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#### Abstract

W e present the detailed analysis of the sphericals + p spin glass modelwith two com peting interactions: am ong $p$ spins and am ong $s$ spins. The $m$ ost interesting case is the $2+p m o d e l w$ ith p 4 for which a very rich phase diagram occurs, including, next to the param agnetic and the glassy phase represented by the one step replica sym $m$ etry breaking ansatz typical of the spherical p -spin m odel, other tw 0 am orphous phases. T ransitions betw een two contiguous phases can also be of di erent kind. The $m$ odel can thus serve as $m$ ean-eld representation of am orphous-am orphous transitions (or transitions betw een undercooled liquids of di erent structure). The m odel is analytically solvable everyw here in the phase space, even in the lim it where the in nite replica sym $m$ etry breaking ansatz is required to yield a them odynam ically stable phase.


PACS num bers: $75.10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{r}, 11.30 \mathrm{~Pb}, 05.50 .+\mathrm{q}$

Spin glasses have become in the last thirty years the source of ideas and techniques now representing a valuable theoreticalbackground for \com plex system $s$ ", w ith applications not only to the physics of am orphous $m$ aterials, but also to optim ization and assignm ent problem s in com puter science, to biology, ethology, econom y and
nance. T hese system s are characterized by a strong dependence from the details, such that their behavior cannot be rebuilt starting from the analysis of a 'cell' constituent but an approach involving the collective behavior of the whole system becom es necessary. O ne of the feature usually expressed is the existence of a large num ber of stable and $m$ etastable states, or, in other w ords, a large choice in the possible realizations of the system and a rather di cult (and therefore slow) evolution through m any, details-dependent, interm ediate steps, hunting its equilibrium state or optim al solution.
$M$ ean- eld $m$ odels have largely helped in com prehending $m$ any of the $m$ echanism $s$ yielding such com plicated structure and also have produced new theories (or com bined am ong each other old conœepts pertaining to other elds) such as, e.g., the spontaneous breaking of the replica sym $m$ etry and the ultram etric structure of states.

Am ong $m$ ean- eld $m$ odels spherical $m$ odels are analytically solvable even in the m ost com plicated cases. Up to now only sphericalm odels $w$ th one step Replica Sym m etry B reaking (1R SB) phases w ere studied, $m$ ain ly due to their relevance for the fragile glass transition ${ }^{1424}$ The possibility of the existence of Full Replica Sym metry B reaking ( $F$ R SB) phases in-sphericalm odelswas rst pointed out by $N$ ieuw enhuizen $n^{4}$ on the basis of the sim ilarity betw een the replica free energy of som e spherical m odels w ith m ulti-spin interactions and the relevant part of the fifee energy of the Sherrington-K irkpatrick (SK ) m odelt ${ }^{1516}$ A com plete analysis, how ever, w as not provided up to, now. T he problem has been considered som e years laterl' in connection w ith the possible di erent sqenarios for the criticaldynam ics near the glass transition 18 there-
fore analyzing only the dynam icalbehavior in the 1R SB phase.

The m odel we present here, the $2+\mathrm{p}$ spherical spin glassm odel, displays four di erent phases: together with the replica sym $m$ etric, the 1RSB and the FRSB phases also a new phase occurs. Theevidence for the existence of such peculiar am onphous phase has been rst presented in Ref. 'g and, for what concems the organization of the states, it seem $s$ to yield the properties of a glass up to the rst level of the ultram etric tree (i.e. inside and just outside a valley of the free energy landscape) and those of a spin-glass above.

C oncentrating on the study of am onphous $m$ aterials, in recent years som e evidence has been collected for the existence of am onphous to am orphous transition (AAT), in certain glass-form ing substances. O ne w ay of looking at an AAT has been to consider the kinetics of the coordination transform ation occurring in strong glasses such as the vitreous $G$ erm ania ( $\mathrm{GeO}_{2}$ - from fourfold to sixfold coordination rising the pressure) and Silica ( $\mathrm{SiO} \mathrm{O}_{2}-$ from tetrahedral to octahedral coordination) toll E xactly as for the liquid-glass transition also this transition is not a them odynam ic one, but it am ounts to a qualitative change of the (slow) relaxation dynam ics, apparently expressing a recom bination of the glass structure (see also the num erical sim ulations of $R$ ef. "II', for a di erent point of view). A nother kind of pressure induced AAT takes place in densi ed porous silicon, where the high-density am orphous Sitransform-s into a low density am orphous Siupon decom pression ${ }^{12}$ A -sim ilar transition also takes place in underoooled water ${ }^{1} 3^{31}$

Theoretical m odels have been introduced to describe an AAT. As, for instance, a m odel of hard-core repulsive colloidal particle subject to a short-range attractive petential that induces the particle to stick to each other Theory (MCT) it has been shown that the interplay of the attractive and repulsive $m$ echanism $s$ results in the
existence of a high (er) tem perature \repulsive" glass, where the hard-core repulsion is responsible for the freezing in of $m$ any degrees of freedom and the kinetic arrest, and a low (er) tem perature \attractive" glass that is energetically $m$ ore favored than the other one but only occurs when the them al excitation of the particles is rather sm all. Such theoretical and num erical predictions seem-, to, have been successfully tested in recent experim ents 1 is the spherical p-spin $m$ odel on lattice gas of $C$ aiazzo et allow where an o equilibrium Langevin dynam ics is considered, thus going beyond the M CT assum ption of equilibrium. T he $m$ odelwe consider here m ight, as well, be a good $m$ ean- eld representative of an am onphousam onphous transition.

