SLE with Jumps and Conform alNullVectors

S.Moghim i-Araghi, M.A.Rajabpour^y, S.Rouhani^z

Department of Physics, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, P.O. Box: 11365-9161, Iran

A bstract

O rdinary SLE_k is de ned using a W iener noise and is related to CFT's which have null vector at level two of conform all tower. In this paper we introduce stochastic variables which are made up of jumps and extend the ordinary SLE to have such stochastic variables. The extended SLE can be related to CFT's which have null vectors in higher levels of V irasorom odule.

Keywords: Conform alField Theory, SLE, Stochastic Processes.

1 Introduction

C onform al eld theories (CFT's) [1] are powerful tools to analyze critical behavior of two dimensional systems. In addition, CFT has been remarkably successful in calculating the geometrical properties of some geometrical critical phenomena such as percolation, Brownian motion and so on. In recent years, another approach to study geometrical models has become popular, Schramm (Stochastic) Loewner evolution (SLE)[2]. SLE is a one-parameter family of stochastic equations which describes the growth of random curves. The parameter is usually named to be and hence these evolution are sometimes referred to SLE.

Some results, which were derived using CFT methods before, can be recalculated by means of SLE.Am ong them is Cardy's form ula[7], which can be re-derived in a more general form. As the two methods are able to calculate the same problem s, one concludes that there should be a close relation between CFT and SLE. In [8] and later in [9] the relation between CFT and SLE was proposed. The method used by [8] can be simply stated as follows: using Ito's form ulas for Loewner's equation and then extending the W itt algebra to V irasoro algebra, an equivalent stochastic equation in V irasoro group is found, and if the CFT model has null vector at level two, one is able to nd the martingales of SLE. In this case the area taken into account is the upper half plane, but in [13] it was explained that if we work on some other dom ains, some special null vectors in higher levels could be produced.

e-m ail: sam an im i@ sharif.edu

^ye-m ail: rajabpour@ m ehr.sharif.edu

^ze-m ail: rouhani@ ipm .ir

To nd other null vectors, we introduce new stochastic variables, which are essentially m ade up of jumps, and extend the drift term of Schram m-Loewner equation to have these new variables. Doing this, we are able to produce all of the operators of the W itt algebra, so we can construct arbitrary null vectors.

In the following section we de ne SLE and then state its relation to CFT brie y, more details can be found in [8, 10, 11, 12]. In third section we introduce some stochastic variables which can be replaced by the W iener noise present in ordinary SLE, and discuss their properties. The last section is devoted to di erential equations corresponding to null vectors at arbitrary level.

2 Chordal Stochastic Loew ner Evolutions and CFT

SLE, is an stochastic equation which reveals the evolution of mappings from upper half plane, H to itself. Therefore, this equation could be regarded as the equation which gives the evolution of the boundary. Let the mapping at time t be $g_t(z)$, then SLE evolution is written in the following form

$$\theta_t g_t(z) = \frac{2}{g_t(z)};$$
(1)

where t is an stochastic variable. In general, t can be any function, but in standard SLE, it is taken to be Brownian motion, that is $h_{t,s}i = km in(t;s)$, and hence a one parameter fam ily of such evolutions is de ned, which usually are referred to SLE_k.

At any time, t, $g_t(z)$ has a domain of analyticity which is named H_t . This region is mapped to H by the conformal mapping $g_t(z)$. The complement of H_t in H is called the hull in which the map is not well de ned. As the times passes, the hull grows, so for a xed z, the mappings are well de ned up to the time z_1 with the property $g_z(z) = \frac{p_z}{kB_z}$. At this point, the denominator of right hand side of (1) vanishes and the mapping becomes meaningless. The trace of SLE is de ned to be the path of such singularities, that is, (t) = $\lim_{z \ge 0} g_t^{-1}(z + t_t)$. SLE has been studied a lot in recent years and has found many di erent applications, for reviews see for example [3, 4, 5, 6].

