Form ation of m olecules from a C s B ose-E instein condensate

V.A.Yurovsky and A.Ben-Reuven

School of Chemistry, Tel Aviv University, 69978 Tel Aviv, Israel

(D ated: January 3, 2022)

Conversion of an expanding Bose-E instein condensate of Cs atom s to a molecular one with an e ciency of more than 30% was observed recently in experiments by M. Mark et al., Europhys. Lett. 69, 706 (2005). The theory presented here describes the experimental results. Values of resonance strength of 8 m G and rate coe cients for atom -m olecule deactivation of 1 10 ¹¹ cm ³=s and molecule-molecule one of 1:5 10 ⁹ cm ³=s are estimated by a tof the theoretical results to the experimental data. Near the resonance, where the highest conversion e ciency was observed, the results demonstrate strong sensitivity to the magnetic eld ripple and inhom ogeneity. A conversion e ciency of about 60% is predicted by non-mean-eld calculations for the densities and sweep rates lower than the ones used in the experiments.

PACS num bers: 03.75 M n, 03.75 N t, 82.20 X r

Introduction

A molecular Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) has been recently form ed in experiments on atom ic BEC [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6] and on quantum -degenerate Ferm i gases [7]. The molecules have been formed by sweeping the Zeem an shift through a Feshbach resonance (see R ef. [8]) in a backward direction, so that the molecular state crossed the atom ic ones downwards. This led to the transfer of population from the lowest atom ic state in the case of a BEC, or from an energy band in the case of a Ferm i gas, to the molecular state, as had been proposed in Ref. [9]. Assuming all the atom ic population is initially in the BEC state, the backward sweep would have been ideally suitable for forming molecules, were it not for two loss mechanisms. The resonant molecule is generally populated in an excited rovibrational and electronic state, and therefore can be deactivated by excernic inelastic collisions with atoms and other molecules (see Refs. [8, 10, 11, 12]). (A stabilization of molecules by m eans of coherent control [13] has been considered in Ref. [14].) In addition, during the backward sweep, som e higher-lying non-condensate atom ic states can be populated tem porarily due to molecular dissociation. These two e ects restrict the e ciency of conversion from the atom ic BEC to the molecular one.

Form ation of m olecular BEC from degenerate Ferm i gases, realized in experiments [7], is more e cient due to Pauli blocking of inelastic collisions [15]. Some peculiarities of this process have been discussed in Ref. [16]. In the case of Bose atoms the deactivation losses can be minimized by a reduction of the condensate density (see Ref. [17]). Such a reduction can be realized in experiments by using an expanding BEC, released from a trap by turning it o (see Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4]). A conversion e ciency of more than 30%, comparable to the one obtained for Ferm i gases, has been achieved by this way in C s experiments [2].

The present work provides a theoretical description of m olecular form ation in an expanding BEC with applications to the C s experim ents [2], including an explanation

of the high conversion e ciency. Some of the results obtained below can be satisfactory derived by a meaneld theory. However, in general, a description of certain processes, such as the spontaneous dissociation of the molecular BEC into non-condensate entangled atom pairs discussed in Sec. I below requires the use of a nonm ean-eld theory. Several theoretical methods are available for this purpose. One such method is based on a num erical solution of stochastic di erential equations in the positive-P representation, as used in the present context in Ref. [18]. Another method is the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov formalism (see Refs. [19, 20]), which deals with coupled equations for the atom ic and the molecularm ean elds, as well as the norm aland the anom alous densities describing the second-order correlations of the non-condensate atom ic elds. These correlations are also taken into account in the microscopic quantum dynam ics approach used in Ref. [21]. Som e of these m ethods, how ever, have no room for incorporating the deactivating collisions. The parametric approximation used in Refs. [17, 22, 23, 24] incorporates both the non-mean-eld effects and the dam ping due to deactivating collisions.

The present work is organized in the following way. Section I describes the various processes in a hybrid atom -m olecule condensate and theoretical methods needed for their analysis. The necessary parameters of the C s BEC are estimated in Sec. II by a t of calculation results to the experimental data. The formation of molecules in the switching scheme, one of the two sweeping methods used in the experiment [2] and discussed in Sec. II below, is analyzed in Sec. III. Optimal conditions for the molecular formation are determined in Sec. IV.

I. THEORETICALMETHODS

The e ect of Feshbach resonance appears in a BEC of C s atom s when the collision energy of a pair of atom s in an open channel is close to the energy of a bound state C s_2 (m) in a closed channel (see R ef. [8]). The tem porary form ation and dissociation of the resonant (Feshbach)

m olecular state C $\ensuremath{s_2}$ (m) can be described as a reversible reaction

$$Cs + Cs Cs_2 (m)$$
: (1)

The C s_2 (m) is an excited rowibrational state with orbital angular m om entum l = 4, belonging to an excited state of the ne and hyper ne structures. This state can be deactivated by an excergic collision with a third atom of the condensate [8, 10, 11, 12],

$$C_{S_2}(m) + C_{S_1}(d) + C_{S_2}(d) + C_{S_1}(d)$$
 (2)

bringing the m olecule down to a lower state Cs_2 (d), and releasing kinetic energy to the relative m otion of the reaction products. A lthough the collision occurs with a vanishingly sm all kinetic energy, rates of such inelastic processes remain nite at near-zero energies [6, 25]. A variant of this process, involving deactivation by a collision with anotherm olecule (rather than an atom), of the type

$$Cs_2(m) + Cs_2(m) ! Cs_2(d) + Cs_2(u);$$
 (3)

would require a signi cant molecular density to be e ective. The two molecular states $C s_2$ (d) and $C s_2$ (u) can be distinct.

