## Supersolid Order from Disorder: Hard-Core Bosons on the Triangular Lattice

R.G.Melko,<sup>1</sup> A.Paramekanti,<sup>2</sup> A.A.Burkov,<sup>1</sup> A.Vishwanath,<sup>2</sup> D.N.Sheng,<sup>3</sup> and L.Balents<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

<sup>3</sup>D epartm ent of Physics and A stronom y, California State University, Northridge, California 91330

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

W e study the interplay of M ott localization, geom etric frustration, and super uidity for hard-core bosons with nearest-neighbor repulsion on the triangular lattice. For this model at half-lling, we dem onstrate that super uidity survives for arbitrarily large repulsion, and that diagonal solid order em erges in the strongly correlated regime from an order-by-disorder m echanism. This is thus an unusual example of a stable supersolid phase of hard-core lattice bosons at a commensurate lling.

Introduction: Recent experiments on <sup>4</sup>He under pressure suggest [1] that a supersolid phase, in which longrange diagonal (crystalline) order and long-range o – diagonal (super uid) order coexist, m ay arise at tem peratures T < 100 m K. Such a phase had been theoretically envisioned long ago [2], based on the proposition that a nonvanishing density of zero point defects in the solid (interstitials or vacancies) could B ose condense and form a super uid \on top" of the existing crystalline background. However, early estimates [3] of the super uid fraction in clean <sup>4</sup>H e solid were very small ( 10<sup>4</sup>), and recent num erics with realistic interatom ic potentials nd no evidence for zero point defects or appreciable interparticle exchanges [4] in the crystal.

Since the microscopic conditions under which clean  ${}^{4}\text{He}$  m ight exist in a supersolid phase are still unclear, it is useful to focus on simple lattice models of bosons in order to understand the di erent mechanisms by which a supersolid might emerge. Such \lattice supersolids" are super uids which also break the lattice translation symmetries [5]. Numerical studies of interacting bosons on the square lattice nd that stable supersolid phases form upon doping away from a half-led checkerboard or striped solid [6, 7, 8]. These appear to be examples of the \defect condensation" mechanism, where doped bosons (holes) act as interstitial (vacancy) sites in the crystal.

In this letter we explore a di erent route to supersolidity based on the competition between M ott localization physics and geometric frustration. To illustrate this, we focus on a simple model of hard-core bosons at half- lling on the triangular lattice, interacting via a nearest-neighbor repulsive term,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ t \\ hiji \end{pmatrix} b_{j}^{v} b_{j} + b_{j}^{v} b_{j} + \begin{pmatrix} X \\ v \\ hiji \end{pmatrix} (n_{j} - \frac{1}{2}) (n_{j} - \frac{1}{2}): (1)$$

For this model, we show that a supersolid phase emerges for  $V=t^{>}10$ . The supersolid phase arises from an orderby-disorder e ect in a strongly correlated super uid. This route to supersolidity is thus complem entary to the \defect condensation" mechanism which starts from a perfect crystal and considers a small defect-density induced supersolid. Our results are based on a combination of analytical argum ents, projected boson wavefunctions,



FIG.1: (color online). (a) G round state super uid density, calculated with exact diagonalization, quantum M onte C arlo, and variational wavefunction, with  $J_2 = 1$ . The inset is  $_{\rm s}$  in the limit  $J_z$  ! 1, calculated by ED (for several system sizes N), and VW F (in the therm odynamic limit). (b) The diagonal order parameter squared. Examples of the QMC extrapolations to N ! 1 are illustrated in Fig.2.

quantum M onte C arb and exact diagonalization studies. W e thus go well beyond the earlier spin-wave work [9] on this model. It would be interesting to bok for signatures of this supersolid in experiments, e.g on interacting cold bosonic atom s in an optical lattice [10], where both a zero m om entum condensate peak and B ragg peaks at the ordering wavevectors would be expected in the usual tim e-of- ight m om entum spectroscopy.

A lternatively, the Ham iltonian we study can be viewed, via the standard mapping from hardcore bosons to S=1/2 spins, as an XXZ model:

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ J_{i} & S_{i}^{x}S_{j}^{x} + S_{i}^{y}S_{j}^{y} + J_{z}S_{i}^{z}S_{j}^{z} ; \end{pmatrix} (2)$$
hiji

with  $J_z = V$  and  $J_2 = 2t$ . A spin Ham iltonian such as Eq. (2) may be realized in a M ott insulating phase of cold bosons on an optical lattice, if the bosons possess two internal states which can play the role of the spin [10]. Since the two-body exchange interaction for spinful bosons is naturally ferrom agnetic,  $J_2 > 0$  in Eq. (2). In spin language, supersolid ordering corresponds to the spins having their xy-com ponents aligned ferrom agnetically (super uid) with the z-com ponent also ordered at a nonzero w avevector (solid). In our analysis, we will work interchangeably in term s of bosons or spin variables.

