Anderson localization from the replica form alism

A lexander A ltland¹, A lex K am enev², and Chushun T ian^{2;3}

¹ Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universtat zu Koln, Koln, 50937, Germany

 $^2\,$ D epartm ent of P hhysics, U niversity of M innesota, M inneapolis M N $\,$ 55455, U SA

 3 K avli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara CA, 93106, USA

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

W e study Anderson localization in quasi{one{dim ensional disordered w ires w ithin the fram ework of the replica {m odel. A pplying a sem iclassical approach (geodesic action plus G aussian uctuations) recently introduced w ithin the context of supersym m etry by Lam acraft, S im ons and Z imbauer [1], we compute the exact density of transm ission m atrix eigenvalues of superconducting w ires (of sym m etry class C I.) For the unitary class of m etallic system s (class A) we are able to obtain the density function, save for its large transm ission tail.

PACS num bers: 72.15.Rn

At present, there exist two theoretical approaches capable of describing strongly localized phases of disordered wires: supersymmetry (SUSY) [2] and the DMPK transfer matrix approach [3]. This represents a serious limitation in as much as both formalisms are ill (suited for generalization to the presence of C oulomb interactions (see, how ever, Ref.[4].) Reciprocally, it has, so far, not been possible to describe strong localization phenomena by those theories that may be applied to the analysis of interaction e ects | replica eld theory [5] and the K eldysh approach [6].

It is the purpose of this letter to introduce a replica eld theory approach, capable of describing strongly localized phases. Conceptually, our work is based on a recent paper [1] by Lam acraft, Sim ons and Zimbauer (LSZ) where saddle { point techniques have been applied to analyze the SUSY generating functionals of quasione{ dimensional disordered conductors. Specially it was shown that four out of ten symmetry classes of disordered m etals are sem iclassically exact [7] in that the stationary phase results coincide with those obtained by DMPK methods [8]. We here show that the phenom enon of sem iclassical exactness pertains to the replica form alism and, in particular, 'survives' the analytical continuation inherent to that approach. Applying the technique to the non {sem iclassically exact unitary symmetry class, we nd that it still produces qualitatively correct results.

To introduce the replica (generalization of the m ethod we consider a disordered superconducting wire in the presence of spin (rotation and time reversal invariance (sym m etry class C I in the classi cation of R ef. [9].) The (therm al) transport properties of this system m ay be conveniently characterized in terms of the average density of transm ission m atrix eigenvalues, (). W ithin the ferm ion (replica form alism the latter m ay be expressed through the generating function

$$Y^R$$

Z (^) det 1 sin² (_a=2) tt^y;

where tt^y is the transmission matrix with eigenvalues

The eld theoretical representation of the generating function for class C I is given by

$$\begin{array}{c} q_{Z^{(T)}} \\ Z (^{)} = Dg e^{S[g]}; \\ g_{(0)} \end{array} S[g] = \frac{1}{8} \begin{array}{c} Z^{T} \\ dt tr(@g@g^{1}); \\ 0 \end{array}$$

where g is a eld of matrices g(t) 2 Sp (2R), the functional integration extends over the H aar measure on the sym plectic group, and T = L = is the length of the wire, L, in units of the localization length . At the left and right end point of the wire the eld is subject to boundary conditions [10, 11] which in the case of class C I read as g(0) = 1 and g(T) = exp (i^ 3). Here the P aulim atrix 3 acts in the space de ning the sym plectic condition $q^{-1} = 2q^{T} 2$.

Our strategy will be to subject the functional (1) to a straightforward stationary phase analysis [12]. Varying the action S [g] w.r.t. g, one obtains the Euler{Lagrange equation: $g_{\alpha=\alpha} S[g] = 0$) $Q(g^{-1}Qg) = 0$, which integrates to the condition $g^{-1}Qg = const: The solutions to$ this latter equation are given by $g = \exp(iW t=T)$, with constant Lie{algebra elements W 2 sp (2R). Evaluating g at the system boundary t = T, we obtain the condition $\exp(iW) = \exp(i^3)$. This is solved by W ^(n) 3, where $^{(n)}$ $^{+} 2$ fi and fi = diag (n₁;:::;n_R) is a vector of integer winding numbers'. The saddle point action is given by $S[g^{(n)}] = \frac{1}{4T} P_{a=1}^{R} (a^{(n)})^2$, indicating that at length scales, T > 1, mean eld con gurations traversing multiply around the group manifold becom e energetically a ordable. Physically, these con gurations describe the massive (and perturbatively inaccessible) buildup of interfering superconductor di usion modes. Their proliferation at large length scales forms the basis of the localization phenom enon.