A complete analysis of the processes in a hybrid atom – m olecule BEC m ust take into account both the relaxation processes due to deactivating collisions (2) and (3), and the quantum uctuations due to dissociation of the resonant m olecules to non-condensate atom s (1). A starting point of such an analysis can be the quantum equation of m otion for the atom ic eld annihilation operator \hat{a} (p;t) in the momentum representation. An adiabatic elim ination of the \dum p" states C s₂ (d) and C s₂ (u) (see R efs. [17, 24]) reduces this equation to the H eisenberg-Langevin stochastic equation

$$i \sim \hat{-}_{a} (p;t) = \frac{p^{2}}{2m} + _{a} (t) \qquad \frac{k_{a}}{2} j'_{m} (t) j' \hat{-}_{a} (p;t) + 2g '_{m} (t) \hat{-}_{a} (p;t) + f' (p;t) : (4)$$

Here m is the atom ic mass, $_{a}(t) = \frac{1}{2}$ (B (t) B_{0}) is the time-dependent Zeem an shift of the atom in an external magnetic eld B (t) relative to half the energy of the molecular state (which is xed as the zero-energy point), is the di erence between the magnetic momenta of an atom ic pair and a molecule, and B_{0} is the resonance value of B. The atom -molecule hyper ne coupling g is related to the phenom enological resonance strength

through $jgj^2 = 2 \sim^2 j_{a}j = m$ (see Ref. [12]), where a_a is the background elastic scattering length for atom – atom collisions. The deactivation (2) by atom -m olecule collisions is represented in Eq. (4) by the imaginary term, proportional to the deactivation rate k_a , as well as by the quantum noise source \hat{F} (p;t), related by a uctuation-dissipation theorem. The quantum noise is required in order to maintain the correct commutation relations of the atom ic eld operators.

In the param etric approximation [17, 24], the quantum uctuations of the molecular eld are neglected, and the molecules are described by a mean eld $'_{\rm m}$ (t). The atom ic eld operator is expressed in this method as

$$\hat{a} (p;t) = C (t) \hat{A} (p;t) _{c} (p;t) + \hat{A}^{y} (p;t) _{s} (p;t);$$
(5)

where the damping factor C (t) takes into account the imaginary term in Eq. (4),

$$C (t) = \exp^{Q} \frac{dt_{1} k_{a}}{dt_{1} \frac{k_{a}}{2} J_{m} (t_{1}) J^{A};}$$
(6)

and the c-num ber functions $_{c;s}$ (p;t) satisfy the ordinary di erential equations (with p as a parameter)

$$i \sim -c_{;s}$$
 (p;t) = $\frac{p^2}{2m}$ + a (t) $c_{;s}$ (p;t) + 2g 'm (t) $s_{;c}$ (p;t) :
(7)

The initial conditions $_{c}(p;t_{0}) = 1$, $_{s}(p;t_{0}) = 0$ are introduced at $t = t_{0}$, assuming the atom ic eld is then a coherent state of zero kinetic energy. The operators $\hat{A}(p;t)$ can be expressed in terms of the functions $_{c;s}(p;t)$ and the quantum noise $\hat{F}(p;t)$. As a result of ensuing analysis (see R efs. [17, 24]), the atom ic density comprises the sum

$$n_a (t) = n_0 (t) + n_s (t)$$
 (8)

of the densities of condensate atom s

$$n_0 (t) = j_0 (t) j;$$
 (9)

and of non-condensate (entangled) atom s

$$n_{s}$$
 (t) = (2 ~) ³ $d^{3}pn_{s}$ (p;t): (10)

Here

$$'_{0}$$
 (t) = C (t) $[_{c}$ (0;t) $'_{0}$ (t₀) + $_{s}$ (0;t) $'_{0}$ (t₀)] (11)

is the atom ic condensate m ean eld. The m om entum spectrum of the non-condensate atom s

$$n_{s}(p;t) = j_{s}(p;t) \hat{f}[1 + {}_{s}(p;t)] + j_{c}(p;t) \hat{f}_{s}(p;t)$$
$$2Re[{}_{s}(p;t) {}_{c}(p;t) {}_{c}(p;t)](12)$$

as well as their anom alous density [encountered in Eq. (15) below]

$$m_{s}(p;t) = {}_{s}(p;t) {}_{c}(p;t) [1 + 2 {}_{s}(p;t)]$$

$${}_{c}^{2}(p;t) {}_{c}(p;t) {}_{s}^{2}(p;t) {}_{c}(p;t); (13)$$

are expressed in term s of the auxiliary functions

$$s(p;t) = k_{a}C^{2}(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{Z^{t}} \frac{dt^{0}}{C^{2}(t^{0})} J_{m}(t^{0}) s(p;t^{0}) J^{2}$$
(14)

$$c_{c}(p;t) = k_{a}C^{2}(t) \int_{t_{0}}^{Z^{t}} \frac{dt^{0}}{C^{2}(t^{0})} J_{m}(t^{0}) J_{s}(p;t^{0}) c_{c}(p;t^{0});$$

which describe the contribution of quantum noise.

