

Replica symmetry breaking in the
transverse-field Ising spin-glass model: Two
fermionic representations

Eduardo M. M. Santos

Alba Theumann

Instituto de Física

Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul

Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500

91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

Abstract

We analyze the infinite range Ising spin-glass in a transverse field below the critical temperature by a one step replica symmetry breaking theory (1S-RSB). The set of n replicas is divided in r blocks of m replicas each. We present results for different values of the block-size parameter m . The spin operators are represented by bilinear combinations of fermionic fields and we compare the results of two models: in the four state (4S)-model the diagonal S_i^z operator has two unphysical vanishing eigenvalues, that are suppressed by a restraint in the two states (2S)-model. In the static approximation we obtain qualitatively similar results for both models. They both exhibit a critical temperature $T_c(\gamma)$ that decreases when γ increases, until it reaches a quantum critical point (QCP) at the same value of γ_c and they are both unstable under replica symmetry breaking in the whole spin glass phase. Below the critical temperature we present results for the order parameters and free energy.

PACS numbers: 64.60.Cn; 75.30.Mj; 75.10.Nr

1 Introduction

The Ising model in a transverse field is widely studied for being the simplest system of interacting spins that presents a quantum critical point (QCP) [1]. We will not discuss here the extensive literature on results for several versions of the model, but we will concentrate instead in the transverse-field Ising spin-glass model in the ordered region. The experimental realizations of this model are $\text{LiHo}_x\text{Y}_{1-x}\text{F}_4$ compounds [2].

When the transverse field vanishes, our restraint 2S-model for two states reduces to the Sherrington-Kirkpatrick (SK) model of a spin glass [3] that in the ordered phase presents a landscape of many almost degenerate thermodynamic states separated by huge free energy barriers. The existence of these multiple states reflects itself in the breakdown of the replica symmetric solution of the saddle point equations below the ordering temperature with the onset of the Almeida-Thouless instability [4, 5] and its replacement by Parisi's replica symmetry breaking solution [6]. The question is if such a picture remains true when quantum mechanical effects are included or if the quantum fluctuations will be strong enough to cause tunneling between these free energy barriers.

The nature of the spin glass phase in the transverse field Ising spin glass model has been the subject of controversial results that seem to depend on the representation of the spin operators, because in the calculation of the quantum mechanical partition function special tools are needed to deal with the non-commuting operators entering the Hamiltonian. The method more currently used in the study of short-range [7] and infinite range [8, 9, 10, 11, 12] spin glasses in a transverse field is the Trotter-Suzuki formula [13],

that maps a system of quantum spins in d -dimensions to a classical system of spins in $(d + 1)$ -dimensions, and it is suited to perform numerical studies. In reference[10], it was found by using the static approximation that there is a small region in the spin glass phase where a replica symmetric (RS) solution is stable, while in reference[11] it is predicted without the use of the static approximation that the RS solution is unstable in the whole spin glass phase. The instability of the RS solution in the ordered region was also obtained in reference[12] by analyzing a one-step replica-symmetry breaking (1S-RSB) solution, and using only partially the static Ansatz.

Another way of dealing with the non-commutativity of quantum mechanical spin operators is to use Feynman's path integral formulations [14, 15, 16] and to introduce time-ordering by means of an imaginary time τ , where β is the inverse temperature. More recently [17] new conflicting results were obtained by using the formalism in ref.[14] with the static approximation and it was claimed that the RS solution is stable in most of the ordered region.

A still different functional integral formulation consists in using Grassmann variables to write a field theory with an effective action where the spin operators in the Hamiltonian are expressed as bilinear combinations of fermions[18, 19]. In a previous paper we used a replica symmetric (RS) theory with the static approximation and we obtained that the RS theory is unstable[4] in all the ordered phase[18]. The advantage of the fermionic formulation is that it has a natural application to problems in condensed matter theory, where the fermion operators represent electrons that also participate in other physical processes, like the Kondo effect[20].

In the present paper we extend our previous results [18] into the ordered phase with a 1S-RSB formulation. One problem with the spin representation is that the spin eigenstates at each site do not belong to one irreducible representation $S^z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, but they are labeled instead by the fermionic occupation numbers $n_i = 0$ or 1 , giving two more spurious states with $S^z = 0$. We call this the "four states" (4S) model, and despite the presence of these two unwanted states the 4S-Ising spin glass model describes a spin glass transition with the same characteristics as the Sherrington - Kirkpatrick (SK) model [3] in a replica symmetric theory. A way to get rid of the spurious states was introduced before by Wiethege and Sherrington [21] for non-random interactions and it consists in fixing the occupation number $n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}$ by means of an integral constraint at every site. We refer to this as the "two states" (2S)-Ising model.

