# G eom etrically constrained statistical system s on regular and random lattioes: From folding to $m$ eanders 

P.D iFrancesconín E. G uitterin<br>Service de Physique Theorique, CEA /D SM /SP hT<br>Unite de recherche associee au CNRS<br>CEA/Saclay<br>91191 G if sur Y vette C edex, France


#### Abstract

W e review a number a recent advances in the study of two-dim ensional statistical m odels $w$ th strong geom etrical constraints. T hese include folding problem s of regular and random lattices as well as the fam ous $m$ eander problem of enum erating the topologically inequivalent con gurations of a $m$ eandering road crossing a straight river through a given num ber of bridges. A ll these problem s tum out to have reform ulations in term s of fully packed loop models allow ing for a uni ed C oulom b gas description of their statistical properties. A num ber of exact results and physically m otivated con jectures are presented in detail, including the rem arkable $m$ eander con guration exponent $=\left(29+{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{145}\right)=12$.
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## IN TRODUCTION

The physics of folding encom passes a wide variety of topics ranging from biology to pure $m$ athem atics. Folding problem $s$ arise for instance in the study of protein confor-
 di erent context, the physics of paper folding also displays interesting phenom ena, in connection w the $m$ echanical and statistical properties of thin elastic plates or $m$ em branes [3], $]$. At a m ore abstract level, the very description of the folding degrees of freedom of a surface gives rise to very interesting statisticalm odels and quite involved com binatorial problem s.

In this w ide sub ject, we choose to concentrate on this last type ofquestions by focusing on statisticalm odels of discrete lattice folding and em phasizing the crucial role played by geom etrical constraints on the statistics of large folded ob jects. M ore precisely, we will consider discrete $m$ odels of \solid" or \uid" $m$ em branes in the form of folding problem $s$ for respectively xed and random lattices. An exam ple of xed lattice is a piece of the tw odim ensional triangular lattioe, view ed as a regular collection of rigid equilateral triangles whose edges $m$ ay serve as hinges between neighboring triangles. A $n$ exam ple of random lattice is a triangu lation $m$ ade of the sam e triangles arranged into a possibly irregular and uctuating surface tessellation, allow ing for curvature defects ( $m$ ore or less than 6 triangles around a node) or topological defects (tessellations of a surface w ith handles).

O ur rst task $w i l l$ be to characterize the folding degrees of freedom in these $m$ odels. This happens to be an extrem ely di cult problem in the presence of self-avoidance. For this reason, we shall rst consider the much sim pler \phantom " folding problem in which the $m$ em brane is allowed to interpenetrate itself. A rst description uses local norm al vectors as e ective spin variables sub ject to various geom etrical constraints. A though local, these constraints induce a non-localbehavior w ith propagating creases, resulting in a rich phase diagram even for regular lattioes.

A second and m ore pow erful description uses loop con gurations on the lattioe and allow s to view folding as a particular instance of the $m$ ore general problem of the \fully packed" loop gas, that is a set of non-intersecting loops visiting all nodes of the lattice. $T$ his approach, com bined with eld-theoretical techniques, allow s for a num ber of predictions on the statistics of large folded lattices. For regular lattices, these predictions rely either on exact solutions via B ethe A nsatz (integrable case) or on e ective eld-theoretical descriptions via C oulom b gas.

In the case of random lattioes, the loop gas interpretation leads in particular to precise predictions for various con gurational exponents characterizing the asym ptotics of the num ber of allow ed folding or loop con gurations. These predictions $m$ ake extensive use of interpretations of the folding con gurations in term s of discrete tw o-dim ensional quantum gravity, nam ely statisticalm odels de ned on dynam ical tessellations of surfaces. At large scales, these $m$ odels are described by conform al eld theories coupled to two-dim ensional quantum gravity, and the con guration exponents are obtained from a proper identi cation of the central charge of the conform al eld theory at hand. A ltematively, the discrete m odels of folding m ay be represented via diagram $m$ atic expansions of $m$ atrix integrals, allow ing for a num ber of direct com putations.

Retuming to the crucial question of self-avoidance, we will concentrate on the onedim ensional self-avoiding folding problem, also known as the \stam p folding problem ". $T$ his problem happens to be equivalent to a fam ous com binatorial problem, the $m$ eander problem which $m$ ay be stated as follow $s$ : \enum erate all topologically inequivalent congurations of a non-intersecting circuit crossing a straight river through a given num ber of bridges" . Rem arkably, in its $m$ eander form ulation, the one-dim ensional self-avoiding folding problem is described by a two-dim ensional gas of fully padked loops on a random lattioe, hence belongs to the class of tw o-dim ensional phantom foldings of random lattioes. A rem arkable outcom $e$ of our study is the predicted value for the $m$ eander con guration exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(29+\mathrm{P} \overline{145})=12 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which govems the large $n$ asym ptotics of the num ber of $m$ eanders $w$ th $2 n$ bridges / $\mathrm{R}^{2 \mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n}$.
$T$ his review is based $m$ ainly on a series of articles by the authors tintin $m$ ay be found in

PARTA:FOLD INGOFREGULAR LATTICES

This part is devoted to the study of regular lattice folding in the absence of selfavoidance (phantom folding). Such foldings w ere considered for instance as discrete m odels for polym ers (1D ) or for \tethered" $m$ em branes (2D ), equipped w ith an underlying regular elastic skeleton, such as crystalline or polym erized m em branes $[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} 12 \overline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$. O ur task is to rst identify the folding degrees of freedom and to nally derive explicit statistical properties of large folded ob jects in the presence of bending rigidity.

## 1. P hantom folding problem $s$

In all generality, we m ay de ne the phantom folding of a D -dim ensional lattioe in a d-dim ensional target space w th d D as follow s. V iew ing the lattice as a sim plicial com plex $w$ ith nodes, links, faces, ... $D$-cells, a folding is a $m$ ap from the lattige to $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ such that its restriction to each $D$-cell is an isom etry, i.e. preserves the distance betw een any pair of points w ithin the cell. T he shapes of the D-cells are therefore preserved in a folding, but the relative position of tw o adjacent $D$-cells of the lattice $m$ ay change as long as they rem ain adjacent to ensure a unique position of their com $m$ on (D 1)-cell. In other words, the (D 1)-cell serves as a \hinge" betw een them. Such a folding is phantom in the sense that we allow cells to interpenetrate one-another, as well as distinct points of the lattice to occupy the sam e target position. A phantom folding is therefore de ned by the corresponding folded con guration, w thout reference to an actual folding process which would continuously deform the original lattioe. A ll the foldings considered in parts A C below will be phantom. M oreover, we will restrict ourselves to the cases $D=1$, $d$ arbitrary or $D=2$ and $d=2$ or 3 . W e are $m$ ainly interested in the statistical properties of folded con gurations of large portions of lattices for various ensem bles corresponding to possibly restricted target spaces w ithin $R^{d}$. For instance, we $m$ ay consider continuous foldings in which folding \angles" betw een adjacent D -cells vary continuously w ith speci c distributions, or discrete foldings in which these angles only take nitely many values. For a good choice of these discrete angles, this sim ply corresponds to discretizing the target space into a d-dim ensional lattige.

continuous

discrete

Fig.1: Folding of a segm ent of 1D lattice in either continuous or discrete target space. The associated bending energy takes the form $K$ cos for each pair of consecutive links w ith relative angle. A $n$ extemal force $F \mathrm{~m}$ ay also be exerted at the ends.

### 1.1. Folding: som e trivial exam ples

In this Section, we review two particularly sim ple folding problem $s$, nam ely that of a 1D lattice in any dim ension, and that of the 2D square lattioe in $d=2$.

1D folding
A s a prelim inary exercise, let us study the case D $=1$ of the phantom folding of a segm ent of length, say $L$ of the lattice of relative integers $\mathbb{Z} . V$ iew ing th is segm ent of lattioe as $m$ ade of a chain of $L+1$ nodes connected by L oriented links of unit length, a folding in $R^{d}$ is entirely characterized, up to a global translation, by the sequence $\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}_{1}$ i L of \tangent" vectors, all of unit length, which are the im ages of the links after folding, them selves connected into a possibly overlapping chain. W e decide to attach to each folded con guration a weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{ft}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}\right) \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{f} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~g}\right)\right) \tag{1:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the energy of the con guration reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(f t_{i} g\right)=K_{i=1}^{X^{1}} t_{i} \quad \text { i+1 } \quad F{ }_{i=1}^{X^{L}} t_{i} \tag{1:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to a \bending" energy with bending rigidity K and an extemal force F between the extrem ities of the chain. Wemay consider several statistical ensem bles for the $t_{i}$ 's. $N$ atural choiges are (see Fig.1):
(a) A continuum equidistributed set of unit vectors $t_{i}$ in $R^{d}$.
(b) A discrete equidistributed set with $t_{i} 2 f e_{1} ; e_{2} ; \quad \dot{d} g \in$ here $f e_{i} g_{1} i d$ is the canonical basis of $R^{d}$. This choice altematively am ounts to requiring that the nodes of the chain have their folded im ages on that of a d-dim ensional hypercubic lattice.

Let us start with $d=1$, in which case only the discrete ensemble (b) above $m$ akes sense. The m odelw ith tangent vectors $t_{i}=1$ and weights $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right.$ 1D Ising $m$ odel $w$ ith spin coupling $K$ and $m$ agnetic eld $F$. The partition function on a segm ent of length $L$ and w th free boundary conditions reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{L}(K ; F)= & \cosh F+\frac{e^{K}+e^{K} \sinh ^{2}(F)}{}\left(e^{K} \cosh (F)+\right)^{L} 1 \\
& +\cosh F \quad \frac{e^{K}+e^{K} \sinh ^{2}(F)}{}\left(e^{K} \cosh (F) \quad\right)^{L} 1 \tag{1:3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $=\frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{~K}}+\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{~K}} \sinh ^{2}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}\right)} . \mathrm{W}$ e $m$ ay de ne the order param eter M as the average orientation of the links through $M=(1=L)^{P}{ }_{i} t_{i}$, which is nothing but the $m$ agnetization in the Ising language, with expectation value $\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{hM} i=(1=\mathrm{L}) @_{\mathrm{F}} \log \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathbb{K} ; \mathrm{F})$. For large $L$ and small $F$, we easily get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} \quad e^{2 \mathrm{~K}} \mathrm{~F} \tag{1:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we recover the well known (1D Ising) result that there is no spontaneous orientation, i.e. $M=0$ for F ! 0 for all nite values of $K$, expressing that the chain is alw ays \crum pled" in the absence of extemal force. Still a \attening" transition occurs at $K=+1$ at which $M=\operatorname{sign}(F)=1$ for $F$ ! 0 , corresponding to a fiully extended chain.

This result is qualitatively the sam e for all target dim ensions d. For instance, if the target space is the d-dim ensional hypercubic lattioe (case (b) above), we get an average orientation $M$ in the direction of the force $F$ w ith expectation value:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{M} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~d}} 1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{K}} 1+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{K}}\right) \mathrm{F} \tag{1:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for sm all F , which displays the sam e attening transition at $\mathrm{K}=+1$.

Sim ilarly, in the continuous case (a) above, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad \frac{1+g(K)}{d(1 \quad g(K))} F \tag{1:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(K)=@_{K} \log \left({ }^{R} d^{d} \operatorname{texp}(\mathbb{K} \quad e)\right)$ for any xed unit vector e. For instance, when $\mathrm{d}=3$, we have $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{K})=$ coth $(\mathrm{K}) \quad 1=\mathrm{K}$. As $g(K)$ tends to 1 only at $K=+1$, we nd again a attening transition at $K=+1$.

## Folding of the square lattioe in $d=2$



Fig. 2 : T he 2d phantom folding of a piece of square lattioe factorizes into the tw o 1D foldings of its south and west boundaries.

A nother particularly sim ple exam ple is that ofphantom folding of a rectangular piece, say of size $\mathrm{L}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{2}$ of the 2 D square lattice into a plane target. The im age of each square œell is a unit square in the plane, entirely speci ed by the data of the two unit and orthogonal \tangent" vectors $t^{(1)}$ and $t^{(2)}$, im ages of the south and west boundary links of the cell, pointing from their com $m$ on origin ( SW node). It is easily seen that preserving the shape and connectivity of the square cells im plies that, up to a global rotation, $t^{(1)} m$ ay take only the two values, say $e_{1} w h i l e t^{(2)}=e_{2}$, and that $m$ oreover the value oft ${ }^{(1)}$ (resp. $t^{(2)}$ ) is the sam efor all south (horizontal) oriented links in a colum $n$ (resp. all west - vertical - oriented links in a row ). In other w ords, the folding constraints im pose that the creases $m$ ust propagate along straight lines all the way across the lattice. Each folded state is therefore entirely determ ined by the south (resp. west) 1D tangent vectors along the southem (resp. westem) border of the $L_{1} \quad L_{2}$ rectangle, nam ely by two independent sets of Ising spins $f t_{i}^{(1)} g_{1} \quad i \quad L_{1}$ and $f t_{j}^{(2)} g_{1} \quad j \quad L_{2}$ (see Fig 2). In particular, the total num ber of folded states is $2^{L_{1}+L_{2}}$, leading to a therm odynam ic entropy per square
of $s=\lim _{L_{1} ; L_{2}!} 1=\left(L_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right) \log \left(2^{L_{1}+L_{2}}\right)=0 . T$ he above factorization also allow $s$ to w rite the bending energy of the entire rectangle in term $s$ of the spins as

As in the 1D case, we m ay also introduce a sym m etry breaking eld $F$ coupled to the \orientation" of the folded state. For all 2D folding problem s and for $d=2$ or 3 , it is convenient to couple $F$ to the projected area on a given plane of the target space $\overline{3_{1}}$. For $d=2$, this am ounts to adding an energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{p}=F_{i=1 j=1}^{X_{1}^{\Sigma_{1}} X_{2}} t_{i}^{(1)} t_{j}^{(2)}=F S_{1} S_{2} \tag{1:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{a}=P_{l=1}^{L_{a}} t_{l}^{(a)}$ is the total (algebraic) projected length in the direction $a$. T he partition function $m$ ay be w ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{L_{1} ; L_{2}}(K ; F)=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}_{2}} \quad e^{\mathrm{FS}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}}{\hat{Z_{L_{1}}}}^{\left(\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~L}_{2} ; S_{1}\right) \hat{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}_{1} ; \mathrm{S}_{2}\right)}  \tag{1:9}\\
& \begin{array}{c}
S_{1}=L_{1} \\
S_{1}=L_{1} \bmod 2
\end{array} S_{2}=L_{2} L_{2} \bmod 2
\end{align*}
$$

 to the contribution of total projected length $S$ to the 1D partition function. In the ther$m$ odynam ic lim it, it is convenient to introduce the free energy per square at xed projected lengths $S_{a}={ }_{a} L_{a}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(1 ; 2)=\lim _{\mathrm{L}_{1} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}} \log \left[e^{\mathrm{FL}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}} 1 \quad{ }^{2} \hat{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}_{2} ;{ }_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right) \hat{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_{2}}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}_{1} ; 2 \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)\right] \tag{1:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the total free energy per square

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\lim _{\mathrm{L}_{1} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{2}} \log \left[\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_{1} ; \mathrm{L}_{2}}(\mathrm{~K} ; \mathrm{F})\right]=\min _{1 ; \operatorname{in}_{2}[1 ; 1]} \mathrm{f}(1 ; 2) \tag{1:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For large $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, the e ective couplings $K L_{1}$ and $K L_{2}$ in ( $(\overline{1} \cdot \overline{-1})$ tend to in nity. For positive $K, \hat{Z}_{L_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}_{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{1}={ }_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)$ is then dom inated by two (sym $m$ etric) con gurations
${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that for a 1D chain, the coupling to the \pro jected length" on a given line is equivalent to $a$ force exerted at the ends of the chain in the direction of this line.


Fig.3: A typicalcon guration (a) $m$ inim izing or (b) maxim izing the num ber of folds at xed projected length $S_{1}$. In case (a), the number of folds is at $m$ ost one while in case (b), it is given by $L_{1} \quad \$_{1} j \quad s_{1} ; 0$.
with at $m$ ost one fold in the direction 1 (see $F$ ig.3), hence, in the them odynam ic lim it, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1 ; 2)=2 K \quad F_{1} \quad 2 \tag{1:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $m$ inim um of this free energy is attained at $1_{1}=\operatorname{sign}(F){ }_{2}=1$ expressing the com plete atness of the lattice in the therm odynam ic lim it for any F. T he corresponding total free energy reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=2 K \quad F j \tag{1:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For negative $K, \hat{Z}_{\mathrm{L}_{1}}\left(\mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~L}_{2} ; \mathrm{S}_{1}\right)$ is dom inated by the con gurations $w$ hich $m$ axim ize the num ber of folds in the direction 1 (see $F$ ig. 3), hence with exactly $L_{1} \quad j_{1} j \quad s_{1} ; 0$ such folds, contributing by an energy $K\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 j_{1}\end{array}\right)$ and by an entropy 0 in the them odynam ic lim it (the num ber of these con gurations is clearly bounded by $2^{\mathrm{L}_{1}}$ ). This leads to a free energy

$$
f\left({ }_{1} ;_{2}\right)=2 K\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & j_{1} j & j_{2} \tag{1:14}
\end{array}\right) \quad F{ }_{1}
$$

The minim um of this free energy is now attained at $1=2=0$ for $F j<4 K$, and at ${ }_{1}=\operatorname{sign}(F)_{2}=1$ otherw ise, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=m \text { in }(2 K \quad F i 2 K) \tag{1:15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig.4: Phase diagram of the phantom folding of the square lattige in 2d, in the plane ( $K ; F$ ) of bending rigidity $K$ and sym $m$ etry breaking eld $F$. F irst order transition lines (thick lines) separate three regions w th constant average pro jected area per square $M=0,+1$, or 1 . In each of these phases, the lattice is frozen in a unique state, either completely at ( $M=1$ ) or com pletely folded ( $\mathrm{M}=0$ ).

For $K<0$ and in the them odynam ic lim it, the lattioe is therefore totally at for $F j>$ 4 K , and folded for $\mathcal{F} j<4 \mathrm{~K}$. M ore precisely, the folded phase is dom inated by a single con guration $w$ ith $m$ axim alnum ber of folds in both directions, i.e. corresponds to the pure state of the com pletely folded lattice. The transition across the lines $\mathcal{F} j=4 K$ is rst order, as well as that across $\mathrm{F}=0$ for $\mathrm{K}>0$ (see F ig.4). N ote that, as opposed to the 1D case, the attening transition now occurs at $\mathrm{K}=0$.

A though it $m$ ay seem at rst rather pathological, the square lattice planar folding captures a num ber of essential features of regular lattice folding:

- the non-local nature of the creases which propagate throughout the lattice;
- the consequent absence of local excitations of the pure at state;
- the existence of a rst order transition separating a com pletely at phase m ade of this single at state from a crum pled phase.


Fig.5: The classi cation of tw o-dim ensional com pactly foldable lattioes.

### 1.2. C om pactly foldable 2D lattices

The details of folding are strongly lattice dependent. A good criterion for choosing the lattices to be folded is that they give rise to su ciently $m$ any folded con gurations. $T$ his is usually a prerequisite for allow ing interesting (geom etrical) phase transitions. For 2D lattioes, a basic requirem ent is to dem and that the lattioe $m$ ight be com pletely folded onto one of its faces. Such a lattice will be called com pactly foldable. This restricts very strongly the possible lattioes: indeed, the corresponding lattioe m ust have only one type of face, together w ith its nitely $m$ any possible rotations and re ections. This gives rise to a classi cation of the two-dim ensional com pactly foldable lattices: they fall into the four cases depicted in Fig.5, nam ely rectangular, triangular, square-diagonal and doubletriangular.

The proofofthis classi cation goes as follow s ioling Let us concentrate on a node of the lattice. E ach adjacent edge $m$ ay serve as a hinge in the folding of its tw o adjacent faces, hence bisects the wedge $m$ ade by its tw o neighboring edges around the node. M oreover, as the norm alvectors altemate betw een neighboring faces in the com pletely folded state, the num ber of faces adjacent to every node is even, as is the num ber of edges adjacent to any node. E ach node $v$ is therefore the center of regular star of say $2 m_{v}$ edges form ing angles
of $=m_{v}, m_{v} \quad 2$, hence those angles are either right or acute. $T$ he faces are therefore polygonsw ith at m ost fouredges, and they can have four only ifthey are rectangles. This is the case where all $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}=2$, the rectangular lattice. O therw ise, all faces m ust be triangular, w ith right or acute angles. Such a face has angles $=m_{1} ;=m_{2} ;=m_{3}, \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 2, and P
$1=m_{i}=1$. There are only three solutions up to perm utation for ( $m_{1} ; \mathrm{m}_{2} ; \mathrm{m}_{3}$ ) nam ely

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
(3 ; 3 ; 3)! & \text { Triangular } \\
(2 ; 4 ; 4)! & \text { Square } D \text { iagonal }  \tag{1:16}\\
(2 ; 3 ; 6)! & \text { D ouble Triangular }
\end{array}
$$

This com pletes the proof.
It is clear that the folding of the rectangular lattioe is equivalent to that of the square lattice, in particular its 2d folding is trivial as discussed in the previous Section, with a vanishing them odynam ic entropy of folding. A s it tums out, the three other cases share the property of having a non-zero therm odynam ic entropy of folding per face the follow ing Sections, we w ill concentrate on the case of the triangular lattioe, which we will fold both in $d=2$ and 3 .

## 2. Fold ing of the triangu lar lattice

### 2.1. G eneralities

In this Section, we address the question of the folding of the triangular lattice. This is the sim plest non trivial exam ple of regular lattioe folding and it captures all the generic properties of com pactly foldable lattioes. A nother advantage of this $m$ odel is that it bears links to som e exactly solvable integrable model. Very generally, a folded con guration of the triangle lattice will consist in keeping the triangles equilateral of unit side, while the edges serve as hinges betw een neighboring triangles which $m$ ay a priori form anbitrary angles.

In the follow ing, we w ill study tw o particular exam ples of folding:
(a) The planar folding, i.e the case $d=2$.
(b) The folding on the Face C entered Cubic (FCC) lattice corresponding to $d=3$ and suitably de ned folding angles.

The foldings of the triangular lattice $m$ ay be characterized equivalently by link variables, corresponding to tangent vectors, or face variables, corresponding to norm alvectors.


F ig. 6: O rientation of the links of the triangular lattice. The link ( $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) and face $\left(n_{f}\right)$ variables.

## Link variables

W e rst start by orienting all the links of the triangular lattice in a coherent way so that all triangles pointing up are oriented counterclockw ise and all triangles pointing down are oriented clockw ise (see Fig.6). To each oriented link `, we associate its im aget. in the folded con guration. To ensure that any elem entary triangle has for its im age an equilateral triangle of side 1 in $R^{d}$, it is su cient to require that all $t$.'s are unit vectors, and that m oreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{1}+t_{1_{2}}+t_{3}=0 \tag{2:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the three oriented links $1,{ }^{\prime} 2$ and $\overline{3}$ around each triangle.

## Face variables

W e can also describe a folding by face variables by considering the nom al vector to any triangle f . Ford 3 , it reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{f} \quad \frac{p^{2}}{3} t_{1_{1}} \wedge t_{l_{2}} \tag{2:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ' 1 and ${ }_{2}$ are two consecutive oriented links around f and w ith $=+1$ (resp. for triangles pointing up (resp. down) on the lattioe.

It is natural to think of this norm al vector as a spin variable. The introduction of a bending energy am ounts to a spin-like coupling $K$ between norm als of neighboring faces. As we shall see in the follow ing, such energy is responsible for various conform ational transitions.

A s for link variables, the face variables are not independent on each triangle. The six nom al vectors for the six triangles around a node are correlated via a vertex-constraint. A $m$ ore precise form ulation of this constraint will be given in the case (a) of the planar folding, where it leads to an \11-vertex m odel", and in the case (b) of the folding on the FC C lattice, where it leads to a $\backslash 96$-vertex m odel".


