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#### Abstract

Jam $m$ ing, or dynam icalarrest, is a transition at which $m$ any particles stop $m$ oving in a collective $m$ anner. In nature it is brought about by, for exam ple, increasing the padking density, changing the interactions betw een particles, or otherw ise restricting the local motion of the elem ents of the system. The onset of collectivity occurs because, when one particle is blocked, it may lead to the blocking of a neighbor. T hat particle $m$ ay then $b l o c k$ one of its neighbors, these e ects propagating across som e typicaldom ain of size nam ed the dynam icalcorrelation length'. W hen this length diverges, the system becom es im mobile. Even where it is nite but large the dynam ics is dram atically slowed. Such phenom ena lead to glasses, gels, and other very long-lived non-equilibrium solids. T he bootstrap percolation models are the sim plest exam ples describing these spatio-tem poralcorrelations. W e have been able to solve one such $m$ odelin two dim ensions exactly, exhibiting the precise evolution of the jam $m$ ing correlations on approach to arrest. W e believe that the nature of these correlations, as w ell as the m ethod we devise to solve the problem, are quite general. B oth should be of considerable help in further developing this eld.
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There exists $w$ thin nature a whole class of system $s$ that exhibit a geom etrical percolation' transition at which they becom e spanned by a single in nite cluster extending across the whole
 at som e prescribed density. Spatio-tem poral particle correlations im plied by sim ple dynam ical m odels $m$ ay also be studied using percolation ideas. Indeed, since its introduction $[\underline{[14}, \underline{1}, \underline{1}[1]$, the
 coupled and locally interacting units has been recognized. Latterly the range of applications has continued to grow $[\underline{[ }]\{1 \overline{1} 2 \overline{2}]$.

This problem is of particular interest because of a grow ing focus on, and appreciation of, the unifying role of dynam ical arrest [ $\left[\overline{1}_{1} \overline{1}_{1}, \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right]$, or 'jam $m$ ing' $[\overline{1} \bar{G}]$ in the form ation of com plex condensed states ofm atter. D espite $m$ any advanœes, there is as yet no com plete and fundam ental conceptual fram ew ork to describe the phenom ena. In com parable situations it has been an im portant lesson of critical phenom ena $m$ odel system, can be of great assistance in broader e orts to understand the issues. Thus, an exact closed solution of one bootstrap problem (w ith all of the im plications of strong packinginduced coupling and divergent correlated dom ains) w ould represent, even w ithout direct access to transport coe cients, a solution of a non-trivial (and non m ean eld) 'am $m$ ing' or arrest scenario. W e w ill present such a solution in this paper.

T hat such a treatm ent is possible $m$ ust be considered sunprising, for there have been no prior indications of such sim pli cation .

The connection of the bootstrap percolation problem to jam $m$ ing phenom ena is clear. Thus, particles, processors, or mem bers of a com peting population are considered to becom e 'inactive' (or blocked) depending on the state of their im m ediate environm ent. T he relationship of the
bootstrap process to dynam ics is as follow s. Beginning with a lattige occupied according to the steady state (usually random ) distribution of particles of the underlying dynam icalm odel, units not blocked (i.e. $m$ ovable) are iteratively rem oved. T hose rem aining are blocked irrespective of the arrangem ents of the $m$ ovable ones in the con guration. O nset of extended $m$ utualblocking (in which one unit is prevented from m oving' by its neighbors and also contributes to the blocking ${ }^{y}$ ofsom e of those neighbors) $m$ anifests itselfby a sharp change in the occupancy of the lattige after the bootstrap process. P ercolation occurs when, after the iterative procedure nishes, the blocked clusters extend across the whole system. Even on approach to such a transition, singleparticle $m$ ovem ent requires a long sequential and contingent string of changes in surrounding units. In the underlying dynam ics this long string im plies a grow ing correlation length-and accom panying tim e-scale, both determ ined by m utualblocking e ects. This (dynam ical') length scale is nam ed the bootstrap length. A part from those errors introduced by rem oval (rather than $m$ ovem ent, and subsequent averaging) of particles, this is the characteristic blocking or 'jam m ing' length over which $m$ otions can occur only by rare and co-operative $m$ otions. Beyond计, $m$ otion is di usive.