In sect. 2 we analyze the 4S-Ising and 2S-Ising spin glass models in a transverse field, within the static approximation in a one step replica symmetric breaking (1S-RSB) theory [6]. The static ansatz neglects time fluctuations and may be considered an approximation similar to mean field theory, that describes the singularities of the zero frequency mode [15]. Numerical Monte Carlo solutions of Bray and Moore's equations indicate that the static approximation reproduces the correct results at finite temperatures [22].

When $\Gamma = 0$ the static approximation reproduces the results obtained by other methods, in particular for the 2S-Ising spin glass model we recover SK equations [3]. The results in both models are very similar; they both exhibit a critical spin glass temperature $T_c(\Gamma)$ that decreases when the strength of the transverse field increases, until it reaches a quantum critical point (QCP)

at $T_c(\phi_c) = 0$. The value of $\phi_c = 2^{-p} \bar{J}$ is the same for both models and the 4S-Ising and 2S-Ising models are identical close to the QCP, where $T_c = \frac{2}{3}(\phi_c)$. We obtained for both models that the replica symmetric solution is unstable [4] in the whole spin glass phase, in agreement with previous results with the Trotter-Suzuki method [12]. In Parisi's theory [6], the first step of RSB is achieved by dividing n replicas in r blocks of m replicas each, and taking the limit $n \rightarrow 0; r \rightarrow 0$ while keeping finite the block parameter $0 < m < 1$. The block parameter m is considered to be temperature dependent and it is determined by optimization of the free energy. In our work we release this constraint and analyze the results for fixed values of the block parameter m . We present results for the free energy, and order parameters that are consistent with ref.[12] but not with that of ref.[17]. Numerical solutions of the saddle point equations indicate that the RSB order parameter vanishes at $T = 0$. We present the model in sect.2 and we leave sect.3 for discussions.

2 The model and results

The Ising spin glass in a transverse field is represented by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} S_i^z S_j^z - \frac{1}{2} \sum_i S_i^x \quad (1)$$

where the sum is over the N sites of a lattice and J_{ij} is a random coupling among all pairs of spins, with gaussian probability distribution:

$$P(J_{ij}) = e^{-\frac{J_{ij}^2 N}{16 J^2}} \quad (2)$$

The spin operators are represented by auxiliary fermion fields:

$$\begin{aligned} S_i^z &= \frac{1}{2} [n_{i''} - n_{i\#}] \\ S_i^x &= \frac{1}{2} [a_{i''}^\dagger a_{i\#} + a_{i\#}^\dagger a_{i''}] \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the a_i^\dagger (a_i) are creation (destruction) operators with fermion anticommutation rules and $''$ or $\#$ indicates the spin projections. The number operators $n_i = a_i^\dagger a_i = 0$ or 1 , then S_i^z in Eq.(3) has two eigenvalues $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ corresponding to $n_{i\#} = 1 - n_{i''}$, and two vanishing eigenvalues when $n_{i\#} = n_{i''}$.

We shall use the Lagrangian path integral formulation in terms of anticommuting Grassmann fields described in a previous publication [18], so we avoid giving repetitious details. We consider two models: the unrestrained, four states model and also the two states model of Wiethege and Sherrington where the number operators satisfy the restraint $n_{i''} + n_{i\#} = 1$, what gives $S_i^z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$, at every site [21]

By using the integral representation for the Kronecker δ -function:

$$\delta(n_{j''} + n_{j\#} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dx_j e^{ix_j [n_{j''} + n_{j\#} - 1]} \quad (4)$$

we can express Z_{4S} and Z_{2S} , the partition function for the two models, in the compact functional integral form [18]

$$Z(f, g) = \int \mathcal{D}(\phi, \psi) \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z dx_j e^{-\int_0^x \phi_j} e^{A(f, g)} \quad (5)$$

where:

$$A(f, g) = \int_0^Z \left[f_j(x) \left(\frac{d}{dx} \phi_j(x) + \phi_j'(x) \phi_j'(x) \right) + H(\phi_j(x); \phi_j'(x)) g \right] \quad (6)$$

and $\phi_j = 0$ for the 4S-model while $\phi_j = ix_j$ for the 2S-model. We now follow standard procedures to get the configurational averaged free energy per site by using the replica formalism :

