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A theoretical investigation is presented of the statistics of excitons in quantum dots ( $Q D$ s) of di erent sizes. A form alism is developed to build the excition creation operator in a dot from the single exciton wavefunction and it is shown how this operator evolves from purely ferm ionic, in case of a sm all QD, to purely bosonic, in case of large Q D s. N onlinear optical em ission spectra of sem iconductorm icrocavities containing single $Q D$ s are found to exh ibit a peculiarm ultiplet structure which reduces to M ollow triplet and R abidoublet in ferm ionic and bosonic lim its, respectively.

PACS num bers: 71.35.-y, 71.36.+ c, $71.10 \mathrm{Pm}, 73.21 \mathrm{La}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

Sem iconductor quantum dots $(Q D \text { s })^{\bar{n}^{1}} \mathbf{L}^{1}$ are a leading technology for the investigation of the quantum realm. They o er exciting possibilities for quantum com putation and are im portant candidates for the next generation of light em itters. In $m$ ost cases, the best control of the states of the con ned carriers in QDs is obtained through coupling to light ${ }^{2}$. T his light-m atter interaction can be considerably enhan,oed by including the dot in a m icrocavity, with pillans ${ }^{3}$, photonic crystal ${ }^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and m icrodisk ${ }^{\text {s. }}$
 structures, of vacuum eld Rabi splitting, whereby one excitation is transferred back and forth betw een the light and the $m$ atter elds. This contrapsts w ith the weak coupling regim e previously studied 'ral' ${ }^{\prime \prime \prime}$ ' where only quantitative perturbations of the dynam ics occur, such as reductions in the lifetim es of the dot excitations (P urcell effect). In the case of strong coupling, how ever, the coherent exchange of energy $m$ erges the light and $m$ atter excitations into a new entity. This is com $m$ only referred to as an exciton-polariton in sem iconductor physics, ${ }^{8}$ w ith an im portant exam ple being the two-dim ensionalpolaritons in planarm icrocavities, rst observed by $W$ eisbuch et all in cavity quantum electrodynam ics ( $Q$ ED ), the equivalent concept is the dressed state of atom s by the quantised electrom agnetic eld.

In Q D S, optical interband excitations create electronhole pairs or excitons, con ned by a three-dim ensional potential which $m$ akes their energy spectrum discrete. If this potential is m uch stronger than the bulk excition binding energy, and if the size of the dot is sm aller than the corresponding exciton B ohr radius, the C oulom b interaction betw een electrons and holes can be considered as a perturbation. For the low est exciton states, this is the ferm ionic lim it where the Pauli exclusion principle dom inates. In the opposite lim it, if the con ning potential is w eak or the size of the dot ism uch greater than the exciton B ohr radius, the exciton is quantized as a whole particle. In this case, the bosonic nature of excitions is
expected to prevail over ferm ionic nature of individual electrons and holes. A n im portant question for the description of em ission from QD s em bedded into cavities in the strong coupling regim $e$ is whether the dot excitations coupled to light behave like ferm ions or like bosons. H ere we address the question of which statistics (B oseEinstein, Ferm i-D irac or a variation thereof) best describes excitons in QD s. This is a question which is very topical in view of the recent experim ental achievem ents, and which has elicited substantial theoretical works in the past, in connection with the possibility of exciton B ose condensation.

In this paper we derive the exciton creation operator in a QD which allow s the calculation of nonlinear optical spectra of Q D s in $m$ icrocavities. The m odel we develop takes into account the saturation of the transition due to Pauliexclusion alone and does not attem pt to solve the com plex m anybody problem which arises w hen C oulom b interactions between excitons are included. H ence the $m$ odel is $m$ ost accurate in describing the departure from ideal bosonic behaviour in large dots rather than near the ferm ionic lim it in sm all dots. W e analyze the dot size e ect on the statistics of excitons and dem onstrate the transition from the ferm ionic to bosonic regim e. To $m$ otivate this, we begin by sum $m$ arizing how the coupling of light $m$ odes $w$ th ferm ionic and bosonic $m$ aterial excitations di er.

The Rabi doublet, with splitting am plitude 2 hg as shown on F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}^{1} 1(\mathrm{a})$, is well accounted for theoretically by the coupling w ith strength hg of tw o quantized oscillators a and bb both obeying B ose algebra,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[a ; a^{y}\right]=1 ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and equivalently for b . W e shalldescribe this well known and elem entary case in detail as it provides the foundation for $m$ ost of $w$ hat follow s. N eglecting o resonant term $s$ like $a^{Y} b^{y}$, the ham iltonian reads ${ }^{19}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h}!\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} a+\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}\right)+\mathrm{hg}\left(\mathrm{ab}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~b}\right): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e assum ed degenerate energies $h$ ! for the tw o oscillators, which will not a ect our qualitative results, while
sim plifying considerably the analytical expressions．O ne oscillator，say a，describes the light eld while the other， b，describes a bosonic $m$ atter eld．The analysis of can be $m$ ade directly in the bare state basis $\ddot{H} ; j i \mathrm{w}$ ith i excitations in them atter eld and $j$ in the photonic eld， $i, j 2 \mathrm{~N}$ ．The value of this approach is that the ex－ citation，loss and dephasing processes generally pertain to the bare particles．For instance $m$ atter excitations are usually created by an extemalsource（pum ping）and light excitations can be lost by transm ission through the cavity $m$ irror．$T$ his physics is best expressed in the bare states basis．

On the other hand（i）assum es a straightforw ard ex－ pression in the basis of so－called dressed states which di－ agonalises the H am iltonian to read：

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=(h!\quad h g) \rho^{y} p+(h!+h g) q^{y} q \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p^{y}$ and $q^{y}$ create a coherent supenposition of bare states，respectively in and out of phase：

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}=(\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b})=\stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{2} ; \quad \mathrm{q}=(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{~b}) \stackrel{\mathrm{p}}{=} \overline{2}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For clarity we shall note $\ddot{\mu} ; \mathbf{j} \boldsymbol{i}$ the dressed states，i．e．， the eigenstates of $(\overline{3} \overline{1})$ w ith $i$ dressed particles of en－ ergy h！hg and j jof energy h！＋hg．The manifold of states w th n excitations thus reads，in the dressed states basis：

