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The \half-quantum " vortioes ( ) and quasiparticles ( ) in a two-dim ensional $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductor obey the Ising-like fiusion rules $=1,=$, and $=1+. W e \operatorname{explain}$ how the physical fiusion of vortex-antivortex pairs allow s us to use these rules to read out the inform ation encoded in the topologically protected space of degenerate ground states. We com ment on the potential applicability of this fact to quantum com putation.

PACS numbers: $71.10 \mathrm{Pm}, 73.43 .-\mathrm{f}, 74.90 .+\mathrm{n}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

The magic of a quantum com puter num erical sim ulation of even sim ple quantum system s requires exponentially large storage space and exponentially long com putation tim es. The quantum state of a collection of $N$ spin $-\frac{1}{2}$ particles, or qubits, requires $2^{N}$ classical variables for its description, and solving the Schrodinger equation to follow its tim e evolution requires a conventional com puter to perform up to $2^{N} \quad 2^{N}$ operations per tim e step. C onversely, a com puter built out of quantum com ponents would be able to exploit the $m$ assive parallelism inherent in quantum tim e evolution to provide fast solutions for problem s that w ould require exponential tim e on conventionalm achines.

The di culties to be overcom $e$ in building a quantum com puter are $m$ any. T he quantum system at its corem ust be strongly isolated from the environm ent so as to avoid decoherence; the unitary transform ations that constitute the elem entary com putational steps m ust be perform ed w ith su cient precision that error-correcting codes ${ }^{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{-}$ rem ain e ective; and, after all the quantum com putation has been perform ed, the system $m$ ust be capable of being reconnected to the outside world in such a way that the output can be read o via a $m$ easurem ent process that does not disturb the result.

A particularly appealing schem e for quantum computation exploits topologically protected $m$ acroscopic quantum states in $m$ any boody system $s$ [ such topologically ordered and protected m any-body quantum states were found by X iao$G$ ang $W$ en and $Q$ ian $N$ iu [1] $\overline{1}]$ in the context of the fractionalquantum $H$ alle ect. $W$ en and Niu observed that if we place a lling-fraction $=1=n$ quantum $H$ all state on a genus $g$ $R$ iem ann surface (a thought experim ent only !) then there are $n^{9}$ degenerate, and essentially indistinguishable, ground states. They also observed that by creating a vortex antivortex pair in the H all uid and then m oving the vortex around one of the hom ology generators of the surface they could cause the system to roll over from one degenerate state to the next. Since the degeneracy is lifted only by the exponentially suppressed tunneling of vortiges around the generators, the coherence of a linear supenposition of such states is topologically protected. Furtherm ore, as described above, such supenpositions can in principle be $m$ anipulated by controlling the $m$ otion of their vortex defects, and $s o$ inducing on them representations of the braid group of vortex w orld-lines.

For sim ple system s , such as the $=1=\mathrm{n}$ H all state on a sim ply-connected surface, these braid-group representations are abelian, interchange and braiding giving rise only to a phase factor. This is not su cient for a universal quantum com puter [\$0.1 W ineed a nonabelian representation | i.e. particles w th non-abelian statistics [īస్1]. There are a various two-dim ensional physical system s that should, in theory, have excitations w ith non-abelian statistics. Those closest to being realized in practice are all associated with many-body wavefunctions that contain a P fa an factor. These candidates are: i) a quantum H alle ect state seen at lling-fraction $=5=2\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ {[3]}\end{array}\right]$, ii) an anticipated, but as yet unobserved, phase of a rapidly rotating atom ic Bose gas, iii) a tw o-dim ensional $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconducting state| an exam ple of which has possibly been observed in the layered $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{RuO}_{4}$ superconductor [1] for the construction of a universal com puter, it is still w orthy of study.

Them athem aticalorigin of the non-abelian braiding in the rst two candidate system $s$ is quite technical, involving com plicated linear dependences am ong various P fa an wavefiunctions [1] $\overline{1} \overline{-1}]$. The non-abelian braid statistics of the $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductor is m uch easier to
 further clari ed by Stem et al [1] $\overline{1}]$.

The essential ingredient is that the core of an Abrikosov vortex in any superconductor contains localized low -energy bound states whose existence is guaranteed by an index theorem that relates the phase winding num ber $n$ of the vortex to the num ber ofbranches of low energy excitations [1] $\overline{\underline{q}}]$. The $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductor is unusual in that it can host a halfquantum " vortex $[\underline{\underline{2}-1}]$, where the phase $w$ inding is seen by only one of the tw ocom ponents of the electron spin. The quasiparticles bound in the core of a tw o-dim ensional version of such a vortex are M ajorana, i.e. they are identical to their antiparticles. Further, for odd phase w inding numbers, each vortex binds a zero energy mode whose eld-expansion coe cient is herm itian. In the presence of 2 N such vortices, the m any-body H ibert space of zeroenergy states is $2^{N}{ }^{1}$ dim ensional. This number is in contrast $w$ ith the na ve count of $2^{N}$ dim ensions that would com e from (w rongly) supposing that each single-particle zero-energy $m$ ode $m$ ust be either occupied or unoccupied. Because these $2^{N}{ }^{1}$ independent states cannot be associated w ith any individual vortex, the $m$ any boody state encodes highly non-local inform ation. Ivanov [ī-1] dem onstrated that the operation of braiding or interchanging the vortioes acts on this zero-energy space in the sam e way that braiding acts on the $2^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}$ di-
$m$ ensional space of $N$-point conform alblocks in the level $k=2$, SU (2) W ess-Zum ino-w itten (W ZW ) m odel.

It is not surprising that this particular W ZW m odel plays som e role. It is known that it describes the low energy physics of $P$ fa an quantum $H$ all state din. It also describes the low energy physics of the Bose gas pfa an state [2] energy e ective action of the two-dim ensional $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductor $[\underline{2}$

Because the zero-energy states consist of an equal superposition of particle and hole, they are electrically neutral. They are also nonlocal. As a consequence they should be little a ected by local impurities and extemal elds, and so be topologically protected. The vortioes binding them, however, carry $m$ agnetic $u x$ and so $m$ ight $m$ anipulable by extemal probes such as STM tips. These vortices are thus potential candidates for the basic building blocks of a quantum com puter. The problem is that the intemal state in the $2^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}$-dim ensional protected zero-energy space is so decoupled from the outside world that it is hard to see how it can be m easured.

This read-out problem is not unique to non-abelian braid statistics. There is as yet no com pletely convincing experim ental dem onstration of even the abelian \anyonic" statistics in the $=1=n \mathrm{H}$ all state although no theorist doubts that it is present. An experim ent that can detect non-abelian statistics is even harder to perform. W e need som emethod of acoessing the non-abelian state by reading out the inform ation in the protected space.