The goal of this paper is to give a detailed discussion of the di erent solutions describing the low tem perature phase of the spherical $2+\mathrm{p}$ spin glass m odel. A s it happens in system sw ith a phase described by a 1R SB solution one $m$ ust distinguish betw een the \static solution" obtained from the partition function and the \dynam iq solution" obtained from the relaxation dynam ics ${ }^{2} 421,1,2!$ To keep the length of the paper reasonable we shall consider in detail only the static approach and introduce the the dynam ic solution w the help of the com plexity ${ }^{23!}$ $T$ he com plete dynam ic approach $w$ ill be presented elsewhere.

In section I we present the spherical $2+\mathrm{p}$ spin-glass m odel. In section the help of the replica trick ${ }^{4}-$ and the $P$ arisi replica sym $m$ etry breaking schem e ${ }^{25}$ four di erent phases occur, together w th the relative transitions betw een them. T he nature of the phases is thoroughly discussed and analyticalexact solutions for order param eters, transition lines and them odynam ic functions are provided all over the param eter space. In section $\overline{\text { III }}$ the existence of an exponential num ber of energetically degenerate pure states is considered by analyzing the complexity function. The connection betw een the \m arginalcondition" ( $m$ axim um of the complexity in free energy) and the dynam ical solution leads, in section INI, to the discussion of the latter in those cases where it di ers from the static one. In A ppendix A and B we show, respectively, the P arisi anti-parabolic equation for the $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odel, and its analytical solution. In appendix $C$ som e basic features of the behavaipr, of the $m$ uch sim pler $s+p m o d e l(s, p>2)$ are given ${ }^{7} \frac{2}{2}-2$ E ventually, in appendix D, a proof is given that no phases other than those here presented exist for the spherical $2+p$ spin glass $m$ odel.

## I. THE MODEL

The spherical $2+p$ spin glass $m$ odel is de ned by the H am iltonian
where $p$ is an integer equal or larger than 3 and $i$ are $N$ continuous real spin variables which range from 1 to +1 sub ject to the global spherical constraint

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} & 2 \\
\mathrm{i} & =\mathrm{N}:  \tag{2}\\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{i}=1$
The coupling strengths $J_{i_{1}}^{(p):: i_{p}}(p=2 ; 3 ;:::)$ are quenched independent identical distributed zero $m$ ean $G$ aussian variables of variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{J_{i_{1} i_{2}:: i_{p}}^{(p)}}{ }^{2}=\frac{p!J_{p}^{2}}{2 N p^{1}} ; \quad i_{1}<\quad p:<i \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scaling w ith the system size $N$ ensures an extensive free energy and hence a wellde ned therm odynam ic lim it N ! 1 . W thout loosing in generality one $m$ ay take either $J_{2}$ or $J_{p}$ equal to 1 since this only am ounts in a rescaling of the tem perature T. To keep the discussion as sim ple as possible in this paper we shall not consider the e ect of an extemal eld coupled linearly w ith the spin variables $i$.

The properties of the $m$ odel strongly depend on the value of $p$. For $p=3$ the $m$ odel reduces. $f 0$ the usual spherical p-spin spin glass model in a elan' with a low tem perature phase described by a 1RSB solution. For $p>3$ the model exhibits di erent low tem perature phases which, depending on the tem perature and the ratio $J_{p}=J_{2}$ betw een the strength of the non-harm onic and the harm onic parts of the $H$ am iltonian, are described by 1RSB and/or FRSB solutions.

## II. THE STATIC SOLUTION

The static solution is obtained from the $m$ inim um of the free-energy functional com puted from the partition function. The model contains quenched disorder and hence the partition finnction $m$ ust be com puted for $x e d$ disorder realization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\left[\mathrm{~J}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{J}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right]=\operatorname{Tr} \exp \quad \mathrm{H}\left[\mathrm{~J}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{J}^{(p)} ;\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $=1=\mathrm{T} . \mathrm{W}$ e have explicitly show n the dependence of the H am iltonian on the realization of the random couplings to stress that $Z_{N}$ is itself a function of the couplings realization. T he trace over the spins is de ned as ${ }^{2}$
and includes the spherical constraint $(\underline{2})$. As a consequence $\operatorname{Tr}(1)$ is equal to the surface of the $N$ dim ensional sphere of radius $\mathrm{N}^{1=2}$ and its logarithm gives the entropy of the $m$ odelat in nite tem perature.
$T$ he partition function $Z_{N}$ is a random variable, therefore the quenched free energy per spin is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} \overline{\ln Z_{\mathrm{N}}\left[\mathrm{~J}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{J}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right]} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where here, and in the follow ing, ( ) denotes the averagw hose utility w illbe clear in a short while.
over the realizations of all couplings in the H am iltonian: Z

$$
\overline{( } \quad) \in \mathbb{A}\left[J^{(2)}\right] d P\left[J^{(p)}\right](\quad)
$$

The therm odynam ic $\lim$ it $N$ ! 1 of the free energy $=\lim _{\mathrm{N}}!{ }_{1} \mathrm{~N}$ is well de ned and is equal to the lim it $\lim _{\mathrm{N}}!1 \ln \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\left[\mathrm{J}^{(2)} ; \mathrm{J}^{(\mathrm{p})}\right]=\mathrm{N}$ for alm ost all coupling realizations (self-average property).
$T$ he analytic com putation of the quenched free energy, i.e., of the average of the logarithm of the partition function, is quite a di cult problem, even in simple cases as nearest neighbor one dim ensionalm odels. Since the integer $m$ om ents $\overline{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{n}}}$ of the partition function are easier to com pute, the standard $m$ ethod to evaluate ( $(\underline{G})$ uses the so called \replica trick" by considering the annealed free energy ( $n$ ) $, ~, \otimes f_{1} n_{1}$ non-interacting identical replicas' of the system