Spin wave theory and Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson approach for  $J_2 = J_z$ 1: For large  $J_2 = J_z$ , the spins align in-plane to form an XY-ferrom agnetic phase. Ignoring sm all quantum uctuations, the ground state has all spins uniform ly polarized along the (say) x-direction, giving a non-zero hS<sup>x</sup>i. This corresponds to a Bose condensate of the bosons, with o -diagonal long range order and a nonzero super uid sti ness. Doing a spin wave expansion about this ordered state, we nd that the gap to excitations at wavevectors  $k_0 = (4 = 3; 0)$  (Brillouin zone corners) closes at  $J_z=J_2 = 2$ . In the boson lanquage, the \roton m in in um " of the super uid hits zero energy. The uniform super uid develops an instability to-Re(  $e^{ik_0 r_1}$ ), with the ward 3-sublattice ordering,  $S_i^z$ order parameter describing the critical modes. The Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson (LGW) expansion of the e ecand the uniform Ising magnetization M tive action in has the form :

 $Z \qquad Z$ S = d<sup>2</sup>x d 10 f<sup>2</sup> f + c<sup>2</sup> ir f<sup>2</sup> + rj f<sup>2</sup> + uj f<sup>4</sup>

where the constant represents the boson compressibility, and is generally non-zero from symmetry considerations. Based as it is upon the spin-wave expansion, this LGW action describes the onset of non-zero within the super uid, i.e. the ordered state is a supersolid (as opposed to an insulating solid with a broken lattice symmetry). Exhaustive evidence supporting this interpretation will be provided below.

The \m assive" M eld can be integrated out to obtain an e ective action for alone, with the renorm alized param eters v = v  $^{2}=2, w = w$  $^2=2.$  Two possible ordered states thereby occur, determ ined by the sign of w. For w < 0, <sup>3</sup> is purely real, and from Eq. (3), a nonzero spontaneous uniform magnetization M is predicted. This ferrin agnetic state has a three-sublattice structure with  $hS_{i}^{z}i = (m;m;m^{0}) (M = (2m m^{0})=3 \neq 0)$ , and requires phase separation in the canonical boson number ensemble. For w > 0, <sup>3</sup> is purely imaginary [11], and M = 0. The corresponding three-sublattice pattern has  $hS_i^z i = (m; m; 0)$ , so we refer to this as an antiferrom aqnetic state (no phase separation is implied). Note that the instability to three-sublattice ordering was also found by Murthy et al. [9] who carried out a study of the uctuations around such possible ordered states within spin wave theory, and suggested a supersolid phase for large-S. Here we provide a more thorough and rigorous analysis, directly for the S = 1=2 case of most interest. Before proceeding, we note that Eq. (3) is an appropriate starting point for investigating the quantum critical behavior

at the zero tem perature super uid-supersolid transition, which is expected to be of the three-dim ensionalXY universality class.

Variational wavefunction: A simple variational wavefunction argument indicates that super uidity survives in our model for arbitrarily small  $J_2 = J_z$ . A good variational approximation to the ground state for large  $J_2 = J_z$  is the hard-core projection of the non-interacting B osecondensate wavefunction,

$$_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}; \ldots; \mathbf{r}_{N_{b}}) = \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ all distinct } \mathbf{r}_{i} \\ 0 \text{ otherw ise} \end{array} ; \qquad (4)$$

where  $N_b = N = 2$ , and N is the number of sites. To account for inter-site correlations with increasing  $J_z$ , we modify the variational wavefunction in Eq. (4) through a Jastrow factor

$$(frg;g) = \begin{array}{c} 2 & & & & \\ Y \\ & & (1 & g)^{(n_{i} \frac{1}{2})(n_{j} \frac{1}{2})5} & _{0} (frg); \quad (5) \\ & & \\ &$$

where 0~g<1 is a variational parameter, and frg  $(r_1:::r_{N_{\rm b}})$ . Using a M onte C arb m ethod, we have evaluated correlation functions in with the optim alg ~g at each value of  $J_z{=}J_2$ .