O ne approach, at least in principle, is to use the \fusion rules" of the vortioes to reconnect the protected states to som ething $m$ easurable. The vortex fusion algebra contains, in addition to the obvious rule that phasew inding num ber adds, a $Z_{2}$ factor that is essentially that of the Ising $m$ odel prim ary- eld operators $I$, , and $\left.{ }_{\underline{2}}^{\underline{Z}}\right]$ prim ary elds (of spin zero, one-half, and one respectively) in the $S U(2)_{2} \mathrm{~W}$ ZW model:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{I} ; \quad=\mathrm{I}+\quad ; \quad=\quad: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the superconductor it is natural to identify I w ith the ground state and $w$ th the B ogoliubov quasiparticle. The latter is conserved only mod 2 because tw o quasiparticles can combine to form a C ooper pair and vanish into the condensate. Hence ! I. Slightly less obvious is the identi cation of w ith the odd-w inding-num ber vortex. This com es about because a pair of vortioes is associated w ith a single zero-energy state that can be occupied ( ) or unoccupied (I). Hence = I+ . The third product, = ,
is then determ ined by the associativity of the fusion-product algebra. The principal claim of this paper is that it is possible to use this algebra to determ ine som e of the inform ation in the topologically protected space by physically fiusing a vortex $w$ ith an antivortex and seeing if they leave behind a realquasiparticle .

In subsequent sections, we will ll in the details of this process. In section two we discuss the form of the zero energy solutions to the single-particle Bogoliubov-de-G ennes equation, focusing on the sign am biguities which are the ultim ate source of the particlecreation legerdem ain. In section three we show how tunneling between nearby vortioes can be used to resolve the sign ambiguity, and how braiding followed by fusing reveals details of the protected state. Section four is a conclusion and discussion. A n appendix describes som e necessary, but technical, aspects of B erry transport in a superconducting state.

## II. Vortex core states

The topologicalob jects that have the properties we seek to exploit are the \half-quantum " vortioes of a thin $m$ of $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ spin-triplet super uid, such as ${ }^{3} H e-A$, or possibly the superconductor $\mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{RuO}_{4}$. The order param eter in such a super uid contains an angular$m$ om ent vector l, a vector d characterizing the C ooper-pair spin state, and an overallphasefactor $\exp (i)$. In a half-quantum vortex the vector 1 lies along the vortex axis and the vector d lies in plane which, for convenience, we im agine to be perpendicular to l. A s we encircle the vortex the phase increases by while the d vector rotates through 180 . A though $\exp (i)$ and d separately change sign, it is their product that appears in the order param eter, and this is single-valued. The e ect of the com bined rotation is that the spinup ferm ions see the order param eter phase wind through 2 , while the spin-down ferm ions see no phase change at all. A ndreev re ection o the winding phase will bind low energy spin-up quasiparticles $m$ odes in the vortex core, but there willbe no spin-dow n quasiparticle states w ith energy signi cantly less that the gap j j. Because only one com ponent of spin is involved in the low energy physics, we will from now on regard the ferm ions as being spinless. It is this spinlessness that allow $s$ the $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductor to have topological properties analogous to those of the spin polarized $P$ fa an quantum $H$ all state [ $\left.1 \frac{1}{3}\right]$ ].

C onsider a two-dim ensional m of super $u$ id $w$ th its l vector perpendicular to the m , and $w$ ith a winding-num ber $n$ vortex at the origin. $W$ e are principally interested in the
case $\mathrm{n}=1$, but we w ill keep n general for the m om ent, so as to bring out a distinction between vortices $w$ th $n$ even and $n$ odd. The Bogoliubov-de-G ennes (BdG) equation tē $\left.{ }_{1}{ }_{1}\right]$ has bound-state solutions of the form

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| u | $\mathrm{u}_{1}$ |
| V | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ |

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& !  \tag{3}\\
& u_{1}=e^{i(n+1)=2}\left[a(r) H_{1+1=2}\left(k_{f} r\right)+c: c:\right] \\
& v_{1} \quad e^{i(n+1)=2}\left[b(r) H_{1=2}\left(k_{f} r\right)+c: c\right]
\end{align*}:
$$

Here $H_{1}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{r}\right)$ is a H ankel function of the radial oo-ordinate $r$, the angle is the polar coordinate, and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ is the Ferm im om entum . The coe cient functions $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{r}), \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{r})$ are slow ly varying on the length scale of $k_{f}{ }^{1}$ and are $m$ ost conveniently written in term $s$ of a pair of auxiliary variables $x$ and ( $x$ ). These are de ned in term sof the im pact param eter $r_{0} \quad l=k_{f}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=q \overline{r^{2} r_{0}^{2}} ;  \tag{4}\\
& (x)=\tan ^{1} \frac{x}{r_{0}}: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

If we write

$$
\begin{align*}
& a(r)!=e^{\text {in }(x)=2} a(x)! \\
& b(r) \quad=\quad e^{\text {in }(x)=2} \tilde{\square}(x) \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

then, in the A ndreev approxim ation, the coe cients $\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{x})$ and $\overline{\mathrm{D}}(\mathrm{x})$ obey

$$
\begin{align*}
& (r) e^{\text {in }(x)} \quad+i v_{f} @_{x} \quad \widetilde{\circ}(x) \quad=E_{l} \quad \widetilde{\circ}(x) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

The one-dim ensional eigenvalue equation ( $\bar{i}$ ) has a physically appealing interpretation as describing the propagation of a quasiparticle along a rectilinear tra jectory w ith $r_{0}$ itsdistance of closest approach to the vortex centre. The variable x is the distance along the trajectory w th $\mathrm{x}=0$ being the point of closest approach. As the quasiparticle m oves it sees the local value of the order param eter ( $r(x)$ ) exp [in ( $x$ )]. The H ankel function is evanescent for $r<r_{0}$, and $a$ and $\bar{b}$ can be taken as being constant in this region. In order for the $H$ ankel functions to com bine to give the Bessel functions $J_{1=2}(\mathrm{kr})$ that rem ain nite at $r=0$, we need to im pose the condition that $a$ and $b$ (and hence $a$ and $\overline{5}$ ) be real at $x=0$. This condition allows us to extend the de nition of $a(x)$ and $\check{5}(x)$ to continuous functions on the entire real line by setting $a(x)=(a(x))$ and $\check{b}(x)=(\bar{b}(x))$. It $m$ ay be veri ed
that $(a(x) ; \bar{b}(x))^{T}$ continues to satisfy $(\bar{i}, \bar{i})$ in the extended dom ain. The one-dim ensional equation is able to capture the physics of the bound states because the A ndreev scattering


Solving the one-dim ensional problem show sthat, for sm all 1 , the energy eigenvalue is given by $E(\mathbb{l})=1!0$ where $!0$ is a frequency determ ined by the order param eter pro le (r). This frequency is positive if $\mathrm{n}>0$ and negative if $\mathrm{n}<0$. A glance at ( $(\underset{\sim}{2})$, and ( $(3)$ show $s$ that single-valuedness of the $B$ dG wavefunction requires $l$ to take integer values $w$ hen $n$ is odd, and half-integer values when $n$ is even. T he spectrum $m$ ay therefore be labeled by an integer $m$ and is given by

$$
E_{m}=\begin{array}{ll}
\left(!_{0} m ;\right. & n \text { odd }  \tag{8}\\
!_{0}(m+1=2) ; & n \text { even } .
\end{array}
$$

Both cases are consistent with the E E symmetry of the BdG eigenvalues. For odd w inding num bers the spectrum contains an $\mathrm{E}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ ode. If we create N vortioes there w ill be one of these zero $m$ odes localized in each vortex core. There will, how ever, alw ays be an even num ber of zero m odes. For an odd num ber of vortioes, an additional zero-m ode will be found on the boundary of the super uid $\left.\underline{2 n}_{2}^{2} \overline{4}\right]$.

