Charge uctuations in coupled systems: ring coupled to a wire or ring

P. Singha Deo¹, P. Koskinen², and M. Manninen²

¹ Unit for Nano-science & Technology, S.N.Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences,

JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Kolkata 98, India.

² Nanoscience Center, Department of Physics, University of Jyvaskyla, PO Box - 35, 40014 Jyvaskyla, Finland.

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

C oupled systems in mesoscopic regime are of interest as charge uctuation between the subsystems will depend on electron-electron interactions and will play a dominant role in determining their thermodynamic properties. We study some simple systems like a stub or a bubble strongly coupled to a ring. We show that for strong electron-electron interaction, there are some regimes where these charge uctuations are quenched and charge is individually conserved in the two subsystems. This feature does not depend on choice of parameters or charge distribution.

PACS num bers:

I. IN TRODUCTION

C oupled m esoscopic samples are very challenging to understand. Transfer of charge and charge uctuations between di erent parts of a coupled system, can dram atically alter its properties [1, 2]. Electron-electron interactions strongly dictate such charge transfer and uctuations.

If one starts with a system that has translational invariance or rotational invariance then electron-electron interactions preserve the invariance. This often helps in solving an interacting electron system. However, if we start with a system that has no translational or rotational invariance, the the problem of solving the interacting electrons in the system is non-trivial. Coupled systems do not have rotational or translational invariance and this makes the problem very di cult.

In this regard, simple systems like nite one dimensional (1D) system s, are what researchers intend to understand rst [1, 2]. With the advent of mesoscopic systems, one can now realize such nite and 1D systems in the laboratory and this has further encouraged scientists to exam ine the role of interactions in such sim ple system s [1, 2] as that considered in Fig. 1 (a). It consists of a 1D ring connected to a 1D nite wire (referred to as stub). In Fig. 1 (b) we replace the stub by a small ring that we refer to as bubble. An Aharonov-Bohm ux through the center of the ring induces a persistent current in the ring [3], due to which the system has a net magnetization. There is no ux through the bubble. The persistent $C^{\underline{0}\underline{E}_n}$ current in the nth energy level is de ned as $I_{h} =$ where E_n is the nth energy eigenvalue, c is the velocity of light and is the ux through the ring. States in the ring are plane wave like extended states that carry current (due to the ux piercing it), while the states in the stub or in the bubble are like the localized states of a cavity. These systems have been studied earlier by various authors. The parity e ect was studied in [4, 5], persistent currents in such a system in the di usive regime was studied in ref. [6], conductance across such a system [7] and persistent currents in such a system in the ballistic regime [8] has also been studied.

Buttiker and Sta ord [1] considers the stub to be weakly coupled to the ring. In this weak coupling lim it they consider the regime wherein in the non-interacting lim it an empty stub state (ESS) weakly hybridizes with an occupied ring state (ORS) and the Fermi energy coincides with the hybridized states. In this regime an effective theory was put forward to account for electronelectron interactions. The e ective theory ignored the presence of all other states apart from the ESS and ORS and included electron interactions with the help of a geom etrical capacitance. On the other hand, C ho et al [2], consider again the weakly coupled regime, when the stub state is below the Fermi energy, in the Kondo regime. E lectron-electron interactions was treated in the in nite U lim it of the Hubbard model, using the slave boson representation.

II. THE PROBLEM

On one hand, the quantum mechanical uncertainty tends to create charge uctuations in the system and on the other hand the electron-electron interactions tend to localize the electrons and destroy the charge uctuations. Situations, wherein charge uctuations are completely quenched are of fundamental importance in physics. Several novel e ects like quantum phase transitions, deformations of nuclei [9], deformations of electronic states in quantum dots [10] and clusters [11], fractional periodicity of persistent currents [12] are all related to quenching of charge uctuations. So such a competition between localization and delocalization may also play some important role in the case of charge uctuations in coupled mesoscopic systems and this is what we intend to investigate in this paper.

In this paper we consider the regime of strong coupling between the sub-systems for any arbitrary interaction strength. In the strong coupling regime, it is not possible to ignore states other than ESS and ORS. Also it is not possible to treat electron-electron interactions in the form of a geom etrical capacitance or the slave boson form alism. We solve the problem numerically, making exact diagonalization of H ubbard type H am iltonian. W e show that at certain Ferm i energies, and at certain regimes which we call the Fano regime, the electronic states of the strongly interacting system can be predicted by looking at the non-interacting single particle levels. Charge uctuations between the ring and the stub can be easily quenched in these special regimes by electron interactions and this is the main reason for such behavior. There is a non-symmetry dictated node (NSDN) in the many body wave function in this Fano regime that is responsible for making charge conserved and integral in the two sub-systems and hence such a behavior. In other regimes such a simple picture cannot be visualized.