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{n})=\lim _{\mathrm{N}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{l} \mathrm{~N}^{2}} \mathrm{ln} \overline{\ln } \overline{\left(Z_{N}\left[J^{(2)} ; J^{(p)}\right]\right)^{n}}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quenched free energy is then recovered as the continuation of $(\mathrm{n})$ dow n to the unphysical $\lim$ it $\mathrm{n}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\lim _{N!1} \lim _{n!} \frac{\overline{\left(Z_{N}\left[J^{(2)} ; J^{(p)}\right]\right)^{n}}}{N} n=\lim _{n!0}(n): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the last equality we assum ed that the replica lim it $\mathrm{n}!0$ and the therm odynam ic $\lim$ it $N$ ! 1 can be exchanged. The existernae of such a lim it has been recently rigorously proved 32 ,33

The replica $m$ ethod gives a sim ple way of perform ing the disorder average, at the expenses of introducing an e ective interaction am ong di erent replicas in the $n-$ dim ensional replica space. T he interested reader can nd a detailed presentation of the replica $m$ ethod for disor-
 case of sphericalm odels in Ref. [2]

A pplying the replica $m$ ethod the integer $m$ om ents of the partition function of the spherical $2+p$ spin glass m odel can be written, neglecting all unnepessary constants and term $s$ irrelevant for $N$ ! 1 , as?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{Z_{N}^{n}}=e^{\mathrm{nNs}(1)^{Z}} \underset{q>0}{<} \quad \mathrm{Y} \quad e^{\mathrm{NG}[q]} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(1)=(1+\ln 2)=2$ is the entropy per spin at in nite tem perature and $G[q]$ the functional:

$$
\begin{align*}
& G[q]=\frac{1}{2}^{x^{; n}} g(q)+\frac{1}{2} \ln \operatorname{det} q  \tag{11}\\
& g(x)=\frac{2}{2} x^{2}+\frac{p}{p} x^{p}: \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $p=\left(J_{p}\right)^{2} p=2$. W e also introduce the tw $o$ additional functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\frac{d}{d x} g(x) ; \quad(x)=\frac{d}{d x} \quad(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he sym $m$ etric $n \quad n$ real $m$ atrix $q$ is the replica overlap $m$ atrix
(7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{1}{N}_{i=1}^{\mathrm{XN}^{\mathrm{N}}} \quad i ; \quad ; \quad=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spherical constraint, Eq. $(\bar{Z})$, im plies that the diagonal elem ents of the $m$ atrix $q$ are all equal to one: $\mathrm{q}=\overline{\mathrm{q}}=1$.
In the them odynam ic $\lim$ it N ! 1 the integrals in (10응) can be evaluated by the saddle point $m$ ethod and the quenched free energy per spin reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=s(1)+\lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where G [q] m ust be evaluated on the solution of the saddle point equation which, in the $n!0$ lim 斗, reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)+\left(q^{1}\right)=0 ; \quad \in: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stability of the saddle point calculation requires that the quadratic form
X

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)(q)^{2}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(q^{1} q\right)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

m ust be positive de nite. Here above $q=q \quad(=$ . ) is the uctuation of $f$ from the saddle point value (1G). D etails of the derivation of these equations can be found in Ref. [12].
$T$ he structure of the overlap $m$ atrix $q$ re ects the organization of the di erent them odynam ic states, called pure states, in which each replica can be found. This how ever does not follow from the replica calculation and therefore to evaluate explicitly G [q] som e ansatz on the structure of $q$ m ust be im posed.

## A. The Replica Sym m etric Solution (R S )

T he sim plest ansatz is the one in which all replicas are in the sam e pure state, so that q cannot depend on the replica indexes:

$$
q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q \tag{18}
\end{array}\right) \quad+q:
$$

$T$ his is called the Replica Sym $m$ etric ( R ) ansatz. This assum ption is reasonable for coupling strengths not too large or high tem peratures, i.e., 2 and p smallenough. In both cases, indeed, the system can explore alm ost the whole available phase space so that di erent replicas will be found in the sam e pure state.
 gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}]=\mathrm{g}(1) \quad \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{q})+\ln (1 \quad \mathrm{q})+\frac{\mathrm{q}}{1 \quad \mathrm{q}} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the solution of the RS saddle point equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (q) } \frac{q}{(1 \mathrm{q})^{2}}={ }_{2} q^{+}{ }_{p} q^{p} \quad \frac{q}{(1 \mathrm{q})^{2}}=0 \text { : } \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In absence of extemal elds the saddle point equation alw ays adm its the \param agnetic" solution $q=0$. How ever since $q=(1 \quad q)^{2}$ diverges as $q!1$ and vanishes for $q=0$ forparticularly chosen values of the param eters $p$ and 2 there $m$ ay also be solutions with $0<q<1$.
. T he RS solution is stable, i.e. the quadratic form (17 $\overline{7}_{1}$ ) is positive de nite for $n$ ! 0 , provided that the eigenvalue ${ }^{34}$

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =(q)+\frac{1}{(1 \quad q)^{2}} \\
& =2 p(p \quad 1) q^{2}+\frac{1}{(1 \quad q)^{2}} \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

is positive. If $q \in 0$ then dividing the saddle point equation ( $2 \underline{2}^{\prime}$ ) by $q$ and adding the result to ( $22_{1}^{\prime}$ ) one gets that the requirem ent ${ }_{1}>0$ is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(p \quad 2) q^{2}>0: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This inequality cannot be satis ed for any $q>0$, thuswe are left w th the $q=0$ solution only.

