

# JORDAN-WIGNER APPROACH TO DYNAMIC CORRELATIONS IN 2D SPIN $-\frac{1}{2}$ MODELS

Oleg Derzhko<sup>y)</sup> and Taras Krokhmal'skii

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics NASU,  
1 Svientsitskii Street, L'viv-11, 79011, Ukraine

Received XXX

We discuss the dynamic properties of the square-lattice spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XY model obtained using the two-dimensional Jordan-Wigner fermionization approach. We argue the relevancy of the fermionic picture for interpreting the neutron scattering measurements in the two-dimensional frustrated quantum magnet  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$ .

PACS: 75.10.-b

Key words: two-dimensional spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  models, dynamic quantities, Jordan-Wigner fermionization

Dynamic properties of two-dimensional quantum spin models have attracted considerable attention from both experimentalists and theoretists. Among recent experimental studies in this field the neutron scattering on  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$  [1, 2, 3, 4] seems to be very intriguing.  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$  is a two-dimensional low-exchange quantum magnet. The two-dimensional character arises owing to the layered crystal structure, whereas the in-plane superexchange route mediated by two nonmagnetic  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions provides a small exchange interaction ( $\sim 4$  K) between  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  ions carrying a spin of  $\frac{1}{2}$ . In each layer the exchange paths form a triangular lattice with nonequivalent interactions along chains ( $J$ ) and along zig-zag bonds ( $J^0$ ). The minimal Hamiltonian which determines the magnetic order is the two-dimensional Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J S_i \cdot S_j + \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} J^0 S_i \cdot S_j \quad (1)$$

where the first term describes the noninteracting chains ( $J = 0.374(5)$  meV) and the second one describes the interchain coupling ( $J^0 = 0.34(3)J$ ). The interlayer coupling is small  $J^0 = 0.045(5)J$  and it stabilizes the long-range magnetic order below  $T_N = 0.62(1)$  K. The neutron scattering measurements in the spin liquid phase (i.e. above  $T_N$  but below  $J, J^0$  when the two-dimensional magnetic layers are decoupled) clearly indicate that the dynamic correlations are dominated by highly dispersive excitation continua which is a characteristic signature of fractionalization of spin-1 spin waves into pairs of deconfined spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  spinons. Linear spin-wave theory including one- and two-magnon processes cannot describe the continuum scattering.

<sup>y)</sup> Presented at 12-th Czech and Slovak Conference on Magnetism, Kosice, 12-15 July 2004

<sup>y)</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail address: derzhko@icm.p.lviv.ua

Two theories of the dynamical correlations in the spin liquid phase in  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$  have so far been proposed. A quasi-one-dimensional approach [5] starts from antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains along the strongest-exchange direction in the two-dimensional triangular planes (thus immediately introducing spinon language) and perturbatively treats all other terms in the Hamiltonian. Note, however, that the coupling between chains is relatively large. Another approach is based on the explicitly two-dimensional resonating-valence-bond picture in which spinons appear to be two  $\text{spin}=\frac{1}{2}$  ends of a broken singlet bond that separate away through bond rearrangement [6, 7].

In what follows we discuss the two-dimensional Jordan-Wigner fermionization approach to dynamic correlations [8] which eventually may capture the physics in spin liquid phase as well. To be specific, we consider a simpler square-lattice  $\text{spin}=\frac{1}{2}$  XY model defined by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{i=0}^X \sum_{j=0}^Y J_x s_{i,j}^x s_{i+1,j}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i+1,j}^y + J_y s_{i,j}^x s_{i,j+1}^x + s_{i,j}^y s_{i,j+1}^y \quad (2)$$

Here  $J_x$  ( $J_y$ ) is the exchange interaction in the horizontal (vertical) direction. We are interested in the  $zz$  dynamic structure factor

$$S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{p}=0}^X \sum_{\mathbf{r}=0}^Y \int_0^{\omega} dt \exp[i(\mathbf{k}_x \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_y \mathbf{r})] \langle s_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p}}^z(t) s_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p},\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{r}}^z \rangle \langle s_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{p}}^z \rangle \langle s_{\mathbf{n}+\mathbf{p},\mathbf{m}+\mathbf{r}}^z \rangle \quad (3)$$

Performing the transformation to spinless fermions [9],

$$s_{i,j}^x = \exp(i\phi_{i,j}) d_{i,j}^\dagger; \quad \phi_{i,j} = \sum_{f=0}^i \sum_{g=0}^j \ln[f + i(g-j)] d_{f,g}^\dagger d_{f,g}; \quad (4)$$

and adopting the mean-field treatment of the phase factors which appear in (2) we arrive at the Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^X \sum_{j=0}^Y (1)^{i+j} J_x d_{i,j}^\dagger d_{i+1,j}^\dagger d_{i,j} d_{i+1,j}^\dagger + J_y d_{i,j}^\dagger d_{i,j+1}^\dagger d_{i,j} d_{i,j+1}^\dagger; \quad (5)$$

which can be diagonalized by the Fourier and Bogolyubov transformations. The  $zz$  correlation function which enters (3) is the density-density correlation function in fermionic picture (5); it can be calculated with the help of the Wick-Bloch-De Dominicis theorem. As a result we get

