Transmission, re ection and localization in a random medium with absorption or gain

Jean Heinrichs

Institut de Physique, B5, Universite de Liege, Sart Tilman, B-4000 Liege, Belgium

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

We study re ection and transmission of waves in a random tight-binding system with absorption or gain for weak disorder, using a scattering matrix form alism. Our aim is to discuss analytically the e ects of absorption or gain on the statistics of wave transport. Treating the e ects of absorption or gain exactly in the limit of no disorder, allows us to identify short- and long lengths regimes relative to absorption-or gain lengths, where the e ects of absorption/gain on statistical properties are essentially di erent. In the long-lengths regime we nd that a weak absorption or a weak gain induce identical statistical corrections in the inverse localization length, but lead to di erent corrections in the mean re ection coe cient. In contrast, a strong absorption or a strong gain strongly suppress the e ect of disorder in identical ways (to leading order), both in the localization length and in the mean re ection coe cient.

PACS num bers: 72.15 Rn,42.25 Dd, 42.50 Nn

I. IN TRODUCTION

In this paper we study analytically the coherent relection and transmission of waves in an active one-dimensional disordered system which either absorbs or amplies the waves. Our model is the familiar single-band tight-binding model with random site energies (Anderson model) including additional xed positive or negative in aginary parts describing absorption or amplication. As is well-known, the introduction of the imaginary potential destroys the time-reversal symmetry of the system.

The electronic model with absorption m ay describe annihilation of electrons via electronhole recombinations acting as a complex optical potential in a nearly compensated sem iconductor. The amplication model is, of course, meaningless for electrons whose ferm ionic character forbids the presence of more than one electron at a given spatial location.

On the other hand, the tight-binding model with absorption or amplication due to stimulated emission may be appropriate for describing the localization of light waves in active photonic band-gap crystals, characterized by a periodic variation of the dielectric constant. In particular, the interplay of the (phase coherent) amplication of light waves with the process of coherent scattering by random inhom ogeneities leading to localization^{1,2} is of current interest for random lasers³.

A considerable amount of theoretical work related to the statistics of the transm ittance and of the relectance of random systems with absorption or gain has already been published^{4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15}. We feel, however, that important aspects of the elects of absorption or gain on the transmission properties of the random system studied below, have not received su cient attention in previous work.

We refer, in particular, to the form of the localization length for large lengths L of a random chain. For weak disorder this is found to be given by 6,9

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{0}; u = a \text{ org };$$
(1)

where $_0$ is the localization length of the system in the absence of absorption or gain (am - pli cation), l_a and l_g are the absorption and gain lengths of a perfect system, respectively. In the tight-binding model, (1) is expected to be obtained by studying the transmittance of the disordered sample described by the Schrödinger equation

2

$$\mathbb{E} \quad ("_n + i)]'_n = '_{n+1} + '_n _1; n = 1; 2; \dots; N \quad ; \qquad (2)$$

where 'm are the wavefunction amplitudes at sites m = 1;2;...;N, of spacing a, of the disordered sample of length L = N a. The "m are the random site energies to which one adds a xed non-hermitian term i describing absorption for > 0 and amplication for < 0. The energies E, "m and are in units of a xed nearest-neighbour hopping energy V. The random chain is connected at both ends to sem i-in nite perfect leads ("m = 0) with = 0, whose sites are positioned at m = 0; 1; 2;... and m = N + 1;N + 2;..., respectively. For the perfect tight-binding chain with absorption or gain, D atta¹² has derived

$$l_{g} = l_{a} = \frac{1}{j j}; \qquad (3)$$

while num erical studies of the random tight-binding model by G upta, Joshi and Jayannavar¹¹ and by Jiang and Soukoulis¹³ support Eq. (1) for small of either sign, with $1 = _0$ given by the familiar Thouless expression for weak site-energy disorder. A feature of (1) which is generally regarded as paradoxical is the fact that it leads to suppression of transm ittance for large L, as in the case of absorption. W hat is more, according to equation (1), when disorder is present the suppression for am pli cation occurs at exactly the same rate as for absorption⁹. This supprising feature has been an in portant incentive for developing a more comprehensive analytic treatment of the statistics of wave transport in the presence of absorption or am pli cation. Indeed, an in portant drawback of Eq. (1) is that it completely ignores e ects of absorption or gain on the statistics of the transm ission coe cient from which $\frac{1}{2}$ is obtained. Our aim is to remedy to this defect in the fram ework of a detailed analysis for weak disorder of the tight-binding system de ned by Eq. (2).

An important feature of our approach below is an exact treatment of the e ect of absorption or gain, as done previously by D atta¹² for a non-disordered (pure) system. This allows us to clearly identify and discuss short- and long lengths regimes relative to the absorption (amplication) length in (1).