The equation of motion for the molecular mean eld has the form (see Refs. [17, 24])

$$i \sim '_{m} (t) = g'_{0}^{2} (t) \quad i \frac{k_{a}}{2} n_{a} (t) + k_{m} j_{m} (t) j' '_{m} (t) + \frac{1}{2^{2} \sim^{3}} dp p^{2} gm_{s} (p;t) + 2 \sim m jg j' m (t) (15)$$

where k_m is the rate coe cient of the molecule-molecule deactivating collisions (3). The second term under the integral over p appears as a result of a renormalization procedure (see Refs. [19, 24]), necessary in order to regularize the integral. A numerical solution of Eqs. (7) on a grid of values of p, combined with Eq. (15), is consistently su cient for elucidating the dynamics of the system.

The parametric approximation considered above is particularly suitable for the analysis of hom ogeneous systems. It can be applied also to inhom ogeneous systems using a local density approximation, but its application to a strongly inhom ogeneous expanding BEC meets serious di culties. Fortunately, under proper conditions this case can be treated su ciently well by a mean eld approach (see Ref. [23]), neglecting the atom ic eld quantum uctuations. The applicability of this simpler approach can be veri ed by a comparison of results of the parametric and mean-eld calculations for the corresponding hom ogeneous system.

The expansion of a pure atom ic BEC has been considered in Ref. [26] by the introduction of scaled norm al coordinates

$$j = r_j = b_j$$
 (t); 1 j 3; (16)

where the scales b_j obey the equations

$$b_{j}(t) = \frac{1}{2} = [b_{1}(t) b_{2}(t) b_{3}(t) b_{j}(t)];$$
 (17)

in which the $!_j$ are the angular frequencies of the harmonic trap containing the condensate before expansion. The initial conditions $b_j (t_{exp}) = 1$, $b_j (t_{exp}) = 0$ are stated at the start of the expansion t_{exp} . Solutions of Eq. (17) (see Ref. [26]) demonstrate that the expansion is ballistic after an acceleration period of min $!_j^1$. A shown in Ref. [23], them olecules inherit the velocity of the atom s they are form ed from . The atom ic and m olecular mean elds can be represented in terms of rescaled elds $_0$ (;t) and $_m$ (;t), respectively, as

$$'_{0}(r;t) = A(t)_{0}(;t)e^{1S}$$

(18)

 $'_{m}(r;t) = A(t)_{m}(;t)e^{2iS};$

where the scaling factor A (t) = $(b_1 (t) b_2 (t) b_3 (t))^{1=2}$ describes the density reduction, and the phase factor with

$$S(t) = \frac{m}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^3} r_j^2 \frac{b_j(t)}{2b_j(t)} - \frac{0}{2} \int_{t_{exp}}^{Z^t} dt^0 A^2(t^0)$$
(19)

contains m ost of the contribution of the kinetic energy. Here $_0 = 4 \ ^2a_an_0=m$ is a chemical potential of the atom ic BEC and n_0 is its peak density while the trap is on. As a result (see Ref. [23]), the rescaled m ean elds obey the set of ordinary di erential equations

$$i \sim -0 (;t) = a(t) \frac{i}{2} A^{2}(t) k_{a} j_{m}(;t) j^{2} 0(;t) + 2A(t) g_{0}(;t) m(;t)$$

$$(20)$$

$$i \sim -m (;t) = i A^{2}(t) \frac{1}{2} k_{a} j_{0}(;t) j^{2} + k_{m} j_{m}(;t) j^{2} m(;t) + A(t) g_{0}^{2}(;t) :$$

The coordinate dependence arises from the use of inhomogeneous Thomas-Fermi initial conditions for $_0$ (;t_{exp}), while $_m$ (;t_{exp}) = 0.

II. PARAMETER ESTIMATES

M olecules have been form ed in the experiments [2] by using a very weak Feshbach resonance in C s situated near 20 G. The resonance strength and the rate coe cients of atom -m olecule and m olecule-m olecule deactivation are unknown and are estimated here by a tofthe calculation results to the experimental data.

The magnetic eld has been varied in these experiments in two manners. In the ramping scheme the magnetic eld has been swept through resonance with a xed ramp speed. In the switching scheme the magnetic eld has been tuned to a value B_{test} in the vicinity of the resonance and then held for a xed time t_{hold}, starting from the value B_{start} = B₀ 0:5 G for B_{test}? B₀, respectively, so that the resonance should not be crossed. Due to nite response time the magnetic eld variation is represented by an exponential function,

$$B (t) = B_{test} + (B_{start} B_{test}) \exp [(t t_{xp}) = 1.54 \text{ m s}];$$
(21)

The switching scheme has been applied both to the trapped and the expanding BEC. In the last case, the magnetic eld variation has been started from B_{start} simultaneously with the expansion at t = t_{exp} .