To establish the connection between SLE and CFT, we rst de ne the new series of maps $f_t(z) = g_t(z) = t$ and write SLE in the Langvien form which is more convenient.

$$df_{t} = \frac{2dt}{f_{t}} \quad d_{t}:$$
 (2)

This form of SLE helps us to write Ito's form ula :

$$d_{f_{t}} \mathbf{F} = (f_{t} \mathbf{F}) \frac{2dt}{f_{t}} d_{t} + \frac{f}{2} (f_{t} \mathbf{F}^{0}); \qquad (3)$$

where $f_t \mathbf{F}_P$ F f. f_t belongs to the group N of germs of holom orphic functions at 1 of the form $z + m_1 f_m z^{m+1}$. We can write the equation (3), in the N group space:

$$\int_{f_t}^{1} d_{f_t} = 2dt \frac{2}{z} \varrho_z + \frac{k}{2} \varrho_z^2 \qquad d_t \varrho_z$$
(4)

We observe that some dimensial operators in the form $n = z^{n+1} Q_z$ have emerged. These operators form an algebra called W itt algebra

$$[\underline{l}_{n};\underline{l}_{m}] = (m \quad n)\underline{l}_{n+m}$$
(5)

These operators are the generators of the conform alm apping in the complex plane. On the quantum level, the corresponding algebra is the well known V irasoro algebra with corresponding generators L_n 's. So, the equation (4) in the H ilbert space turns out to be

$$G_{f_t}^{\ 1} dG_{f_t} = dt \quad 2L_2 + \frac{k}{2} L_1^2 + d_t L_1:$$
 (6)

This equation de nes stochastic trajectories on manifold of the group produced by elements of V irasoro algebra which have some martingales in verm a module. To not these martingales we can make both side of equation (6) operate on the highest weight vector of a representation of V irasoro algebra, j! i, with conform alweight $h = \frac{6}{2k}$ and central charge $c = \frac{(6 \ k)3k \ 8)}{2k}$. As the vector 2L $_2 + \frac{k}{2}L^2_{1}$ j! i is a null vector and hence is orthogonal to all vectors in Verm a module, one nds

$$E [G_{f_{t}} j! i] = G_{f_{s}} j! i;$$
(7)

where the time averaging is for all times less than s. This means that correlation functions of the conform all eld theory in H_t are time independent and equal to their value at t = 0. Let's see what the state G_tj! i means. Suppose j! i be a boundary changing operator in H, then one can show that the equivalent operator in H_t is just G_tj! i. In fact G_tj! i is a generating function for all conserved quantities in chordal SLE.

We can repeat this calculation for SLE in =n space, $\frac{H}{n}$, with the modi ed Loew ner's equation [13]:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{t}g_{t}(z) = \frac{2}{g_{t}(z)^{n-1}(g_{t}(z)^{n}-t)};$$
(8)

 $g_t(z)$ maps the hull of $g_t(z)$, $\frac{H_t}{n}$, to the whole $\frac{H}{n}$. To connect this modiled equation to CFT, one denes $f_t^n = g_t(z)^n$ t, and with similar steps to derive martingales in V irasoro group for some n's.

3 Stochastic Jum p Variables

In the previous section, we introduced a class of stochastic equation where the stochastic part came from a W iener noise. In this section, we'll introduce a new stochastic variable, which is basically produced by a series of jumps, and show that in a special case, this new noise will be identical with B rownian motion. This helps us extend the stochastic Loewner equations using the new stochastic variables.

Consider the following stochastic equation

$$dx = J dN :$$
 (9)

The variable dN is always zero except at some points, say at $t = t_i$, where it takes the value 1. One can state this equation in another form which may be more understandable

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = \int_{i}^{X} J_{i} (t t_{i}):$$
(10)

Now it is clear that the variable x, is constant. It has only some jumps at times equal with t_i 's with magnitude J_i . We have supposed that the magnitude of jumps can be diment, in general we can consider that jumps have the distribution function (J). Also we will assume that the distribution of jumps in time is a Poison distribution.

Now we will make a special choice for (J) and see how it is related to W iener noise. Take $(J) = (J) + (1=2)^{(0)}(J)$. Though it seems to be a very ridiculous choice (for example, it can not be positive everywhere), but as we shall see it lead to a wellde ned noise for x.