A theough the zero $m$ odes for the $\mathrm{n}=+1$ and $\mathrm{n}=1$ vortioes both have $\mathrm{l}=0$, their actual angular dependence di ers. For the $n=+1$ vortex, whose circulation is in the sam e sense as the $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ C ooper-pair angular $m$ om entum, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{0}(r ;)!  \tag{9}\\
& v_{0}(r ;)
\end{align*}=e^{i} f(r)!
$$

For the $\mathrm{n}=1$ vortex we have,

In both cases the radial function $f(r)$ is real and decays as $\exp \left(r=V_{f}\right)$ aw ay from the vortex core.

W e have been tacitly assum ing that is real. A global phase rotation ! e ${ }^{i}$ alters each of the eigenm odes and we can choose an overall phase for the $m$ odes so that

An inspection of the detailed form of the solutions show sthat, w ith this phase choice, we retain the property that the upper com ponent of eigenm ode $l$ is the com plex con jugate of the low er com ponent for eigenm ode $\quad 1$. This property does not uniquely specify the $(u ; v)^{T}$ vectors, how ever. Even after norm alization, so that ${ }^{R}\left(j_{1} \rho+\dot{j}_{1} f\right) d^{2} x=1$, a choige of overall sign rem ains to be $m$ ade.

In the vicinity of the vortex core we can use the bound states to $m$ ake a m ode expansion of the low energy part of the ferm ion eld

The fact that the lower eld com ponent ${ }^{\wedge} y$ is the herm itian conjugate of the upper com ponent ${ }^{\wedge}$ coupled w ith the phase choicem ade in ( $1 \overline{1} \bar{I}_{-1}$ ), enforces the herm iticity condition $\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}{ }_{1}$ on the annihilation and creation operator coe cients. This property is characteristic of a M a jorana ferm ion. The bound-state quasiparticles are therefore their ow $n$ antiparticles. For n odd, the zero-energy, m ode coe cient obeys $\mathrm{b}_{0}=\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ and cannot be thought of as being either a creation or annihilation operator. Instead, the conditions $\mathrm{f}^{\wedge}(\mathrm{x})$; $^{\wedge}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{g}=0$ and $f^{\wedge} \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x}) ;^{\wedge}(\mathrm{y}) \mathrm{g}={ }^{2}(\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{y})$ together w th the com pleteness of the eigenm odes tell us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{0}^{2}=1=2 ; \quad f b_{0} ; b_{1} g=f b_{0} ; \mathrm{b}_{1}^{y} g=0 ; \text { l } 0: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have taken the trouble in this section to display the bound-state wavefunctions in som e detail. W e did this to m ake clear the origin of the $\mathrm{b}_{1}^{\mathrm{y}}=\mathrm{b}_{1} \mathrm{M}$ ajorana condition, to $m$ ake explicit our phase choices, and to point out the rem aining sign am biguity. This last point is im portant. For conventional ferm ion annihilation and creation operators, the relative sign of $a_{i}$ and $a_{i}^{y}$ is xed by the condition fa; $a^{y} g=1$, but the relative sign of the various $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{w}$ th respect to one another is unim portant as it can alw ays be absorbed into the de nition of the free- eld $m$ odes whose coe cients they are. The relative sign of the $\mathrm{b}_{0}$ ' s associated w ith di erent vortices has a physical signi cance that w ill be appreciated after we consider tunneling between nearby vortex pairs.
III. BRADINGANDFUSION

Suppose we start from a hom ogeneous ( = const:) state and adiabatically create N vortex-antivortex pairs. For ease of discussion im agine the the pairs arranged so that each
antivortex lies on the x axis, and the corresponding vortex lies vertically above. W e label the pairs from left to right by the index $i=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$. In the core of each vortex and antivortex there $w i l l$ be a zerom ode $w$ ith its corresponding $b_{0} m$ ode operator. Up to a factor, these hem itian operators obey gam ma-m atrix anti-com $m$ utation relations, so it is natural to renam e these bors as ${ }_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ (vortex) and and ${ }_{\mathrm{i}+\mathrm{N}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}$ (antivortex). These 2 N operators can be assembled to $m$ ake $N$ each of annihilation and creation operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{i}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i_{i}+i_{i+N}\right) ; \\
& b_{i}^{y}=\frac{1}{2}\left(i_{i+N}\right): \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

These $b_{i}$ and $b_{i}^{y}$ 's act on a $2^{N}$-dim ensional space of degenerate ground states. This space is split into two physically equivalent $2^{N}{ }^{1}$-dim ensional spaces by a superselection rule: although ferm ion number conservation is broken by the presence of the condensate, no physical process intemal to the system can change an odd total ferm ion number into an even ferm ion number. W hich of the two spaces we are in depends on whether the total num ber of particles in the system is odd or even.

The odd-even decom position is re ected in the fusion algebra. By using the rules (lil) to repeatedly fuse a with $=$ It , we nd

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\quad+\quad ; \\
& =I+\quad+I+\quad ;
\end{aligned}
$$

etc. In general, for 2 N vortioes,

The $2^{N}{ }^{1}$-dim ensionalm ultiplicity spaces of the $I$ and the are separately invariant under the action of the braid group.
$W$ hen each vortex \i" is brought close to its sibling antivortex $\backslash \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{i}^{\prime}, \mathrm{l}$ the $2^{\mathrm{N}}$-fold ground state degeneracy is lifted. Because two nearby odd-w inding number vortioes are e ectively a vortex with an even winding num ber, tunneling between their two zero-energy BdG m odes will cause them to realign and becom e two eigenm odes $w$ th som e sm all nonzero energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$. In the second quantized B ogoliubov H am iltonian these tw o single-particle $m$ odes $m$ ake one state that can either be occupied and contribute $E_{i}$ to the total energy
or be unoccupied and contribute energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$. If we were to slow ly $m$ erge each vortex $w$ ith its sibling antivortex, the $E_{i}$ eigenm odes $w$ ill split further and eventually $m$ erge $w$ th the continuum of unbound states. If the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ state is em pty, nothing rem ains after the vortices annihilate. If it is occupied, an unbound quasiparticle with energy of $j$ is left behind.

