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#### Abstract

W e present an analysis of the dependence of the resonant-orbital order and $m$ agnetic scattering spectra on the spin con guration. $W$ e consider an arbitrary spin direction $w$ ith respect to the local crystal eld axis, thus low ering signi cantly the local sym $m$ etry. To evaluate the atom ic scattering in this case, we generalized the $H$ annon- $T$ ram $m$ el form ula and im plem ented it inside the fram ew ork of atom ic m ultiplet calculations in a crystal eld. For an illustration, we calculate the m agnetic and orbital scattering in the CE phase of $\mathrm{La}_{0.5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1} 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{MO}_{4}$ in the cases $w$ hen the spins are aligned $w$ ith the crystal lattice vector (or equivalently ©) and when they are rotated in the ab-plane by 45 w ith respect to this axis. M agnetic spectra di er for the two cases. For the orbital scattering, we show that for the form er con guration there is a non negligible ! ${ }^{0}\left(!{ }^{0}\right.$ ) scattering com ponent, which vanishes in the 45 case, while the ! ${ }^{0}\left(!{ }^{0}\right)$ com ponents are sim ilar in the two cases. From the consideration of two 90 spin canted structures, we conclude there is a signi cant dependence of the orbital scattering spectra on the sp in arrangem ent. R ecent experim ents detected a sudden decrease of the orbital scattering intensity upon increasing the tem perature above the N eel tem perature in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1.5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$. W e discuss this behavior considering the e ect of di erent types ofm isorientations of the spins on the orbital scattering spectrum .


PACS num bers: 61.10.-1,75.10..6, 75 25.+ z,71 27.+ a

## I. INTRODUCTION

$T$ he physics ofperovskite-type $m$ anganites is one of the very exciting areas of the presentday solid-state research, displaying abundance of interesting phenom ena, of which the m ost known is the huge resistivity change upon the application ofm agnetic elds, the so-called colossalm agnetoresistance e ectil ${ }^{[1]}$. M anganites have rich phase diagram $s \mathrm{w}$ th several types of $m$ agnetic, charge and orbitally ordered phases, often exhibiting m esoscale phase coexistence. T he physics of these system s is controlled by a com plex intenplay ofm agnetic, orbital, charge and structural degrees of freedom. O nbital order is characterized by the spatial arrangem ent of the outerm ost valence electrons. A though it was predicted and described theoretically 50 years ago ${ }^{7}{ }^{2} \mathbf{i}$, it has been recognized as a hidden degree of freedom, because of di culties related to its direct observation.

The resonant x-ray scattering ( R X S ) is a prom ising toolfor the study oforbitalorder, and, at the sam e tim e, convenient for the study ofm agnetic and charge ordering. In particular, it can be applied to investigate the correlations betw een the orbital order param eter and the $m$ agnetic and charge order param eters. The rst RXS experim ents $w$ th the goal of detecting onbital ordering were done at the K -edge. At the m anganese K -edge, one 1 s electron is excited into the em pty $4 p$ band after absonption of a photon of the resonant energy. This interm ediate state has a nite lifetim e, afterwhich the ion em its a photon and relaxes back to the initial state. T oday it is believed that the observed signal is not sensitive to the onsite orbital ordering of the 3 d orbitals, but to band-structure e ects including the e ect of the Jahn-Teller crystal eld on the 4p states together w ith the hybridization of the 4p orbitals w th the neighboring oxygen orbitals (also controlled by the Jahn-Teller
 edge scattering $m$ easurem ents A ltarelli ${ }^{-12}$, , are sensitive to both the onsite orbital ordering and the Jahn-Teller distortion. At the $M n L_{2 ; 3}$ edges, the virtual dipole transition consists ofan excitation of one 2p electron into the 3d shell, thus directly probing the orbitalordering. T he speci c contributions of the orbital ordering and Jahn-Teller distortions m ust then be disentangled in order to intenpret the results of such experim ents. This is usually done by com paring the experim ental spectra to theoretical sim ulated spectra. P revious theoretical w ont has indicated that the JahnTeller distortion a ects alm ost exclusively the $L_{3}$ edge. H ow ever, to $m$ onitor the e ect of
a change in the $m$ agnetic order on the orbital ordering in particular (or on the Jahn-Teller distortion), one needs also to know the direct in uence of the magnetic con guration on the orbital spectra. So far, to our know ledge, this e ect on the $L$ edge onbital scattering has not been addressed. A lso, obviously, if the spin con guration has a signi cant in uence on the orbital scattering, it $m$ ust be properly included in the analysis used to disentangle the e ects of the onbital ordering and Jahn-Teller distortion.

Recently, $W$ ilkins et alinin reported $m$ easurem ents of the tem perature dependence of the onbital scattering at the $\mathrm{Mn}_{2 ; 3}$ edge in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ which displayed a substantial decrease in the orbital scattering intensity, as the tem perature is raised, at the transition from the antiferrom agnetic to param agnetic phase. A nalogous behavior when crossing the
 at the Cu K edge (but the origin m ay not be the sam e). M ore recently, Staub et alur also presented $m$ easurem ents of the tem perature dependence of the orbital scattering at the $\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{L}_{2 ; 3}$ edge in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$, which showed a sm aller change at the N eel tem perature. Furtherm ore, based on a polarization analysis, they show ed that the orbital signalbelow the N eeltem perature includes a non negligible contribution ofm agnetic scattering from tw inned $m$ inority ferrom agnetic dom ains. H ow ever, it is still unclear whether this fully explains the tem perature dependence of the observed orbital signadin. In principle, the change in the $m$ agnetic con guration at the $N$ eeltem perature in the m a jority dom ain could also a ect the spectrum.

In this work, we address the direct in uence of the $m$ agnetic con guration on the orbital scattering spectra for a xed onbitally ordered con guration. A s a prototype system, we focus on $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$. We nd that the spin con guration has indeed an in uence on the onbital scattering, but $m$ ostly when the spins are noncollinear. For the $m$ agnetic scattering we nd that the spin con guration has a non-negligible in uence on the spectrum, and this even in the collinear CE structure. P revious studies generally considered spin oriented along the highest localsym $m$ etry axis of the $M n^{3+}$ crystallographic site. In order to allow an arbitrary orientation of the spin w ith respect to the localcrystal eld axis, we generalized the H annonTram $m$ ell form ulan for atom ic scattering, derived for spherical local site sym $m$ etry, by a system atic expansion in invariants of decreasing sym $m$ etry dow $n$ to $C_{i}$. $W$ e use these results to derive the scattering form ulae for di erent spin con gurations, including the polarization dependence. $F$ inally, we consider various types of spin $m$ isalignm ents and $m$ isorientations to
understand thee ect ofspin disorder on the orbitalspectrum of the param agnetic phase. W e separately discuss the e ect of the loss of spin order betw een the ab-planes at tem peratures just above $T_{N}$ and spin $m$ isalignm ents inside these planes at higher tem peratures.

