f course, for xed T 1 and q! +1, we obtain the uniform distribution, whereas for T 1 and q! +1 we obtain the determ inistic case $p_k = \frac{1}{k}$.

7 Extension to the multivariate case

In the last part of this communication we give a heuristic discussion of the multivariate discrete T sallis laws with q < 1:

Theorem 12 if $\frac{d}{d+2} < q < 1$ then the probability $p_{k_1;...;k_d} = P \text{ rfX }_1 = k_1;...;X_d = k_dg$ de ned by

$$p_{k_1;...;k_d} = f_{m;d}^{1}(a_1;...;a_d)(1 + \frac{X^d}{a_1^2}, \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}}; k_i 2 Z^+;$$

m axim izes T sallis d-variate entropy

$$H_{q}(p) = \frac{1}{q-1} (1 X_{k_{1},...,k_{d}} p_{k_{1},...,k_{d}}^{q})$$

for xed mean energy

$$y_{k_{i}} = u_{i}^{2}$$

The partition function f_m ; d (a1;:::; ad) is de ned as follows:

$$f_{m;d}(a_1; :::; a_d) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ x_1; :::; k_d \end{array} (1 + \begin{array}{c} X^d & \frac{k_1^2}{a_1^2} \\ & a_1^2 \end{array})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}}$$

In the case where 8i; ai 1; this function can be approximated as

$$f_{m;d}(a_1; :::; a_d) ' = \frac{\frac{d}{2} \frac{m}{2}}{\frac{m+d}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} a_i$$
:

Proof. The proof can be derived from that given for the continuous case in [6]. It is detailed in Annex 5. \blacksquare

The fam ily ofmultivariate discrete T sallismaxim izers verify approximately, as in the continuous case, the property of invariance by marginalization, as described in the following theorem.

Theorem 13 The d^0 variate marginal law (d^0 d 1) writes

$$p_{k_1;...;k_{d^0}} = f_{m;d^0}(a_1;...;a_{d^0}) (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{d^0}} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{\frac{m+d^0}{2}}$$
(29)

P roof, the proof is given in annex 5. \blacksquare

We remark here that property (29) is nothing but renormalizability: any subsystem of a system distributed according to a discrete T sallis law is itself distributed according to a discrete T sallis law. We note, too, that renormalization does not change the value of parameter m.

8 Conclusions

In this report, we have derived in analytic fashion some elementary properties of the discrete univariate and multivariate T sallis laws (probability distributions p_k running over a discrete index k) for both q < 1 and q > 1: M ost of the ensuing results are given by approximations, but numerical simulations show that they can be regarded as very accurate ones.

The discrete probability distributions p_k de ne, for any H am iltonian H whose eigenvalue equation reads H j $_k$ i = $_k$ j $_k$ i m ixed states of the form

$$= \sum_{k}^{X} p_{k} j_{k} ih_{k} j_{j}$$
 (30)

that commute with the Hamiltonian by construction and represent thus bona de stationary states of the system de ned by H. These weights p are of the T sallis-power law form. With these weights, maximizes T sallis' entropy subject to variance constraint, which introduces a Lagrange multiplier. In this work we have considered situations for which the system 's mean energy is proportional to the variance.

Our present consideration allows one to nitially appreciate the e ects of non-extensivity, as, varying q, one passes from unstable to stable systems and even to unphysical situations of in nite energy.

R eferences

- [1] M. Gell-M ann and C. Tsallis, Eds. Nonextensive Entropy: Interdisciplinary applications (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004); V. Latora, A. Rapisarda, and C. Tsallis, Physica A 305 (2002) 129 (and references therein); S. Abe and Y. Okamoto, Eds. Nonextensive statistical mechanics and its applications (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2001); A. R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Phys. Lett. A 193 (1994) 140; 177 (1993) 177.
- [2] C.Tsallis, J. Stat. Phys. 52 (1988) 479.
- [3] A.Plastino and A.R.Plastino, Phys. Lett. A 226 (1997) 257.
- [4] G. Ferri, S. Martinez, and A. Plastino (to be published).
- [5] C.Tsallis, R.Mendes, and A.R.Plastino, Physica A 261 (1998) 534.
- [6] C.Vignat, A.O.Hero III and J.A.Costa, About Closedness by Convolution of the Tsallis Maxim izers, Physica A (2004) in Press.
- [7] F.Reif, Statistics and thermal physics (M cG raw Hill, Singapore, 1985).
- [8] Rotor is an abbreviation of rotator (see Random Hause W ebster's College Dictionary (Random Hause, NY, 1990).
- [9] G.A.P.W yllie, Elementary statistical mechanics (Hutchinson & Co.LTD, London, 1970).
- [10] F.M andl, Quantum mechanics (John Wiley, NY, 1992).