The study of transmission and re ection in random one-dimensional media with absorption or gain was initiated, and later pursued actively, using invariant imbedding equations^{4,5,6,7,8,9,10}. These equations are coupled non-linear di erential equations for the re ection-and transmission amplitudes of plane waves incident at the right of a continuous medium occupying the domain 0 x L of the x-direction¹⁶. As was shown recently¹⁷, the invariant in bedding equations follow for weak disorder from the long-wavelength continuum limit of (2) for a disordered chain embedded in an in nite perfect chain. We recall that the invariant in bedding equations were originally derived as an exact consequence of the H elm holtz equation for the propagation of the electric eld in a dielectric medium ^{4,18,19}. For later discussion, we also recall the important early result for the so-called short-lengths localization length^{4,5},

$$\frac{1}{2} = +\frac{1}{2}$$
; (4)

which indicates that at short lengths transmittance increases with L for amplication, if $l_a < 0$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. II we derive exact expressions for the scattering matrix elements (transmission and rejection amplitudes) in term softransferm atrices for the tight-binding equation (1) for weak disorder. In Sect. III we discuss our explicit analytic results for the averaged logarithm ic transmission coe cients (localization lengths) in the short- and long lengths regimes. We also discuss the mean logarithm ic rejection coe cient for large lengths. We recall that the distribution of the rejection coe cient is important in the context of random lasers^{7,8}. Some concluding remarks follow in Sect. IV.

II. TRANSFER MATRIX ANALYSIS

W e start our analysis by rewriting (2) in term s of a transferm atrix for a site n,

The analogous equation for sites in the perfect leads involves the transferm atrix \dot{P}^{0} obtained by letting "_n = = 0 in (5). We wish to study the scattering (rejection and transmission) of (B loch)plane wave states of the leads by the disordered segment of length L N (with a = 1). For this purpose it is necessary to perform a similarity transform ation of (5) to the basis of the B loch wave solutions r_n e^{ikn} for the leads. The eigenvectors of \mathbf{P}^0 are of the form $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0} \stackrel{e^{ik}}{A}$ with eigenvalues e^{ik} obeying the equation

$$E = 2\cos k \quad ; \tag{6}$$

which de nes the tight-binding energy band. As usual we choose k positive, 0 k, so that e.g. e^{ikn} corresponds to a wave propagating from left to right on the lattice of (2). The sim ilarity transform ation of P_n to the B loch wave basis is de ned by them atrix $\Phi = (u_+; u_-)$ and leads to

$$\mathbf{\hat{\Phi}}_{n} = \mathbf{\hat{\Phi}}^{-1}\mathbf{\hat{P}}_{n}\mathbf{\hat{\Phi}} = \mathbf{\hat{\Phi}}_{n}^{0} + \mathbf{\hat{\Phi}}_{n}^{1} \quad ; \tag{7}$$

where

and

$$b = \frac{m}{2 \sin k}$$
; $b_n = \frac{m}{2 \sin k}$; (9)

The transfer matrix of the disordered segment of length N is the product of transfer matrices associated with the individual sites:

$$\dot{\mathfrak{G}} = \overset{\mathfrak{Y}}{\underset{n=1}{\overset{n}{\overset{n}}}} \dot{\mathfrak{G}}_{n} \quad : \tag{10}$$

We recall now the precise relationship between the transferm atrix elements $\oint_{ij} Q_{ij}$ and the rejection and transmission amplitudes r^+ and t , and r^+ and t^{++} for waves incident at the left and at the right of the disordered system, respectively. The rejection and transmission amplitudes de ne the scattering matrix \oint_{ij} , which expresses outgoing wave amplitudes at the left (O) and at the right (O⁰) of the disordered segment in terms of ingoing ones, (I) and (I⁰)²⁰:

The transferm atrix \oint , on the other hand, gives the B loch wave am plitudes at the right end of the disordered section in terms of the am plitudes at the left end:

whose transform ation to a form analogous to (11) yields:

which leads to the desired expressions of transmission- and rejection amplitudes in terms of the transferm atrix elements $Q_{ij} = \oint_{ij}$

$$t = \frac{1}{Q_{22}}; t^{++} = \det \Phi t ;$$
 (14)

$$r^{+} = \frac{Q_{12}}{Q_{22}}; r^{+} = \frac{Q_{21}}{Q_{22}} :$$
 (15)

From (10) it follows that the determ inant of \oint is the product of the determ inants of the exact transferm atrices \oint_n associated with the individual sites n of the disordered segment of N sites, of lengths N a. Now, from (7-8) we not that det $\oint_n = 1$; n = 1; 2; ::: N so that

$$\det \Phi = 1 \quad : \tag{16}$$

From (14) it then follows that

$$t^{++} = t \qquad t = \frac{1}{Q_{22}}$$
; (17)

for any realization of the disorder and for any strength of the imaginary potential.