Fig.7: The 11 possible folding environm ents of an elem entary hexagon, corresponding (from top to bottom) to : no fold, 1 fold (3 con gurations), 2 folds ( 6 con gurations) or 3 folds. T he pictorial representation on the left is only intended as a guide for the reader and only the nal (phantom) folded state is relevant. T he folds are indicated on the right by thick lines.
2.2. Folding of the triangular lattice in $d=2$ : an 11-vertex $m$ odel

The sim plest case of folding, rst introduced by K antor and Jaric $\underline{[2]} \overline{1}]$, corresponds to the planar folding, i.e. the folding in the plane $R^{2}$. In this case, the angle between tw o neighboring triangles $s^{\overline{4} 4^{\prime}}$ is either 0 (no fold), or 180 (com plete fold). Folding an elem entary hexagon leads to the 11 possible environm ents represented in Fig.7. W e recall that our de nition of phantom folding is lim ited to the im age of the lattice and does not distinguish between the di erent ways of reaching the sam e con guration. O ne can easily convince oneselfthat all folding constraints reduce to those ofF ig. 7 around each elem entary hexagon. T his will.becom e clear below in the language of tangent vectors. $T$ he problem of planar folding is therefore entirely characterized by the local rules of $F$ ig. 7 on elem entary hexagons. A s such, planar folding is an 11-vertex model.

[^1]


Fig.8: The 11 possible spin environm ents (up to a global reversing of the spins) around a node. In all cases, the num ber of +1 (resp. 1) spins is a multiple of 3 .

## Face variables: constrained Ising spins

The norm alvector to each triangle $m$ ay be described by an Ising spin which $m$ ay take only two values $=+1$ or $=1$ according to whether the triangle has been ipped or not in the folding. The folds are nothing but the dom ain walls for the spin variable. The 11 environm ents off ig. 7 correspond to the 11 possible environm ents in term sof spins (up to a global reversal of the spins) indicated in Fig.8. A sim ple characterization of the allowed spin con gurations i.e. $m$ ust be a $m$ ultiple of 3 , or equivalently:

$$
X_{i=1}^{X^{6}} \quad i=0 \quad \bmod 3
$$

for the six spins around each node. O ne easily checks that the num ber of such con gurations is ${ }_{0}^{6}+{ }_{3}^{6}+{ }_{6}^{6}=22=2 \quad 11$ as it should.

## Link-variables: the three-colorm odel

A naturalquestion concems the com putation of the folding entropy which characterizes the exponential grow th of the num ber $Z_{N}$ of possible foldings as a function of the num ber $N$ of triangles (for a nite sub-lattioe of the triangular lattioe). O ne de nes the folding entropy $s$ by ${ }^{\text {'5 }}{ }^{5}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s} \quad \lim _{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~lm}_{+1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \log Z_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \log q: \tag{2:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

5 In this de nition, one expects that the actual shape of the sub-lattice should not $m$ atter as long as the two dim ensions scale in the sam ew ay and provided we take free boundary conditions.


Fig. 9 : Starting from the com pletely folded con guration, wem ay reverse the 6 spins of som e elem entary hexagons (here in grey) and still get an allowed con guration provided the hexagons do not overlap (hard hexagons).

O ne can interpret $q$ as the num ber of degrees of freedom per triangle, w ith clearly, for constrained Ising spins, 1 q 2. The existence of a non-vanishing entropy $s$ (i.e. q > 1) is not a priori obvious as, like in the square lattioe case, there exists no con guration for which the folds are localized. A sim ple way of seeing this is to cut each con guration of Fig. 8 vertically in the $m$ iddle and to notice that whenever a fold is present on the left half of the hexagon, then a fold is also present on the right side. The folds therefore propagate all the way from and to in nity from the left to the right. In other words, despite the locality of the rules of Fig.7, the at con guration (w thout fold) has no localexcitation. N um erically, K antor and Jaric have shown [2]-1] that q $1: 21$ and that there is therefore a non-vanishing folding entropy, as opposed to the square lattice case. This result $m$ ay be understood by starting instead from the com pletely folded state, with a perfect antiferrom agnetic order for the spins. W e m ay then reverse globally all the spins of one single elem entary hexagon and the con guration rem ains adm issible (see Fig.9). This operation $m$ ay be repeated for arbitrarily $m$ any hexagons as long as they do not overlap. There exist therefore local excitations of the com pletely folded con guration, leading to a nonvanishing entropy.

The entropy $m$ ay be com puted exactly in the link-variable picture $[\overline{4}]$. Starting from a given triangle, the vectors $t_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ around this triangle are three unit vectors in $R^{2}$ $w$ ith vanishing sum, therefore of the form $t_{1}=A, t_{2}=B \quad R A$ and $t_{1_{3}}=C \quad R^{2} A=$ $R \quad{ }^{1} A$ where $A$ is a unit vector and $R$ the rotation of angle 120 (or 120 ). O ne can then easily convince oneself that the vectorst, for all the links of the lattioe $m$ ay only take these sam e three values A, B or C, w th m oreover the constraint that the three \colors" A, B


Fig.10: The three allowed values (colors) fort, are $A, B=R A$ and $C=$ $R{ }^{1} A$. The relation between link variables $t_{i}$ and the face variables $i_{i}=1$ around a node reads $t_{i+1}=R{ }^{i} t_{i}$. $T$ he link variable is well de ned if $t_{7}=t_{1}$, that is if $\left(\underline{2}-\mathbf{z}^{3}\right)$ is satis ed.
and C m ust be present once and only once around each triangle [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}\overline{4}\end{array}\right]$. A planar folding of the triangular lattice is therefore equivalent to a triooloring of the links of the lattioe w ith three colors which m ust be distinct around each triangle. T he color sim ply represents the orientation of the link in the folded con guration, am ong three allow ed values.

In this language, the spin of a face tells us about the cyclic order of the colors around this face with $=+1$ (resp. $=1$ ) if the colors are in the order $A, B, C$ (resp. $A, C, B$ ) in counterclockw ise direction. In particular (see Fig.10), the variables $t_{i}, i=1 ; \quad ; 6$ of the links around a node are related to the spins $i_{i}$ by $t_{i+1}=R{ }^{i} t_{i} . A s R^{3}=1$, the link variable is well de ned after one tum around the node if and only if the relation (2.3) is satis ed. In this language, all folding constraints ensuring that the link variable is well


In the dual language, a planar folding therefore corresponds to the coloring of the links of the hexagonal lattice $w$ th three colors, such that no two adjacent links be of the sam e color. The entropy of this three-color problem was obtained by B axter [2]in], and can therefore be reinterpreted as the planar folding entropy per triangle of the triangular lattice, $w$ ith the result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\sum_{n=1}^{\mathrm{q}^{Z}} p \frac{(3 n \quad 1)}{3 n(3 n \quad 2)}=\frac{p \overline{(1=3)}}{(2=3)}=\frac{p \overline{3}}{2} \quad(1=3)^{3=2} \tag{2:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its num erical value $q=1: 208717::$ ( $s=\operatorname{Logq}=0: 189560:::$ ) is in very good agreem ent



F ig.11: P hase diagram for the planar folding in the presence of a bending energy term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K} \cos ()$ and a symmetry breaking eld F . A rst order transition at $K_{c} \quad 0: 1$ separates the crum pled phase where the average projected area $M \quad h i=0$ from a at phase where $M=1$ (or 1 ). This latter phase is frozen in the pure state of the com pletely at surface. $W$ ithin the crum pled phase, a continuous piling-up transition now occurs at $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$
$0: 28$ [ w th $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{st}}^{-1} 0$ 。

We may nally consider the phase diagram obtained in the presence of a bending energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K} \cos (\mathrm{)}$ per link with folding angle $=0$ ( nofold ) or 180 (com plete fold). Like in the square lattioe case, we also introduce a sym $m$ etry breaking eld $F$ conjugate to the projected area ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}$ per face) and which plays the role of a lateral tension applied to the surface. T he resulting phase diagram, depicted in F ig.11, was obtained by


Comparing this result to that of the square lattice ( $F$ ig.4), we note a qualitative resemblance, with three rst order transition lines separating regions w th $\mathrm{M}=0$; 1 . $T$ here are how ever tw o im portant di erences. $F$ irstly, the phase $M=0$ is no longer frozen in a single state due to the existence of elem entary excitations of the fully folded state.

M ore precisely, let us introduce the \piling-up" (staggered) order param eter $M_{\text {st }}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}$ $\mathrm{M} \circ$ ) $=2 \mathrm{i}$ where $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}$ (resp. $\mathrm{M} \circ$ ) is the average pro jected area of the triangles pointing up (resp. dow n) in the originaltriangular lattice. A continuous piling-up transition now occurs at a negative value $K_{p} \quad 0: 28$ above which the cnum pled phase is disordered $\left(M_{s t}=0\right)$ and below which the lattice tends to be piled-up onto a single triangle ( $M_{\text {st }} \in 0$ ). N ote that the transition lines betw een the crum pled and at phases are no longer straight lines. Secondly, the triple point where the three transition lines $m$ eet is at a positive value $K_{c}$ $0: 1$. This show s the existence of a rst order attening or crum pling transition betw een a crum pled phase for $K<K_{c}$ and a completely at phase for $K>K_{c}$ corresponding again to a pure state $w$ thout any fold, w ith $M=1$ (or 1). The existence of such a crum pling transition was also predicted in continuous $m$ odels of tethered $m$ em branes $\underline{201} 1$ rst order nature of the present transition seem s how ever to be due to the discrete (spinlike) nature of the (norm al vector) degrees of freedom. For $K=0$, com paring the energy per triangle $F$ in the at state (no entropy) and the entropy Log $q$ in the folded state (no energy since $M=0$ ), we deduce that the transition lines cross the vertical axis at values $F=\log q \quad 0: 189$. The existence of a strictly positive value of the crum pling transition rigidity is therefore directly linked to the existence of a non-zero entropy of folding. In som e sense, the criticalpoint $\mathrm{K}=0$ of the square lattioe folding has been split into two transition points $K_{p}<0<K_{c}$ (details $m$ ay be found in Refs. [1,

## 2.3. $D$ iscrete folding of the triangular lattice in $d=3$ : a 96-vertex $m$ odel

A second sim ple exam ple of folding of the triangular lattice consists in picking the Face C entered C ubic (FC C ) lattice for target space [ $\overline{-1}]$. The latterm ay be seen as a discretization of $R^{3}$ for which the faces of all the elem entary 2 -cells are equilateral triangles. M ore precisely, the FCC lattice $m$ ay be view ed as a regular piling-up of elem entary octahedra, com pleted by tetrahedra, as indicated in F ig. 12 .

The folding of the triangular lattice on the FC C lattice authorizes four folding angles: 0 (no fold) 180 (com plete fold) $10928^{\circ}$ (acute fold, i.e. on the sam e tetrahedron) and $7032^{\circ}$ (obtuse fold, i.e on the sam e octahedron). The link variables t. then take their values in a set of 12 allow ed values $\left(\begin{array}{ll}e_{i} & e_{j}\end{array}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2}$ with $1 \quad i<j \quad 3$. These 12 vectors are in one-to-one correspondence w the oriented edges of an elem entary octahedron of side 1 (see Fig.13). A sim ple way to label them is to rst color them in three colors A , B , C such that all four vectors in a given plane of the octahedron of F ig. 13 be of the sam e color. W e then com plete the color by a com plem entary variable de ned as follow s: for each vector of


Fig.12: The FCC lattice view ed as a piling-up of octahedra com pleted by tetrahedra.
color A or B, we de ne a variable C = 1 indicating on which side of the plane of color C on the elem entary octahedron this vector lies (see Fig.13). Sim ilarly, we de ne a variable $B=1$ for the vectors colored $A$ or $C$ and a variable $A=1$ for those colored $B$ or C. Each of the 12 unit vectors is entirely speci ed by its color and the value of the two com plem entary variables.


Fig.14: The 6 link variables and the 6 pairs of spins around a node.

The three vectors $t_{i}, i=1 ; 2 ; 3$ around a given face $m$ ust have a vanishing sum : they $m$ ust be chosen am ong the 8 triplets of unit vectors $w$ ith vanishing sum (in correspondence w ith the 8 faces of the octahedron ofF ig.13), which, w ith the 3 ! perm utations of the three vectors, leads to 48 possibilities. For each triplet, the three vectors have di erent colors,



Fig.13: T he 12 oriented edges of an elem entary octahedron of side 1 provide a set of 12 unit vectors for the allowed link variables of the FCC folding of the triangular lattice. W e attach colors A, B , C to these vectors as indicated. $W$ e have three color planes, each containing four vectors. $W$ e further attach variables A, B and C 2 f 1 g . Each vector is coded by a triplet of the form ( $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B} ;)$ ) ( $\mathrm{A} ; \quad ; \mathrm{C}$ ) or ( $; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})$, where the position of the $m$ issing variable (coded by a dot) corresponds to the color of the vector at hand. $N$ ote that the three vectors around each face share the sam e A ; B ; C variables.

W e may then follow the 6 link variables $t_{i}$ around a node in clockw ise direction (see Fig.14). Starting from $t_{1}$ which takes one of the 12 allowed values, the vector $t_{2} m$ ay take only $48=12=4$ possible values coded by two face spin variables $=1$ and $z=1 . \mathrm{The}$ variable indicates the change of color from $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$, with $=+1$ if the color \increases" in cyclic order ABC and $=1$ otherw ise. The variable $z$ is simply the product A BC corresponding to the face $f t_{1} ; t_{2} ; t_{1} \quad t_{2} g$ on the octahedron of $F i g .13$.

G oing back to the original folding problem, we may now characterize entirely the relative folding state of any tw o neighboring triangles by the relative values of ( $1 ; \mathrm{z}_{1}$ ) vs
$\left(2 ; z_{2}\right)$ on these two faces. $W$ e have the follow ing correspondence

| $z_{2}=z_{1}$ | $2_{1}={ }_{1}$ | type of fold |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 1 | no fold |
| 1 | 1 | com plete fold |
| 1 | 1 | acute fold |
| 1 | 1 | obtuse fold |

A fter a com plete tum around a node, the constraint that we recover the sam e tangent vector translates into the follow ing tw o constraints on the spins. The rst constraint

$$
X_{i=1}^{X^{6}} \quad i=0 \quad \bmod \quad 3
$$

is the sam e as the constraint (2, 2 color of the tangent vector. The second constraint deals $w$ ith the $z$ variableg' ${ }^{\prime} \bar{\prime}$ and reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Y } \\
& z_{i} z_{i+1}=1 ; \quad x=1 ; 2 ; 3  \tag{2:7}\\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\text {such }} \text { that: } \\
& \mathrm{j}=1 \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{x} \bmod \mathrm{C}
\end{align*}
$$

T he left hand side sim ply counts the num ber of sign changes of the $z$ variable across the links of color A, B or C (one color for each value of $x$ ), which are nothing but the sign changes of the com plem entary variable $A, B$ and $C$ respectively. Eq. (2:7) ensures that the we recover the sam e value of the com plem entary variables after a com plete tum.

In term s of constrained spins, it is easy to count all the environm ents allow ed by the constraints (2.represented in Fig.15. A s such, the FCC folding of the triangular lattioe is a 96 -vertex m odel.

It is interesting to note that thism odelhas the follow ing three sub-m odels (see $F$ ig.15):

1. An 11 -vertex $m$ odel corresponding to the planar folding obtained by keeping only either unfolded or com pletely folded links. This am ount to requiring that $\mathrm{z}=1$ for all the triangles, in which case ( $\overline{2} . \overline{1})$ is autom atically satis ed and one recovers the constraint (2.:3).
${ }^{6} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that the relation for $\mathrm{x}=3$ is a consequence of those at $\mathrm{x}=1 ; 2$.


Fig.15: The 96 folding con gurations of an elem entary hexagon. T he complete folds are indicated by thick lines, the obtuse folds by thin lines and the acute folds by dashed lines. For each environm ent, we have indicated its $m$ ultiplicity corresponding to rotations of the hexagon. W e also indicated the vertioes which have to be retained for the three sub-m odels corresponding to the planar folding (11 vertex), the folding on a single tetrahedron (11 vertex) and that on a single octahedron (16 vertex).
2. An 11-vertex corresponding to the folding on a single target tetrahedron, obtained by keeping only acute or com plete folds. This am ounts to requiring that $z=\quad$ where is 1 on triangles pointing up and 1 on triangles pointing down. O nce again, the relation $\left[\left(\overline{2} . \bar{T}_{1}\right)\right.$ is autom atically satis ed.
3. A 16 -vertex m odelcorresp onding to the folding on a single target octahedron, obtained by keeping only obtuse or com plete folds. This am ounts to having a perfect antiferrom agnetic order $=, w$ ith as de ned above, in which case the relation in ( 2 in becom es ${ }_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{6} z_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}+1}=1$.
$N$ ote that the constraints (2. O ne thus gets a duality relation which consists in exchanging globally all the unfolded
edges w th those carrying an acute fold, as apparent in $F$ ig.15. T he sub-m odel 3 above is self-dual while the two sub-m odels 1 and 2 are dual of one another. In particular, the entropy of folding on a single tetrahedron is the sam e as that for the planar folding, and given by Eq. (2.-륵) .

The entropy of folding on the FCC lattice is not know so far. N um erical estim ates [- $\overline{1}]$, show that $q$ 1:43(1). W e may easily show that $q>{ }^{P} \overline{2}=1: 414 \quad$ by estim ating the entropy of the sub-m odel 3 of folding on a single octahedron. Indeed, the constraint Q 6 ${ }_{i=1}^{6} z_{i} z_{i+1}=1$ am ounts to requiring that $z$ is the product $v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}$ on the three nodes $v_{1}$, $v_{2}$ and $v_{3}$ adjacent to the face at hand of a node variable ${ }_{v}$ equalto 1 and independent on each node of the lattice. The m odel 3 has therefore an entropy of 2 per node, i.e. ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{1}{2}$ per triangle.


Fig.16: The proportion of the di erent types of folds as a function of the rigid ity m odulus K (energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K} \cos (\mathrm{l})$ in the range $\mathrm{K}<0$.

W em ay nally consider the phase diagram obtained by introducing a bending energy, again in the form of a link energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K} \cos ($ ) where is the folding angle (none,
com plete, acute or obtuse). This phase diagram was obtained in $R$ ef. ationalm ethod (see also Ref. $[\underline{-1} 9 \mathrm{p}])$. A s for planar folding, one nds in the regim e K 0 a rst order attening/crum pling transition betw een a crum pled phase for sm allvalues of $K$ and a completely at phase for large values of $K$. The situation for $K \quad 0$ (i.e. for which folds are favored) is richer: the gure 16 displays the proportion of the di erent types of folds as a function of $K$. O ne clearly distinguishes tw o successive folding transitions. A rst, discontinuous transition at $\mathrm{K} \quad 0: 3$ separates a regim e where the folding occurs preferentially on octahedra from a regim e where it occurs preferentially on tetrahedra. A second, continuous transition at $K$ :85 separates this last regim e from a regim e where the folding is essentially $m$ axim alw ith dom inance of com plete folds.


Fig.17: The three order param eters $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{T}$ and P as de ned in the text as a function of the rigidity $m$ odulus $K$ (energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{K} \cos (\mathrm{l})$ in the range $\mathrm{K}<0$.
$T$ hese transitions $m$ ay also be viewed on the three order param eters $O, T$ and $P$ de ned as

| $O$ | $h$ | $i$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $T$ | $h z$ | $i$ |
| $P$ | $h z i$ |  |

and represented in F ig.17. The interpretation of these order param eters is that a nonvanishing value ofo (respectively oft ) show sa tendency of the lattice to fold preferentially around octahedra (respectively around tetrahedra). Sim ilarly, a non-vanishing value ofP show s a tendency of the lattice to preferentially rem ain within planes of the FC C lattice.

This com pletes our study of phantom folding of 2 D regular lattioes. H ere we have concentrated on the folding of the triangular lattice in $d=2$ and 3 dim ensions. $W$ e expect the sam e type of conform ational transitions to take place for higher target dim ensions $d$, $w$ th a rst order crum pling transition to a com pletely at state at a positive value of the bending rigidity K , a continuous (Ising type) piling-up transition at a negative value of K tow ard a lim iting com pletely folded state, and a ne structure of interm ediate transitions of w rapping around interm ediate-dim ensional regular solids. F inally, we expect a sim ilar scenario to happen for allthe com pactly foldable lattioes ofF ig. 5 (including the rectangular lattioe case as a degenerate lim it with zero entropy). T his scenario is con m ed in the case of the square-diagonal lattice in Ref. [25-5] $]$.

PARTB:LOOP M ODELS ON REGULAR LATTICES

In this part, we show that folding problem s are particular instances of a larger class
 m odels for which the loops play the role of contour plots. W e focus here again on regular lattioes and illustrate the techniques of solution in the case of fully packed loops on the hexagonal lattice. These include B ethe A nsatz calculations $[\underline{1}[1] 1]$ and e ective C oulom b gas descriptions $\stackrel{\text { T3̄2̄ }}{2}$ ].
3. Loop gas and height $m$ odel reform ulations of the triangu lar lattice fold ing

Very generally, all lattice folding problem s can be reform ulated as fully packed loop gases on the dual lattice. We will illustrate this property in the two cases of the $d=2$ (planar) and $d=3$ (FCC) foldings described in the previous Section.

### 3.1. Fully packed loop gas form ulations of the 2d folding




F ig.18: E xam ple of triooloring of the links of the hexagonal lattioe and the associated con guration of oriented fully packed loops.

Let us rst consider the planar folding of the triangular lattice. A s seen in Section 2.2, it is equivalent to the tricoloring of the links of the hexagonal lattice w ith three colors A, B and $C$, required to be distinct on the three links adjacent to any node of the lattioe. N ote that the hexagonal lattioe is bipartite, i.e. it $m$ ay be decom posed into tw o sub-lattioes of black, resp. white nodes, such that the neighbors of a black node are white and conversely.

The black or white color of a node is equivalent to the \pointing up" or \pointing down" nature of the dual triangle. Ignoring the links of color A, the links of color B or C form loops on the hexagonal lattice along which the colors B and C altemate (see Fig.18). By orienting all the links of color B from the adjacent black node to the adjacent white node, and the links of color C from the adjacent white node to the adjacent black node, we orient each loop in a coherent way. C hanging the orientation of a loop sim ply am ounts to interchanging the colors B and C along this loop. T he gas of oriented loops thus obtained is self-avoiding and fully packed, in the sense that each node of the lattioe is visited by a loop.

C onversely, given any set of fully packed oriented loops, it is possible reproduce the associated colors for all the links, and therefore rebuild the associated folding con guration. $T$ his show s that the planar folding of the triangular lattioe is equivalent to a gas of fully packed oriented loops on the hexagonal lattice.
$T$ he orientation of the loops $m$ ay be rephrased into a weight $n=2$ per unoriented loop. M ore generally, wem ay consider the so-called F P L ( $n$ ) m odelofa gas of fully packed, self-avoiding and unoriented loops on the hexagonal lattice with a weight n per loop th30]. $T$ his is to be com pared w ith the loop gas form ulation of the so-called $O(n) m$ odel on the hexagonal lattice which also am ounts to consider self-avoiding loops w ith a weight $n$ per loop but w thout the requirem ent that every node of the lattioe be visited by a loop
$N$ ote that the $O(n) m$ odel is originally de ned as a spin $m$ odelwith $O(n)$ sym $m$ etry. Sim ilarly, the F P L (2) m odel altematively describes the groundstates of the antiferrom agnetic X Y m odel (spins w ith O (2) sym m etry) on the $K$ agom e lattice [ of the K agom e lattice are in one-to-one correspondence with the edges of the triangular lattioe. T he tangent vectors of the folding con guration yield spins on the nodes of the
 condition for the $m$ inim ization of the antiferrom agnetic energy $t_{1_{1}} \quad t_{2}+t_{1_{2}} \quad t_{3}+t_{1_{3}} \quad t_{1}$ (together w th $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{j}=1$ ) around each elem entary triangle of the K agom e lattioe. In the sam e spirit, the F P L (1) m odel describes the antiferrom agnetic groundstates of the Ising model on the triangular lattioe, as ilhustrated in F ig.19-( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ) . T he loops on the (dual) hexagonal lattice are easily identi ed w ith the links dual to the $+j$ (i.e. energetically favored) links on the triangular lattice. T he fiully packed requirem ent am ounts to $m$ axim izing the num ber of these favored links (exactly 2 per triangle).


Antiferromagnetic groundstate
(a)


Fully packed loops
(b)


SOS interface
(c)

Fig.19: Equivalence betw een (a) antiferrom agnetic groundstates of the Ising m odel on the triangular lattice, (b) FP L (1) loop con gurations on the dual hexagonal lattioe, and (c) Solid-O n-Solid (SOS) interfaces for piling-ups of cubes view ed in perspective. In (a), there is exactly one firustrated link (w ith equaladjacent spins) per triangle (dashed lines). The firustrated links are dual to the unoccupied edges in (b) while the links dual to the $+j$ links form a gas of fully packed loops on the hexagonal lattice. Erasing the frustrated links yields a rhom bus tiling of the plane, also interpreted as a piling-up of cubes view ed in perspective (c).