$$F = -\frac{1}{N} \lim_{n \rightarrow 0} \frac{Z(n)}{n} \quad (7)$$

where the configurational averaged, replicated, partition function $\langle Z^n \rangle_{cfa} = Z(n)$ becomes, after averaging over J_{ij} :

$$Z(n) = \int \mathcal{D}q \int \mathcal{D}\phi \int \mathcal{D}\psi \frac{1}{2} \int_0^Z dx_j e^{-\int_0^x \phi_j} e^{-\int_0^x \psi_j(q)} g \quad (8)$$

with the replica index $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and

$$\psi_j(q) = \int \mathcal{D}(\phi, \psi) \exp \left[-\int_0^x \phi_j - \frac{J^2}{4} \int_0^x q \phi_j^2 \phi_j^2 \right] \quad (9)$$

We have introduced the spinors in the Fourier representation:

$$\underline{\psi}_i(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_i^0(\omega) \\ \psi_i^1(\omega) \end{pmatrix} \quad (10)$$

and the Pauli matrices to write the spin glass part of the action in the static approximation, where

$$S_i^z = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \underline{\psi}_i^y(\omega) \underline{\psi}_j^z(\omega) \quad (11)$$

and by introducing the inverse propagator

$$\underline{\psi}_j^{-1} = i\omega + \epsilon_j + \underline{\psi}_x \quad (12)$$

we can write A_j

$$A_j = \sum_i \underline{\psi}_i^y(\omega) \underline{\psi}_j^{-1}(\omega) \underline{\psi}_i^z(\omega) \quad (13)$$

We assume a one step replica symmetry breaking (1S-RSB) solution [6] of the saddle point equations by separating the n replicas in r groups of m replicas each:

$$\begin{aligned} q &= q + \epsilon; \\ q &= q + \epsilon \quad I_m = I_m; \\ q &= q \quad I_m \neq I_m; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where q is the spin glass order parameter, ϵ is related to the static susceptibility by $\epsilon = T \epsilon$ and ϵ is the RSB parameter. The notation $I(K)$ means integer part.

The sums over ψ in the spin part of the action produce again quadratic terms that can be linearized by introducing new auxiliary fields, what makes the integration over Grassmann variables straightforward [18]. In the limit

$n \neq 0; N \neq 1$ we obtain the result for the free energy per site for the model with $2(p+1)$ states, $p = 0$ or 1

$$F_p = \frac{1}{2} (J)^2 f[\frac{1}{2} + q]^2 [\frac{1}{2} + q]^2 + m [\frac{1}{2} + 2q] g \frac{1}{m} \int_0^1 D z_0 \log \int_0^1 D z_1 [2K_p(q; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; z)]^n \quad (15)$$

where we introduced the standard notation $D y = e^{-\frac{y^2}{2}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ and

$$K_p(q; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; z) = \frac{1}{2} + \int_0^1 D \cosh^{\frac{p}{2}} \quad (16)$$

with

$$h = J \frac{q}{2qz_0} + J \frac{q}{2(\frac{1}{2})} + J \frac{p}{2} z_1; \quad (17)$$

$$= (h)^2 + (\frac{1}{2})^2 \quad (18)$$

The saddle point equations for the order parameters are:

$$\frac{1}{p} = \int_0^1 D z_0 \frac{1}{f_1 \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} I} \quad (19)$$

$$q_p = \int_0^1 D z_0 \frac{1}{f_1 \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} g^2} \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} \int_0^1 D \frac{h}{2} \sinh^{\frac{p}{2}} g^2 \quad (20)$$

$$p = \int_0^1 D z_0 \frac{1}{f_1 \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-2} f_1} \int_0^1 D \frac{h}{2} \sinh^{\frac{p}{2}} g^2 \quad q_p \quad (21)$$

where $h(\frac{1}{2}; z)$ is given in Eq.(17) and we called:

$$I = \int_0^1 D \frac{(h)^2}{f_1} \cosh^{\frac{p}{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sinh^{\frac{p}{2}}}{g^2} g \quad (22)$$

We obtain for the de Almeida-Thouless eigenvalue [4] and entropy in both models:

$$\lambda_p^{AT} = 1 - 2(J)^2 \int_0^1 D z_0 \frac{1}{f_1} \frac{\int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} I}{\int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} \int_0^1 D \frac{h}{2} \sinh^{\frac{p}{2}} g^2} \quad (23)$$

$$\frac{S}{k} = \frac{3}{2} (J)^2 f[\phi + q]^2 - [\phi + q]^2 + m[\phi^2 + 2\phi q] + \frac{1}{m} \int_0^1 D z_0 \log \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^m$$

$$(\phi + q)^2 \int_0^1 D z_0 \frac{1}{\int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^m} \int_0^1 D z_1 K_p^{m-1} \int_0^1 D \frac{\sinh \phi}{p} \quad (24)$$