Consider isst the switching scheme for a trapped BEC, resulting in a condensate loss with a negligible molecular formation. This experiment is similar to the slow-sweep Na experiments [27] and the 87 Rb experiments [28], in which the eld was stopped short of resonance, too. In those cases the condensate loss is determined by simple analytical expressions involving the product of the resonance strength and the atom-molecule deactivation rate coe cient k_a (see Refs. [10, 12, 29]). In the present case, these analytical expressions are inapplicable ow ing to the non-linear magnetic eld variation. However, nummerical calculations still demonstrate a dependence on



FIG.1: Switching-scheme atom loss fraction calculated for the trapped BEC with = 8 mG, $k_m = 1.5 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s, $k_a = 1 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s (solid line) and $k_a = 2 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s (dot-dashed line). The results for $k_m = 1 \quad 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s are calculated with = 8 mG, $k_a = 1 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s (dashed line) and = 2 mG, $k_a = 4 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s (pluses). The open and solid circles represent the experimental data of R ef. [2] measured below and above the resonance, respectively.

the product $k_a\,$ provided m oderate m olecule-m olecule deactivation rates are assumed. (This is demonstrated by the dashed line and pluses in Fig.1). The comparison with the experimental data leads to an estimated value of $k_a\,$ 8 $10\,^{11}\,$ mG cm $^3=\!\!s.$ Much higher values of k_m do not lead to such a good t. A lthough the condensate loss becomes dependent on a variation of $k_a\,$ and , keeping the product $k_a\,$ xed, this variation does not improve the t.

Consider now the ram ping scheme. It has been applied in experim ents [2] to the expanding BEC, measuring both the numbers of remained atoms and formed molecules. In the fast-decay approximation (see Refs. [10, 12]), the atom ic condensate loss due to the resonance crossing is determined by the resonance strength only and is independent of the deactivation rates. A lthough the analytical expressions of Refs. [10, 12] are inapplicable to the case of an expanding BEC, the results of num erical calculations demonstrate a low sensitivity of the BEC loss to the deactivation rates. A comparison with the experim ental data leads to the estim ated value of mG (see the upper graphs in Fig. 2). Together with the 1011 above estimate for k_a this leads to k_a 1 m^3 = s. The experimental data points presented in Fig. 2 were obtained with a magnetic eld ramp that has been started at t_{exp} , using a magnetic eld value such that the resonance is crossed in 10 m s and the populations are m easured 20 m s after t_{exp} [30].

The remaining unknown parameter, the moleculemolecule deactivation rate coe cient $k_{\rm m}$, can be estimated by a comparison of the calculation results with



FIG.2: Ramping-scheme atom loss fraction (1) and conversion e ciency (c) calculated for the expanding BEC with $k_m = 1.5 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 = s$, $k_a = 8 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ m G cm}^3 = s$, and = 8 m G (solid lines), = 7 m G (dashed lines), or = 9 m G (dot-dashed lines). The results for = 8 m G and $k_a = 1 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 = s$ are represented by pluses ($k_m = 2 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 = s$) and crosses ($k_m = 1 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 = s$). The open and solid circles represent the experimental data of Ref. [2] for loss and conversion, respectively.

the experim entaldata for the num ber of atom s converted to m olecules (see lower graphs in Fig. 2). This leads to the estimated value of k_m 1.5 $10^9~{\rm cm}^3={\rm s}$. The graphs in Fig. 2 are practically insensitive to k_a because of its much lower value. A look back at Fig. 1 for the switching scheme shows that, at most, k_a is bounded by $k_a < 2$ $10^{11}~{\rm cm}^3={\rm s}$, when the far-o -resonance results are regarded. In this range, the results are not much sensitive to the value of k_m . How ever, nearer resonance, the higher value of k_m would lead to an overestimate of the loss, independently of k_a and

Let us compare these values with what is known regarding other atoms. The estimated value of the rate coe cient of atom molecule deactivation k_a 10¹¹ cm³=s is several times lower than the corresponding values of 5.5 10¹¹ cm³=s for Na measured in Ref. [6] and 7 10¹¹ cm³=s for ⁸⁷Rb estimated in Ref. [29]. A molecule molecule deactivation rate coe cient k_m

1:5 10^9 cm³=s exceeds the corresponding value for N a 2:5 10^{11} cm³=s m easured in Ref. [6] by two orders of m agnitude. These di erences m ay be related to the large orbital angularm om entum (l= 4) of the resonant m olecular state in the present C s case, while for N a and ⁸⁷R b l= 0. But there m ay be another reason.