For $q=0$ the eigenvalue 1 reduces to $1=1 \quad 2$. $T$ herefore, in the ( $p ; 2$ ) plane the param agnetic RS solution $q=0$ is stable everyw here below the $2=1$ line, which represents the DeA 1 m eida-T houless line ${ }^{39}$ of the model.

The instability of the param agnetic solution is due to the presence of the quadratic term in the H am iltonian. If this is $m$ issing, as for exam ple in the spherical $3+p$ spin glassm odelin which the tw o-body interaction is replaced by a three-body interaction, the param agnetic solution is stable everyw here in the phase space, sim ilarly to what happens for the sphericalp-spin $m$ odelw ithout a eld
B. The O ne Step R eplica Sym m etry B reaking Solution (1RSB)

The stability of the RS solution $q=0$ does not depend on $p$. H ow ever, from the analogies w ith the sphericalpspin spin glass m odelwe expect that for $p$ large enough a solution $w$ ith a non vanishing order param eter of the 1R SB type $m$ ight lead to a them odynam ically $m$ ore favorable phase.

The 1R SB solution corresponds to group the $n$ replicas into $n=m$ clusters of $m$ replicas. A ny two replicas
\& $\quad$ w th in the sam e cluster have overlap $q_{1}$, whereas replicas in di erent clusters have overlap $\mathscr{G}<\mathcal{G}_{1}$. As a consequence the $n \quad n$ q matrix breaks down into ( $n=m$ ) ( $n=m$ ) blocks of dim ension $m \quad m$. If the elem ent q with belongs to one of the diagonal block then $q=q_{1}$, otherw ise $q=q_{0}$. The overlap
$m$ atrix for the 1RSB ansatz can be conveniently $w$ ritten as:

$$
q=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & q \tag{23}
\end{array}\right)+\left(q_{1} \quad q\right) \quad+q_{0}
$$

where the $m$ atrix is de ned as

$$
=\begin{align*}
& 1 \text { if and are in a diagonalblock }  \tag{24}\\
& 0 \text { otherw ise }
\end{align*}
$$

By plugging this form of $q$ into the Eq. (1-1) one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}]= & g(1) \quad g(q)+m\left[g\left(q_{1}\right) \quad g(q)\right] \\
& +\frac{q_{0}}{\left(q_{0}\right)}+\frac{1}{m} \ln \quad\left(q_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{\mathrm{m}}{\mathrm{~m}} \ln \quad\left(q_{1}\right): \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

where, for later convenience, we have de neisá

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(q_{1}\right)=1 \quad q  \tag{26}\\
& \left(q_{0}\right)=1 \quad q+m\left(q_{1} \quad q\right): \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

The saddle point equations for $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$ in the lim it $n!0$, obtained either from (1-G) or directly from stationarity of $\left(\underline{2} \overline{5}_{1}^{1}\right)$ w th respect to variations of $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$, read
(q) $\quad \frac{q_{0}}{\left(q_{0}\right)^{2}}=0$
(q)
(ब) $\frac{q_{1}}{\left(q_{1}\right)}\left(q_{0}\right) \quad=0$
The solution of these equations depends on the value of $m$ that, in the lim it $n!0$, is restricted to the interval $0 \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$. In principle any value of $m$ which leads to a stable 1R SB solution can be chosen. H ow ever in the spirit of the saddle point calculation perform ed to evaluate the free energy we choose for any value of, $p$ 's the value ofm which $m$ in in ize the functional $G[q]]^{37}$. $T$ his leads to the additional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(q_{1}\right) \quad g(q) & +\frac{1}{m}\left(q_{0}\right) \\
& q_{0}  \tag{30}\\
\left(q_{0}\right)^{2} & \left(q_{1} \quad q\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{m^{2}} \ln \frac{\left(q_{1}\right)}{\left(q_{0}\right)}=0:
\end{align*}
$$

The stability analysis of the 1 RSB saddle show s that in the lim it $n!0$ the 1 R SB solution is stable as long as the 1RSB eigenvalues ${ }^{3}$ 4!

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{1}^{(1)}=(\mathbb{q})+\frac{1}{\left(q_{1}\right)^{2}}  \tag{31}\\
& { }_{0}^{(3)}=(\mathbb{q})+\frac{1}{\left(q_{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

are both positive.
T he saddle point equation (2-8_) adm its alw ays the solution $q_{0}=0$. It $m$ ay also have solutions $w$ ith $0<q_{0}<1$,
how ever by using argum ents sim ilar to those that lead to the inequality (22) for the RS solution, one can show that in absence of extemal eld any 1RSB solution $w$ th $q_{0}>0$ is unstable since it has a negative ${ }_{0}^{(3)}{ }^{3 g}$
$T$ he 1RSB saddle point equations for $q_{1}$ and $m$ can be solved for any $p$ using the sam e procedure used for the spherical p-spin spin glass model. The rst step is to obtain $g\left(q_{1}\right)$ from equation ( $3 \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{O}}$ ) [w ith $\mathrm{q}_{0}=0$ ] and divide it by $q_{i}$ (q). T hen using the saddle point equation (2응) [w th $\left.q_{0}=0\right]$ to express $(q)$ one ends up w th the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \frac{g\left(q_{1}\right)}{q_{1} \quad(q)}=z(y) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{z}(\mathrm{y})=2 \mathrm{y} \frac{1}{(1 \quad \mathrm{y}+\ln \mathrm{y}}\right)^{2} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the aluxiliary $z$-function introduced by $C$ risanti and Som $m$ ersis (CS) for the solution of the spherical $p$-spin spin glass model, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{y} \quad \frac{\left(q_{1}\right)}{\left(q_{0}\right)}=\frac{1 \quad q}{1 \quad q+m q_{1}} ; \quad 0 \quad y \quad 1: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using $y$ and $m$ as free param eters eqs. (2-q) with $q_{0}=$ 0 ] and (331) can be solved for ( $\mathrm{p} ; 2$ ). A straightforw ard algebra leads to:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.p=\frac{p}{(p \quad 2)} \frac{[1 \quad z(y)]}{q_{1}^{p}{ }^{2}(1} \quad q\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \left.q+m \quad q_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right. \\
& =\frac{p}{(\mathrm{p} \quad 2)} \frac{\left(1 \quad \mathrm{y}+\mathrm{m} \mathrm{Y}^{\rho}\right.}{\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{y}\left(1 \quad \mathrm{y}^{\mathrm{P}}\right.}[1 \quad \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{y})] \text {; }  \tag{36}\\
& \left.2=\frac{1}{(p \quad 2)} \frac{[p z(y) \quad 2]}{(1 \quad q)(1 \quad q+m q i}\right) \\
& =\frac{(1 \quad y+m y)^{2}}{m^{2} y} \frac{[p z(y) \quad 2]}{(p \quad 2)}: \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