The first terms of the Landau expansion of the free energy in powers of q and ϕ gives:

$$F_p = F_p^0 - \frac{1}{2} D m q^2 - \frac{1}{2} D (1 - m) \phi + \dots \quad (25)$$

where the coefficient D is:

$$D = (J)^2 f_1 - 2(J)^2 \left(\frac{1}{K_p}\right)^2 g \quad (26)$$

and the integrals are to be taken when $q = \phi = 0$. Here we consider $0 < m < 1$ a free parameter and the equations will be solved for different values of m . We observe that when $m = 1$ we recover the results without RSB and q being the SG order parameter, while for $m = 0$ we recover also the RS result, but with $q + \phi$ as order parameter in agreement with ref.[6]. The critical line is given by the solution of $D = 0$.

The numerical results for the critical temperature $T_c(\beta)$ were shown in ref.[18]. For large values of the transverse field the 2S-m odel and 4S-m odel are undistinguishable. When $\beta = 0$, the equations (15) for the free energy of the 2S-m odel ($p = 0$) reproduce Parisi's results for the SK m odel [6]. For both m odel s our equations coincide with ref.[12] except for eq.(19), because we find in our formalism that the static approximation is self-consistent. Finally, we comment on the de Almeida-Thouless instability. The numerical solution for A^T in Eq.(23) confirms that $A^T = 0$ on the critical line $T_c(\beta)$ and $A^T < 0$ for $T < T_c(\beta)$, indicating the instability of the replica symmetric solution in

the whole ordered phase for both models and contradicting recent results in ref.[17] We show in fig.1 the free energy and entropy for the 2S model ($p = 0$) with RSB and $m = 0.8$; $\beta = 0.5J$. In fig.2 we compare the free energy for $\beta = 0.5J$ in the 2S model with for different values of the block parameter m . In fig.3 we present the results for the order parameters q and \bar{q} for different values of m . In fig.4 we present the results for the order parameters q and \bar{q} as a function of temperature for both models in the case of RSB, with $\beta = 0.5J$ and $m = 0.8$.

3 Discussion

We apply a 1S-RSB theory [6] to extend to low temperatures our previous study [18] of two quantum Ising spin glass models in a transverse field by means of a path integral formalism where the spin operators are represented by bilinear combinations of fermionic fields, in the static approximation. The n replicas were separated in r groups of m replicas each and we analyze the results for fixed values of the block size parameter $0 < m < 1$. This differs from Parisi's theory [6] where m is considered to be temperature dependent and it is determined by optimization of the free energy. In the unrestricted four-states 4S-model the diagonal S_i^z -operator has two eigenvalues $S_i^z = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ and two vanishing eigenvalues, while in the 2S-model the vanishing eigenvalues are suppressed by means of an integral constraint [21]. Regarding the de Almeida-Thouless instability [4], we obtained before [18] that the replica symmetric solution is unstable in the whole spin glass phase for both models, contradicting the results in ref. [17] but in agreement with the results in ref. [11] and in ref. [12], obtained with the method of Trotter and Suzuki [13]. The results we present here for the solutions of the saddle point equations, shown in fig. 3 and fig. 4, seem to indicate that the RSB order parameter vanishes at $T = 0$ for all values of the block parameter m . The free energy, shown in fig. 2, is maximized for smaller values of m and this is consistent with the results of ref. [6] where it is obtained $m = 0$ at $T = 0$. However, if we introduce the value $\beta = 0$ in our analytic expression in eq. (24) for the entropy we would recover the RS result, in discrepancy with the numerical results of ref. [6] at $T = 0$. We believe the origin of this discrepancy lies in keeping the block parameter m fixed. We notice in fig. 3 that for $m = 0.2$ and $T = 0.2J$

the order parameters q and \bar{q} cross, that is $\bar{q} = q$. We may conjecture that for $m = 0$ this behaviour extends to all temperatures $T \geq T_c$, in agreement with the numerical results[6].