### 3.2. Fully packed loop gas form ulations of the 3d folding

In the case of the folding of the triangular lattioe on the FCC lattice, we have seen that the link variables again induce a tricoloring of the links of the triangular lattioe, and thus, by duality, of the links of the hexagonal lattice. T his coloring by three colors A, B and C, as we just saw, is equivalent to the gas of the fully packed BC loops. W e $m$ ay consider as well the tw o other system s of fully packed loops m ade of the links AC or the links A B. T he three system s of loops are strongly correlated as the know ledge of one of them allow s us to reconstruct the tw o others. The colors are not su cient how ever to characterize entirely the original3d folding. To this end, we have introduced the additional link variables A;B;C = 1 indicating, in the elem entary octahedron, the side of the plane of color A , B , C respectively on which the link variable at hand lies (see Fig.13). If we now consider any BC loop, it is easy to see that the value of A is constant along the loop. Indeed, a change of sign of A requires to cross the plane of color A on the elem entary octahedron and therefore to pass through a link of color A, which in tum requires to pass
from one BC loop to another one. M oreover, the value of A is independent on each of the BC loops. The same is true for the variable B on the AC loops and the variable C on the AB loops. A s a consequence, we m ay represent any folding on the FCC lattice by a tricoloring of the hexagonal lattioe, com pleted by a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ spin variable on each of the $B C$, $A C$ and $A B$ loops. The enum eration of $3 d$ foldings is therefore perform ed by counting tricolored con gurations w ith a weight 2 for each of the AB, BC and AC loops.

To conclude, we m ay write the partition functions for 2d and 3d foldings as partition functions for edge-tricolorings or fully packed loop gases as

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{\text {plane }}=\underset{\substack{\mathrm{X} \text { ricolorings }}}{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\text { Fully packed loops }}{\mathrm{X}} 2^{\# \text { loops }} \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\text {FCC }}=\mathrm{X}_{\text {Tricolorings }}^{\mathrm{X}} 2^{\# \text { AB loops }} 2^{\# \text { BC loops }} 2^{\# \text { AC loops }} \tag{3:1}
\end{align*}
$$

O ther folding problem s w ere considered $[\overline{2} \overline{5} \overline{5}]$, such as the planar folding of the squarediagonal lattice, or of the triangular-diagonal lattice. A ll these problem s are equivalent to gases of fully packed loops on appropriate lattioes.

### 3.3. H eight m odels

W e shallnow transform theF P L (2) con gurations into those ofa heightm odelde ned as follow s $[\underline{3} \overline{3} \overline{4}]$. W e start w th a gas of oriented fully packed self-avoiding loops. To each face of the hexagonal lattice, we associate a \height" X in $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ whose variation from one face to another depends on whether the separating edge is occupied or not by a loop. T he precise rules for the variation of heights are indicated in Fig 20 and use explicitly the bipartite nature of the hexagonal lattice, w ith di erent conventions according to whether the link is oriented from a white or from a black node. These rules use three elem entary height di erences A, B and C. If we now replace the oriented loops by the corresponding tricoloring of the links as in $F$ ig. 18 , the height di erence is sim ply given by the color $A, B$ or C of the crossed link.

Inspecting the allow ed con gurations around a node, we see that we m ust im pose the constraint $A+B+C=0$ in order to have a well-de ned height after one tum around a node (see Fig 20-(a)) . T his naturally leads to a two-dim ensionalheight X . A swe shall see below, the com ponents of $X$ becom e tw o scalar elds in a continuous e ective description, and the F P L (2) m odel is indeed a criticalm odeldescribed in the continuum by a conform al eld theory (CFT) of central charge 2 corresponding to these tw o height com ponents


X-B

$e_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}+\mathrm{A}} \bigcirc$

(b)



Fig. 20: De nition of the height variables X for fully packed loops on the hexagonal lattice. The rule explicitly uses the bicoloring of the nodes of the hexagonal lattice. In the case of fully packed loops, the only possible environ$m$ ent is (a) (up to obvious sym $m$ etries), and the consistency of the de nition of the heights im poses $A+B+C=0$. In the case of loops not necessarily fully packed, the presence of unvisited nodes with the environm ent (b) im poses $m$ oreover that $A=0$, in which case $B=C$ (= 1 for instance) and the rules becom e insensitive to the bicoloring of the nodes of the hexagonal lattice.

A symm etric solution consists in choosing $A$ to be a unit vector, $B=R A$ and $C=R^{2} A$ where $R$ is the rotation of angle 120 in the plane. W ith this choice, for any given oriented loop con guration, we $m$ ay identify the height $X$ of a face $w$ ith the position of its dual node in the associated folded con guration of the triangular lattioe in the plane. T he above
sym $m$ etric solution $w$ ill be used from now on.
A s described above, the $O$ (2) m odel corresponds to releasing the full-packing constraint by allow ing for nodes which are not visited by loops. In this case, we m ust also include the con guration of Fig 20-(b) corresponding to an unvisited node. The consistency of heights after one tum around the node now im poses the extra constraint $\mathrm{A}=0$, and thus $B+C=0$. This now leads to a one-dim ensional height. The corresponding loop gas is critical for a suitable choice of edge weight. At this critical point $[\underline{\underline{3}} \mathbf{2} \overline{2}]$, the m odel is described in the continuum by a CFT of central charge $1 . N$ otice that the rules de ning the heights in this case are now insensitive to the bipartite nature of the lattice (see Fig 20-(b)).

Surprisingly, the full-packing constraint in uences the value of the centralcharge, and thus the universality class of the $m$ odel. $M$ ore precisely, as rst recognized in Ref. ${ }^{13} 0$ net e ect of the full-packing constraint has been to increase the central charge by $1 . \mathrm{We}$ shall recover a sim ilar property below in the case of random lattices.

For n = 1, the F P L (1) m odelm ay be described by a one-dim ensionalheight. This is readily seen on F ig.19-(c). Indeed, any con guration of the m odel m ay be interpreted as a piling of unit cubes in $R^{3}$ viewed in perspective from the direction $(1 ; 1 ; 1)$ and whose free surface is connected and has no overhangs. This surface $m$ ay be entirely described by a single height $h=X \quad A$ w ith $X$ obtained from the rules of $F$ ig 20 for som e arbitrary orientation of the loops (indeed this height is insensitive to the choice of orientation as B A = C A). A swe shallsee in the next Section, the model is critical w ith centralcharge 1 , as opposed the case of the 0 (1) m odelwhich has central charge 0 (in its dense phase).
4. Exact solutions via B ethe A nsatz: the exam ple of the FPL(1) m odel

E xact solutions for the generalF P L ( $n$ ) m odels with arbitrary $n m$ ay be obtained by transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethods togetherw ith B ethe A nsatz type assum ptions on the eigenvectors. $T$ he existence of such solutions is granted by the integrability of the m odels. The Bethe A nsatz needed in the generalsolution is of \nested" type, which $m$ akes the explicit solutions quite technical $\left[\frac{10}{3} \overline{-}, 1\right]$. In the case $n=1$ how ever, the problem sim pli es drastically and reduces to a free ferm ion m odel. The latter $m$ ay be treated via a sim ple coordinate $B$ ethe A nsatz. For pedagogical purposes, we choose to describe only this case in detail in the follow ing Sections. In particular, we will com pute the value $c=1$ of the central charge for the conform al eld theory that describes the large distance behavior of the $m$ odel $W$ e w ill retum to the general case in Section 5 where we present an e ective eld theoretical description ofF PL ( n ) via C oulomb gas.
4.1. F P L (1) and rhom bus tiling of the plane

In this Section, we address the F P L (1) m odel, which, as illustrated in Fig.19, m ay be rephrased as the problem of tiling a dom ain of the plane by $m$ eans of any num ber of the three follow ing rhom bic tiles:

w ith edges of unit length and angles of 60 and 120 , allw eighted by 1. A s it tums out, the speci c shape of the dom ain to be tiled is extrem ely im portant. O ne can show for instance that a large rhom bus of size $N \quad M \quad m$ ay be tiled in exactly ${ }_{N}^{N+M}$ distinct $m$ anners,
 O n the other hand, a large hexagon w ith size N M P m ay be tiled in $m$ any $m$ ore ways, nam ely [3̄

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{i=1}^{Y} Y_{j=1 k=1}^{M} \frac{i+j+k}{i+j+k} 2 \tag{4:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which results in a non-vanishing therm odynam ic entropy of tiling. It is not our purpose here to study the in uence of boundary conditions on the entropy of tiling, but just to com pute it in som e generic situation, nam ely that of a large cylinder represented by a rhom bus of width $L$ and length M ! 1 , the tw o longitudinal sides of the rhom bus being ghed.

In the next Sections, we use the follow ing strategy, quite standard for solving integrable lattice $m$ odels (the particular exam ple at hand is borrow ed from a course delivered by B. N ienhuis at the 1997 Beg-Rohu school \Ecole de physique de la matiere condensee"). $W$ e rst de ne the row -to-row transfer $m$ atrix $T_{L}$ of the $m$ odel, $w$ ith periodic boundary conditions along a row of tiles. The partition function of the $m$ odelon a rhom bus of size L M , say w ith doubly periodic boundary conditions (torus) reads then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{M}}\right) \tag{4:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To access the them odynam ic properties of the $m$ odel, we must take a large $L$; M lim it. C oncentrating on a strip of xed width $L$, the them odynam ics (M) 1 ) is simply govemed by the largest eigenvalue of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}$, w th a them odynam ic tiling entropy per tile of

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\lim _{M!1} \frac{1}{L M} \log Z_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{M}} \tag{4:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e then use the expected conform al lim it of the $m$ odel to identify the central charge by studying the nite size e ects on a cylinder of nite but large width $L$.
4.2. Transfer $m$ atrix and eigenvalue equations

C onsider a horizontal row, tiled with a num ber of tiles ; ; and with total length L. For sim plicity, we deform slightly the three tiles into the follow ing

$T$ his am ounts to consider the partition function of the rhom bus tiling $m$ odel on a rectangle ofsize L M w ith its verticaledges identi ed so as to form a cylinder. The transferbetw een a tiled horizontal row to the next (say from bottom to top) is then described by assigning an occupation num ber $n_{i}=0 ; 1$ (resp. $n_{i}^{0}$ ) to each lower (resp. upper) horizontal edge, resulting in the transfer $m$ atrix elem ent $\left(T_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{fn}_{1}^{0} ; n_{2}^{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}}^{0} ; ; \mathrm{fn}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{g}}$ from a con guration n of occupation num bers of a horizontal row of edges to the next m ${ }^{0}$, that typically reads pictorially

$$
\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)_{\mathrm{f1} ; 0 ; 1 ; 0 ;:: ; 0 ; 1 ; 1 \mathrm{l} ; \mathrm{f} 1 ; 1 ; 0 ; 0 ;::: ; 1 ; 0 ; 1 \mathrm{l}}
$$


$N$ ote that the num ber $k$ of 1 's is conserved from a row to the next, and counts the total number of or tiles in the row .

Starting from a row w th only tiles, the next row can only consist of tiles as well. This form $s$ the \fiundam ental" invariant sector, with $k=0$. This unique possibility is sum $m$ arized by the transfer $m$ atrix elem ent

$N$ ote that all the upper and low er horizontaledges are unoccupied. W e conclude that the "no-occupied" edge state is an eigenstate of $T_{L}$ w ith eigenvalue $0=1$.

A $n$ excitation of this groundstate is obtained by replacing one pair of adjacent halves of tiles along the row by either an or a tile. A ssum e the occupied low er edge of the tile is in position $\times 2 \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{L}}$ (w th periodic boundary conditions $\mathrm{L} \quad 0$ ) along the row, then the upper one is necessarily in position $x$ ( tile) or $x+1$ (tile). Let us denote by $v(x)$
the low er edge con guration vector f0;0;::;0;1;0;::;0gwith a 1 in position $x . W$ e then have


W e m ust consider m ultiple excitations of the groundstate as well. In the k-excitation sector, $k$ of the pairs of adjacent half-tiles m ust be replaced by or tiles. This results in the appearance of $k$ occupied lower and upper edges. This conservation of the num ber of occupied edges from one row to the next is an im portant feature of the model. It allow s to break the action of the transfer $m$ atrix $T_{L}$ into $L+1$ sectors, according to the num ber of excitationsk 2 f0;1;2::; L $g$. The invariant space corresponding to $k$ excitations has dim ension $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{L} \\ & k\end{aligned}$. For instance, in the case of $k=2$ excitations, we must replace tw of the pairs of adjacent half-tiles by 's or 's. The corresponding low er occupied edges are in positions say x and $\mathrm{y}, 0 \quad \mathrm{x}<\mathrm{y} \quad \mathrm{L} \quad$ 1. Let us denote by $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$ the edge con guration vector w ith only O 's except for two 1 's in positions x and y . Just like in the 1-excitation case, the occupied upper edges $m$ ust be in positions $x$ or $x+1$ and $y$ or $y+1$, with $m$ oreover the constraint that they be distinct. Forgetting about this constraint, we would sim ply have

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{y})+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}+1)+\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{y}+1)
$$

Im posing the constraint on the right hand side requires that, whenever $y=x+1$, the second term should be rem oved, as well as the third one whenever $y=L \quad 1$ and $x=0$. $T$ here is a m uch sim pler way of autom atically ensuring this: we sim ply enhance the dom ain ofde nition to $\mathrm{x} 2[0 ; L]$ and dem and that $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x})=0$ for all x , and that $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{L})=\mathrm{v}(0 ; \mathrm{x})$ for all $x$.

The k -excitation sector is easily constructed. Let $\mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ be the edgecon guration vector w ith 1 's in positions $\mathrm{x}_{1} \quad \mathrm{x}_{2} \quad::: \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$, w ith the convention that it vanishes whenever any tw o consecutive positions are equal, and that $v\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: ; x_{k} \quad 1 ; L\right)=$ $\mathrm{v}\left(0 ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1\right)$. Let us also introduce the translation operator j that adds 1 to the $j$-th argum ent of such a vector, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jV }\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;:: ; x_{j} 1 ; x_{j} ; x_{j+1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)=v\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: ; x_{j} 1 ; x_{j}+1 ; x_{j+1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right) \tag{4:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the result is the zero vector $w$ hen $x_{j+1}=x_{j}$ or $x_{j}+1$. $T$ he transferm atrix then acts in the $k$-excitation sector as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{L} v\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)={ }_{j=1}^{Y^{k}}(I+j) v\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right) \tag{4:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $I$ is the identity and the various translation operators com $m$ ute $w$ ith one-another. $T$ he vanishing constraint on the vectors takes care of all the steric constraints for the and tiles.

W e now look for eigenvectors of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}}$ in the form
in the k -excitation sector. T he corresponding eigenvalue equation reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{k} V^{(k)}=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{k}}(I+j) V^{(k)} \tag{4:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation $m$ ust be solved $w$ ithin the invariant ${ }_{k}^{L}$-dim ensional vector space of the $k$-th sector, w ith the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}(::: ; \mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x} ;:::)=0  \tag{4:14}\\
\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1 ; \mathrm{L}\right)=\mathrm{f}\left(0 ; \mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 1\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

### 4.3. Bethe A nsatz

In view of the equations ( 4 . 1 for the candidate eigenvectors, based on the eigenvectors of the translation operator. Indeed, for $k=1, f(x)=z^{x}$ produces an eigenvector for, for any non-zero $z$. The $k$-variable version is $f\left(x_{1} ;::: ; X_{k}\right)=z_{1}^{x_{1}} z_{2}^{x_{2}}:::: z_{k}^{x_{k}}$, for some non-zero complex num bers $z_{1} ; z_{2} ;:: ; z_{k}$. This A nsatz would work perfectly except that it violates the boundary condition, that $f$ vanishes whenever tw o consecutive argum ents are equal.

The next fundam entalrem ark is that ifwe stillignore the boundary condition, any permutation $2 S_{k}$ of the $x$ 's would yield an equally acceptable candidate $f\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)=$ $z_{1}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{(1)} z_{2}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{(2)}:::: \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{(\mathrm{k})}$, w th the sam e eigenvalue.
$T$ he idea of the B ethe A nsatz is then to com bine these tw o ideas and look for a solution of the eigenvalue equation (4, 4.1
inconporates the boundary conditions ( $\left.\overline{4} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1} \underline{I}^{\prime}\right)$. In the present problem, the answ er is unique and reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1} ; x_{2} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(z_{i}^{x_{j}}\right)_{1} i_{i} j k \tag{4:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

M oreover, the periodic boundary conditions (second line of ( $\left.\overline{4} . \overline{1}^{\prime} \bar{i}^{\prime}\right)$ ) along the strip are satis ed i

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{i}^{\mathrm{L}}=(1)^{\mathrm{k}} 1 \quad \text { for all } i=1 ; 2 ;::: ; k \\
& z_{\mathrm{r}} \in \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \text { for all } \mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{s}=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{~s} \tag{4:16}
\end{align*}
$$

where the extra condition that the $z_{i} \mathrm{~m}$ ust be distinct ensures that the eigenvectors are non-zero. These are the celebrated Bethe A nsatz equations, in our particularly sim ple case. $N$ ote that we indeed get a basis of the $\underset{k}{L}$-dim ensional invariant space by taking $f$ as in Eq. $(44.1$

$$
\begin{gather*}
z_{j}=e^{2 i\left(n_{j} \frac{k 1}{2}\right)=L \quad j=1 ; 2 ;::: ; k}  \tag{4:17}\\
0 \quad n_{1}<n_{2}<:::<n_{k} \quad L \quad 1
\end{gather*}
$$

The corresponding eigenvalues read

$$
\begin{equation*}
k\left(n_{1} ;::: ; n_{k}\right)=Y_{j=1}^{Y^{k}}\left(1+e^{2 i\left(n_{j} \frac{k{ }_{1}}{2}\right)=L}\right) \tag{4:18}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4. C ontinuum lim it: largest eigenvalue

The continuum therm odynam ic lim it of the model corresponds to $L ; M$ ! 1 . For $L$ nite and M ! 1 , the them odynam ic lim it of the free energy of the $m$ odel is govemed by the largest eigenvalues of $T_{L}$. M ore precisely, we $m$ ay com pute the entropy of tiling per row of a strip of in nite length and nite width $L$ by taking the large $M$ lim it of ( $\left.\bar{A} \bar{A}_{3} \overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ $S_{L}=\lim _{M}!1 \frac{1}{M} \log Z_{L ; M}$. U sing the explicit form (4.1 obtained by having the $m$ axim um num ber of tem $s\left(1+z_{j}\right)$ that are larger than one in m odule.

W e have represented in $F$ ig 21 the unit circle and its shifted im age under $z!1+z$. O nly a portion of this im age lies outside of the unit circle. Let us denote by $z=e^{2 i=3}$ the two intersections betw een the two circles of F ig 21 . W e will have a maxim um num ber of term $s\left(1+z_{j}\right) w$ ith $m$ odulus larger than one for the value of $k_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{k}_{0} \quad 1}{2 \mathrm{~L}}<\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{\mathrm{k}_{0}}{2 \mathrm{~L}}
$$



Fig.21: The roots $z_{j}$ of the B ethe A nsatz equation (4. 4 . plane on the unit circle (dashed circle). W e have also rep resented the location of their shifted values ( $1+z_{j}$ ) (solid circle). T he m axim al eigenvalue am ong the values ( $\overline{4} .1 \overline{1} \bar{d})$ is obtained by retaining only those $z_{j}$ 's whose shifted value lies outside of the unit circle (black dots) and having as $m$ any of these as possible. These correspond to $z_{j}=e^{i j} w$ ith $j 2[2=3 ; 2=3]$.

For sim plicity, let us assum e that $\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{n}$, in which case (4. 4.1 eigenvalue reads then

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 n(0 ; 1 ;::: ; 2 n \quad 1)=\sum_{j=0}^{2 \underline{Y} 1}\left(1+e^{2 i\left(j \frac{2 n 1}{2}\right)=(3 n)}\right) \tag{4:20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding tiling entropy reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{L}}=S_{3 n}=\sum_{j=0}^{1} \log \left(1+e^{2 i \quad\left(j \frac{2 n}{2}\right)=(3 n)}\right) \tag{4:21}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.5. Them odynam ic entropy and central charge

To get the them odynam ic tiling entropy per tile ( $(\underline{4}-4)$ ), we m ust also take the large $L$ $\lim$ it of $S_{\mathrm{L}}: \mathrm{s}=\lim \mathrm{L}!1 S_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{L} . \mathrm{W}$ e immediately get

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=Z_{1=3}^{Z} d x \log \left(1+e^{2 i x}\right)=x_{p}^{x} \frac{(1)^{p 1}}{p^{2}} \sin \frac{2 p}{3} \tag{4:22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The centralchargem ay be obtained from the large $L$ corrections to the them odynam ic entropy. From generalprinciples, the large L lim it is indeed expected to be described by a conform al eld theory if the $m$ odel is critical and translationally and rotationally invariant as it is presently.

M oreover, the central charge $c$ is extracted by com puting the anom aly of free energy of the $m$ odel on a cylinder of $w$ idth $L$, that behaves like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L}}=\mathrm{LS} \frac{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}}{6 \mathrm{~L}} \frac{\mathrm{C}}{2}+o\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}}\right) \tag{4:23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for large $L$, w ith a bulk free energy per tile $f=s$ as above and a central charge $c . T$ he non-conventional factor $\frac{\mathrm{p}^{\frac{3}{3}}}{2}$ is sim ply due to our deform ation of the original rhom bus of size L $M$ into a rectangle w ith size $L \quad M \quad{ }^{P} \overline{3}=2$ in the sam e units.
$T$ he large $L=3 n$ expansion of $S_{L}$ is easily derived using the follow ing expansion, valid for any su ciently di erentiable function $f$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\underbrace{\frac{2 n-1}{X^{2}}}_{j=\frac{2 n 1}{2}} Z_{\frac{2 j+1}{6 n}}^{\frac{2 j}{6 n}}\left(x \quad \frac{j}{3 n}\right) f^{0}\left(\frac{j}{3 n}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(x \quad \frac{j}{3 n}\right)^{2} f^{\infty}\left(\frac{j}{3 n}\right) d x+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{3(6 n)^{3}}{ }_{j=\frac{2 n-1}{X^{2}}}^{\frac{2 n}{2}} f^{\infty}\left(\frac{j}{3 n}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right)  \tag{4:24}\\
& ={\frac{1}{6(6 n)^{2}}}_{1=3}^{Z} f^{\infty}(x) d x+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right) \\
& =\frac{f^{0}(1=3) \quad f^{0}(\quad 1=3)}{6(6 n)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

A pplying this to the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=\log \left(1+e^{2 i x}\right) \tag{4:25}
\end{equation*}
$$

we nally get the expansion of $S_{\mathrm{L}}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{L} S_{L}=s+\frac{\mathrm{P}^{3} \overline{3}}{12 \mathrm{~L}^{2}}+O\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{~L}^{3}}\right) \tag{4:26}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{1}{2} \frac{P^{P}}{3}\left(f^{0}(1=3) \quad f^{0}(\quad 1=3)\right)=1 \tag{4:27}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above com putation therefore con ms the result announced in Section 4.1 that the FPL(1) m odel is described in the continuum by a CFT with central charge $\mathrm{c}=1$.
5. E ective eld theory description via C oulom b gas
5.1. Two-com ponent C oulom b gas for F P L (2)

Let us now see how to construct an e ective continuum eld theory for the FPL(n) $m$ odel at large distances. $W$ e introduce a continuous description of the heights in the form


Fig.22: The triangular lattice $T$ ofm esh size a, dual to the hexagonal lattice on which loops are draw $n$, is the locus of the heights $X$ allow ed by the rules of F ig 20-(a). The ideal lattioe $I$, corresponding to the values of $h=h X i$ where the free energy is $m$ in $m$ al, is an hexagonal lattice of $m$ esh size a $\overline{3}$. $T$ he triangular sub-lattice $R$ of $I$ corresponds to ideal states associated w ith the sam e coloring. The $m$ esh size of $R$ is $\overline{3} a$. P assing from triangle $M$ to triangle $N$ by successive re ections along the edges brings the triangle back in the sam e orientation. The reciprocal lattioe $R$ of the pattioe $R$ is a triangular lattice ofm esh size $2=(3 a)$ (here represented for $a=1=\overline{3})$. Thesm allest nonzero vector of the reciprocal lattice $I$ of $I$ is the second sm allest vector of $R$, for instance that joining $M$ to $P$ in the gure. Its length is $2=(\overline{3} a)$.
of a two-dim ensional two-com ponent eld $h(r)=\left(h_{1}(r) ; h_{2}(r)\right)$ where $r=\left(r_{1} ; r_{2}\right)$ is the continuous version of the two coordinates of a node on the hexagonal lattioe while $h(r)$ is a locally averaged value of the two-dim ensional height $X$ around the point r. A precise de nition of $h$ is not necessary as only the sym $m$ etries of the problem $w i l l$ indeed $m$ atter.
 gas techniques $\left[\underline{\underline{3}}{ }^{-1}\right]$.