It is not easy to com pute the $m$ atrix elem ents for the tunnel splitting, but we do not need to know them in detail. $W$ hen the splitting is sm allwe can restrict ourselves to the $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ dim ensional space ofnearly degenerate states, and in this space the $m$ any-body $H$ am iltonian must be of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{0} & =X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i} \frac{1}{4 i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
N+i & i
\end{array}\right]+\frac{1}{2} X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E \quad\left(b_{i}^{y} b_{i} \quad b_{i} b_{i}^{y}\right)+\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i} \\
& =X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i} b_{i}^{y} b_{i}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

This is because $\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{i}}[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{i}]$ is the only herm titian operator that can be $m$ ade solely out of $i$ and ${ }_{i+\mathrm{N}}$, and so the only possible operator that tunneling betw een vortex $\backslash \mathrm{i}$ " and $\backslash \mathrm{N}+\mathrm{i}$ " can contribute to the Ham iltonian. Herm iticity dem ands that that the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ be real. We can also assum $e$ that the $E_{i}$ are positive by using this requirem ent to $x$ the relative sign am biguity between i+N and $i$.

The low est energy state $j 01$ for an even num ber ofparticles is that which is annihilated by all the $b_{i}$. In this ground state all ferm ions are paired, and all of the not-quite-zero-energy states are em pty. If the system contains an odd num ber of particles, how ever, one will be left unpaired, and will occupy the low est of the not-quite-zero-energy states.

Now we consider how we can manipulate the occupation numbers of the not-quitezero modes. Follow ing Ivanov [ī] $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1\end{array}\right]$, we adiabatically transport vortex $i$ around vortex $j$ and bring it back to its original position. In this process the local phase seen by each vortex will increm ent by 2 , and so cause the phase factors $\mathrm{e}^{i=2}$ (see (1ָīi)) in the zero modes of vortex $i$ and $j$ to change sign. The eld operators ${ }^{\wedge}(x),{ }^{\wedge} y(x)$ are indi erent to the choice of $m$ odes in which we expand them, and must be unchanged by the braiding process. The sign change of the $m$ ode vector ( $u_{0} ; v_{0}$ ) m ust therefore be com pensated by a change in the sign of the mode coe cients $i$ and $j$. Consequently


FIG. 1: P hase changes due to the braid operation $T_{1}$ : Before the braiding the phase $2(1)$ seen by vortex 1 due to vortex 2 is just $m$ ore than 180 , and the phase ${ }_{1}(2)$ seen by vortex 2 due to vortex 1 is just more than 0 . A fter the braiding the phase ${ }_{1}(2)$ at vortex 2 due to vortex 1 is just m ore than 180 , but because vortex 1 rem ains below below the displaced vortex-2 branch cut, the phase $2(1)$ is now just $m$ ore than 360 . C onsequently 1 is replaced by 2 , but 2 is replaced by $\quad 1$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{H}_{0}=\quad \frac{1}{\frac{1}{4}} \frac{T_{i}}{4 i}[N+i ; i]+\quad \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{4 i}[N+j ; j]+\quad \frac{1}{2} E_{i}+\quad \frac{1}{2} E_{j}+ \\
& \text { is changed to } \\
& \hat{H}_{0}^{\text {new }}=\quad i \frac{1}{\underset{E}{E}}[N+i ; i]+\quad \frac{1}{j} \frac{1}{4 i}[N+j ; j]+\quad \frac{1}{2} E_{i}+\quad \frac{1}{2} E_{j}+
\end{aligned}
$$

In addition to this explicit $m$ onodrom $y$ in $\hat{H}_{0}$, the state j0i $m$ ight acquire a non-abelian, holonom y from Berry transport. It was argued by Stem et al. [1]ī1], that, for the choide of phases in $\left(u_{0} ; v_{0}\right)$, the state $j 0 i$ is at $m$ ost $m$ ultiplied by an overall Berry phase, and so essentially retums to itself after the braiding process. W e agree w ith this conclusion, although we nd the discussion in [1] derivation of this key fact in the appendix.

A fter the braiding the state j0i rem ains an eigenvector of all the $\frac{1}{4 i}\left[{ }_{N}+k ; k\right] w$ th eigenvalue $1=2$. Because of the sign changes in $\hat{H}_{0}$, how ever, it is no longer the low est energy state of $\hat{H}_{0}^{\text {new }}$. It is instead an excited state $w$ ith energy $E_{i}+E_{j}$, corresponding to the tw o not-quite-zero $m$ odes of the $i$ and j-th pairs being occupied. If we now adiabatically fuse the $i$-th vortex $w$ ith its sibling antivortex we recover the uniform state together $w$ ith a quasiparticle . The sam e is tnue for for the $j$-th pair.
$W$ e now suppose we m ake an anti-clockw ise interchange $T_{i}$ of the $i$ and $i+1$ th vortiges. In order to follow what happens, we must rst $x$ the sign ambiguity between the $i$. W e
therefore draw \branch cuts" to the right of each vortex and parallel to the $x$-axis and set the i produced by the vortex equal to zero im m ediately above the cut. The local phase (i) seen by vortex $i$ is then the sum of the ${ }^{P}{ }_{j f i} j^{\prime}$ 's of the other vortices and anti-vortioes. U nder the interchange, and keeping track of the explicit monodrom y of the local i's, we nd that the zero $m$ ode of the $i+1$-th vortex replaces that of the $i$-th while the $i$-th zero m ode replaces $m$ inus that of the i+ 1 -th. Thus (see $g$ in and [1]

$$
T_{i}: \begin{array}{lr}
i! & { }_{i+1}  \tag{17}\\
i+1
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& i
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the geom etric arrangem ent of the vortices is unchanged, the $H$ am iltonian becom es

The cruciale ect is not so $m$ uch the sign change but that the B erry transport of j 0 i preserves its property that it is the state killed by the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ of the original $\frac{1}{4 \mathrm{i}}\left[\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{k} ;{ }_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$. C onsequently $j \mathrm{ji}$ is no longer an eigenstate of the new H am iltonian, but is instead a linear supenposition ofeigenstates. The outcom e of fusing vortex iw ith its antivortex is no longer certain. Sam e is true for the outcom e of fusing vortex i+ 1 w th its antivortex. In fact, it w ill be show n later that we have constructed an entangled state.