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}=f \ddot{H} ; j \text { jil ; } i ; j 2 N \text { with } i+j=n g \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its energy diagram appears on the left of $F$ ig． ifolds w ith zero（vacuum），one，two and seven excita－ tions．W hen an excitation escapes the system while in m anifold $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ ，a transition is m ade to the neighbouring m anifold $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$ and the energy di erence is carried aw ay， either by the leaking out of a cavity photon，or through exciton em ission into a radiative $m$ ode other than that of the cavity，or a non－radiative process．T he detailed anal－ ysis of such processes requires a dynam ical study，but as the cavity mode radiation spectra can be com puted w ith the know ledge of only the energy leveldiagram s，we shall keep our analysis to this level for the present work． $T$ he im portant feature of this dissipation is that，though such processes involve a or b（rather than $p$ or $q$ ），they nevertheless still result in rem oving one excitation out of one of the oscillators．$H$ ence only transitions from $\bar{j} ; \mathbf{j} j i$
 spectively， $\mathrm{h}!+\mathrm{hg}$ and h ！hg ofenergy，accounting for the so－called Rabi doublet（provided the intitial $i$ and $j$ are nonzero in which case only one transition is allow ed）． From the algebraic point of view，this of course follow s straightforw ardly from（4，i－）and orthogonality of the basis states．P hysically it com es from the fact that，as in the classical case，the coupled system acts as two indepen－ dent oscillators vibrating with frequencies！$g$ ．In the case of vacuum eld Rabisplitting，a single excitation is shared between the two elds，and so the $m$ anifold $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ is connected to the single line of the vacuum $m$ anifold．
（a）Rabi doublet
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FIG．1：（C olor online）Energy diagram s of the tw o lim iting cases of dressed bosons（left）and ferm ions（right）．In the rst case the $n$th $m$ anifold has constant energy splitting of 2 hg between all states and couples to the（ $n$ 1）th m anifold by rem ovalofa quantum ofexcitation with energy h！hg which leads to the R abidoublet， F ig．（a），w ith splitting 2 hg ．In the second case，each $m$ anifold is tw o－fold $w$ ith a splitting which increases like a square root．A $l l$ four transitions are allow ed， leading to the M ollow triplet，Fig．（b），for high values of $n$ when the two middle transitions are closepin energy．T he distance from the central peak goes like $2 h^{p} \bar{n}$ and the ratio of peaks is $1: 2$ ．The two lowest $m$ anifolds（in blue）are the sam $e$ in both cases，$m$ aking vacuum eld $R$ abisplitting insensitive to the statistics．

In this case there is obviously no possibility beyond a doublet.

D i erent physics occurs when the excitations of the m aterial are described by ferm ionic rather than bosonic statistics. In the case of cavily Q ED the $m$ aterial is usually a beam of atom s passing through the cavily, and a single excitation is the independent responses of the atom $s$ to the light eld excitation. The simplest situation is that of a dilute atom ic beam where a single atom (driven at resonance so that it appears as a tw olevels system ) is coupled to a Fock state of light w ith a large num ber of photons. T his case is described theoretically by the Jaynes-C um $m$ ings m odell ${ }^{11}$, in which a (the radiation eld) rem ains a B ose operator but b becom es a ferm ionic operator which describes tw o-levels system s , $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{y}}$ ! + with :

$$
+=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{6}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}:
$$

Then the atom must be in either the ground or the excited state, allow ing for the $m$ anifolds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{f} j 0 ; \mathrm{ni} ; \boldsymbol{1} ; \mathrm{n} \quad 1 \mathrm{ig} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that n 1.The associated energy diagram sappear on the right of ig . $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$, w ith two states in each $m$ anifold (in our conventions jo; ni refers to the bare states w th atom in ground state and n photons, while 1 ; n 1i has atom in excited state and $n \quad 1$ photons). For the resonant condition, where the tw o-levels transition $m$ atches the cavity photon energy, the dressed states for th is $m$ anifold are split by an energy ${ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{hg}$. In the general case, all four transitions betw een the states in $m$ anifolds $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $H_{n} \quad$ are possible, which results in a quadruplet. It is hard to resolve this quadruplet, but it has been-done in Fourier transform of tim e resolved experim enta ${ }^{12}$. . It is sim pler to consider photolum inescence directly under continuous excitation at high intensity (where the uctuations of particles num ber have little e ect). In this case, w th $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$, the tw o interm ediate energies are alm ost degenerate and a triplet is obtained $w$ th its central peak being about tw ice as high as the two satellites. This is the M ollow triplet of resonance uorescence $1^{131}$.
$T$ hus we are faced w ith tw o lim iting cases, one is a pure bosonic lim it w ith equally spaced dressed states resulting in the linear R abidoublet, the other the pure ferm ionic lim it w ith pairs of dressed states of increasing splitting $w$ thin a $m$ anifold but decreasing energy di erence betw een tw o successive $m$ anifolds, giving rise to the $M$ ollow triplet. In $m$ any of the strong coupling experim ents conducted so far, and in all the reports conceming sem iconductor QDs, only one single excitation is exchanged coherently, so that the states are dressed by the vacuum of the electrom agnetic eld, resulting in the Rabi doublet. H ow ever, at this level of excitation, there is com plete agreem ent betw een the bosonic and ferm ionic models, w ith both providing a good description of the experi$m$ ental observations. The prospect of stronger pum ping,
$w$ ith $m$ ore than one excitation shared betw een the two elds, $m$ akes it im portant to understand whether a realistic sem iconductor Q D w ill correspond to the bosonic or ferm ionic case, or som ething interm ediate betw een the two.

W e review and discuss som $e$ of the $m$ ore signi cant achievem ents in this eld in section in section we lay dow $n$ a general form alism for building the exciton creation operator. In section 'NNㄴ, we study two lim iting cases which resem ble Bose and Ferm i statistics. W e show how in the generalcase the lum inescence behaviour interpolates betw een these two lim its which we have already discussed, and calculate the second order coherence of the em itted light. In section "Ne draw the experim ental consequencs of the various statistics and discuss how the spectra obtained allow a qualitative understanding of how excitations distribute them selves in the excitonic eld. In the nal section we conclude and discuss brie $y$ ongoing work to re ne the $m$ odelling of the excitonic w avefunction.

## II. EXCITONSASQUASIPARTICLES

The generic optical excitation in an intrinsic sem iconductor is the electron-hole pair. In bulk, the two oppositely charged particles can be strongly correlated by the C oulom b interaction and bound as hydrogenic states ( W annier M ott exciton). A though nding binding energies and wavefunctions for the single exqitpn, case is a di cult problem in various geom etries, 1 as the vacuum coupling lim it is concemed, the excition eld operator which links the tw om anifolds $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and vacuum alw ays assum es the sim ple form + regardless of the details of the exciton. A s a particle constituted of two ferm ions, the exciton is com $m$ only regarded as a boson, from consideration of the angular $m$ om entum addition rules and the spin-statistics theorem. For a single particle, this is an exact statem ent, albeit a trivial one.- It is how ever generally agreed to hold at sm all densities ${ }^{173}$. In this case the P R, abi doublet is obtained, as observed