A rst step consists in identifying the so-called \ideal" con gurations, i.e. those w ith a $m$ axim alentropy ofexcitations. W e shallindeed assum e that the large scale statistics of the problem is dom inated by the uctuations around these idealstates. In the dual language of the planar folding of the triangular lattice, these idealcon gurations correspond to $m$ axim al foldings, i.e. con gurations where the lattice is com pletely folded onto a single triangle. $T$ hese com pactly folded states are indeed those w ith the largest num ber of elem entary
excitations obtained by unfolding the six links bordering an elem entary hexagon. To each ideal con guration, we may associate the corresponding averaged value of $h$, equal to the position of the center of $m$ ass of the triangle on which the lattice is folded. The corresponding allow ed values of form them selves a hexagonal lattioe I ofm esh size $a=\frac{p}{3}$ if $a$ is the $m$ esh size of the folded triangular lattice (see $F$ ig 22). W e nally write the e ective free energy as

$$
f=d^{Z} r g\left(r h_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(r h_{2}\right)^{2}+V(h)
$$

w ith a G aussian part describing the height uctuations and an e ective \locking" potential V (h) having the periodicity of the ideal lattice I and enhancing the weight of the ideal states. The \roughness" g w ill be determ ined below in a self-consistent way.

In term s of loops, each ideal state corresponds to a regular covering of the hexagonal lattice by means of elem entary hexagonal loops of length six, all oriented in the sam e direction and centered on one of the three sub-lattioes of the dual (tripartite) triangular lattice. T here are six such tilings corresponding to the six perm utations of the three colors ABC. The vertices of the ideal lattice I that correspond to the sam e covering, i.e. the sam e coloring, form a sub-lattice $R$ of the ideal lattice I. This so-called \repeat" lattice $R$ is a triangular lattioe of $m$ esh size ${ }^{P} \overline{3}$ a (see $F$ ig 22). A ny local observable of the loop gas $m$ ust therefore have the periodicity of the lattioe $R$ and $m$ ay thus be w ritten as

$$
(r)=\begin{gather*}
X  \tag{5:2}\\
G 2 R
\end{gather*} \quad G e^{2 i G: h(r)}
$$

where $G$ runs over the vectors of the reciprocal lattioe $R$ of $R$ (de ned by $G \vdots=$ integer for any $b$ in $R$ ). The lattioe $R$ is a triangular lattioe of $m$ esh size $2=(3 a)$ (see $F$ ig 22). On the other hand, the locking potential $V(h)=P_{G 2 R} V_{G} e^{2 i G: h(r)}$ has non-vanishing Fourier com ponents $V_{G}$ only for $G 2 I$, the sub-lattice ofR equal to the reciprocal lattice of the ideal lattice $I$. The value of $g m$ ay now be obtained by assum ing that $V(h)$ is a $m$ arginal perturbation of the $G$ aussian free eld, i.e. $w$ ith dim ension $x=2$.

Very generally, the dim ension of the (electric) \vertex operator" $V_{G}(r) \quad e^{2 i G: h(r)}$, which describes the large distance behavior of the correlation function $h V_{G}(r) V_{G}(0) i$


$$
x(G)=\frac{G^{2}}{2 g}
$$

$T$ he dim ension $x$ of $V(h)$ corresponds to that of the $m$ ost relevant electric operator ( $w$ ith the $s m$ allest $x(G))$ occurring in the Fourier decom position of $V(h)$, i.e. to the vector $G$ of I with minimalnom (vector M P in Fig 22). The norm of this vector being equal to $2=\left({ }^{p} \overline{3} a\right)$, we nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{3 a^{2}} \tag{5:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

O nce $g$ is xed, we can obtain exponents describing the large distance behavior of correlations betw een coloring defects. These take the form of $\backslash m$ agnetic operators" de ned as follows. A coloring defect around a node of the hexagonal lattice corresponds to a dislocation-type defect in the height $X$ after a com plete tum around this node. $T$ his height defect reads $X=M=m_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B\end{array}\right)+m_{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & C\end{array}\right)$ for two relative integers $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ (the vector $M$ is thus a vector of the lattice $R$ ). In order to have a con guration $w$ thout defect at in nity, we m ust ensure $m$ agnetic neutrality, for instance by introducing another defect $w$ ith $m$ agnetic charge $M$ at some other node. In this case, the variable $X$ has a jump discontinulty equal to M along a line joining these two defects. The defect/anti-defect correlation then behaves at large separation $r$ as $r{ }^{2 x}(M)$ where the $m$ agnetic dim ension reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(M)=\frac{g M^{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{6} \frac{M}{a}^{2} \tag{5:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A rst exam ple of defect consists in having two unvisited sites at distance r from one another. This corresponds to a coloring defect characterized by $M=3 \mathrm{~A}$ with norm 3 a and with therefore $\mathrm{x}=3=2$. The fact that $\mathrm{x}<2$ shows that this is indeed a relevant perturbation and that the fully packed loop gas corresponds to an unstable phase w ith respect to such defects. The introduction of coloring defects is also useful to describe open lines in the loop gas. For instance, we $m$ ay describe an open BC line linking two points at (an odd) distance $r$ by introducing coloring defects at these two points, each $w$ ith its three incident edges colored $A, A, B$. The corresponding value of $M$ is $M=2 A+B$, $w$ ith norm ${ }^{P} \overline{3} a$, which gives an exponent $x_{1}=1=2$. Very generally, one nds an exponent $x$. associated $w$ th the large distance behavior of correlations of the form $r^{2 x}$. for a set of ' $B C$ lines starting from the vicinity of a given point and ending at the vicinity of another at distance $r$, $w$ ith the value $\left.\underline{\underline{3}}{ }^{-} \overline{4}\right]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{k}} & =\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{k}^{2}  \tag{5:6}\\
\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{k} \quad 1} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{k}^{2} & \mathrm{k}+1
\end{array}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

according to the parity of '.

### 5.2. G eneralF P L (n) and O (n) cases

Beyond the case $n=2$, the FPL ( n ) m odel for $2 \quad \mathrm{n} \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be described by con gurations of oriented self-avoiding fully packed loops, w ith weights $\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}=6}$, resp. $e^{i}{ }^{\text {e=6 }}$ per right, resp. left tum of the loop. Sum $m$ ing over the tw o possible orientations of each loop results in a weight $n=2 \cos (e)$ per loop. This holds only for contractible loops while loops escaping at in nity receive a weight 2 instead. To understand how to cure this problem, it is $m$ ore convenient to de ne the $m$ odel on an in nite cylinder, in which case the non-contractible loops w ind once around the cylinder and receive a w rong weight 2 instead of $n$. This is corrected by introducing two electric operators with (tw odim ensional) charges $E$ and $E$ at both ends of the cylinder, which im plies an additional energy term of the form 2i $\mathrm{E}:(\mathrm{h}(+1) \mathrm{h}(1))$. Each non-contractible loop induces a discontinuity $h(+1) \quad h(1)$ of $B$ (or $C$ ) and it is thus su cient to choose $E$ such that $E \quad B=E \quad C=e=2$, i.e. $E=e(B \quad C)=\left(\begin{array}{l}\text { h }\end{array}\right.$. Sum $m$ ing over the two orientations of the non-contractible loops now yield the correct weight $n$ while contractible loops are una ected. The introduction of the \background" charge E m odi es the dim ensions of the electric and $m$ agnetic operators into

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(G ; M)=\frac{1}{2 g} G \quad(G \quad 2 E)+\frac{g}{2} M^{2}: \tag{5:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he value of $g$ is again determ ined by the requirem ent that $V$ be $m$ arginal. Choosing the determ ination 0 e 1 ofe, one nally gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{(1 \quad e)}{3 a^{2}}: \tag{5:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne then nds an exponent $\mathrm{x} \cdot(\mathrm{n})$ associated to the correlation of BC lines given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
x_{2 k}(n)=\frac{1-e}{2} k^{2} \quad \frac{e^{2}}{2(1 \quad e)}(1 \quad k ; 0)  \tag{5:9}\\
x_{2 k} \quad 1(n)=\frac{1}{2}\left(k^{2} \quad k+1\right) \frac{e^{2}}{2\left(\begin{array}{l}
1
\end{array}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

F inally, the central charge of the $m$ odel now reads $c=2+12 x(E ; 0)$, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {fully packed }}(\mathrm{n})=2 \quad 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 \quad e} ; \quad \mathrm{n}=2 \cos (e) \tag{5:10}
\end{equation*}
$$

To conclude this study, let us now see how the above formulas are modied in the case of the dense phase of the $O(n)$ model, which as we already saw for $n=2$, consists
in allow ing for the presence of unvisited nodes, in which case the heights becom e onedim ensional. The above analysis transcribed to this m uch sim pler case leads nally to a œentral charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\text {dense }}(n)=1 \quad 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e} ; \quad n=2 \cos (e) \tag{5:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and to exponents

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \cdot(n)=\frac{1-e}{8}, \frac{e^{2}}{2(1 \quad e)}(1 \quad \text {; } ; 0) \tag{5:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any '.

fully packed loops


Fig.23: Renorm alization ow in the ( n ; $\mathrm{u}^{1}$ ) plane. W e have indicated an exam ple of ow at constant $n$. The fiully packed loop gas corresponds to an unstable xed point at $u^{1}=0$. The dense loop gas corresponds to a stable xed point. The central charge decreases by 1 betw een these two xed points $C_{\text {dense }}(n)=C_{\text {fully packed }}(n) \quad 1$.

T he lesson to draw from the above is that, at xed weight $n$ per loop, the fully packed loop gas has a central charge 1 m ore than the dense loop gas. T his result is illustrated in

Fig 23 where we display a diagram of renom alization group ows in the ( $\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{u}^{1}$ ) plane, $w$ here $u$ is the weight per visited site and 2 n 2 [了̄0̄]. All the ows follow lines of constant $n$ and the problem is sym $m$ etric under $u!u$ (since the num ber of sites visited by loops is even). The $u^{1}=0$ line, which corresponds to the fully packed loop gas, is a line of unstable xed points (see Fig 23). The presence of defects (which, as we already saw, are relevant) drives the $m$ odel aw ay from this line tow ards the line of stable xed points describing the dense phase of the $O(n)$ model. O ne also nds another line of unstable xed points at nite values of $u^{1}=P \bar{P} \overline{2+\overline{2 n}}$ corresponding to the transition points of the


## PART C:LOOP M ODELS ON RANDOM LATTICES

W e would like to generalize the models of 2D folding described in part A and those of fully packed loops described in part $B$ to the case of random 2D lattioes. By random 2D lattiges, we $m$ ean tessellations $m$ ade of 2D polygonal rigid tiles glued together so as to form discrete surfaces w ith possible curvature defects concentrated at the nodes. We are interested in the phantom folding con gurations of statisticalensembles of 2D lattioes. $T$ hese form discrete $m$ odels for $\backslash$ uid $m$ em branes", i.e. $m$ em branes $w$ thout intemalelastic skeleton and whose intemalm etric uctuates helle. Beyond folding, we will also study fully packed loop m odels on random lattices. A ll these m odels are exam ples of a larger class of statisticalm odels describing the coupling of $\backslash m$ atter" degrees of freedom to the uctuations of \space", used in the quantization of general relativity. M ore precisely, the present 2D m odels correspond to discrete realizations of the so-called tw o-dim ensionalquantum gravity


In this part, we concentrate on the case of random triangulations or, dually, random trivalent graphs. V arious results are presented, rely ing either on $m$ atrix integraltechniques [ against num ericalenum erations [1]ī1]. T he lesson of this study is a clear distinction betw een ordinary 2DQG and so-called Eulerian 2DQG corresponding to a restriction of the set of dynam ical lattices to E ulerian tessellations.

## 6. Fold ing of random lattices

### 6.1. Foldability of triangulations



Fig . 24 : E xam ple of triangulation with spherical topology.

In this part C, we shall consider only the sim plest case of triangulations, for which all the tiles are equilateral triangles with edges of unit length. W e will m oreover restrict ourselves to planar triangulations, i.e. tessellations of a surface $w$ th the topology of the sphere (genus 0 , no handles). A n exam ple of such a triangulation is given in F ig 24 .

G iven such a triangulation, we de ne as before a folding as a $m$ ap from the triangulation into $R^{d}$ such that its restriction to any elem entary triangle is an isom etry. In particular, each triangle is again $m$ apped onto an equilateral triangle in $R^{d}$.


Fig.25: Two exam ples of planar triangulations: the planar representation (left) keeps track of the connectivity of the triangles only at the expense of deform ing them. N ote that the extemal face is also a triangle. T he triangulation (a) is foldable into $R^{3}$ on a tetrahedron, but it is not foldable in the plane because its nodes are not tricolorable. T he triangulation (b) is foldable into $R^{3}$ on an octahedron and it is foldable in the plane as it is node-tricolorable (here w ith three colors e,f,g). An exam ple of folding is indicated on the right in the planar representation by thickening the folded links.

In the sim plest case of planar folding $(\mathrm{d}=2)$, a prelim inary question concems the very existence of such foldings. Indeed, not alltriangulationsm ay be folded into the plane. For instance, the triangulation on the top of $F$ ig 25 (w hich $m$ ay be embed in $R^{3}$ so as to form a tetrahedron) cannot be folded into the plane. On the other hand, the triangulation at the bottom of $F$ ig 25 (which $m$ ay be em bedded in $R^{3}$ so as to form an octahedron) can be folded into the plane.

To answ er this question, we note that, whenever a folded state exists, the positions in the plane of the nodes of the triangulation necessarily belong to a regular triangular lattioe
of $m$ esh size 1 in the plane $R^{2}$. The triangular lattice is tripartite, i.e. its nodes $m$ ay be colored w th three colors ( $(, f, g$ ) so that no tw o adjacent nodes be of the sam e color. T his tricoloring is unique (up to a global perm utation of the three colors). If a folded state exists, it therefore induces a tricoloring of the nodes of the triangulation we started from . $T$ his yields a necessary condition for the triangulation to be foldable in the plane, nam ely that it be node-trioolorable. C onversely, starting from a node-tricolorable triangulation, its tricoloring is unique (up to a global perm utation of the colors). Such a triangulation $m$ ay be folded on a single equilateral triangle by sending each node of a given color onto one of the three vertioes of this triangle. Such folding corresponds to the com plete folding of the triangulation from which other folded con gurations $m$ ay be obtained by partial unfolding.

W e obtain nally the follow ing equivalent characterizations:

1. $T$ he triangulation is foldable into the plane;
2. The triangulation is node-tricolorable.

In the case of a triangulation w ith spherical topology, we also have the follow ing equivalent altemative characterizations [ī̄3̄-1]:
3. Its faces are bicolorable (w ith distinct colors on adjacent triangles);
4. Its edges $m$ ay be oriented so that the boundary ofeach triangle receives a well-de ned (clockw ise or counterclockw ise) orientation;
5. The num ber of triangles around each node is even;
6. The num ber of edges adjacent to each node is even.
$T$ his last property justi es the denom ination \Eulerian" for such triangulations as it ensures the existence on the triangulation of a closed Eulerian path (i.e. a path visiting all edges exactly once). In other w ords, it ensures the possibility of draw ing the triangulation by a single (closed) path without lifting the pen.

To sum $m$ arize, the planar foldable triangulations are the E ulerian triangulations, characterized by any of the above properties 1-6.

### 6.2. E num eration of foldable triangulations

 in its dual version under the denom ination of $\backslash$ bicubic $m$ aps". This enum eration $m$ ay be generalized so as to keep track of the three colors, e.g. w ith di erent weights p, q and $z$ for the nodes of color $e$, for $g$ respectively $\left.{ }_{[19}^{9}\right]$. In other words, we enum erate

(a)

(b)

Fig. 26 : Equivalence betw een (a) a bicolored graph $w$ ith arbitrary valences and (b) a tricolored triangulation. From (b) to (a), we sim ply erase all the nodes of the triangulation of a given color, say, $g$ as well as all the links connected to them. C onversely, from (a) to (b), we note that the nodes around each face have altemating colors e and f. W e then re-introduce at the center of each face a node of color $g$ and connect it to all nodes around the face, thus creating triangles.
random triangulations which are com pletely folded on a single triangle, keeping track of the num bers of nodes sent onto each of the three vertiges of the triangle.

This $m$ ay be perform ed by use of $m$ atrix integral techniques. $M$ ore precisely, we $m$ ay com pute the generating function $Z(p ; q ; z ; t ; N)$ for possibly disconnected vertex-tricolored triangulations of arbitrary genus, w ith weights $p, q, z$ for the three colors and a weight $t$ per edge of color e-f. $T$ he param eter $N$ govems the genus via a factor $N$ where is the Euler characteristic of the triangulation at hand. T he partition function is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(p ; q ; z ; t ; N)=X_{\substack{\text { node tricolored } \\ \text { triangulations } T}} p^{n_{e}(T)} q^{n_{f}(T)} z^{n_{g}(T)} \frac{t^{\frac{A(T)}{2}} N(T)}{\mathcal{Z}^{A} u t(T) j} \tag{6:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{e ; f ;}(T)$ denote the total num bers of vertiges of color e,f,g, where A (T) denotes the total num ber of faces (tw ine the num ber of e-f edges), and where ( $T$ ) denotes the Euler characteristic of $T$. T he factor $\nRightarrow$ ut $(T) j$ is the order of the sym $m$ etry group of the tricolored triangulation T.

The construction of a $m$ atrix $m$ odel to represent $Z(p ; q ; z ; t ; N)$ is based on the follow ing sim ple rem ark: in a given tricolored triangulation $T$ if we rem ove say all the vertioes of color $g$ and alledges connected to them, we end up w ith a bicolored graph, w ith unconstrained vertex valencies (see Fig 26). T he problem is therefore reduced to the enum eration
of bicolored graphs w ith a weight p (resp. q) per node of colore (resp. f), a weight z per face and a weight t per link. Such bicolored graphs are easily built out of the Feynm an graphs of a tw o Hem itian $m$ atrix $m$ odel, say $M_{e}$ and $M_{f}$, the index standing for the color. $T$ he colored $m$-valent vertices of the Feynm an diagram s read

for all m , and are to be connected via propagator edges $w$ ith $w$ eight $t=N$ while ensuring the altemation of colors e-f
$h\left(M_{a}\right)_{i j}\left(M_{b}\right)_{k l} i=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & a b\end{array}\right) j k \frac{t}{i 1} \frac{t}{N}=\quad: \geqslant \cdots:$
where $a ; b=$ e or $f$. Let us introduce the corresponding $m$ atrix integral, but keep $N$ xed $w$ hile the $m$ atrices are taken of size $n \quad n, n$ possibly di erent from $N$. This gives the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{n}\left(p ; q ; t_{;} N\right) & ={\frac{1}{r_{n}(t ; N)}}^{Z} d M_{e} d M_{f} e^{N T r V\left(M_{e} ; M_{f} ; p ; ; t\right)}  \tag{6:4}\\
V\left(M_{e} ; M_{f} ; p ; q ; t\right) & =p L o g\left(1 \quad M_{e}\right)+q L o g\left(1 \quad M_{f}\right)+\frac{1}{t} M_{e} M_{f}
\end{align*}
$$

where the nom alization factor ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{n}(t ; N)$ ensures that $Z_{n}(0 ; 0 ; t ; N)=1$.
The Feynm an graph expansion of the free energy reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{N})=\log \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\mathrm{p} ; q ; \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~N}\right) \tag{6:5}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have denoted by $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{a}}()$ the number of vertices of color $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{V}()=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}()+$ $n_{f}(), E()$ the num ber ofedges, $F()$ the num ber of faces, and $\neq A$ ut ()jthe order of the sym $m$ etry group of the bicolored graph. A dding a central vertex of colorg in the $m$ iddle ofeach face of , and connecting it to all the vertices around the face w ith edges w ill result
in a vertex-tricolored triangulation $T$. The num ber of such added vertioes is nothing but $n_{g}(T)=F()$. Introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{n}{N} \tag{6:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

we m ay rew rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{p} ; q ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{N})=\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{p} ; q ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{N}) \tag{6:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

by use of the Euler relation $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{)}=2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~h}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{l} \quad \mathrm{E}(\mathrm{I})+\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{)}$ and the fact that $A(T)=2 E()$, as each edge of gives rise to two triangles of $T$, one in each of the two faces adjacent to the edge. It is also a simple exercise to show that $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{ut}(\mathrm{T}) \mathrm{j}=$ A ut ( ) j. H ence com puting $F_{n}(p ; q ; t ; N)$ through the integral form ulation ( $\left.\bar{\sigma} \overline{6} . \overline{4}\right)$ will yield the generating function for com pactly foldable triangulations. In particular, the generating function $f(p ; q ; z ; t)$ for planar trioolored triangulations $w$ th $a w e i g h t ~ p, q, z$ for the nodes of the three colors and $t^{1=3}$ per edge is obtained by taking the $N$ ! 1 lim it so as to select planar graphs only, and w ith $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{z}$ xed, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{p} ; q ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t}) \quad \lim _{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{l}_{1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}^{2}} \log Z(\mathrm{p} ; q ; \mathrm{t} ; \mathrm{zN} ; \mathrm{N}) \tag{6:8}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
t Q_{t} f(p ; q ; z ; t)=\frac{U_{e} U_{f} U_{g}}{t^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & U_{e} & U_{f} & U_{g} \tag{6:9}
\end{array}\right)
$$

$w$ here the functions $U_{e}, U_{f}$ and $U_{g}$ are determ ined as form al power series of $t$ by the equations

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
U_{e}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & U_{f} & \left.U_{g}\right)
\end{array}\right) p t \\
U_{f}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & U_{g} & \left.U_{e}\right)
\end{array}\right) q t  \tag{6:10}\\
U_{g}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & U_{e} & \left.U_{f}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{zt}
\end{array}
$$

$w$ ith the condition $U_{a}=O(t), a=e ; f ; g$. This solution was altematively recovered in Ref.[][̄]-1] by solving a rectangular $m$ atrix $m$ odel. Rem arkably, the functions $U_{a}=t$ are nothing but generating functions for rooted planar trees w ith tricolored vertioes and a root
 which is easily seen to generate all trees w ith root colored e weighted by p and arbitrary $m$ any descending subtree of color for $g$ attached to the root by inner edges weighted by $t$. The reason for this apparently $m$ ysterious coincidence between generating functions for node-tricolored triangulation and node-tricolored trees $m$ ay be explained in a purely com binatorial way. Indeed, a series of works [ī1
various classes of planar graphs and possibly decorated trees. In the present case, such a bijection exists which consist in cutting the triangulations so as to form tricolored trees


By elim inating $U_{f}$ and $U_{g}$, we get the follow ing 5th order equation for $U_{e}$

$$
U_{e}^{2}\left(1 \quad U_{e}\right)^{2}\left(1 \quad 2 U_{e}+2\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{q} & \mathrm{z}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{t}^{2}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}} \tag{6:11}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \mathrm{p}^{2} \quad \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{e}}^{2}(\mathrm{z} \quad \mathrm{q})^{2}
$$

of which we should retain the unique solution $w$ ith behavior $U_{e} \quad p t$ at $s m$ all $t$. The values of $U_{f}$ and $U_{g}$ are obtained from $U_{e}$ by perm uting the weights $p ; q ; z$.