A susual, we assume that the random site energies are identically distributed independent G aussian variables with m ean zero and correlation

$$h''_{n} ''_{m} i = ''_{0 m, n} :$$
 (18)

For weak disorder, we shall expand the matrix functional \oint to linear order in the random site energies. We note that since the energies of neighboring sites are uncorrelated (Eq. (18)) the second order terms in the expansion of (10) may be om itted since they will not contribute in averages over the disorder at the order $"_0^2$. Thus restricting to rst order in the expansion of (10), we obtain²⁰

$$\oint_{m=1}^{N} = \oint_{n}^{N} + \int_{m=1}^{N} \oint_{n}^{0} = \oint_{m}^{m-1} \oint_{m}^{1} \oint_{n}^{0} = \int_{m}^{N} = \int_{m}^{N} \oint_{n}^{0} + \oint_{n}^{1} :$$
 (19)

The transfer matrix product = $\oint_n^0 {}^{\mathbb{N}}$ for the medium in the absence of disorder may be readily evaluated in closed form. This will allow us to discuss analytically the rejection and transmission properties of a perfectly amplifying or absorbing system, as done earlier by D atta¹² using a slightly different procedure. We write

$$\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}_{n}^{0} \stackrel{N}{=} \mathbf{\mathfrak{F}} \stackrel{V}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{F}}} \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{G}}}_{n}^{0} \mathbf{\mathfrak{F}} \stackrel{N}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{F}}} \stackrel{1}{\mathbf{\mathfrak{F}}} = (\mathbf{\mathfrak{F}}_{+}; \mathbf{\mathfrak{F}}) ; \qquad (20)$$

where $et{P}$ is the diagonalizing matrix form ed by the eigenvectors

$$\mathbf{v}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{be^{ik}}{(1 \ b)e^{ik} \ e^{iq}}\mathbf{A} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \mathbf{v}_{+} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{be^{ik}}{(1 \ b)e^{ik} \ e^{-iq}}\mathbf{A} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix};$$
(21)

(with det $\oint = \frac{2i e^{-ik}}{b^2} \sin q$) of \oint_n^0 corresponding to eigenvalues e^{iq} and e^{-iq} , respectively. These eigenvalues are de ned in term s com plex functions $\cos q$ and $\sin q$ by

$$e^{iq} = \cos q$$
 ising;
 $\cos q = \cos k$ ibsink; $\sin q = \frac{p}{1 \cos^2 q}$: (22)

The explicit expression of $\oint_n^0 {}^m$ obtained from (20-22) is

where (with e^{ik} d)

$$A_{m} = \frac{1}{\sin q} [(1 \quad b)d_{+} \sin qm \quad \sin q(m \quad 1)]; \qquad (24)$$

$$D_{m} = \frac{1}{\sin q} [(1 \ b)d_{+} \sin qm \ \sin q(m + 1)]; \qquad (25)$$

$$B_{m} = bd \frac{\sin qm}{\sin q} ; \qquad (26)$$

$$C_{m} = b d_{+} \frac{\sin q m}{\sin q} \quad : \tag{27}$$

Note that, as expected, the transferm atrix of the non-disordered system with absorption or gain de ned by (24-27) obeys

$$A_N D_N \quad B_N C_N = \cos^2 qN + \sin^2 qN = 1 ; \qquad (28)$$

and from (15) and (26-27) it follows that in this case the rejection coe cients are equal

$$jr^{2}j$$
 jr^{+} $j^{2} = jr^{+}j^{2} = \frac{B_{N}j^{2}}{D_{N}j^{2}};$ "_m = 0; m = 1;2;:::N : (29)

Finally, by inserting (8) and (23) in (19), we obtain the explicit form of the transfer matrix of the disordered section to rst order:

where

$$Q_{11}^{1} = i \qquad b_{m} (A_{m-1} \quad B_{m-1}) (d_{+} A_{N-m} + d C_{N-m}) ; \qquad (31)$$

$$Q_{22}^{1} = i \qquad b_{m} (C_{m-1} \quad D_{m-1}) (d_{+} B_{N-m} + d_{-} D_{N-m}) ; \qquad (32)$$

$$Q_{12}^{1} = i \qquad b_{n} (A_{m-1} \quad B_{m-1}) (d_{+} B_{N-m} + d_{-} D_{N-m}) ; \qquad (33)$$

$$Q_{21}^{1} = i \sum_{m=1}^{X^{N}} b_{m} (C_{m-1} \quad D_{m-1}) (d_{+} A_{N-m} + d C_{N-m}) ; \qquad (34)$$