At higher excitation power, the problem assum es considerable com plexity as well as fundam ental im portance for physical applications. A lready at the next higher excitation | w ith one $m$ ore electron, hole or electron-hole pair added to the rst exciton | the situation 0 ers rich, and various phenom ena both in weak $1^{8119}$, and strong- ${ }^{201}$ coupling, ow ing to the underlying com plexity of the excitonic states. In this work we shall be concemed with resonant opticalpum ping, so that excitations are created in pairs and the system alw ays rem ains electrically neutral. We shall describe as an exciton any state of an electron-hole pair, whether it is an atom-like-1s state or has both particles independently quantised $1^{15}$. and in a $m$ ore general sense we shall also use the term for any com bination of particles which takes part in the excitonic phase. Indeed the excitonic phase $w$ th $m$ ore than
one pair requires at the $m$ ost accurate levela description in term $s$ of an excitonic com plex, e.g., in term ofbiexcitons/bipolaritons for, strong coupling oftw o electrons and tw o holes w ith light ${ }^{20}$. T his com es from the $C$ oulom $b$ interaction which links all charge carriers together and, in a $m$ ost fundam ental way, also from the antisym $m$ etry of the wavefiunction which dem ands a sign change w henever tw o identical ferm ions (electrons or holes) are sw apped in the system. How ever in som e con gurations, especially in planar cavities, a w idely accepted hypothesis of bosonic behavior of excitons and the derived polaritons hassibeen investigated for en ects such as the exciton-hoser $2^{11}-$ po-
 temal structure of the exciton which gives rise to both deviations from the $B$ ose-statistics and interactions of the electron-hole pairs is then expressed as an e ective repulsive force in a bosonised H am iltonian (due to C oulom b interaction and Paulie ect in, the form of phase-space lling or exchange interaction
$T h i s$ bosonic approach for excitons $m$ et early opposition, in, favour of an analysis in the electron-hole basis ${ }^{291301312}$. C om bescot and co-w orkess, investigated the possibility of bosonisation of excitons $3^{3} 4^{3} 3^{3} 4^{3} 51$ and concluded against it. They point out its intemal inconsistency, as the sam e interaction binds the underlying ferm ions, and therefore de nes the exciton, while also being responsible for exciton-exciton scattering; this is inconsistent $w$ ith the indistinguishability of the particles. T hese authors introduce the $\backslash$ proteon" as the paradigm for Bose-like com posite particle and propose a form alism (\commutation technics") which essentially relies on evaluating quantum correlators in the ferm ion basis w ith operators linked through the single exciton wavefiunction. The im portance of Ferm i statistics of the underlying constituents has also been pointed out by R om bouts and co-workers in connection to atom ic and excitonic condensatea ${ }^{3,137}$. In both cases the com posite $\backslash \mathrm{bo}-$ son" creation operator reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
B^{y}=\underbrace{X}_{k} \quad \underset{k}{y} \delta_{k}^{y} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in term of $k, \delta_{k}$ the ferm ion operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}^{0}}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~g}={\mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{k}^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{k}^{0} \mathrm{~g}=0}^{0} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(sam e for $\delta_{k}$ ), respectively, for an electron and hole (or proton in the atom ic case ${ }^{37}$ ) of $m$ om entum $k$. This operator creates excitons w the center-ofm ass mom entum $K=0$ in a system with translational invariance. It w as appreciated long aga-17. that the operators $B^{4}$ and B are no-longerexact bosonic operators, but instead satisfy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[B ; B^{y}\right]=1 \quad D ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is a nonzero operator, though $w$ ith sm allm atrix elem ents at low exciton densities.

O ur approach is based on a de nition sim ilar to Eq. ( (8,1) for the exciton operator. Instead of analysing the deviations in the com $m$ utation relationsh ip ( $1 \underline{1}_{1}^{(1)}$ ) we derive the
$m$ atrix elem ents of the operator $B^{y}$. The direct analysis of these $m$ atrix elem ents allows us to trace departures from Bose-statistics and to investigate the transitions betw een bosonic and ferm ionic behaviours of excitons in Q D.s. W e shall com pare our results $w$ th those of Refs. 32 these tw o sets of publications through the nam es of their rst authors, keeping in $m$ ind that they are co-authored papers, as listed in the references.

## III. FORMALISM

W e consider a QD, which localises the excitation in real space. Thus our $m$ ain departure from $C$ om bescot and $R$ om bouts is that our exciton creation operator, $X^{y}$, is expressed in realspace and w thout the zero center-ofm ass m om entum restriction,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{y}=\underset{n_{e} ; n_{h}}{X} C_{n_{e} ; n_{h}} \underset{n_{e}}{y} \delta_{n_{h}}^{y} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{e}$ and $\delta_{n_{h}}$ are ferm ion creation operators, cf. $(\underline{(\underline{d}})$, for an electron and a hole in state ${ }_{n_{\mathrm{n}}}^{e}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\mathrm{h}}, \mathrm{re}$ spectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}}{\mathrm{y}}-\mathrm{jOi}=\underset{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}}{e} ; \delta_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}}^{\mathrm{y}}-j 0 i=\underset{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}}{\mathrm{~h}} ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with joi denoting both the electron and hole vacuum elds. W e carry out the analysis in real space $w$ th the set of basis w avefunctions

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{n_{e}}{e}\left(r_{e}\right)=h r_{e} j_{n_{e}}^{e} i \quad \text { and } \quad r_{n_{h}}^{h}\left(r_{h}\right)=h r_{h} \int_{n_{h}}^{h} i}^{e} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $r_{e}$ and $r_{h}$ the positions of the electron and hole, respectively. Subscripts $n_{e}$ and $n_{h}$ are multi-indioes enu$m$ erating all quantum num bers of electrons and holes. The speci cs of the three-dim ensional con nem ent $m$ anifests itself in the discrete character of $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}$ com ponents.

W e restrict our considerations to the direct band sem iconductor $w$ th non-degenerate valence band. Such a situation can be experim entally achieved in QDs form ed in conventional III-V or II-V I sem iconductors, w here the light-hole levels lie far, in energy, heavy-hole ones due to the e ects of strain and size quantization along the grow th axiti. Therefore, only electron heavy hole excitons need to be considered. M oreover, we will neglect the spin degree of freedom of the electron-hole pair and assume all carriers to be spin polarized. This can be realized by pum ping the system with light of de nite circular polarization, as spin-lattice relaxation is known to be very ine cient in QD s.

The (single) excition wavefunction $j$ i results from the application of $X^{Y}$ on the vacuum. In real space coordinates:

At this stage we do not specify the wavefunction (that is, the coe cients $C_{n_{e} ; n_{h}}$ ), which depends on various factors such as the dot geom etry, electron and hole e ective $m$ asses and dielectric constant. R ather, we consider the n excitons state which results from successive excitation of the system through $X^{y}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{n} i=\left(X^{y}\right)^{n} j 0 i ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e later discuss in $m$ ore details $w$ hat approxim ations are being $m$ ade here. For now we proceed by norm alising this w avefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
j n i=\frac{1}{N_{n}} j_{n} i \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, by de nition of the norm alization constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n}=P \overline{h_{n j} n^{i}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The creation operator $X^{y}$ can now be obtained explicitly. W e call $n$ the non-zero $m$ atrix elem ent which lies below the diagonal in the excitons representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\ln \mathrm{fx}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \mathrm{i} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by com paring Eqs. (1-1 1

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{n}}}{\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{n} 1}}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{N}_{0}=1$ and m the irreducible m -excitons overlap integrals, 1 m n :

We now undertake to link $n$ with the coe cients $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{e}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{h}}}$, which assume a speci c form only when the system itself has been characterised. The general relationship is $m$ ore easily obtained in the real space than $w$ th the operator representation (1). Indeed the nonnorm alized n-excitons w avefunction assum es the sim ple form of a Slater determ inant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ;::: ; r_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}} ;::: ;{r_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{n}}}}\right)=\operatorname{det}_{1 ; j}\left[{ }_{\mathrm{i} ; j}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{j}}\right)\right] ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

explicitly ensuring the antisymmetry of $n$ upon exchange of two identical ferm ions (holes and electrons), as results from the anticommutation rule ( $\left.\underline{\rho}_{\underline{\prime}}^{\mathbf{q}}\right)$ in the nth power of operator $X^{y}$, cf. (111]).