The sign change in i ! j does have signi cance, how ever, as it ensures that the result ofbraiding is to take ${ }_{i}!\quad{ }_{i}^{0} w$ ith

$$
{ }_{i}^{0}={ }_{j} O_{j i}=U{ }_{i} U^{1} ;
$$

where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ is an elem ent of $\mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{~N})$, as opposed to $\mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~N})$. Here U is a spin-representation $m$ atrix that would act on the $2^{\mathrm{N}}$ dim ensional space of degenerate ground states were we to $m$ ake the braid group act by holonom $y$ on the states, instead ofby explicit $m$ onodrom $y$ on the H am iltonian. The O ( 2 N ) spin representation is irreducible, but under restriction to SO ( 2 N ) it decom poses into two irreducible com ponents, these being the spaces of odd or even ferm ion number. For the elem entary braiding operation $T_{i}$, Ivanov showed [īī the relevant unitary operator $U\left(T_{i}\right)$ can be taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=P^{1} \overline{2}(1+i+1 i) ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

as this is unitary and obeys

$$
\begin{aligned}
i i^{1} & =i+1 \text {; } \\
i+1 i^{1} & =i_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operation of taking vortex i com pletely around vortex i+ 1 and back to its starting point is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{2} \text { i i }^{2} & =i \boldsymbol{i} \\
2 \text { i+1 i }^{2} & =i+1:
\end{aligned}
$$

For the rest of this section we will take the holonom y point of view | i.e. the H am iltonian will be kept xed as $\hat{H}_{0}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} E_{i} b_{i}^{y} b_{i}$, and a braiding $T$ will act on the state by $U$ (T).

W e now consider the e ect of various braid group generators on the occupation num ber basis states

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; n_{N} i \quad\left(b^{y_{1}}\right)^{n_{1}} \quad y_{N} Q^{n_{N}}-j 0 i: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen we expand out the generator $i$ in term s of the annihilation and creation operators, we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
i=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+b_{i+1} b_{i}+b_{i+1}^{y} b_{i}+b_{i+1} b_{i}^{y}+b_{i+1}^{y} b_{i}^{y}\right) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{\text {i }}^{1} 10::: ; n_{i} ; n_{i+1} ;::: ; n_{N} i=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} f \dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; n_{i} ; n_{i+1} ;::: ; n_{N} i \\
& +\eta_{1} ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{i} & 1
\end{array}\right) ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{i+1} & 1
\end{array}\right) ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} i \\
& +n_{1} ;::: ;\left(n_{i} \quad 1\right) ;\left(n_{i+1}+1\right) ;::: n_{N} i \\
& \dot{n}_{1} ;::: ;\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}+1\right) ;\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1} \quad 1\right) ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i} \\
& \eta_{1} ;::: ;\left(n_{i}+1\right) ;\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}+1}+1\right) ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \text { ig : } \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere we understand that when the 1 takes the occupation num ber $n_{j}$ out of the set $f 0 ; 1 \mathrm{~g}$ the illegal state is to be replaced by zero.
$W$ e next de ne $T_{i}^{(0)}$ to be the operation of interchanging vortex iw ith its sibling antivortex. (A vortex and an antivortex being distinguishable, this operation does not retum the system to its originalcon guration and hence cannot be considered as a braiding operation.) The corresponding unitary operator is

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
i^{(0)} & =P^{1} \frac{1}{2}(1+i+N \quad \\
& =P^{1} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+i\left(b_{i}^{y} b_{i}\right.\right. \tag{22}
\end{array} \quad b_{i} b_{i}^{y}\right)\right): \$
$$

From this, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{(0)} \dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; n_{i} ;::: ; n_{N} i=e^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}}=4} \dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{i}} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} i: \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can also consider an operation involving interchanging vortex iw ith the antivortex of its partner, $i+1$, to the right. W ew ill call this operation $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{(1)}$. T he corresponding operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i}^{(1)}=P_{\overline{1}}^{1}\left(1 \quad i b_{i+1} b_{i}+i b_{i+1}^{y} b_{i} \quad i b_{i+1} b_{i}^{y}+i b_{i+1}^{y} b_{i}^{y}\right) ; \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and this acts as

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{(1)} \eta_{1} ;::: ; n_{i} ; n_{i+1} ;::: ; n_{N} i=\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}} f \eta_{1} ;::: ; n_{i} ; n_{i+1} ;::: ; n_{N} i \\
& i_{\eta_{1}} ;::: ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{i} & 1
\end{array}\right) ;\left(\begin{array}{ll}
n_{i+1} & 1
\end{array}\right) ;::: ; n_{N} i \\
& +i \eta_{1} ;::: ;\left(n_{i} \quad 1\right) ;\left(n_{i+1}+1\right) ;::: n_{N} i \\
& +i \eta_{1} ;::: ;\left(n_{i}+1\right) ;\left(n_{i+1} \quad 1\right) ;::: ; n_{N} i \\
& i \eta_{1} ;::: ;\left(n_{i}+1\right) ;\left(n_{i+1}+1\right) ;::: ; n_{N} \text { ig : } \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

A strategy for accessing the protected inform ation is now apparent. The wavefunction of the protected state $j$ i belonging to the even (or odd) ferm ion number sector is speci ed by the $2^{N}{ }^{1}$ complex num bers form ing the its com ponents in the occupation-num ber basis $\dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ i. P rovided that we can have access to $\mathrm{m} u$ ltiple copies of $j$ i, we can repeatedly fuse the vortex-antivortex pairs and so estim ate the probability of a particular pattem $\left(n_{1} ;::: n_{N}\right)$ of relict particles. In this way we obtain the num bers $\ddagger \mathrm{n} \mathrm{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$ 予. W e lose all relative phase inform ation in this process, how ever. This loss occurs not only because we are nding probabilities, but also because the di erent occupation-num ber states have unpredictably di erent tunneling energies, and so tim e evolution w ill scram ble their relative phases during the fusion process.
 combined w ith controlled braiding $i$ and interchange ${ }_{i}^{(1)}$ to nd all three of the num bers

If this is possible, we would be able to obtain all relative phases of $h$ in $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}$ 's, for given $\dot{k}_{1} \jmath, \dot{k}_{2} \mathfrak{f}, \dot{k}_{1}+z_{2} \mathfrak{\jmath}$ and $\dot{k}_{1}+\dot{z}_{2} \jmath$, it is possible to recover the com plex num bers $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ up to a com $m$ on phase factor.

This is not quite the case, how ever. A vortex-antivortex interchange would leave one vortex-vortex pair and one antivortex-antivortex pair. T hese pairs are problem atic, because the excited state of this pair would not lead to an unbound Bogoliubov quasiparticle excitation, but rather a bound excited state of a 'double half-quantum ' vortex (or antivortex). $T$ his $m$ eans that fusing such pairs would not give us a result that we can read out. In short, $\dot{\mathrm{k}}_{1}+\mathrm{iz}_{2} \mathrm{~J}$ is not available to us.