- [11] P.J. Szablowski, Stat. Probab. Lett. 52 (2001) 289.
- [12] A M \mathcal{L} . de Souza, C . T sallis, Physica A 236 (1997) 52.
- [13] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, Table of Integrals, Series, and Products, Sixth edition, Alan Je rey and Daniel Zwillinger (eds.)

- FIGURE CAPTIONS p _ Fig. 1: Plot of \log_{10} (U) vs. [l=(q 1)] for T = 100 (upper curve) and T = 0.01 (lower curve) that illustrates the regions of applicability of the Studentt and power law regimes.
- Fig. 2: Student-t regime for q < 1: the values of a_0 as a function of m_0 (see text).
- Fig. 3: The plane (a;m): values of a and m for which approximation (22) holds, with " = 10^{-10} (see text).
- Fig. 4: q > 1 regime: quasi linearity of f_m (a) in a for high temperatures. The four dierent curves correspond, respectively, to m = 3,5,7,9, and 11, from top to bottom . Dots indicate the approximate value as given by Eq. (17). The continuous line represent exact values.
- Fig. 5: q > 1 regime: quadratic behavior of U vs. a. The three curves correspond, respectively, to m = 3, 5, and 7, from top to bottom. Dots indicate the approximate value as given by Eq. (18). The continuous line represent exact values.

Annex: proofs

For the sake of completeness we give here some of the proofs of assertions referenced to in the text.

- 8.1 Annex 1: proof of theorem (3)
 - 1. the norm alizing factor writes

$$f_{q}(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} = 1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{-\frac{m+1}{2}}$$

$$1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} (\frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{-\frac{m+1}{2}} = 1 + 2a^{m+1} \quad (m+1)$$

- 2. the approximated expression of the distribution as given by (16) is a direct consequence of the preceding result
- 3. We denote indierently f_m as f_q . As a consequence of the preceding result and remarking that

$$\begin{split} U &= f_{m}^{-1}(a)a^{2} \sum_{k=-1}^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}} \frac{\frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}}{(1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} \\ &= f_{m}^{-1}(a)a^{2} \sum_{k=-1}^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}} \frac{1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}}{(1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} \quad f_{m}^{-1}(a)a^{2} \sum_{k=-1}^{\frac{k^{2}}{2}} \frac{1}{(1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} \\ &= a^{2}(\frac{f_{m}}{f_{m}} - 1) \end{split}$$

we obtain

$$U = a^{2} \left(\frac{f_{2n-1}}{f_{2n+1}} \right) ' a^{2} \left(\frac{1+2a^{2n}}{1+2a^{2n+2}} \right) (2n)$$

$$1) = 2a^{2n+2} \left(\frac{(2n)}{1+2a^{2n+2}} \right) (2n+2)$$

$$2a^{2n+2} \left((2n) a^{2} \right) (2n+2) (1-2a^{2n+2}) (2n+2) (2n+2)$$

4. if m = 2n + 1 with n 2 N, the characteristic function writes

$$x (u) = f_{m}^{1}(a) \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} \cos(ku) (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m+1}{2}} = f_{m}^{1}(a) (1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} \cos(ku) (1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m+1}{2}})$$

$$f_{m}^{1}(a) (1 + 2a^{m+1} \sum_{k=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{\cos(ku)}{k^{m+1}})$$

But [13, 1.443] writes

$$\frac{X^{1}}{k} \frac{\cos(k x)}{k^{2n}} = \frac{(1)^{n-1}}{2} \frac{(2)^{2n}}{2n!} B_{2n} (\frac{x}{2}) \quad (0 x 2)$$
 (31)

so that

$$f_{m}^{1}(a) (1 + 2a^{m+1} + \frac{x^{1}}{k^{m+1}} \frac{\cos(ku)}{k^{m+1}})$$

$$f_{m}^{1}(a) (1 + 2a^{m+1} + \frac{x^{1}}{(m+1)} \frac{\cos(ku)}{k^{m+1}})$$

8.2 Annex 2: proof of theorem (4)

Denote the Gamma function as

$$(v) = \frac{1}{(v)} e^{-v} v^{-1}; v = 0:$$

U sing the G am m a integral, we have, with $=\frac{m+1}{2}$;

$$\overset{*}{X}^{1}$$
 $(1 + \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})$
 $= \begin{array}{c} \overset{*}{X}^{1} & \overset{Z}{z} + 1 \\ & & \\ k = 1 & 0 \end{array}$
 $= \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}v$
(v) dv:

Consider an arbitrary value a^2 and divide the integral into two parts:

$$Z_{+1}$$
 Z_{a^2} Z_{+1} Z_{+1}

Wewill show that

$$I_1 = X^1 \quad X_{+1} \\ k = 1 \quad a^2$$
 $e^{\frac{k^2}{a^2}V}$ (v)dv

can be made arbitrary small provided a is large enough, and for that choice of a; the absolute value of $I_2={P\atop k=}1 {R_a^2\atop 0} e^{k^2\over a^2}v$ (v) dv $a^p-{(-\frac{1}{2})\over ()}$ can be made arbitrary small by choosing small enough.