This work is organized as follow s: in Section II we describe the system and the theoretical m ethod, while in Section III we present the scattering form ula in the $C_{i}$ sym $m$ etry, and its applications tow ards the description of the orbital and $m$ agnetic scattering in four special cases of spin arrangem ent. In Section $\mathbb{I V}$, we present the corresponding orbitaland $m$ agnetic spectra for $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ and address the in uence of the spin con guration, while in Section V we discuss our results in connection w ith the issue of the orbital spectrum of the param agnetic phase. Section VI gives the conclusions of the work, while two appendioes describe som e calculational details.

## II. DESCRITTON OF THE SYSTEM AND THEORETICALAPPROACH

In the present work, we focus our attention on the half doped $m$ anganites $w$ ith a low temperature CE antiferrom agnetic structure. As an exam ple, we consider the
 bitally ordered phase sets on upon cooling at 230 K . The disproportionation of the M n ions into the form al valences $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{3+}$ and $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{4+}$ is usually assum ed, while recent experim ents ${ }^{1-n-20^{2}}$ indicate that the charge di erence in $m$ anganite $m$ aterials is $m$ uch $s m$ aller than 1 . In the present atom ic-based approach, we approxim ate the charge on the $m$ anganese ions by the integral values of $3+$ and $4+$. The four (three) 3 electrons of the $M n^{3+}$ (the $\mathrm{M}^{4+}$ ) are under the strong in uence of the crystal eld. In the presence of the cubic ligand eld, the degenerate $d$ orbitals split into triple degenerate $t_{2 g}$ and doubly degenerate $e_{g}$ levels. C ooperative Jahn-Teller distortions of the $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{6}$ octahedra further reduce the sym m etry of the crystal eld to $D_{4 h}$ at the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ site and thus lift the orbital degeneracy. $\mathrm{t}_{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ level splits into $d_{x y}$ and doubly-degenerate $d_{x z i y z}$ orbitals, and $e_{g}$ into orbitals of the $d_{3 z^{2}} r^{2}$ and $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ type. Only one of the $e_{g}$ orbitals is occupied on the $M n^{3+}$ ions, leading to orbitalordering, i.e. an altemate arrangem ent of orbitals oriented along the a and $\tilde{\delta}$ axes on neighboring $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{n}^{3+} \mathrm{A}$ and B sites (see Fig. in in . . The antiferrom agnetic phase, characterized as the C E type structure, exists under 115 K . In this phase, the spins are lying in the ab-plane ${ }^{2} 1$, displaying ferrom agnetic ordering along spin-chains in the ab-plane (see Fig.'in: A B A and
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FIG.1: TheM nO 2 plane of $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ representing charge, onbitaland spin ordering for two di erent cases of spin orientation in the CE structure. C ase I: the spin and crystal axis $\tilde{0}$ enclose an angle $=45$ and C ase II: = 0 .T he dashed lines denote the ferrom agnetic spin chains. For reasons of clarity, the spins on the $\backslash M n^{4+} "$ ions are om itted. W e assum ed the $x^{2} \quad z^{2}=y^{2} \quad z^{2}$ type of onbital ordering ${ }_{-1}-1$, in the crystal coordinate system $w$ ith $x, y$, and $z$ along the $a, \tilde{x}$, and e axis, respectively.
$\mathrm{A}^{+} \quad \mathrm{B}^{+} \quad \mathrm{A}^{+}$spin chains, $\backslash+$ " and $\backslash-\overline{\text { " refer to the spin direction) and antiferrom agnetic }}$ ordering between the spin chains both in the ab-plane and along the c-axis.

F igure' consider in the present w ork. In C ase $I$, the spins are parallel to the $a+\bar{J}$ axis, corresponding


FIG. 2: The $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ plane of $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ representing charge, orbital and spin ordering for tw o hypothetical 90 -spin-canted structures.
to an angle $=45$ between the spin direction and the crystal. 5 axis. In C ase II, instead, the spins are parallel to the $\widetilde{0}$ axis $(=0)$.

Having in $m$ ind the param agnetic phase and the e ect of spin $m$ isorientations on the orbital spectrum, we will also consider two 90 -spin-canted structures, which are illustrated in $F$ ig. 'i.h. These structures, $\backslash A$ type canted" and $\backslash B$-type canted", are buill using local
 $A^{(+)}$) con gurations (spin parallel to the orbital) and the B-type canted using the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$
 atom ic building blocks. W e note that, for the orbital scattering, only $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ sites need to be considered. A lso, for the $m$ agnetic scattering of the CE structures, we will consider (as in the experim ents contribution.

We based our calculations of the RXS spectra on atom ic multiplet formulation in a crystal eld ${ }^{-212}$ the radial C oulomb (Slater) integrals $\mathrm{F}^{0 ; 2 ; 4}(\mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{d}), \mathrm{F}^{2}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{d}), \mathrm{G}^{1 ; 3}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{d})$ (direct and exchange contributions) and the spin-orbit interactions (2p) and (3d) for an isolated $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{3+}$ ion at 0 K. They are given in Table ${ }^{\text {IT. }}$. It is known that the strengths of the C oulomb integrals in a solid are reduced due to the screening e ects to about 70-80\% of the atom ic value The largest of these integrals are nearly an order ofm agnitude greater than the crystal eld
splittings. This fact justi es our choige of the atom ic multiplet approach in a crystal eld.

| $\mathrm{F}^{2}(\mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{F}^{4}(\mathrm{~d} ; \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{F}^{2}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{G}^{1}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{d}) \mathrm{G}^{3}(\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{d})$ |  |  |  |  | $(2 \mathrm{p})$ | $(3 \mathrm{~d})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $3 \mathrm{~d}^{4}$ | $11: 415$ | $7: 148$ |  |  |  |  |
| $2 \mathrm{p}^{5} 3 \mathrm{~d}^{5}$ | $12: 210$ | $7: 649$ | $6: 988$ | $5: 179$ | $2: 945$ | $7: 467$ |

TABLE I: The H artree Fock values for the ground and excited states of $M n^{3+}$ given in eV. The p-shell spin-orbit param eter (2p) has been increased by $9 \%$ from the HartreeFodk value to correspond to the experin ental value ${ }^{27]}$.

