A simulation study of energy transport in the Hamiltonian XY-model

Luca Del niy, Stefano Lepriyk and Roberto Liviz

y Istituto Nazionale di Ottica Applicata and

Istituto dei Sistem i C om plessi, C onsiglio N azionale delle R icerche, Sezione Territoriale di Firenze, largo E. Ferm i 6 I-50125 Firenze, Italy zD ipartim ento di Fisica, U niversita di Firenze, Sezione IN FN, U nita IN FM and C SD C Firenze, via G. Sansone 1 I-50019, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

A bstract. The transport properties of the planar rotator model on a square lattice are analyzed by means of microcanonical and non{equilibrium simulations. Well below the Kosterlitz{Thouless{Berezinskii transition temperature, both approaches consistently indicate that the energy current autocorrelation displays a long{tim e tail decaying as t¹. This yields a thermal conductivity coe cient which diverges logarithm ically with the lattice size. Conversely, conductivity is found to be nite in the high{temperature disordered phase. Simulations close to the transition temperature are insted limited by slow convergence that is presumably due to the slow kinetics of vortex pairs.

K eyw ord: Transport processes / heat transfer (T heory)

Subm itted to: Journal of Statistical M echanics: theory and experim ent

PACS num bers: 63.10.+ a 05.60.-k 44.10.+ i

Transport in the Ham iltonian XY-m odel

1. Introduction

Physical phenomena in reduced spatial dimension (d = 1;2) are often qualitatively di erent from their three-dimensional counterparts. The overwhelming role of statistical uctuations and the presence of constraints in the motion of excitations can lead to peculiar e ects like the impossibility of long{range order. In the context of non{ equilibrium statistical mechanics, the existence of long{time tails in uids [1] leads to ill{de ned transport coe cients, thus implying a breakdown of the phenom enological constitutive laws of hydrodynamics [2].

A remarkable example is the anom alous behavior of heat conductivity for d 2. This issue attracted a renovated interest within the statistical (mechanics community after the discovery that the therm al conductivity of anharm onic chains diverge in the therm odynam ic limit [3]. Since then, those anom alies have been detected in a series of di erent models. An exhaustive account is given in Ref. [4], where the elects of lattice dimensionality, disorder and external elds for the validity of Fourier's law are discussed in detail. The signature of anom alous behavior is a non (integrable algebraic decay of the correlator of the heat current J (the G reen-K ubo integrand) at large times

hJ(t)
$$J(0)i / t^{(i)}; t! +1$$
 (1)

where 0 < 1 and h i is the equilibrium average. For a nite system of linear size L this implies that the nite-size conductivity (L) diverges in the L ! 1 limit. In fact, in the framework of linear-response theory, can be estimated by cutting{o the integral in the G reen-K ubo form ula at the transit time L=v (v being some propagation velocity of energy carriers). Taking into account Eq. (1) one straightforwardly obtains / L .

Simulation studies of speci cm odels [4] as well as analytic arguments [5], head to the summise that anomalous conductivity should occur generically whenever momentum is conserved. Moreover, the exponent should be largely independent on the microscopic details as suggested by a the renormalization (group calculation of R ef. [5] that predicts $= (2 \quad d)=(2 + d)$. For d = 1 the resulting value = 1=3 is roughly close to the numerical estimates although some substantial deviations have been observed in speci c cases [7, 6, 8]. The situation is even more controversial d = 2 where the predicted t¹ decay yields a logarithm ic singularity which is consistent with simulation data [9], while other works report significative deviations and dimensional crossovers [10].

W hen extending the analysis to the 2d case, one naturally wonders how the possibility of observing critical phases may a ect the anom alous energy conduction. The rst example one may think of is of course the Ising model. It has however been shown that, at least for a speci c choice of the spin dynamics, the latter displays a norm al conductivity at all temperatures [11]. In a more general perspective, this is consistent with the idea the breakdown of momentum conservation removes transport anom alies. Indeed, the eld{theoretic counterpart of the Ising model, the so called ⁴{ theory, acquires an on{site non{linear interaction that breaks translational invariance. A lthough we are not aware of any study of this model in the ordered phase, it is known

that the lattice $4 \{m \text{ odel in the high} \}$ tem perature phase displays a nite conductivity in the therm odynam ic lim it [12].