Let's focus on the properties of x, such as its expectation value and correlation functions. The equation (10) can be solved to nd x (t):

$$x (t) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} X \\ J_{i} (t t_{i}): \end{array}}$$
 (11)

It is clear from form of the distribution function we have chosen, that the mean value of x vanishes. Now consider the two point correlation function $h_x(t)_x(t^0)_i$. Using the solution (11), we see

$$hx (t)x (t^{0})i = \int_{i;j}^{t} hJ_{j}J_{j}i_{J} (t t_{j}) (t^{0} t_{j}); \qquad (12)$$

where the inner averaging is on di erent jump m agnitudes and the outer one is on distribution of time of jumps. As we have assumed that m agnitude of jumps are independent of one angther, the inner averaging vanishes unless if i = j. In this case, one should compute the integral J^2 (J) + (1=2) ⁽⁰⁾(J) which is equal to one. So, the inner averaging yields _{ij}. Taking the time

scale of the poison distribution of time intervals of jumps to be unity, it is easy to show the two point function (12) turns out to be

$$hx(t)x(t^{0})i = m in(t;t^{0});$$
 (13)

which is the same as two point function of W iener noise. It is also easy to show that one can use W ick theorem to derive n-point correlation functions. To have a more complete proof of equivalence of the two noises, one can look at their Fokker-P lank equation. Ito's form ula for the general process (10) with J's having distribution function (J), has the following form :

$$\frac{\mathrm{dF}(\mathbf{x};t)}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{\mathrm{@F}(\mathbf{x};t)}{\mathrm{@t}} + \frac{\mathrm{?}}{\mathrm{[(F}(\mathbf{x}+\mathrm{J};t) - \mathrm{F}(\mathbf{x};t))(\mathrm{J})]\mathrm{dJ}}$$
(14)

To derive this expression, rst we should note that at any jump x (t) goes to x (t) + J and also the fact that the the jump m agnitudes are independent of the poisson jump occurrence process, that is

$$h\mathbb{F}(x+J;t) \quad \mathbb{F}(x;t)]d\mathbb{N} = h\mathbb{F}(x+J;t) \quad \mathbb{F}(x;t)]dt$$
(15)

where the averaging is over the probability distribution of jumps. Also note that in equation (14), we have taken the time scale of the poisson distribution to be unity.

Let's consider our speci c choice of (J). Doing the integration in equation (14) one can easily nd the related Fokker-P lank function

$$\frac{@P(x;t)}{@t} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{@^2P(x;t)}{@x^2} = 0$$
(16)

which is the same as Fokker-Plank equation of W iener noise.

O ne would criticize the equivalence of the two noises, telling W iener noise is a continuous one, but a noise having jumps is not. However with the choice we have made, the process produces a continuous noise, as one can exam ine that it satis as Lindberg's condition [14]. The other point is that the probability distributions we have chosen, are som enow odd, as they have negative values at som e points. Perhaps one can think of (J) as not a probability distribution, rather as a measure de ned in this way. This will also apply to other choices we'll mention in below.

Before examining other choices for (J), we would like to emphasize that, in SLE equation, one can use jumps with the distribution mentioned above instead of W iener noise and derive the very same results, e.g. one can nd the same Ito's form ula and the same null vectors, that is, all the results derived using equation (2) could be re-derived using the same equation, replacing W iener noise with jumps which obey the above distribution.

Now let's see what happens if we consider other distribution functions such as third or higher derivatives of delta functions. Take $(J) = (J) + (1=n!)^{(n)} (J)$, where $^{(n)} (J)$ is the n'th derivative of delta function. The solution to stochastic equation (10) is again given by equation (11), but now all the m-point functions hx $(t_1)x(t_2) :::x(t_m)$ is vanish for m < n and for m = n one has

$$hx (t_1)x (t_2) ::: x (t_n) i = m in (t_1; t_2; ::: t_n):$$
(17)

The Fokker-P lank of such evolution is just like equation (16), with the second order derivation being replaced by n'th order and the prefactor a half being replaced by $\frac{(1)^n}{n!}$. This allows us to extend the ordinary SLE to a more general family of stochastic evolution. In the next section we will see how this extension helps us to nd relations between SLE and CFT's with null vectors of third or higher ranks.