In term I_1 , v $\stackrel{2}{a^2}$ so that $\exp\left(-\frac{k^2}{a^2}v\right)$ $\exp\left(-\frac{k}{k}\right)$ so that

since $^{P}_{k=1}$ e $^{k^2}$, p = 1:7726. As $^{R}_{a^2}$ (v)dv is the residue of the converging integral of a positive function, a proper choice of a_0 yields

a
$$a_0 = 1$$
 $I_1 = 2$:

The second term writes

$$\begin{split} & I_{2} = \begin{pmatrix} X^{1} & Z_{a^{2}} \\ & & e^{\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}v} & (v)dv & a \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(-\right)} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} Z_{a^{2}} & X^{1} \\ & e^{\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}v} & (v)dv & a \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(-\right)} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} E_{a^{2}} & X^{1} \\ & e^{\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}v} & (v)dv & a \end{pmatrix} - \frac{Z_{a^{2}}}{v} & (v)dv \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} E_{a^{2}} & X^{1} \\ & e^{\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}v} & (v)dv & a \end{pmatrix} - \frac{Z_{a^{2}}}{v} & (v)dv \end{pmatrix} \\ & + \begin{pmatrix} E_{a^{2}} & P_{a^{2}} \\ & P_{a^{2}} & P_{a^{2}} \\ & & e^{\frac{x^{2}}{a^{2}}v} \end{pmatrix} & (v)dv & a \end{pmatrix} - \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)}; \end{split}$$

For the st di erence, we use the fact that, for a p,

so that

This rhs. integral can be $\frac{1}{4}$ as soon as a a_1 : Choosing a max(a_1 ; a_2) yields

The second di erence writes

Now, for a max(a); a1) xed, function f() = $a^p - \frac{(\frac{1}{2})}{()} \begin{pmatrix} R_a^2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is continuous in 0 and such that

$$\lim_{t \to 0^{+}} f(t) = 0$$

so that 9 $_{0}$ such that

8.3 Annex 3: proof of theorem (7)

U sing the complex version of the G amma integral as given in [13, 3.382.6], we have

$$p^{-1} = \frac{()}{2} e^{p^{-1}} \frac{e^{ipx}}{(+ix)} dx$$

if p > 0; Re() > 0, Re() > 0. Choosing $1 = \frac{m-1}{2}$ and = 1; we deduce that

$$(1 \quad \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{2} e^{1 \quad \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}} e^{1 \quad \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{e^{i(1 \quad \frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}})x}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} dx$$

$$= \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{k^{2}}{a^{2}}}} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} dx$$

so that

$$\overset{\,\,}{X} \overset{1}{\underset{k=-(a-1)}{\overset{}}} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^{\frac{m-1}{2}} = - \frac{\overset{m+1}{\overset{}}}{\overset{}} \overset{2}{\underset{k=-(a-1)}{\overset{}}} \overset{2}{\underset{k=-(a-1)}{\overset{}}} = \overset{(1+\mathrm{ix})\frac{k^2}{a^2}}{\underbrace{(1+\mathrm{ix})^{\frac{m+1}{2}}}} \mathrm{d}x :$$

When a 1;

so that

$$f_{m} (a) ' \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{2} \sum_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{a^{P}}{P} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{1+ix} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m+1}{2}}} dx = \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{2} \sum_{1}^{P} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m}{2}+1}} dx$$

$$= \frac{\frac{m+1}{2}}{2} \sum_{1}^{P} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m}{2}+1}} dx$$

$$= \frac{e^{1+ix}}{2} \sum_{1}^{P} \frac{e^{1+ix}}{(1+ix)^{\frac{m}{2}+1}} dx$$

8.4 Annex 4: proof of theorem (10)

Denote $n=\frac{m-1}{2}$ and $f_n(a)=\frac{P_{a=1}}{k=(a-1)}(1-\frac{k^2}{a^2})^n$: If m is an odd integer then n is integer and f'(n;a) can be expanded as follows

$$f_n'(a) = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2}$$

But it is well-known [13, 0.121] that, if $p \in 0$,

since B $_3=0$; and if p=0; $\stackrel{P}{\underset{k=0}{a}}_{\stackrel{1}{k}}k^{2p}=a$; so that

$$f'_{n}(a) = \begin{cases} X^{n} & n \\ p = 0 \end{cases} (1)^{n} a^{-2p} x^{n} k^{2p}$$

$$= a + \begin{cases} X^{n} & n \\ p = 1 \end{cases} p (1)^{n} a^{-2p} (\frac{1}{2p+1} a^{2p+1} - \frac{1}{2} a^{2p} + \frac{p}{6} a^{2p-1} + o(a^{2p-2}))$$

$$= a (\begin{cases} n \\ p = 0 \end{cases} p \frac{(1)^{n}}{2p+1} - \frac{1}{2} x^{n} - \frac{1}{6} x$$