The determ inant can be com puted explicitly, by expansion of its m inors which results in the recurrent relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{n}^{2}=\frac{1}{n}_{m=1}^{X^{n}}(1)^{m+1} m N_{n}^{2} m_{j=0}^{n Y}(n \quad j)^{1} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

」

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i=1
\end{aligned}
$$

The determ inant can also be solved by direct com binatorial evaluation, counting all com binations which can be factored out as products of m . The result expressed in this way reads:
$w$ here $p(n)$ is the partition function ofn (num ber of ways to $w$ rite $n$ as a sum of positive integers, i.e., as an integer partition of $n$ ) and (i) is the num ber of tim es that i2 $N$ appears in the th partition of $n$. The coe cients $C{ }_{n}$ read:
where the product is taken over the integers $n_{i}$ which enter in the th partition of $n$, i.e., $n={ }_{i} n_{i}$.
rect evaluation w ith the operator algebra involved in $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{y}}$. $T$ he only di erence w ith her approach and ours is that her corresponding quantity $m$ (which she notes $m$ ) appears as a series in the (reciprocal space) w avefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\sum_{k}^{X} j_{k}^{2 m} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

as opposed to the overlap integral (221).
 have been able to obtain approxim ate analyticalform $s$ for 1s states of excitons in both 3D and 2D. The sum over reciprocal space is approxim ated as an integral in the continuum lip it, and since ${ }_{R} k$ depends on $k=k_{j}{ }^{2}$ only, thisbecomes $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}}$ ! $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{dk}$ w th $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}=4 \mathrm{k}^{2}$ or 2 k , respectively, yielding ${ }^{3}{ }^{3}{ }^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
m & \left.=z^{m} \quad 116 \frac{(8 m}{(8 m}\right) & 5)!! \\
m & =\frac{2 y^{m} \quad 1}{3 m \quad 1} & & \text { in } 3 D ;  \tag{26}\\
& & \text { in } 2 D ;
\end{array}
$$

w th z and y dim ension less param eters involving the ratio of $B$ ohr radius $a_{B}$ to the size of the system. In $R$ ef. [32'], this continuous approxim ation is used even when the system size becom es so sm all that the quantization becom es noticeable, as a result of which a negative norm is obtained even for a well de ned wavefunction. H ow ever, the authors intenpret incorrectly this negative norm as the result ofan unphysicalexciton $w$ avefunction and thus the breakdow $n$ of the bosonic picture. To dem onstrate this, we have com puted num erically the values of $m$ by direct sum $m$ ation of Eq. (24) and used them to evaluate norm alization constants N_n. Som e results of the com putation are shown in $F$ ig. dence of $N_{1} \quad 1$ vs. the ratio of crystal size $L$ to 3D exciton $B$ ohr radius $a_{B}$. The continuous approxim ation Eq. ( 2 근) gives $1=1$, show $n$ by the dashed line in the inset. T he solid line displays the value of 1 com puted exactly w ith (24): it approaches 1 when $L=a_{B}!1$ but departs strongly from 1 as the size of the system reduces, since the realw avefiunction changes as the system shrinks about it. At the very least th is needs to be taken into account by correcting the norm alization constant of $k$, as otherw ise unphysical result $m$ ay arise. The $m$ ain part of the gure shows the two-excitons nom alization $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ calculated by both $m$ ethods. $W$ e nd that for $a l l L=a_{B}$, the exact $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ never becom es negative in clear constrast w ith the behavior obtained $w$ ith the approxim ated value $\left(\overline{2} \overline{5} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Hence it is not possible to use the appearance of a negative norm as a criterion for bosonic breakdow $n$.

## IV . LIM IT IN G CASES

W e shall not attem pt in this paper to go through the lenghty and com plicated task of the num ericalcalculation of the exciton creation operatorm atrix elem ents for a realistic QD. R ather, we consider here a m odel wavefinction which can be integrated analytically and illustrates


F IG . 2: N orm alization constant $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ vs. ratio of crystal size $L$ to $3 D$ exciton $B$ ohr radius $a_{B}$. D ashed line shows the result using the approxim ated expression (25) for $m$ and solid line using the exact evaluation of the sum on the $m$ esh in reciprocal space. T he negative value of the norm used as a criterion for boson w avefiunction breakdow $n$ in $R$ ef. [32 [] is an artifact of this approxim ation. W ith the genuine wavefunction, ourprocedure y ields a non-arbitrary w ay to consider how the wavefunction vanishing norm a ects the bosonic character of the excitation. Inset displays $N_{1}$, vs. $L=a_{B}$ com puted on $m$ esh (solid) and according to Eq. (25) (dashes).
som e expected typical behaviours. Before this, we com $m$ ent brie $y$ on the lim iting cases of Bose $E$ instein and Ferm i-D irac statistics and how they can be recovered in the general setting of this section.
$T$ his $w$ ill be $m$ ade $m$ ost clear through consideration of the explicit case of two excitons $(n=2)$. Then the wavefunction reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right)=\prime\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right) \\
&\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith its norm alization constant $\left(1 \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ readily obtained as Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{2}^{2}=j_{2}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}} ;{r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}}\right){ }^{2} d r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}}::: d r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}=2 \quad 2{ }_{2} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 2 , the tw o-excitons overlap integral, reads explic-咕y

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z } \\
& 2=\quad \text {, }\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right) \\
& '\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ;{\left.r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ;{r_{\mathrm{h}_{1}}}\right) d r_{\mathrm{e}_{1}}::: d r_{\mathrm{h}_{2}}: ~}_{\text {l }}\right. \text {. } \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his integral is the signature of the com posite nature of the exciton. T hem inus sign in (2-í) results from the P auli principle: two ferm ions (electrons and holes) cannot occupy the sam e state. A ssum ing ' $\left(r_{\mathrm{e}} ; r_{\mathrm{h}}\right)$ is norm alised, $\mathrm{N}_{1}=1$, so according to (1] $\overline{1}$ ),

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{2}=\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \quad 2_{2}}: \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \quad 1$ this is sm aller than or equal to ${ }^{p} \overline{2}$, the corresponding $m$ atrix elem ent of a true boson creation operator. This result has a transparent physicalm eaning: since two identical ferm ions from two excitons cannot be in the sam e quantum state, it is \harder" to create two realexcitons, w here underlying structure is probed, than two ideal bosons. W e note that if $L$ is the QD lateral dim ension, $2 \quad\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{L}\right)^{2} \quad 1$ when $L \quad a_{B}$. Thus in large Q D p the overlap ofexciton ic $w$ avefunctions is sm all, so $\quad 2 \quad \overline{2}$ and the bosonic lim it is recovered. On the other hand, in a sm all QD, where C oulom b interaction is unim portant com pared to the dot potential con ning the carriers, the electron and hole can be regarded as quantized separately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(r_{e} ; r_{h}\right)=r^{e}\left(r_{e}\right)^{\prime h}\left(r_{h}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case all $\mathrm{m}=1$ and subsequently all $\mathrm{m}=0$ at the exception of $1=1$. This is the ferm ionic lim it where $X^{y} \mathrm{~m}$ aps to the P aulim atrix + , cf. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) .