All the estimates made here concerning the data for molecular conversion are based on the suggestion that the resonant beam, blasting out the atom s in the experiments [2], does not a ect the molecules. (This procedure was used to separate the atom s from molecules.) If some part of the molecular population is removed by the blasting pulse, the experimental results can be explained using



FIG.3: Time dependence of the atom ic (a) and molecular (m) condensate populations (scaled to the initial atom ic one) calculated in a ram ping scheme for a hom ogeneous BEC with the initial atom ic density 6:4 10^{11} cm 3 , ram p speed 3 G/s (in the backward sweep), = 8 mG, k_a = 1 10¹¹ cm³=s, and $k_m = 1 \quad 10^{-9}$ cm³ = s w ith the parametric (solid lines) and mean-eld (crosses) approximations. The dashed lines represent the mean eld results for the expanding BEC with the initial atom ic density 7:6 10¹³ cm³. The non-condensate atom population calculated with the parametric approxim ation is plotted by the dot-dashed line.

lower values of k_m .

All the calculations above were performed with the mean eld approximation. Figure 3 com pares results of the param etric and m ean-eld calculations for the initial atom ic density of 6:4 10^{11} cm 3 , corresponding to the mean density at the resonance crossing for the slowest ramp speed of 3 G /s used in the experiments [2]. This gure dem onstrates that the tem porary non-condensate atom population persists only about 1 m s and after this short tim e the results of param etric and m ean-eld calculations for the hom ogeneous case coincide within a good accuracy. The expansion reduces the deactivation losses com pared to the ones for the hom ogeneous case.

III. MOLECULAR FORMATION IN THE SW ITCHING SCHEME

The highest conversion e ciency, reaching beyond 30%, has been observed in the experiments [2] for the switching scheme in an expanding BEC. The characteristic time of atom -molecule relaxation tam is determined by the coupling term s in Eq. (20) as

$$t_{am}^{1}$$
 $Ag_{0}j = \frac{2j_{a}j}{m}n_{0}^{1=2}$; (22)

where n_0 (t) = j_0 (t) \hat{j} is non-rescaled atom ic condensate density. Even for $n_0 = 10^{12}$ cm 3 , corresponding

5

to the resonance approach time in the experiments [2], 1 m s is less than the characteristic time of the tam magnetic eld variation (1.54 m s). Therefore the evolution of the atom -m olecule condensate is adiabatic. Neglecting the deactivation, it can be described by a quasistationary solution of the coupled G ross-P itaevskii equations (see Ref. [8])

$$n_{0} = \frac{n}{36} \quad p_{2} + 24 \quad 2 + 24$$

$$n_{m} = \frac{n}{144} \quad 2^{2} \quad 2^{p_{2} + 24} + 24 ; \qquad (23)$$

corresponding to the pure atom ic BEC $(n_m = 0)$ above the resonance at ! 1. Here $n_m = J_m f$ is the nonrescaled molecular density, $n = n_0 + 2n_m$ is the total density of atom s, and

$$=\frac{2}{\frac{p}{p}}=\frac{B_{0}}{B} = \frac{B_{0}}{B} = \frac{2}{m} n_{0}^{2}$$
(24)

is a dimensionless detuning (generally time-dependent). The later fast sweep of the magnetic eld going under the resonance, used in the switching scheme, conserves the atom ic and molecular densities (23) acquired at B =B_{test}.

The conversion e ciency reaches its maximum

$$2\frac{n_m}{n} = \frac{1}{3}$$
 (25)

when the magnetic eld levels o at = 0. In the case of large detunings $\mathcal{B}_{\text{test}}$ $B_0 j$ B the molecular density decreases as n_m n $B^2 = (B_{test} B_0)^2$. Therefore the substantial molecular population and condensate losses induced by the deactivating collisions can take place only B₀j< B $02 \text{ mG for } n = 10^2 \text{ cm}^3$. while B_{test}

This conclusion is con med by the results of the numerical calculations (see Fig. 4). These results, however, predict a maxim al conversion e ciency of 36% for $B_{test} = B_0$ 0:15 mG, when the resonance is crossed. The sm ooth time dependence of the atom ic and m olecular populations (see Fig. 5) is in agreem ent with the adiabatic evolution m entioned above. On decreasing further B_{test} the conversion e ciency decreases, dem onstrating Rabbi oscillations due to non-adiabatic e ects. The results in Fig. 4 correspond to a resonance approach from above ($B_{\text{start}} = B_0 + 0.5 \text{ G}$). In the mean eld approxim ation used here, neglecting m olecular dissociation with form ation of non-condensate atom s, the sam e results are reached by approaching the resonance from below.

A lthough the theory describes the peak conversion e ciency and condensate losses observed in the experim ents [2], the actual experimental width of the resonance in conversion and in loss, of 2 m G , is about an order of m agnitude m ore than the theoretical one. This disagreem ent can be related to magnetic eld variation mentioned in Ref. [2].



FIG. 4: (a) A tom loss fraction (dashed line) and conversion e ciency (solid line) calculated as functions of the resonance detuning for the switching scheme in the expanding BEC with $k_m = 1.5 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s, $k_a = 1 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s, and = 8 mG. The open and solid circles represent the experimental data of R ef. [2] for loss and conversion, respectively. The results of calculations for a rippled m agnetic eld with the phase

= 1.25 are plotted by dot-dashed (conversion) and dashed (boss) lines. (b) The loss (dashed line) and conversion (solid line) for = 1.85. The results for inhom ogeneous magnetic eld with gradient 1 G/cm are plotted by dot-dashed (conversion) and dashed (loss) lines. In both parts the triangles and circles represent the experim ental data of R ef. [2] for loss and conversion, respectively.



