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#### Abstract

PACS.71.35.-y \{ Excitons and related phenom ena.


#### Abstract

$T$ his letter assigns the Faraday rotation in photoexcited sem iconductors to $\backslash \mathrm{P}$ auli interactions", i.e., carrier exchanges, betw een the realexcitons present in the sam ple and the virtualexcitons coupled to the parts of a linearly polarized light. W hile direct C oulom b interactions scatter bright excitons into bright excitons, whatever their spins are, $P$ auli interactions do it forbright excitons w ith sam e spin only. Th is $m$ akes these $P$ auliinteractions entirely resp onsible for the refractive index di erence, which com es from processes in which the virtual exciton which is created and the one which recom bines are form ed with di erent carriers. To w rite this di erence in term $s$ of photon detuning and exciton density, we use our new $m$ any-body theory for interacting excitons. Its m ultiarm \Shiva" diagram s for N -body exchanges m ake transparent the physics involved in the various term s . This w ork also show S the interesting link which exists betw een Faraday rotation and the exciton optical Stark e ect.


It is know $n$ since a long tim e that the polarization plane of a linear light rotates when passing through an optically active $m$ edium . This e ect, known as Faraday rotation, is usually explained rather phenom enologically, by saying that, due to a dissym $m$ etry in the sam ple | which can preexist or be induced | the com ponents of the light have di erent refractive indioes $n$, the rotation of the polarization plane being proportional to ( $\left.n_{+} \quad n\right)$. From a microscopic point of view, this di erence has to com efrom di erence in the interactions of the sam ple w ith the virtual excitations coupled to the unabsorbed
photons. Faraday rotation is thus a pow erful tool to study not only these interactions, but also the excited state relaxations, in particular, spin relaxation crucial for spintronics [1-6]. In order to fully control these studies, a precise $m$ icroscopic understanding of this rotation is how ever highly desirable.

In the case of sem iconductors, the $m$ atter excitations are the excitons. $W$ ith respect to their possible interactions, doped and photoexcited sam ples are very di erent. Indeed, due to Pauli exclusion, the free carriers of a doped sam ple have di erent energies; this $m$ akes their possible interactions w ith the virtual excitons coupled to photons rather tricky. U sing experim ental results on Faraday rotation and circular dichroism, we have recently suggested [7] that these carriers do not form trions, as com $m$ only said, but an intrinsically w ide $m$ any toody ob ject, singular at threshold. P hotoexcited sam ples are, in this respect, sim pler because the excitons they contain have an energy which is essentially constant for very heavy holes. H ow ever, even in this case, a fully $m$ icroscopic theory of Faraday rotation has not been easy to produce because interactions betw een excitons are di cult to handle properly due to the exciton com posite nature | which is here crucial.

O ur new many-body theory for com posite bosons [8] now provides a quite e cient toolto face such a problem. The diagram $m$ atic representation we have recently proposed [9], w ith its m ultiarm \Shiva" diagram s [10] to visualize N -body exchanges, allow s a keen understanding of the physics involved in the various term $s$.

## 1 M icroscopic approach

A $m$ icroscopic description offaraday rotation goes through the determ ination of the linear response function $S$ of the $m$ aterial to a probe beam $w$ ith circular polarization $=1$.

This response function, for a m atter state $j i$, reads [11]

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=h \quad j J \frac{1}{!+E \quad H+i O_{+}} U^{y} j i ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! is the photon energy, E the state $j$ i energy and $H$ the $m$ atter $H$ am iltonian. For sem iconductors, the $m$ atter coupling to photons can bewritten as $U^{y}={ }^{P}{ }_{I} S_{i} ; \quad{ }_{i} B{ }_{I}^{y}$, where $B_{I}^{y}$ creates an exciton $I=\left(i ; S_{i}\right)$ with spin $S_{i}$, in a state $i=\left(i ; Q_{i}\right)$, while $j{ }_{i} j$ is the i exciton R abienergy [12]. T he refractive index di erence is related to this response finction through $n_{+} \quad n{ }^{\prime} \operatorname{Re}\left(S_{+1} \quad S_{1}\right)=E_{p}, w$ here $E_{p}$ is an energy like constant [13].

