

Correlation of Firing in Layered Associative Neural Networks

Michiko YAMANA^{1,*} and Masato OKADA^{1,2}

¹ *RIKEN, Brain Science Institute, Laboratory for Mathematical Neuroscience, 2-1 Hirosawa, Wakou-shi, Saitama, 351-0198*

² *Graduate School of Frontier Sciences, The University of Tokyo, Frontier Sciences Bldg 409, Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba, 277-8561*

"Intelligent Cooperation and Control", PRESTO, JST, Frontier Sciences Bldg, Kashiwanoha 5-1-5, Kashiwa-shi, Chiba, 277-8561

(Received March 23, 2022)

There is growing interest in a phenomenon called the “synfire chain”, in which firings of neurons propagate from pool to pool in the chain. The mechanism of the synfire chain has been analyzed by many researchers. Keeping the synfire chain phenomenon in mind, we investigate a layered associative memory neural network model, in which patterns are embedded in connections between neurons. In this model, we also include uniform noise in connections, which induces common input in the next layer. Such common input in layers generate correlated firings of neurons. We theoretically obtain the evolution of retrieval states in the case of infinite pattern loading. We find that the overlap between patterns and neuronal states is not given as a deterministic quantity, but is described by a probability distribution defined over the ensemble of synaptic matrices. Our simulation results are in excellent agreement with theoretical calculations.

KEYWORDS: correlation of firing, synfire chain, common input, associative memory model

§1. Introduction

Abeles proposed a synfire chain as a model of the cortex.¹⁾ It consists of neuron pools connected to each other in a feedforward chain so that firings can propagate. Repeated spatiotemporal firing patterns with precise timing accuracy within milliseconds have been recorded in the frontal cortex of monkeys performing tasks.²⁾ These findings suggest the occurrence of some temporal structure in the brain. In recent experiments, temporally precise firing sequences of spikes have been reported for a songbird vocal control system.^{3,4)} It was also proved that sequences of synchronous activity

* Present address: Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, System Engineering Research Laboratory, 2-11-1 Iwatokita, Komae-shi, Tokyo, 201-8511.

propagate through a reproduced multilayer feedforward network of neurons in an in vitro slice preparation of rat cortex.⁵⁻⁷⁾

Models of a synfire chain and its mechanism have been studied theoretically by many researchers.⁸⁻¹⁷⁾ Recently, Diesmann and Cateau et al. investigated conditions for stable propagation of correlated firings along the feedforward networks.^{11,12)}

Amari et al. analyzed a model of a neuron pool in which neurons receive common overlapping input, and proved that higher order interactions of neurons exist in synchronous firing, which generates wide-spread activity distributions. That is, the self-averaging property breaks down even in the stochastic limit, and activity depends on the sample, therefore activity distribution is not concentrated on its mean value and has a wide-spread distribution. However, in their model, neurons are uniformly connected to each other and each connection has no structures such as learning efficacies.

In the present study, we investigate a layered associative memory neural network model in which connections patterns are embedded together with uniform noise which induces common input into the next layer. Common input in layers generates correlated firing of neurons. We discuss cases of infinite pattern loading in the networks.

§2. Layered Associative Memory Model

We consider a layered associative memory model. In this model, neuron states are denoted by x_i^ℓ (neuron at i site in layer ℓ), which takes $+1$ or -1 corresponding to the firing state and nonfiring state, respectively. Firing of neurons is propagated according to

$$x_i^{\ell+1} = F \left(\sum_{j=1}^N J_{ij}^\ell x_j^\ell \right), \quad (1)$$

where the layer number is $\ell = 1, \dots, L$ and the number of neurons in a layer is $i = 1, \dots, N$. F is the output function $F(h) = \text{sgn}(h)$. For the coupling of neurons, we consider the following form:

$$J_{ij}^\ell = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mu=1}^p \xi_i^{\ell+1,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell,\mu} + w_j^\ell. \quad (2)$$

Here, the first term describes correlated learning in which the patterns are embedded. Each component of the patterns is assumed to be an independent random variable that takes a value of either $+1$ or -1 according to the probability,

$$\text{Prob}[\xi_i^{\ell,\mu} = \pm 1] = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (\mu = 1, \dots, p, \ell = 0, \dots, L), \quad (3)$$

where the number of patterns is $p = \alpha N$. The second term of Eq. (2) is uniform Gaussian noise $w_j^\ell \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \delta^2/N)$. The coupling w_j^ℓ propagates a firing of x_j^ℓ to the next layer uniformly, so it induces synfiring in the next layer.