In this present paper, we present a simulation study of the transport properties of a model of rotators coupled on a square lattice, akin to the celebrated XY {model (see e.g. [13] and references therein for a comprehensive review). As it is well known, the latter is characterized by the presence of the so called K osterlitz-T houless-B erezinskii (KTB) phase transition at nite temperature between a disordered high {temperature phase and a low {temperature one, where vortexes condensate.

As recalled above, the fact the momentum (actually the angular momentum) is a constant of motion, makes this model a candidate for observing anomalous behavior. On the other hand, its 1d version is the only known exception to this requirement and displays normal trasport, due to the presence of \dynamical defects" in the form of localized rotations that act as scattering centers for the heat carriers [14]. On the basis of this observation it is extremely interesting to investigate if and how the vortices play a similar role in the 2d case.

Before entering the details of the present work, it is important to mention that some evidence of the role of the vortex unbinding on the transport properties of the (modi ed) XY (model have been reported in Ref. [15]. Nonetheless, those results are mainly qualitative and we are thus motivated to undertake a more detailed analysis.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the model and its microcanonical simulation. In Section 3 we recall the technique we used to investigate the non-equilibrium stationary state. The outcomes of numerical simulations for the disordered and critical phases are reported in Sections 4 and 5, respectively. Finally, we summarize and discuss our results in Section 6.

2. Ham iltonian dynam ics of the XY model

The XY or planar rotator m odel consists of a set of classical \spins" S_r of unit length con ned in a plane, whose orientation is specified by the angle r, with r = (i; j) being an integer vector labelling the sites of a square lattice of size $N = N_x$ N_y . It is known that this model does not admit equations of motion and therefore its canonical dynamics is usually simulated either by M onte-C arlo methods [16, 17] or by Langevin type equations [18, 19]. M icrocanonical approaches consist instead, either in considering a three component spin model [20] or into adding a kinetic energy term [21]. The latter method, which we follow in the present work, can be also generalized to other physical systems (see e.g. the application to lattice gauge theories [22]). All these different dynamics should display the same static properties, as it has been verified up to some extent [21, 23]. We thus consider the Ham iltonian

$$H = \frac{X}{r} \frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2} + \frac{X}{hr_{r}r_{0i}^{0}} [1 \cos(r_{0} r)]; \qquad (2)$$

where $p_r = r$ is the angular momentum of the rotator. The sum ranges over the four nearest neighbors of site r, namely $r^0 = r \ x$ and $r^0 = r \ y$ where x and y are the unit vectors parallel to the lattice axis. It could be shown that (2) is obtained as the classical limit of a quantum H eisenberg H am iltonian with an anisotropy term $r_r^P (S_r^z)^2$, using the representation introduced in Ref. [24]. We have set both the inertia of the rotators and the ferrom agnetic coupling constant to unity so that the only physical control parameter is the energy per spin e = H = N. A ctually, there is a second constant of the motion, the total angular momentum $P = r_r^P p_r$, whose choice a ects the results in a trivial way. In the numerical simulations we set P = 0 to avoid global ballistic rotation.

A previous study of the static properties of (2) [23] showed that the system undergoes a KTB transition [13] at $e = e_{KTB}$ 1.0, corresponding to a kinetic temperature T_{KTB} 0.89, which is in agreement with the value 0.894(5) obtained in the canonical ensemble [17]. One of the most striking features of the XY model is the presence of strong nite-size e ects [25], e.g. the existence of a sizable magnetization for large samples, despite the fact that long-range order cannot occur for the in nite system. This has also some consequence on the dynamical correlation of the nite(size magnetization [26].