M oreover, since

$$\sum_{p=0}^{X^{n}} \frac{n}{p} \frac{(1)^{p}}{2p+1} = \frac{p-}{2} \frac{(n+1)}{\frac{3}{2}+n} = \frac{(2^{n} n!)^{2}}{(2n+1)!}$$

by Euler's duplication formula, and as

$$n > 0 =$$
 $p = 1$ $p = (1 1)^n = 1$

and

we get nally, for n 2;

$$f'_n(a) = a \frac{(2^n n!)^2}{(2n+1)!} + \frac{1}{2} + o(a^2), f_n(a) = a \frac{2(2^n n!)^2}{(2n+1)!} + o(a^2)$$
:

and in the case n = 1;

$$f_1(a) = a\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{a^{-1}}{6}$$
, $f_1(a) = a\frac{4}{3} = \frac{a^{-1}}{3}$

The partition function veri es the following recurrence

$$f_{n+1}(a) = \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^{n+1} = \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^n - \frac{1}{a^2} \sum_{k=-a}^{X^a} k^2 (1 - \frac{k^2}{a^2})^n$$

$$= f_n(a) - \frac{U}{a^2} f_n(a)$$

so that

$$U = a^2 (1 - \frac{f_{n+1}(a)}{f_n(a)})$$
:

Since U 0; this is an alternate proof that f_n (a) is decreasing in n:M oreover, using (27), we obtain after some algebra

$$U = \frac{a^2}{2n+3} + o(a^{-1}):$$

9 Annex 5: proof of theorem s (12) and (13)

We apply the same technique as in the univariate case, and represent the partition function as a mixture of discrete Gaussian using the Gamma integral as follows:

$$\begin{split} f_{m \not: d} \left(a_1 ; \dots ; a_d \right) &= \begin{pmatrix} X & X^d & \frac{k_1^2}{a_2^2} \\ & k_1 ; \dots ; k_d & i = 1 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\frac{k_1^2}{a_2^2}} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\$$

U sing the sam e approach, the do-variate m arginal law writes

$$\begin{split} p_{k_1;...;k_d0} &= \sum_{k_d0_{+1};...;k_d}^{X} p_{k_1;...;k_d} = f_{m;d}^{1}(a_1;...;a_d) \sum_{k_d0_{+1};...;k_d}^{X} (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^d} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}} \\ &= f_{m;d}^{1}(a_1;...;a_d) \sum_{k_d0_{+1};...;k_d}^{X} ((1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^d} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2}) + \sum_{i=d^0+1}^{X^d} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}} \\ &= f_{m;d}^{1}(a_1;...;a_d) (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^d} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}} \sum_{k_d0_{+1};...;k_d}^{X^d} (1 + \sum_{i=d^0+1}^{X^d} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})^{-\frac{m+d}{2}} \end{split}$$

with

$$a_i^2 = a_i^2 (1 + \sum_{i=1}^{X^{10}} \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2})$$

But, with $m^0 = m + d^0$,

so that

$$\begin{split} p_{k_1; \dots; k_{d^0}} \, \, \prime \, & \frac{\frac{d}{2} \, \left(\frac{m+d}{2}\right)}{\binom{2}{i=1} \, a_i\right) \, \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} \, \frac{\frac{d}{2} \, \binom{Q}{i=d^0+1} \, a_i\right) \, \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)}{\binom{m}{2} \, \binom{Q}{i=d^0+1} \, a_i\right) \, \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)} \, \left(1 + \frac{X^{d^0}}{a_i^2} \, \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2}\right)^{\frac{d}{2} \, d^0} \, \left(1 + \frac{X^{d^0}}{a_i^2} \, \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2}\right)^{\frac{m+d}{2}} \\ & = \frac{\binom{m+d^0}{2}}{\frac{d^0}{2} \, \binom{Q}{d^0} \, a_i\right) \, \left(1 + \frac{X^{d^0}}{a_i^2}\right) \, \frac{k_i^2}{a_i^2} \, \frac{m+d^0}{a_i^2} \, \vdots \end{split}$$