FIG.5: Time dependence of the atom loss fraction (dashed line) and conversion e ciency (solid line) calculated for the switching scheme in the expanding BEC with = 8 mG, $k_m = 1.5 \quad 10^{-9} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s, $k_a = 1 \quad 10^{-11} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ =s, and $B_{test} = 0.15 \text{ mG}$. The open and solid circles represent the experimental data of R ef. [2] for loss and conversion, respectively. The results of calculations for a rippled magnetic eld with the phase = 1.25 and $B_{test} = 0.4 \text{ mG}$ are plotted by dot-dashed (conversion) and dashed (loss) lines.



FIG.6: Time dependence of the rippled magnetic eld with the phases = 1.25, = 1.85 and without ripples for $B_{\rm start}$ = 0.5 G (solid lines) and $B_{\rm start}$ = 0.5 G (dashed lines). An arbitrary value of B_0 is shown here for reference by the dotted line.

A n am bient m agnetic eld ripple with a frequency of 50 H z and an am plitude of 4 m G leads to a quite perceptible e ect. A lthough the experim ent has been synchronized with the ripple, the results of calculations dem onstrate a strong dependence on the ripple phase. In these calculations the m agnetic eld tim e dependence has the form

$$B (t) = B_{test} + (B_{start} \quad B_{test}) \exp [(t \quad t_{exp}) = 1.54 \text{ m s}] + 2 \text{ m G sin } [2 \quad 50 \text{ H z } (t \quad t_{exp}) +](26)$$

The variation of the phase leads to a shift of the peak and changes the shape of the magnetic eld dependence of the loss and molecule form ation (see Fig. 4).

The ripple may lead to the form ation of molecules due to the resonance crossing in a backward direction (see Fig. 6). The crossing can be non-adiabatic, leading to an oscillating time dependence of the atom ic and molecular populations (see Figs. 5 and 7). The oscillations are sensitive to the ripple phase as well. For some values of , e. $q_{\star} = 1.85$, the resonance can be crossed a second time, but in the forward direction, even though the rst crossing occurred by approaching from above ($B_{start} > B_0$), given the arbitrary value of B_0 shown in Fig. 6. In this case, a rather long hold-on-tim e between the crossing and m easurem ent leads to sharp R abbioscillations. These oscillations, however, can be averaged by a magnetic eld inhom ogeneity with a rather sm all gradient of 1 m G / cm (see Figs. 4 and 7). E ects of both oscillations and inhom ogeneity can broaden the resonance to about 1 m G, which is still less than the experim entally observed value of 2 mG. The additional broadening can be related to the uncontrolled m agnetic eld variations of about 1 m G mentioned in Ref. [2], the behavior of which is unclear.

The magnetic-eld time dependence (26) can lead to di erent results in approaching the resonance from below,



FIG.7: Time dependence of the atom loss fraction (dashed line) and conversion e ciency (solid line) calculated for a rippled magnetic eld with the phase = 1.85, and with B_{test} = 1.7 m G, $k_m = 1.5 \text{ 10}^{-9} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ =s}$, $k_a = 1 \text{ 10}^{-11} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ =s}$, and = 8 m G. The results for an inhom ogeneous m agnetic eld with gradient 1 G/cm are plotted by dot-dashed (conversion) and dashed (loss) lines.

with $B_{start} < B_0$, due to an additional resonance crossing occurring earlier. This forward crossing can lead to additional condensate losses due to molecular dissociation into pairs of non-condensate atom s. This dissociation can, how ever, be reversible, as the non-condensate atom s can associate to molecules during the following backward crossing. A correct analysis of these e ects requires the application of non-mean-eld calculations to the expanding BEC, in order to obtain reliable conversion estim ates.

IV. OPTIMAL CONDITIONS

Having a model consistent with the experim ental data, we can proceed to determ ine optim al conditions for the molecular formation. In the switching scheme, when the magnetic eld adiabatically approaches the resonance and further suddenly crosses it, the conversion e ciency is restricted by the value of $\frac{1}{3}$ (see Eq. (25). An adiabatic crossing, as in the ram ping scheme, allows for higher results since the adiabatic state (23) corresponds to a total conversion $(n_m = n=2)$ in the lim it of ! 1 below the resonance. As in the cases of Na [17] and ⁸⁷Rb [23, 24], the optimal ramp speed is determined by a balance of the atom ic association, decreasing at faster sweeps, and deactivation losses, increasing at slower sweeps. Thus, a sudden sweep would lead to no association, while an in nitely slow adiabatic sweep would lead to total association, accompanied by a total deactivation loss during the in nite time. The optimal density is determined by a concurrence of deactivation losses, increasing at high densities, and dissociation into non-condensate atom s, in-



FIG. 8: (a) Conversion e ciency (solid line) at the optim al ram p speed (dashed line). (b) The lifetim e of the m olecular condensate (solid line) and the tim e after the resonance crossing when the peak m olecular density is reached (dashed line), using the appropriate optim al ram p speed.