M ethods

B ootstrap percolation transitions are believed to fall into tw o broad universality' classes [2]in], depending on the dim ensionality $d$ and on the local rule $C^{\prime \prime}$, ${ }^{\prime \prime}$, and we $m$ ight expect this to be re ected in the classes of jam $m$ ing. The rst type is a continuous transition in which the blocked dom ain size diverges as a power law in system size and density. The second type, when $d \quad c<2 d$ 1, displays discontinuous transitions w ith di erent essential singularities.


[^0]there the bootstrap length diverges as $=\exp ^{\circ(d)}{ }^{1)}\left(A=(1 \quad)\right.$ ) [2] 2 ] (here $\exp ^{\rho(d)}$ 1) is the exponential function iterated d 1 tim es). For the two-dim ensional square lattice ( $c=2{ }^{2}$, , theoretical calculations based on a set of bounds [2] essentially exact asym ptotic results, lim : $2\left(1 \quad\right.$ ) log $=A$, where $A={ }^{2}=9$ and ${ }^{2}=3$ for conventionaland $m$ odi ed bootstrap ${ }^{y}$ respectively. It transp ires that at high pack ing, th is length is also the typical distance between increasingly rare voids (later nam ed connected holes) in the con guration $\overline{2} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1}]$, providing a key connection betw een the spatio-tem poral correlations, and the packing of particle con gurations that determ ine them.
 $m$ ost extensive calculations to have been applied in statistical $m$ echanics) to obtain agreem ent betw een sim ulations and know $n$ exact asym ptotic results have so far failed. Indeed, the bootstrap problem has generated topical interest $\overline{\underline{R}}_{1} \bar{q}_{1}$, because of the notorious (apparent) lack of agreem ent


In our study we introduce holes or dynam ically available volum é [ $[2$ sites on the lattice into which at least one neighboring particle can move. A hole is term ed bonnected' or disconnected respectively if the lattice can (or cannot) be vacated by sequentially rem oving particles using it as an origin in the bootstrap process. In the underlying dynam ics, connected holes typically initiate a sequence of $m$ oves that $w$ ill $m$ obilize every particle in the system. They are therefore the $m$ ediators of transport in the system, and the order param eter of arrest. For a system to bootstrap (and therefore not be jam $m$ ed) it $m$ ust contain at least one connected hole. We identify as being the connected hole density (average frequency of such occurrences in the lattice). In the high density regim $e$, the bootstrap length $m$ ay therefore be calculated from $=1=1=\mathrm{d}[\underline{[ } \overline{6}]$, the particles $w$ thin this distance being $m$ ovable only via the restricted $m$ otions $m$ ade available by a single connected hole.
$D$ isconnected holes in the $m$ odi ed bootstrap problem (for $\mathrm{d}=2, \mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{~m}$ odi ed ${ }^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ) are found
to be enclosed by a single continuous rectangular boundary or cage' of particles, none of which can be $m$ oved by any particle rearrangem ents inside the boundary. They cannot be form ed for edge lengths much larger than $l_{c}$ (the cut-o size, $l_{C} \quad 1=(1 \quad)$ ) for then there is typically an intervening vacancy. W e can therefore sam ple particle rem oval paths around holes directly in sim ulations $\left.\underline{2}_{\underline{2}}^{-1}\right]$. If particle dom ains $m$ uch larger than $l_{c}$ can be rem oved, the hole $m$ ay be identi ed as connected, rendering the sim ulation very e cient. Thus, the ( ) points in the $F$ ig. 1) are hole densities one w ould obtain for system s sizes up to $L=220 ; 000$. They constitute the largest sim ulations to have been carried out on a tw o-dim ensionalbootstrap problem.

The bootstrap problem is an exam ple of strong (packing-induced) coupling, so theoretical developm ents, including sim ple extensions of previous approaches $[\overline{\underline{2}} \overline{\underline{1}}]$ involving asym ptotic bounds, invariably fail. Instead we de ne new interm ediate states that im ply bootstrap-type (ie spatio-tem poral) correlations. Transitions betw een them $m$ ay then be com posed into sequences or particle rem oval path $s^{\prime}$ whose steps are de-correlated, and transition coe cients ( $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}^{(\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{j})}$ ( )) from state to state are then easily calculated from the random ensemble. T hese interm ediate states are rectangular regions (of size k by $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}, \operatorname{see} \mathrm{Fig} .2$ ). They are, irrespective of their orientation in space, com pletely em pty, or em pty save for one, tw o (ad jacent) or three edges occupied entirely by particles. T he probabilities that such rectangles are visited during the em ptying process are $P_{k ; k+s}^{(i)}(), i=1 ; 4$ (the states $P_{k+s ; k}^{(2)}()$ and $P_{k+1 ; k}^{(4)}()$ are also de ned, these bearing the sam em eaning as $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(2)}()$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+1}^{(4)}()$, but for the orientation of the occupied lines w ith respect to the direction of the elongation). In de ning the transitions betw een them, only certain directions (ilhustrated by arrow s in F ig. 2) of the rem oval paths are perm ittedini. For exam ple, if the path leads to a state $I^{\prime}$ (probability $\left.P_{k ; k+s}^{(1)}()\right)$ the next attem pt to grow the vacated rectangle will be via either of the tw o "long' directions, this requiring rem oval of particles along one or other of those directions. These directions are chosen random ly, w ith equal probability.