W e now tum to the general case of arbitrary sized QDs, interpolating between the (small) ferm ionic and (large) bosonic lim its. W e assum e a G aussian form for the wavefiunction which allows to evaluate analytically all the required quantities. A s num erical accuracy is not the chief goal of this work we further assum e in-plane coordinates $x$ and $y$ to be uncorrelated to ease the com putations. The wavefunction reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime\left(r_{e} ; r_{h}\right)=C \exp \left(e^{r_{e}^{2}} \quad{ }_{h} r_{h}^{2} \quad \text { eh } r_{e} \quad h^{h}\right) \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

properly nom alized w th

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{\mathrm{p} \overline{4 \mathrm{eh} \quad \underset{\mathrm{eh}}{2}}}{} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that eh 2 [ $\left.2_{2}^{p} \overline{e h} ; 0\right] w$ ith er h 0. The param eters allow to intenpolate betw een the large and sm all dot lim its $w$ ithin the sam e wavefunction. To connect these param eters er $h$ and eh to physical quantities, (32') is regarded as a trial w avefunction which is to $m$ in im ize the ham iltonian $H_{Q D}$ con ning the electron and hole in a quadratic potential where they interact through C oulom b interaction ${ }^{151}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{Q D}=\sum_{i=e ; h}^{X} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2 m_{i}}+\frac{1}{2} m_{i}!^{2} r_{i}^{2} \quad \frac{e^{2}}{j e} r_{i} j \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $p_{i}$ the $m$ om entum operator for the electron and hole, $i=e, h$, respectively, $m e, m_{h}$ the electron and hole $m$ asses, ! the frequency which characterises the strength of the con ning potential, e the charge of the electron and the background dielectric constant screening the C oulombinteraction. This ham iltonian de nes the two length scales of our problem, the 2D Bohr radius $a_{B}$ and the dot size L :

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{B} & =\frac{h^{2}}{2} \frac{e^{2}}{S}  \tag{35a}\\
L & =\frac{h}{!} \tag{35.b}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG.3: R atio of param eters eh and (w ith $=e^{=}$ ${ }_{h}$ ) as a function of $L=a_{B}$. For large dots where $L \quad a_{B}$,
eh $=\quad 2$ which corresponds to the bosonic lim it where the electron and hole are strongly correlated. For shallow dots where $L \quad a_{B}, \quad$ eh $=\quad 0 \mathrm{w}$ ith electron and hole quantized separately. The transition is show $n$ as the result of a variational procedure, $w$ th an abrupt transition when the dot size becom es com parable to the B ohr R adius.
where $=m_{e} m_{h}=\left(m_{e}+m_{h}\right)$ is the reduced $m$ ass of the electron-hole pair. To sim plify the follow ing discussion we assume that $m_{e}=m_{h}$, resulting in $e=h_{h}$. The trial wavefunction (32 ) separates as ${ }^{\prime}\left(r_{e} ; r_{h}\right)=$ C (R) (r) where $r=r_{e} r_{5}$ is the radius-vector of relative $m$ otion and $R=\left(r_{e}+r_{h}\right)=2$ is the center-ofm ass position:

$$
\begin{align*}
(R) & =\frac{p \overline{2\left(2+e^{2}\right)}}{p-} \exp R^{2}[2+\text { eh }] \text { (36a) } \\
(r) & =\frac{p \bar{p} \overline{2}}{2} \exp \quad r^{2} \frac{2}{4} \quad \text { eh } \tag{36b}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. ( operator and equating its param eters $w$ th those of the exact solution yields the relationship $2+{ }_{-1}=2=L^{2}$. $T$ his constrain allow $s$ to $m$ in ise (36.a) $w$ ith respect to a single param eter, $a=e n=2+\overline{1}=\left(2 L^{2}\right)$, which eventually am ounts to $m$ inim ise $4 a_{B}=a^{2}+a_{B} a^{2}=L^{4} \quad 2^{2}=a$. D oing so we have obtained the ratio eh $=$ as a function of $L=a_{B}$ displayed on $F$ ig. to ferm ionic regim e is seen to occur sharply w hen the dot size becom es com $m$ ensurable w th the B ohr radius. For large dots, i.e., for large values of $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$, the ratio is w ell approxim ated by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
e h==2 \quad(\mathrm{~B}=\mathrm{L})^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $\left.\exp \left(p r_{e} r_{h} r_{h}\right)^{2}\right)$ with vanishing norm alization constant. This $m$ im ics a free exciton in an in nite quantum well. It corresponds to the bosonic case. On the other hand, if $L$ is sm all com pared to the Bohr radius, w ith eh ! 0, the lim it $\left(3 \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is recovered w ith
, / $\exp \left(e r_{e}^{2}\right) \exp \left(h r_{h}^{2}\right)$. This corresponds to the ferm ionic case.
O ne can readily check that (32) gives, in the case eh ! $2^{\mathrm{e} h}$, an exciton binding energy which is sm aller by only 20\% than that calculated w ith a hydrogenic wavefunction, which show s that the G aussian approxim ation should be tolerable for qualitative and sem iquantitative results. M oreover, its form corresponds to the general shape of a trial wavefunction for an excition in an arbitrary QD ${ }^{3} 8.1$ w ith the only di erence that we take the $G$ aussian expression instead of a B ohr exponential for the wavefunction of the relative $m$ otion of the electron and hole. T his com prom ise to num erical accuracy allows on the other hand to obtain analytical expressions for all the key param eters, starting $w$ th the overlap integrals (2Z̄)) which take a sim ple form in term $s$ of $m$ ultivariate $G$ aussians:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z & Z
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=C^{2 m} \quad \exp \left(x^{T} A x\right) d x \quad \exp \left(y^{T} A y\right) d y \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{h}_{1}} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{h}_{2}} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{m}}}\right)  \tag{39a}\\
\mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{T}}=\left(\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{e}_{1}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{e}_{2}} ;::: ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}} ; \mathrm{yh}_{1} ; \mathrm{Yh}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{h}}\right) \tag{39b}
\end{gather*}
$$

are the 2 m dim ensional vectors which encapsulate all the degrees of freedom of the $m$ excitons-com plex, and $A$ is a positive de nite sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix which equates (22) and ( 3 Big), i.e., which satis es

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{T} A x=2 e_{i=1}^{X^{m}} x_{i}^{2}+2 h_{i=m+1}^{x^{m}} x_{i}^{2}+\text { eh } X_{m} x_{m}+1 \\
& +\operatorname{eh~}_{i=1}^{X^{m}} X_{i} X_{m}+i+\text { eh }_{i=1}^{n X_{i}} X_{i}^{1} X_{m}+i+1 \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

and likew ise fory (to sim plify notation we have not w ritten an index $m$ on $x, y$ and $A$, but these naturally scale $w$ th m ). The identity for 2 m -fold $G$ aussian integrals

$$
\exp \left(x^{T} A x\right) d x=p \frac{m}{\operatorname{det} A}
$$

allow s us to obtain an analytical expression for m , although a cum bersom $e$ one. The determ inant of the $m$ atrix A reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{eh}^{2 m X_{k=0}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{XX}^{\mathrm{k}}}(\quad 1)^{\mathrm{pm}=2 \mathrm{c}+\mathrm{k}^{\prime}} \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{k} ; 1){\frac{\mathrm{eh}}{\mathrm{eh}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we introduced a quantity