For  $J_z = 0$ , we nd g 0.2, and the wavefunction in Eq. (5) has o -diagonal long range order, with  $hb_i i$ 0:48. Im posing a phase gradient in the wavefunction through a twist in the boundary condition, the super uid sti ness is de ned as

$$_{s} = \frac{\varrho^{2}E}{\varrho^{2}} = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{E}{N} \frac{2}{2}; \qquad (6)$$

where E is the resulting change in energy. Using this de nition, we estimate  $_{\rm s}$  (J<sub>z</sub> = 0) 0.54J<sub>z</sub>. With increasing J<sub>z</sub>, we nd g increases and the super uid density decreases, as illustrated in Fig. 1a.

Let us go to the most interesting  $\lim_{x \to a} t of J_2 = J_z ! 0$ . For J<sub>?</sub> = 0, the X X Z m odel in Eq. (2) reduces to the classical Ising antiferrom agnet on a triangular lattice which is well-known to have a macroscopic degeneracy of ground  $1:381^{\mathbb{N}}$  . These are congurations with exactly states one frustrated bond per triangle [12]. For  $J_z$  ! 1, we nd the optim alg ! 1, and the wavefunction (frg;0) is then an equal weight linear superposition of all Ising ground state con gurations with  $S_{tot}^{z} = 0$ . In this case, we nd the o -diagonal long range order hbii 0:13, and super uid stiness s 0:09J, (see Fig. 1a). This persistence of o-diagonal long range order on the triangular lattice for  $J_2 = J_z ! 0$  is one of the most important points of this paper and is crucial for the discussion below .

Remarkably, despite its simplicity, the variational wavefunction with g ! 1 can also be shown (analytically and numerically) to have power-law  $1 = \frac{P}{r}$  correlations of  $S_1^z$  at the wavevectors  $k_0$ , in addition to o -diagonal order, reminiscent of the long-range Ising correlations expected from the LGW theory. Since it somewhat underestimates the \solid" order, we expect that the variational approximation slightly overestimates super uidity, as also observed numerically.

Strong coupling analysis for  $J_2 = J_z$  1: We now turn to directly consider the strong-coupling limit. A simple qualitative picture (which we will reinforce with a rigorous analysis a little later) can be obtained by mapping the XXZ model onto a transverse eld Ising model:

$$H = J_z \begin{cases} X & X \\ S_i^z S_j^z & h_e & S_i^x; \\ hiji & i \end{cases}$$
(7)

with  $h_e = J_P hS_1^x$  i. We have assumed here that, as suggested by the variational wavefunction analysis, super u-idity survives in the lim it of sm all  $J_P = J_z$ . This transverse

eki Ising m odel has been studied earlier [11, 13] in various contexts, using G inzburg-Landau theory and M onte C arb num erics. It is well established that this m odel has diagonal long-range order, in particular of antiferrom agnetic type, for sm all  $h_e = J_z$ . This analogy suggests that the supersolid behavior of the XXZ m odel should be viewed, sim ilarly to Eq. (7), as arising m icroscopically from an order-by-disorder mechanism [14].

O nem ight worry that the above analysis was based on a mean-eld treatment of the super uid component of the order, which may be unreliable at small  $J_2 = J_z$ . We now turn to a more exact argument, which strongly argues for a ground state with broken spatial symmetries. In particular, we prove that for  $J_2 = J_z$  ! 0, the ground states are three-fold degenerate and transform non-trivially under lattice rotations.

In this lim it  $(J_z = 1)$ , the XXZ model reduces to

$$H_{1} = J_{2} \prod_{\substack{i \neq j \\ hiji}}^{X} \hat{P}_{C} (S_{i}^{x} S_{j}^{x} + S_{i}^{y} S_{j}^{y}) \hat{P}_{C}; \qquad (8)$$

where  $\hat{P_c}$  is a projection operator onto the classical Ising antiferrom agnetic ground state manifold. Consider an L system with periodic boundary conditions, i.e. on L the torus. We de ne the quantities  $E_a$ 0, a = 1;2;3,as the num ber of frustrated bonds along a straight closed path (loop) through L sites along the a<sup>th</sup> principal axis of the triangular lattice. These quantities are independent of translations of the loop. M oreover, it is straightforward to show that they commute with  $H_1$  in Eq. (8) (and indeed any local H am iltonian in this manifold). Because each triangle has one frustrated bond, one also nds  $E_1 + E_2 + E_3 = L \cdot U$  nder a six-fold rotation of the system, the E<sub>a</sub> cyclically permute. Hence a unique ground state with  $E_1 = E_2 = E_3$  that is also rotationally invariant can be found only for L a multiple of three. For  $L \in O(m \text{ od } 3)$ , the ground state thus form s at least a three-fold degenerate rotational multiplet, as promised (it can be spanned by three states with \angular m om entum " '= 0;1;2 (m od 3)).