To obtain the contributions of individual saddle points,

g⁽ⁿ⁾, to the generating function, we need to integrate over quadratic uctuations. We thus generalize to eld con gurations g(t) = exp(iW (t))g⁽ⁿ⁾, where the elds W (t) 2 sp(2R) obey vanishing (D irichlet) boundary conditions W $_{P_{4}}^{(0)} = W$ (T) = 0. Parameterizing these elds as W = $_{=0}^{}W$, where $_{0} = 1_{2}$ and W are R R herm itian matrices subject to the Lie algebra constraints W $_{0} = W_{0}^{T}$ and W $_{i} = W_{i}^{T}$, i = 1;2;3, the quadratic expansion of the action reads as: S [g] = S [g⁽ⁿ⁾] + S_{I} [W_{0}; W_{3}] + S_{II} [W_{1}; W_{2}] + O (W^{3}), where

$$S_{I} [W_{0}; W_{3}] = \frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{Z^{T}} dttr (W_{0}, W_{0} + (W_{3}, W_{3}))$$

$$\frac{2i}{T} (W_0 (W_3 + W_3 (W_0)^{(n)});$$

$$S_{II} [W_1; W_2] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2T} dttr (W_1 (W_2 + i \frac{ij3}{T} W_i (W_j^{(n)}));$$

The integration over the matrices W leads to uctuation determ inants, which may be calculated by the auxiliary identity det ($q^2 + 2zT \ ^1Q_t$) = sinh(z)=z, where z 2 C, and the di erential operator acts in the space of functions obeying D irichlet boundary conditions. As a result we obtain the stationary phase generating function

$$Z(^{n}) = \frac{X}{_{\text{fng a < a^{0}}}} \frac{Y^{R}}{\overset{(n)}{=} \frac{a^{(n)}}{a^{(n)}} \frac{a^{(n)}}{a^{(n)}} = 2}{\overset{(n)}{=} \frac{Y^{R}}{a^{(n)}} \frac{a^{(n)}}{a^{(n)}} + \frac{a^{(n)}}{a^{(n)}} = 2} \frac{1}{_{a^{(n)}}} \frac{Y^{R}}{a^{(n)}} \frac{Y^{R}}{a^{(n)}} \frac{Y^{R}}{a^{(n)}} \frac{Y^{R}}{a^{($$

where the rst/second uctuation factor stems from the integration over the eld{doublets ($W_0;W_3$)/($W_1;W_2$). (In passing, we note that as an alternative to the brute force integration outlined above the result (2) can be obtained by group theoretical reasoning: according to general principles [13], the uctuation integral around extrem al (geodesic) con gurations $g_{(n)}^{(n)}$ on a general sem i{sim ple Lie group is given by: $>_0$ ($g^{(n)}$) sin $^1((g^{(n)})) \exp(S[g^{(n)}]$), where the product extends over the system of positive roots of the group, ($g^{(n)}$). Equation (2) above is but the Sp (2R){variant of this form ula.)

In the limit of coinciding boundary phases, a ! , the denom inators $\sin\left[\begin{pmatrix}n\\a\end{pmatrix}^{(n)}&a^0\end{pmatrix}=2\right]$! 0, i.e. the contribution of con gurations it containing non (vanishing winding number di erences $n_a n_{a^0} \notin 0$ diverges. (At the same time, we do know that the integration over the full group manifold must generate a nite result. Indeed, it turns out that if we rst sum over all winding number con gurations it and only then take the limit of coinciding phases, all divergent factors disappear.) This divergence re ects the presence of a zero mode in the system : for uniform boundary phases, ^ / 1_R, transformations g⁽ⁿ⁾ ! exp(iV⁰)g⁽ⁿ⁾ exp(iV⁰) with constant block {diagonalV⁰ = V₀⁰ 0 + V₃⁰ 3 conform with the boundary conditions but do not alter the action.