## 2 P hysical origin

Let us concentrate on quantum wells previously excited by + pump photonstuned on the ground state exciton. Before spin relaxation, this sam ple essentially contains N ground state excitons $(S=1)$, $m$ ade of $(3 / 2)$ hole and $(-1 / 2)$ electron, the corresponding $m$ atter state being [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.j i^{\prime} \mathbb{N}_{\mathbb{F}_{N}}\right]^{1=2} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{O}}^{\mathrm{YN}} \text { jvi; } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B{ }_{0}^{y}$ creates an exciton $O=\left(\circ ; Q \circ S_{\circ}=1\right)$ in the orelative $m$ otion ground state, the exciton $m$ om entum $Q$ o being essentially the pump photon $m$ om entum . $N \mathbb{F}_{N}=$ $h v \not \mathcal{B}_{0}^{N} \mathrm{~B}_{0}^{Y_{N}^{N}}$ jvi is a norm alization factor whidh di ers from N ! due to the exciton com posite nature [15].

In S , the operator $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ adds a virtual exciton I to these N excitons O , while U destroys a virtual exciton $I^{0}$, a priori di erent from I: A s photons interact $w$ ith a sem iconductor through the virtual excitons to which they are coupled, the response function com es from the interactions of I w ith the excitons present in the sam ple. $T$ he exciton $I^{0}$ which recom bines thus results from all the interactions betw een I and jiwhich leave ji unchanged.

Ifwe now consider spins, the exciton $I^{0}$ restoring a photon must have a ( $3=2$ ) hole and a ( $1=2$ ) electron. A s ferm ions are indistinguishable, these carriers can be either the ones of I or any other sim ilar carriers. T he physical reason for $S_{+1} \in S_{1}$ then becom es transparent: If the sam ple contains $N$ holes $(3=2)$ and $N$ electrons ( $1=2$ ), the virtual exciton $I^{0}$ in $S{ }_{1}$, which regenerates a photon, can only be made of the ( $3=2$; $1=2$ )
carriers of $I$. On the opposite, $I^{0}$ in $S_{+1}$, which regenerates a + photon, can be made either of the I carriers or of any of the $N$ holes (3=2) and the $N$ electrons ( $1=2$ ) present in the sam ple. The processes in which $I^{0}$ is $m$ ade of carriers di erent from $I \mid$ one of the two carriers being possibly the sam e| are thus the ones producing $S_{+1}$ di erent from $S_{1}$.

To go further and identify these processes precisely, wem ake use of the tw o elem entary scatterings of our $m$ any-body theory for com posite excitons, nam ely the (energy-like) direct C oulom b scatterings, in which the \in" and \out" excitons keep their carriers, and the (dim ensionless) P auliscatterings in which the excitons exchange their carriers without C oulom b.

D ue to spin conservation, P auliscatterings scatter bright excitons into bright excitons if they have the sam e spin only, while (direct) C oulom b scatterings do it whatever their relative spins are. C onsequently, as the exciton $I^{0}$ has to be bright to restore a photon, carrier exchanges through Pauli scatterings between I and $O$ can produce a bright $I^{0}$ if $I$ and $O$ have sam e spin only, i. e., if the pum $p$ and the probe have the sam e circular polarization.

## 3 D ependences in detuning and exciton density

Let us now feel the physics which controls the dependence of ( $S_{+1} S_{1}$ ) on the two param eters of the problem, nam ely the probe photon detuning $=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{g}} \quad$ !, and the density $N=L^{D}$ ofexcitons in a sam ple of size $L$ in $D$ dim ension. These param eters can be associated to the dim ensionless quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
=R_{X}=; \quad=N\left(a_{x}=L\right)^{D} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $R_{x} ; a_{x}$ ) are the 3D exciton $R$ ydberg and Bohr radius.
The response function $S$ de ned in eq. (1) can be represented by the diagram of g.1a. In the \box", any P aulior C oulom b interaction between I and the N excitons O can take place, provided that the electron and hole spins of $I^{0}$ are the ones of $I$, to restore the photon.

The dependence of $S$ can be understood through dim ensional argum ents. In view ofeq.(1), $S$ a priori contains tw ocouplings, $U$ and $U^{Y}$, i. e., two Rabienergies, and one
energy denom inator, of the order of the detuning. If the excitons in the $\backslash$ box" interact via C oulom.b scatterings, other energy denom inators, i. e., detunings, must appear to com pensate the C oulom b scattering dim ension, while this is unnecessary if they interact through the dim ensionless $P$ auliscatterings. C onsequently, the leading term com es from processes w ith zero C oulom.b interaction.