$$S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega) = \frac{d\mathbf{q}}{4} C^2 [\mathbf{n}_q (1 - \mathbf{n}_{q+\mathbf{k}}) (\omega + \epsilon_q - \epsilon_{q+\mathbf{k}}) + (1 - \mathbf{n}_q) \mathbf{n}_{q+\mathbf{k}} (\omega - \epsilon_q + \epsilon_{q+\mathbf{k}})] + \frac{1}{4} C^2 [\mathbf{n}_q \mathbf{n}_{q+\mathbf{k}} (\omega + \epsilon_q + \epsilon_{q+\mathbf{k}}) + (1 - \mathbf{n}_q) (1 - \mathbf{n}_{q+\mathbf{k}}) (\omega - \epsilon_q - \epsilon_{q+\mathbf{k}})]; \quad (6)$$




Fig. 1.  $S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  (6) of the square-lattice spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XY model (2) with  $J = 1$ ,  $J_z = 0.3$  at zero temperature. Frequency shapes are shown along  $k_x = \frac{\pi}{4}$ ,  $k_y = 0$  (a),  $k_x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $k_y = 0$  (b),  $k_x = \frac{3\pi}{4}$ ,  $k_y = 0$  (c),  $k_x = \pi$ ,  $k_y = 0$  (d),  $k_x = 0$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (e),  $k_x = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (f),  $k_x = \frac{3\pi}{4}$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (g),  $k_x = \pi$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (h),  $k_x = \frac{5\pi}{4}$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (i),  $k_x = \frac{3\pi}{2}$ ,  $k_y = \frac{\pi}{2}$  (j).

where  $C = \cos \frac{\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{k}}{2} \cdot \mathbf{q}$ ,  $\cos k_x = \frac{J_z \cos k_y}{k}$ ,  $k = \sqrt{J^2 \sin^2 k_x + J_z^2 \cos^2 k_y}$  and  $n_k$  is the Fermi function. The  $zz$  dynamic structure factor (6) similarly to the one-dimensional cases [10] is conditioned by particle-hole pair excitations. This two-fermion excitation continuum implies nonzero values of  $S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  only in the restricted  $\mathbf{k}$  region with a sharp high-frequency cutoff. Within the excitation continuum  $S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  exhibits several washed-out excitation branches, in particular, the spin wave [8]. The representative scans throughout the Brillouin zone of the zero-temperature  $S_{zz}(\mathbf{k}; \omega)$  plotted in Fig. 1 show an extended structure and, at

least at a qualitative level, strongly resemble some features of the frequency shapes measured by neutron scattering in  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$  (see Fig. 6 of Ref. [4]).

To summarize, we have computed the  $zz$  dynamic structure factor of the square-lattice spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  XY model using the two-dimensional Jordan-Wigner fermionization approach. The dynamic structure factor exhibits both sharp particle-like peaks and extended continuum profiles in the constant wave vector frequency shapes which arise owing to particle-hole excitations. Such features which have been observed experimentally in  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$  appear immediately within the two-dimensional Jordan-Wigner fermionization approach that is obviously an attractive feature of this scheme. One may also expect that in the limit of small interchain interaction this approach works well since it contains the exact result if  $J_z = 0$  (or  $J = 0$ ). Note, however, that this is not a perturbation theory with respect to the interchain interaction. The main shortcoming of the exploited approach is a poorly controlled mean-field treatment of the phase factors that arise after inserting (4) into (2). New theoretical work is needed to go beyond this approximation [1]. Another challenge is to clarify whether such a theory is capable of capturing the physics in spin liquid phase in  $\text{Cs}_2\text{CuCl}_4$ . For this purpose the calculations should be repeated for the Heisenberg model on a triangular lattice (1) (probably with the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [3]). We have left this problem for future studies.

#### References

- [1] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, R. A. Cowley, D. F. McMorrow, B. Döner, and Z. Tylczynski: Phys. Rev. Lett. 79 (1997) 151.
- [2] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, A. M. Tsvelik, and Z. Tylczynski: Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1335.
- [3] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, K. Habicht, P. Směděl, C. Wolters, and Z. Tylczynski: Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 137203.
- [4] R. Coldea, D. A. Tennant, and Z. Tylczynski: Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003) 134424.
- [5] M. Bocquet, F. H. L. Essler, A. M. Tsvelik, and A. O. Gogolin: Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 094425; M. Bocquet: Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 184415.
- [6] C. H. Chung, J. B. Marston, and R. H. McKenzie: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13 (2001) 5159; C. H. Chung, K. Voelker, and Y. B. Kim: Phys. Rev. B 68 (2003) 094412.
- [7] Yizhou and X.-G. Wen: arXiv:cond-mat/0210662.
- [8] O. Derzhko and T. Korkhmalskii: Physica B 337 (2003) 357.
- [9] Y. R. Wang: Phys. Rev. B 43 (1991) 3786.
- [10] G. Müller, H. Thomas, H. Beck, and J. C. Bonner: Phys. Rev. B 24 (1981) 1429.
- [11] A. Lopez, A. G. Roj, and E. Fradkin: Phys. Rev. B 49 (1994) 15139.