

International Journal of Modern Physics B
 © World Scientific Publishing Company

Superconductivity in $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ driven by the kinetic energy

Bin Liu, Ying Liang, and Shiping Feng

Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China

Received 11 June 2004

Within the charge-spin separation fermion-spin theory, the mechanism of superconductivity in $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is studied. It is shown that dressed fermions interact occurring directly through the kinetic energy by exchanging magnetic excitations. This interaction leads to a net attractive force between dressed fermions (then the electron Cooper pairs), and their condensation reveals the superconducting ground state. The optimal superconducting transition temperature occurs in the electron doping concentration $\delta \approx 0.29$, and then decreases for both underdoped and overdoped regimes, in qualitative agreement with the experimental results.

The discovery of superconductivity in $\text{Na}_{0.35}\text{CoO}_2 \cdot 1.3\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has engendered great interest in transition metal oxides¹. Many experimental measurements^{1,2} have shown that the superconductivity in the doped cobaltate suggests the importance of the strong electron correlation as in the doped cuprates^{2,3}, and two systems may have similar underlying SC mechanism, i.e., it is possible that superconductivity in the electron doped cobaltates is also driven by the kinetic energy as in the doped cuprates⁴. In this paper, we will apply the charge-spin separation fermion-spin theory⁵ to study the mechanism of superconductivity in the doped cobaltates within the t - J model.

The t - J model on a triangular lattice is expressed as^{6,7},

$$H = -t \sum_{i\hat{\eta}\sigma} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{i+\hat{\eta}\sigma} + \mu \sum_{i\sigma} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} + J \sum_{i\hat{\eta}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+\hat{\eta}}, \quad (1)$$

supplemented by the local constraint $\sum_{\sigma} f_{i\sigma}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \leq 1$ to remove double occupancy, where $t < 0$, $f_{i\sigma}^\dagger$ ($f_{i\sigma}$) is the hole creation (annihilation) operator, and $\mathbf{S}_i = f_i^\dagger \boldsymbol{\sigma} f_i / 2$ is the spin operator in the hole representation. Then the hole operators can be expressed⁵ as, $f_{i\uparrow} = a_{i\uparrow}^\dagger S_i^-$ and $f_{i\downarrow} = a_{i\downarrow}^\dagger S_i^+$, where the spinful fermion operator $a_{i\sigma} = e^{-i\Phi_{i\sigma}} a_i$ describes the charge degree of freedom together with some effects of the spin configuration rearrangements due to the presence of the doped electron itself (dressed fermion), while the spin operator S_i describes the spin degree of freedom (dressed spinon), then the single occupancy local constraint is satisfied in analytical calculations⁵. In this charge-spin separation fermion-spin representation,

2 Bin Liu, Ying Liang, and Shiping Feng

the low-energy behavior of the t - J model (2) can be expressed as⁵,

$$H = -t \sum_{i\hat{\eta}} (a_{i\uparrow} S_i^+ a_{i+\hat{\eta}\uparrow}^\dagger S_{i+\hat{\eta}}^- + a_{i\downarrow} S_i^- a_{i+\hat{\eta}\downarrow}^\dagger S_{i+\hat{\eta}}^+) - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} a_{i\sigma}^\dagger a_{i\sigma} + J_{\text{eff}} \sum_{i\hat{\eta}} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_{i+\hat{\eta}}, \quad (2)$$

with $J_{\text{eff}} = (1 - \delta)^2 J$, and $\delta = \langle a_{i\sigma}^\dagger a_{i\sigma} \rangle = \langle a_i^\dagger a_i \rangle$ is the electron doping concentration. In this case, the magnetic energy (J) term in the t - J model is only to form an adequate dressed spinon configuration, while the kinetic energy (t) term has been transferred as the dressed fermion-spinon interaction, which dominates the essential physics. This dressed fermion-spinon interaction is quite strong, and can induce the dressed fermion pairing state (then the electron pairing state and superconductivity) by exchanging dressed spinon excitations in a higher power of the electron doping concentration δ . As in the conventional superconductors, the order parameter for the electron Cooper pair can be expressed as $\Delta = \langle f_{i\uparrow}^\dagger f_{j\downarrow}^\dagger - f_{i\downarrow}^\dagger f_{j\uparrow}^\dagger \rangle = \langle a_{i\uparrow} a_{j\downarrow} S_i^+ S_j^- - a_{i\downarrow} a_{j\uparrow} S_i^- S_j^+ \rangle$. In the doped regime without AFLRO, the dressed spinon correlation function $\langle S_i^+ S_j^- \rangle = \langle S_i^- S_j^+ \rangle$, and the order parameter for the electron Cooper pair can be written as $\Delta = -\langle S_i^+ S_j^- \rangle \Delta_a$, with the dressed fermion pairing order parameter $\Delta_a = \langle a_{j\downarrow} a_{i\uparrow} - a_{j\uparrow} a_{i\downarrow} \rangle$. Following the discussions in Ref. 4, we can obtain the dressed fermion pair order parameter as,