Fig.27: The value of $t(p ; q ; z)$ in the dom ain $z \quad q \quad p$.
The case $p=q=z$ is $m$ uch sim pler as we $m$ ay write $U_{e}=U_{f}=U_{g} \quad U$, where $U$ is the solution of the quadratic equation $U\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 2 U\end{array}\right)=z t$, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{4}(1 \quad p \overline{1 \quad 8 z t}) \tag{6:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he generating function $f(z ; z ; z ; t)$ therefore reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{te}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{U}^{3}}{\mathrm{t}^{2}}(1 \quad 3 \mathrm{U})=\frac{z}{8 t} \quad 2 \quad \frac{1}{4 z t} \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{1} 8 \mathrm{zt})+1 \quad 6 z t \tag{6:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce the number $N_{s}$ of Eulerian triangulations with 3 s edges (i.e. 2 s faces) and a m arked oriented edge.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{s}=\frac{3}{2} 2^{s} \frac{(2 s)!}{s!(s+2)!} \quad \frac{3}{2^{P}}=\frac{8^{s}}{s^{5=2}} \tag{6:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreem ent w ith Tutte's result [4]ī]. This result is to be com pared to the case of arbitrary

 free energy $f_{s}(p ; q ; z)$ of tricolored triangulationsw ith $3 s$ edges behaves generically for large s as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s}(p ; q ; z) \quad C(p ; q ; z) \frac{t_{?}(p ; q ; z)^{s}}{s^{\frac{7}{2}}} \tag{6:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $t ?(p ; q ; z)$ is the value oft at which the function $U_{e}$ becom es singular. By hom ogeneity, we have $t_{\text {? }}(p ; q ; z)=(1=p) T(z=p ; q=p)$ and, by sym $m$ etry, we can restrict ourselves to the dom ain where, for instance, $z \quad q \quad p . F$ igure 27 represents $t_{?}(p ; q ; z)$ in this dom ain and gives som e explicit form ulas on the boundary of the dom ain. The value $7=2$ of the exponent in form ula ( $\left(6 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{S}_{1}\right)$ is a general feature of so-called \pure gravity" $m$ odels that describe the universality class of uctuating 2D -space w ithoutm atter. It is a universal result, com $m$ on to all planar graph enum eration problem $s$ at generic values of their param eters, i.e. w ithout ne-tuning. This is a particular application of the so-called K P Z relations $[\overline{1 ̄} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$ described in detail in Section 7 below, for the coupling to gravity of a trivial conform al $m$ atter theory $w$ th central charge $c=0$.

The above enum eration of ulerian triangulations solves the problem of com pact folding, i.e.enum erating con gurations ofrandom triangulations com pletely folded onto a single triangle. The Eulerian nature of a triangulation allow s to orient all its links in a coherent way, which in tum allow s for a proper de nition of the link variables in the form oftangent unit vectors $w$ th a vanishing sum around each face. In order to describe the $m$ ost general foldings of the triangulation, we sim ply have to assign colors to the links representing the orientation of the corresponding tangent vector in the folded con guration. To obtain all folded states, we have to consider all the trioolorings of these links w ith three colors A, B or $C$, distinct around each triangle. In other words, the folding of random planar triangulations is equivalent to the sim ultaneous triooloring of the nodes and of the links. A s opposed to the tricoloring of the nodes which is essentially unique, the tricoloring of the links is a souroe of entropy. W hile the problem of tricoloring of the links only was solved $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[50} & \left.1,5 \bar{L}_{1} 1\right]\end{array}\right]$ the sim ultaneous tricoloring of both the links and the nodes is still an open question.
7. Statisticalm odels coupled to 2D Q uantum G ravity

The problem of folding of random triangulations studied in Section 6 above is a particular case of statistical m odel coupled to 2D Q uantum G ravity (2D Q G). This sim ply $m$ eans that we replace the underly ing regular lattice of som e ordinary 2D statisticalm odel by a som ew hat arbitrary tessellation of the sphere or of som e higher genus surface. The tessellation becom es therefore part of the con guration to be sum $m$ ed over. Such $m$ odels were introduced as discrete descriptions of 2D quantum gravity, where $\backslash m$ atter" m odels are coupled to the quantum uctuations of the underlying 2D \space", represented in the discrete by the tessellations (see e.g. Ref. $1 \hat{i} 00-1]$ for a review and m ore references). To each tessellation , we associate a statisticalw eight directly borrow ed from the E instein action in 2D, nam ely a weight $N$ () $g^{A}$ () where and A are respectively the Euler characteristic and area of the tessellation (respectively $m$ easured via = \# faces \# edgest \# vertices and $A=\#$ faces or vertioes), and where $N$ and $g$ are the discrete counterparts of the $N$ ew ton constant and cosm ological constant. For each tessellation together with a matter conguration, realized for instance via a set of spins f $g$ on , we also have a weighte $E$ (f g) for som e energy functionalE. We also $m$ ake the standard choice of dividing the resulting weight by the order $\lambda^{A} u t(; f$ g) jof the autom onphism group of the tesselation together w ith its spin con guration. T his leads for instance to the discretized partition function of any 2D statistical lattice model coupled to 2D quantum gravity

N ote that the param eter N allow s to isolate the contributions oftessellations of xed genus. In the follow ing we w ill be m ainly interested in the genus zero contributions, obtained by letting N ! 1 . N ote also that the \free energy" $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{Log} \mathrm{Z}$ selects only the connected tessellations in the sum (7].ī1).

Like in the xed lattice case, we are interested in the therm odynam ic lim it of the system, in which say the average area or som e related quantity diverges, ensuring that the dom inant contributions to $Z$ com e from large tessellations. T his is guaranteed in generalby the existence of a critical value $g_{c}$ of the cosm ologicalconstant $g$ at which such divergences take place. This value is a prioria function of the type of random lattioes we sum over as well as of the various m atter param eters. W em ay now attain interesting criticalpoints by also letting the $m$ atter param eters approach critical values, a prioridistinct from those on xed lattioes. The result is welldescribed by the coupling of the corresponding C F T 's w ith
quantum uctuations of space, nam ely by letting the $m$ etric of the underlying 2D space uctuate. Such uctuationsm ay be represented in the conform algauge by yet another eld theory, the Liouville eld theory, which is coupled to them atter C FT.This eld theoretical setting allow s for a com plete understanding of the various critical exponents occurring at these critical points [ī̄̄̄]]. For instance, one de nes the (genus zero) string susceptibility exponent str as the exponent associated to the cosm ological constant singularity, nam ely by writing the singularity of the free energy as

$$
F \dot{j}_{\text {jing }} /\left(\begin{array}{ll}
g_{c} & g \tag{7:2}
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad \text { str }
$$

In the case of coupling of a $m$ atter theory with central charge $c$ to $2 D Q G$, one has the exact relation

$$
\operatorname{str} \quad \operatorname{str}(\mathrm{c})=\frac{\left.\mathrm{C} \quad 1^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{(1} \quad \mathrm{c}\right)\left(\begin{array}{lll}
25 & \mathrm{C} \tag{7:3}
\end{array}\right)}{12}
$$

In the case of \pure gravity", nam ely when the $m$ atter is trivial and has $c=0$, we get $\operatorname{str}=1=2$, while for the critical Ising modelw ith $c=1=2$ we have $s t r=1=3$.

U pon coupling to gravity the spinless operators of the CFT ( $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}))$ get \dressed" by gravity ( $\mathrm{h}!\sim_{\mathrm{h}}$ ) and acquire dressed dim ensions, given sim ilarly by [42 $\overline{-} \overline{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{p \overline{1 p c+24 h}}{p_{\bar{c}}^{25 \quad c}} \frac{p \overline{1 \quad c}}{\overline{1 / c}} \tag{7:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s opposed to the xed lattice case, where conform al dim ensions govem the fallo of correlation functions of operators $w$ ith distance, the dressed operators of quantum gravity do not involve distances, as their position is integrated over the surfaces, but rather only involve changes of area at xed genus. M ore precisely the general genus zero correlators behave in the vicinity of $g_{c}$ [ī2̄그] as

$$
\mathrm{h} \quad{ }_{1} \quad::{ }_{k} \mathrm{i} \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
g_{c} & g
\end{array}\right)^{2} \quad{ }_{\text {str }} \mathrm{P}_{1 i_{k}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & 1 \tag{7:5}
\end{array}\right)
$$

These results $m$ ay be easily translated into the large (but xed) area A behavior of the various them odynam ic quantities, upon perform ing a Laplace transform, which selects the coe cient of $g^{A}$ in the various expansions. Let $F_{A}$ denote the partition function for connected tessellations of genus zero and area A , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{A} \quad \frac{g_{C}{ }^{A}}{A^{3} \text { str }} \tag{7:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\mathrm{h}:$ :: $\mathrm{i}_{\AA}$ denotes any genus zero correlator at xed area, we have

In Ref. $1 \bar{i} 2 \overline{2}]$, all these form ulas were also generalized to higher genus as well. $N$ ote nally that Eqs.( \c = 1 barrier" beyond which the gravitationalsystem degenerates into in nitely branched structures (branched polym er phase of 2D Q G ).

A $s$ an illustration of Eq.(7̄. $\mathbf{- 1})$, recall that the num ber of E ulerian triangulations of the sphere w ith A triangular tiles and w th a m arked edge reads from Eq.(6.

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{A}=\frac{3}{2} 2^{A=2} \frac{(A)!}{(A=2)!(A=2+2)!} \quad 6^{r} \frac{{ }_{2}^{2}}{-\frac{8^{A}=2}{A^{5=2}}} \tag{7:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{A}=2 \mathrm{~s}$ the num ber of triangles. N oting that the rooting simply am ounts to $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}} /$ $A F_{A}$, hence the asym ptotics ( $\overline{\left(7-Q_{1}^{\prime}\right)}$ correspond to $g_{C}=1={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{8}$ and str $=1=2$, hence $c=0$ according to (7]. class of pure gravity, nam ely by sum $m$ ing over som e bare tessellations w ithout $m$ atter on them.

The asym ptotic enum eration for $m$ ost com binatorial problem $s$ involving planar (or
 generalizations. This allow s to predict the corresponding con gurational exponents provided one is able to identify the central charge c of the underlying C FT. This latter step how ever $m$ ay prove to be quite involved. In fact, a lesson of the forthcom ing Section is that the naive application of these form ulas $m$ ay lead to $w$ rong results for statisticalm odels whose de nition strongly relies on the structure of the underlying (whether xed or random ) lattice. This is precisely the case for the problem s of folding or of fully packed loops that we are interested in.

## 8. O ne- avor fully packed loops

### 8.1. Fully packed loops on random trivalent graphs

W e now come to the random version of the FPL(n) model, i.e. to a gas of fully packed loops on random planar trivalent graphs (dual to random triangulations). Them ost natural version consists in sum $m$ ing over allplanar trivalent graphs. A typical, connected


Fig.28: A $n$ exam ple ofcon guration of fully packed loops on a random planar trivalent graph.
con guration of this $m$ odel is represented in $F$ ig 28. It is $m$ ade of a set ofdisjoint or nested loops, each with a weight $n$, linked together by non-crossing arches, corresponding to the links not visited by loops.

The partition function $Z_{s}$ of the $m$ odel, which counts the num ber of con gurations w ith 2 s nodes behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{s} \quad C \frac{R^{s}}{s^{3}} \tag{8:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C, R$ and depend on $n$. The value of was obtained exactly by $m$ atrix integral techniques (see Ref. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}2 \\ 2\end{array}\right]$ ) $w$ ith the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{e}{1 e} \quad n=2 \cos (e) ; \quad 0 \quad e \quad 1 \tag{8:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ay also consider the partition sum h 1 ii counting FPL(n) con gurations in the presence of tw o m arked points connected by lopen lines. The latter is characterized by a con gurational exponent $1(n)$ through $h 1 \quad i_{s} \quad R^{s}=S^{1(n)}$, w ith the result [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(n)=1+\frac{1}{2} \tag{8:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $n$.
As an ilhustration, a particularly interesting case is that of $n!0$ forwhich con gurations have only one loop. This case therefore describes the problem of enum eration of H am ittonian cycles, i.e. closed loops passing through all the nodes of the random trivalent graph [1] nite oriented line as in F ig 29, we sim ply obtain a con guration with 2 s nodes on the line


Fig. 29: An exam ple of oriented H am iltonian cycle on a planar random trivalent graph (left). By cutting the link and stretching the loop into an oriented in nite straight line, one obtains a system of arches on top and below the line (right).
connected by pairs via a set of, say k non-intersecting arches in the upper half plane and


$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \mathrm{sZ}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{s}}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}+1} \quad \underline{4} \frac{16^{s}}{\mathrm{~s}^{3}} \tag{8:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $C$ atalan num ber $c_{s}=(2 s)!(s!(s+1)!)$ counts the num ber of possible con gurations ofs non-intersecting arches. O ne thus nds an exponent $=1$, which is com patible $w$ th Eq. ( $\overline{8}-\overline{2})$ for $n=0(e=1=2)$.


Fig. 30 : E xam ples of con gurations with tw o m arked points connected by 1 open lines, for $l=1$ (top) and $l=2$ (bottom)

W e may sim ilarly count con gurations with two m arked points connected by lopen lines. For instance, the case $l=1$ corresponds to having a segm ent dressed by arches (see Fig.30). The num ber of such con gurations w ith 2 s trivalent nodes is clearly given by $2^{2 s} c_{s} \quad 16^{s}=s^{3=2}$, in agreem ent w ith Eq.( 8 . describes con gurations of a Ham ittonian cycle w ith two m arked links (see Fig.30), in num ber $4 \mathrm{~s}^{2} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad 16^{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{s}^{2}$, in agreem ent w ith Eq. ( $(8)$
 central charge $c=c_{\text {dense }}(n)=1 \quad 6 e^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & e\end{array}\right)$. Sim ilarly, by using Eq. $(\bar{i} \cdot \overline{7})$ w ith $k=2$,
 for $1(n)$ is com patible w ith a conform al dim ension $2 h=x_{1}(n)$ as given by Eq. ( 5 : $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{2}^{2}\right)$. To conclude, the m odelF PL(n) de ned on arbitrary random trivalent graphs corresponds to the coupling to gravity of a conform al theory of central charge $c_{\text {dense }}(n)$ as if the loops were not fully packed, a result further con $m$ ed by the identi cation of the spectrum of dim ensions $x_{1}(n)$. N aively, we would have expected a central charge equal to that, Cfully packed $(\mathrm{n})$, of the FPL ( n ) m odel on a regular lattice. In other words, we do not observe here the phenom enon c! c + 1 which we found for the regular lattice when passing from dense loops to fully packed loops.

The explanation for this clearly com es from the strong coupling of the $m$ atter $m$ odel to the sym $m$ etries of its underlying space. For instance, fully packed loops on the regular lattice all have even length, a necessary condition for view ing them as the BC loops of som e A B C tricoloring. W e can therefore consider a slightly constrained model in which we im pose that loops be of even length on the random lattice. It was show n how ever [ the $O(n)$ m odelw ith loops of even length on random graphs is in the universality class of the $O(n=2) \mathrm{m}$ odel. T his does not lead to the desired $\mathrm{c}!\mathrm{c}+1$ phenom enon. A s we shall see just below, we need to apply a much m ore drastic constraint by reducing the class of random graphs itself in order to recover the desired central charge cfully packed $(n)$ of the regular case.

### 8.2. Fully packed loops on random trivalent bipartite graphs

On the regular lattice, the central charge $c=2$ of the FPL(2) model as opposed to $c=1$ for the $O$ (2) m odel had a sim ple geom etrical intenpretation, as describing the 2 degrees of freedom of the 2d positions of nodes for the equivalent 2 d folding problem of the triangular lattice. On the other hand, we also observed that this 2 d folding picture could be extended to the case of random triangulations provided we restricted their class
to the Eulerian ones. Indeed the latter are precisely the triangulations foldable onto the plane. In the dual language of trivalent graphs, this condition am ounts to requiring that the graph be bipartite, i.e. $m$ ay be node bicolored. Beside the folding interpretation, we also noticed in the Coulom.b gas approach of Section 5.1 that the rules for de ning a twodim ensional height use explicitly the bipartite nature of the hexagonal lattice (see Fig 20). $W$ e therefore expect this bipartite nature to be also crucial in the random case.

In this Section, we concentrate on the two cases $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ for which we show that the FPL(n) m odel, when de ned on trivalent node-bicolored graphs, does indeed have the \increased" central charge $c_{\text {fully }}$ packed $(n)=c_{\text {dense }}(n)+1$ as given by Eq. (5. In the case $\mathrm{n}=0$, this translates into a rem arkable irrational critical exponent for a very sim ple apparently harm less com binatorial problem .

For $n=0$, the FPL(0) m odeldescribes the con gurations of a $H$ am iltonian cycle, i.e. a single loop visiting all the nodes of the random bicolored trivalent graph (or equivalently visiting all faces of the E ulerian triangulation). W e would like to com pute the num ber $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{E}}$ of such con gurationswith 2s trivalent nodes.


Fig.31: An exam ple of con guration of arches around a line obtained by cutting the Ham iltonian cycle. The white and black nodes altemate along the line. E ach ardh connects a white node to a black one.

O nce again wem ay open the H am iltonian cycle at any of its 2 s links so as to build an oriented line containing all the original nodes, together with a system of arches connected them by pairs either in the upper- or low er-half plane delim ited by the line (see Fig.31). C om paring this situation w th that of previous Section, we now have two additional constraints:
(i) the colons of the nodes along the line altemate betw een black and white;
(ii) each arch connects nodes of opposite colors.

This com binatorial problem, although extrem ely sim ply stated, is still open. W e can how ever estim ate the con guration exponent of the problem with reasonable accuracy by direct enum eration for sm all enough sizes s. A rst approach, explained in Ref.[ī1in,
consists in rst building the two system s of arches on top and below the line and to count the num ber of ways to interlock them so that the black and white nodes altemate. A second approach consists in using a transfer $m$ atrix to generate the system $s$ of arches from left to right. A sim ilar technique w ill.be discussed in detail in part $D$ devoted to $m$ eanders. $T$ he rst values of $s Z{ }_{s}^{E}$ for $s=1 ; 2 ; \quad ; 22$ are given in the table below :

| 1 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | 8 |
| 3 | 40 |
| 4 | 228 |
| 5 | 1424 |
| 6 | 9520 |
| 7 | 67064 |
| 8 | 492292 |
| 9 | 3735112 |
| 10 | 29114128 |
| 11 | 232077344 |
| 12 | 1885195276 |
| 13 | 15562235264 |
| 14 | 130263211680 |
| 15 | 1103650297320 |
| 16 | 9450760284100 |
| 17 | 81696139565864 |
| 18 | 712188311673280 |
| 19 | 6255662512111248 |
| 20 | 55324571848957688 |
| 21 | 492328039660580784 |
| 22 | 4406003100524940624 |

W e m ay easily extract from these data an estim ate for the exponent (see Fig.32), nam ely $=0: 77(1)$. This value di ers clearly from the value $=1$ obtained in previous Section for non Eulerian triangulations. M oreover, this new value is now com patible w th a central charge $c=c_{\text {fully packed }}(0)=1$, forwhich, according to (ī3), reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1+{ }^{p} \overline{13}}{6} \quad 0: 76759 \tag{8:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $c=10: 05$. This leaves not $m$ uch doubt on the fact that $c=c_{f u l l y}$ packed $(0)=1$ as expected. A s a consequence, we predict that our sim ple com binatorial problem displays a quite rem arkable irrational con guration exponent, given by Eq. ( (8̄.

W e now tum to the case of the FPL(1) m odel on node-bicolored trivalent random graphs, discussed in Ref.[1] $\overline{2}]$. For $n=1$, and only at this value, the connectivity of the loops plays no role and allow s for local transform ations. Let us orient all the links tow ard their ad jacent black node. By contracting into a single tetravalent node each pair


Fig. 32: Estim ates of extracted from the exact enum eration for $s Z_{\mathrm{s}}^{\mathrm{E}}$ (see table) in the Eulerian ( ) case and from $2 \mathrm{sZ}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}+1}$ in the non Eulerian case ( ). The $x$-axis coordinate corresponds to the $m$ inim al value of $s$ used in the determ ination. T he di erent curves correspond to successive iterations of the convergence algorithm used (see Ref.[ijl]). The value of , equal to 1 in the non Eulerian case, is equal to $0: 77$ (1) in the Eulerian case.
of trivalent nodes separated by an unvisited link, we end up with a tetravalent graph w ith oriented links. M oreover the orientations obey the so-called ice-rule of the six-vertex model, nam ely that each node have exactly two ingoing and two outgoing arrows (see Fig.33). M ore precisely, the two ingoing arrow s m ust be consecutive around the node, which corresponds to the particular point of the six-vertex $m$ odelw here one of the weights $\left(w_{3}\right)$ is zero (see Fig.33). The six-vertex $m$ odel was solved by use of $m$ atrix integrals in Ref.[5] point, and on the other hand that the latter is described by the coupling to gravity of a particular CFT w ith central charge $c=1$. This corresponds precisely to the expected result $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{Cfully}_{\text {packed }}(\mathrm{n}=1)=1$.

To conclude th is part, the above analysis of the cases $n=0$ and $n=1$ suggests that the speci c universality class of fully packed loops observed on the regular lattice is preserved provided we im pose the bicolorability of the underlying trivalent graph, i.e. the Eulerian nature of the dual random triangulation. Sum $m$ ing over such triangulations willbe called


Fig. 33: M apping of the F P L (1) m odel on node-bicolored trivalent graphs onto a particular point of the gravitational six-vertex model on random tetravalent graphs. Top: orienting all links tow ard black nodes and contracting unvisited links, we obtain tetravalent nodes with two consecutive ingoing and tw o consecutive outgoing arrow s. B ottom : the six localenviron$m$ ents of the \six-vertex $m$ odel" and their respective weights on the regular square lattice. O nce de ned on a random tetravalent graph, the rst two pairs of environm ents are indistinguishable, hence we m ust set $\mathrm{w}_{1}=\mathrm{w}_{2}$. T he constraint of having two consecutive ingoing arrows im poses $w_{3}=0$.

Eulerian gravity for obvious reasons. N ow we m ay de ne two possible \gravitational" m odels of fully packed loops on random trivalent graphs.

W e m ay sum over arbitrary trivalent graphs. As the dual triangulation $w$ ill in general not be Eulerian, it will not be foldable, and the extra degree of freedom $w$ ill be lost. T he string susceptibility of the corresponding gravitationalm odel w ill be com puted using Eq.(7̄) w ith the dense central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ordinary gravity }: c=1 \quad 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e} ; \quad n=2 \cos e \tag{8:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ay sum overbipartite trivalent graphs, whose dual triangulation is autom atically foldable, thus preserving the height variable in $R^{2}$. T he string susceptibility m ust


$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Eulerian gravity }: c=2 \quad 6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 e} ; \quad n=2 \mathrm{cos} e \tag{8:7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $\mathrm{n}=2$ describing the 2 d folding of (foldable) random triangulations, we expect a CFT w ith central charge cfully packed (2) $=2$ whose coupling to gravity would lead us
beyond the above-m entioned $\mathrm{c}=1$ barrier above which the relation (ī) no longer applies. O n heuristics grounds, we expect that the statistics of folding should be dom inated by congurations where the folded surface degenerates into a highly branched one-dim ensional structure. A con $m$ ation of this im age would require solving the sim ultaneous triooloring ofboth nodes and links of random triangulations, an open question.

## PARTD:MEANDERS

This part is devoted to the study of m eanders, also equivalent to self-avoiding folding con gurations of a one-dim ensional lattice. B eyond exact results for m eander-related problem $s$, w e present a random -lattice fully packed loop description of the problem leading to precise predictions for the asym ptotics of various $m$ eandric num bers. T hese predictions are tested num erically w ith rem arkable agreem ent. A lesson ofthis study is the equivalence betw een the 1D self-avoiding folding and the 2D phantom folding of random foldable but non-unfoldable quadrangulations.
9. 1D self-avoiding folding: M eanders

T he study of previous Sections w as lim ited to phantom folding problem s, w ith lattiges m ade of interpenetrable cells. In particular, we concentrated on statisticalm odels of folded states w ithout any reference to an actual folding process in a possibly higher dim ension. The question of self-avoidance, where we now prevent cells from intenpenetrating oneanother is extrem ely di cult. A s we shall see now, even in the sim plest 1D case, the problem is highly non-trivial and tums out to belong to the sam e class as the so-called m eander problem, a notoriously di cult sub ject.

### 9.1. T he m eander problem


$F$ ig. 34 : $T$ he $M_{3}=8$ con gurations ofm eanders for $2 n=6$ bridges.