III. THE MODEL

Schem atic gures of the geom etries considered are shown in Fig. 1 (a) and (b). The systems are made up of sites (shown as bold dots) to be described by the G eneralized H ubbard M odel. The sites in the stub or in the bubble are labeled as A and B.D ue to the presence of the stub or bubble, the system has no rotational sym m etry. W e use the generalized H ubbard m odel to nd the electronic states of the system. The generalized H ubbard H am iltonian is

$$H = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 \\ X & C_{i,}^{y} C_{i,} + 0 te^{i = R} & C_{i,}^{y} C_{j,} + H C A \\ i; & & X & X \\ + U & n_{i,} n_{i,} \circ + V & n_{i,} n_{j,} \circ & (1) \\ i; 6 \circ & & \langle ij \rangle; \end{cases}$$

Here $n_i = c_i^y c_i$, c_i is electron annihilation operator at site i, is spin index, and i, t, U, V are parameters that have their usual meanings: on-site energy, hopping integral, on-site C oulom b interaction and nearest neighbor C oulom b interaction, respectively. R is the total number of sites in the ring only, = 2 = 0, 0 = hc=e and is the ux through the ring. The number of sites making the stub or bubble is denoted as S.

IV . THE SINGLE PARTICLE STATES

In this section we shall brie y review what is known about the single particle states of coupled systems. In Fig. 2. we show the single particle levels for U=0 and V=0 for a system as in Fig. 1 (a), with 9 sites in the ring and 2 sites in the stub. Thus this is a non-interacting system and the rst 6 single particle levels as a function of

ux are shown in Fig. 2. The ground state is diam agnetic as it has a minimum at zero ux and energy increases with ux. The rst excited state is param agnetic as it has a maximum at zero ux and energy decreases with ux. All the 6 energy levels have a magnetization associated with it except the 4th. One can see that the 4th level from below (i.e., the 3rd excited state) is independent of ux. This is due to the NSDN [13]. There is a node in the wave-function of the 3rd excited state at the junction between the ring and the stub. We refer to this node as non-symmetry dictated node, a term originally coined by Leggett [14]. Due to this node, the wave-function in the 3rd excited state do not see the magnetic ux. Note that the energy of this state is $E = E_0$. There can be several symmetry dictated nodes (like nodes due to box quantization or nodes due to antisymmetry property of many body wave-function) that do not make a state

ux independent. The NSDN is forced by the boundary condition at the free end of the stub. If we cut the ring at one point and attach leads to the two broken ends then we get the system shown in Fig. 3 (a) and (b). The transm ission through this system at an energy $E = E_0$ show an absolute 0 due to this NSDN. Such a resonance is called Fano resonance [15]. It is easy to nd situations wherein such ux independent states occur as it can be determined from diagonalization of the non-interacting Ham iltonian. Alternately, it can be found even more easily, by inspecting the num bers of sites and this follows from a general theory of parity e ect [13]. For example it happens for a (6+2) system, (12+2) system, (15+2)system, (9+3) system and so on. By (6+2) system we m ean 6 sites in the ring and 2 sites in the stub and so on. A (9+2) system will have eleven levels that will change slope 9 tim es as there are 9 sites in the ring. The ground state will be diam agnetic. The st excited state will change slope for the st time to be param agnetic. To change the slope 9 times, the 4th and 8th state has to be

ux independent or else the particle hole symmetry will be broken. Even if we place the two sites of the stub as in Fig 1 (b) so that the two sites make a bubble, even then the 3rd excited state is independent of ux. We shall show that the strongly interacting system in situations where single particle levels show ux independence has som e general features that do not depend on parameters of the system.