W e now tum to the density dependence. P rocesses in which the exciton I interacts w th one exciton O , m ust appear w th a factor N , as there is N ways to choose this excition am ong the N excitons O . These processes produce the linear term s of $S$. In the sam e way, processes in which I interacts w ith two excitons $O$ produce the ${ }^{2}$ term S , as there are $N(\mathbb{N} \quad$ 1) ways to choose two excitons am ong $N$. A nd so on ...

Let us now visualize these various processes, using ourm ultiarm \Shiva" diagram sfor N -body exchanges:
(i) The leading term, linear in , is due to Pauli scatterings between $I$ and $p=1$ excition $O$ (see g.1b). In these processes, the excitons $I^{0}$ and $I$ have one com $m$ on carrier. O bviously, the pum $p$ and the probe $m$ ust have the sam e circular polarization for these diagram sto exist.
(ii) $T$ he leading term, quadratic in , is due to $P$ auliscatterings betw een $I$ and $p=2$ excitons O (see g.1c). In the two rst diagram $s, I^{0}$ and I have one com $m$ on carrier, while in the last diagram, their two carriers are di erent. A nd so on...for the ${ }^{p}$ term .
(iii) The next order term in com es from processes w ith one C oulomb interaction between I and the excitons $O$. In the term, only one of these $O$ excitons enters. It is constructed on the diagram s of $9.1 b$, w ith the C oulom b scattering between any two exciton lines. The ${ }^{2}$ term is constructed in a sim ilar way on the diagram s of g.1c. A nd so on ...

W e could think that processes w ith one C oulomb scattering, i. e., two detuning denom inators, should behave as ${ }^{2}$. This is m ore subtle. The virtual excitons coupled to probe photons are not necessarily ground state excitons, for no energy conservation is required for virtual processes. It tums out that the exciton extended states give a singular contribution to $S$ which, at large detuning, transform sthe na ve ${ }^{2}$ dependence into ${ }^{3=2}$. A way to understand $i$, is to say that counting processes w ith $0,1,2 \ldots$ coulom.b interactions am ounts to perform an expansion in $e^{2}$, proportional to ${ }^{1=2}$.

By calculating precisely all these contributions, we eventually nd that the expansion of the refractive index di erence in term sof and reads, for a 2D structure,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{+} \quad \mathrm{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{f} 2 \quad(4=5)^{2}+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{3}\right) g+{ }^{3=2} f 3 \quad+O\left({ }^{2}\right) g+O\left({ }^{2}\right)^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f=j \mathcal{J}=E_{p}^{0} R_{X}$ is a dim ensionless constant, with $E_{p}^{0}=E_{p}\left(a_{X}=L\right)^{D}$ and $j j$ being energies free of sam ple size (see [12] and [13]).

## 4 M ain steps of the theory

This understanding $m$ ay appear as $w$ ishfulthinkings. It actually follow from our $m$ anybody theory for com posite excitons.
(i) The C oulom b expansion of $S$, is obtained by passing the $B_{I}^{Y}$ 's of $U^{Y}$ over the $H$ am itonian, through [8]

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a} & \mathrm{H}
\end{array}\right)^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathbb{B}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{Y}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{a} & \left.\mathrm{H})^{1} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right](\mathrm{a} \tag{5}
\end{array} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{E}\right)^{1} ;
$$

which follows from $V_{I}^{Y}=\left[\mathbb{H} ; B_{I}^{Y}\right] \quad E_{i} B{ }_{I}^{Y}$, where $E_{i}$ is the I exciton energy.
This leads to split $S$ as $S^{(0)}+S^{(1)}+S^{(c o r r)}$, where $S^{(0 ; 1)}$ are zero and rst order in the \creation C oulom b potential" $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{y}}$, while $\mathrm{S}^{\text {(corr) }}$ contains all higher order term s :
$w_{i}{ }_{i}=\left(i_{i}+i 0_{+}\right)$, with $i_{i}=E_{i}$ ! being the I exciton detuning.
(ii) In $S^{(0)}$, appear the scalar products of $(\mathbb{N}+1)$ excitons. They are calculated using
which is a generalization for $N>1$, of the equation which de nes the \deviation-from boson" operator $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{m}} \circ$ [8]. This operator is then elim inated through
where the Pauli scattering $h \underset{M}{P} \underset{I}{J}$ corresponds to a hole exchange between I and $J$.