A swe shall see below, the presence of zero modes in plies that only winding number con gurations of the special form (n;0;:::;0) survive the replica limit, R ! 0. However, before elaborating on this point, let us evaluate the contribution Z_n of the distinguished con gurations to the generating function. Throughout we will denote the boundary angles by a + a, un-

derstanding that the limit a ! 0 is to be taken at some stage. (W ithin this representation, the Yiree energy'F ()0 $_{1}$ $_{a}!_{Q}$ Z (^) = 0 $_{1}$ $_{a}!_{0}$ Z (+ ^).) The <code>ban-</code> ous' product $a < a^0$ (:::) in Eq. (2) then reduces to $(n = \sin(1=2))^{R-1}$ (2 $n = 1)^{R-1}$; all other contrigerous' product butions to Z_n are nite. The appearance of a pole of (R 1)st order hints at the presence of R 1 com plex zero modes (generated by the R 1 components of the matrix V^0 that do not commute with $\hat{g}^{(n)}$.) At this stage, we take the lim it R ! 0. As a result, the divergent factor gets replaced by a pole of degree (1)', i.e. the zero: $_1=(2 \text{ n})$. (It is worth noting that in SUSY a contribution similar to the singularity of degree (1) is obtained by integration over the non {com pact bosonic degrees of freedom; the com plem entary single replica channel a = 1corresponds to the ferm ionic sector.) Therefore the subsequent dierentiation (F[] $Q_{1} = 0^{2}$) must act on this linear factor $_1$, all other occurrences of $_a$ in Z_n m ay be ignored.

Evaluating the partition function in this manner, we obtain $Z_{n \in 0} = \frac{1}{2n} \frac{+2n}{+n} \exp(n(n+)) = T$). We -nally dimensional with the negative with the main of the result () = 0() + n \in 0 n (), where the D nucle plus weak localization term $V_0 = (2T)^{-1} (2^2 + 2)^{-1} = 2$, while the non {perturbative contributions are given by:

$$\int_{n} () = \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{T}n(n+1)}}{2^{2}n} \operatorname{Re} \frac{+i(2n+1)}{+i(n+1)} e^{i\frac{-n}{T}} : (3)$$

This expression identically coincides with the SUSY result [1], and with the exact DMPK result [8]. To illustrate the crystallization' of the transmission matrix eigenvalues at the discrete values $_{\rm i}$ 2jT, the function ()



FIG.1: Top:D ensity of transm ission eigenvalues of the superconductor class C I for T = .02 (dashed {dotted}; 1 (dashed); 50 (fill). Bottom : the sam e for the unitary class A. The negative density at sm all represents an artefact of the saddle{point approximation.

is plotted in Fig. 1a for a few values of T. Following LSZ, the heat conductance of the wire may be obtained by integrating the result above against the weight function $1=\cosh^2(=2)$. Sum m ing the result of this integration over winding numbers, one obtains the asymptotic result [1]g^T, ¹ 4e^T=^PT.

Our so far analysis focused on the specic set of winding number con gurations, (n;0:::;0). To understand why contributions of di erent structure vanish | a fact that greatly simplies the formalism consider the set (0;:::;n;:::;0). By symmetry, winding number con qurations of this type will lead to an expression similar to Z_n above, only that the leading pre{factor gets replaced: $_1=(2 n) ! _a=(2 n)$, where a 2 f2;:::;Rg marks the position of the non {vanishing winding num ber. Since, however, we still di erentiate w.r.t. 1, this contribution vanishes in the limit a ! 0. The argum ent above m ay be generalized to generic contributions, $(n_1;n_2;:::;n_R) \in (n;0;:::;0)$. (By symmetry, one may order the winding num bers in an ascending order (0;:::;0;1;:::;1;2 :::). A ssum ing that there are N $_n$ winding numbers n (where ${}_{n}N_{n} = R$) and choosing the boundary angle in the sector n to be + n, one veries that for any xed con guration, the R ! 0 result contains uncom pensated powers of and, therefore, vanishes.)

Before proceeding, it is worthwhile to compare the mean eld analysis above to the more established eld theory transfer matrix method [2]. To this end, let us

interpret Z (^) = hg(T)jexp(Th)jli as the path integral describing the (im aginary time) quantum mechanical transition amplitude jli ^T jg(T) i of a particle on the group space Sp(2R). The Ham iltonian corresponding to the (purely kinetic') action of the path integral is given by $\hat{H} = 2$ where is the Laplace operator of the group space Sp(2R).