M ore re ned arguments indicate that this degeneracy is split only by terms of O (e  $^{\rm cL}$ ) (with c independent of L) for  $0 < J_2 = J_z$  1, and L ! 1 . Hence a non-trivial rotationalm ultiplet remains the ground state with exponential accuracy in the therm odynamic lim it. This behavior is inconsistent with a simple uniform super uid,



FIG.2: (color online). Finite-size scaling of QMC data for  $_{\rm s}$  (a) and lm  $i^2$  = S (k<sub>0</sub>)=L<sup>2</sup> (b). Here, J<sub>2</sub> = 1 and the inverse temperature is = 10. Error bars (illustrated) are much sm aller than the sym bol sizes. D otted lines show linear extrapolations for the ve largest system sizes. The thick solid (blue) line is a t to lm  $i^2$  / 1=L<sup>2</sup>, expected asym ptotically when there is no diagonal LRO.

which is expected to have only states with excitation energies above the ground state scaling as a power-law of L. The most natural possibility consistent with this behavior is broken spatial symmetry of the ground state. O ther arguments can be presented against more \exotic" possibilities. Having concluded that the system must break lattice symmetries, and already argued from our variational wavefunction that super uidity persists for  $J_z\ !\ 1$ , we thus come to the conclusion that the ground state at large  $J_z$  is a supersolid, as our numerical simulations below prove.

Exact num erical results: To address the properties of the spin-1/2 XXZ m odel in Eq. (2) we have carried out exact diagonalization (ED) studies, as well as Stochastic Series Expansion (SSE) quantum M onte Carlo (QMC) simulations [16] in the grand canonical fram ework. Using straightforward m odi cations [15] to the original SSE directed-loop algorithm [17], the QMC is able to explore all regions of the nite-tem perature phase diagram for sm all  $J_z = J_2$ . How ever for  $J_z = J_2^{>10}$ , the QMC is observed to experience a dynam ical freezing due to the developm ent of large energy barriers for local updates. In F ig. 1, we sum marize our results for ED over the entire range of coupling strengths, and QMC in the weak to interm ediate coupling regime (where we have been able to reliably equilibriate data).

The super uid density is estimated by the stimess, Eq. (6), easily measured using winding numbers in the QMC simulations [17, 18]. For a given  $J_z=J_2$ , s is extrapolated to L ! 1 using nite-size scaling on systems of size N = L L (Fig. 2a). The results show that for small  $J_z=J_2$  the system is clearly in a robust super uid ground state with a large  $_{\rm s}$ . The sti ness value decreases with increasing  $J_z=J_2$ , however it remains nite to the largest values of  $J_z=J_2$  studied with the QMC. The survival of  $_{\rm s}$  at large  $J_z=J_2$  is in stark contrast to the behavior at  $J_z=J_2$  = 1, where the phase transition to a fully-polarized ferrom agnetic phase is evident by the vanishing sti ness. Further, ED calculations (Fig.1a, inset) strongly suggest that  $_{\rm s}$  survives to  $J_z=J_2$  ! 1, although system sizes are too small to allow a reliable extrapolation to N ! 1 for this data. The small value of  $_{\rm s}$  for  $J_z$  ! 1 indicates that the supersolid is very close in parameter space to an insulating state.

In the above analytical arguments, the survival of  $_{\rm s}$  at large  $J_z = J_2$  is accompanied by the development of simultaneous Ising order (the supersolid phase). To address this prediction, we compute the static structure factor

$$S(k) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{\text{hiji}}}^{X} e^{ik (r_{i} r_{j})} h S_{i}^{z} S_{j}^{z} i:$$
(9)

This structure factor reveals the developm ent of sharp Bragg peaks for moderate values of  $J_z = J_2$ . The peaks occur at the corners of the hexagonal Brillouin zone (4 =3;0)), in complete agreement with the ( k<sub>0</sub> = above analytical discussion. The presence of diagonal long range order (LRO) can be dem onstrated rigorously through a nite-size scaling analysis of S  $(k_0)$  (Fig. 2b). Speci cally, in a LRO state, the diagonal order param eter squared,  $\text{Im } i^2 = S(k_0) = L^2$ , should scale to a constant as L ! 1 (with a leading order-correction varying as 1=L [20]). In contrast, in the absence of diagonal LRO, spin-spin correlations are short ranged, and hm i<sup>2</sup> should tend to zero as  $1=L^2$  in the asymptotic limit. Employing this analysis on the QMC data for all  $J_z = J_2$  studied reveals the position of the zero-tem perature phase transition from the super uid to supersolid state to be between  $J_z=J_2 = 4$  and  $J_z=J_2 = 5$  (Fig.1).