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{m}(k ; l)=A_{m}^{0}(k ; l) \\
& \quad+X_{i=1}^{m} A_{m}^{0} \quad i(k ; l \tag{43}
\end{align*} \quad \text { i) } \quad A_{m}^{0} \quad i \quad 1(k ; l \text { i) })
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{m}^{0}(k ; 1)=\mathbb{Z}^{(1)} \frac{\left.P^{P} i^{l}(i)\right)!}{i^{l}(i)!} \\
& P^{m} \quad{ }_{i}^{l}{ }^{l}(i) \quad k \quad l_{P} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{l}{ }^{l}(i) \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith k $270 ; \mathrm{m}], 12[0 ; \mathrm{m}]$ and $\mathrm{p}(1)$ and (i) already introduced as the partition function of 1 and the num ber of occurence of in its th partition. For the case $k=0$ the nite size of the $m$ atrix im plies a special nule which reads $A_{m}(0 ; 1)=4 m_{m} ; 1, m \quad 2 ; 0$. Together w th $\left(3 \overline{3}_{1}^{3}\right),\left(4 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right)$ and (42), expression (3) provides the $m$ in the $G$ aussian approxim ation. O ne can see the considerable com plexity of the expressions despite the sim plicity of the $m$ odel wavefiunction. O nce again, even a num erical treatm ent $m$ eets $w$ ith di culties ow ing to $m$ anipulations of series of large quantities which sum to sm all values. W e had to tum to exact algebraic com putations to obtain $n$ coefcients free from num erical artifacts. Before we present the num erical results, we once again tum to the lim ting case of a large QD ( $L \quad a_{B}$ ) where the bosonic behaviour $m$ ay be expected, putting again for sim plicty $e=h=. W$ hen Eq. $\left(3 \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$ holds, rather lengthy algebraic m anipulations yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \quad \frac{\left(2 a_{B}=L\right)^{2(m \quad 1)}}{m^{2}}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his approxim ation is valid for sm all values of $a_{B}=L$ and form $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$. In computations of n w th $n \quad \mathrm{~L}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{B}}$, the denom inator in Eq. (4) plays a $m$ inor role and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=p_{n} p^{p} \overline{1} \quad 2(n \quad 1)(q=L)^{2}: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus in the small-n lim it the $m$ atrix elem ents of the exciton creation operator are close to that of bosons and the corrections arise proportionally to the param eter $n\left(a_{B}=\mathrm{L}\right)^{2}$.
$F$ ig. 'Al' show sthe behaviour of $n$ for di erent values of eh interpolating from the bosonic case ( eh $=2_{2}^{\text {e }}$ ) to the ferm ionic case ( eh $=0$ ). The crossover from bosonic to ferm ionic lim it can be clearly ${ }^{\text {seen: for eh }}$ close to $2_{2}^{p} \overline{e n}$, the curve behaves like ${ }^{p} \bar{n}$, the deviations from this exact bosonic result becom ing $m$ ore pronounced w ith increasing $n$. For eh close to $2^{p} \frac{p h}{e \mathrm{~h}}$, the curve in itially behaves like $\bar{n}$, the deviations from this exact boson ic result becom ing $m$ ore pronounced $w$ ith increasing $n$. T he curve is ultim ately decreasing beyond a num ber of excitations which is sm aller the greater the departure of eh from $2_{2}^{p} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{h}$. A fter the initial rise, as the overlap between electron and hole wavefunctions is sm all and bosonic behaviour is found, the decrease follow s as the density becom es so large that P auliexchusion becom es signi cant. Then excitons cannot be considered as structure-less particles, and ferm ionic characteristics em erge. W ith eh going to 0 , this behaviour is replaced by a m onatonically decreasing $n$, which $m$ eans that it is


FIG. 4: (a) M atrix elem ents $n$ of the exciton creation operator $X^{y}$ calculated for $n \quad 15$ for various trial wavefunctions. The top curve shows the lim it of true bosons where $n=\bar{n}$ and the bottom curve the lim it of true ferm ions where $n=n ; 1$. Interm ediate cases are obtained forvalues of eh from $1: 95^{p} \overline{e h}$ down to $0: 2^{p} \overline{e h}$, interpolating betw een the boson and ferm ion lim it. (b) M agni ed region close to the ferm ion lim it. Values displayed are everywhere given in units of ${ }^{P} \overline{e h}$.
\harder and harder" to add excitons in the sam e state in the QD ; the ferm ionic nature of excitons becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore im portant.

An im portant quantity for single $m$ ode particles, especially in connection to their coherent features, is the (norm alised) second order correlator $g_{2} w$ hich in our case reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}(t ; \quad)=\frac{h X^{y}(t) X^{y}(t+\quad) X(t+\quad) X(t) i}{h^{\wedge}(t) i h^{\wedge}(t+) i} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\wedge}$ is the exciton num ber operator which satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge \text { jıi }=\mathrm{n} \text { ini } \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th in i the bare exciton state w th n electron-hole pairs, cf. $\left[1 \bar{S}_{1}(1]\right)$. At our energy diagram level, we can only compute zero-delay $=0$ correlations and there is no


F IG . 5: Second order correlator $g_{2}(0)$, of the excitonic eld for Fock states ji given by Eqs. (15 (116) as a function of eh $=\frac{p}{e \mathrm{~h}}$ which interpolates betw een the ferm ion ic ( $0: 5$,

1 and $1: 5$ ) and bosonic ( 1:9, 1:95, $1: 99$ ) lim its. U pper line corresponds to pure bosons ( $\mathrm{eh}=2 \overline{\mathrm{eh}}$ ). W ith increasing ferm ionic character the antibunching is very pronounced at high intensities. C lose to the ferm ionic lim it, $g_{2}$ is alw ays very sm all and van ishes quickly.
dynam ics so t! 1 . Eq. $\left[_{4}^{4} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$ reduces to $g_{2}(0)$ a quantity, which is one of great physical and experim ental relevance. It will be su cient for our description to consider Fock states of excitons only, although an extension to other quantum states is straightforw ard. The $m$ atrix representation in the basis of states jin reads
 for a Fock state $w$ ith $n$ excitons,

$$
g_{2}(0)=\frac{\begin{array}{lll}
2 & & 2  \tag{49}\\
n & 1 & n
\end{array}}{n^{2}}
$$

N ote that regardless of the m odel, $\mathrm{g}_{2}(0)=0$ for $\mathrm{n}=1$. $G$ eneral results are displayed on F ig. to the exciton eld which is the one of $m$ ost interest to us, and could be probed in a two-photons correlation experim ent w th the light em itted directly by the exciton. W e compare the result to the pure bosonic case
 that $g_{2}!1 w$ th increasing num ber of particles which expresses the sim ilarity of an intense Fock state with a coherent state (especially regarding their uctuations). In our case, how ever, the underlying ferm ionic structure results in an antibunching of excitons, i.e., the probabil-止y of nding two excitons at the sam e tim e is low ered at high exciton densities. C lose to the ferm ionic lim it, this antibunching is very pronounced and it is very unlikely to have $m$ ore than one exciton in the system .

## V．COUPLING TOA SINGLE RADIATION MODEOFAMICROCAVITY

W e now present the em ission spectra of the coupled cavity－dot system when the exciton eld is described by the creation operator $\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ ．The procedure is straight－ forw ard in principle and is a direct extension of the con－ cepts discussed at length in the introduction．T he ham il－ tonian assum es the same form as previously，but now w ith X as we de ned it for the m atter－eld b ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=h!\left(a^{\mathrm{y}} a+{ }^{\wedge}\right)+h g\left(a X^{y}+a^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{X}\right) ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $m$ ，it can be decoupled by decom position of the identity as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{Xix}^{(\mathrm{N} ;)} \text { jn; nih n;nj: }  \tag{51}\\
& =0 \quad n=0
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $N$ is the sm aller index $n$ for which $n$ becom es zero．In the G aussian wavefunction approxim ation $w$ ith－ out interaction，no $n$ ever becom es exactly zero，in which case N ！ 1 and the upper lim it in the second sum of Eq．（ 51


$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Hg}[\mathrm{n} \\
& \mathrm{p}  \tag{52}\\
& \mathrm{~h}!+ \\
& \left.\mathrm{n}+1_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{~m}+1}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right]_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{~m} \text { in (N ; ) }
\end{align*}
$$

in the basis of bare photon－exciton states：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { H = fjo; i; 式; 1i;:::; } \\
& \text { in in }(\mathbb{N} ; ~) ; \quad m \text { in }(\mathbb{N} ;) \text { ig: } \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