In the framework of linear-response theory, heat transport properties can be analyzed by computing the autocorrelation function (or, equivalently, the power spectrum) of the total heat current vector J at equilibrium. We thus need a microscopic expression that can be worked out by the procedure followed for other similar models [4]. In brief, it amounts to writing down a discretized continuity equation and, by means of the equation of motion, identify the proper expression of the local ux in terms of the canonical variables ($_{r}; p_{r}$). For model (2), $J = (J^{x}; J^{y})$ can be written as a sum over all lattice sites

$$J^{x} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{x} \sin(r_{r+\hat{x}} - r) \frac{h}{r+\hat{x}} + \frac{i}{r}$$
(3)

$$J^{y} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{X} \sin(r_{r+\hat{y}} - r) \frac{h}{\bar{r}+\hat{y}} + \frac{i}{\bar{r}}$$
(4)

This latter expression is the correct one in the microcanonical ensemble with P = 0. Incidentally, notice that a suitable counterterm should be subtracted out if one wishes to work in a dimensional ensemble [27].

The numerical integration of the equations of motion (with periodic boundary conditions) is performed using the fourth-order M cLahlan-A tela algorithm [28], which is an explicit scheme constructed from a suitable truncation of the evolution operator that preserves the H am iltonian structure. One of the major merits of sym plectic algorithms is that the error on the energy does not increase with the length of the nun. The chosen time step (0.01-0.05 in our units) ensures that in every simulation energy uctuates around the prescribed value with a relative accuracy below 10⁵.

As mentioned above, the main quantity of interest is the ux autocorrelation function hJ(t) J(0) i. For num erical purposes, we nd more convenient to evaluate the power spectra S(f), i.e. the squared modulus of the Fourier transform of each component

of J, averaged over a set of di erent initial conditions. These initial conditions were chosen by letting $_{r} = 0$ and drawing the $_{\overline{r}} = 0$ at random from a G aussian distribution with zero average and unit variance. The momenta are then all rescaled by a suitable factor to yield the desired value of the total energy. A transient is elapsed in order to start the averaging from a more generic phase{space point.

3. N on {equilibrium simulations

The non {equilibrium simulations have been performed by coupling all the rotators on the left and right edges of the lattice with two thermal baths operating at di erent temperatures T_+ and T. Periodic and xed boundary conditions have been adopted in the direction perpendicular (y) and parallel (x) to the thermal gradient, respectively. Thermal baths have been simulated by applying the Nose-H oover method:

$$r = \frac{QV}{Q_{0}r} - r \left[j^{+} i; 1 + j i; N \right]$$

$$\frac{+}{j} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{r}} \left[0 \frac{2}{T; j} - 1 \right]$$

$$\frac{+}{j} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{r}} \left[0 \frac{2}{R_{B}} T_{+} - 1 \right]$$

$$\frac{-}{j} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{r}} \left[0 \frac{2}{R_{B}} T_{+} - 1 \right]$$

$$\frac{-}{j} = \frac{1}{\frac{2}{r}} \left[0 \frac{2}{R_{B}} T_{+} - 1 \right]$$
(5)

Here, V is the potential associated with (2), are the therm ostats' response times, and is the usual K ronecker symbol. Notice that each rotator is therm ostatted independently and, accordingly, the Nose-Hoover variables are vectors of length N_y . For computational purposes, simulations have been performed by xing the aspect ratio $R = N_y = N_x < 1$. The choice of R results from a trade{o between m inimizing the number of rotators (R sm all) and dealing with a genuinely 2d lattice (R 1). Indeed, too sm all values of R would require considering larger system sizes to clearly observe 2d features. For sm all lattices, we checked that the results are alm ost independent of the ratios employed hereby.

Since we are interested in the average values of the ux we have to check that the non {equilibrium stationary state is indeed attained. To this aim, we monitored that the average uxes towards the boundaries

$$J^{+} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ j \\ -1; j \end{array}$$

$$J = \begin{array}{c} X \\ j \\ -1; j \end{array}$$

$$J = \begin{array}{c} X \\ j \\ -1; j \end{array}$$

$$J = \begin{array}{c} X \\ j \\ -1; j \end{array}$$

$$(6)$$

were equal to the ux in the bulk, namely $\overline{J} = \overline{J^x}$ (the overline denotes a time average henceforth).