V. THE MANY BODY STATES

We take the same system as that in Fig. 2, i.e., a ringstub system with S=2 and R=9, and put 4 spin up and 4 spin down electrons in it. In the non-interacting lim it, where each single particle level is 2 fold spin degenerate, the Ferm i energy will coincide with the 4th level which is ux independent. The 4th levelw ill accomm odate 1 spin up electron and 1 spin down electron and the persistent current or magnetization of the system will depend on 3 up and 3 down electrons in the rst 3 energy levels. A sm all am ount of electron-electron interaction or disorder in site energies will perturb the total energy of the system and one cannot think of any particle not a ected by ux. In Fig. 4 we plot the occupation probability $< n_A > of$ site A and $< n_B >$ of site B in the presence of a small am ount of interaction. Here $< n_A > m$ eans the expectation value of $c_A^V c_A$ and so on. We have considered < $n_A >$

and $< n_B >$ for spin up electrons only as that for spin down is identically the same due to spin degeneracy in absence of an explicit magnetic eld. One can see that the total occupation probability of the two sites in the stub, i.e., the sum of $< n_A >$ and $< n_B >$ is strongly ux dependent. It means that A haronov-Bohm ux can cause charge transfer and charge uctuation between the stub and the ring. This is a gauge dependent charge transfer in a system at equilibrium as opposed to non-equilibrium bias created charge transfer. Norm ally in quantum dots, one uses the bias induced charge transfer, wherein a small voltage bias is applied to pump a charge into the quantum dot. In a closed coherent system as that considered in this work, changes in equilibrium parameters in the Ham iltonian can lead to such charge transfers. Such charge transfers can drastically alter the therm odynam ic properties of the sam ple like in this case the magnetization of the sam ple. Hence it is im portant to understand these charge transfers. A lso it is important to understand situations wherein charge remains conserved, does not uctuate, and what kind of conservation it is. For example we have seen that in the non-interacting lim it, the 4th spin up and spin down electrons remain completely conserved in the stub in a ux independent state. This happens because of the NSDN (non-symmetry dictated node) in the non-interacting wave function. But once there are interactions, the non-interacting wave functions are perturbed. Then the NSDN has little or no role to play and everything is dom inated by interactions.

However, if we make U and V much larger, then there is the sam e am ount of integer charge localized in the stub as in the non-interacting case. This can be seen in Fig. 5. This is counter-intuitive. Because as we increase the repulsive interaction between electrons, we will expect that the charge in the two neighboring sites in the stub will decrease as long as total charge concentration in the ring is lower than in the stub. But instead the stub sites acquire m ore and m ore charge in order to m ake the total charge in the stub same as that in the non-interacting case and that is an integer. Here if we take the sum of $< n_A >$ and $< n_B >$, then the sum is constant in ux and close to 1 as shown by the dotted line in Fig. 5. They can individually change by appreciable am ounts, im plying that we have not yet reached the localized regime wherein each electron gets localized into a crystal [12]. Charge can uctuate between sites A and B and so can charge uctuate between di erent sites in the ring to carry an appreciable am ount of persistent current. But total persistent current is like that of 3 spin up and 3 spin down electrons in a ring. This will be illustrated in detail below.

W e want to stress that this is a general feature and it happens for all system sizes provided it is in the Fano regime, which means that in the non-interacting lim it there are ux independent states that coincide with the Ferm i energy. Even if we put some disorder in the site energies, we get the same result as in Fig. 5, as long as the site energies are much smaller than the strength of electron-electron interactions. For example if we take a 6+2 system then in the non-interacting limit, the 3rd single particle state is ux independent. So, if we put 3 spin up and 3 spin down electrons in the system, then in the strongly interacting limit, 1 up and 1 down electron remain completely localized in the stub and the magnetization of the system is determined by 2 up and 2 down electrons in the ring. In F ig. 6, we have taken a 6+2 system with 3 up and 3 down electrons in it. We have also taken the strong interaction limit, when 1 up and 1 down electrons are localized in the stub. We have plotted the

rst 6 m any body energy levels of the system that exhibit large gaps at the zone boundaries = 0;0:5;1 and level crossings within the zone. Level crossings within the zone is a precursor of Wigner crystallization [12] and is not related to the breakdown of rotational symmetry. The gaps at the zone boundary are due to the absence of rotational symmetry. In Fig. 7, we have taken just a ring of 6 sites with a defect, and plotted the st 6 energy levels as a function of when there are 2 up and 2 down electrons in the ring, in the sam e strong interaction lim it as in Fig. 6. The energy levels are very sim ilar to that in Fig. 6, which implies that in Fig. 6, the persistent current is completely determined by 2 up and 2 down electrons in the ring. Charge localized in the stub does not contribute to persistent current and also charge uctuations inside the stub has no qualitative e ect on the persistent current in the ring. There can be non-interacting situations [17] wherein charge uctuations do not in uence propagating states and in our system this seems to happen for strong interactions in the Fano regime.