FIG.9: Conversion e ciency (solid line) and the lifetime of the molecular condensate (dashed line) as a function of the ramp speed for the initial atom ic density $5 ext{ 10}^{10}$ cm 3 .

creasing at low densities. An analysis taking account of the latter loss process requires a non-m ean-eld theory, such as the param etric approxim ation. It was mentioned in Sec. I above that this approxim ation has its lim itations in dealing with expanding gases. However, the e ect of increasing density due to expansion is less im portant at the rather low optim al density, stated below, com pared



FIG.10: Time dependence of the atom ic (dashed line) and molecular (solid line) condensate populations (scaled to the initial atom ic one) calculated for a hom ogeneous BEC with the initial atom ic density 5 10^{10} cm³ and ramp speed 35 mG/s (in the backward sweep). The non-condensate atom population is plotted by the dot-dashed line. The resonance is crossed at t = 0.

to the conditions pertaining to Fig. 3. For these reasons the optim al conditions are determ ined by using the parametric approximation for a hom ogeneous non-expanding BEC.

The results presented in Fig.8 show an optim alinitial atom ic density of 5 10^{10} cm³. This value corresponds to the m ean density of a Thom as Ferm idistribution with a peak density of 125 10^{11} cm³. Under the conditions of the experiments [2], this density can be reached after 35 m s of expansion. The optim alram p speed of 35 m G /s

ism uch slow er than the one used in the experim ents. The high conversion e ciency does not change much when the ram p speed is varied by about a order of m agnitude (see Fig. 9). Figure 10 shows that the molecular density reaches its maximum in about 8 m s after the resonance crossing, or about 0.25 mG below the resonance, and the ram p should be started about 2 m G above it. This gure demonstrates also a substantial population of non-condensate atom s, justifying the necessity to use non-m ean-eld calculations.

C on clusions

The loss of C s atom ic BEC and form ation of a m olecular BEC observed in the experim ents [2] can be described by a mean-eld theory of an expanding atom -m olecule BEC.A t of the calculation results to the experim ental data leads to estim ated values of the resonance strength and rate coe cients for atom -m olecule and m oleculem olecule deactivating collisions. At sm all detunings the results are sensitive to m agnetic eld ripple and inhom ogeneity. A determ ination of optim al conditions for the m olecular form ation requires a non-m ean-eld param etric approxim ation, taking into account the dissociation of m olecules into non-condensate atom ic pairs. A conversion e ciency of 60% is predicted for low er densities and slow er sweeps than the ones used in the experim ents.

A cknow ledgm ents

The authors are very grateful to R.Grimm, H.-C. Nagerl, and C.Chin for helpful discussions and clari – cation of experimental details.

- J. Herbig, T. Kraemer, M. Mark, T. Weber, C. Chin, H.-C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, Science 301, 1510 (2003).
- [2] M. Mark, T. Kraemer, J. Herbig, C. Chin, H.-C. Nagerl, and R. Grimm, Europhys. Lett. 69, 706 (2005).
- [3] S.Durr, T. Volz, A.M arte, and G.Rempe, Phys.Rev. Lett. 92, 020406 (2004).
- [4] S. Durr, T. Volz, and G. Rempe, Phys. Rev. A 70, 031601 (R) (2004).
- [5] K.Xu, T.M ukaiyam a, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, J.K.Chin, D. E.M iller, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 210402 (2003).
- [6] T.Mukaiyama, J.R.Abo-Shaeer, K.Xu, J.K.Chin, and
 W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 180402 (2004).
- [7] C.A.Regal, C.Ticknor, J.L.Bohn, and D.S.Jin, Nature 424, 47 (2003); M.Greiner, CA.Regal, and D.S. Jin, Nature 426, 537 (2003); K.E.Strecker, G.B.Partridge, and R.G.Hulet, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91, 080406 (2003); J.Cubizolles, T.Bourdel, S.J.J.M.F.Kokkelmans, G.V.Shlyapnikov, and C.Salomon, Phys.Rev. Lett. 91, 240401 (2003); T.Bourdel, L.Khaykovich, J. Cubizolles, J.Zhang, F.Chevy, M.Teichmann, L.Tar-

nuell, S.J.J.M.F.Kokkelm ans, and C.Salom on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 050401 (2004); S.Jochim, M.Bartenstein, A.Altm eyer, G.Hendl, C.Chin, J.H.Denschlag, and R.Grim m, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91,240402 (2003); S. Jochim, M.Bartenstein, A.Altm eyer, G.Hendl, S.Riedl, C.Chin, J.H.Denschlag, and R.Grim m, Science 302, 2101 (2003); M.W.Zwierlein, C.A.Stan, C.H.Schunck, SM.F.Raupach, S.Gupta, Z.Hadzibabic, and W.Ketterle, Phys. Rev.Lett. 91, 250401 (2003); J.Kinast, S. L.Hemmer, M.E.Gehm, A.Turlapov, J.E.Thomas, Phys.Rev.Lett. 92, 150402 (2004).