The treatm ent of the crystal eld in this $m$ odel is based on sym $m$ etry considerations. Starting from the transition $m$ atrix elem ents evaluated in the spherical point group, we low er the sym $m$ etry by the inclusion of a crystal eld, follow ing a \branching" ofpoint groups. For exam ple, the follow ing \branching" can be generated: $O_{3} \quad O_{h} \quad D_{4 h} \quad D_{2 h} \quad C_{i}$ és. For the calculation of the $m$ atrix elem ents in a given point group, the $W$ igner $£$ ckart theorem is used. In practice, this theorem is applied through a $m$ ultiplication of the $m$ atrix elem ent evaluated in the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ point group by a chain of $2-j$ and $3-j$ coe cients for each branching. This is im plem ented in a crystal eld code, which gives the energy spectrum and the dipole transition $m$ atrix elem ents necessary for the calculation of the scattering spectra. The values of the cubic ( $X^{400}$ ) and tetragonal ( $X^{220}$ ) crystal eld param eters are obtained from a $t$ to the experim ental RXS spectra. In the present case, we will use the values obtained in Ref. ${ }_{1} 1 \overline{1} 11$ for $L_{0}: 5 \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$. These values are $\mathrm{X}^{400}=5: 6 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{X}^{220}=3: 75 \mathrm{eV}$, and correspond to an $x^{2} \quad z^{2}=y^{2} \quad z$ type of orbital ordering (w th the $x, y$, and $z$ axes along a;ro and $e$, respectively). A ll the Slater integrals were scaled down to $75 \%$ of their atom ic values ${ }^{-2} \mathrm{~g}^{\prime} \cdot \underline{2}$. Furtherm ore, in order to sim ulate the ects of the spin ordering on an isolated ion (superexchange and direct exchange interactions), we introduce a m agnetic eld acting on the spin of the atom. This eld splits additionally the $S=2$ quintet into $S_{z}=2 ; 1 ;::: ; 2$ levels. Inclusion of the $m$ agnetic eld favors the $S_{z}=2$ level as the ground state of the atom. T he value of the Zeem an energy is set to 0.02 eV .

## III. SCATTERING FORM ULA

In the rst part of this section we describe atom ic scattering for a general orientation of the spin axes w ith respect to the local crystal eld axes. Thereafter, we apply the atom ic scattering formula to nd expressions for the orbital scattering of the four di erent spin structures considered and the $m$ agnetic scattering for the two di erent orientations of the spins in the antiferrom agnetic C E-phase.
A. System atic expansion of the atom ic scattering am plitude in invariants of decreasing sym m etry

To calculate a di raction spectrum, we rst evaluate the atom ic scattering from a single site and then add up the contributions of the atom s in the whole crystal. The type of order is selected by the scattering vector $q$ w hich describes the periodicity of the orbital, $m$ agnetic or charge order. Depending on the relative orientation of the localcrystal eld axis and the spin direction, the local sym m etry can change. In the case in which the crystal and spin axes are collinear, the site sym $m$ etry corresponds to the one of the crystal eld. W e use a tetragonal crystal eldin $\frac{12}{-2}$, described by the $D$ point group. If the spin direction, how ever, di ers from the crystal eld axis, the local sym metry can be as low as that of the $C_{i}$ point group.

Follow ing the approach by C arra and Tholen we write the scattering am plitude as a linear com bination of the product of pairs of tensors of increasing rank, which transform according to the irreducible representations of the spherical group $\mathrm{SO}_{3}$. To low er the sym $m$ etry to the $C_{i}$ point group, we need to branch each irreducible representation into subgroup of irreducible representations, follow ing the chain: $\mathrm{O}_{3} \quad \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{h}} \quad \mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~h}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{4 \mathrm{~h}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i}}$. Only the totally sym $m$ etric representations contribute to the atom ic scattering am plitude. If we stop the branching at the $C_{4 \mathrm{~h}}$ point group, we nd for the atom ic scattering am plitude the follow ing expression:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
e_{f} & \text { z) }
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
e & \hat{z}
\end{array}\right)\left(2 \mp ; 0 \quad F_{1 ; 1} \quad F_{1 ; 1}\right) ;\right. \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where represents a scattering coe cient $\stackrel{\overline{3} 12}{1}, \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the incom ing (outcom ing) photon
polarization, and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}} 0$ is de ned as:
wherem and $m{ }^{0}$ denote polarization states and $J_{m}^{1}$ are the electric dipole operators de ned in sphericalcoordinates. joi represents the ground statew ith energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ and jinian interm ediate state $w$ th energy $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The photon energy is h ! and represents the broadening due to the core-hole lifetime. The local coordinate system is chosen in such a way that the z axis corresponds to the highest local sym $m$ etry axis, which is also the quantization (spin) direction. Eq. 'ī1 is known as the $H$ annon-T ram $m$ el form ular 'a', which was derived for the spherical sym $m$ etry, and is applicable up to localsym $m$ etries as low as that of the $C_{4 h}$ point group. Low ering the sym $m$ etry to the $C_{2 h}$ point group, the follow ing expression for the atom ic scattering am plitude is found:
where $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$, and $\hat{z}$ are unit vectors corresponding to a local right C artesian coordinate system, w ith the $z$-axis de ned as above. F inally, assum ing no sym $m$ etry operation on the site, except the inversion, we obtain four additional term $s$ in the description of the atom ic scattering am plitude in the $C_{i}$ point group:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { z) (e } \underset{i}{\hat{Y}})\left(F_{1 ; 0}+F_{1 ; 0} \quad F_{0 ; 1} \quad F_{0 ; 1}\right)+{ }_{0} \\
& \text { z) (e } \hat{\mathrm{x}})\left(\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 0}+\mathrm{F}_{0 ; 1}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 0} \quad \mathrm{~F}_{0 ; 1}\right) \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{x}, \hat{y}$, and $\hat{z}$ are unit vectors of any right $C$ artesian coordinate system, but it is convenient to choose, also in this case, the local z-axis along the spin direction.

## B . O rb ital order scattering

In tetragonalCE structures, the wave vectors probing the antiferro onbital ordering are: $Q^{00}=\left(\frac{1+2 n}{4} \frac{m}{2} ; \frac{1+2 n}{4}+\frac{m}{2} ; l\right)$ in units of $\left(\frac{2}{a} ; \frac{2}{a} ; \frac{2}{c}\right)$, where $n, m$, and lare integers and $a ; c$ are the lattice constants. Taking into account the structure factors, the scattering am plitude for orbital wave vectors is proportional to the follow ing com bination of the atom ic scattering


FIG.3: The scattering plane with the de nition of the scattering and azim uthal angles and. $\widetilde{K}_{i}\left(\widetilde{K}_{f}\right)$ is the incident (outcom ing) photon wavevector, $\mathbb{q}=\widetilde{K}_{f} \widetilde{K}_{i}$. For the case of onbital order scattering $w$ th $q^{00}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$, the reference axes are: $\mathbb{U}_{1}=p_{\overline{1}}^{1}[110], \mathbb{w}_{2}=[001]$ and $u_{3}=p^{1} \frac{1}{2}[110]$ in the crystal coordinate system $a, \widetilde{b}$, $e$. For the $m$ agnetic scattering, $Q^{A F}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ and the follow ing reference axes are used: $u_{1}=\frac{1}{3}[111], u_{2}=p_{2}^{1}[110]$ and $u_{3}=\frac{1}{6}[112]$.
amplitudes at the $A^{(+)}$; and $B^{(+)}$sites: $f^{A+}+f^{A} \quad B^{+} \quad B^{B}$. In the soft $x$-ray experim entsintin the orbital order wave vector was taken as $\mathbb{Q}^{00}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$, and this is the w ave vector we will consider for the polarization analysis presented in the next parts of th is section. The equations will be presented using as reference system for the com ponents of the atom ic scattering tensors $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}}$ o the local coordinate system in which the z -axis rem ains in the spin direction, $x$ lies in the ab-plane and the $y$-axis is perpendicular to the ab-plane.