The lowest order e ect of the disorder in the mean transmission and relection coecients is obtained by expanding (15) and (17) to second order in the disorder, using (30) and noting that the rst order averages vanish. However in the case of the relection coecients, which are asymptotically independent of length in the absence of disorder^{12,13}, it is apt to focus on the simpler averages hln jr fi. On the other hand, the study of the mean logarithm of the transmission coecient is of special interest since it is related asymptotically to the localization length

$$\frac{1}{2N} = \lim \frac{\ln t}{2N} \frac{fi}{35}$$

which is a self-averaging quantity in the absence of absorption or $gain^{21}$. From (15), (17) and (30) we obtain successively for the quantities of interest, to second order in the disorder,

hln jtji= (ln D_N + cx:) +
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 $\frac{h(Q_{22}^{1})^{2}i}{D_{N}^{2}}$ + cx: ; (36)

hln
$$jr^{+}$$
 $ji = ln \frac{B_{N}}{D_{N}} + cc:$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $h \frac{Q_{12}^{1}}{B_{N}}$ $i h \frac{Q_{22}^{1}}{D_{N}}$ $i + cc:$; (37)

$$h \ln jr + ji = \ln \frac{C_{N}}{D_{N}} + cr: \frac{1}{2} + h \frac{Q_{21}^{1}}{C_{N}} + h \frac{Q_{22}^{1}}{D_{N}} + h \frac{Q_{22}^{2}}{D_{N}} + h \frac{Q_{22}^{1}}{D_{N}} + h \frac{Q_{22}^{1}}{D_{N}$$

We close this section by demonstrating the equivalence of our results for the transmissionand relection coecients for the perfect absorbing or amplifying system (" $_{m} = 0$) and the corresponding results obtained earlier by D atta¹² at the band center. For this purpose we identify the parameters e^{iq} and e^{iq} de ned above respectively with the quantities ie and ie involving the parameter introduced by D atta via the substitution $\sinh = \frac{1}{2}$. By transforming Eq. (5) of d^2 for d^2 for d^2 for even N in terms of the variable q we get

$$t_{j}^{2} = \frac{\sin^{2} q}{(\sin N q + \sin q \cos N q)^{2}} ; \qquad (39)$$

which coincides with the expression obtained by substituting (25) for k = -2 and m = Nin the denition (17) of \pm j. Similarly, the transformation of Eq. (6) of d^2 for odd N yields again (39) obtained from (17) and (25) above. The equations (7) and (8) of D atta¹² for the rejection coe cient jrj^2 for even and odd N, respectively, reduce similarly to the corresponding expression obtained from (25-26) and (29). Clearly, the advantage of the present treatment is that it condenses distinct expressions for even and odd N in D atta's analysis into a single one for any one of the amplitudes coe cients in (15), (17). This is clearly useful, particularly for handling the more cumbersom eigeneral expressions for the e ect of a weak disorder.

III. DETAILED RESULTS FOR E = 0

For sim plicity and as in m ost previous work for the tight-binding m odel^{11,12,13}, we restrict the analytical calculations and results in this section to the band center, E = 0 (k = =2). At the band center the pure system transferm atrix elements (24-27) take the sim ple form s

$$A_m = u_+ e^{iqm} \quad u e^{-iqm} ; \qquad (40)$$

$$D_m = u e^{iqm} + u_+ e^{iqm} ; \qquad (41)$$

$$B_m = C_m = v(e^{iqm} e^{iqm}); m = 1;2;...;N$$
; (42)

where

$$u = \frac{1}{2} \quad p \frac{1}{1+b^2} \quad 1 \quad ; v = \frac{p}{2} \frac{b}{1+b^2} \quad ;$$
 (43)

$$e^{iq} = (i)(1+b^2) b)$$
: (44)

For the pure tight-binding system with absorption or gain (" $_m = 0; m = 1; 2:::N$) the transm ittances and re ectances, for both directions of incidence, are given exactly for any band energy E and for any length L = N by substituting the closed expression (24-27) for the transferm atrix elements into the de nition (15) and (17). Exact results for $\pm j^2$ and jrj^2 for the perfect system with absorption or gain, for E = 0, have been discussed by D atta¹² and m ore extensive num erical results which include the additional e ect of a weak disorder on the averaged logarithm ic transm ittance have been presented by Jiang and Soukoulis¹³. Special attention has been paid in^{12,13} to the dom ain of interm ediate lengths (in particular the critical length L_c) where the transm ittance of an amplifying system changes from an initial growth at short lengths to an exponential decay at long lengths.

In the following we discuss detailed results for transmittance and relectance in the fram ework of the general analytic treatment for weak disorder in Sect. II. We shall consider successively the short- and the long lengths domains de ned below. Our consistent treatment of the elect of a weak disorder in the fram ework of an exact analysis of absorption or gain at zeroth order leads to the identication of the important elects induced by absorption or amplication in the statistics of wave transport.