As observed before [4], the choice of the therm ostat response times is crucial to the time needed to reach the stationary state, and to control the values of therm al resistance at the boundaries. In order to fasten the convergence, the initial conditions have been generated by therm ostatting each particle to yield a linear tem perature pro le along the x direction. This method is very e cient, especially for large lattices, when therm alization within the bulk may be signi cantly slow.

Once the steady non{equilibrium state is attained, the relevant observables are accumulated and averaged in time. In particular we evaluated the local kinetic temperature $k_B T_r = \frac{2}{r}$ and the average energy uxes $\overline{J^x}$ and $\overline{J^y}$, as de ned by formulae (4), as well as the average uxes towards the reservoirs \overline{J} . For obvious simmetry reasons, we expect (and indeed found) the $\overline{J^y}$ vanishes up to the statistical accuracy. Moreover, T_r depends only on the location along the x axis, and a further average is performed along y. Once the the average ux is computed, we evaluated the thermal conductivity coe cient from Fourier's law as


$$(N_{x}) = \frac{\overline{J^{x}}}{j_{r}T j} \cdot \frac{\overline{J^{x}}N_{x}}{T_{+}T}$$
(7)

The last equality is only approximate, since the actual them all gradient within the lattice is usually smaller than $(T_+ T_-)=N_x$, due to boundary resistance e ects [4]. In other words, the coe cient evaluated in this way should be regarded as an e ective conductivity including both boundary and bulk scattering. As we are going to show in the next section the rescaled stationary temperature proles, obtained for di erent values of N_x are such that the temperature gradient actually scales like N_x⁻¹. Therefore, de nition (7) yields the same scaling behavior of the bulk conductivity.


4. The disordered phase

Let us start discussing the case of high energies or temperatures where the system is away from criticality. In the non{equilibrium simulations we xed $T_{+} = 1.5$ and T = 1.4 which are both well above the KTB transition temperature. Both response times of the Nose{Hoover therm ostats have been set to the same value, = 1.0. In fact, we have found empirically that such a choice minimizes boundary impedance e ects, thus allowing for larger values of the heat ux. In order to improve the statistics, the temperature proles and the measures of the heat ux have been averaged over 16 independent initial conditions. Numerical simulations have been performed by xing the value of the apsect{ratio to R = 1=2 and by increasing N_x up to 140. Some of the temperature proles are reported in Fig. 1. They all exhibit a linear shape, which testi es to the expected temperature prole when Fourier's law holds. In Fig. 2 we show that the therm al conductivity, as de ned by (7), is independent of N_x , with uctuations around the average value, extending up to som e 10 %.

These results have been compared with those obtained from equilibrium simulations. The value of the energy density has been chosen e = 2. Actually, this value corresponds approximately to the average temperature, $(T_+ + T_-)=2$, of the above mentioned non {equilibrium simulations. We want to point out that, for very large values of e, the kinetic energy dominates over the potential one and the system approaches the integrable limit of independent free rotators. A coordingly, in this limit the lattice is expected to behave as a perfect insulator, since the time scale for transmitting any




F igure 1. The temperature probe in the high {temperature phase for di erent values of N_x, which have been rescaled to the unit length. The response times of the Nose{ Hoover therm ostats are = 1.0, which guarantee negligible boundary impedance e ects.



F igure 2. Finite-size conductivity in the disordered phase. Nose{H oover therm ostat with response times xed to 1.0; Each point results from an average of 16 independent runs of about 10^6 time units each. The error bars are the error on the m ean and the horizontal line is the average of all the m easured values, = 0.24.

energy uctuation diverges. In this respect, the choice e = 2 is appropriate, also because one can observe convergence of the quantities of interest over reasonable simulation times (typically, 10^6 time units). In Fig. 3 we show the heat ux spectra: they are independent of the lattice sizes and tend to a constant for sm all frequencies. This in a clear con mation that no long{time tail is detectable and the thermal conductivity is a well{de ned quantit in the thermodynamic limit. It should be remarked that the lineshape of these spectra cannot be tted by a simple Lorenzian. This im plies that in the high {tem perture phase the decay of tim e{correlations cannot be reduced to a simple exponential law .