The problem of $m$ eanders is one of the com binatorial problem $s w$ hich, although very sim ply stated, still resist any attem pt to an exact enum eration, would it be only for the asym ptotics. The m eander problem $m$ ay be stated as follow $s$ : nd the num ber $M_{n}$ of topologically inequivalent con gurations of a closed non-intersecting circuit crossing a river through 2 n bridges. In this form ulation, the river is assum ed to be an in nite oriented
line. N ote that, by closing the river into a loop and by opening and deform ing the circuit into a line, the circuit and the river play sym $m$ etric roles. The denom ination \m eanders" refers rather to the dual picture where the river $m$ eanders around the circuit but, for historical reasons, we shall work w thin the rst fom ulation. For illustration, the gure 34 represents the $\mathrm{M}_{3}=8$ con gurations of $m$ eanders $w$ ith 6 bridges. In the follow ing, we shall be $m$ ainly interested in the asym ptotics of $M_{n}$ for large num bers of bridges, as well as various generalizations.
9.2. M eanders as a 1 D self-avoiding folding problem


Fig.35: C orrespondence between (left) the folding of a closed strip of $2 n$ stam ps and (right) a m eander with 2 n bridges. For clarity, the stam ps are represented $w$ ith di erent lengths and the folding is slightly undone.

The problem of $m$ eanders is related to that of the self-avoiding folding of a closed chain of segm ents of unit length. Im agine for instance a strip of $2 n$ post-stam ps attached so as to form a loop. W e want to count the distinct ways of maxim ally folding this strip on top of a single distinguished stam $p$. The main di culty of the problem com es from the avoidance of the stam ps which cannot interpenetrate one-another. Such a folding is represented on the left in F ig. 35 . W e m ay now im agine piercing the stam ps with a needle and a piece of thread and then knotting the thread into a loop (see Fig.35). Let us then open the strip at the level of the $m$ arked stam $p$ and unfold it into a straight line (river), while the thread (circuit) $m$ eanders around it (see Fig.35). This transform ation is clearly a one-to-one m apping between the 1D self-avoiding foldings of a closed chain of length 2 n on top of one of its segm ents and $m$ eanders $w$ th $2 n$ bridges.


Fig.36: The four ways (a) of folding a strip of 3 stam ps (here view ed from the side) on a single stam $p$. The transform ation (b) of a com pact folding of a strip of $n 1$ stam $p s$ (onto a single stam $p$ ) into a sem i-m eander $w$ ith $n$ bridges. The sem i-m eanders correspond to the case of a sem i-in nite river w ith a source ( ) around which the circuit $m$ ay $w$ ind.

The sam e correspondence applies to the folding of an open chain of, say n 1 segm ents attached to a xed support (see Fig.36-(a)). In th is case, the transform ation leads to what is called a sem i-m eander $w$ th $n$ bridges (in correspondence $w$ th the $n \quad 1$ segm ents and the support), i.e. a con guration of a closed non-intersecting circuit crossing a sem i-in nite river (w ith a source) through $n$ bridges. $N$ ote that in this case, the circuit $m$ ay $w$ ind freely around the source. B oth $m$ eanders and sem i-m eanders $w i l l$ be studied below in a uni ed fram ew ork.

### 9.3. A brief history of $m$ eanders

The meander problem is quite old. It is mentioned as early as 1891 under the
 Sainte-Lague devotes a chapter of his book \A vec des nom bres et des lignes: recreations

 the problem re-appeared w ith the work of mol'd ${ }_{\underline{6}-\overline{1} 1]}^{1}$ in connection $w$ ith the 16th $H$ ibert problem (the enum eration of ovals of algebraic planar curves). T hem odem form ulation of
the problem, as just presented above, is due to Lando and Zvonkin tī̄2̄], who also introduced the nam $e \backslash m$ eander". Let us nally $m$ ention that the $m$ eander problem has $m$ any facets in relation w ith: $m$ athem atical problem s such as the classi cation of 3 -surfaces [6̄3̄1, 1 , com puter science problem s such as the study of planar perm utations $[\overline{6} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$, or even artistic questions such as the description of $m$ azes in $R$ om an $m$ osaics [ [ $\overline{6} \overline{5}]$.

D espite a num ber of attem pts, the problem is still unsolved to this day. The $m$ ost im portant result of this part $D$ is the prediction of a num ber of con gurationalexponents, all irrational, for $m$ eanders and such.

## 10. Solvable cases

A num ber of exact results are know $n$ for variants of the $m$ eander problem. These results rely on various descriptions using either direct com binatorics via arch statistics [i] explicit evaluations via $m$ atrix $m$ odels Lieb algebra [1] ī].
10.1. G eneralization: m ulti-circuit $m$ eanders


Fig.37: A typicalm eander (a) with $2 \mathrm{n}=16$ bridges and $\mathrm{k}=4$ circuits. A typical sem i-m eander (b) with $n=11$ bridges, $k=3$ circuits and $w$ inding $\mathrm{w}=3$.

In the follow ing, we consider the generalized case ofm eanders $w$ ith $k$ circuits stillm ade of a single river but w ith a num ber $k$ of non-intersecting, possibly interlocking, circuits. W e shall denote by $M_{n}{ }^{(k)}$ the num ber of topologically inequivalent con gurations ofk nonintersecting circuits which cross a river through a total num ber of 2 n bridges, w ith clearly $\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{n}$ (w e require that each circuit crosses the river). M ore sim ply, we shall refer to these con gurations as m eanders w ith $2 n$ bridges and $k$ circuits (see F ig. 37) . Sim ilarly, we shall denote by $S M_{n}{ }^{(k)}$ the num ber of sem i-m eanders $w$ ith $n$ bridges and $k$ circuits corresponding to the case where the river is a sem i-in nite line w ith a source around which the $k$ circuits $m$ ay $w$ ind (see $F$ ig.37). H ere again, one necessarily has $k n . W$ ith these de nitions, the originalproblem $s$ ofm eanders and sem i-m eanders correspond to $k=1$.

Instead of working at constant $k$, it is easier to let $k$ vary and to introduce a weight q per circuit. O ne then de nes the partition functions
$M_{n}(q) \quad X^{n} q^{k} M_{n}^{(k)}$
$S M_{n}(q) \quad X_{k=0}^{n} q^{k} S M_{n}^{(k)}$
$T$ he original cases of $m$ eanders and sem i-m eanders can be recovered by considering the $\lim$ it $q$ ! 0 of $_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{q}$ (resp. $\left.\mathrm{SM}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{q}\right)$.

For large n, we expect asym ptotic behaviors of the form :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
M_{n}(q) & C(q) \frac{R(q)^{2 n}}{n(q)}  \tag{10:2}\\
S M_{n}(q) & C(q) \frac{R(q)^{n}}{n(q)}
\end{array}
$$

where (q) and (q) are the con gurational exponents. In the case of sem im eanders, we can also de ne the $w$ inding $w$ as the depth of the source, nam ely the $m$ inim al num ber of circuit crossings in a path from the source to in nity (see Fig.37). W e m ay then consider the average value of $w$ for con gurations $w$ ith $n$ bridges and a weight $q$ per circuit. W e expect the follow ing behavior at large $n$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h w i_{n} \text { (q) } \quad n^{(q)} \tag{10:3}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig 38 : By opening the river, we transform any sem i-m eander with $n$ bridges into a $m$ eander $w$ th 2 n bridges. The opened circuits are com pleted by connecting diam etrically opposite bridges via nested arches form ing a rainbow, thus keeping track of their connectivity. The winding (here w $=3$ ) corresponds to the num ber of arches above the $m$ iddle point ( ).
w ith a winding exponent 0 (q) 1 . It is clear that n and w have the sam e parity and that $m$ eanders correspond to sem i-m eanders $w$ ith $2 n$ bridges and $w$ ith $a \mathrm{w}$ inding $\mathrm{w}=0$.
$N$ ote conversely that a sem i-m eander $w$ ith $n$ bridges $m$ ay be view ed as a particular $m$ eander w th 2 n bridges by \opening" the river (see Fig.38), hence splitting each bridge in two. The connectivity of the loop is preserved by connecting the new ly form ed pairs of bridges via a \rainbow " of nested arches.

Two situations are a priori possible:
(i) (q) $<1$ and $R(q)=R(q)$. This case corresponds to a situation where the winding becom es negligible for large $n$ and the entropy per bridge for $m$ eanders and sem i$m$ eanders is thus the sam $e$;
or:
(ii) (q) $=1$ and $R(q)<R(q)$. This case corresponds to a situation where the possibility of $w$ inding generates an extra con gurational them odynam ic entropy per bridge. W e shall see in next Section that both situations (i) and (ii) actually occur, depending on the value of $q$.
10.2. C om binatorial solutions at $q=1,1$ and 1: exact enum eration via arch statistics

The list of exactly know $n$ enum erations ofm ulti-circuit $m$ eanders reduces to the three cases $q!1, q=1$ and $q=1$. In all cases, the problem is reduced to that of arch statistics. A m ulti-circuitm eanderw ith $2 n$ bridges $m$ ay indeed be seen as the juxtaposition of two arbitrary system s of $n$ arches, one on each side of the river, and connected at the bridges. Sim ilarly, by opening the river (see Fig.38), any multi-circuit sem i-m eander is the juxtaposition of a system of $n$ arches on one side and the particular system $m$ ade of a rainbow of $n$ nested arches on the other side.

The num ber of possible con gurations of $n$ non-intersecting arches is given by the celebrated C atalan num bers:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{n} \quad \frac{(2 n)!}{n!(n+1)!} \tag{10:4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $q=1$ where we ignore the num ber of circuits, we deduce that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& M_{n}(q=1)=\left(C_{n}\right)^{2} \quad \frac{1}{-\frac{4^{2 n}}{n^{3}}} \\
& S M_{n}(q=1)=C_{n} \quad p^{1}=\frac{4^{n}}{n^{\frac{3}{2}}} \tag{10:5}
\end{align*}
$$

from which we get $R(1)=R(1)=4,(1)=3$ and $(1)=3=2$. The winding num ber $w$ corresponds to the num ber of arches passing above the souroe of the river ( $m$ iddle-point in $F$ ig .38). Its average value is easily evaluated, w ith the asym ptotic behavior:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h w i_{n}(q=1) \quad \stackrel{2}{p=n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \tag{10:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $(q=1)=1=2$. $T$ his is a particular instance of the situation (i) of previous Section, in which the winding is negligible.

In the case $q$ ! 1 , we have to $m$ axim ize the number $k$ of circuits for $x e d n$, i.e. take $k=n$. In the case ofm eanders, this am ounts to requiring that the system $s$ of arches above and below the river be identical up to re ection. Sim ilarly, in the case of sem i$m$ eanders, the system of arches above the (opened) river $m$ ust be $m$ ade of $n$ nested arches. By re-closing the river, this selects a unique con guration $m$ ade of $n$ nested circles $w$ inding around the souroe. This gives:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{n}(q)^{q!~} 1 \quad G_{n} q^{n} \quad p^{1}=\frac{\left(2^{p} \bar{q}\right)^{2 n}}{n^{3=2}} \\
& S M_{n}(q)^{q!1} q^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and thus $R(q)!2^{p} \bar{q}, R(q)!q$ ( $q$ ) ! $3=2$, (q) ! 0 . O ne has clearly hw $i_{n}$ (q) ! $n$ as the unique sem i-m eander $w$ ith $n$ circuits has $w$ inding $n$, and thus $(q)=1$. This now corresponds to the situation (ii) described in previous Section. W e shall see later how this $q!1$ result $m$ ay be taken as the starting point of a system atic $1=q$ expansion.

Finally, a last solvable situation concems the case $q=1$ forwhich one show sthat

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
M_{n}(q= & 1)= & 0 & n \text { even }  \tag{10:8}\\
& \left(c_{p}\right)^{2} & n=2 p+1 \\
S M_{n}(q= & 1)= & 0 & n \text { even } \\
& C_{p} & n=2 p+1
\end{array}
$$

This result $m$ ay be proved by use of a sim ple involution for arches [1]

10.3. M ulti-river, $m$ ulti-circuit $m$ eanders: asym ptotic enum eration via $m$ atrix $m$ odels

W e now address a generalization of the $m$ eander problem in which we allow for anbitrarily $m$ any rivers form ing a set of nested or disjoint loops, crossed by arbitrarily $m$ any non-intersecting circuits, each $w$ ith a weight $q$. $W$ e shalldenote by $M_{n}(1 ; q)$ the generating function of the corresponding connected con gurations with one of the rivers opened into a line.

Follow ing the work of Ref. evaluate the exact asym ptotics of $M_{n}(1 ; q)$. W e proceed by com puting the generating function $\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; q}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{x})$ for possibly disconnected con gurations of arbitrary topology, with a weight $x$ per bridge and the standard gravitationalw eight N , the E uler characteristics of the corresponding graph. For integer $q$, this function is given by the multi-m atrix integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{x})=\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{dW} \mathrm{~dB}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~dB} \quad \mathrm{Tr} \frac{\mathrm{~W}^{2}}{2}+{ }_{a=1}^{\mathrm{Pa}_{4}} \frac{\mathrm{~B}_{a}^{2}}{2} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{a}=1}^{\mathrm{Pa}_{4}} \frac{(\mathrm{BaW})^{2}}{2} \tag{10:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is over $q+1, N \quad N \quad H e m$ itian $m$ atrioes and nom alized so that $\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathbb{N} ; 0)=1$. T he quantity $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}(1 ; q)$ is recovered in the planar lim it N ! 1 as the coe cient of $x{ }^{2 n}$ of the quantity $@_{x} \log \left(Z_{1 ; q}(\mathbb{N} ; x)\right)=N^{2}$. Indeed, the diagram $m$ atic expansion of (10.-. ${ }^{-1}$ ) involves connecting black or white halfedges representing $m$ atrix elem ents of $B_{a}$ or $W$ into a closed graph via the propagators
white edges: $\quad \mathrm{h} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \mathrm{i}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}}$ il $j \mathrm{k}=\quad:-\gg$
black edges : $h\left(B_{a}\right)_{i j}\left(B_{b}\right)_{k i} i=\frac{1}{N} a ; b$ il $j k=\quad: \longleftrightarrow$
and w ith sim ple intersection vertioes
$\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathbb{W} B_{a} W B_{a}\right)=$

$T$ his results in graphs $m$ ade of black loops intersecting white ones, and the sum over the index a produces a w eight q per black loop. This allow s to identify white loop with rivers and black ones with circuits.

Perform ing the $G$ aussian integration over all $B_{a} m$ atrioes, we are then left w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{1 ; q}(\mathbb{N} ; x)=\frac{d^{R} d W e^{N T r\left(W^{2}=2\right)} \operatorname{det}(1}{} \quad 1 \quad x W \quad W^{t}\right) \quad q=2 ~\left(W W e^{N T r\left(W^{2}=2\right)}\right. \tag{10:12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is just a G aussian average over one H em itian m atrix W .
$T$ he criticalsingularity of the genus zero free energy $f=\lim _{\mathrm{N}}!1 \log \left(\mathrm{Z}_{1 ; \mathrm{q}}(\mathbb{N} ; \mathrm{x})\right)=\mathrm{N}^{2}$ $(\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{x})^{2}$ is found [6̄-1] to tie at a critical value $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{q})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(q)=\frac{e^{2}}{2 \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{e}{2}\right)} \tag{10:13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have set $q=2 \cos (e)(0 \quad e<1)$, while the corresponding critical exponent reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{e}{1 e} \tag{10:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his exponent is precisely that expected from the K P Z form ula ( $\overline{7}=\mathbf{- 3})$ for the coupling to $2 \mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{G}$ of the dense $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{m}$ odelw ith centralcharge $C_{\text {dense }}(\mathrm{q})=1 \quad 6 \mathrm{e}^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{e}\end{array}\right)$ as given by
 particular critical loop m odels, and that the universality class of the present case coincides w ith that of the dense O (q) loop gas.
$T$ he above results translate into the $m$ ulti-river $m$ eander asym ptotics

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{n}(1 ; q) \quad \frac{R^{(1 ; q)^{2 n}}}{\mathrm{n}^{(1 ; q)}} \tag{10:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{align*}
R(1 ; q) & =\frac{1}{x(q)}=2 \frac{\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{e}{2}\right)}{e^{2}}  \tag{10:16}\\
(1 ; q) & =\frac{2 e}{1 e}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, we recover from these values the case of $m$ eanders $w$ ith arbitrarily $m$ any rivers and one single circuit by taking $q=0, e=1=2$, and $R(1 ; 0)=4, \quad(1 ; 0)=3$. These values coincide with those of previous Section for one river and anbitrarily $m$ any circuits, as it should by river-circuit duality. We list a few of the values $R(1 ; q)$ and $(1 ; q)$ for various fractions e in the table below .

| q | e | $\mathrm{R}(1 ; \mathrm{q})$ | $(1 ; q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\frac{1}{2}$ | 4 | 3 |
| 1 | $\frac{1}{3}$ | $\frac{9}{2}$ | $\frac{5}{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-2$ | $\frac{1}{4}$ | 16 | $8^{\mathrm{P}} \frac{1}{2}=4: 68:::$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-3$ | $\frac{1}{6}$ | 36 | $18^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{3}=4: 82:::$ |
| 2 | 0 | $\frac{7}{3}$ | $\frac{11}{5}$ |

10.4. M eander determ inant


Fig. 39: $T$ he $G_{n}(q) m$ atrix (here for $n=3, c_{3}=5$ ) obtained by juxtaposing allpairs (A;B) ofsystem sofn arches. Them atrix elem ent $G_{n}(q)_{A B}=q^{C(A ; B)}$ encodes the num ber of circuits $C(A ; B)$ of the obtained $m$ eander.

B eyond the particular enum erations above, another exact result concems the com putation for any $q$ of the $m$ eander determ inant de ned as follow $s$. $W$ e have seen that any
$m$ eander $w$ ith $2 n$ bridges (and $w$ ith an anbitrary num ber of circuits) $m$ ay be view ed as the juxtaposition of two system s of $n$ arches, say A and B. By taking all pairs of system s of $n$ arches, we de ne a sym m etric square $m$ atrix $G_{n}(q)$ of size $G_{n} \quad C_{n}$ whose elem ent $G_{n}(q)_{A}$ b, indexed by the pair ( $A$; $B$ ), is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})_{\mathrm{AB}}=\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B})} \tag{10:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $c(A ; B)$ denotes the num ber of circuits of the $m$ eander obtained by juxtaposing the arch system sA and B (see Fig.39). W e shall call \m eander determ inant" the determ inant of the $m$ atrix $G_{n}(q)$. It is rem arkable that this determ inant $m$ ay be com puted exactly, w ith the result [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}(q)\right)={ }_{i=1}^{Y_{i}^{n}} U_{i}(q)^{a_{n} ; i} \tag{10:18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $U_{i}$ 's are Chebyshev polynom ials de ned recursively as $U_{j+1}(q)=q U_{j}(q)$ $U_{j} \quad(q), U_{0}(q)=1, U_{1}(q)=q$. Equivalently, we have $U_{j}(2 \cos ())=\sin ((j+1) \quad)=\sin ()$, which leads to the equivalent form ula for the determ inant

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(G_{n}(q)\right)=\begin{align*}
& \text { 1 1in } \tag{10:19}
\end{align*} \quad q \quad 2 \cos \quad \frac{1}{i+1}
$$

For instance, for $n=3$, the determ inant of the $m$ atrix $G_{3}(q)$ represented in $F$ ig. 39 is equal
 link between the $m$ eander problem and the $T$ em perley-Lieb algebra between arch con gurations and reduced elem ents of the $T$ em perley-Lieb algebra $T L_{n}(q)$ goes as follow s. The Tem perley-Lieb algebra is expressed in its pictorial form, as acting on a \comb" of $n$ strings, w th the $n$ generators $1, e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}$ de ned as


Them ost generalelem ent e of $T L_{n}(q)$ is obtained by com posing the generators (100 $\left.\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{~d}}\right)$ like dom inoes. T he algebra is de ned through the follow ing relations betw een the generators

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { (i) } & \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}
\end{align*}=q \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{i}=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1
$$

The relation (ii) expresses the locality of the e's, nam ely that the e's com mute whenever they involve distant strings. The relations (i) and (iii) read respectively


In (i), we have replaced a closed loop by a factor $q$. Therefore we can think of $q$ as being a weight per circuit of string. In (iii), we have sim ply \pulled the string" num ber i+ 2 .

A n elem ent e $2 T L_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$ is said to be reduced if all its strings have been pulled and all its loops rem oved, and if it is further nom alized so as to read ${ }^{Q}{ }_{i 2}$ I $e_{i}$ for som eminim al nite set of indiges I. A reduced elem ent is form ed of exactly $n$ strings.


Fig. 40 : The transform ation of a reduced elem ent of $T \mathrm{~L} 9(\mathrm{q})$ into an arch conguration A of 9 arches. The reduced elem ent reads $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{A}} \quad \mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{e}_{4} \mathrm{e}_{2} \mathrm{e}_{5} \mathrm{e}_{3} \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{e}_{6} \mathrm{e}_{4} \mathrm{e}_{2}$.

There is a bijection between the reduced elem ents of the Tem perley-Lieb algebra $T L_{n}(q)$ and the arch con gurations $w i t h n$ arches. Starting from a reduced elem ent of $T L_{n}(q)$, we index the left ends of the $n$ strings by $1 ; 2 ;::: ; n$, and the right ends of the strings $2 \mathrm{n} ; 2 \mathrm{n} \quad 1 ;$ :::; $\mathrm{n}+1$ from top to bottom (see F ig. 40 for an illustration). Interpreting these ends as bridges, and placing them on a line, we obtain a planar pairing ofbridges by $m$ eans of non-intersecting strings, equivalent to a con guration ofn arches. C onversely, we can deform the arches of any arch con guration so as to form a reduced elem ent of $T L_{n}(q)$. A s a consequence, we have dim $\left(T L_{n}(q)\right)=C_{n}$, as vector space $w$ ith a basis form ed by all the reduced elem ents.

In the language of the Tem perley- i ieb algebra, the $m$ eander $m$ atrix $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$ is precisely interpreted as the $G$ ram $m$ atrix of the basis of reduced elem ents of $T L_{n}(q)$ (labeled by the corresponding arch con guration) w ith respect to the bilinear form $\left(e_{A} ; e_{B}\right)=G_{n}(q)_{A B}$ of Eq. ( 10.1 orthogonalization of this $m$ atrix, readily perform ed by use of the representation theory of the Tem perley-屯ieb algebra $[1 \overline{1}-\bar{q}]$.

The form ula (10. 10 did $) \mathrm{m}$ ay be extended to the $m$ ore general case ofm eanders $w$ th open arches $[\overline{6} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1}]$ or $m$ eanders based on the $H$ ecke algebra
11. Two- avor fully packed loops: exponents of the m eander problem
11.1. G eneralized $m$ eanders as random lattice loop $m$ odels


Fig.41: A n exam ple of generalized $m$ eander $w$ ith three loops of river (solid lines) and two circuits (dashed lines). The obtained graph is autom atically bipartite.

The $m$ ost elaborate description of the $m$ eander problem consists in view ing it as the \gravitational" version (i.e. de ned on random lattioes) of a fully packed loop model. As in Section 10.3, we consider the general case of multi-river multi-circuit m eanders (see $F$ ig.41). W e m oreover attach a weight $n_{1}$ per loop of river and $n_{2}$ per circuit. The case of $m$ ulti-circuit $m$ eanders with a single river of Section 10.1 corresponds to taking $n_{1}$ ! 0 , $\mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{q}$, while that of m ulti-river m ulti-circuit m eanders of Section 10.3 am ounts to taking $\mathrm{n}_{1}=1$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}=\mathrm{q}$.

W em ay therefore rephrase the problem as that of enum erating the con gurations of planar graphs m ade of a set of river loops and circuits with the constraints that:
(a) T he rivens are self- and m utually-avoiding;
(b) T he circuits are self-and m utually-avoiding;
(c) A river and a circuit $m$ ay cross at a "bridge" node;
(d) T he resulting graph is connected.

To avoid problem s of sym m etry factors, it is as usualconvenient to consider con gurations w ith a m arked and oriented edge (say corresponding to a portion of river). T he above m odel is therefore a m odel of filly packed loops on random tetravalent graphs whose nodes correspond to the bridges, w ith tw o types of loops (the rivers and the circuits) w eighted by $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ respectively. W e w ill denote this m odelby GFPL ${ }^{2}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right)$ (for gravitational fully packed loops).

It is interesting to note that, as all nodes correspond to crossings of river loops and circuits, the corresponding tetravalent graphs are autom atically bipartite, i.e. $m$ ay have their nodes bicolored (see Fig.41) .