If $n$ does not vanish，the basis has +1 states in this m anifold w th last state $j$ ；Oi having all photons trans－ ferred in the excitonic eld．In the case where $n$ van－ ishes，the excitonic eld saturates and the further exci－ tations are constrained to rem ain in the photonic eld．

Follow ing the nom enclature laid dow $n$ in the introduc－ tion，we w rite the dressed state j；ii for the th eigen－ state of the $m$ anifold $w$ th $=n+m$ excitations（ $n$ excitons＋m photons）and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime}=\mathrm{hn}$ ； nj ；ilits de－ com position on bare states of this $m$ anifold，i．e．，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { mig } \mathrm{Xi}^{(\mathbb{N} ;)} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

W e com pute the em ission spectra corresponding to tran－ sitions between m ultiplets， w ith m atrix elem ents $I_{\text {end }}=$巩 ${ }^{0}$ ；$\quad 1 \mathrm{Jaj}$ ；埌 ${ }^{2}$ for em ission of a photon from the cavity and $I_{\text {lat }}=$ Hn $^{\circ}$ ； 1 式 $\boldsymbol{j}$ ；iij for direct exciton
em ission into a non－cavity m ode．Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}=0  \tag{55}\\
& \mathrm{~m} \text { 焐 }(\mathrm{N} ;) \quad 2 \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

In cavity QED term inology，$I_{\text {end }}$ and $I_{\text {lat }}$ correspond to end\｛em ission and lateral\｛em ission photo\｛detection re－ spectively，while in lum inescence of a m icrocavity，one observes the linear com bination of the tw o contributions sim ultaneously．I Iat re ectsm ost the behaviour of the ex－ citonic eld．To separate the two，one can $m$ ake use of the scattering geom etry；in a pillar structure，for instance， the cavity photon em ission is predom inantly through the end $m$ irrors，so $I_{\text {lat }}$ could be detected on the edge of the structure．These $m$ easurem ents display the $m$ ost inter－ esting features and we focus on them．

Fig．${ }_{6}^{6}$ ，show sthe calculated em ission spectra $I_{\text {lat }}$ of a QD em bedded in a cavity．All the spectra are broad－ ened by convolution with a Lorentzian of width＝ $0: 2 \mathrm{~g}$ ．Figs．（a），（b）and（c）are，respectively，the re－ sults close to the bosonic lim it（ eh $=1: 99 \overline{\mathrm{eh}})$ ， in between（ eh $=P \overline{e h}$ ）and close to the ferm ionic $\lim$ it $\left(\mathrm{eh}=0: 05^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{h}\right)$ ．E ach curve is labelled w ith the num ber of excitations in the $m$ anifold，re ecting the intensity of the pumping eld．Fig．．${ }_{6}^{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(a)$ shows a pro－ nounced R abidoublet in the case $\mathrm{n}=1$（vacuum eld Rabisplitting）in accordance w ith the general theory set out in the introduction．H igher $m$ anifolds reveal non－ bosonic behaviour，w ith a reduced R abisplitting doublet
 $\mathrm{n}=5$ ，where sm all peaks appear at $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{h}(!\quad 2 \mathrm{~g}) \cdot \mathrm{The}$
increase of dot con nem ent in the case $\mathrm{eh}=$
e h in $F$ ig．${ }_{-1}^{-1}(b)$ ，$m$ akes deviations from bosonic behaviour m ore pronounced，so even for $\mathrm{n}=2 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{m} u l t i p l e t ~ s t r u c-$ ture is observable．For higher $m$ anifold num bers，$m$ ore lines appear，and a decrease of the splitting of the central Rabidoublet occurs．Further strengthening the con ne－ m ent to eh $=0: 05 \frac{\mathrm{e}}{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{m}$ akes the m anifestations of ferm ionic behaviour very clear；for $n=2$ ，a quadru－ plet structure is seen，w ith the central tw o peaks alm ost m erged．T he situation is sim ilar to the M ollow triplet of the exact ferm ionic lim it，even $m$ ore so for an increase of m anifold num ber from $\mathrm{n}=2$ to $\mathrm{n}=10$ ，where the sepa－ ration of the side peaks grow $s$ and the centralones $m$ erge further．It can be seen from $F$ ig．${ }^{-1}$ G that the decrease of the QD size and the corresponding change of the exciton quantisation regime（ $w$ ith eh ranging from $2_{2}^{p} \bar{e} h$ to 0 ）is $m$ an ifest in the em ission spectra as a transition from a Rabidoublet to a M ollow triplet．The increase of the excitation power（at xed QD size）leads qualitatively to the sam e e ect；ferm ionic behaviour becom es m ore pro－ nounced w th the increase of the excitation power and decrease of the QD size．
$H$ aving dem onstrated how our m odel intenpolates be－


F IG . 6: (C olor online) Spectra for various intensities of the light eld at speci c values of eh: (a) close to the bosonic lim it with eh $=1: \rho^{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{e}}$ for $\mathrm{n}=1$ (solid red), 2 (dashed blue) and 7 (dotted black) featuring a broadened and redshifted Rabidoublet as the intensity increases and the onset of a multiplet structure, (b) interm ediate case: eh $=P \frac{1}{e h}$ for $\mathrm{n}=2$ (solid black) and 10 (dashed blue) dem onstrating a com plicated $\mathrm{m} u$ litiplet structure and (c) close to the ferm ion ic case with eh $=0: 05$ e h for $\mathrm{n}=2$ (solid black) and 10 (dashed blue) featuring quadruplet structure going tow ards M ollow triplet at high intensities.
tw een Ferm i and Bose statistics, we now investigate in further detail the interm ediate regim $e$, by follow ing the evolution of a single $m$ anifold between the two lim its. In $F$ ig..$_{1}^{-7}$, the eigenvalues are displayed for the 7 th m anifold, also shown on $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{111}$ for bosons and ferm ions. Eigenvahues are plotted as a function of eh running from $\int_{2}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{h}$, which recovers the left hand side ofF ig. to $0, w h i c h$ recovers the right hand side. Other $m$ anifolds behave in qualitatively the sam e w ay. In all cases,