1. C ase I: $=45$

For the case when $=45$, it is usefulto note that starting from the con guration at site
 one can obtain the con gurations at the rem aining three sites. This sim pli es the num erical part of the caloulation, because it su ces to perform an atom ic calculation for just one site. The local sym $m$ etry of each site is described by the $C_{i}$ point group. From inspection of Eq. ['4. it can be seen that the only nonvanishing term s of the orbitalorder scattering are the ones
 term in the curly brackets in Eq. ' ${ }_{1}$ are reals A fter evaluation of the polarization factor we obtain the follow ing expression for the orbital order scattering am plitude:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\text {res }}^{00}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \cos \cos \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1 ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}+}+\mathrm{F}_{0 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}+} \quad \mathrm{F}_{0 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}++} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the case of ! ${ }^{0}$ or ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization. In Eq. ${ }^{5}-1$ the scattering and azim uthal angles and are de ned as shown in $F$ ig. $\cdot 1 \frac{1}{3}$, using as reference axes $\mathcal{T}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}[110], \psi_{2}=[001]$ and $u_{3}=\frac{1}{\overline{2}}[110]$ in the crystal coordinate system $a, \tilde{b}$, e. The scattering am plitude vanishes in the case of ! ${ }^{0}$ or ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization. The F term in parenthesis of Eq. ${ }_{-15}$ can be written in C artesian coordinates as $2 \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{xz}}$.

## 2. C ase II: $=0$

In the case when the angle is di erent from 45 , the sites A and B are inequivalent, since the spin encloses tw o di erent angles, $A$ and $B$, with the local crystal eld axis at sites A and B. Case II, with $=0$ in Fig. in illustrates the situation w ith the highest local site sym $m$ etry, when the spin is collinear with the crystal eld axis at site B ( $\mathrm{в}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ 0 ), and perpendicular to the local crystal eld axis at site A ( $\mathrm{A}=90$ ). The local site sym $m$ etries for the $B$ and $A$ sites are $C_{4 h}$ and $C_{2 h}$ respectively. For the evaluation of orbital scattering, we add the contributions from the four sites in the sam emanner as we did in the previous case. But, this tim e, we need to do atom ic calculations for two di erent sites, as there is no sym m etry operation relating sites A and B. For the sam e reason, there w ill be no cancellation of contributions from various term $s$, which we had previously, and the expression describing orbital order scattering am plitude $w$ ill have the follow ing form for polarized incident light:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { - } \\
& 8 \\
& \geqslant \\
& \stackrel{3}{8} \frac{1}{2} \cos \text { (sin } \sin \\
& \geqslant \sin ^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \cos ^{2} \\
& \text { cos ): } \\
& \stackrel{9}{2}_{h} \\
& \begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{h}^{2\left(\mathrm{~F}_{0 ; 0}^{\mathrm{A}+}\right.} & \mathrm{F}_{0 ; 0}^{\mathrm{B}+)^{i}}+ \\
9 & \\
\underline{\mathrm{Z}}_{\mathrm{h}} &
\end{array} \\
& \stackrel{\gtrless}{8} \frac{1}{2} \cos \cos \frac{1}{2} \cos \sin \sin 9  \tag{6}\\
& \begin{array}{ll}
\gtrless \frac{1}{2} \cos ^{2} \sin ^{2} & \geqq \mathrm{~h} \\
? \frac{1}{2} \cos (3 \sin \sin +\cos ) & \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+} \\
\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+}+\left(\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B+}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+1}\right)^{i} ;
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

where the upper expression in the curly brackets represents the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization part and the bottom one the ! ${ }^{0}$ contribution. The superscripts $A$ and $B$ denote the two di erent sites. The second term on the right hand side of Eq. ${ }_{-1}$ - is proportional to $F_{x x}+F_{y y}$ in the localC artesian coordinates and the third one to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xx}} \quad \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{yy}}$. The angles and are de ned in $F$ ig ${ }_{1}$ '⿹\zh4龴. . To sim plify the polarization factors, we evaluate the polarization dependence for the azim uthal angle, using the value $=0$, as in the experim ent (Ref. $1 \mathbf{i} \overline{0}$ ). Taking this into account, Eq. ' ${ }_{-1}$ - can be rew ritten in a sim pler form :
$w$ th $F_{x x}^{A(B)+}$ and $F_{z z}^{A(B)+}$ in the local C artesian coordinates. $W$ e note the im portant fact that in the $=0$ case, the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization factor is nonvanishing, in contrast to the $=45$ case.
In the case of incident polarization, the scattering am plitude reads:
where the upper (low er) expression in the curly brackets corresponds to the ! ${ }^{0}\left({ }^{0}\right.$ ) polarization channel. C onsidering again $=0$, we see that all three term $s$ on the right hand side of Eq. ${ }^{\text {g c contribute to the ! }}{ }^{0}$ scattering, while the expression for the ! 0 scattering is the same as in the case of the -polarized incident light.

## 3. C anted structures

The orbital scattering am plitude for the two canted structures in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1}$ in can be described by the follow ing expression $w$ ith the $F$ 's in the local C artesian coordinates:
assum ing as above $=0$, and using the sam e convention as in Eqs. polarization channels. For incident polarization, the scattering takes place exclusively in the ! ${ }^{0}$ channel.

## C. M agnetic scattering

W e perform ed a sim ilar analysis for the $m$ agnetic scattering of the antiferrom agnetic C E structures, considering the $w$ ave vector $\propto^{A F}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ which probes the antiferrom agnetic order of the $\mathrm{M}^{3+}$ atom s and was used in the experin ent ( $R$ ef. $1 \mathbf{1} \overline{-1}$ ). The corresponding $m$ agnetic scattering am plitude is proportional to: $f_{A F}=f^{A+}+f^{B+} \quad f^{A} \quad f^{B}$. In the case $=45$ the only contributing term is the second term on the right-hand side of Eq. and, therefore, the antiferrom agnetic scattering am plitude for incident -polarized light can be calculated from the expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{res}}^{\mathrm{AF} ; \mathrm{inc}}=2 \cos \cos \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+} \quad \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+} \quad ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the case $=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{res}}^{\mathrm{AF} ; \text { inc }}=\cos \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}}}{\left.\frac{2}{2} \cos +\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{3}}}{3} \sin \right)+\frac{\mathrm{P}_{\overline{6}}^{6}}{6} \sin { }^{\#} \mathrm{~F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+} \quad \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+} \quad \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+} \quad: ~} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angles and have been de ned as shown in Fig. 1 the reference axes: $\mathbb{U}_{1}=\frac{p^{1}}{3}[111], w_{2}=q^{\frac{1}{2}}[110]$ and $w_{3}=\frac{p^{1}}{\frac{1}{6}}$ [112] in the crystal coordinate system a, $\mathfrak{b}$, e. There is no ! ${ }^{0}$ contribution and the polarization factor in Eqs. $\overline{1} \overline{1} \underline{0}$, and 'in1 is evaluated for the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization. In the localC artesian coordinates, the $F$ factor