[^1]

Figure 1: C onnected hole density in the M odi ed B ootstrap M odel. M ain plot: The ( ) points represent the total hole density from sim ulations ( $\underset{\underline{2} \bar{q}]) \text {, the last point being equivalent to a }}{ }$ system of size 220,000 , the current lim it of com puter sim ulation. The solid line represents the result ofour new exact theory. These are com pared w th the asym ptotic result $\exp \left(\quad{ }^{2}=3(1 \quad)\right)$ (dashed line) ["] $1={ }^{2}$ at high density $[\overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{Q}}]$, where is the bootstrap percolation correlation length. Inset: @ =@ (Red) and $@^{2}=@{ }^{2}$ (Blue) against density in the sam e range. @ $=@$ increases shanply from 1:73 to approxim ately zero w ith an in exion signalled by the peak in $@^{2}=0^{2}$ at $=0: 85$.

The next interm ediate state w illbe a $\mathrm{k}+1$ by $\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}$ rectangle, either in state $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ or in state $\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$, since these represent the only outcom e of that transition. T he transition ('jum $p^{\prime}$ ) rates for these tw o choioes are ( $\mathrm{l}^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}$ ) and ${ }^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}$, and they de ne $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}+1 ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(1 ; 1)}()$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}+1 ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(2 ; 1)}$ ( ) respectively.

W e reiterate these choices. In essence a highly correlated bootstrap process becom es uncorrelated $w$ ith in the subset of interm ediate states, leading to an exact $m$ ethod to identify connected holes by random sam pling of a constrained set of states. For connected holes we rem ain w ithin
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Figure 2: Interm ediate states. Interm ediate local con gurations surrounding a candidate hole required in evaluation of the sum over particle rem ovalpaths' that are used to em pty the lattige ( $k=4$ and $s=3$ in the exam ple). $T$ he white circles are sites that have been em ptied in previous steps, and the red disks are tem porarily blocked particles along the perim eter edges. For each con guration, the arrow s indicate allow ed transitions (to be selected at random) involving the grow th of one boundary line by one step. This process restores that local con guration to another interm ediate state $\left(P_{k+1 ; k+s}^{(i)}\right.$ or $\left.P_{k ; k+s+1}^{(i)}\right)$ with the indices $k ; s$ suitably revised. The process term inates (as a disconnected hole) when the local con guration $m$ akes a transition from $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(4)}$ outside of the class $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}+1}^{(\mathrm{i})}$.
the closed set of rectangular states, $w$ th the indiges suitably m odi ed after each step. D isconnected holes, being bounded by a rectangular contour of particles, are identi ed by a transition outside of this set of states. N o transition betw een states involves more than one attem pt to rem ove a group of particles, and the transition rates $m$ ay be calculated from the random ensem ble. T he equations of the bootstrap probabilities, if one recalls that in the bootstrap problem $m$ ovable and rem ovable particles are analogous, represent a $m$ ap of dynam ics at one scale onto the next largest scale, w ith the packing correlations autom atically accom m odated.

T hese features constitute the key elem ents of the $m$ ethodological advances described in this paper, and sim ilar treatm ents $m$ ay be attem pted for other such problem $s$ in future $[\underline{2} \overline{\mathrm{G}}]$.