A. Short lengths

For a xed magnitude of the absorption/amplication parameter b the short lengths domain is de ned by

or, equivalently $L << l_0$ where $l_0 = 1=b$ for b > 0 is the absorption length (in units of a) and $l_0 = 1=b$ for b < 0 is the ampli cation length. We wish to obtain the logarithm ic transm ittance in the lim it (45), which determ ines the short-length localization length. For this purpose we use the following approximations of (40-42) valid to lowest order, for small bjand smallm bj

$$A_m = i^m (1 m b) ;$$
 (46)

$$D_m = (1)^m (1 + mb);$$
 (47)

$$B_{m} = C_{m} = \frac{b}{2} (i^{m} + (i)^{m}) :$$
 (48)

Note that these expressions would not be su cient for discussing the rejection coecients whose explicit forms dijer for even and odd N and require inclusion of higher orders in maining maining geometric sums over sites, we obtain the following in a regulation of the results:

hln j j i = 2bN
$$\frac{m^2}{4}$$
 (1 4b)N + O (b²N²) ; (49)

The short-length localization length obtained from (35) and (49) namely,

$$\frac{1}{2} = b + \frac{\mathbf{w}_0^2}{8} (1 \quad 4b) \quad ; \tag{50}$$

yields

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{l_0} + \frac{1}{0} - \frac{4}{0 l_0} ;$$
 (51)

for absorption, and

$$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1_0} + \frac{1}{0} + \frac{4}{0 \cdot 1_0} ;$$
 (52)

for am pli cation, where

$$_{0} = \frac{8}{n_{0}^{2}}$$
 : (53)

Note that (53) is the exact perturbation expression (for E = 0) of the localization length for weak disorder, for b = 0. Indeed it coincides with the well-known exact result, $_0 =$ 96W $^2 \sin^2 k$, obtained by Thouless²², if the variance W $^2=12$ of the rectangular distribution of width W of site energies in²² is replaced by the gaussian mean square $"_0^2$. The rst two terms in (51-52) agree with the form of the short-lengths localization lengths derived previously from invariant in bedding^{4,5,10}.

F inally, it is useful to clarify the general meaning of short- and long-lengths localization lengths in the fram ework of our weak disorder analysis. We recall that the perturbation treatment of disorder in Sect. II is valid for

$$N "_0^2 << 1$$
 : (54)

This implies, in particular, that the localization length (53) is valid for values $"_0^2$! 0 such that the lim it (54) embraces asymptotically large N for which the localization length is dened in (35). Similarly, the short-lengths localization length (50) in the presence of absorption or gain is a true localization length only if it corresponds to the lim it of asymptotically large N in (35). Thus if $bj < "_0^2$ this lim it is obtained for bj ! 0 (since N $bj < N "_0^2 << 1$) while if $bj > "_0^2$ it is obtained by letting $"_0^2$! 0. Now, for $bj < "_0^2$ (54) autom atically in plies (45), in which case the short-lengths expressions (51-52) give the true localization lengths. On the other hand, for $bj > "_0^2$ two possibilities exist for the localization lengths:

if for asymptotic lengths obeying (54) (${}^{\hat{\mu}}_{0}$! 0) one also has N bj << 1, then the localization lengths are clearly given by the "short-lengths" expressions (51-52). This happens for values $bj = {}^{2}_{0}$ su ciently close to ${}^{2}_{0}$.

if for asymptotic lengths (54) the long lengths condition

is fullled, then the localization lengths are given by the equations (64a, 64b) and their limiting forms (66a, 66b) and (68a, 68b) in subsection B below. This situation exists for pjvalues su ciently larger than " $\frac{2}{0}$.

B. Long lengths

The transferm atrix elements (40-42) of a perfect system depend on the imaginary exponentials e^{iqN} , which for jbj < < 1 are given by

$$e^{iqN} = (i)^{N} e^{N(b+\frac{b^{3}}{3}+iii)}$$
; (56)

where e^{iqN} is exponentially growing for b < 0 (am pli cation) and e^{-iqN} is growing for b > 0(absorption) in the long lengths regime, $N >> jbj^{1}$ (55). We is the detailed form of the logarithm ic transmission coe cient, $\ln jtj^{2} = \ln t + cct$; and of the rejection coe cient $jr = \int_{1}^{2} given by$ (17),(15) and (30-34) in terms of the transfer matrix elements A_{N} ; B_{N} ; C_{N} ; D_{N} in (40-42). Retaining only the leading exponential terms at long lengths for absorption and am pli cation, respectively, we obtain successively

$$\ln \frac{1}{2} = 2 \quad b + \frac{b^3}{3} \quad N + O(N^{-1}) ; \qquad (57)$$

for both signs of b, and

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}^{+}$$
 $\dot{\mathbf{j}}^{2} = \dot{\mathbf{y}}^{+} \dot{\mathbf{j}}^{2} , \frac{b^{2}}{4}; b > 0 ;$ (58)

$$jr^{+}$$
 $j^{2} = jr^{+}j^{2}$, $\frac{4}{b^{2}}$; $b < 0$: (59)

The main feature of these results is that $\ln j f$ is decreasing at large L for ampli cation as well as for absorption in agreem ent with previous studies^{6,9,11,12,13}.