By xing the value ofm in the interval $[0 ; 1]$ and varying $y$ these equations represent the param etric equations of the so called $m$-lines in the ( $p ; 2$ ) plane. By de nition y can take any value betw een 0 and 1 included, how ever, from Eq. $\left.\left.{ }^{(3-1}\right]_{1}\right)$ we see that since $z(0)=0, \quad 2$ becom es negative fory su ciently close to 0 . Setting $2=0$ from Eq. $\left(3 \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \mathrm{z}(\mathrm{y}) \quad 2=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives the $m$ inim um value $y_{m}$ in of $y$. The CS $z-$ function (3-3') is a $m$ onotonous increasing function of $y$ varying in the range $0 \quad z \quad 1$, as a consequence, $p$ is alw ays non-negative.

A second condition on $y$ com es form the stability analysis of the 1RSB solution. A simple inspection shows that ${ }_{1}^{(1)}>{ }_{0}^{(3)}$ so that the condition which $m$ arks the
lim it of the stability of the 1 RSB solutions is $\int_{0}^{(3)}=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=\frac{1}{\left(1 \quad q+m q_{1}\right)^{2}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing now Eq. ( $\overline{3}_{2} \bar{T}_{1}$ ) one gets the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p z(y) \quad 2 \quad(p \quad 2) y=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose solution gives $y_{m a x}$, the $m$ axim um value of $y$ for the 1RSB solution.

B oth boundary values $y_{m}$ in and $y_{m}$ ax are functions of p only. For exam ple, for $p=3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}=0: 354993::: ; \quad y_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=1 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ hile for $p=4$

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in }=0: 195478::: ; \quad Y_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}=0: 389571::: \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he fact that for $p=3$ the $m$ axim um is $y_{m}$ ax $=1 \mathrm{~m}$ akes the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odeldi erent from any other $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odelw ith p>3, as we shall see in a while.

From the stability condition (3) it follows that if the quadratic term in the H am iltonian were m issing, as for the already $m$ entioned $3+\mathrm{pmodel}$, then the 1R SB solution w ould be stable everyw here. In the A ppendioes iCi and 'Di' we shall show that indeed in this case the 1 RSB' solution is the only possible non-trivial solution, besides the RS solution.

## 1. The Transition L ines betw een the P aram agnet and the 1R SB-G lass phase

To nd the transition lines which bound the 1RSB phasewe start by noting that the $m$-lines do not cross and that the value of 2 forwhich the 1R SB becom es unstable increases as m decreases, see Eq. (3'192). M oreover, all $m$-lines start from $2=0$. As a consequence the rst $m-$ line one encounters in $m$ oving from the RS phase at xed $z_{2}<1$ and increasing $p$ is the $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$. This line, which $m$ arks the transition between the RS (para$m$ agnetic) phase and the 1RSB (glass) phase, starts on the $p$ axis at the point $p=p\left(y_{m}\right.$ in $\left.; m=1\right)$ and goes up to the point $p=p\left(y_{m a x} ; m=1\right)$ and $2=1$, as can be easily seen from Eq. (3여) evaluated for $m=1$.

The transition betw een the RS $(q=0)$ and the 1RSB ( $q_{1} \in 0, q_{0}=0$ ) phases is not due to an instability but occurs because the 1R SB solution leads to a therm odynam ically $m$ ore favorable state. Since we are dealing $w$ ith the replica trick, thism eans that the 1R SB solution yields a value of the, free energy functional (1-5) larger than the RS solution 3?

Thismechanism resembles that of ordinary rst order transitions, and indeed the order param eter qi jum ps discontinuously from zero to a nite value, and vioe-versa, at the transition. H ow ever, the free energy rem ains continuous across the transition \{at $m=1$ the free energies of


FIG.1:TheRS and 1RSB phases for the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the ( 4 ; 2) plane. T he thick lines are the transition lines betw een di erent phases.
the two solutions are equal\{ and no discontinuity occurs in its rst derivatives.

The 1RSB solution becom es unstable when $\int_{0}^{(3)}=0$. $T$ his leads to a second transition line whose param etric equation in the $\left(\underline{p} ;{\underset{2}{2}}_{2}\right)$ plane is obtained by setting $y=$ $Y_{m}$ ax into eqs. (3G)-(3T1) and varying $m$ from 1 , to 0 . For $m$ ! 0 the values of both $p$ and 2 diverge but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\lim _{m!0} \frac{2}{p}=\frac{\left(1 \quad \mathrm{ynax}^{)^{\mathrm{p} 2}[2}(\mathrm{p}\right.}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{Hax}}\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the 1RSB phase does not cover the full $\backslash$ low tem perature" phase of the $m$ odel.