The exact equations representing the process $m$ ay be w ritten in closed form,

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{k ; k+s}^{(1)}= & \left(1 \quad{ }^{k+s}\right) P_{k 1 ; k+s}^{(1)}+(1 \quad s ; 0)(1 \quad) P_{k ; k+s 1}^{(2)}  \tag{1}\\
& +(1 \quad) P_{k+s ; k 1}^{(2)}+{ }_{\mathrm{s} ; 1}\left(1{ }^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}}^{(1)}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.P_{k ; k+s}^{(2)}={ }^{k+s} P_{k 1 ; k+s}^{(1)}+\left(1 \quad{ }^{k} 1\right) P_{k ; k+s 1}^{(2)}+(1 \quad) P_{k}^{(3)} 1 ; k+s+s_{j ; 0}^{(1} \quad\right)^{2} P_{k ; k 1}^{(4)}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{k+s ; k}^{(2)}=s ; 1^{(2)}\left[{ }^{k} P_{k ; k}^{(1)}+(1 \quad) P_{k ; k}^{(3)}\right] \\
& \left.+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{~s} ; 0
\end{array}\right)\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s} 1
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{k} 1}^{(2)}+(1 \quad)^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s} \mathrm{1}}^{(4)}\right] \\
& P_{k ; k+s}^{(3)}=\left(1 \quad s_{; ; 0}\right)^{k} P_{k ; k+s 1}^{(2)}+{ }^{k+s} P_{k+s ; k 1}^{(2)}+\left(1 \quad{ }^{k+s} 1\right) P_{k 1 ; k+s}^{(3)} \\
& +2(1 \quad) \quad P_{k ; k+s 1}^{(4)}+{ }_{\mathrm{s} ; 1} \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{k} 1) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}}^{(3)} \\
& \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(4)}={ }^{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} 1 ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s}}^{(3)}+{ }^{2}(1 \quad \mathrm{k} 2) \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{s} 1}^{(4)}, ~} \\
& P_{k+s ; k}^{(4)}=s ; 1^{k} P_{k ; k}^{(3)} \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Heres 0 and the equations are solved num erically sub ject to the initial conditions, $P_{1 ; k}^{(i)}=(1$ ) 1 i 1 k . These equations converge num erically for $k \quad l_{c}$ and $0<s=k<1$. The connected hole density, the probability to bootstrap a square of inde nite size, is then given by the expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=\lim _{k!1} P_{k ; k}^{(1)}(): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the cut-o in cage size, these equations converge very rapidly and there are no practical lim titations on the density range that can be studied by com puter, as already discussed
 be predicted to be positive and vanishing in the asym ptotic lim it ( ! 1) . N ow we have num erically calculated the solution for the m odi ed model up to the largest sizes allow ed by the precision of the com puter, and indeed this quantity does decrease monotonically when approaches 1. H ow ever, at $0: 9965, \mathrm{f}()$ is still of order unity, rather too large to be neglected. Su ce it to say that the true exact bootstrap length for this density is $10^{150}$. Thus in reality, for large but nite system $s$ one sees $=\exp [f()=2(1 \quad)] \exp \left[{ }^{2}=6(1 \quad)\right]$, $f()$ being a decreasing but alw ays' nite function of ( $\quad$ ). Equations ${ }_{1}^{\prime}(\overline{1}) \mathrm{m}$ ay also be solved asym ptotically. W e ilhustrate in $F$ ig. 3 the density of cages (dim ension $k$ by $k+s$ ) at particle density $=0: 95$ and the probability for vacated square-like regions $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}}^{(1)}(\mathrm{)}$. T here we see that cages becom e rare som ew hat beyond the critical size lect. The reduction of cages, capable of

[^2]preventing bootstrapping, leads to the dom inance of $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}}^{(1)}()$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}+1}^{(1)}()$ beyond the critical core size.


Figure 3: Cages. Particle density $=0: 95$. Ilhustrative calculation in the $m$ etastable' or droplet state. $T$ he red surface represents the probability (in $\log _{10}$ scale) that a rectangular cage surrounding a vacant site is rst found at size $k$ by $k+s$. The probability density of cages is plotted against $k$ (core size) and $s$ (asym m etry-degree), and decreases m onotonically in $k$. High degrees of asym $m$ etry are very rare. The probability of nding an em pty square of size $k$ is also plotted for a com parison. T he intersection w the corresponding cage size distribution is at $l_{c}=25$, indicating that cages becom e statistically irrelevant (i.e. extrem ely rare) already at sizes much sm aller than $1 \oint$.
can either grow or nd a cage in the next step.