Nevertheless, there is still much we can gure out about relative phases of $h$ jn $_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ i's. For N complex numbers, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{i}}$, if one know s all their absolute values and distance between each other, $\dot{k}_{i} \quad z_{j} j$ for all $i ; j$ (or equivalently $\dot{j}_{i}+z_{j} j$ ), the geom etric con guration of $z_{i}$ 's are determ ined rigidly. By this we $m$ ean that we have determ ined $z_{i}$ 's up to overall rotation around the origin and re ection with respect to the real axis (that is, complex conjugation). It needs to be noted that knowing $\dot{k}_{i} z_{j} j$ for all $i ; j$ actually overdeterm ines $z_{i}$ 's. So it tums out that even though direct fusion com bined with controlled braiding $i$ only gives us a subset of $f \dot{k}_{i} \quad z_{j} \dot{j}$, it is still su cient for us to determ ine h jn $1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}}$ i's up to com plex conjugation and overall phase. The exact procedure w ill be given in the appendix. (O ne needs to be note that if there is a superposition of even total occupation num ber states and odd totaloccupation num ber states, there is no w ay to obtain phase relation betw een them, which re ects the fact that, as Ivanov pointed out [1]in , the superconducting Ham iltonian creates or destroys electrons only in pairs.)

## IV. CONCLUSIONSAND OPEN QUESTIONS

$H$ alf-quantum vortioes in the $p_{x}+i p_{y}$ superconductors provide, at least in principle, a way to generate and $m$ anipulate entangled states in a topologically protected H ibert space. We have show $n$ that by physically fusing vortices $w$ ith appropriate antivortices it is possible to reconnect the protected space to the rest of the $H$ ilbert space and so read out the inform ation encoded there.

A number of questions rem ain, however: i) $W$ e have assum ed that the fusion process is slow enough that the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ bound state m erges adiabatically w th the continuum . W hat happens if the process is too fast? C an we nd a tractablem odel for the annihilation process that would allow us to determ ine how adiabatic it has to be in order not to lose inform ation? ii) O ur picture of generating and moving vortioes is at present only a thought experim ent.

The necessary half-quantum vortioes have not even been detected in any real system. If they can be found, can we come up with some practical device (a con guration of STM probes, current sources, drains, gates etc) that can create, guide, and $m$ onitor the vortices? Since no experim ent is likely to be able to detect a single quasi-particle, we would have arrange for a continuous operation and $m$ easure currents; iii) $P$ erhaps the $m$ ost interesting question is whether we take the insights developed from the relatively simple picture of braiding and fusion in the superconductor and extend them to the other candidate system $s$ w ith non-abelian statistics.
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APPENDIXA:BERRYPHASESAND BOGOLIUBOV TRANSFORMATIONS

In this appendix we review the form al algebraic aspects of B ogoliubov transform ations, and their im plications for the com putation of Berry phases for BCS states.

## 1. B C S ground state

Suppose that $H_{i j}$ is an $N$-by -N matrix representing a one-particle $H$ am iltonian. W hen we include the e ect of a superconducting condensate, the second-quantized Bogoliubov H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{H}_{\text {B ogolimbov }}=a_{i}^{y} H_{i j} a_{j}+\frac{1}{2} \quad{ }_{i j} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y}+\frac{1}{2}{ }_{\sum_{i j}}^{y} a_{i} a_{j}! \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{i}^{Y} & a_{i}
\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{r}
H_{i j} \\
\underset{i j}{y}
\end{array} \underset{H_{i j}^{T}}{H_{i j}^{T}} \quad \begin{array}{l}
a_{j} \\
a_{j}^{y}
\end{array}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trH}: \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $a_{i}^{y}$ and $a_{i}$ are ferm ion creation and annihilation operators,the gap function $i_{i j}$ is a skew symm etric $m$ atrix, and $H^{T}$ denotes the transpose of the hem itian $m$ atrix $H$. For a continuum superconductor, the index \i" should be understood to incorporate both the
space co-ordinate $x$, and the spin index. A sum over itherefore im plies both an integral over real space and a sum over spin com ponents.

The manyłoody H am iltonian is diagonalized by means of a B ogoliubov transform ation. To construct this transform ation we begin by solving the single-particle Bogolinubov-deG ennes ( BdG ) eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
 \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u$ and $v$ are $N$-dim ensional colum $n$ vectors, which we take to be norm alized so that $j u \stackrel{j}{j}+j=1$. If we explicitly display the colum $n$-vector index ithey becom e $m$ atrioes $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$. Taking the com plex conjugate of ( $\left.\bar{A}-\overline{2} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right)$ tells us that

and so the BdG eigenvalues come in pairs. Wewill always take E to be the positive eigenvalue.

We now set

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}=u_{i} b+v_{i} b^{y} \\
& a_{i}^{y}=v_{i} b+u_{i} b^{y}: \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

Them utual orthonorm ality and com pleteness of the eigenvectors (u ; v ) ${ }^{T}$ ensures that the $b, b^{y}$ have the sam e anti-comm utation relations as the $a_{i} a_{i}^{y}$. In term sof the $b b^{y}$, the second-quantized $H$ am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {Bogoliubov }}=\mathrm{X}_{=1}^{\mathrm{N}^{N}} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~b} \quad \frac{1}{2}_{=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} \mathrm{E}+\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{N}} E_{i}^{(0)}: \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the $E_{i}{ }_{i}^{(0)}$ are the eigenvalues of $H$. These can be of either sign.
If all the E are strictly positive, the new ground state is non-degenerate and is the unique state $j 0 i_{b}$ annihilated by all the b. Ifwe could nd a unitary operator $U$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& b=a_{i} u_{i}+a_{i}^{y} v_{i}=U a U^{1} \\
& b^{y}=a_{i}^{y} u_{i}+a_{i} v_{i}=U a^{y} U^{1} \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

then we would have $j 0 i_{b}=U j 0 i_{a}$, where $j 0 i_{a}$ is the no-particle vacuum state. It is not easy to $n d$ a closed-form expression for $U$, how ever. An altemative strategy for obtaining $j 0 i_{b}$
begins by noting that if that the $m$ atrix $u_{i}$ is invertible then the condition $b_{i} j 0 i_{b}=0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{i}+a_{k}^{y} v_{k}\left(u^{1}\right)_{i}\right) j 0 i_{b}=0 ; i=1 ;::: N: \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e therefore introduce the skew -sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{i j}=v_{i}(u)_{j}^{1} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \quad \frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y} S_{i j} \quad a_{k} \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y} S_{i j}=a_{k}+a_{i}^{y} S_{i k}: \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
j 0 i_{b}=N \exp \quad \frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y} S_{i j} \quad j 0 i_{a} \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j^{-j} i_{a}$ is the originalno particle state. Equation ( ${ }^{-1} 10^{-1}$ ) explicitly displays the superconducting ground state as a coherent supenposition of C ooper-pair states, and allow s us to identity $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{W}$ th the (unnom alized) pair wavefunction.
$T$ he norm alization factor $N$ is found from

$$
\begin{equation*}
h S_{1} \dot{J}_{2} i=\operatorname{det}^{1=2}\left(I+S_{1}^{Y} S_{2}\right) ; \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
j S i=\exp \quad \frac{1}{2} a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y} S_{i j} \quad j 0 i_{a} ; \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\operatorname{det}^{1=4}\left(I+S^{y} S\right): \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the group of B ogolinbov transform ations on $a_{i}, a_{i}^{Y}, i=1 ;::: ; N$ is $S O(2 N)$, and because the subgroup $U(\mathbb{N})^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{Sp}(2 \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{R}) \backslash \mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{~N})$ oftransform ations that $m$ ix the a's only w ith them selves (i.e. not w ith the $\mathrm{a}^{y}$ 's), preserves the no-particle vacuum $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{i}_{a}$, the set of physically distinct ground states is param eterized by the sym m etric space $S O(2 N)=U(\mathbb{N})$. A s a check of this assertion, observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} S O(2 N) \quad \operatorname{dim} U(\mathbb{N})=N\left(2 N \quad \text { 1) } \quad N^{2}=N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) ;\right. \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the num ber of independent realparam eters in the com plex skew-sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix $S_{i j}$. These $S_{i j}$ for $i<j$ serve as com plex co-ordinates on allbut a set ofm easure zero in the $m$ anifold of possible ground states.
2. C li ord algebra and Lie(SO (2N ))