IV. $\mathbb{I N}$ FLUENCEOFTHESPIN ORIENATION ON THESCATTERINGSPECTRA

In Fig. 'īi, we com pare the calculated orbital order spectra of the CE structures with the two di erent spin orientations, $=0$ and $=45$, displayed in F ig. I . C . W e present the spectra for and incident polarization evaluated using the equations derived in the previous section. In the case of the incident polarization, panel a) displays the spectrum for the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization, panelb) for the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization, while the sim ulated experin ental situation w ithout a polarization analyzer for the outcom ing photons is presented in
panelc). Sim ilarly, for the incident polarization, we present the ! ${ }^{0}$ scattering channel on panel a), ! ${ }^{0}$ on panelb) and the average of the two in panelc). The polarization


FIG. 4: (C olor online) Theoretical orbital order spectra of the CE structures with the two dierent spin orientations $=45$ (black line) and 0 (light green line) calculated for and incident polarizations. Di erent panels represent the polarization channels: for the incident a)
! 0, b) ! 0 and c) total, and for the incident a) ! ${ }^{0}$, b) ! ${ }^{0}$ and c) total The experim ental spectrum (dashed red line) for incident polarization is reproduced from Ref. 'īİ.
dependence was calculated for the actual experim ental angles: $=62.9$ and $=0$ lion. We used $=0: 5 \mathrm{eV}$ for the broadening due to the core-hole lifetim e and the scattering intensity was convoluted w ith a G aussian of w idth 0.1 eV to sim ulate the experim ental (energy) resolution.

The most signi cant di erence between the spectra for the two angles are the nonvanishing ! ${ }^{0}$ and ! ${ }^{0}$ contributions for the 0 alignm ent. For this angle and in the case of the incident polarization, the scattering for the photon energies of the $L_{3}$ edge is very sm all com pared to the scattering at the $L_{2}$ edge. For the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization, the spectra for 0 and 45 alignm ents are identical, both in shape and $m$ agnitude. Sim ilarly, for the incident polarization, the ! ${ }^{0}$ contribution is much sm aller than for the ! 0
scattering channel, the spectrum ofwhich is again identical to the one obtained for $=45$. $T$ his can be explained by considering a linear expansion of $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{A}(B)}$ around the angle $=45$. It can be show $n$ (the details are given in A ppendix B ) that the rst order corrections of four di erent $F$ term $s$ in Eq. ITI' cancel exactly in the case of collinear spins, i.e. when the angle A at the site A can be expressed as A $=90$ B leaving just the zeroth order term which equals the scattering am plitude in the case of 45 . In the situation where both polarization channels contribute w ith the sam e weight, [panel c)], for the polarized incident light, the 0 spectrum has sm aller $L_{3} / L_{2}$ ratio because of the contribution from the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization which is signi cant only at the $L_{2}$ edge. In the case of the incident polarization, the branching ratio slightly increases for the unpolarized situation, as the ! ${ }^{0}$ contribution at the $L_{3}$ edge adds up to the $m$ ain peak of the ! ${ }^{0}$ polarization, while the $L_{2}$ contribution causes appearance of a new sm all feature in the unpolarized spectrum .

C onsidering only the situation c) (no outcom ing polarization analysis), the orbital spectra calculated for the $=0$ and $=45$ structures show sim ilar agreem ent w th the experim ental spectrum in Fig. $\overline{4} \mathrm{C}$ ). In this situation, one cannot discrim inate between the two cases. Very recently, how ever, experim ents with a polarization analysis were perform ed on $\mathrm{La}_{0}: 5 \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO} 4$ (Ref. 'İ-1), and no detectable orbital scattering was observed for the ! ${ }^{0}$ channel. Based on this observation, we conclude that the spin orientation in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ should correspond to an angle equalto, or very close to, the value of 45 , since a m easurable ! ${ }^{0}$ com ponent ${ }^{133}$ ² should be present otherw ise (see also A ppendix A).

The $m$ agnetic spectra for the two CE structures are com pared in $F$ ig. . ${ }_{-15}^{15}$. The $m$ ain di erence between the $=0$ and $=45$ cases is the decrease of the $L_{3} / L_{2}$ branching ratio in the case $=0.0$ therw ise, the spectra have a sim ilar shape. W e note that $=45$ is also the value which was used in Ref. 1 in 1 to exam ine the e ect of the Jahn-Teller distortion on the orbitaland $m$ agnetic spectra of $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$. T he experim ental orbitaland m agnetic scattering spectra and ts for $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ have been presented and discussed in Ref .伍

Our nding that
45 diens from the estim ate of Staub et al.' ${ }^{\text {'ig }}$ who predicted
$=10 \quad 5$, based on a $t$ focused on the contribution of $m$ inority ferrom agnetic dom ains to the observed orbital scattering. W e note, however, that the angle in the m inority ferrom agnetic dom ains and in the $m$ a jority $C E$ dom ains $m$ ay not be necessarily the sam $e$.

To illustrate further the im portance of taking into account the proper spin arrangem ent


FIG. 5: (C olor online) Theoretical magnetic order spectra of the CE structures for the two di erent angles enclosed by the spin and $\tilde{\mathrm{b}}$ axes: $=45$ (black line) and 0 (light green line). The experim ental spectrum (dashed red line) is reproduced from Ref. 1