$$\Delta_a^{(d)} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_k |\gamma_k^{(d)}|^2 \frac{\bar{\Delta}_a^{(d)}}{E_k} \text{th}[\frac{1}{2}\beta E_k]. \quad (3)$$

where $\gamma_k^{(d)} = d_{1k} + id_{2k}$, $d_{1k} = 2\cos k_x - \cos[(k_x - \sqrt{3}k_y)/2] - \cos[(k_x + \sqrt{3}k_y)/2]$ and $d_{2k} = \sqrt{3}\cos[(k_x + \sqrt{3}k_y)/2] - \sqrt{3}\cos[(k_x - \sqrt{3}k_y)/2]$, and other physical quantities have been given in Ref. 4. For a discussion of the physical properties of the SC state, we now need to calculate the electron off-diagonal Green's function $\Gamma^\dagger(i-j, t-t') = \langle \langle f_{i\uparrow}^\dagger(t); f_{j\downarrow}^\dagger(t') \rangle \rangle$. With the help of electron off-diagonal Green's function and in the framework of the charge-spin separation fermion-spin theory⁵, we obtain the SC gap function as,

$$\Delta^{(d)}(k) = -\frac{1}{N} \sum_p \frac{\bar{\Delta}_a^{(d)}(p-k) B_p}{4E_{p-k}\omega_p} \text{th} \frac{\beta E_{p-k}}{2} \coth \frac{\beta \omega_p}{2}, \quad (4)$$

which shows that the symmetry of the electron Cooper pair is the same as the symmetry of the dressed fermion pair, i.e., the SC gap function can be written as $\Delta^{(d)}(k) = \Delta^{(d)}(d_{1k} + id_{2k})$. The SC gap function in Eq. (4) indicates that the SC transition temperature T_c occurring in the case of $\Delta^{(d)} = 0$ is identical to the dressed fermion pair transition temperature occurring in the case of $\bar{\Delta}_a^{(d)} = 0$. This SC transition temperature T_c as a function of electron doping concentration δ in the d-wave symmetry for $t/J = -2.5$ is plotted in Fig. 1 in comparison with the experimental data²(inset). It is shown that the maximal SC transition temperature T_c occurs around the optimal electron doping concentration $\delta \approx 0.29$, and

then decreases for both underdoped and overdoped regimes. Using an reasonable estimation value of $J \sim 10\text{meV}$ to 20meV in $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$, the SC transition temperature in the optimal doping is $T_c^{\text{optimal}} \approx 0.02J \approx 3\text{K} \sim 6\text{K}$. Our these results are in qualitative agreement with the experimental data². Since $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$




Fig. 1. The superconducting transition temperature as a function of the electron doping concentration in the d-wave symmetry for $t/J = -2.5$. Inset: the experimental result on $\text{Na}_x\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O}$ taken from Ref. 2.

is the electron doped Mott insulator on a triangular lattice, therefore the system has strong geometrical spin frustration. This magnetic frustration also induces the strong charged carrier's quantum fluctuation. Both strong magnetic frustration and charged carrier's quantum fluctuation suppress the SC transition temperature.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Nos. 10125415, 10074007, and 10347102, and the Grant from Beijing Normal University.

References

1. K. Takada, H. Sakurai, E. Takayama-Muromachi, F. Izumil, R.A. Dilanian, and T. Sasaki, *Nature* **422**, 53 (2003).
2. R.E. Schaak, T. Klimczuk, M.L. Foo, and R.J. Cava, *Nature* **424**, 527 (2003); T. Fujimoto, G.Q. Zheng, Y. Kitaoka, R.L. Meng, J. Cmaidalka, and C.W. Chu, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 047004 (2004).
3. Y. Tokura, H. Takagi, and S. Uchida, *Nature* **337**, 345 (1989).
4. Shiping Feng, *Phys. Rev. B* **68**, 184501 (2003); Tianxing Ma, Huaiming Guo, and Shiping Feng, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **18**, 895(2004).
5. Shiping Feng, Jihong Qin, and Tianxing Ma, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **16**, 343 (2004).
6. See, e.g., G. Baskaran, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 097003 (2003).
7. Bin Liu, Ying Liang, Shiping Feng and Wei Yue Chen, *Phys. Rev. B* **69**, 224506 (2004).