For excitons ( $\left.I^{0} ; I\right)$ coupled to the probe and excitons $O$ created by a pump with di erent wavelength, i. e., $Q_{i^{0}}=Q_{i} \in Q_{o}$, the scalar products of interest [16] in $S^{(0)}$ reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~N}^{2}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)^{2} I_{3}^{(0)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)+\text { io ; } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

with $A_{N}=N \mathbb{F}_{N}$. The processes corresponding to $I_{2 ; 3}^{(0)}$ are the carrier exchanges of gs. $1 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}$. For $a+$ pump, this leads to $S_{1}^{(0)}={ }^{P}{ }_{i} j$ i $\mathcal{J}=$ i, while

(iii) To calculate the rst order term in C oulom b scatterings $S^{(1)}$, we use
which results from the generalization for $\mathrm{N}>1$ excitons of the equation which de nes the (direct) C oulom b scatterings, nam ely

The rem aining scalar products of $(\mathbb{N}+1)$ excitons are then calculated in the same way as for $S^{(0)}$. W e end w ith

The processes of $I_{2}^{(1)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)$ are the ones of $I_{2}^{(0)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)$ w ith the C oulom b scattering before the carrier exchange, while in the ones of its com plex conjugate, it is after the exchange. (iv) By using $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime} \quad\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{I}_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)^{\mathrm{p}}$ forp N , with $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{1}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{\prime} 1+4 \quad=5+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ in 2D [15], eqs. $(11,14)$ lead to

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{+1} \quad S_{1}^{\prime} \quad\left(L=a_{x}\right)^{D} j \text { J } \quad a(!)+2 \frac{4}{5} a(!) \quad b(!)+O\left({ }^{3}\right) \quad ; \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where a (! ) precisely reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a(!)=\frac{1}{2} X_{i^{0} i} \frac{i^{0} i^{0}}{j J^{j}} @ \frac{I_{2}^{(0)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)}{i}+\frac{I_{2}^{(1)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)}{i^{0} i}+{ }^{A}+C: C:=a^{(0)}(!)+a^{(1)}!\right)+ \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $I_{2}^{(0)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right) ; I_{2}^{(1)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)$ replaced by ( $\left.L=a_{x}\right)^{D} I_{3}^{(0)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right) ; I_{3}^{(1)}\left(i^{0} ; i\right)$ to get b(! ). By perform ing the sum sover $i$ in $a(!)$ through closure relations, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{(0)}(!)={ }_{k}^{x} G_{k}(!)+\operatorname{lnj} \text { 。if }+ \text { c:c: ; } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.a^{(1)}(!)={ }_{k ; k^{0}}^{x} V_{k^{0}} h k j \circ i \not h \circ k i \quad h_{\circ} k^{0} i\right] G_{k^{0}}(!) G_{k}(!) \quad G_{k^{0}}(!)\right]+c: c: ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{k}(!)={ }^{P}{ }_{k 0 h k} j\left(h+E_{g} \quad!\right)^{1} \mathrm{k}^{0} i_{\text {, }}$ w ith $h$ being the exciton $H$ am iltonian.
These two sum s already appeared in our old work on the exciton opticalStark e ect (eqs. $(6.15,30)$ of ref. [17]). In 2 D , they read $\mathrm{a}^{(0)}(!)={ }^{1}\left[2+2^{1=2}+O()\right]$ and $a^{(1)}(!)={ }^{1}\left[{ }^{1=2}+O()\right] \mid$ while the correlation term would behave as ${ }^{1} O($ ) [18]. It is, after all, not so surprising to nd that the term s of $\left(\mathrm{S}_{+1} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$, i.e., $\left(\mathrm{n}_{+}\right.$ n ), are the ones we found in the exciton optical Stark shift, because the physics is the sam e: The excition opticalStark shift, at lowest order in pum p intensity, results from the interactions of one virtual exciton coupled to the unabsorbed pum p and one realexciton created by the absonbed probe. In the sam eway, the term of ( $S_{+_{1}} \quad S_{1}$ ) results from the interactions of tw o excitons: I coupled to the probe and one of the N excitons O .