FIG.7: Energy diagram of the dressed excitons over the interval eh 2 [ $2 \frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{h}} ; 0$ ] for the 7th m anifold. The extrem al values recover the diagram soff ig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ w ith $n+1$ equally spaced energy levels in $n$th dressed boson $m$ anifold (far left) and tw ofold energy diagram w ith square root splitting for dressed ferm ions (far right). The two outer boson energies connect sm oothly to the two ferm ion energies while the $\mathrm{n} \quad 1$ other one degenerate into a central line w hich disappears right when the system hits the Ferm ilim it (th is point, eh $=0$, is shown by circle).
the $n+1$ equally spaced energies of the dressed bosons link to the tw o energies of the dressed ferm ions as follow s: the upper energy $h!+{ }^{+} h g$ of the Bose lim it links to the upper energy $h!+{ }^{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{-} \mathrm{hg}$ of the Ferm ilim it, and the sym $m$ etric behaviour occurs $w$ ith the lower lim it, linking $h$ ! $h g w$ th $h$ ! P hg. M ore interestingly, the interm ediate energies degenerate from the equal spacing of the B ose lim it tow ards h! near the Ferm ilim it, w th a discontinuity when 2 becom es zero and only tw o eigenenergies rem ain. P hysically, this discontinuity arises because for any eh 0 , form ally, an in nite number of excitations can be tted in the QD, i.e. $n$ never becom es exactly zero, see Fig. $\overline{12} \mathbf{I}$, . W ith eh approaching zero these $m$ odes couple to light $m$ ore and $m$ ore weakly, thus the energies of the dressed states becom e close to the bare energy $h$ !. W hen eh $=0$ the ferm ionic regim $e$ is recovered and only tw o dressed eigenstates $w$ th energies h ! P -hg survive.
A though the eigenvalue structure of the dressed excitons in the general case is simple and uniform, as is seen in $F$ ig. $i_{1 / 1}$, the $m$ ultiplet structures w hich arises from it is, as has been seen in the spectra previously investigated, rich and varied. In the general case where $2_{2}^{D} \overline{e \mathrm{~h}}<\mathrm{en}^{\mathrm{e}} 0$, two adjacent m anifolds contain respectively $n+1$ and $n$ levels, transitions betw een any pair of which are possible. O ne m ay expect $n(n+1)$ lines in the em ission spectra $w$ th a range of intensities and positions. T he phenom enologicalbroadening we have introduced leads to the decrease of the num ber of resolvable lines. In all cases the $\mathrm{n}=1$ to $\mathrm{n}=0$ transition provides only two lines, w ith constant energies throughout,


FIG. 8: Transition from Ferm ito B ose lim its as observed in the optical em ission spectra. (a) Superpositions of spectra for eh $=2_{2}^{p} \bar{e}$ in front of the gure to eh $=0$ at the back, recovering respectively the Rabi doublet and M ollow triplet. In the interm ediate region, intricate and rich pattems of peaks appear, split, m erge, or disappear. (b) Sam e data as in (a), but as a density plot on logarithm ic scale, to discrim inate the peaks, their positions and splitting as well as their relative intensities. A $l l$ spectra are norm alized to m axim um intensity equal to 1.
because with only one exciton present the question of bosonic or ferm ionic behaviour is irrelevant. To access the consequences of exciton statistics, one must, unsurprisingly, reach higher $m$ anifolds.

In Fig. ${ }^{\prime}$, we show the evolution of the spectra betw een the boson and ferm ion lim its. They tw o panels are different pro jections of the sam e data, nam ely the m ultiplet structure as a function of eh 2 [ $\left.2 \frac{\mathrm{eh}}{\mathrm{e}} ; 0\right]$ for $\mathrm{n}=12$. $T$ he $R$ abidoublet is seen to evolve into a M ollow triplet going through a com plex and intertw ined set of peaks whose splitting and relative heights vary w th the value of eh considered. T he spectrum obtained is therefore a direct probe of the underlying exciton quantum statistics.

As a nal comment, we note that if Eq. 4 hold forpall $n$, it would yield, apart from a renorm alization by $\overline{\mathrm{N}}$, the D icke m odeㅗㅗㅂ, which has been widely used to describe various strong light-m atter coupling phenom en $4^{4014} 11^{1}$. In our model it is recovered when m $=$ $1=\mathrm{N}^{(\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1)}$, a case that we have investigated in Ref . [42].].

At the heart of D icke model lies the creation operator $J_{+}$for an excitation of the $\backslash m$ atter eld" which distributes the excitation throughout the assem bly of N identical tw o-levels system s described by ferm ion operators i, so that $b^{y}$ in Eq. (Z) Z maps to $\mathrm{J}_{+} \mathrm{w}$ th

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{+}={\underset{i=1}{X^{N}}}_{i}^{i} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne checks readily that $J_{+}$and $J=J_{+}^{Y}$ thus dened obey an angular $m$ om entum algebra $w$ th $m$ agnitude $N(N+1)$ (and $m$ axim um $z$ projection of $J_{z}$ equal to $N$ ). In this case the Rabi doublet arises in the lim it where the total num ber of excitations (shared between the light and the matter eld) is much less than the number of atom $s, N$, in which case the usual $\left[J=\bar{N} ; J_{+}=\bar{N}\right] \quad 1$, which is the commutation for a bosonic eld. This com es from the expression of a D icke state w ith excitations shared by $N$ atom s given as the angularm om entum state $j \mathrm{~N}=2+i$. Therefore the annihilation/creation operators $J$, $J_{+}$for one excitation shared by N atpm s appear in this lim it like renorm alised bose operators $p=\bar{N} a, \bar{N} a^{y}$, resulting in a R abidoublet of splitting 2 hg N . Such a situation corresponds, e.g., to an array ofsm allQ D s inside a $m$ icrocavity such that in each dot electron and hole are quantized separately, while ourm odeldescribes a single Q D which can accom m odate severalexcitons. T he corresponding em ission spectra are close to those obtained here below the saturation lim it
$N$, while the nonlinear regim e $N \quad 1, \quad 1$ has peculiarbehaviour, featuring non-lorentzian em ission line shapes and a non-trivialm ultiplet structure, like the \D icke fork" L $^{42}$

## VI. CONCLUSIONSAND PROSPECTS

The spectrum of light em itted by quantum dot excitons in leaky modes of a m icrocavity | which could be typically lateralem ission | is a signature of the quantum statistics ofexcitons. A m ultiplet structure has been theoretically predicted w ith various features which can help identify the exciton eld statistics. W e provided the for$m$ alism to obtain the spectra expected for a general dot in various geom etries based on the form of the single exciton wavefunction. W e investigated a generic case analytically through a Gaussian approxim ation. T he richness and speci city of the resulting spectra provides a $m$ eans to determ ine, through the peak splittings and strength ratios, the param eter eh that $m$ easures how close excitons are to ideal ferm ions orbosons. A lthough heavy nu-
$m$ ericalcom putations are required for realistic structures, physical sense $m$ otivates that in $s m$ all dots a Ferm i-like behaviour of excitations w ith separately quantised electrons and holes is expected, while in largerdots behaviour should converge towards the B ose lim it. T hese trends should be observable in the nonlinear regim e (w here m ore than one exciton interacts at a single tim e w th the radiation m ode): depending on whether Rabi splitting is found, or if a M ollow triplet or a m ore com plicated $m$ ultiplet structure arises, one willbe able to characterize the underlying structure of the exciton eld.

W e have left the discussion of the interaction betw een excitons out of the scope of the present paper considering the e ects which arise solely from Pauli exclusion principle. The e ect of interactions, through screening of C oulom b potential by the electron-hole pairs, has been discussed in Refs. [37143]. These papers dem onstrate that the interactions willm ake ferm ionic behaviourm ore pronounced, since presence of other excitons screens the C oulom. b interaction which binds electron and hole and leads to the increase of the Bohr radius. The question whether interactions w ill predom inate over P auli exclusion lies beyond the scope of this paper and we post-
pone it for a future work. O ur prelim inary estim ations show that even atm oderate excitonic density the e ect of screening is sm all and does not lead to strong qualitative deviationst ${ }^{44}$

To sum m arise, we have studied the e ect of Pauli exclusion on the optical em ission spectra of $m$ icrocavities with em bedded QD s in the strong coupling regim e. W e derived general expressions for the exciton creation operator which allow system atic computation of the light-m atter coupling. The crossover betw een bosonic behaviour| observed in large QDs as Rabi doublet| to the ferm ionic behaviour| observed in sm allQ D s as M ollow triplet| has been dem onstrated.
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