We introduce the order parameter characterizing memory retrieval, namely, the overlap with the μ th pattern in the ℓ th layer, defined by

$$m^{\ell,\mu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \xi_i^{\ell,\mu} x_i^\ell. \quad (4)$$

§3. Theory

3.1 Order parameter equations

In the following analysis, we consider the situation where the pattern $\mu = 1$ is being retrieved, i.e., $m^{\ell,1} \equiv m^\ell \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, and $m^{\ell,\mu \neq 1} \sim \mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{N})$. In this case, the input to the $\ell + 1$ th layer can be written as

$$h_i^\ell \equiv \sum_j J_{ij}^\ell x_j^\ell = \xi_i^{\ell+1} m^\ell + z_i^\ell + \eta^\ell, \quad (5)$$

where

$$z_i^\ell \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \xi_i^{\ell+1,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell,\mu} x_j^\ell, \quad (6)$$

$$\eta^\ell \equiv \sum_j w_j^\ell x_j^\ell. \quad (7)$$

The first term of Eq. (5) is a signal term, the second term is the cross-talk noise term, and the last term is the uniform noise term $\eta^\ell \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \delta^2)$, which is independent of i .

The evolution of the neuron states then is given by

$$x_i^{\ell+1} = F(h_i) = F\left(\xi_i^{\ell+1} m^\ell + z_i^\ell + \eta^\ell\right). \quad (8)$$

We note that in Eq. (8), η^ℓ acts as a uniform threshold, so it induces synfiring in the $\ell + 1$ th layer.

Let us now derive equations describing the evolution of the order parameter m^ℓ . We can then follow the standard procedure¹⁸⁾ to expand Eq. (6) as

$$\begin{aligned} z_i^{\ell+1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \xi_i^{\ell+2,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\mu} F \left[\xi_j^{\ell+1} m^\ell + \eta^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \xi_j^{\ell+1,\mu} \xi_k^{\ell,\mu} x_k^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu \neq 1,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\nu} \xi_k^{\ell,\nu} x_k^\ell \right] \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \xi_i^{\ell+2,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\mu} F \left[\xi_j^{\ell+1} m^\ell + \eta^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu \neq 1,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\nu} \sum_k \xi_k^{\ell,\nu} x_k^\ell \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \sum_k \xi_i^{\ell+2,\mu} \xi_k^{\ell,\mu} x_k^\ell F' \left[\xi_j^{\ell+1} m^\ell + \eta^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu \neq 1,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\nu} \sum_k \xi_k^{\ell,\nu} x_k^\ell \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z_i^{\ell+1} &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \xi_i^{\ell+2,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1,\mu} x_j^{\ell+1,(\mu)} \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^L \left(\prod_{t=0}^n U^{\ell+1-t} \right) \frac{1}{N} \sum_j \sum_{\mu \geq 2} \xi_i^{\ell+2,\mu} \xi_j^{\ell-n,\mu} x_j^{\ell-n,(\mu)}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where we have introduced the following two quantities:

$$x_j^{\ell+1,(\mu)} = F \left[\xi_j^{\ell+1} m^\ell + \eta^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu \neq 1, \mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1, \nu} \sum_k \xi_k^{\ell, \nu} x_k^\ell \right] \quad (11)$$

$$U^{\ell+1} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_j F' \left[\xi_j^{\ell+1} m^\ell + \eta^\ell + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\nu \neq 1, \mu} \xi_j^{\ell+1, \nu} \sum_k \xi_k^{\ell, \nu} x_k^\ell \right]. \quad (12)$$

As usual, we assume that z_i^ℓ obeys a Gaussian distribution, where the mean equals 0 and the variance of z_i^ℓ , $E[(z_i^{\ell+1})^2] = (\sigma^\ell)^2$, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^{\ell+1})^2 &= \alpha \left[1 + (U^{\ell+1})^2 (U^\ell)^2 + (U^{\ell+1})^2 (U^\ell)^2 (U^{\ell-1})^2 + \dots \right] \\ &= \alpha \left[1 + (U^{\ell+1})^2 \frac{(\sigma^\ell)^2}{\alpha} \right] \\ &= \alpha + (U^{\ell+1})^2 (\sigma^\ell)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Finally, when m^ℓ, σ^ℓ and η^ℓ are given, we obtain the following coupled order parameter equations using $F(h) = \text{sgn}(h)$:

$$\begin{aligned} m^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) &= \int Dz^\ell \langle \xi^{\ell+1} F(\xi^{\ell+1} m^\ell + z^\ell + \eta^\ell) \rangle_\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [\text{erf}(u) + \text{erf}(v)], \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) &= \int Dz^\ell \langle F'(\xi^{\ell+1} m^\ell + z^\ell + \eta^\ell) \rangle_\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^\ell} (e^{-u^2} + e^{-v^2}), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$Dz^\ell = \frac{dz^\ell}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma^\ell} \exp \left(-\frac{(z^\ell)^2}{2(\sigma^\ell)^2} \right),$$

where $u = (m^\ell + \eta^\ell)/(\sqrt{2}\sigma^\ell)$, $v = (m^\ell - \eta^\ell)/(\sqrt{2}\sigma^\ell)$ and $\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-y^2} dy$. $\langle \cdot \rangle_\xi$ denotes the average over random patterns of one layer. Here, we use $\text{sgn}'(x) = 2\delta(x)$.

3.2 Probability distribution function

Let us now discuss the effect of η theoretically. In the presence of η^ℓ , the evolution of x_i^ℓ is given by Eq. (8). For a given η^ℓ , the order parameters at the $\ell + 1$ th layer are given in terms of those at the ℓ th layer as Eq.(13)-(15). However, η^ℓ is distributed in each layer ℓ according to the Gaussian distribution, and thus the evolution is described by the distribution function $p(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell)$. In general, the distribution is described by the three parameters as $p(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell)$. In this case, they can be factorized as $p(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell)p(\eta^\ell)$ and thus the evolution of the distribution $p(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell)$ can be described by

$$\begin{aligned} p(m^{\ell+1}, \sigma^{\ell+1}) &= \int dm^\ell \int d\sigma^\ell \int d\eta^\ell p(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell) p(\eta^\ell) \\ &\times \delta(m^{\ell+1} - m^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell)) \delta(\sigma^{\ell+1} - \sigma^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell)), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\delta(\cdot)$ is Dirac's delta function and $p(\eta^\ell) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\delta^\ell} \exp\left(-\frac{(\eta^\ell)^2}{2(\delta^\ell)^2}\right)$.

If we set

$$m^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) \equiv f(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) = \frac{1}{2} [\text{erf}(u) + \text{erf}(v)], \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma^{\ell+1}(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) \equiv g(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell) = \sqrt{\alpha + \frac{1}{2\pi}(e^{-u^2} + e^{-v^2})}, \quad (18)$$

transforming m^ℓ, σ^ℓ into $f(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell), g(m^\ell, \sigma^\ell, \eta^\ell)$, we get the evolution equation of the distribution,

$$p(m^{\ell+1}, \sigma^{\ell+1}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\eta^\ell p(\eta^\ell) p(m^{\ell*}, \sigma^{\ell*})}{\left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial m^\ell} \frac{\partial g}{\partial \sigma^\ell} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial m^\ell} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \sigma^\ell} \right|_{m^\ell=m^{\ell*}, \sigma^\ell=\sigma^{\ell*}}}, \quad (19)$$

where $m^{\ell*}, \sigma^{\ell*}$ satisfy eqs.(17) and (18). Then we obtain the probability distribution function as

$$p(m^{\ell+1}, \sigma^{\ell+1}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\eta^\ell p(\eta^\ell) \frac{2\pi(\eta^\ell)^2 \sqrt{2\pi\alpha + (e^{-(u^*)^2} + e^{-(v^*)^2})^2}}{(u^* - v^*)^4 e^{-(u^*)^2 - (v^*)^2} (e^{-(u^*)^2} + e^{-(v^*)^2})} p(m^{\ell*}, \sigma^{\ell*}), \quad (20)$$

where $u^* = (m^{\ell*} + \eta^\ell)/(\sqrt{2}\sigma^{\ell*})$ and $v^* = (m^{\ell*} - \eta^\ell)/(\sqrt{2}\sigma^{\ell*})$.

§4. Results

We show the simulation results of Eq. (1) to see the effects of η . As an initial condition, we set the state of the first layer according to the following probability:

$$\text{Prob}[x_i^0 = \pm 1] = \frac{1 \pm m^0 \xi_i^0}{2}. \quad (21)$$

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the overlap m in the case of no uniform Gaussian noise ($\delta = 0$). In this case, the evolution is deterministic. Figure 2 shows the simulation results including noise η . In this case, the evolution is not deterministic, but distributed. In Figures 3 - 6, we plot the distribution function $p(m)$ for the four layers ($\ell = 10, 20, 30, 100$), where $p(m) = \int d\sigma p(m, \sigma)$. The histogram shows simulation results ($N = 10000, 1000$ samples). The solid lines are theoretical calculations of the distribution $p(m)$. We find excellent agreement between simulation results and calculations. According to the evolution of layers, one can see that the distribution is peaked at two states, namely, the nonretrieval state and the retrieval state. It is noted that the nonretrieval state does not exist in the finite loading case.