4 SLE and Higher LevelNullVectors

In section 2, we saw that if t be a Brownian motion then we were able to de ne an in nite set of SLE zero modes, or martingales, whose existence is a consequence of the existence of a null vector at level two. Some CFT's do not have null vectors at level two, instead they have null vectors at higher levels. The rst question that may arise is that is it possible to nd some martingales which are related to higher null vectors so that SLE could be related to such models. The ordinary SLE can not produce such null vectors, because Brownian motion is only able to produce one and second order di erentiations. But as we saw in the previous section, replacing t with jumps, which have some speci c distributions, then higher di erentiations, and hence the required operators to produce higher level null vectors, appear.

Consider the following stochastic di erential equation with respect to a compensated poisson process:

$$df_t = a(f_t)dt + b(f_t)dB_t + c(f_t)JdN$$
(18)

Taking a(f) = 2 = f and $b(f) = \frac{p}{k}$ and c(f) = 0, one arrives at the ordinary SLE_k process. The last term is the one which produces jumps. Note that the magnitude of the jump is given by $c(f_t) = J$.

Ito's form ula for the process (18) has the following form :

$$dF (f_t) = a(f_t)@F (f_t) + \frac{b(f_t)^2}{2}@^2F (f_t) + L F (f_t)] dt + b(f_t)@F (f_t)dB_t$$
(19)

$$L[F(f)] = [(F(f+c(f)J) F(f)) (J)]dJ$$
 (20)

The steps are the same as the ones in previous section, again the time distribution is a poisson one with time scale equal to unity, and the magnitude distributions is given by (J).

Examining di erent distributions for J and di erent dependencies of c on f, one is able to produce m any di erent L's. For example take $c(f_t) = 1$ and (J) = (J) then LF (f) = 0, that is we have not added any jumps. But if we take $(J) = (J) + \frac{e^n}{e^{J^n}}$ (J) keeping $c(f_t) = 1$, the resulting operator would be

LF (f) =
$$(1)^{n} \frac{e^{n}}{e^{n}} F$$
 (f) (21)

1

This distribution helps us to produce the L_1^n operators in V insomo algebra. Another interesting choice, which is more general, $is c(f_t) = f_t^m$ and $(J) = (J) + \frac{e^n}{e^{J^n}}$ (J), which leads to the operators

LF (f) = (1)ⁿ f^m
$$\frac{Q^n}{Qf^n}$$
F (f) (22)

which are the most general form of the operators needed to construct higher level null vectors, as they can produce the general form of operators in V irasoro algebra $L_{n_1}L_{n_2}$:: L_{n_k} .

Let's try to connect the modi ed Loewner's equation to CFT's having null vector at level 3. Consider the following stochastic evolution

$$df_{t}(z) = \frac{2}{f_{t}(z)^{2}} dt - \frac{2^{D} \overline{k}}{3f_{t}(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}} dB + J dN$$
(23)

Note that the maps are not de ned in the upper half plane, they are de ned in only two third of the whole complex plane, say from = 0 to = 3 =2 [13]. Let $(J) = (J) + \frac{\ell^3}{\ell J^3}$ (J) and $k = \frac{18}{h} \frac{1}{1}$, $= \frac{2}{(h+2)(h-1)}$. Writing Ito's formula (equation (19)) and doing the same steps as in the ordinary SLE case, one arrives at

$${}_{f_{t}}^{1}d_{f_{t}} = c \ l_{3} \ \frac{2}{h+1}l_{1}l_{2} + \frac{l_{1}^{3}l_{1}}{(h+1)(h+2)} \ dt + \frac{2^{b}\overline{k}}{3f_{t}(z)^{\frac{1}{2}}}l_{1}dB$$
(24)

where c = 2(h + 1) = (1 h). A veraging over B row nian m otion and going to quantum level, will lead us to an operator which produces a level three null vector

$$L_{3} \frac{2}{h+1}L_{1}L_{2} + \frac{L_{1}^{3}}{(h+1)(h+2)} \quad j!_{1,3}i = 0$$
 (25)

1

where $j!_{1,3}i$ is the highest weight vector with weight equal to h in a CFT which has a null vector at level 3.