In $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{1}$, the transition lines found so far are shown togetherw ith the $m$-lines $w$ ith $m=0: 7 ; 0: 5$. In the gure $p=4$, but any $p>3$ leads to a qualitatively sim ilar scenario.
$T$ he case $p=3$ is specialbecause inserting $y=y_{m a x}=$ 1 into eqs. $\left.(\overline{3} \bar{G})-(3)_{1}\right)$ one ends up $w$ ith

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & =m  \tag{44}\\
2 & =1
\end{array} \quad 0 \quad m \quad 1
$$

A long this line $q_{1}=0$, see e.g. Eq. (3) 3 ), and the $1 R$ SB solution reduces to the RS solution. W e have seen that the RS solution becom es unstable for $2=1$ thus the critical line (441) marks the transition between the RS and the 1RSB phases. The transition is continuous in both the free energy and the order param eter $q_{1}$. The transition lines for the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odel are show n in F igure华. In conclusion the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odel presents only one low tem perature" phase of 1R SB type and, in this respect, is equizalent to the spherical p-spin spin glass model in a eld ${ }^{2} 2$
C. TheoneFull Replica Sym m etry B roken Solutions (1¥RSB)

From Figure and 1RSB solutions do not cover the whole phase space


F IG .2: The phase diagram of the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the ( 3 ; 2 ) plane. The thick lines are the transition lines between the RS and 1RSB phases. In particular the thick dashed line, the $m$-line with $m=1$, is the discontinuous transition while the horizontal thick full line is the continuous transition.
of the $2+\mathrm{pmodel}$. In the region where both the $\mathrm{R} S$ and 1R SB solutions are unstable the organization of pure states has a m ore com plex structure which cannot be described by a simple 1RSB ansatz which groups them into $n=m$ equivalent clusters. Therefore, to describe this region one $m$ ust allow for clusters of di erent type. To this end each one of the $n=m$ chusters is divided it into $m=m_{1}$ sub-cluster ofsize $m_{1}$. If the procedure is repeated $R$ tim es, dividing at each step the sm allest clusters into yet sm aller clusters, one has the R R SB ansatz in which the replica sym $m$ etry is broken $R$-tim es. The overlap $q$ betw een tw o replicas depends on the num ber of divisions separating the o spring clusters to which the replicas and belong from the com $m$ on ancestor cluster.

A sim ple way proposed by P arisi ${ }^{51}$ t to param etrize the overlap $m$ atrix $q$ for $R$ steps in the replica sym $m$ etry breaking consists in dividing $q$ into successive boxes of decreasing size $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{p}_{0}=\mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{R}+1}=1$, and assigning the elem ents $q$ of the $m$ atrix $q$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \quad q \backslash=r=q_{r} ; \quad r=0 ; \quad ; R+1 \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th $1=q_{R+1}>q_{R}>\quad{ }_{1}>q_{0}$. Thenotation $\backslash=r$ $m$ eans that and belong to the sam e box of size $p_{r}$ but to tw o distinct boxes of size $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}+1}<\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$.

Inserting this form of q into Eq. (111) one gets w ith standard $m$ anipulations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{2}{n} G[q]=g(1)+X_{r=0}^{X^{R}}\left(p_{r} \quad p_{+1}\right) g\left(q_{r}\right) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{r}$ is the Replica Fourier $T$ ransform of $q$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{r}=\mathrm{RX}_{\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{X}^{+1}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{s}}\left(q_{s} \quad q_{1}\right) \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The number $R$ is arbitrary. Setting $R=0$ or $R=1$ one recovers respectively the RS and the 1 RSB expressions, the latter $w$ th $m=p_{1}$, while for $R!1$ one gets the 1 RSB solution or Full Replica Sym metry B roken (FRSB) solution. In this lim it the di erences $\mathrm{P}+1 \quad \mathrm{P}$ become in nitesim al and the set of overlaps fa; ::; $q_{R} g$ is replaced in the lim it $n!0$ by a non-decreasing continuous finction $q(x)$ de ned on the intervalx $2[0 ; 1]$.
$T$ he free energy functional (4-G) for the $P$ arisi $R ~ R S B$ ansatz can be conveniently expressed by using the function

$$
x(q)=p_{0}+X_{r=0}^{X^{R}}\left(p_{r+1} \quad \text { pq }\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & q \tag{48}
\end{array}\right)
$$

which equals the fraction of pair of replicas $w$ th overlap $q$ less or equal to $q$. $W$ ith this de nition, and replacing the sum sby integrals, one obtains, after a little ofalgebra

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}]= & { }^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dq} \times(\mathrm{q}) \quad \text { (q) }  \tag{49}\\
& { }^{{ }^{0} Z}{ }_{\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{\mathrm{dq}}{\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{dq}^{0} \times\left(\mathrm{q}^{0}\right)}+\ln (1
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ his expression is valid for any $R$, and hence also for the FRSB solution. In the lim it R ! $1, q_{r}$ becom es continuous and we can de ne $q(x)$ as the inverse of $x(q)$. It can be show $n$ that $d x(q)=d q$ gives the probability density of overlapst ${ }^{514} 41$.