The im portant step our m any-body theory now o ers is the possibility to go beyond lineare ects, by considering the interactions ofm ore than tw o excitons. In b(! ), I and two excitons $O$ are involved. Its large detuning contribution, $\mathrm{b}^{(0)}(!)$, constructed on $\mathrm{I}_{3}^{(0)}$ ( $\mathrm{i}^{0}$; i) in a sim lar way as for $a^{(0)}(!)$, reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{(0)}(!)=\frac{3}{2}\left(L=a_{x}\right)^{2}{ }_{k}^{x} G_{k}(!)+j k j \circ i \jmath^{4}+c: c: \quad, \quad{ }^{1}\left[12=5+O\left({ }^{1=2}\right)\right] \text {; } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $b^{(1)}(!)$ behaves as $\quad{ }^{1} O\left({ }^{1=2}\right)$.
By inserting these 2D values of $a(!)$ and $b(!)$ into eq.(15), we get the expansion of ( $n_{+} \quad n$ ) given in eq. (4).

## 5 State of the art

W e have found only onem icroscopic theory of Faraday rotation in photoexcited quantum wells. U sing a previous work on (3) [19], L. Sham and cow orkers [1] have calculated the tim e evolution of Faraday rotation as a function of the pum p-probe delay, w ith a sam ple irradiated by circular or linear pum ps. U sing a phenom enological dephasing rate, they
nd that Faraday rotation decays sm oothly for a circular pum p , while a beating arises when the pum $p$ is linear. $I f$, in $B_{0}^{y N}$, we replace $B_{0}^{y}$ by a combination of ( 1 ) excitons, the nonlinear $m$ any-body problem we here face becom es far $m$ ore com plicated. This is why we stayed w ith a circular pum $p$. Ref.[1] avoids to face this $m$ any-body problem by keeping term $s$ with one pum pexciton, not $N$ as we do. The contribution of high energy exciton bound and unbound states is also neglected. This is highly questionable even at sm all detuning: While, for 0 sm all, it is easy to replace $G_{k}(!)$ by $L{ }_{0}{ }^{1} h k j o i h o j r=0 i$ in eqs. (17-19), the correlation term $S{ }^{(c o r r)}$ is no m ore negligible in this lim it. There is how ever no way to calculate $S^{(c o r r)}$ reliably, except at large detuning [18], or when it is controlled by the biexciton, i. e., when the exciton optical Stark shift tums from blue to red [20].

## 6 C onclusion

The $m$ ain goal of this letter is to provide a physical understanding of the processes producing Faraday rotation in photoexcited sem ioonductors. This understanding heavily relies on the concepts of our new $m$ any-body theory for com posite bosons, appropriate to face problem s in which the exciton com posite nature plays a m ajor role. W e assign the refractive index di erence ( $n_{+} \quad n$ ) to interactions with the excited sem ioonductor, in which the virtualexciton which recom bines to restore the unabsorbed photon, is $m$ ade of carriers di erent from the ones of the virtual exciton coupled to the initial photon. T he linear term $s$ of ( $n_{+} \quad n$ ) in the exciton density are the ones we found in the exciton opticalStark shift, the physics they contain being identical. W e can now go beyond these linear e ects and keep a full control of the physics involved, due to the multiarm \Shiva" diagram $s$ for N -body exchanges we have recently introduced. An analytical expression of ( $n_{+} \quad n$ ) is given in term s of the probe photon detuning and the density of excitons present in the sam ple (see eqs. $(3,4)$ ). Extension of this work to $m$ ore com plicated situations such as bulk sam ples and pum p beam swinth linear polarization $w$ ill be done elsew here.
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Figure 1: (a) the \box" a priori contains any number of Pauli (exchange without Coulomb) and Coulomb (w ithout exchange) scatterings between the virtual exciton I coupled to the photon and the N excitons O present in the sam ple; the only require$m$ ent is to restore the $N$ excitons O . Electrons are represented by solid lines and holes by dashed lines, the double solid-dashed lines representing the excitons. (b,c) M ultiarm \Shiva" diagram sfor P auliscatterings between I and one or two excitons O . Faraday rotation is due to processes in which the virtual excitons I and $I^{0}$ have zero or one com $m$ on carrier.