Our analysis above has been tantam ount to a sem iclassicalorW KB analysis of the transition am plitude. A lternatively, and m ore rigorously, one m ay em ploy the spectral decomposition, $Z(^{)} =$ (g) (1) exp(T), where are the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operaits discrete energy eigenvalues and g tor, q(T). For generalLie groups (and supergroups) form alexpressions for these spectral decompositions are known [14]. Noting that for large system s L , only eigenstates with minimalenergy e ectively contribute to the sum, this know ledge has been used to com pute the localization properties of disordered quantum wires within the SUSY form alism [2, 11]. The problem s with transferring this approach to the replica form alism lie with the analytical continuation from integer group dimension R to R ! 0. In taking this lim it, it is essential to keep track of high { lying contributions to the spectral sum . These terms grow rapidly more complex which is why attempts to obtain a replica variant of the 'quantum approach' above have failed so far.

Having discussed the method for a symmetry class that enjoys the sem iclassical exactness, we next outline what happens in cases where this feature is absent. By way of example, consider a metallic disordered quantum wire in the absence of time { reversal invariance | the unitary symmetry class, A. In this case, the ferm ionic replica generating function is given $\frac{1}{8} \int_{0}^{K_{\rm T}} dttr(@Q)^2$, where the by Z (^) = $\frac{R_Q(T)}{Q(0)} DQ \exp (T)$ matrix Q (t) 2 U (2R)=U (R) U(R) [5, 15], and the boundary con gurations are given by Q (0) = $_3$ 1 and Q(T) = $e^{i_2} \hat{}_{3} e^{i_2} \hat{}_{-2}$. Here, the two{component structure distinguishes between advanced and retarded indices. As before, the stationary phase con gurations: Q (t) = $e^{i_2} e^{(n)t=(2T)} 3 e^{i_2} e^{(n)t=(2T)}$ of the functional integraldo not m ix di erent replica channels. G eom etrically, they can be interpreted as trajectories (in general, with non {zero winding num ber, n) on the meridian of the sphere U (2)=U (1) U (1) (the single replica manifold.) Fluctuations m ay be conveniently param eterized by generalization $_{3}$! e^{iW} (t) $_{3}$, where $W = W_{1}$ 1 + W 2 2 and $W_{1;2}$ are herm it ian R R matrices.

The subsequent calculations largely parallel those for class C I above. Expanding to second order in W $_{1;2}$ and perform ing the G aussian integration, we again observe that only winding number con gurations (n;0;:::;0) survive the analytical continuation procedure, R ! 0. D i erentiating w.r.t. $_1$ and then putting $_a$! , we

obtain the result: ()(2T) $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ n \in 0 \end{array} P$ ($\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ n \\ n \end{array}$ (), where

$$_{n} = \frac{e^{\frac{2_{n}(n+1)}{T}}}{2^{2}n} \operatorname{Re}^{"} \frac{p_{(+i)(+i)(2n+1)}}{+i(n+1)} e^{i\frac{n}{T}}"$$

(The same result is obtained by saddle{point analysis of the SUSY generating functional.) In Fig. 1b, the function () is plotted for several values of the parameter T. For small T the density is almost constant, recting the D orokhov distribution of eigenvalues [3, 10]. For large values of T the spectrum crystallizes at $_j$ (1 + 2j)T. The lowest eigenvalue $_0$ does, indeed, correctly determ ine the localization length of the system. Except for the evident failure of the method at small values $_0$ [16], the large scale prole of the D oS is in good agreement with results obtained by the transferm atrix methods [11, 17, 18].

Sum m arizing we have shown how the localization phenom enon in quasi one{dim ensional system s m ay be described by a sem iclassical approach to ferm ionic{replica eld theories. W e were able to reproduce the exact transm ission m atrix eigenvalue density for sym m etry class C I, while for the unitary class we obtain qualitatively correct results (except for the tails of the eigenvalue spectrum .) T he com parative sim plicity of the approach m akes us believe that it m ay be successfully applied to problem s that can not be treated by other m eans. E vidently, the next direction of research will be the study of the im pact of C oulom b interactions on the localization phenom enon.