O ne can do the sam e m an ipulations to relate the null vector at level four to a m odi ed SLE. In this case, one should take into account the following stochastic equation:

$$df_{t}(z) = \frac{2}{f_{t}(z)^{3}} dt \quad \frac{p}{2f_{t}(z)} dB \quad \frac{p}{2f_{t}(z)} J_{1} dN_{1} + qJ_{2} dN_{2}$$
(26)

Together with the following conditions, the vector $G j!_{1,4}i$ is a martingale, where G is the proper operator derived from this evolution.

$$(J_{1}) = (J_{1}) + \frac{\varrho^{3}}{\varrho J_{1}^{3}} (J_{1}); \qquad (J_{2}) = (J_{2}) + \frac{\varrho^{4}}{\varrho J_{2}^{4}} (J_{2})$$
$$q = \frac{9}{2(4h^{2} + 9h + 9)}; \qquad p = \frac{6(2h + 3)}{4h^{2} + 9h + 9} \qquad k = \frac{8(8h^{2} + 12h + 9)}{4h^{2} + 9h + 9}$$
(27)

Thism eans that we have a null vector at level four of the form

$$L_{4} = \frac{4h}{9}L_{2}^{2} = \frac{4h+15}{6h+18}L_{1}L_{3} + \frac{2h+3}{3h+9}L_{1}^{2}L_{2} = \frac{1}{4h+12}L_{1}^{4} j!_{2;2}i = 0$$
(28)

Note that at this case, the evolution is de ned in the quarter of complex plane.

Now it is clear that for any null vector at any level, we are able to de ne a stochastic evolution, whose martingales could be found using the properties of the null vector and the stochastic evolution on V irasoro group.

Note that it is not the only possible way to produce desired operators. We have had several assumptions which can be modiled, for example the assumption that the time distribution of jumps is poisson distribution or the magnitude of jumps are independent. In general, one can de ne stochastic di erential equations with poisson point form jumps, with a specified form for the distribution of jumps, which produce the same differential operators (see [15], and references therein).

References

- [1] A.Belavin, A.Polyakov and A.Zam olodchikov, Nucl. Phys. B 241 (1984)333.
- [2] O.Schramm, Israel J.M ath. 118 (2000) 221 [m ath PR/9904022].
- [3] S.Rhode, O.Schramm, MathPR/0106036
- [4] Law ler, Conform ally Invariant Processes in the Plane, Book (American Math. Soc., 2005), The draft of book may be found at http://www.math.comel.edu/~Law ler.
- [5] W.Kager and B.Nienhuise J.Stat.Phys.115 (2004),1149-1229 [m ath-Ph/0312030].
- [6] J.Cardy [cond-m at/0503313].
- [7] J.Cardy, [m ath-Ph/0103018].
- [8] M. Bauer and D. Bernard, Phys. Lett. B 543 (2002)135-138 [m ath-Ph/0206028]
- [9] R.Friedrich and W.Werner, C.R.Acad.Sci.Paris, Ser.IM ath 335 947 [m ath PR/0209382]
- [10] M.Bauer and D.Bernard.Comm.Math.Phys.239, (2003)493-521, [hep-th/0210015]
- [11] M.Bauer and D.Bernard, Phys.Lett.B 557 (2003)309-316, hep-th/0301064].

- [12] M. Bauer and D. Bernard, Annales Henri Poincare 5 (2004) 289-326, [m ath-Ph/0305061].
- [13] F.Lesage and J.Rasmussen, J.Math.Phys.45 (2004) 3040-3048
- [14] C.W. Gardiner, "Handbook of Stochastic Methods", Springer-Verlag, 2nd Ed. 1985.
- [15] R F.Bass, Prob. Surveys 1 (2004) 1-19, [m ath PR/0309246]