F igure 3. Power spectra of the heat current in the disordered phase for three di erent lattice sizes, $N = 8^2; 16^2; 32^2$. Data are averaged over 200 random initial conditions. In order to minimize statistical uctuations, a further averaging of the data over contiguous frequency intervals has been performed. The three spectra actually almost overlap: this is why we have presented them after a vertical arbitary shift in order to better distinguish one from each other.

5. The critical phase

A more interesting situation appears in the low {tem perature phase. For what concerns the non{equilibrium calculations, we have xed the therm ostats' tem peratures T to be well below the KTB transition value. At variance with the high-tem perature phase, here the values of the response time of the Nose{Hoover therm ostats have to be properly tuned in order to m inim ize boundary in pedence e ects. In particular, we have determ ined em pirically the values $_{+} = 2.0$ and $_{-} = 6.0$. Such di erent values, between them selves and also with respect to the high {tem perature phase, indicate that uctuations have to be slowed-down signi cantly in order to cope with the typical time scales of the dynam ics. M oreover, we have perform ed the sam e statistical averaging as in the high {tem perature case.

In Fig. 4 we show the temperature proles obtained for $T_+ = 0.5$; T = 0.4 and dimensional dimensional dimensional data of N_x. They exhibit a good data collapse when N_x is rescaled to the unit length. This con must hat also in the low {temperature phase the therm algorithm.

scales like the inverse of the system size, rT (T_+ T)= N_x . On the other hand, the tem perature prole has assumed the typical non{linear shape, which testiles to anom abus therm al conductivity. Moreover, this shape is similar to the tem perature proles of the one and two{dimensionalFermi{Pasta{U lam model [4].



F igure 4. The tem perature prole in the low {tem perature phase for di erent values of N_x, which have been rescaled to the unit length. The response times of the Nose{Hoover therm ostats are $_{+} = 2.0$ and $_{-} = 6.0$, which m in in ize boundary in pedence e ects.

The nite-size thermal conductivity as a function of the longitudinal size N_x is reported in Fig. 5 for three di erent values of the boundary temperatures (with T_+ T kept xed to 0.1). As expected, increasing T the conductivity decrease. More importantly, for xed temperatures, the data all exhibit a system atic increase with N_x . In analogy with what found in the 2d Ferm iPasta-U lam model [9], the data for lower temperatures (curves (a) and (b)) can be well tted by a logarithm ic law

$$(N_x) = C_1 + C_2 \ln N_x$$
 (8)

A ctually, a closer inspection of data set (c) reveals that the logarithm ic t is rather poor. In view also of the limited size range we have been able to explore, a convincing estimate of the growth law is unfeasible with the data at hand. This is presumably due to the fact that approaching T_{KTB} requires longer times and sizes. In fact, we have observed that convergence of the averages considerably slows down in this region (about a factor 5 in passing from simulation (b) to (c)). The elect may be caused by slow therm alization of vortex pairs. Indeed, in this temperature region the vorticity starts to become sizeable [23] and a slower kinetics as well as relevant correction to scaling may thus be expected.

Following the analysis performed for the high {temperature phase, we have performed also microcanonical simulations, with periodic boundary conditions imposed in both lattice directions. We only considered the energy density e = 0.5 which roughly corresponds to T = 0.45.




Figure 5. The thermal conductivity versus N_x in the low {tem perature phase for $T = 0.3; T_+ = 0.4$ (a), $T = 0.4; T_+ = 0.5$ (b) and $T = 0.6; T_+ = 0.7$ (c). Each point is the result of an average over 16 independent initial conditions, each one lasting for 10^6 time units. The error bars are of the order of the symbol's size. The solid lines are a best{ twith a logarithm ic law, Eq. (8).