We are grateful to M.R.Zimbauer for numerous valuable discussions. This work is supported by SFB/TR 12 of the Deutsche Forschungsgem einschaft (A.A.), A.P.Sloan foundation and the NSF grants No. DMR $\{0405212 \ (A.K.), DMR \{0439026 \ and PHY - 9907949 \ (C.T.).$

- [L] A.Lam acraft, B.D.Sim ons, and M.R.Zimbauer, Phys. Rev.B 70, 075412 (2004).
- [2] K. B. E fetov, Supersymmetry in D isorder and Chaos, (C am bridge University Press, UK, 1997).
- [3] O. N. Dorokhov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 36, 259 (1982) [JETP Lett. 36, 318 (1982)]; P. A. Mello, P. Pereyra, and N. Kumar, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 181, 290 (1988).
- [4] G. Schwiete and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. B 71, 134203 (2005).
- [5] F.J.W egner, Z.Phys.B 35, 207 (1979); K.B.Efetov,
 A.I.Larkin, and D.E.Khm elnitskii, Sov.Phys.JETP
 52, 568 (1980); A.M.Finkel'stein, Sov.Phys.JETP 57,
 97 (1983); D.Belitz, and T.R.Kirkpatrick, Rev.M od.
 Phys. 66, 261 (1994).
- [6] M.L.Horbach, and G.Schon, Ann. Phys. 2, 51 (1993);A.Kamenev, and A.Andreev, Phys. Rev. B 60, 2218,

(1999); C. Cham on, A. W. W. Ludwig, and C. Nayak, Phys. Rev. B 60, 2239, (1999); M. V. Feigelm an, A. I. Larkin, and M. A. Skvortsov, Phys. Rev. B 61, 12361 (2000).

- [7] It is a well{known mathematical fact that integrals over certain manifolds (manifolds possessing a sym plectic structure) may be exactly evaluated by stationary phase methods. Zero{dimensional' applications of this principle include the Itzykson{Zuber formulae [19], and the mean{ eld approach to spectral correlations for certain symmetry classes. By a (not rigorously proven) conjecture, sem iclassical exactness pertains to certain in nite{ dimensional manifolds, the so{called loop groups [13]. From a mathematical point of view, the quantum elds describing disordered wires of the four symmetry classes in question form manifolds of this type.
- [8] P. W. Brouwer, A. Furusaki, I. A. Gruzgerg, and C. Mudry, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1064 (2000).
- [9] A.A Land and M.R.Zimbauer, Phys. Rev. B 55, 1142 (1997).
- [10] Yu.N.Nazarov, Phys.Rev.Lett. 73, 134 (1994).
- [11] B.Rejaei, Phys.Rev.B 53, 13235 (1996).
- [12] On dimensional grounds, the m inim all action of a spatially non {uniform eld con guration scales as S [g]_{m in} T⁻¹. To form ally justify stationary phase approximation schemes we must require T⁻¹ > 1.
- [13] R.F.Picken, J.Phys.A 22, 2285 (1989).
- [14] Referring for a more detailed discussion to [13], we note that for a group valued path integral, the spectral sum extends over all irreducible group representations while the product of wave functions (g) (1) = (g) tr (g) is given by the character ofg (i.e. the trace of the matrix representing the group element g in the representation .) The eigenvalue $= 2c_2(), where c_2()$ is the eigenvalue of the quadratic C asim ir operator. (The unique overall com mutative operator quadratic in the operators representing the Lie algebra in .) The spectral sum s can be obtained by solving the Schrodinger' equation of the problem, or by subjecting winding number sum s such as (2) to a Poisson sum m ation scheme.
- [15] A.Kam enev and M.Mezard, J.Phys.A 32, (1999); Phys. Rev.B 60, 3944 (1999); I.V.Yurkevich and I.V.Lemer, Phys.Rev.B 60, 3955 (1999); E.Kanzieper, Phys.Rev. Lett. 89, 250201 (2002).
- [16] On physical grounds, one would expect the DoS to be exponentially sm all at 0. To understand the failure of the sem iclassical method, notice that for 1 0, the mean eld con gurations are geodesics starting and ending at the same point on the sphere. Unlike with generic values of the revolution angle, there is a continuous manifold of such trajectories, i.e. the functional integral contains a zero mode. The contribution of this mode to the functional is not accounted for correctly by a straightforward integration over quadratic uctuations. (In fact, we do not yet know how to treat it correctly.)
- [17] C.W.J.Beenakker and B.Rejaei, Phys. Rev. B 49, 7499 (1994).
- [18] K.M.Frahm, Phys.Rev.Lett. 74, 4706 (1995).
- [19] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber, J. M ath. Phys. 21, 411 (1980).