F inally, we note that the grand canonicalQMC simulations indicate no signi cant deviations from half-lling in the supersolid phase for system sizes up to L = 36. In the ED, the m inim um energy ground state is also found to lie within the hS<sup>z</sup>i= 0 subspace. These observations suggest the occurrence of the antiferrom agnetic rather than the ferrim agnetic supersolid, how ever QMC simulations on much larger system sizes may be necessary in order to distinguish conclusively between the two.

Conclusions: We have presented a comprehensive study of the phase diagram of interacting hard-core bosons at half-lling (zero eld XXZ model) on the triangular lattice. We have found that a subtle interplay between repulsion and quantum uctuations produces a supersolid ground state in this system in the strongly correlated regime. This model provides an unusual example of a supersolid at a commensurate density arising from an order-by-disorder mechanism rather than defect condensation. This distinct mechanism completely avoids the instability of the defect-mediated square lattice supersolid to phase separation [8]. Here, the supersolid has boson density equal to or extrem ely close to 1=2, which is strongly favored by the maximal frustration-induced entropy of the corresponding classical Ising antiferrom agnetic states.

The authors would like to thank A.W. Sandvik, D.J.Scalapino and D.Stam per-Kum for insightful discussions. Supercomputer time was provided by NCSA under grant number DMR 020029N.Financial support was provided by National Science Foundation Grants DMR 02-11166 (RGM), DMR-9985255 (LB, AAB), DMR-0307170 (DNS), the Packard Foundation (LB, AAB), ACS-PRF 41752-AC10 (DNS) and DOE LDRD DE-AC03-76SF00098 (AP and AV).During completion of this work we became aware of parallel num erical works [21], which reach sim ilar conclusions.

- [L] E.Kim and M.H.W. Chan, Nature 427, 225 (2004); Science 305, 1941 (2004).
- [2] A.F.Andreev and I.M.Lifshitz, Sov.Phys.JETP 29, 1107 (1969); G.Chester, Phys.Rev.A 2, 256 (1970).
- [3] A.J.Leggett, Phys.Rev.Lett. 25, 1543 (1970).
- [4] D. M. Ceperley and B. Bemu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 155303 (2004).
- [5] K.-S.Liu and M.E.Fisher, J.Low Temp. Phys. 10, 655 (1973).
- [6] A. van Otterlo and K. H. W agenblast, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3598 (1994); A. van Otterlo et al., Phys. Rev. B 52, 16176 (1995).
- [7] G.G.Batrouniand R.T.Scalettar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1599 (2000); F.Hebert et al, Phys. Rev. B 65 014513 (2001).
- [8] P. Sengupta, L. P. Pryadko, F. A let, M. Troyer, and G. Schmidt, cond-m at/0412338 (unpublished).
- [9] G. Murthy, D. A rovas and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B 55, 3104 (1997).
- [10] L.-M. Duan, E. Dem ler, and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090402 (2003).
- [11] D.Blankschtein, M.Ma, A.N.Berker, G.S.Grest, and C.M.Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B 29, R 5250 (1984).
- [12] G.H.Wannier, Phys. Rev. 79, 357 (1950); R M.F. Houtappel, Physica 16, 425 (1950).
- [13] R.M oessner and S.L.Sondhi, Phys.Rev.B 63, 224401 (2001); R.M oessner, S.L.Sondhi, and P.C handra, Phys. Rev.B 64, 144416 (2001).
- [14] In contrast, generalizations of our analytical arguments predict a simple uniform super uid on the kagome lattice at all  $J_z = J_2$ . This prediction has recently been con rm ed by QMC calculations [15].
- [15] S.V. Isakov and R.G. Melko (unpublished).
- [16] A.W. Sandvik and J.Kurkijarvi, Phys. Rev. B 43, 5950 (1991); A.W. Sandvik, J. Phys. A 25, 3667 (1992).
- [17] O.F.Syljasen and A.W.Sandvik, Phys.Rev.E 66, 046701 (2002).
- [18] E.L.Pollock and D.M. Ceperley, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8343 (1987).
- [19] M. Boninsegni, J. Low Temp. Phys. 132, 39 (2003).
- [20] D.A.Huse, Phys.Rev.B 37, R2380 (1988).
- [21] D. Heidarian and K. Dam le, cond-m at/0505257; S.W essel and M. Troyer, cond-m at/0505298;