F IG . 6: (C olor online) Theoretical spectra of the orbital scattering for the A type (black line) and B-type (light green line) 90 -spin-canted structures, displayed in F ig. $1 \overline{2}$.
(and all four di erent scattering sites), we calculated the orbital scattering from the canted spin structures, in Fig.'ī_ The orbital spectra for the tw o canted structures are displayed in Fig.'I-1. It is clear that these tw o con gurations yield spectra largely di erent from the experim entaland theoreticalspectra for $=45$, and that the calculation of the orbitalscattering
in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1.5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$, as shown in F ig. ' ${ }_{-1}$, should not be approxim ated by the consideration of only one site of high symmetry, A or B. At the sam e tim e, even from a quidk inspection of F ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ ' $-\overline{1}$, one readily concludes that the tw o spectra are signi cantly di erent from each other. Yet, the only di erence in their calculation was the spin arrangem ent. From this, we conclude that changing the spin arrangem ent can have a drastic in uence on the orbital spectnum. Thism ust be related, of course, in som ew ay to the spin-orbit interaction. To better identify the origin of this e ect, we perform ed calculationsw ith either (i) the d spin-orbit param eter set to zero or (ii) the p-d interaction set to zero. C alculations (i) yielded only $m$ inute changes to the spectra. C alculations (ii), instead, yielded $m$ a jor changes, resulting in two virtually identical spectra for the A and B canted structures. Therefore, we conclude that the sensitivity to the spin direction com es from the combined e ect of the spin-orbit interaction in the p-shell and the p-d C oulom.b interaction, through which p-electrons feel the crystal eld ${ }^{5 \times 2,4}$.
V. EFFECT OF DIFFERENT TYPESOFSP IN M ISORIENTATIONSON THE ORBITALSPECTRUM IN THEPARAMAGNETICPHASE
$W$ ith the increase of tem perature $T$ above $T_{N}$, spin ordering is rst lost along the $\mathrm{C}^{-}$ axis, while the in-plane correlations reduce gradually until the charge and onbital ordering tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{CO}}=230 \mathrm{~K}$, at which they vanish com pletely $\mathrm{y}^{-18}$. . B ased on the results from the previous sections, we discuss the in uence of various spin $m$ isorientations on the orbital spectrum in the param agnetic phase for tem peratures $T_{N} \quad T \quad T_{C O}$, when there are still correlations inside the ab plane. Random ness is expected to be mostly in the spin orientation between the planes, while the antiferrom agnetic ordering inside the planes is assum ed to be less in uenced. In the present work we do not consider any change in the orbital con gurations within the crystal. We calculate the scattering contributions at $\mathrm{q}=\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0\right)$ from $C E$ ab-planes $w$ ith di erent spin orientations for the angles belonging to the class of $=45+n \quad 90$ ( $n$ integer), which we de ned as C (45) , and the class of $=0+\mathrm{n} 90, \mathrm{C}(0)$, i.e. for $=0,45,90,135,180225,270$ and 315 . These planes are stacked in a random order, but assum ing an equal proportion of each of them. In this case, the scattering am plitude is given by the average of the scattering am plitudes of the $=45,135,0$, and 90 cases. The corresponding orbital spectrum is shown in panela) of F ig. 1 in, for the incident polarization. $W$ e see that the spectrum does not change


FIG.7: (C olor online) C om parison of the theoretical onbital scattering spectra for $=45$ (black line), and for con gurations w ith di erent types of spin $m$ isorientations in the param agnetic case (light green line), described in the text.
w ith respect to the spectrum obtained for the CE phase ( $=45$ ). This is because the
! ${ }^{0}$ scattering am plitudes for $=0 ; 45 ; 90$, and 135 are all equal, and $w$ ith an equal am ount of $=0$ and $=90$ layers, the atom ic scattering amplitude for the ! 0 scattering com ponent vanishes, reducing the spectrum to the ! ${ }^{0}$ scattering com ponent. Furtherm ore, assum ing also a loss of coherence in the spin orientation betw een ferrom agnetic chains w ithin the ab-plane and a random occurrence of the angles belonging to the classes C (45 ) and C (0 ) for the ferrom agnetic chains, the scattering am plitude can also be show $n$ to be that corresponding to the average of the $=0,=90$, and $=45$ amplitudes.

H ence, to produce a signi cant change in the orbitalscattering, it is necessary to consider other changes (w ith a higher degree of $m$ isorientation) in the spin con gurations, such as canted \ferrom agnetic" chains. W e nd, how ever, that an equal am ount of A and B-type canted con gurations yields a spectnum identical to the one for the CE phase, and that it is necessary to consider a di erent am ount of the two canted structures to change the spectrum . T he orbital scattering spectrum for the case when $50 \%$ of the sites corresponds
to the con gurations described w ith C (45), $10 \%$ to the A-type canted and $40 \%$ to the B-type canted con gurations is shown in b) panel of $F$ ig. iti. T he spectrum is characterized by the reduction of intensity of the rst two peaks at the $L_{2}$ edge, as well as of the low energy peak at the $L_{3}$ edge. The experim ental data of $W$ ikins et alr indicate a large change of intensity at the $L_{3}$ peak, a weaker one for the two low-energy peaks at the $L_{2}$ edge, and virtually no change for the high-energy $L_{2}$ peak. A qualitatively sim ilar trend can be deduced from com paring the orbital spectra at 40 K and 160 K , m easured by Staub et alit', for which the largest intensity reduction occurs at the $L_{3}$ edge and the low-energy peaks at the $L_{2}$ edge, while the intensity of the highest-energy feature changes $m$ uch less. A large change in the intensity of the $m$ ain peak at the $L_{3}$ edge of the orbital spectrum at the $N$ eel tem perature could result from the $m$ agnetic scattering of the $m$ inority ferrom agnetic dom ains, which would disappear above $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{N}}$ tit. A sim ilare ect, i.e. a signi cant reduction of the $m$ ain peak at the $L_{3}$ edge and alm ost negligible changes of the other peaks, could also result from a decrease in the Jahn-Teller distortion'in'. H ow ever, the change in intensity of the other peaks is di cult to explain w ith the above e ects, and F ig. could be accounted for by a change in the spin con guration.
VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we analyzed the dependence of the scattering spectra on the spin con guration in $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1: 5} \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4}$ for a xed orbitally ordered oon guration. W e considered an arbitrary spin direction w ith respect to the localcrystal eld axis, yielding a low localsym m etry, which necessitated a general scattering form ula written for the $C_{i}$ point group. W e generalized the $H$ annon-T ram $m$ el form ula for the atom ic scattering am plitude by a system atic expansion in invariants of decreasing sym $m$ etry up to $C_{i}$ and im plem ented it in the fram ew ork of atom ic multiplet calculations in a crystal eld. W e discussed in detail the cases of four di erent spin structures.

W e rst considered two antiferrom agnetic CE structures with spins oriented either along the $\tilde{\sigma}$ axis or along an axis at an angle $=45$ relative to the $\tilde{5}$ axis, $w$ thin the ab-plane. T he latter structure has the highest sym $m$ etry (all sites are related by sym $m$ etry operations) and has only the ! ${ }^{0}$ orbital scattering for incident light which is con $m$ ed by recent experim ents which found no ! ${ }^{0}$ scattering com ponent. For the consideration of the

CE structure with spins aligned with the lattioe vector 5 ( $=0$ ), one needs to include an equalam ount of sites which have spins either at 0 or at 90 w ith respect to the local crystal eld axis in order to keep a collinear spin con guration. Orbital scattering in the ! 0 channel for the cases $=45$ and $=0$ yields identical spectra, but in the latter case the scattering also takes place in the ! ${ }^{0}$ channel, in contrast to the experim ental situation. Sim ilarly, for the incident polarization, there is a nonvanishing ! ${ }^{0}$ contribution, and the spectrum of the ! ${ }^{0}$ channel is identical to the one for $=45$. For the $m$ agnetic scattering, the spectrum of the con guration $w$ ith $=45$ displays a som ew hat larger $L_{2} / L_{3}$ ratio. From the com parison $w$ th experim ent, we conclude that in the $\mathrm{La}_{0: 5} \mathrm{Sr}_{1}: 5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{nO}_{4} \mathrm{CE}$ phase the angle between the spins and $\widetilde{b}$ axis is equal, or very close to, 45 .