Note that when the stub is made of only 2 sites, then in strong interaction lim it, accomm odating 1 up and 1 down electrons is alm ost pushing the stub to its maximum capacity. If we make the stub having more sites, then it happensm ore easily and with $\mathfrak{s}\mathfrak{m}$ aller values of U and V . For example, if we take a 8+3 system, then in the noninteracting lim it, we nd that the 3rd and the 6th single particle levels are ux independent. If we put 3 up and 3 down electron in the system, then in the non-interacting lim it as well as in the strong interacting lim it, 1 up and 1 down electrons are localized in the stub. If we put 6 up electrons and 6 down electrons in the system, then again in both lim its, 2 up and 2 down electrons are localized in the stub. In all these di erent systems, the ratio of charge in the stub to the charge in the ring is di erent. But, nevertheless, quite generally an integer amount of charge gets localized in the stub. If we go away from the Fano regime, then it is not possible to have an integer am ount of charge in the stub and hence the behavior of the system cannot be understood in terms of the levels of the ring or in term s of the levels of the stub.

It can be seen that in the strong interaction lim it, in the presence of NSDN, the charge density in the stub can be much higher (or lower) than the charge density in the ring. Charge in the stub increases as we increase the repulsive interaction between the electrons and saturates when the total charge in the stub becomes an integral num ber same as that in the non-interacting lim it. This is as if there is an e ective attraction between the electrons in the stub. One may think that this e ect is because the stub sites have a di erent coordination num ber than the ring sites. For example site A in Fig. 1 (a) has only one nearest neighbor while all sites in the ring has at least two nearest neighbors. However, this e ect happens for all possible param eters that gives di erent ratio of total charge in the stub to the total charge in the ring. A lso, in Fig 1 (b) the sites in the bubble are equivalent to the sites in the ring in regard to coordination number. If we do sim ilar calculation for Fig. 1 (b) as we did in Fig. 5, then we expect $< n_A > = < n_B >$ due to the symmetry of the two sites A and B.We also nd that that for the sam e interaction strength and sam e num ber of ring sites as that in Fig. 5, $< n_A > = < n_B > = 0:491$, which is very close to the average of the two solid lines in Fig. 5. This strongly suggests that coordination number is not important. It is the non-symmetry dictated node that makes the total charge in the stub or bubble similar to that of the non-interacting system . As a result the total charge in the stub or bubble tends to be an integer in spite of strong repulsion. The combined e ect of a NSDN and strong repulsive interaction is like an e ective electron-electron attraction in the stub or in the bubble.

The clean interacting ring or a ring with a defect is well understood in terms of an e ective H am iltonian [16], because of which we can understand the magnetization of a ring of any arbitrary num ber of sites and arbitrary num ber of electrons. In our case, after electrons are localized in the stub, the stub behaves like a static in purity. This gives us an easy way of understanding ring stub system s that are beyond the reach of exact diagonalization m ethods. W hen applying approxim atem ethods, this can provide a sim ple guideline for cross checking results in som e lim its like the Fano lim it.

Q uantum mechanical study of coupled atom s date back to 1923 [18]. A nalogous study has also been m ade using quantum dots [19]. The starting point is well separated quantum dots or two dots with a large electrostatic barrier in between. Then one gradually enhance the coupling between the dots by decreasing the barrier or by bringing the dots close to each other. Thus charge in each dot can be controlled and m ade integer by physically decoupling the two dots. The study of coupled geom etries as presented in this paper also seems to be an avenue for searching new phenom enon and physics. We show that we can have integral charge in the two sub-systems not by physically decoupling them but due to an internal m echanism.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

The non-interacting wave function in coupled systems can have NSDN. Interactions perturb the non-interacting wave function and the NSDN is destroyed. But in the lim it of strong electron-electron interactions, again the NSDN com es into play and create m any counter-intuitive e ects. Like the charge uctuation between the subsystems is quenched. A loo the total charge in the stub or the bubble tends to the same integral value as in the non-interacting case, defying the repulsive interaction between electrons. This is a general feature whenever there is NSDN and does not depend on charge distribution. Because of the quenching of charge uctuations between the ring and the stub, the persistent currents in the ring can be understood in terms of a ring decoupled from the stub. This can help us understand larger systems for which num erical diagonalization is not possible.