It is easy to verify that taking for $q(x)=0$ or $q(x)=$ $q_{1}$ ( $\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{m}$ ) the above functional reduces to-those found w ith the RS and 1RSB ansatzs, respectively ${ }^{42}$ -

The FRSB solution with a continuous $q(x)$ was intros duced to describe the spin-glass phase of the SK m odel, ${ }^{5 \prime \prime}$ and since then it has been found in $m$ any other related m odels. A continuous order param eter function $q(x)$ is, how ever, not generalenough to describe the state of the $2+\mathrm{p}$ m odel w ith $\mathrm{p}>3$ in the whole param eter space. From the stability analysis of the 1RSB solution we see indeed that the instability occurs because the eigenvalue
${ }_{0}^{(3)}$ vanishes. This eigenvalue is associated w ith uctuations that involve the overlaps of one cluster as a whole w th the other clusters as a whole ${ }^{2}$ R oughly speaking these uctuations are sim ilar to uctuations in the RS phase w th single replicas replaced by the clusters of $m$ replicas considered as single entities. A s a consequence we expect that, as it happens for the uctuations in the RS phase, a non zero overlap $q_{0}$ between clusters would stabilize the uctuations. The solution how ever cannot be of 1R SB type since we have seen that any 1R SB solution w ith $\left.q_{0}\right\}$ is unstable.

B ased on the analogy w ith the instability of RS solution w ith clusters playing the role of single replicas, it tums out that the correct ansatz for the $2+\mathrm{pmodel}$ $w$ ith $p>3$ is a mixture of $1 R S B$ and FRSB, which we have called 1FRSB solution $1^{19}$ described by a discontinuous order param eter function in the interval $[0 ; 1]$ of the


FIG. 3: Schem atic form of the order param eter function $q(x)$ in the 1FRSB phase.
form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
q(x)) & q_{1} & \text { for } x>m  \tag{50}\\
q(x) & \text { for } x<m
\end{array}
$$

where $q(x)$ is a non-decreasing continuous function in the sem i-open intervalx $2[0 ; \mathrm{m}) \underline{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{w}$ th $\lim _{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{g}_{0}<$ $q_{1}$ and $q(0)=0,{ }^{38} \operatorname{sex} F$ ig. ${ }_{3} 1$. For $q_{0}=q_{1}$ one recovers the FRSB solution 43. In appendix we show that the 1FRSB solution is the only other possible non-trivial solution, beside the 1RSB ( $m$ odeling a m ean- eld glass) and the FRSB ( $m$ odeling a spin-glass) ones, for the $2+p$ $m$ odelw ith $p>3$. It is interesting to note that this solution also follow s by solving num erically in the whole interval $[0 ; 1]$ the $P$ arisi equations derived from the stationarity of the functional ( $44 \mathbf{g}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) w ith respect to order pa-
 di erentialequation is solved num erically by m eans of a pseudo spectral technique, see e.g. Ref. [44'], w thout
xing a priori any special ansatz for $q(x)$.
$T$ he free energy functional for the 1FRSB ansatz can be obtained either by inserting the explicit form ( 5 ( $0_{1}^{1}$ ) of $q(x)$ into $E q$. (4G), or by taking in Eq. (4G) $p_{R}=m$, $q_{R}=q_{1}, q_{R}=q_{0}$ and $p_{R} \quad R_{R} 1$ nite as $R$ ! 1 . In both cases one ends up with

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{m \quad 1}{m} \ln (1 \quad q)+\frac{1}{m} \ln \quad\left(q_{0}\right) \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=1 \quad q+m\left(q_{1} \quad q\right)+{ }_{q}^{Z} d q^{0} \times\left(q^{0}\right) \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Stationarity of the free energy functional with respect to $q(x)$ and $q_{1}$ leads to the 1FRSB saddle point
equations：

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\int_{0}^{Z} \frac{d q}{{ }^{2}(q)} ; \quad 0 \quad q \quad \text { Q } \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (q) } \quad(q)=\frac{q_{1} \quad q}{\left(q_{1}\right) \quad\left(q_{0}\right)}: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally $m$ axim ization $w$ ith respect to $m$ leads to the ad－ ditional equation

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(q_{1}\right) \quad g(q)= & \frac{1}{m\left(q_{0}\right)} \\
& \frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \ln \frac{\left(q_{1}\right)}{\left(q_{0}\right)} \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\text { (ब) } \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q_{1} & q)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The 1FRSB saddle point equations（ $\left.\overline{5} \overline{4}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and（ $\left.\overline{5} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are form ally equal to the 1RSB saddle point equations（2d） and（ $3 \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ）and hence can be solved for any $\mathrm{p} w$ th the help of the $\bar{C} S$ z－function．Indeed by using eqs．（54）and（5는） it is easy to verify that

$$
2 \frac{g\left(q_{1}\right)}{} \begin{array}{llll}
\left(q_{i}\right. & g(q) & (q) & (q)  \tag{56}\\
(q) & (q)]
\end{array}=z(y)
$$

where $z(y)$ is given by Eq．（3－4）and $y$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.y=\frac{\left(q_{1}\right)}{\left(q_{0}\right)} \quad \frac{1}{1} q_{1}+m\left(q_{1} \quad q\right)\right] \quad ; \quad y \quad 1: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The saddle point equation（ 5 （53 ${ }^{3}$ ）is not easy to use as it stands．D i erentiating both sides with respect to $q$ to elim inate the integral one gets the $m$ ore $m$ anageable form ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{q})=\frac{1}{(\mathrm{q})^{2}} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