W e then also exam ined two 90 -spin-canted structures obtained by considering only sites having the spin direction either at 0 or at 90 from the localcrystal eld axis. The spectra di er greatly in the two cases, and also di er from those obtained for the antiferrom agnetic CE structures. From the consideration of these structures, we thus conclude that the spin con guration can have a drastic in uence on the orbital spectrum. The largest di erences caused by the spin con guration on the orbital spectra are observed in the presence of $m$ axim al disproportionation between spins parallel and penpendicular to the local crystal eld axis.

F inally, we also discussed the changes observed w ith tem perature, in the orbitalspectrum of the param agnetic phase, considering the e ect of various types of spin $m$ isorientations w ith respect to the CE structure. W e rst exam ined the e ect of an incoherence in the spin orientation between the ab-planes and then also between the ferrom agnetic chainsw ithin the ab-planes, considering ferrom agnetic chains w ith spin directions corresponding to $=0$, $45,90,135,180,225,270$, and 315 in equal proportion. This was found to have a negligible e ect on the onbital spectrum. We then exam ined the e ect of a disorder in the spin direction $w$ ithin the ferrom agnetic chains, considering the contributions of di erent types of 90 -spin-canted chains with more spins parallel than penpendicular to the local crystal eld axis. This resulted in a spectrum with no change in the highest-energy peak, both at the $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ edges, but a reduced intensity of allother peaks. In view ofexplaining the $m$ easured tem perature dependence of the orbital scattering intensity, the changes of the spectrum caused by the spin $m$ isorientations can com plem ent the e ects which would arise due to the presence of possible $m$ inority ferrom agnetic dom ains or a reduction in the Jahn-

Teller distortion, which w ould $m$ ostly a ect the highest-energy peak at the $\mathrm{L}_{3}$ edge.

APPENDIX A: ORBITALAND MAGNETIC SCATTERING FORAN ARBITRARY ANGLE BETW EEN THE SP IN AND LATTICEAXES

Previously, we discussed the scattering in the CE structure when the in-plane spin and lattice axes enclose angles of 0 or 45 . At this point, we present the expression for the orbital scattering am plitude in the case of an arbitrary angle between the spin axis and lattioe vector $\tilde{\text { Of for }}$-polarized incident light:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\left[\underset{8}{\sin 2} \sin \left(\cos ^{2}+1\right)+\sin 2 \cos \cos \right]_{9}{ }^{i}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+} \mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{A}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 0}^{\mathrm{B}+}+\mathrm{F}_{0 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+}+\mathrm{F}_{1 ; 0}^{\mathrm{B}+} \quad \mathrm{F}_{0 ; 1}^{\mathrm{B}+}\right) \text {; } \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used $=45$ and the de ntions of and from $F$ ig ${ }_{-1}^{1}$. W e rem ind the reader that the $F_{m, m} \circ$ spherical tensor com ponents are de ned $w$ ith respect to the spin axis, and that their values change w th the angle. W e also note that there is an additional term in the scattering am plitude (the last term in the above equation), w ith respect to Eq.i-i, which does not vanish in the general case. In C artesian coordinates this term is proportional to $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xz}}+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{zx}}$. C onsidering, e.g., the azim uthal angle $=0$, we note that there is a ! 0 scattering com ponent for all angles $0 \quad 90$, except for $=45$.

In the case of $m$ agnetic scattering, there are no additional term $s$ (com pared to Eqs. 1 and (1드﹎) contributing to the scattering. The scattering alw ays takes place only in the ! 0 channel.

APPENDIX B: LINEAR EXPANSION OF Fmm。AROUND A(B) $=45$

If we denote the angle between the spin and the local crystal eld axis at site A (B) as
 expand the tensor $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ 。 de ned in Eq. ${ }^{2},{ }^{\prime}$, as a function of the angle A around 45 in the follow ing way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{m ; m 0}^{A}(A)=F_{m ; m 0}^{A}(45)+{\left.\frac{F_{m ; m}^{A} 0(A}{A}\right)}_{A}^{A}=45 A_{A}^{A} 45\right)+O\binom{2}{A}: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sam e expansion is valid for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m} 0}^{\mathrm{B}} 0$. Therefore, for the $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xx}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{zz}}^{\mathrm{B}}$ from Eq. $\mathrm{i}_{1}$, we can w rite:

$$
F_{x x}^{A}(A)=F_{x x}^{A}(45)+{\frac{F_{X X}^{A}\left(~_{A}\right.}{A}}_{A}^{A=45}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 45 \tag{B2}
\end{array}\right)+O\binom{2}{A} ;
$$

where x is the coordinate along $\mathfrak{a}$ and z the coordinate along $\mathfrak{\nwarrow} \widetilde{\mathrm{J}}$. W e can also w rite:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{F}_{z z}^{B}(B) & =F_{z z}^{B}(45)+{\frac{F_{z z}^{B}(B)}{B}}_{B=45} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & 45
\end{array}\right)+O\binom{2}{B}  \tag{B3}\\
& =F_{x x}^{A}(45)+{\frac{F_{X x}^{A}(A)}{A}}_{A=45}(45 \\
A
\end{array}\right)+O\binom{2}{A} ;
$$

 $\frac{\mathrm{F}_{z z}^{B}(\mathrm{~B})}{\mathrm{B}}{ }_{\mathrm{B}=45}$, because the atom ic scattering tensor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{A}}\left({ }_{\mathrm{A}}=45+\quad{ }_{A}\right)$ at the A site is equivalent to the atom ic scattering tensor $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{B}}\left(\mathrm{B}=45+{ }_{\mathrm{A}}\right)$ at the B site through a rotation of 180 about the $a+\widetilde{T}$ axis. In the intensity expression, $E q$. ${ }_{i} \bar{i}_{1}, F_{x x}^{A}$ and $F_{z z}^{B}$ are
 $m$ agnitude and opposite signs. The sam e can be shown for the rem aining term s from Eq. ${ }^{1} \bar{n}_{1}$, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{zz}}^{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xx}}^{\mathrm{B}}$, thus proving that the rst order term s in the expression for the intensity all vanish, leaving only the zeroth order term equal to the 45 contribution. W e also tested the assum ption of linear dependence of $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}} 0$ on the angle around 45 by checking num erically the linear-order result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{x x}^{A}\left(A_{A}=0\right)+F_{x x}^{A}\left(A_{A}=90\right)=2 F_{x x}^{A}(=45) ; \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and con $m$ ed that the dependence $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}} \circ(\mathrm{O}$ ) is described well (w ithin the num erical noise) w ith the expansion up to the rst order.

## ACKNOW LEDGMENTS

W e are grateful to P. C arra for helpful discussions and his assistance in leaming how to use the C ow an and \R acah" codes. W e acknow ledge stim ulating discussions w ith G.