(a)

(b)

Fig. 42: Exam ples of con gurations of tangent m eanders w ith two possible vertioes, either the crossing of tw o loops, or a tangency point (contact w ithout crossing). The underlying tetravalent graph $m$ ay be arbitrary (a) or constrained to be bipartite (b).

By anticipating the com ing discussion, we m ay introduce two other versions of the problem, which consist in allow ing for the presence, in addition to the crossings, oftangency points at which a circuit and a river come in contact but do not cross (see Fig.42). W e shall refer to this case as tangent $m$ eanders. T he bicolorability of the underlying graph is no longer ensured and, by analogy w th the one- avor loop case of Section 8, we m ay
consider tw o di erent versions of the problem : the ordinary gravity version where we sum over all tetravalent graphs or the E ulerian gravity version $w$ here we restrict the sum $m$ ation to node-bicolored tetravalent graphs only.
11.2. The FP L ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel on the square lattice


Fig. 43 : E xam ple of con guration of the F P $L^{2}$ m odel. The black and white (here represented as dashed) loops m ay either cross or avoid each other. The tw o allow ed vertioes (up to rotations and sym $m$ etries) are represented on the right.

W e now would like to interpret the GFPL ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel or its generalizations w ith tangency points as gravitational versions of m odels de ned on the regular lattioe. In the case of tetravalent graphs, the regular lattice to be considered is the square lattice. A natural candidate is the FP L ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odel, introduced in Ref. $\left.[7] 1\right]$, which consists of a gas involving two types of loops, say black and white, with respective weights $\mathrm{n}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$ and the constraints that:

1. The loops are fully packed, i.e. each of the two system sof loops is self-avoiding and visits all the nodes of the lattio;
2. Each link is occupied by one type of loop only, the loops being in contact only at the nodes.
The F P L ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \mathrm{m}$ odelallow sfor tw o types of vertices (up to rotations and sym $\mathrm{me} \mathrm{e}^{-}$ tries), represented in Fig. 43 and corresponding respectively to a crossing of the tw o types of loops (crossing vertex) or to a contact with mutual avoidance (tangent vertex). Very
generally, we m ay introduce di erent weights, say $x$ and $y$ respectively for the two types of vertioes. The FP L ${ }^{2}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right)$ m odel ofR ef.

Before we study this $m$ odel, let us note that the suppression of the tangent vertex $(y=0)$ leads to a quite trivial model on the square lattioe since the only allowed loop con guration is that where the black \loops" occupy the horizontal links of the lattice and the white loops the vertical links (or conversely). Still, by taking $n_{1}=n_{2}=2$, which am ounts to orienting independently upwards or dow nw ards each vertical (white) line and to the left or to the right each horizontal (black) line, the con gurations that we obtain are in one-to-one correspondence w the foldings of the (dual) square lattioe in $d=2$, as studied in Section 1.1. The correspondence is sim ply that a vertical (resp. horizontal) line of the (dual) square lattice is folded if and only if the orientations of the tw o vertical (resp. horizontal) lines on each side are opposite. This rem ark, although elem entary, pre gures the link betw een the GFP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odeland the folding of random quadrangulations. W e willdiscuss this link more precisely in Section 13 below.

Let us now consider the FP L ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$ m odelw ith $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=1$. Thism odelwas studied in Ref. $\dagger \bar{\eta} \overline{1}-1]$ by C oulomb gas techniques sim ilar to those presented in Section 5. Som e properties could also be derived exactly by B ethe A nsatz tithinhin in the sam e spirit as in Section 4.

W e start from the case $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=2$, which am ounts to considering oriented loops with no extra weight. T he m odel is then equivalent to a four-color m odel w ith colors, say $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}$ and D on the links of the square lattioe and the constraint that the four colons are present around each node. The links of color A or B (resp. C or D) form the black (resp. white) loops and altemate along these loops. The tw o possible choiges for the altemation of colors correspond to the tw o orientations of the loop.

A s in Section 3.3, we m ay transform the $m$ odel into a $m$ odelof heights $X$ on the faces of the square lattice w ith the transition rules represented in F ig.44. W e need a priori four height di erences A, B (black loops) and C, D (white loops), w ith the constraint that $A+B+C+D=0$ to ensure a well de ned height on each face. The obtained height variable X is therefore three-dim ensional and corresponds to a three-com ponent scalar eld theory w ith central charge 3 [īi1].

As in Section 52, the introduction of the weights $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ is perform ed by introducing vertex weights $\exp \left(e_{1}=4\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\exp \left(e_{2}=4\right)\right)$ per left or right tum of the black



## $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{D}=0$



## 3 dimensions

Fig. 44 : De nition of the heights X in the FP $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. The de nition uses the bipartite nature of the lattioe. The consistency after one tum im poses the constraint $A+B+C+D=0$. The height is therefore three-dim ensional.
(resp. white) loops, w ith $n_{1}=2 \cos \left(e_{1}\right)$ and $n_{2}=2 \cos \left(e_{2}\right)$. For $0 \quad n_{1} ; n_{2} 2$ (0 $e_{1} ; e_{2} \quad 1=2$ ), the theory is conform alw ith central charge $\underline{17} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{CFPL}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=3 \quad 6 \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{1 e_{1}} \quad 6 \frac{e_{2}^{2}}{1 e_{2}}=1+\operatorname{Cdense}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)+\operatorname{c}_{\text {dense }}\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \tag{11:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith Cdense given by Eq. (5.in' . O ne can also com pute the exponents $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{m}}$ associated w ith the long distance behavior r ${ }^{2 x_{1 ; m}}$ for the correlation of a set of lblack lines and $m$ white lines connecting tw o points at distance $r, w$ ith the value $\underline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{l ; m}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right)=\frac{1 \quad e_{1}}{8} l^{2} \quad \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1 e_{1}\right)}(1 \quad 1 ; 0) \\
& +\frac{1 e_{2}}{8} m^{2} \frac{e_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1 e_{2}\right)}(1 \quad \mathrm{~m} ; 0)  \tag{11:2}\\
& +\frac{1}{16^{1+m}}{ }^{10 d d}+1 ; \text { odd } m ; \text { odd } \frac{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & e_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & e_{2}
\end{array}\right)}{\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & e_{1}
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & e_{2}
\end{array}\right)}
\end{align*}
$$

W em ay nally consider a \dense" version of the problem, denoted by DP L ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$ (for densely packed loops) by allow ing for the presence of sites not visited by black loops or white loops $[\underline{i}-\overline{4}]$. In term $s$ of height, this requires to im pose the additional constraint $A+B=0=C+D$, which leads to two-dim ensionalheights and reduces the centralcharge to a value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{CPLL}\left(n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=2 \quad 6 \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{1 e_{1}} \quad 6 \frac{e_{2}^{2}}{1 \quad e_{2}}=C_{\text {dense }}\left(n_{1}\right)+C_{\text {dense }}\left(n_{2}\right) \tag{11:3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, the exponents $\mathrm{x}_{1, m}$ reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{x}_{1 ; \mathrm{m}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right) & =\frac{1 \mathrm{e}_{1}}{8} 1^{2} \quad \frac{e_{1}^{2}}{2\left(1 e_{1}\right)}(1 \quad 1 ; 0) \\
& +\frac{1 \mathrm{e}_{2}}{8} m^{2} \frac{e_{2}^{2}}{2\left(1 e_{2}\right)}(1 \quad m ; 0)  \tag{11:4}\\
& =x_{1}\left(n_{1}\right)+x_{m}\left(n_{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

w ith $x_{1}$ given by Eq. (5.
11.3. Coupling F P L ${ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$ to gravity: E ective eld theory of $m$ eanders

The coupling of the FPL ${ }^{2}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right) m$ odel to gravity corresponds stricto sensu to the case of $m$ ulti-river $m$ ulti-circuit tangent $m$ eanders $w$ th the tw o types of vertioes, nam ely crossings and tangencies. For Eulerian gravity, realized by sum ming only over nodebicolored tetravalent graphs, the three-dim ensional degrees of freedom of the regular lattice m odel are preserved and the critical behavior of the m odel corresponds to the coupling to gravity of a CFT of central charge $\mathrm{Frpl}_{\mathrm{L}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)$, as given by Eq. (iñ particular use Eq.(ī) havior $n \quad{ }^{2 n}=n$ of the number $n$ of tangent $m$ eanders $w$ ith one river line and one circuit $m$ eandering around it $w$ ith $2 n$ contact (crossing or tangency) points of altemating color along both circuit and river. This corresponds to taking $n_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}!0$, in which case $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Fl}} \mathrm{L}(0 ; 0)=3$, leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{7+{ }^{p} \overline{7}}{3} \tag{11:5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is not however the original $m$ eander problem we started $w$ ith. To get the GFPL ${ }^{2}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right) m$ odel, we have indeed to forbid tangency points. We shall now argue

(a)

(b)

Fig.45: Typical height con gurations around (a) a crossing vertex and (b) a tangency vertex. W hen going diagonally say from the NW to the SE face (shaded), the height increases by an am ount restricted to ( $A+C$ ) or ( $A+$ D ) in the case (a) and only to ( $A+B$ ) in the case (b). Forbidding (b) w ill therefore am ount to a reduction of the height variable range from 3 to 2 dim ensions.
that tangency points are relevant and that their suppression reduces the value of the central charge. A ssum ing indeed that only crossing vertioes are allowed, in which case the bicolorability of the nodes of the graph is autom atic, we can still build a three-dim ensional height as before. N ote that the graph is also autom atically face bicolorable (as is any tetravalent graph) and we m ay thus de ne a sub-lattioe $W$ ofw hite faces and a sub-lattice B of black faces. It is then easy to see that the heights on two neighboring faces on the sub-lattioe B , i.e. faces diam etrically opposite around a node, $m$ ay di er only by (A + C ) or (A + D) (see Fig.45-(a)) but that the third direction (A + B) never occurs (as opposed to what happens w ith the tangency vertex - see Fig.45-(b)). T he heights of the sub-lattice B are de facto tw o-dim ensional in the ( $A+C$; $A+D$ ) plane and the sam e is true for those of the sub-lattioe $W$. It is therefore harm less to set $A+B=C+D=0$, which takes us back to the D P L ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel. This leads to the prediction [i] $\left.\overline{-}\right]$ that the m ulti-river $m$ ulti-circuit $m$ eander problem lies in the universality class of the D P $L^{2}\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right) m$ odel, therefore a CFT w ith central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n} \text { eander }}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{PL}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{C}_{\text {dense }}\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)+\mathrm{C}_{\text {dense }}\left(\mathrm{n}_{2}\right) \tag{11:6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sam e conclusion $m$ ay be reached by a di erent reasoning. $C$ onsidering now tangent $m$ eanders on arbitrary tetravalent graphs (ordinary gravity version), we m ay still use the height transition rules of $F$ ig. 44 but we can no longer distinguish betw een $A$ and $B$ (resp. C and D), which equivalently am ounts to imposing $A+B=0=C+D$ too.


Fig. 46 : In the case of tangent $m$ eanders on arbitrary tetravalent graphs, the tangent vertices $m$ ay be untied w thout a ecting the heights.

This also leads to a two-dim ensional height and we again have to use the reduced central
 have to show that tangency points are irrelevant for ordinary gravity as opposed to the case of Eulerian gravity. W emay understand this phenom enon heuristically by noticing that, in the e ective height language, any tangent vertex $m$ ay be safely \untied" as show $n$ in Fig. 46 w ithout m odifying the heights.

To conclude, we have predicted in two di erent ways that $m$ eanders correspond to the coupling to gravity of a CFT w ith central charge given by Eq. (īi. ${ }^{\mathbf{6})}$ ) For the original problem of $m$ eanders w ith one river line and one circuit, this gives $c=4$ and leads to a con guration exponent [1]-1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=2 \quad \operatorname{str}(4)=\frac{29+\mathrm{p} \overline{145}}{12} \tag{11:7}
\end{equation*}
$$



### 11.4. M ore m eander exponents

W e have now identi ed the CFT underlying $m$ eanders, as the dense two- avor loop m odel w th $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\mathrm{n}_{2}=0$ coupled to ordinary gravity. The com plete know ledge of the conform al operator content of th is C FT via the C oulom b gas picture gives access to a host ofm eandric num bers which we describe now .

The im portant operators for our present purpose are those identi ed as creating oriented river vertiges, nam ely the operators $k$ (resp. $k$ ), $k=1 ; 2 ;::$ which correspond to the insertion of a $k$-valent source (resp. sink) vertex at which $k$ oriented river edges
originate (resp. tem inate). In the Coulom b gas picture, these operators create $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ agnetic" defect lines along which the height variable has discontinuities. The operator $k$ has conform aldim ension $\left.\underline{\underline{T}}_{17}^{11}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k} ; 0}(0 ; 0)}{2}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2} \quad 4}{32} \tag{11:8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{k}=1 ; 2$;::, with x as in Eq. (11.4). W hen coupled to gravity, these operators get dressed (into k) and acquire, according to Eq.(ī-4), the dim ension:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{{ }_{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{p} \overline{8+3 \mathrm{k}^{2}} \mathrm{p}_{\overline{5}}}{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{29}} \mathrm{P}_{\overline{5}}} \tag{11:9}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a prelim inary rem ark, we note that $h 2=2=0$. The operators 2,2 indeed correspond to the $m$ arking of an edge of the river in $m$ eanders, and $m$ oreover such operators m ust go by source/sink pairs for the orientations of the pieces of river connecting them
 area $A=2 n$, we nd

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
h_{2} & 2 i_{A} & \frac{g_{C}{ }^{A}}{A^{1}} \text { str } \tag{11:10}
\end{array}
$$

while the m eander counterpart (w ith a closed river) behaves asM $A=(2 A) \quad g_{C}{ }^{A}=\left(A^{3} s^{\text {str }}\right)$. $W$ e see that the net e ect of the insertion of the operators 2 is an overall factor proportional to $A^{2} / n^{2}$, which con $m s$ their intenpretation as $m$ arking operators.

W e may now tum to the case of sem i-m eanders, for which the river is a sem i-in nite line around the origin of which the road $m$ ay freely $w$ ind. $C$ onsidering the point at in $n$ Ity on the river as just another point, the sem i-m eanders $m$ ay equivalently be view ed as $m$ eanders whose river is $m$ ade of a segm ent. Sending one of the ends of the segm ent to in nity just resolves the am biguity of $w$ inding around either end. U sing the above river insertion operators, we im m ediately identify the generating function for sem i-m eanders as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{1} \quad i^{i}=X_{n 1}^{X} S M_{n} g^{n} \tag{11:11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 asym ptotics [ī

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n} \frac{g_{c}^{n}}{n} \quad=1+2_{1} \quad \operatorname{str}=1+\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{11}}{24}\left(\overline{5}+p_{\overline{29}}\right) \tag{11:12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig.47: Three types of $m$ eandric con gurations in which the river has the geom etry of (a) a $k$-valent star (b) an \eight" (c) a \cherry". The vertioes corresponding to river sink sor sources are represented by led circles ( ). The edges of river in-betw een them are oriented accordingly. The road (dashed line) $m$ ay freely $w$ ind around univalent vertioes.
$N$ ote that we expect the value of $g_{c}$ to be the sam $e$ for $m$ eanders and sem i-m eanders, as both ob jects occur as therm odynam ic quantities in the sam e e ective eld theory. In the language of Section 10.1, this $m$ eans that we are in regim e (i).

W e may now generate $m$ any $m$ ore $m$ eandric numbers by considering $m$ ore general correlators. To nam e a few (all depicted in Fig.47), we m ay generate rivers with the geom etry of a star with one $k$-valent source vertex and $k$ univalent sink vertioes generated by $h{ }_{k}(1)^{k}$ i, rivers $w$ ith the geom etry of an leight" $w$ ith one tetravalent source vertex and two loops, each containing a bivalent sink vertex generated by $h{ }_{4}\left({ }_{2}\right)^{2} i$, or rivers w ith the geom etry of a \cherry" w ith one trivalent source vertex, one univalent sink, and one loop, $m$ arked by a bivalent sink vertex generated by $h$ 3 $\quad 1 \quad 2 i$ i, etc... For each of these situations, we get the corresponding con guration exponent $=3+{ }^{P}{ }_{i}\left(\begin{array}{ll}i & 1\end{array}\right)$


$$
\begin{align*}
k \text { star } & =\frac{1}{48}\left(p_{\overline{5}}+\frac{p}{29}\right)\left(\bar{p} \overline{3 k^{2}+8}+k\left(\overline{11} \quad 2^{p} \overline{29}\right)+4^{p} \overline{29} \quad 2^{p} \overline{5}\right) \\
\text { eight } & =\frac{1}{24}\left(\overline{5}+\frac{p}{29}\right)\left(\bar{p} \overline{14}+p_{\overline{5}}\right)  \tag{11:13}\\
\text { cherry } & =\frac{1}{48}\left(p_{\overline{5}}+\frac{p}{29}\right)\left(\bar{p} \overline{11}+\frac{p}{35}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

### 11.5. M ulti-circuit m eander exponents

$M$ ore generally, we m ay consider the one-river multi-circuit case of Section 10.1 by taking $n_{1}!0$ and $n_{2}=q$. Our predictions require moreover $0 \quad q \quad 2$ for the eld
theoretical description to hold. U sing sim ilar argum ent as in previous Section, we predict a critical exponent $(\mathrm{q})=2 \quad \mathrm{str}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{w}$ th the central charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=C_{m \text { eander }}(0 ; q)=1+6 \frac{e^{2}}{1 \text { e }} ; \quad q=2 \cos (e) ; 0 \text { e } \frac{1}{2} \tag{11:14}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=2+\frac{1 e+3 e^{2}+p \frac{p\left(1-3 e^{2}\right)\left(1313 e+3 e^{2}\right)}{(1 \quad e)}}{6(1 \quad \mathrm{e}} \quad \mathrm{q}=2 \cos (e) \tag{11:15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, we can com pute the exponent (q) by inserting two defects corresponding to the two extrem ities of an open segm ent. T his leads to the prediction $\quad(\mathrm{q})=(\mathrm{q}) \quad 1+$
 [ī̄]

$$
(\mathrm{q})=1+\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{2\left(24 \mathrm{e}^{2}+\mathrm{e} \quad 1\right)}\left(\mathrm{p} \overline{1\left(\mathrm{e}+3 \mathrm{e}^{2}\right.}+\mathrm{p} \overline{\left.1313 \mathrm{e}+3 \mathrm{e}^{2}\right)}\right.}{24(1 \mathrm{e})} \quad \mathrm{q}=2 \cos (\mathrm{e})(11: 16)
$$

$T$ he prediction for ( $q$ ) requires that $q$ be less than a criticalvalue given by $q_{c}=2 \cos \left(e_{c}\right)$ $w$ here $e_{c}$ is the positive root of $24 e_{c}^{2}+e_{c} \quad 1=0$, nam ely [ī̄]

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{c}=2 \cos \frac{p \overline{97} 1}{48}=1: 6738 \tag{11:17}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this value, we have ! 1. A heuristic argum ent show $s$ that the entropically favored sem i-m eanders are then those $w$ ith a \R ussian doll" structure, i.e. those $m$ ade of a rst nite sem i-m eander using only the, say $s_{1}$ rst bridges closest to the source, of a second sem i-m eander using only the $s_{2}$ next bridges (and possibly winding around the set source/ rst sem i-m eander), etc... w ith the $s_{i}$ 's all nite. W e thus expect at $q_{c}$ a transition to a regim e where the winding becom es extensive. The critical value $q_{c}$ is thus a good candidate for a transition point betw een the regim es (i) and (ii) of Section 10.1.

## 12. N um erical checks

The above predictions $m$ ay be tested num erically by perform ing a direct enum eration of $m$ eanders, sem im eanders and other related con gurations. Several algorithm $s$ have been used, the best ones producing results up to about 50 bridges. W e shall present here
 $m$ eanders, and the other based on a transfer $m$ atrix form alism enum erations, other statistical approaches such as M onte C arlo algorithm s w ere also used in $R$ ef. $\dagger \overline{[T} \overline{-1}]$ to investigate large $m$ eander statistics.


## transformation (I)

$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{n}}}$

transformation (II)


Fig.48: The arch grow th algorithm : the tw o transform ations (I) and (II) are described in the text. $X, Y$ et $Z$ denote arbitrary system $s$ of arches and $R_{n}$ a rainbow $m$ ade of $n$ nested arches. Repeated application of (I) and (II) on the em pty con guration generates all sem i-m eanders, represented here up to $\mathrm{n}=4$.

### 12.1. A rch grow th algorithm

$T$ his algorithm generates con gurations of sem i-m eanders. The $m$ eanders are then recovered as a particular case ofsem i-m eanders w ith vanishing winding. W e use the \open" representation of sem im eanders obtained by opening the river as in F ig.38. A sem i$m$ eander $w$ th $n$ bridges is therefore represented by a system ofn arches above the (opened) river closed by a rainbow $R_{n} m$ ade of $n$ nested arches below the (opened) river. The algorithm generates all sem i-m eanders with n + 1 bridges from those with n bridges by
applying to the arch system one of the follow ing two transform ations, as illustrated in Fig. 48 :
(I) we select one of the outer-m ost upper arches, cut it and re-close it below the river by encircling the originalsem i-m eander, thus creating tw o arches above the river, tw o new crossings w ith the river and one new arch of rainbow below the river. In the original sem i-m eander picture, this transform ation corresponds to the creation of one new bridge and does not m odify the num ber of circuits.
(II) we surround the existing sem i-m eander by a big circle, thus creating an outer arch above the river, two new crossings w ith the river and one new arch of rainbow below the river. In the original sem i-m eander picture, this transform ation corresponds to the creation of one new bridge and increases by 1 the num ber of circuits.

It is easy to see that the above algorithm generates all the sem i-m eanders $w$ ith $n+1$ bridges once and only once from the set of sem i-m eanders w ith n bridges. Indeed, the transform ation is easily inverted by cutting the extemalarch of the rainbow below the river and gluing it above. Each sem i-m eander is thus obtained from the empty con guration in a unique way by the application of a succession of transform ations (I) and (II) (see Fig.48). An im m ediate consequence of this property is that the average num ber houtin (q) of outer m ost arches above the river for sem i-m eanders w ith n bridges w eighted by a factor q per connected com ponent is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{houti}_{n}(q)=\frac{S M_{n+1}(q)}{S M_{n}(q)} q^{n!1} R(q) \quad q \tag{12:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The arch grow th algorithm was used in Ref.[1] bridges.

Beyond $m$ ere enum eration, this algorithm has the advantage of allow ing for a step by step tracking of the num ber of circuits, thus giving acoess to the analytic structure of the $S M{ }_{n}{ }^{(k)}$. M ore precisely, the num ber of circuits corresponds to the num ber of tim es
 instance that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S M_{n}^{(n)} n!1 \frac{n^{1}}{1!} \tag{12:2}
\end{equation*}
$$

corresponding to the ${ }_{1}^{n} \quad n^{1}=1$ ! choioes of the transform ation (I). M ore precisely, we have [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
& S M_{n}{ }^{(n)}=1 \\
& S M_{n}^{(n ~}{ }^{1)}=n \quad 1 \quad n \quad 1 \\
& S M_{n}^{(n 2)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}+n \quad 8\right) \quad n \quad 3  \tag{12:3}\\
& S M_{n}^{(n)}=\frac{1}{6}\left(n^{3}+6 n^{2} \quad 31 n \quad 24\right) \quad n \quad 5 \\
& S M_{n}^{(n)}{ }^{4)}=\frac{1}{24}\left(n^{4}+14 n^{3} \quad 49 n^{2} \quad 254 n\right) \quad n \quad 7
\end{align*}
$$

obtained by analyzing all possible shapes of sem i-m eanders $w$ th only $1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$ applications of (I) .
12.2. Transfer $m$ atrix algorithm


Fig.49: Transfer matrix algorithm. The operators $O, C, U$ and $D$ are described in the text.

This particularly e cient algorithm was rst used by Jensen in Ref.[k5]. where m eanders were enum erated up to $2 n=48$ bridges. $T$ he idea consists in generating the $m$ eanders from left to right one bridge after the other by $m$ eans of a transfer $m$ atrix. T he state of
the system betw een the $m$ th and the $(m+1)$-th bridge is characterized by the connectivity of those arches above and below the river which have been opened but not yet closed (see Fig.49). These arches are indeed connected by pairs in the left part of the $m$ eander. These connections form them selves a transverse system of arches, which, together w ith the relative position of the river, entirely characterize the state of the system . T he application of the transfer $m$ atrix corresponds to the crossing of a bridge where one of the follow ing four operations takes place (see Fig.49) :

0 : O pening of a new transverse arch with extrem ities im $m$ ediately on both sides of the river.