- [B] E.T im m erm ans, P.Tom m asini, M.Hussein, and A.K erm an, Phys. Rep. 315, 199 (1999).
- [9] F.H.Mies, E.Tiesinga, and P.S.Julienne, Phys. Rev. A 61,022721 (2000).
- [10] V.A.Yurovsky, A.Ben-Reuven, P.S.Julienne and C.J. W illiam s, Phys.Rev.A 60, R765 (1999);
- [11] F.A. van Abeelen, and B.J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1550 (1999).
- [12] V.A.Yurovsky, A.Ben-Reuven, P.S.Julienne and C.J. W illiam s, Phys.Rev.A 62, 043605 (2000).

- [13] M. Shapiro and P. Brum er Principles of the Quantum Control of Molecular Processes (John W iley and Sons, New York, 2003).
- [14] C. P. Koch, J. P. Palao, R. Koslo, and F. Masnou-Seeuws, Phys. Rev. A 70, 013402 (2004); E. Luc-Koenig, R. Koslo, F. Masnou-Seeuws, and M. Vatasescu, Phys. Rev. A 70, 033414 (2004).
- [15] D.S.Petrov, Phys.Rev.A 67, 010703(R) (2003); D.S. Petrov, C. Salom on, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys.Rev. Lett. 93,090404 (2004); Phys.Rev.A 71,012708 (2005).
- [16] E. Pazy, A. Vardi, Y. B. Band, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 120409 (2004); J. Chwedenczuk, K. Goral, T. Kohler, P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 260403 (2004); I. Tikhonenkov, A. Vardi, cond-m at/0407424.
- [17] V.A.Yurovsky and A.Ben-Reuven, Phys. Rev. A 67, 043611 (2003).
- [18] U. V. Poulsen and K. Molmer, Phys. Rev 63, 023604 (2001); J. J. Hope, M.K. Olsen, and L. I. Plimak, Phys. Rev. A, 63, 043603 (2001); J. J. Hope, and M. K. Olsen, Phys. Rev. Lett., 86, 3220 (2001); M.K. Olsen, L. I. Plimak, and M. J. Collett, Phys. Rev. A 64, 063601 (2001); K. V. Khenuntsyan and P. D. D rummond, Phys. Rev. A, 66, 031602 (R) (2002); M.K. Olsen and L. I. Plimak, Phys. Rev. A 68,031603 (R) (2003); M.K. Olsen, Phys. Rev. A 69, 013601 (2004); M.K. Olsen, A.S. Bradley, and S. B. Cavalcanti, Phys. Rev. A 70, 033611 (2004); K. V. Khenuntsyan, M.K. Olsen, and P. D. D rummond, cond-mat/0407363.
- [19] M.Holland, J.Park, and R.W alær, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 1915 (2001).
- [20] S. J. J. M. F. Kokkelm ans, M. J. Holland, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 180401 (2002).
- [21] T. Kohler and K. Bumett, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033601 (2002); T. Kohler, T. Gasenzer and K. Bumett, Phys.

Rev. A 67, 013601 (2003); K.Goral, T.Kohler, S.A. Gardiner, E.Tiesinga, and P.S.Julienne, J.Phys.B 37, 3457 (2004); K.Goral, T.Kohler, and K.Burnett, Phys. Rev. A 71, 023603 (2005).

- [22] A. Vardi, V. A. Yurovsky, and J. R. Anglin, Phys. Rev. A 64, 063611 (2001); V. A. Yurovsky, Phys. Rev. A 65, 033605 (2002); V. A. Yurovsky, A. Ben-Reuven, and P. S. Julienne, Phys. Rev. A 65, 043607 (2002); V. A. Yurovsky and A. Ben-Reuven, J. Phys. B 36, L335 (2003).
- [23] V.A.Yurovsky and A.Ben-Reuven, Phys.Rev.A 70, 013613. (2004).
- [24] V.A.Yurovsky, in Soft Condensed Matter: New Research (Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge, in press).
- [25] R. C. Forrey, V. Kharchenko, N. Balakrishnan and A. Dalgamo, Phys. Rev. A 59, 2146 (1999); P. Soldan, M. T. Cvitas, J.M. Hutson, P. Honvault, and J.-M. Launay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 153201 (2002).
- [26] Yu.Kagan, E.L.Surkov and G.V.Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev.A 54, R1753 (1996); Y.Castin and R.Dum, Phys. Rev.Lett. 77, 5315 (1996).
- [27] S. Inouye, M R. Andrews, J. Stenger, H.-J. Miesner, D. M. Stamper-Kum, and W. Ketterle, Nature 392, 151 (1998); J. Stenger, S. Inouye, M R. Andrews, H.-J. Miesner, D M. Stamper-Kum, and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 2422 (1999).
- [28] A. Marte, T. Volz, J. Schuster, S. Durr, G. Rempe, E. G. M. van Kempen, and B. J. Verhaar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 283202 (2002).
- [29] V.A. Yurovsky and A. Ben-Reuven, Phys. Rev. A, 67, 050701 (R) (2003).
- [30] C. Chin, private com m unication.