Trim archi.
${ }^{1}$ Y.M oritom O, A. A sam itsu, H . K uw ahara, and Y. Tokura, N ature 380, 141 (1996).
2 J.B.G oodenough, Phys. Rev. 100, 564 (1955).
3 I. S.Elm ov, V. I. A nisim ov, and G.A.Sawatzky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 4264 (1999).
${ }^{4}$ M . Benfatto, Y . Joly, and C.R.N atoli, P hys. Rev. Lett. 83, 636 (1999).
5 P. Benedetti, J. vandenB rink, E. P avarini, A. V igliante, and P. W ochner, P hys. Rev. B 63, 060408 (R) (2001).
${ }^{6}$ S.B.W ilkins, P.D.H atton, M . D. R oper, D. P rabhakaran, and A. T. B oothroyd, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 187201 (2003).
7 S. S. D hesi, A. M irone, C. DeN adai, P . O hresser, P . Bencok, N . B . B rookes, P . R eutler, A. R evcolevschi, A. Tagliaferri, O. Toulem onde, et al, P hys. R ev. Lett. 92, 056403 (2004).

8 K . J. Thom as, J. P. Hill, S. G renier, Y.J. K im , P. A bbam onte, L. Venem a, A . R . Y . Tom ioka, Y. Tokura, D . F.M dM orrow, G. Saw atzky, et al, P hys. Rev. Lett. 92, 237204 (2004).

9 U . Staub, V . Scagnoli, A. M . M ulders, K . K atsum ata, Z. H onda, H . G rim m er, M. H orisberger, and J.M . Tonnerre, Phys. Rev. B 71, 214421 (2005).
10 S.B.W ilkins, P.D.Spencer, P.D. H atton, S.P.C ollins, M.D.R oper, D. P rabhakaran, and A.T.B oothroyd, P hys. Rev. Lett. 91, 167205 (2003).
 hakaran, A . T . B oothroyd, P . D . H atton, and M . A Harelli, P hys. R ev. B 71, 245102 (2005).
12 C.W . M . C astleton and M . A ltarelli, Phys. R ev. B 62, 1033 (2000).
13 Y. M urakam i, J. P. H ill, D. G ibbs, M. B hum e, I. K oyam a, M. Tanaka, H . K aw ata, T.A rim a, Y. Tokura, K . H irota, et al, Phys. R ev. Lett. 81, 582 (1998).

14 L. P aolasini, R . C aciu O, A . Sollier, P . G higna, and M . A ltarelli, P hys. R ev. Lett. 88, 106403 (2002).

15 R . C aciu O, L. P aolasini, A. Sollier, P . G higna, E . P avarini, J. vandenB rink, and M.A ltarelli, Phys. Rev.B.65, 174425 (2002).
16 J.P.H annon, G.T.Tram m ell, M. B lum e, and D. G ibbs, P hys. R ev. Lett. 61, 1245 (1988).
17 S. Larochelle, A.M ehta, L. Lu, P.K.Mang, O.P.Vajk, N. K aneko, J. W . Lynn, L. Zhou, and M . G reven, P hys. R ev. B 71, 024435 (2005).
18 B. J. Stemlieb, J. P. H ill, U . C. W ildgruber, G . M . Luke, B . N adhum i, Y. M oritom O, and Y. Tokura, Phys. R ev. Lett. 76, 2169 (1996).

19 S.G renier, J.P.H ill, D.G ibbs, K.J.Thom as, M.V.Zimmerm ann, C.S.N elson, V . K iryukhin, Y. Tokura, Y. Tom ioka, D. C asa, et al, Phys. Rev. B 69, 134419 (2004).

20 J. H erreroM artin, J. G arcia, G. Subias, J. B lasco, and M. C oncepcionSanchez, P hys. Rev. B 70, 024408 (2004).
21 T here is experim ental indication for the spin direction in the [210]-direction $n^{-18}$. . H ow ever, in the present study, we will consider tw o di erent spin orientations and, on the basis of theoretical considerations and indirect experim ental evidence, propose the altemative spin arrangem ent.
${ }^{22}$ G.van der Laan and B.T.Thole, Phys. Rev. B 43, 13401 (1991).
23 F. de G root, C oordin. C hem. Rev. 249, 31 (2005).
${ }^{24}$ R.D. C owan, J. Opt. Soc.Am.58, 808 (1968).
25 R. D. C ow an, The Theory of A tom ic Structure and Spectra (U niversity of C alifomia P ress, B erkeley, 1981). (1990). U niversity of C alifomia, B erkeley, C A 94720, 2001).

29 M . A bbate, F . M . F. de G root, J. C . Fuggle, A . Fu j̈m ori, O . Strebel, F . Lopez, M . D om ke, G. K aindl, G . A . Sa̦w atzky, M . Takano, et al., Phys. R ev. B 46, 4511 (1992).

30 S.Larochelle et allin nd a sm all orthornom bic eld ( $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ point group). W e approxim ate it by the tetragonal eld, as our calculations including the orthorhom bic contributions to the crystal eld $\frac{111}{-}$ did not show any signi cant changes $w$ ith respect to the crystal eld of the $C 4 h$ sym $m$ etry.
31 P. C arra and B.T.Thole, Rev. of M od. Phys. 66, 1509 (1994).
32 Them atrix elem ents from Eq.i'i are evaluated for the spherical sym m etry case, yielding either a nite real or zero value. B y repeated application of the $W$ igner $\ddagger$ ckart theorem (m ultiolication w ith the corresponding 2-j and 3-j coe cient) these m atrix elem ents are \low ered" to the nal sym $m$ etry. A 112 -jand 3-jcoe cients in the applied sym $m$ etry branching are realand, therefore, the nalm atrix elem ents and F's itself are real.
33 The atom ic scattering am plitudes of the con gurationswith $=0$ and $=90$ are the sam e for the ! $\quad$, and of opposite sign for the ! 0 scattering channel. The coexistence of both con gurations in dom ain structures $m$ ight lead to the conclusion that also in this case the
! ${ }^{0}$ scattering com ponent vanishes. H ow ever, we note that considering also the dom ains corresponding to the two equivalent $A \quad B \quad A \quad B \quad::$ and $B \quad A \quad B \quad A \quad::$ arrangem ents of orbitally ordered planes along a+ $\bar{t}$, which have, in principle, identical probability to occur, the $=0$ and $=90$ dom ains are expected to give contributions to the scattering am plitude which are out of phase w ith each other. T heir signals, therefore, should be added on the level of intensity, thus keeping the sam e proportion of the ! ${ }^{0}$ scattering com ponent in the total signal, as in the case of $=0$ (or $=90$ ).
34 W hen the p-d interaction is vanishing, and the d spin-oroit interaction is zero, the spin of the $d$ electrons, $S_{d}$, and its rotations com m ute $w$ th the $H$ am ittonian. A s a result, the scattering am plitude does not depend on the spin direction. O $n$ the other hand, when the p-d interaction is not van ishing, $S_{d}$ and its rotations do not com $m$ ute anym ore w ith the $H$ am iltonian. Furtherm ore, we note that, for the ground state, the total spin of the $p$-and $d$-electrons, $S$ tot, also coincides w ith the d-electron spin. H ow ever, the $H$ am iltonian is not invariant under the rotations of the total spin (because of the d-crystal eld and the p spin-oroit interaction). Therefore, in the presence of p-d interaction, the scattering am plitude depends, in general, on the direction of the spin of the ground state.