In Fig. 6, we report the power spectra of the heat ux for di erent lattice sizes. For large frequencies ($f > f_c = 10^{-3}$) we have a f⁻² behaviour which suggests a fast (exponential) decay of the correlation at short times. The crossover frequency f_c should be related to some typical time (scale for the hydrodynamic elects to set in. In the low (frequency limit, $f < f_c$, the data are consistent with a logarithm ic singularity of the type (see the inset of Fig. 6)

$$S(f) = A + B h f$$
(9)

which, in turn, corresponds a t 1 tail of the autocorrelation function. According to the argument exposed below Eq. (1), this would yield the logarithm ic divergence (see Eq.(8)). A logether, we conclude that the equilibrium and nonequilibrium aproaches yield the same divergent behaviour.

It must be admitted that the tting of the low {frequency part with formula (9) is convincing only for the larger sizes. A ctually, a power-law t S(f) / f $^{0:4}$ is also compatible with the data obtained for smaller N values. On the other hand, this estimate cannot be taken seriously for a twofold reason. First of all, it may be easily attributed to nite-size e ects. Moreover, it would imply a power{law divergent conductivity which, in turn, would be inconsistent with the non{equilibrium data (see again curve (b) in Fig. 5).

In view of the above fact, one may wonder why equilibrium simulations should be much more sensitive to nite-size e ects than nonequilibrium ones. A reasonable




Figure 6. Power spectra of the heat current in the low (tem perature phase (e = 0.5). for four di erent lattice sizes N = 16^2 ; 32^2 ; 64^2 ; 120^2 . Data are averaged over 400 random initial conditions. In order to minimize statistical uctuations, a further averaging of the data over contiguous frequency intervals has been performed. The spectra are presented after a vertical arbitrary shift to better distinguish one from each other. The inset is an enlargement of the low (frequency region in log(lin scale.

qualitative explanation goes as follows. At low energies, where the isolated system is alm ost harm onic, it is very unlikely that a rotator turns from small oscillations to fast rotations. Moreover, for a small size system this process is even more unlikely as it demands a su ciently large local energy uctuation. Since this is the main scattering mechanism, one should wait for very long simulations before recovering the true asymptotic regime of energy transport. Conversely, in nonequilibrium simulations therm all baths act as external sources of uctuations. These may favour the creation of the nonlinear excitations, thus shortening the time scale needed for the elects of the scattering process to be appreciated.

6. Concluding rem arks

We have found num erical evidence that transport properties of the XY model on a nite lattice are drastically di erent in the high {tem perature and in the low {tem perature phases. In particular, therm al conductivity is nite in the form er case, while in the latter it does not converge up to lattice sizes of order 10^4 . In the region where vorticity is negligible (T < 0.5) the available data suggest a logarithm ic divergence with the system size analogous to the one observed for coupled oscillators [9]. C lose to $T_{K BT}$, where a sizeable density of bounded vortex pairs are therm ally excited, our data still suggest a divergence, whose law we cannot reliable estimate.

We want to point out that these results have been obtained consistently for

equilibrium and nonequilibrium simulations. The equivalence between these approaches is not granted a priori. On the other hand, this property has been veried form any other similar models [4], whose dynamics is of Hamiltonian type. In this respect, di erent choices of the dynamics (e.g. Monte{Carlo) may not necessarily lead to the sam e conclusion.

This is a very important and interesting physical result: it indicates that in the low {tem perature phase som e m aterials or states of m atter, m ay behave as anom alously e cient heat conductors. For instance, as a direct consequence of the studies perform ed in this paper on the 2d X Y m odel, liquid H elium Im s should be included in this class of m aterials. A n experim ental test con Im ing the prediction of the logarithm ic divergence of the heat conductivity with the system size would be highly appropriate and welcom e.