To summarize, we study the ordered phase for the Ising spin glass model in the presence of a transverse field by using a fermionic representation of the spin operators. The RS theory is unstable for $T \geq T_c$ [18] and we study a 1S-RSB theory where we hold fixed the block-size parameter m . We derive saddle point equations for the order parameters that agree with previous results obtained with other methods[12]. Our theory has the advantage of computational simplicity and permit us to clarify the importance of m , but it does not describe RSB at $T = 0$ for finite values of $m \neq 0.2$. We conjecture that RSB is restored at $T = 0$ only for $m = 0$.

4 Acknowledgement

Eduardo M. M. Santos acknowledges financial support from the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

5 Figure Captions

g.1 Free energy and entropy for the 2S-model with RSB, $m = 0.8$, $\beta = 0.5J$ as a function of temperature

g.2 Free energy for the 2S-model with RSB and $m = 0.2$ (full line), $m = 0.4$ (squares) and $m = 0.6$ (triangles), as a function of temperature for $\beta = 0.5J$.

g.3 Spin glass order parameter q and RSB order parameter \bar{q} for $\beta = 0.5J$ in the 2S-model $m = 0.2$ (full line), $m = 0.4$ (squares) and $m = 0.6$ (triangles).

g.4 Order parameters for $m = 0.8$; $\beta = 0.5J$ in the 2S-model (dotted line) and 4S-model (full line)

References

- [1] S.Sachdev, "Q uantum Phase Transitions" (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge,U K ,1999)
- [2] a)W . W u, B . E l l m a n,T F . R o s e n b a u m , G . A e p p l i a n d D H . R e i c h, P h y s R e v . L e t t . 71 1919 (1993)
b)Y i n g - J e r K a o, G . S G r e s t, K L e v i n, J B r o o k e, T F . R o s e n b a u m a n d G . A e p p l i; P h y s R e v B 64 060402 (R) (2001)
- [3] D . S h e r r i n g t o n a n d S . K i r k p a t r i c k, P h y s . R e v . L e t t . 35 1792 (1975);
S . K i r k p a t r i c k a n d D . S h e r r i n g t o n, P h y s . R e v . B 17 4384 (1978) .
- [4] J . R . L . d e A l m e i d a a n d D . J . T h o u l e s s, J . P h y s . A : M a t h . G e n . , 11 983 (1978) .
- [5] "S p i n G l a s s T h e o r y a n d B e y o n d" M a r c M e z a r d, G i o r g i o P a r i s i a n d M i g u e l A n g e l V i r a s o r o, (W o r l d S c i e n t i c, S i n g a p o r e, N e w J e r s e y, H o n g K o n g, 1993) .
- [6] G P a r i s i, J P h y s A 131101 (1980) .
- [7] a) H . R i e g e r a n d A . P . Y o u n g, P h y s . R e v . L e t t . 72 4141 (1994) .
b) M u y u G u o, R N . B h a t t a n d D a v i d A . H u s e, P h y s . R e v . L e t t . 72 4137 (1994) .
- [8] K D . U s a d e l, S o l i d . S t . C o m m 58 629 (1986)
- [9] K . D . U s a d e l a n d B . S c h m i t z, S o l i d S t . C o m m . 64 975 (1987)

- [10] D .Thinumalai, Q iang Li and T .R .K inkpatrick, J Phys. A :M ath. Gen. 22 3339 (1989)
- [11] G .Buttner and K .D .U sadel, Phys. Rev. B 41 428 (1990)
- [12] Yadin Y Goldschm idt and P ik-Y in Lai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64 2467 (1990)
- [13] M .Suzuki, Prog of Theor. Phys. 56 1454 (1976).
- [14] A Bray and M .M oore, J. Phys. C 13 L655 (1980)
- [15] Jonathan M iller and David A .H use, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 3147 (1993).
- [16] a) A .G eorges, O .P arcollet and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B 63 134406 (2001)
b) Antoine G eorges, O livier P arcollet and Subir Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 840 (2000).
- [17] D o-Hyun K im and Jong-Jean K im , Phys Rev B 66 054432 (2002)
- [18] Alba Theum ann, A A .Schm idt and S.G .M agalhães, Physica A 311 498 (2002), and references therein.
- [19] R .O pperm ann and A .M uller G roeling, Nucl. Phys B 401 507 (1993)
- [20] Alba Theum ann and B .C oqblin, Phys. Rev. B . 69 214418 (2004).
- [21] W emer W iethege and David Sherrington, J. Phys. C :Solid St. Phys. 19 6983 (1986).
- [22] D .G ræmpel and M .R ozenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 389 (1998)