Next we consider the e ect of weak disorder at E = 0 in the mean logarithm ic transport coe cients (36-38) involving zeroth-and rst order transferm atrix elements de ned in (40-42) and (31-34). Using (18), the averages of the various quadratic forms in rst order transfer matrix elements in (36-38) reduce to simple sums over lattice sites m of products of two terms of the form $M_{m-1} = N_{m-1}$ corresponding to the site m = 1 multiplied by a product of two terms of the form $P_{N-m} = R_{N-m}$ corresponding to the site N = m (with M;N;P;R representing elements, distinct or not, of the set of transferm atrix elements, A;B;C;D, of the pure system). Using (40-42), we approximate the mth term in a given sum by the contribution which is independent of m, which yields the leading e ect proportional to N for any of the sum s involved (the contributions ignored in this approximation are readily shown to be of relative order $\frac{1}{N}$). For the averages of products of rst order transferm atrix elements entering in (36-38) we thus obtain the following results valid at E = 0, for any sign of b:

$$h Q_{22}^{1} \stackrel{^{2}}{=} \frac{N \stackrel{^{u_{2}}}{_{0}}}{4} (u + v)^{2} e^{iq(N-1)} + [(u_{+} + v)^{2} e^{-iq(N-1)}]^{2} ; \qquad (60)$$

$$h Q_{12}^{1} {}^{2} i = \frac{N {}^{"2}}{4} (u_{+} v) (u_{+} v) e^{iq(N-1)} + [(u_{+} + v) (u_{-} v) e^{iq(N-1)^{2}};$$
(61)

$$h Q_{21}^{1} = h Q_{12}^{1} i = (h Q_{12}^{1})^{2} i :$$
 (62)

From (62) and (37-38), it follows that

$$h\ln jr^{\dagger} f_{i} = h\ln jr^{\dagger} f_{i} h\ln jr f_{i} ; \qquad (63)$$

for both signs of b.

Next we insert (60-62), together with (41-42) in (35-38) and simplify the resulting expressions by retaining in each one of them only the leading exponential terms for N jj >> 1, successively for b > 0 and b < 0. In this way we obtain the following exact expressions valid for arbitrary jj larger than $"_0^2$ and such that N jj >> 1:

$$\frac{1}{2N} = \frac{1}{2N} \ln j e^{-iqN} \frac{j^2}{2} - \frac{\mathbf{u}_0^2}{8} \frac{(u_+ + v)^4}{u_+^2} e^{2iq} ; \qquad (64.a)$$

$$h\ln jc_{1}^{2} = \ln \frac{v}{u_{+}}^{2} \frac{u_{0}^{2}N}{4}(u_{+} + v)^{2} \frac{(u + v)^{2}}{v^{2}} + \frac{(u_{+} + v)^{2}}{u_{+}^{2}} e^{2iq}; b > 0 ; \quad (65.a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2N} = \frac{1}{2N} \ln \dot{p}^{iqN} f \frac{1}{2} \frac{u^2}{8} \frac{(u + v)^4}{u^2} e^{2iq} ; \qquad (64b)$$

$$\ln jr ji = \ln \frac{v}{u} = \frac{v^2}{4} \frac{u^2}{4} (u + v)^2 \frac{(u + v)^2}{v^2} + \frac{(u + v)^2}{u^2} e^{2iq}; b < 0 : (65b)$$

For weak absorption/ampli cation bj << 1 (with, however, N bj >> 1) we expand (64 a,65 a) and (64 b,65 b) in powers of b, using (43-44) and (56). To order b^2 in the e ects of the disorder we obtain nally

$$\frac{1}{2} = b + \frac{b^3}{3} + \frac{w^2}{8} (1 \ b^2) ; \qquad (66a)$$

hln jr ji = ln
$$\frac{b^2}{4}$$
 $\frac{b^2}{2} + \frac{{}^{\prime 2}b^2}{2}N$; b > 0 ; (67.a)

$$\frac{1}{2} = b + \frac{b^3}{3} + \frac{w^2}{8} (1 \ b^2) ; \qquad (66 b)$$

hln jc² i = ln
$$\frac{4}{b^2} + \frac{b^2}{2}$$
; b < 0 : (67b)

On the other hand, for strong absorption/am pli cation, $j_{j>>}$ 1, we obtain successively from (64 a,65 a) and (64 b,65 b), to the orders indicated,

$$\frac{1}{2} = b + \frac{b^3}{3} + \frac{\mathbf{n}_0^2}{8b^2} ; \qquad (68 a)$$

$$h\ln jr_{j}^{2}i = \frac{2}{b} 1 + \frac{1}{6b^{2}} + \frac{n_{0}^{2}N}{2b^{2}} 1 \frac{1}{jpj} ; b > 0 ; \qquad (69a)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} = b + \frac{b^3}{3} + \frac{\mathbf{m}_0^2}{8b^2} ; \qquad (68 b)$$

hln jr ji =
$$\frac{2}{b}$$
 1 $\frac{1}{3b^2}$ + $\frac{{"}_0^2 N}{2b^2}$ 1 + $\frac{1}{bj}$; b < 0 ; (69.b)

using expansions of (43-44) in powers if $\frac{1}{b_1}$.