## R esults

The results for connected hole density, the fiull curve in Fig. 1, or equivalently the bootstrap length, settle a longstanding $m$ ystery, the theory now being in precise agreem ent with sim ulation where the latter is applicable. They perm it us to explore the nature of the problem all the way from lower density to the asym ptotic form, as solutions to a single closed set of equations. It is now clear that there has never been any inconsistency between the sim ulations and asym ptotic results. They were both correct, but direct com parison is not appropriate for any range of density appropriate to com puter sim ulation. The num erical results (and associated asym ptotic analysis) from these sam e equations are su cient to show that the previously reported exponential asym ptotic form [2] of physical interest will never reach this regim e. A s noted in the previous parapraph, even at system sizes of $\quad 1 d^{50}$ there rem ains a singular $m$ odel-dependent pre-factor to the leading exponential. Form ulae purporting to describe the behavior for nite system $s$ (or system $s$ at nite density) in term s of a simple exponential are e ective ts, and possess no findam ental signi cance.

The real paradoxes lie in the P hysics. A s we now show, this P hysics tums out to be m uch richer than had been supposed until now. In discussing these issues we have in $m$ ind prim arily the clari cation of the $m$ odel system, though the results $m$ ay relate to recent experim ental observations, and could help to clarify these issues also. W e give only pointers to the new directions.

Recall that the connected hole density in a region characterizes the ease of long-ranged $m$ ovem ent of particles in that region, these being the em pty spaces on the lattice that perm it sustained $m$ otion. G iven this, the insets to ( $F$ ig. 1) are striking. There we show both the rst ( $=@=@=@=@ v)$ and second derivatives ( $=@^{〔}=@^{2}=@^{2}=@ v^{2}$ ) of the connected hole
density w ith respect to particle (or vacancy, v) density. T he form er is the long-w avelength lim it of the response of dynam ical processes in the system to sm all changes in particle density. We observe a transition' in the response of the dynam ics to $s m$ all changes in particle density. For densities low er than $=0: 85$ (the unstable' regim él) a sm all local decrease of density leads to m any new connected holes, and thereby opens up m any new transport pathways. For particle densities higher than this (the $m$ etastable' regim e) the response of dynam ical properties to a density uctuation is vanishingly sm all.

A lbeit in this quite new context of near-arrested system $s$, these ideas $m$ ake contact $w$ ith early prescient rem arks [3]d] in which it was suggested that bootstrap $m$ odels $m$ ight o er an explanation for the decay of the $m$ etastable state and (by extension) the spinodal transition to unstable state decay. Indeed, the tw o regim es of connected hole density re ect the relaxation of particle con gurations by tw o characteristic m eans. At low density, con gurations are intrinsically unstable to sm all spatial variations of density, these leading to new dynam ical pathw ays rem in iscent of spinodalwaves' that enable e cient relaxation of quite dense particle con gurations. Beyond the peak at $=0: 85$ the state develops $m$ etastability, this being re ected also in the characteristic exponentially sm all connected hole density there, and the decay of such congurations becom es much more di cult. In ( $F$ ig. 4) we ilhustrate these ideas in a m ore visual $m$ anner. Thus, the left picture ( $F$ ig. 4) represents a con guration at density $=0: 79$. Therewe see the developm ent of Spinodal-like' pattems ofem pty space as particles are rem oved from the unstable state. $T$ he right picture ( $F$ ig. 4), at $=0: 915$ exhibits the classical appearance of decay of the m etastable state, including grow ing em pty droplets, w ith sm aller sub-criticaldroplets failing to grow any further. These analogies $w$ ill prove deeply helpfil in future form ulations of quantitative law sfor dynam ical arrest also $[\underline{3} \overline{3} \overline{4}]$.

[^3]
$F$ igure 4: The two regim es. C on gurations ( $L=400$ ) after partial rem oval of particles via parallel updating. T he two exam ples refer to the 'unstable' regim e (a) and to the $m$ etastable' regim e (b), lying respectively to the left and right of the peak in the inset off ig. 1. T he process is stopped after rem oval of $50 \%$ of the particles (black) (the grayscale representing the tim e during the process w hen the particles w ere rem oved).