W e can $m$ ake the $S O(2 N)$ character of the B ogoliubov transform ations $m$ anfest by introducing a set of 2 N D irac gam $m$ a operators. T hese are related to the ferm ion annihilation and creation operators by

$$
\begin{align*}
& i=\left(a_{i}+a_{i}^{Y}\right) \\
& i+N=i\left(a_{i}^{Y}\right.  \tag{A15}\\
&\left.a_{i}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The $i$ are herm titian, and obey the C li ord algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i j} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{g}} \quad \mathrm{i}_{j}^{+}{ }_{j i}=2_{i j}: \tag{A16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $H$ am ittonian can be rew rilten in term $s$ of the $i$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {Bogoliubov }}=\frac{1}{2}_{i ; j=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{ij} i j}+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathrm{H} \tag{A17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i j}=\frac{1}{4 i}\left[{ }_{i} ;{ }_{j}\right] \tag{A18}
\end{equation*}
$$

are the spinor generators of the Lie algebra of $S O(2 N)$, and the $m$ atrix $h_{i j}$ has entries

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
=H \quad & <H+<\quad!  \tag{A19}\\
h_{i j}= & <H+<
\end{array}
$$

$H$ ere $<Z \quad X$ and $=Z \quad Y$ denote the real and im aginary parts of $Z=X+i Y$, and the vector space has been partitioned so that $i=1 ;::: ; N$ is the rst block and $i=N+1 ;::: 2 N$ is the second. This rew riting reveals that a general B ogolinbov H am iltonian is, up to an additive constant, an elem ent of the Lie algebra of SO ( 2 N ). T he B ogoliubov transform ation that diagonalizes $H$ is therefore the operation of con jugating the Lie algebra elem ent $\hat{H}$ into the C artan sub-algebra. T his we can take to be spanned by the com $m$ uting set of operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 i}\left[{ }_{N}+i ; \quad i\right]=\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{i}^{y} a_{i} \quad a_{i} a_{i}^{y}\right) ; \quad i=1 ;::: ; N: \tag{A20}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter con jugation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {Bogoliubov }}!\quad \mathrm{U} \hat{H}_{\text {Bogoliubov }} \mathrm{U}^{1} \tag{A21}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\exp \frac{i}{2}_{i ; j} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{ij} \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e param eters $i_{i j}$, the $H$ am iltonian becom es.

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_{\text {Bogolimbov }}! & X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E \frac{1}{4 i}[N+; \quad]+\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i}^{(0)} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}_{I=1}^{X^{N}} E\left(a^{y} a \quad a a^{y}\right)+\frac{1}{2}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} E_{i}^{(0)} \\
& =X_{=1}^{X^{N}} E a^{y} a \quad \frac{1}{2}^{X} E+\frac{1}{2}_{i}^{X} E_{i}^{(0)} ; \tag{A23}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the sam e as $(\bar{A}-\overline{3})$. A gain it is convenient to regard the energies $E$ as being positive, even though the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{0}$, the eigenvalues of H , can have either sign. The additive constant is then the ground-state energy of superconducting system.

## 3. Zero m odes

The gam $m$ a-operator language is particularly usefiulw hen there are zero modes. Because of the Esymmetry, any zero energy eigenvectors of the BdG Ham iltonian must come in pairs. Suppose that $\left(u_{0} ; v_{0}\right)^{T}$ becom es degenerate $w$ ith its negative energy sibling $\left(v_{0} ; u_{0}\right)^{T}$. Then we can w rite this pair of eigenvectors' contribution to the $m$ ode expansion as
where
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\left(b_{0}+b_{0}^{y}\right) ; \quad{ }_{N}=i\left(b_{0}^{y} \quad b_{0}\right): \tag{A26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The column vectors $\left(\mathrm{U}_{0} ; \mathrm{V}_{0}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{N}} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$ both have the feature that $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{V}$. This anti-linear up-dow $n$ sym $m$ etry is characteristic of the localized zero $m$ odes in the vortex cores.

## 4. B erry C onnection

 norm alized ground state. To do this we exploit the fact that the un-norm alized states jis i, being functions only of the $S_{i j}$, and not of the $S_{i j}$, de nes a holom onphic line-bundle over the $K$ ahler $m$ anffold $S O(2 N)=U(N)$. W e can therefore read o the 1-form connection iA from derivatives of the K ahler potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln N=\frac{1}{4} \ln \operatorname{det}\left(I+S^{y} S\right) \tag{A27}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $i A=@ \ln N @ \ln N\left[\underline{[1]} \overline{1}\right.$. If we express the param eters $S_{i j}$ in term $s$ of the norm alized B ogoliubov eigenvectors $(u ; v)^{T}$, we nd that

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
i A & = & X \quad \frac{@ \ln N}{@ S_{i j}} d S_{i j} & \frac{@ \ln N}{@ S_{i j}} d S_{i j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}^{X^{N}} \quad(v \quad u \quad) d \quad \frac{v}{!} \quad+\frac{i}{2} d f A r g(\operatorname{det} u) g: \tag{A28}
\end{array}
$$

The expression ( tors ( $v ; u)^{T}$ are the negative-energy eigenstates of the one-particle B ogoliubov-de-G ennes H am iltonian

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BdG}}=\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{H} &  \tag{A29}\\
\mathrm{y} & \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{array}
$$

If this w ere a D irac ferm ion problem, we would $l l$ the $D$ irac sea consisting of these negative energy states. T he B erry phase of the vacuum would then be the sum of the B erry phases of the occupied states. The rst term in ( $\left.\bar{A} \overline{2} \overline{Z_{1}}\right)$ is precisely one-half of this sum . T he factor of one-half com pensates for the arti cial doubling of the degrees of freedom in passing from
 W e next com pute the Berry connection for an excited state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1} ;::: ; n_{n} i=b_{1}^{y} \quad y_{n} \text { itio } \tag{A30}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using

$$
\begin{align*}
{d b^{y}}^{y} & =\left(u_{i} b+v_{i} b^{y}\right) d v_{i}+\left(v_{i} b+u_{i} b^{y}\right) d u_{i} \\
& =\left(v_{i} d v_{i}+u_{i} d u_{i}\right) b^{y}+\left(u_{i} d v_{i}+v_{i} d u_{i}\right) b: \tag{A31}
\end{align*}
$$