A complete hydrodynamic theory of the 2d XY model could certainly help in clarifying many of the aspects that our numerical approach cannot fully assess. For instance, an approach based on spin {waves proved out to be bee ective in evaluating the dynam ical correlations of the low tem perature phase [29]. On the other hand, estimates of the energy {current correlators may be technically more di cult. Indeed, the heat ux is a constant to leading order and, accordingly, one has to account for higher{order terms for the theory to make any sense. In this respect the calculations should be conceptually equivalent to estimating spin {waves lifetimes [30]. It is not how ever clear how to include the e ects of vortices and nite{size magnetization in this fram ework. The hydrodynamics in the high {tem perature phase presumably should go through less technical troubles, although the construction of, say, a large{deviation functional is far from trivial. Anyway, an e ort in this direction is in our future agenda.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work is supported by the INFM PAIS project Transport phenomena in bwdimensional structures and is part of the PRIN 2003 project Order and chaos in nonlinear extended systems funded by MIUR-Italy. Part of the numerical simulation were performed at CINECA supercomputing facility through the INFM Iniziativa trasversale \Calcob Paralleb" entitled Simulating energy transport in bw-dimensional systems.

References

- [1] Pomeau Y and Resibois R, 1975 Phys. Rep. 63 19
- [2] Kirkpatrick TR, Belitz D and Sengers JV, 2002 J. Stat. Phys. 109, 373
- [3] LepriS, LiviR and PolitiA, 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 1896
- [4] LepriS, LiviR and PolitiA, 2003 Phys. Rep. 377 1
- [5] Narayan O and Ram aswam y S, 2002 Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 200601
- [6] LepriS, LiviR and PolitiA, 2003 Phys. Rev. E 68 067102
- [7] DharA, 2001 Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 3554;
- [8] CasatiG and Prosen T, 2003 Phys. Rev. E 67, 015203
- [9] LippiA and LiviR, 2000 J. Stat. Phys. 100, 1147
- [10] Grassberger P and Yang L, unpublished [cond-m at/0204247]

Transport in the Ham iltonian XY-m odel

- [11] Saito K, Takesue S and M iyashita S, 1999 Phys. Rev. E 59 2783
- [12] Hu B, LiB and Zhao H, 2000 Phys. Rev. E 61 3828; AokiK and Kusnezov D, 2000 Phys. Lett. A 265 250
- [13] GulacsiZ and GulacsiM, 1998 Adv. Phys. 47 1
- [14] Giardina C et al, 2000 Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 2144; Gendelm ann O V, and Savin A V, 2000 ibid. 84 2381
- [15] Dellago C and Posch H A, 1997 Physica A 237 95.
- [16] Tobochnik J and Chester G V, 1979 Phys. Rev. B 20 3761
- [17] Gupta R and Baille C F, 1992 Phys. Rev. B 45 2883
- [18] Loft R and DeG rand T A, 1987 Phys. Rev. B 35 1987
- [19] Jensen L M, Kim B J and Minnhagen P, 2000 Phys. Rev. B 61 15412
- [20] Evertz H G and Landau D P, 1996 Phys. Rev. B 54 12302
- [21] Kogut J and Polonyi J, 1986 Nucl. Phys. B [S15] 265 313
- [22] Callaway D J E and Rahm an A, 1982 Phys. Rev. Lett. 49 613
- [23] LeonciniX, Verga A D and Ru o S, 1998 Phys. Rev. E 57 6377
- [24] J.Villain, 1974 J.Phys. (Paris) 35 27
- [25] Bram wellS T and Holdsworth P C W , 1993 J. Phys. Condens. M atter 5 L53
- [26] LepriS and Ru o S, 2001 Europhys. Lett. 55 512
- [27] G reen M S, 1960 P hys. Rev. 119, 829
- [28] M cLachlan P I and Atela P, 1992 Nonlinearity 5 541
- [29] Nelson D R and Fisher D S, 1977 Phys. Rev. B 16 4945
- [30] W ysin G M, Gouvea M E, and Pires A S T, 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 11585