The peak of the second derivative in ( $F$ ig. 1) de nes a transition betw een tw o near-jam m ed regim es, the rst unstable' regim e where spatial density heterogeneities produce large heterogeneities also in the dynam ics, the second $m$ etastable' regim e where the response is $m$ uch
 and experim ents $\left[\underline{3}_{-1} \bar{T}_{1}\{\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9}]\right.$ w here dynam ically heterogeneous processes have been view ed as intrinsic to the arrest process. The size' of these dom ains in our bootstrap calculation $\left(1=^{p}-\right)$ in the unstable' regim e reaches a m axim um value of $l_{d}=8$ at $=0: 85$. T hereafter the dynam ically heterogeneous processes change their nature to droplets' ofm ovem ent and the system continues to slow further, as the num ber of connected holes decreases. Thus, the dynam ical (here bootstrap) correlation length continues to diverge, but no longer has the sam equality of dynam ical
heterogeneity'.
T hat there exists tw o such regim es, w ith a clearly de ned transition betw een them has not been previously clari ed in the scienti c literature. T here is inferentialevidence from sim ulations and experim ent that dynam ical heterogeneities near arrest' $[\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{0}] \mathrm{m}$ ight change as the system further progresses tow ards com plete arrest. H ow ever, the broader im plication of this discussion is that dynam icalheterogeneities, and the $m$ etastable' state, could be form ulated into a theoretical approach via these response functions in available volume. It is encouraging to note that, w th the advent of new $m$ icroscopy tools [3] $m$ easure these new $m$ ore appropriate orderparam eters and resp onse functions using direct optical im aging.

W e do not here dealw th the issue in detail, but note that, associated $w$ ith the tw o regim es of the response function, the transport coe cients goveming these tw o regim es should be quite di erent. T his observation m ight also point to a resolution of another long-standing controversy about the nature of the dynam ical law $s$ (pow er law or exponential') near arrest ${ }^{\text {f }}$.

D iscussion

In sum $m$ ary, a new approach to treating strong jam $m$ ing correlations using random paths betw een appropriately selected states $m$ eans that the tw o-dim ensional bootstrap $m$ odel can now be solved exactly. This advance allow s us to explore phenom ena far beyond the reach of sim ulations using $m$ odem com puters, and provides usw the the com plete picture of the onset of arrestrint ${ }_{1}^{k_{1}}$. The conclusions of the study are intriguing and resolve long-standing apparent con icts betw een theoretical and sim ulation treatm ent of these problem s.

True arrest occurs only as a lim it of a m etastable state' when the system is dense-packed on the lattioe. Thus, even the sm allest am ounts of available volum e m eans that m otion is

[^4]still possible, albeit at enorm ously long tim e-scales. T he nite size dependence of this regim e is barely appreciable, but nevertheless persists up to the fully packed lim it and means that neither sim ulations, nor nature, can ever access length scales where sim ple asym ptotic results in term s of density are valid' -1. H ow ever, the results w ritten in term s of connected hole density, or dynam ically available volum e, are sim ple. This has deep im plications for the way in whidh


Finally, and im portant to the general arena of dynam ical arrest, we have show $n$ how the conceptual infrastructure of order param eters, dynam ically available volum es, spatio-tem poral correlation lengths, and equations to represent them, $m$ ay be fram ed into a closed theory, from which rational approxim ations em erge. H ow ever, it is our belief that these new principles goveming treatm ent of the bootstrap are close to those required to fram e a com parable dynam ical theory, from which one would obtain the transport coe cients in system s that are dynam ically slow ed.

U nderstanding and ultim ately calculating these transport coe cients in dynam ically slow ed system sfrom a fundam ental theoretical basis, and com paring them to results from carefully designed experim ents, constitutes one of the outstanding challenges of those interested in the eld of dynam ical arrest.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{y}$ In conventional' bootstrap percolation, particles are rem oved if they are surrounded by c or few er particles. In m odi ed' bootstrap percolation, an additional condition requires the neighboring vacant sites to be secondneighb or to each other.

[^1]:    ${ }^{z} T$ hese $m$ ovem ents tend to restore the sym $m$ etric em pty square, except for the state represented by $P_{k ; k+s}^{(2)}$ ( ).

[^2]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{x}}$ T he threshold value $I_{c}$ in ( $F$ ig. 3) can be understood as that size at which, with equalprobability, the droplet

[^3]:    ${ }^{1} T$ he low density near-arrest regim e has a hole density that is well tted to power laws ( c ) with c and suitably de ned in the range of interest, whilst the high density regim e is well- tted to the exponential law $\exp [\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{)}=(1 \quad)] \exp [\quad 2=3(1 \quad)]$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{k}$ It is possible to show that $m$ ore com plicated jam $m$ ing scenarios $m$ ay also be exactly solved in tw o dim ensions providing the de ning constraints on particle $m$ ovem ent rem ain short-ranged on the lattioe.