C : C onnection of the tw o extrem ities oftransverse arches which are im m ediately on both sides of the river. If these tw o extrem ities belong to the sam e transverse arch, a new circuit ofm eander has been created on the left of that bridge. O therw ise, the num ber of circuits rem ains unchanged (operation denoted by C in Fig.49).
$\mathrm{U}: \mathrm{U}$ pward m igration ( w ith respect to the river) of the system of transverse arches.

D : D ow nw ard m igration (w ith respect to the river) of the system of transverse arches.

Starting from the em pty state, the repeated application of the transfer m atrix allow s to generate $m$ eanders or sem i-m eanders according to the nal state reached after $2 n$ or $n$ iterations.

### 12.3. N um erical results

The above algorithm $s$ lead to the follow ing exact enum erations. T he table below gives the num bers $S M_{n}^{(k)}$ of sem i-m eanders $w$ ith $n$ bridges and $k$ connected com ponents for $n$ up to 27:

Semi-meanders with $\mathbf{n}$ bridges and $\mathbf{k}$ connected components


Sim ilarly, the table below gives the num bers $M_{n}^{(k)}$ ofm eanders $w$ ith $2 n$ bridges and $k$ connected com ponents for n up to 20:

## Meanders with $\mathbf{2 n}$ bridges and $\mathbf{k}$ connected components



These data $m$ ay be analyzed in tw o di erent ways, either num erical, or analytic. T he rst approach, purely num erical, consists in building $M_{n}(q)$ and $S M_{n}(q)$ for the values ofn accessible from the data and to directly estim ate $R(q)$ (resp. $R(q)$ ) by taking for instance the ratio of two consecutive values of $M_{n}(q)$ (resp. $\mathrm{SM}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{q})$ ) and by using appropriate convergence algorithm $s$ to extract a lim iting value at large $n$.

The gure 50 show s the obtained estim ates of $R(q)$ and $R(q)$ for values of $q$ betw een 0 and 6 . O ne clearly distinguishes tw o regim es, a large $q$ regim $e$ where $R(q)>R(q)$ and a low $q$ regim e where $R(q)=R(q) u p$ to num erical errors (recall that at $q=1$, we already

$F$ ig. 50 : E stim ates of $R(q)$ and $R(q)$ for $q$ betw een 0 and 6 . $T$ he num erical errors are sm aller than the thickness of the curves.
know that the two are indeed equal). This con ms the existence of a transition point $q_{c}$ betw een a low q phase corresponding to the situation (i) of Section 10.1 of negligible w inding, and a large $q$ phase corresponding to the situation (ii) of extensive $w$ inding. The precise value of $q_{c}$ is di cult to estim ate as the approach of the two curves is tangential. Still, the graph of F ig 50 is com patible w ith the analytic prediction of Eq. (11]:


Fig.51: E stim ates of the exponents (q), (q) and (q) for q between 0 and 8. The di erent curves correspond to di erent num bers of iterations of the convergence algorithm .

W e m ay sim ilarly estim ate the exponents (q), (q) and even (q) by taking appropriate com binations of (sem i-)m eander polynom ials which converge to these exponents at large n . These estim ates are represented in F ig. 51 for $q$ betw een 0 and 8 . The estim ated value of (q) has a large variation around $q(q$, which suggest a discontinuity of at the transition point. W e therefore expect that
(q) varies continuously for $q<q$ (regim e (i)) and has a jum p discontinuity beyond which (q) $=1$ (regim e (ii)). The exponent (q)
follow s the sam e scenario with a continuous variation for $q<q_{c}$ and a jum $p$ discontinuity to a constant value $(\mathrm{q})=0$ (we already know that (q) ${ }^{q!1} 0$ ). Finally, the exponent (q) seem $s$ to decrease w ithout discontinuity tow ard its lim iting value (q) ${ }^{q!1} \quad 3=2$.

As far as the original problem of $m$ eanders and sem i-m eanders is concemed ( $q!0$ ), a re ned analysis of the num ericaldata is presented in Refs. estim ates

$$
\begin{gather*}
R(q=0)=R(q=0)=3: 501837(3)  \tag{12:4}\\
(q=0)=3: 4208(6) \quad(q=0)=2: 0537(2) \tag{12:5}
\end{gather*}
$$

These exponents agree rem arkably $w$ th the predicted values $(0)=3: 420132:::$ of



Fig. 52 : C om parison betw een the theoreticalvalue $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ eander ( $0 ; q$ ) of the central charge and its estim ated value from the num erical data ofm eanders (a) and sem i-m eanders (b).

For generic q, we have represented in Fig. 52 the com parison betw een the theoretical central charge $G_{m}$ eander $(0 ; q)$ of Eq. (11 1 obtained by inverting the relation ( 11 obtained by inverting the relation ( 1 the estim ates $(1 \overline{1} 2,-1)$ lead respectively in the cases (a) and (b) to $c=4: 003$ (3) and $c=4: 002(1)$.
$N$ ote nally that the above transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod was also adapted to estim ate congurational exponents for other m eandric num bers such as those w ith \eight" or \cherry" river con gurations (see Fig.47). The agreem ent w ith the predictions (11. 1
[ī] tex does not a ect the exponents for the ordinary gravity version of $m$ eanders, hence it corresponds to an irrelevant perturbation, as we argued.

A second w ay ofexploiting the data consists in using them to obtain a large q expansion of various quantities. At large $q$, the expansion of $R(q)$ up to order $p$ in $1=q$ is govemed by $S M_{n}^{(n}{ }^{1)}$ for $1 \quad p+1$. We have seen that for 1 nite and $n$ large enough, $S M_{n}^{(n)}$ is a polynom ial of $n$ of degree l. This property is a direct consequence of the arch grow th algorithm . The existence of a them odynam ic lim it for $S M_{n}(q)^{1=n}$ then guarantees that $(q)=0$ as long as the $1=q$ expansion rem ains valid. $M$ oreover, im posing that the above polynom ials actually reproduce the num erical data leads to their com plete determ ination up to $l=19$. The net result is nally a large $q$ expansion of $R(q)$, given by lī1]

$$
\begin{align*}
R(q) & =q+1+\frac{2}{q}+\frac{2}{q^{2}}+\frac{2}{q^{3}} \quad \frac{4}{q^{5}} \quad \frac{8}{q^{6}} \quad \frac{12}{q^{7}} \quad \frac{10}{q^{8}} \quad \frac{4}{q^{9}}+\frac{12}{q^{10}}+\frac{46}{q^{11}} \\
& +\frac{98}{q^{12}}+\frac{154}{q^{13}}+\frac{124}{q^{14}}+\frac{10}{q^{15}} \frac{102}{q^{16}}+\frac{20}{q^{17}} \frac{64}{q^{18}}+O\left(\frac{1}{q^{19}}\right) \tag{12:6}
\end{align*}
$$

A sim ilar analysis for $m$ eanders allow $s$ to determ ine the analytic structure of the $M_{n}^{(n)}$ for large $n$ and $n$ ite $l$. Instead of polynom ials, one obtains rational fractions in this case. $T$ his results in [ī5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(q)=2^{p} \bar{q} 1+\frac{1}{q}+\frac{3}{2 q^{2}} \quad \frac{3}{2 q^{3}} \quad \frac{29}{8 q^{4}} \quad \frac{81}{8 q^{5}} \quad \frac{89}{16 q^{6}}+O\left(\frac{1}{q^{7}}\right) \tag{12:7}
\end{equation*}
$$



Fig .53: C om parison betw een the num ericalestim ates and the large q expansions for $R(q)$ (a) and $R(q)(b)$. For $R(q)$, we have draw $n$ the expansions truncated at order $q^{3}$ and $q^{6}$. For $R(q)$, we have drawn the expansions truncated at order $\mathrm{q}^{6}, \mathrm{q}^{12}$ and $\mathrm{q}^{18}$.
$T$ he gure 53 show s the com parison between the values of $R(q)$ and $R(q)$ as estim ated

$T$ he analysis of the corrections to the polynom ialbehavior for the num bers $S M{ }_{n}^{(n)}$ displays corrections of the form $R(q)^{n} w$ ith $R(q)$ given by Eq. (12. think that the expansions above are valid as long as $R(q)>R(q)$, i.e. in the whole regim $e$ (ii), and in particular that $(q)=0,(q)=1$ for all $q>q$. For $q<q_{c}$, these expansions are no longer valid and therefore do not allow to describe the regim e (i). Fortunately, this regim e (i) is precisely that for which we have obtained predictions from the K P Z form ula.

## 13. M eanders as fold ing of random quadrangulations

In this paper, we started in part A by a study of the planar folding of the triangular lattice and extended it in part $C$ to the case of random triangulations. W e saw that this latter problem has a fully packed loop form ulation on trivalent graphs. T he above form ulation of the $m$ eander problem as a fully packed loop gas on random tetravalent graphs suggests a link between this problem and the folding of quadrangulations. In this Section we will \close the loop" by show ing that the m eander problem is indeed the sam e problem as that of planar folding of random quadrangulations. This $m$ ay be seen as the non-trivial gravitationalversion of the trivial correspondence betw een the FP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2)$ $m$ odel w th only the crossing vertex and the planar folding of the regular square lattice. $T$ his allow s altematively to view the folding of a self-avoiding one-dim ensional chain as the phantom folding of a two-dim ensionalrandom quadrangulation, a som ew hat surprising result.

Let us start by considering the set ofplanar random quadrangulations, nam ely tessellations for which all the tiles are unit squares. By planar folding of such a quadrangulation, we again $m$ ean any $m$ ap of the quadrangulation onto the plane whose restriction to each square tile is an isom etry. The nodes of the quadrangulation then necessarily have their im age on the nodes of a regular square lattice in the plane.

## Foldability of random quadranqulations

As in the case of triangulations, we rst address the question of foldability in the plane. By use of the four-coloring of the nodes of the regular square lattice (see gure 54), we im m ediately see that, if a folding exists, it naturally induces a four-coloring of the nodes of the quadrangulation. In this coloring, the four colors 1, 2, 3, 4 m ust appear in

| 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 |  |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Fig. 54 : Four-coloring of the nodes of the regular square lattice


Fig. 55 : E xam ple of a foldable quadrangulation (left), i.e. a quadrangulation whose nodes $m$ ay be colored by four colors 1, 2, 3 and 4 in such a way that the nodes around each face are in cyclic order 1,2,3,4 or 4,3,2,1, also corresponding to an orientation of the links. T he squares have been deform ed in the planar representation. T he equivalent dual tetravalent graph (right) is node-bicolored. T he links dual to the 1 \{2 or $3\{4$ links form black lines which cross white lines form ed by the links dual to the $2\{3$ and $4\{1$ ones.
cyclic order 1,2,3,4 or 4,3,2,1 around each face. C onversely, a quadrangulation with such a four-coloring (which is unique up to a cyclic perm utation of the colors) is clearly foldable onto a single square whose four vertioes would have been labeled 1,2,3,4. W e thus have the follow ing equivalent characterizations for genus zero quadrangulations:

1. The quadrangulation is foldable in the plane
2. Its nodes $m$ ay be colored by four colors 1, 2,3 and 4 appearing in cyclic order 1,2,3,4 or $4,3,2,1$ around each face
3. Its faces are bicolorable by tw o colors $w$ hich $m$ ust be distinct on two neighboring faces
4. Its edges $m$ ay be oriented in such a way that each face has a well-de ned orientation
5. The num ber of faces around each node is even

## 6. The num ber of edges adjacent to each node is even

This last property 6 justi es that we call such quadrangulations Eulerian.
The planar foldable quadrangulations are therefore the Eulerian quadrangulations, characterized by any of the above equivalent properties.

The num ber of such quadrangulations w ith 4 s edges (and rooted, i.e. w ith a distinguished oriented link) is given by [7̄

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{s}=8 \frac{3^{s} 1(3 s)!}{(2 s+2)!s!} \quad \frac{1}{6^{p}}=\frac{\frac{9}{2}^{2 s}}{s^{\frac{5}{2}}} \tag{13:1}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be com pared w ith Eq. ( (6.14) .

## P lanar folding of random quadranqulations

The four-coloring of the nodes allow s to classify all the links of the quadrangulation into the \horizontal" links $1\{2$ and $3\{4$ and the \vertical" links $2\{3$ and $4\{1$. These links are moreover naturally oriented in the direction $1!2!3!4!1$ (see gure 55). The dual graph is a tetravalent node-bicolored graph, on which the dual of horizontal links form a gas of, say black, fully packed loops, and the dual of vertical links a com plem entary gas of white fully packed loops. T he tw o system s of loops are further constrained to cross each other at the nodes of the tetravalent graph.


Fig.56: Folding constraints for quadrangulations. In order for the folded im age of a face to be a square of side unity, we must have $t_{1}=t_{3}=\mathrm{A}$ and $t_{2}=t_{4}=C$ where $A$ and $C$ are two orthogonal unit vectors. $T$ hese constraints propagate to the neighboring squares so that each square has its tw o horizontal links equal to $A$ and $B=A$, and its tw $o$ vertical links equal to $C$ and $D=C$.

It is then clear that, in a folded con guration of the quadrangulation, the faces are $m$ apped on unit squares of the square lattice, hence the link variables (de ned on the oriented links) m ay take only two values, say A and B = A on the horizontal links, while those on the vertical links $m$ ay take two values $C$ and $D=C$, where $A$ and $C$ are orthogonal unit vectors (see gure 56). M oreover, the two horizontal (resp. vertical) links around a given face have opposite values.

(a)

(b)


Fig. 57 : E xam ple of planar foldable quadrangulation (a) in a spherical representation. The corresponding black and white fully packed loops (b) are entirely xed. Only their orientation rem ains arbitrary. T he sam e loops in a planar representation (c) form a con guration of the GFP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odel. The orientation of the white loops determ ines which horizontal links are folded, according to the rule displayed on the right. A sim ilar rule determ ines which vertical links which are folded according to the orientation of the black loops (not represented here). For the particular con guration of loops draw n here, the links of the \perim eter" joining the nodes labeled 1 to 14 are necessarily folded irrespectively of the orientation of the black and white loops. T he other links $m$ ay be folded or not. For instance, the particular choice of orientation of the white loops represented here in (c) corresponds to having a horizontal fold between the nodes 12 and 8 in the representation (b), w ith thus four folded links.

In a dual representation, we can transfer the \color" A, B , C or D of the link variable to the dual link, in which case the colors altemate betw een A and B along the black loops, and between C and D along the white ones. Orienting each link of color A (resp. C) from the adjacent black node, and each link of color B (resp. D) from the adjacent white node, assigns a well-de ned orientation to each loop. The orientations are independent on each loop, which precisely de nes the GFP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odel. The orientation of the loops corresponds to the folding degree of freedom, w ith the correspondence that a horizontal (resp. vertical) link is folded if and only if the tw o white (resp. black) loops im m ediately on each side of the dual link have opposite orientations (see gure 57). C onversely, the height variable associated to a con guration of the GFP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odel (which by de nition allow s only for crossing vertioes) w ith the rules of gure 44 and the choice $B=A$ and $D=C$ is nothing but the position of the nodes in the corresponding folded con $g-$ uration. To sum $m$ arize, the GFP L ${ }^{2}(2 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odel describes the planar folding of random quadrangulations.

## Com plete folding, partial folding and non-unfoldable quadranqulations

The situation is simpler than that of triangulations for the follow ing reason. G iven any foldable quadrangulation, or rather its dual tetravalent node-bioolored graph, there exists clearly a unique con guration of fully packed loops (up to a global interchange of black and white loops) com patible with the rule that loops have to cross each other at each vertex. For a given graph, there is no entropy associated w ith the positioning of the loops. T he folding entropy is therefore entirely encoded in the choice of orientations for the loops.

A rst consequence is that the com plete folding of quadrangulations, i.e. their folding onto a single square is sim ply described by the GFP L ${ }^{2}(1 ; 1) \mathrm{m}$ odel. Indeed, the folding onto a single square alw ays exists and is unique for each foldable quadrangulation. On the tetravalent graph, it is obtained by the unique orientation of the loops de ned as follow s:

1. W e orient the outerm ost black loops counterclockw ise;
2. Inside each of these loops, we orient clockw ise the outerm ost black loops;
3. W e continue iteratively for deeper loops by altemating the counterclockw ise and clockw ise orientations;
4. W e repeat the procedure 1-3 for white loops.

O ne clearly sees (see gure 58) that all the loops of a given color on neighboring nodes have opposite orientations, hence all links are folded.


Fig. 58 : O rientation of the loops leading to the com plete folding. The black loops are oriented altematively in the counterclockw ise and clockw ise direction as we go deeper in the graph from the outerm ost loops. In this way, black loops on both sides of any white link alw ays have opposite orientations, hence all vertical links are folded. T he com plete folding is obtained by orienting the white loops accordingly so that all horizontal links are folded as well.

T he foldable quadrangulations being in one-to-one correspondence $w$ ith their com plete foldings, we deduce that the GFP L ${ }^{2}(1 ; 1) \mathrm{m}$ odel also counts Eulerian quadrangulations, whose num ber is given by Eq. (13.

Sim ilarly, the G F P L ${ }^{2}(1 ; 2) \mathrm{m}$ odeldescribes the partial folding of quadrangulation, i.e. the com plete folding in one direction only, w ith all vertical links folded, while the horizontal ones $m$ ay be folded or not. The above interpretations are com patible $w$ th the values of the central charge $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ eander $(2 ; 2)=2$ for the planar folding, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{m}}$ eander $(1 ; 1)=0$ for the com plete folding, and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{m}}$ eander $(1 ; 2)=1$ for the partial folding in one direction.

The GFP L ${ }^{2}(1 ; q)$ m odelw as discussed in Section 10.3 w ith in particular the prediction (10. 1 for $q=1$, one recovers $R(1 ; 1)=9=2$ as apparent on form ula ( $1 \mathbf{1} \overline{3}$. 1 ' $)$. For $q=2$, the num ber of con gurations of partial folding in one direction grow ths like $\left({ }^{2}=2\right)^{2 s}$ which, divided by the $(9=2)^{2 s}$ foldable quadrangulations gives an average num ber of foldings varying as $\left({ }^{2}=9\right)^{2 s}$, i.e. $\left({ }^{2}=9\right)$ per horizontal link.

Finally, the original $m$ eander problem with $n_{1}=n_{2}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ay be interpreted as describing the foldable but \non-unfoldable" quadrangulations, i.e. the quadrangulations forw hich the com plete folding is the only possible one. Indeed, requiring that no unfolding is possible am ounts to requiring that all the relative orientations of the loops are xed, which is true if and only if there is a unique loop of either species.
$T$ he $m$ ixed situation where $\mathrm{n}_{1}=0$ only am ounts to selecting the quadrangulations which are non-unfoldable on vertical links, but possibly unfoldable on the horizontal links. For $n_{2}=q=1$, one im poses a com plete folding of these horizontal links (although som e of them could a priori be unfolded) while for $n_{2}=q=2$, one allows for unfolding the horizontal unfoldable links.

To conclude, the passage via the $m$ eander picture has allow ed to prove that the enu$m$ eration of foldings on a single stam $p$ of a closed, one-dim ensional and self-avoiding strip of $2 n$ stam $p s$ is equivalent to the enum eration of planar, two-dim ensional phantom random quadrangulations $w$ th $2 n$ faces, which are both foldable and \non-unfoldable", a quite am azing result.

In this paper, we tried to give a uni ed presentation of a num ber of problem s arising from the statistical physics of folding. W e explained the intim ate links betw een foldings of lattioes or graphs, colorings of their links and/or nodes, as well as fully packed loop gases. Beside phantom folding, the introduction of self-avoidance in one dim ension led us to the $m$ eander problem, itself related to phantom folding of random quadrangulations. W e have introduced various form ulations of these problem s: constrained spin variables, height m odels, C oulom b gas description, all giving di erent angles of approach.

In the case of regular lattioes, the foldings in the presence ofbending energy give rise to rich phase diagram sw ith conform ationaltransitions intim ately linked to the underlying geom etry. In the case of random lattioes, a sim ilar sensitivity to the underlying graph was observed. It leads in particular to di erent universality classes according to the nature of the underlying lattice, distinguishing between ordinary and Eulerian gravity. M ore precisely, a shiff of the central charge c! c+ 1 of the associated eld theory is observed when going from dense loops to fully packed loops provided the latter are de ned on bipartite lattices.

This phenom enon seem $s$ to contradict the $m$ ore fam iliar notion of universality in statistical physics. N ote how ever that a sim ilar breakdow n of universality occurs in other system $s$ for which the sym $m$ etry of the problem is linked to the am bient geom etry. A particular exam ple is that of $\backslash$ hard ob jects", say particles occupying the nodes of a lattice
 these include the fam ous \hard square" problem on the square lattice [8]i-1], and the \hard
 a crystallization transition betw een an ordered phase at high density of particles and a disordered phase of low density. T he universality class of the transition point di ers how ever for these two problem s . This is easily understood by observing that the sym $m$ etry of the high density groundstates (corresponding to having particles on one sub-lattice $m$ ade of next-nearest neighbors on the original lattice) di ers. The square lattice is bipartite and has two such sub-lattioes, leading to an Ising-like transition point, while the triangular lattioe is tripartite w ith three such sub-lattioes, leading to a transition point in the universality class of the critical 3-state Potts model. W hen going to random lattioes, a sim ilar sensitivity w as observed in the follow ing sense: 䜣 was shown $[\overline{8} \overline{4} \overline{4}]$ that hard particles on random tetravalent graphs display no crystallization transition when de ned on arbitrary
graphs (ordinary gravity) while the transition is restored for bipartite graphs (Eulerian gravity). A sim ple heuristic explanation for this is that ordered groundstates exist only when the graph is bipartite. Rem arkably, the ordinary or Eulerian nature of the graph is again what $m$ atters.

A nother surprise of our study is the appearance of irrational critical exponents for a priori sim ple com binatorial problem s. H ere again, other exam ples have been found. For instance, it w as recently con jectured [0] n intersections (a problem related to the enum eration of altemating knots) corresponds to the coupling to gravity of a conform al theory $w$ ith centralcharge $c=1$, and that the asym ptotic large $n$ behavior is characterized by the sam e irrational exponent (80. 8 Section 8.

In these notes, we have lim ited ourselves to the sim plest exam ples of folding. A s we $m$ entioned already, our description $m$ ay be extended to the case ofallcom pactly 2D foldable lattioes of F ig. 5 , or higher D -dim ensional sim plices, all with their ow $n$ ( $m$ ulticolored) fully packed loop gas form ulation and with their own sym $m$ etry. Sim ilarly, $m$ eanders $m$ ay be generalized in various ways:

- m eanders draw $n$ on surfaces w ith higher genus [ī13̄1, accessible via topological expansion of the black and white m atrix m odel of Section 10.3;
- colored $m$ eanders, based on $m$ ulticolor generalizations of the Tem perley-Lieb algebra 푸우,
- SU (N) m eanders, based on higher quotients of the Hecke algebra generalizing the Tem perley-Lieb algebra ( $\mathrm{N}=2$ ) t̄ō $\overline{1}-$.
Finally, a very natural question is that of a proper description of self-avoidance for lattioes of dim ension $D \quad$ 2. At this tim e, only enum erations for rectangular dom ains of
 been devised yet.

Them ost advanced results presented here all rely on eld-theoreticale ective descriptions. It w ould be desirable to obtain the sam e results via a m ore traditional com binatorial
 planar graph enum eration problem swith com binatorics of trees. This approach is based on a proper cutting of the graphs into trees w ith local characterizations. A ltematively, it relates large graph statistics to properties of the so-called Integrated SuperB row nian Excursion (ISE), a probabilistic description of tree em beddings velopm ents, we m ay expect sim ilar technique to apply to loop gas system s on random
graphs. A nother probabilistic direction concems stochastic Loew ner evolution (SLE) in the context of which various exponents, predicted in Ref. $\left[\frac{0}{-1} 9\right]$ by use of the equation ( were derived rigorously w the help of $B$ row nian m otion thōō].

A s a challenge for the reader, the sim ple com binatorial problem displayed in F ig. 31 has a sim ple transfer $m$ atrix form ulation and we $m$ ay hope for an exact analytic solution to be within reach.
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