Figure 7 shows the distribution function $p(m, \sigma)$ ($\alpha = 0.2, \delta = 0.2, m^0 = 0.45, \ell = 100$). One peak with m close to zero corresponds to the nonretrieval state. The other peak with m close to one corresponds to the retrieval state. Integrating $p(m, \sigma)$ over m , we obtain $p(\sigma)$. Two peaks corresponding to the retrieval state and the nonretrieval state can be seen in Fig. 8.

§5. Summary

We investigated the layered associative memory neural network model, in which patterns are embedded in connections between neurons. In this model, we also include uniform noise in connections, which induces correlated firings of neurons. We theoretically obtain the evolution of retrieval

states in the case of infinite pattern loading. We find that the overlap between patterns and neuronal states is not given as a deterministic quantity, but is described by a probability distribution. Our simulations results are in excellent agreement with theoretical calculations.

-
- 1) M. Abeles: *Corticonics*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1991).
 - 2) M. Abeles, H. Bergman, E. Margalit and E. Vaadia: J. Neurophysiol. **70** (1993) 1629.
 - 3) Y. Prut, E. Vaadia, H. Bergman, I. Haalman, H. Slovin and M. Abeles: J. Neurophysiol. **79** (1998) 2857.
 - 4) H. Hahnloser, A. Kozhevnikov and M. Fee: Nature **419** (2002) 65.
 - 5) R. Kimpf, F. Theunissen and A. Doupe: J. Neurosci. **23** (2003) 5750.
 - 6) A. Reyes: Nature Neurosci. **6** (2003) 593.
 - 7) Y. Ikegaya, G. Aaron, R. Cossart, D. Aronov, I. Lample, D. Ferster and R. Yuste: Science **304** (2004) 559.
 - 8) E. Bienenstock: Network: Computat. Neural Syst. **6** (1995) 179.
 - 9) M. Herrmann, J. Hertz and A. Prügel-Bennett: Network: Computat. Neural Syst. **6** (1995) 403.
 - 10) J. Hertz and A. Prügel-Bennett: Network: Computat. Neural Syst. **7** (1996) 357.
 - 11) M. Diesmann, M.-O. Gewaltig and A. Aertsen: Nature **402** (1999) 529.
 - 12) H. Cateau and T. Fukai: Neural Networks **14** (2001) 675.
 - 13) S. Amari, H. Nakahara, S. Wu and Y. Sakai: Neural Comp. **15** (2003) 127.
 - 14) Y. Sakai: private communication.
 - 15) K. Hamaguchi, M. Okada, M. Yamana and K. Aihara: IEICE Trans, D-II **J87** (2004) 1689
 - 16) K. Hamaguchi, M. Okada, M. Yamana and K. Aihara: Neural Comp, to be published.
 - 17) M. Kawamura, M. Yamana and M. Okada: Tech. Rep. of IEICE, NC2003 **103** (2004) 127.
 - 18) M. Okada: Neural Networks **8** (1995) 833.




Fig. 1. Simulation results for the evolution of m^ℓ for $\alpha = 0.2, \delta = 0, N = 10000, m^0 = 0.45$. We plot the results for 20 samples.




Fig. 2. Simulation results for the evolution of m^ℓ for $\alpha = 0.2, \delta = 0.2, N = 10000, m^0 = 0.45$. We plot the results for 20 samples.




Fig. 3. Layer=10. The histogram is simulation results ($N = 10000, 1000$ samples). The line is a theoretical calculation of the distribution $p(m)$.




Fig. 4. Layer=20. The histogram is simulation results ($N = 10000, 1000$ samples). The line is a theoretical calculation of the distribution $p(m)$.




Fig. 5. Layer=30. The histogram is simulation results ($N = 10000, 1000$ samples). The line is a theoretical calculation of the distribution $p(m)$.




Fig. 6. Layer=100. The histogram is simulation results ($N = 10000, 1000$ samples). The solid line is a theoretical calculation of the distribution $p(m)$.




Fig. 7. $p(m, \sigma)$ ($\alpha = 0.2, \delta = 0.2, N = 200000, m^0 = 0.45, \ell = 100$).




Fig. 8. $p(\sigma)$. Integrating $p(m, \sigma)$ over m .