Our detailed results (66 a, 66 b) and (68 a, 68 b) for localization lengths and (67 a, 67 b) and (69 a, 69 b) for logarithm ic re-ection coe cients display remarkable new features related to the e ects induced by absorption/ampli cation in statistical averages over the disorder. We recall that our results are valid at asymptotic lengths for values $bj > "_0^2$ but not too close to $"_0^2$ (see the discussions in IIIA above). In the absence of the induced statistical e ects the results for inverse localization lengths coincide with the previously known results (1), $(3)^{6,9}$. On the other hand, in the absence of disorder, the results for the localization length (transm ission coe cient) and for the re-ection coe cient coincide with the exact results obtained by D atta¹². In particular, in the absence of disorder the re-ection coe cient is asymptotically constant for N ! 1 ^{12,13}.

Concerning now the statistical e ects induced by absorption/amplication in the inverse localization lengths, our results (66 a, 66 b) and (68 a, 68 b) lead to the following conclusions:

- 1. the e ects are identical for absorption and for amplication, for weak-as well as for strong absorption/amplication.
- 2. the statistical e ect induced by absorption/amplication increases the localization length for weak absorption/amplication while reducing it for strong absorption/amplication.
- 3. localization by disorder is destroyed in the presence of su ciently strong absorption/ampli cation.

On the other hand, our results in (67a, 67b) and (69a, 69b) for the statistical e ects induced by absorption/ampli cation in the rejection coefficient reveal that:

- a weak absorption induces a weak asymptotic statistical growth of hjrfi while a corresponding weak ampli cation leads to no statistical e ect.
- 2. for large absorption/am pli cation param eters jbj absorption and am pli cation induce identical weak statistical growth term s (to leading order in jbj²) in hjrj².

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The main results of this paper are summarized in the analytical expressions (51-52) (66 a, 66 b-69 a, 69 b) for inverse localization lengths and logarithm ic rejection coe cients in short- and long random tight-binding systems with absorption or gain. These results are discussed in detail in the main text. O ur analysis in Section II is valid for N $^{0}_{0}$ << 1 which characterizes the weak localization regime identia ed more generally by the limit L << $_{0}$. It would be interesting in future work to study the e ect of absorption or amplication in the strong localization (or localized-) regime L >> $_{0}$, for weak disorder. This would allow to study the additional e ects associated with anomalies in $_{0}$ existing at special energies, in particular at the band center²³. The study of transmission and rejection in the localized regime requires a more involved treatment of the disorder, respecting, in particular, the asymptotic unitarity limit of the rejection coe cient in the absence of absorption or gain. A simple analytic treatment of statistical properties of the transmittance in the localized regime in the absence of absorption has been discussed recently in²⁴.

We close with brief remarks on the respective roles of dierent symmetries of the transfer matrix (or of the lack thereof) for the disordered tight-binding system with absorption or gain studied above. In Sect. II a central role is played by the transfer matrix \oint_n of an elementary disordered segment enclosing just one site n. \oint_n obeys the property

$$\det \mathfrak{P}_n = 1 \quad ; \tag{70}$$

which leads to the relations

$$\mathbf{j}_{n}^{++}\mathbf{j} = \mathbf{j}_{n} \quad \mathbf{j}_{i}^{+} \mathbf{j}_{n}^{+} \quad \mathbf{j}^{-} = \mathbf{j}_{n}^{+}\mathbf{j}^{-} \mathbf{j} \quad (71)$$

for the rejection-and transmission coe cients for waves incident from the left and from the right, respectively. As shown in Sect. II, (70) in plies that $\det \hat{\Phi} = 1$ which in turn leads to the identity of the transmission coe cients $j^{++} j^{2}$ and $j^{-} j^{2}$ (Eq. (17)) for a system of N sites. Now (71) may be viewed simply as rejecting the symmetry of the piecewise defined solutions of the Schrödinger equation for plane waves incident from the right and from the left, respectively, in a single-site random segment. This nally shows that the transfer

matrix \oint embodies the basic left-right symmetry of Eq.(2), via Eq.(16), which leads to the properties h_{t}^{++} fi = h_{t}^{+} fi = h

In the absence of absorption or gain the disordered system (2) possesses a further wellknown symmetry, namely time-reversal symmetry. This symmetry implies that the 2 2 transfermatrix $\overset{1}{X}$ satis es the condition

$$\dot{x}^{0} = \dot{x}^{0}; = \overset{0}{\overset{0}{}} \overset{1}{\overset{1}{}}_{A} :$$
 (72)

This symmetry is broken when absorption or gain is present as follows e.g. from the transfer matrix (23) for the pure system. Indeed, for E = 0, (72) would require that

$$B_{N} = C_{N} \text{ and } A_{N} = D_{N} ; \qquad (73)$$

which is not the case for the elements (40-42). Now it is readily seen that the lack of timereversal symmetry as shown by the violation of (73) is related to a physical fact, namely the absence of current conservation, which means that $jrf + jtf \in 1$. Indeed, from (17) and (29) we have in the present case

$$\dot{r}_{j}^{2} + \dot{r}_{j}^{2} = \frac{1 + \beta_{N} \dot{f}}{p_{N} \dot{f}} \in 1 ; \qquad (74)$$

as seen from (28), since $A_N \notin D_N$ and $C_N \notin B_N$. Note also a further related consequence of the lack of time-reversal symmetry of the perfectly absorbing or amplifying systems: this is the violation of the duality relation for the scattering-matrix derived by Paaschens et al.⁹. V iolation of the duality relation of⁹ for the S-matrix is easily demonstrated by substituting the transfer matrix elements (41-43) in Eqs. (14-15) for the relation and transmission amplitude coelecters.