W hen the state $j_{1} ;::: ;{ }_{n} i$ is non-degenerate, we are interested only in the diagonal $=$ term

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{db}^{y} \dot{\text { diag }}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} d v_{\mathrm{i}}+\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}} d \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \text { ( } \text { no sum on } \text { ); } \tag{A32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& =h 5 j d 5 i+X_{m=1}^{X^{n}}\left(u_{m} \quad v_{m}\right) d \begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \\
v_{m}
\end{array} ; \tag{array}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the sum of them any-body ground-state Berry connection and the Berry connections of the individual one-particle excited states. O bserve that there is no factor of $\backslash 1=2$ " in the contribution of these occupied excited states.

T he non-abelian Berry connection of a set of degenerate $m$ any boody states is com puted in the sam em anner. It will include a diagonal term from the reference state $\bar{j} i$ i and a sum of non-diagonal term $s$ term $s$ of the form

$$
i A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
u & v
\end{array}\right) d^{!} \begin{aligned}
& \text { v } \tag{A34}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of the vortioes, the states of interest are the exponentially localized core states. Because of this localization only the overlap of each core state with itself has any chance of providing a non-zero term in the connection, but it is readily veri ed that w ith the phase choioes $m$ ade in the text, all these contributions are zero. T he only \Berry phase" produced by the vortex braiding is the overall diagonalBerry phase associated w ith the $M$ agnus force "

APPENDIX B:DETERM $\mathbb{N} \mathbb{N}$ G PhASERELATION AMONGCOEFFIC IENTS OF OCCUPATION NUMBER BASIS

Let us consider how many vortex interchange steps would be needed in order to rigidly - this rigidity being de ned in the last page of the section III - determ ine geom etric conguration on the complex plane of allh $\mathrm{j}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, with j i having a de nite parity in total occupation num ber.

In the case $\mathrm{N}=2$ one can easily see from

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{1}\left(A_{00} j 00 i+A_{11} \mathfrak{H} 1 i\right)=\frac{1}{2} f\left(A_{00}+A_{11}\right) j 00 i \quad\left(A_{00} \quad A_{11}\right) j 1 i g ; \\
& { }_{1}\left(A_{01} j 01 i+A_{10} \mathfrak{H} 0 i\right)=\frac{1}{2} f\left(A_{01}+A_{10}\right) j 01 i \quad\left(A_{01} \quad A_{10}\right) j 10 i g \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

that the fusion follow ing the vortex interchange process gives us, up to the sign, phase di erence betw een $A_{00}$ and $A_{11}$ (and likew ise betw een $A_{01}$ and $A_{10}$ ). G iven that we already know
 of $A_{00}$ and $A_{11}$. Sam e can be said for $A_{01}$ and $A_{10}$.

It is instructive to work out the next $\operatorname{simplest~case~} N=3$, where ji=A 000 j000i + $A_{110}$ ㄱ10i $+A_{011}$ j011i $+A_{101}$ j101i. From $N=2$ case, one can see that 1 rigidly determ ines the con guration of $A_{000}$ and $A_{110}$ on the com plex plane. It also rigidly determ ines the con guration of $A_{011}$ and $A_{101}$ on the com plex plane. H ow ever any phase relation betw een the form er and latter rem ains com pletely unknown at this point. But then from

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 j i=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} f\left(A_{000}+A_{011}\right) j 000 i \quad\left(A_{101} \quad A_{110}\right) j 10 i \quad\left(A_{000} \quad A_{011}\right) j 011 i+\left(A_{101}+A_{110}\right) j 101 i g ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can see that after fusion follow ing the im plem entation of 2 , the con guration of both the $A_{000}, A_{011}$ pair and the $A_{101}, A_{110}$ pair would be determ ined rigidly $w$ ith respect to the origin. W e now have a rigid con guration of all four coe cients - $A_{000}, A_{011}, A_{101}$, and $A_{110}$ - on the com plex plane; they have been determ ined up to com plex conjugation and overall phase.

The $N=3$ case gives us ideas about how to $m$ ake use of a recursion argum ent for the general case in guring out the phase relation. O ne can assume that for $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{m}$ there is som e process consisting of fusion of vortex-antivortex pairs and applications of $k$ 's (w here $k \quad m \quad 1)$ whidh give us the rigid con guration on the com plex plane of the coe cient of states w ith even total occupation num ber. T he sam e process would also give us the rigid con guration on the com plex plane of the coe cient of states w ith odd total occupation num ber as well. (W e have seen that this holds true for $m=2$.) N ow note that the even (or odd) total occupation num ber sector of the $H$ ilbert space in $N=m+1$ case can be divided into the follow ing tw o classes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{n}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} ; 0 \mathrm{i} ;  \tag{B3}\\
& \text { n }_{1}^{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0} ; 1 \mathrm{i}: \tag{B4}
\end{align*}
$$

The operations that were used in the procedure we have applied for obtaining all phase relation in the $N=m$ case does not a ect $n_{m+1}$, and so by applying these operations we

 $\dot{n}_{1}^{0} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0}$ i have opposite parity in totaloccupation num ber). N ow allwe need to do is to gure out the rigid con guration of two pairs of coe cients, each of which consists of one coe cient for one of the states belonging to ( ${ }^{-1} 3$ ) and one coe cient for one of the states
 is restricted to even, or odd, total occupation num ber)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m j i=m^{X} \quad A_{n_{1} ;:: ; n_{m} ; 0 \eta_{1}} ;::: ; n_{m} ; 0 i+{ }^{X} A_{n_{1}^{0} ;:: ; n_{m}^{0} ; 1} \hat{\eta}_{1}^{0} ;::: ; n_{m}^{0} ; 1 i \\
& =P_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} f^{X}\left(A_{n_{1} ;:: ; n_{m} \quad 1 ; 0 ; 0}+A_{n_{1} ;: ;: n_{m} \quad 1 ; i ; 1}\right) \eta_{1} ;::: ; n_{m 1} ; 0 ; 0 i \\
& \left(\mathrm{~A}_{\left.\mathrm{n}_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1 ; 0 ; 0 \quad \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ;: \because ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad 1 ; 1 ; 1\right) \eta_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad 1 ; 1 ; 1 i g}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}_{1}^{0} ;:::_{n}^{0} \quad 1 ; 1 ; 0}$ ) now have rigid con guration. It is clear that we now have rigid con guration
 con guration of $2^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}$ coe cients of even (or odd) ferm ion sector in the occupation-num ber basis, N 1 vortex interchange steps are needed.
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