VII. ACKNOW LEDGMENT

We acknowledge the nancial support from the A cadem y of Finland and from the European C om m unity project ULTRA-1D (NMP4-CT-2003-505457)

- [1] M .Buttiker and C .A .Sta ord, Phys.Rev.Lett.76,495 (1996).
- [2] S.Y. Cho, K.K ang, C.K.K im, and C.M. Ryu, Phys. Rev. B 64, 033314 (2001).
- [3] M. Buttiker, Y. Im ry and R. Landauer, Phys. Lett. A 96, 365 (1983).
- [4] P.Singha Deo, Phys. Rev. B 51, 5441 (1995).
- [5] P.Singha Deo, Phys. Rev. B 53, 15447 (1996).
- [6] M. Pascaud and G. Montam baux, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4512 (1999)
- [7] C M . Ryu and S.Y . Cho, Phys. Rev. B 58, 3572 (1998).
- [8] P.Koskinen and M.Manninen Phys. Rev. B 68, 195304 (2003)
- [9] A.Bohrand B.Mottelson, Nuclear Structure (Benjamin, New York) 1975.
- [10] M. Koskinen, M. Manninen and S. M. Riemann, Phys. Rev.Lett. 79, 1389 (1997); C. Yannouleas and U. Land-

m an, Phys.Rev.Lett.82 5325 (1999); A.Puente and L. Serra, Phys.Rev.Lett.83 3266 (1999); S.M.Reim ann and M.M anninen Rev.M od.Phys.74, 1283-1342 (2002) [11] K.Clem enger, Phys.Rev.B 32 1359 (1985).

- [12] P. Singha Deo, P. Koskinen, M. Koskinen and M. Manninen, Europhys. Lett. 63 846 (2003); A. Emperador, F. Pederiva, and E. Lipparini Phys. Rev. B 68, 115312 (2003); S. Viefers, P. Koskinen, P. Singha Deo, M. Manninen Physica E 21, 1-35 (2004).
- [13] P.A. Sreeram and P. Singha Deo, Physica B 228, 345 (1996).
- [14] A. J. Leggett, in G ranular N ano-E lectronics, Vol. 251 of NATO A dvanced Studies Institute, Series B: Physics, edited by D. K. Ferry, J. R. Barker, and C. Jacoboni (Plenum, New York, 1991), p. 297.
- [15] E. Tekm an and P.F. Bagwell, Phys. Rev. B 48, 2553 (1993).

- [16] M. Koskinen, M. Manninen, B. Mottelson and S. M. Reimann, Phys. Rev. B 63, 205323 (2001).
- [17] H. Schom erus, Y. Noat, J. Dalibard, and C. W. J. Beenakker Europhys. Lett. 57, 651 (2002)
- [18] M. Born and W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. 16, 229 (1923).
- [19] W .D ybalskiand P.H aw rylak, cond-m at/0502161.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. (a) A schematic diagram of a ring-stub system. The system is made up of R sites in the ring and S sites in the wire or stub. The sites are shown as big dots and the connection between them is shown as lines. Sites in the stub are labeled A and B. (b) A schematic diagram of a ring-bubble system. Sites in the bubble are labeled A and B.

Fig. 2. E igen energies of the single particle states of the system in Fig. 1 (a), as a function of $= 2 = _0$, in the non-interacting limit of U = 0 and V = 0. Here is the total ux through the ring and $_0 = hc=e$. The ring consists of 9 sites and the stub consists of 2 sites. E

represents energy and the unit of energy is $E_0 = t$. Fig. 3. Schem atic diagram s of a stub (a) and bubble (b)


corresponding to Fig. 1, but now with open leads.


Fig.4. The system under consideration is in Fig. 1 (a) with R = 9 and S = 2. There are 4 spin up electrons and 4 spin down electrons in the system with U = 2 and V = 1. We show here spin up occupation probability $< n_A >$ and $< n_B >$ of the two sites A and B in the stub as a function of where $= 2 = _0$. Here is the total ux through the ring and $_0 = hc=e$.

Fig. 5. Same as in Fig. 4, but U = 9 and V = 5. $< n_A >$ and $< n_B >$ are individually ux dependent but the sum of them do not depend on ux.


Fig. 6. The rst 6 m any body levels of a ring with a stub as a function of $= 2 = _0$. is the total ux through the ring and $_0 = hc=e$. Here R = 6, S = 2, U = 10 and V = 8. The unit of energy E is $E_0 = t$.


Fig. 7. Many body levels of a ring with a potential impurity as a function of $= 2 = _0$. is the total ux through the ring and $_0 =$ hc=e. Here R = 6, S = 0, U = 10 and V = 8. One of the sites has a site energy of 5t. The unit of energy E is E₀ = t.







α






α





α



α