E lectronic address: JH einrichs@ulg.ac.be

¹ S.John, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 2169 (1984); see also S.John, Phys. Today 44 (5), 32 (1991).

- ² PW .Anderson, Philos. M ag. B 52, 505 (1985).
- ³ S.Gottardo, S.Cavalieri, O.Yaroshchuk, and D.S.W iersma, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93, 163901 (2004); S.Mujum dar, M. Ricci, R. Torra, and D.S.W iersma, Phys.Rev.Lett. 93, 05393 (2004); H. Cao, Y.G. Zhao, S.T. Ho, E.W. Seelig, Q.H.Wang, and R.P.H. Chang, Phys. Rev. Lette 82, 2278 (1999); D.S.W iersma, M.P. van Albada, and A Lagendijk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 1739 (1995); NM. Lawandy, R.M. Balachandran, A.S.L.Gomes, E. Sauvain, Nature (London) 368, 436 (1994); W. Sha, C.-H. Liu, and R.Alfano, J.Opt. Soc. Am. B19,1922 (1994).
- ⁴ R.Rammaland B.Doucot, J.Phys. (France) 48, 509 (1987).
- ⁵ V.Freiliker, M. Pustilnik, and I. Yurkevich, Phys. Rev. lett. 73, 810 (1994).
- ⁶ Z.Q. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B52, 7960 (1995).
- ⁷ P.Pradhan and N.Kumar, Phys.Rev.B50, 9644 (1994).
- ⁸ C W J.Beenakker, J.C. J. Paaschens, and P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1368 (1996).
- ⁹ J.C. J. Paaschens, T. Sh.M isinpashaev, and C.W. J. Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B54, 11887 (1996).
- ¹⁰ J.Heinrichs, Phys.Rev.B56, 8674 (1997).
- ¹¹ A K.Gupta and A M. Jayannavar, Phys.Rev.B52, 4156 (1995); S K. Joshi and A M. Jayannavar, Phys.Rev.B56, 12038 (1997).
- ¹² P.K.Datta, Phys. Rev. B 59, 10980 (1999).
- ¹³ X. Jiang and C M. Soukoulis, Phys. Rev. B59, 6159 (1999).
- ¹⁴ A.Yu Zyuzin, Europhys. Lett. 26, 517 (1994); ibid. Phys. Rev. E51, 5274 (1995).
- ¹⁵ A K.Sen, M od. Phys. Lett. 10, 125 (1996).
- ¹⁶ The random potential is assumed to be inhomogeneous in the x-direction only and includes a xed in aginary part describing absorption (> 0) or gain (< 0).
- ¹⁷ J.Heinrichs, Phys.Rev.B65, 075112 (2002).
- ¹⁸ K.Kim, D.H.Lee and H.Lim, Europhys.Lett., 69, 207 (2005).
- ¹⁹ In the appendix of ¹⁰ a new simple derivation of the invariant in bedding equations from the Helm holtz equation was presented. This derivation depends on the identitication of dy (L;L)=dL (where $y(x;L) = \frac{\theta}{\theta x} \ln E(x;L)$, with E(x;L) the electric wave eld) with $\frac{\theta y(x;L)}{\theta x}$ at the edge x = L of the layer extending between x = 0 and x = L. In¹⁰ this identitication was regarded as "a basic assumption of the invariant in bedding procedure". A ctually, it turns out that this is not an assumption at all, since it may be shown, using the exact identity $\frac{\theta E(x;L)}{\theta L} = a(L)E(x;L)$,

 $a(L) = ik_0 \ 1 + \frac{1}{2}$ (L)E (L;L), derived in⁴, that $\frac{e_y(x;L)}{e_L}_{x=L} = 0$. In conclusion the derivation of the invariant in bedding equations in¹⁰ is exact.

- ²⁰ J.Heinrichs, Phys. Rev. B66, 155434 (2002).
- ²¹ R.Johnston and H.Kunz, J.Phys.C16, 3895 (1983).
- ²² D J. Thouless, in Ill-Condensed M atter, edited by R. Balian, R. Maynard, and G. Toulouse (N orth-H olland, Am sterdam, 1979).
- ²³ M.Kappus and F.Wegner, Z.Phys. 45, 15 (1981).
- ²⁴ J.Heinrichs, J.Phys.Condens.Matter, 16, 7995 (2004).