 be solved for（ $p ; 2$ ）as function of $m$ and $t=q_{0}=q_{1}$ ． A fter a straightforw ard algebra one ends up with
where for any $0 \quad t \quad 1, y$ is solution of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& p(1 \quad t) 1 \quad(p \quad 1) t^{2}+(p \quad 2) e^{1} z(y) \\
& \mathrm{p} \quad 2 \mathrm{pt}+\mathrm{p} \mathrm{t}^{1} \quad(\mathrm{p} \quad 2) \mathrm{t} \mathrm{y} \quad 2+\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{p} \quad 1)^{2} \\
& 2 p\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & 2
\end{array}\right)^{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & 1
\end{array}\right)(\mathrm{p} \quad 2) \text { 解 }=0 \tag{61}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left.p=\frac{[1 \quad y+m y(1 \quad t}{}\right)^{p}\right] \\
& \frac{1}{\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & (p & 1
\end{array}\right) \text { 地 }^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & 2
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{e}^{1}} \text {; }  \tag{59}\\
& z=\frac{\left[1 \quad y+m y(1 \quad t)^{2}\right]}{m^{2} y(1 \quad t)^{2}} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations（ 5 － 9 ）$-\left(6 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ are the param etric equations of the 1FRSB $m$－linesw hich are draw $n$ in the（ $p ; 2$ ）plane by xing the value ofm in the interval $[0 ; 1]$ and varying $t$ from 0 to 1．The1FRSB $m$－line begins for $t=0$ at the boundary w th the 1RSB phase and ends fort $=1$ where the 1FRSB solution goes over to the FRSB solution． $T$ he values ofy for this lim iting cases are：

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
t=0 & p z(y) \quad 2 \quad(p \quad 2) y=0 \\
t=1 & y=1 & \tag{63}
\end{array}
$$

By com paring Eq．（ $(6 \overline{2})$ ）w ith Eq．（ $4 \overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ）one recognize that the value ofy fort $=0$ is equal to them axim um allow able value of $y$ for the $1 R \mathrm{SB} \mathrm{m}$ lines．As a consequence 1－ FRSB $m$－lines and the 1RSB $m$－lines $w$ th the sam $e m$ $m$ atch continuously at the transition point betw een the two solutions．

By evaluating Eq．（5연）for $q=q_{0}$ it is easy to see that the eigenvalue $0_{0}^{(3)}$ Eq．（ $\left.\left.\mathbf{3}_{2}^{2} \underline{2}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is identically zero in the whole 1FRSB（and FRSB）phase，in agreem ent w th the $m$ arginal stability of FRSB solutions ${ }^{451}$ The eigenvalue
${ }_{1}^{(1)} \mathbb{E q}$ ．$\left.\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ ］rem ains positive in the whole 1FRSB phase and vanishes for $t=1 \mathrm{w}$ here the $1 \mp R$ SB solutions disappears in favor of the FRSB solution．
$T$ he continuous part $q(x)$ of the order param eter func－ tion can be obtained from Eq．（5d）．Indeed from this equation it follow s that

$$
\begin{gather*}
1 q+m\left(q_{1} \quad q\right)+q^{10} q^{0} \times\left(q^{0}\right)= \\
p \frac{1}{2+p(p 1) q^{2}} \tag{64}
\end{gather*}
$$

which di erentiated $w$ ith respect to $q$ leads to the sought solution

$$
x(q)=\frac{p}{2} \frac{(p-1)(p-2) Q^{3}}{2+p^{3}(p 1) q^{2}} ; \quad 0 \quad q \quad \text { G: (65) }
$$

W e note that as q ！ 0 the probability density of the overlaps $d x(q)=d q$ goes as $q^{p}{ }^{4}$ so that it diverges for $3<p_{2}<4$ ，is nite for $p=4$ and vanishes for $p>4$（see Fig．＇${ }_{2}^{\prime}$＇）．

Unlike the $S K$ case ${ }^{-14}$ ． the function $q(x)$ for the $2+p$ $m$ odelw th $p>3$ is not a linear function of $x$ for $x \quad 1$ ． From the solution（ $\mathbf{6}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{1}$ ）it is easy to see that

$$
q(x) \quad x^{1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & 3 \tag{66}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad x!0}
$$

so that only forp $=4$ one recovers a linear behaviort A s a consequence $d q(x)=d x$ vanishes for $x!0$ for $3<p \quad 4$ and diverges for $p>4$.
$T$ he function $q(x)$ for a generic $p$ can be obtained by expanding the rh．s of Eq．（65．$)$ in powers of $q^{2}$ and then inverting the series．A san exam ple we give the rst few term $s$ for the case $p=44^{1 / 4}$ ．

$$
\begin{aligned}
q(x)= & \frac{2=2}{34} x+\frac{2^{7=2}}{6{ }_{4}^{4}} x^{3}+\frac{13_{2}^{11=2}}{72_{4}^{3}} x^{5} \\
& +\frac{323{ }_{2}^{15=2}}{129 \underbrace{4}_{4}} x^{7}+\frac{4025{ }_{2}^{19=2}}{10368{ }_{4}^{5}} x^{9}+O\left(x^{10}\right)(67)
\end{aligned}
$$



FIG.4: The probability distributions of the overlap are plotted for di erent values of $p$. The values for the other param etens are $2=2 ; p=3$ and $q_{0}=0.2$. The qualitative picture for $s m$ all $q$ 's only depends, anyhow, on the value of $p$. R eferring to the vertical ax, from top to bottom the $P_{p}(q)$ for $p=3: 5 ; 4 ; 4: 5 ; 5$ and $5: 5$ are reproduced. At $p=3: 5$ (top curve) the $P(q)$ diverges at $q=0$, for $p=4$ it goes to a nite value. $W$ hen $p>4$ it tends to zero as $q!0$.


FIG. 5: $x_{c}=x\left(q_{0}\right)$ versus the $q_{1} \quad q_{0}$ in the 1FRSB phase for $p=4$ and $m=0: 5 ; 0: 7 ; 1$.
and $p=5$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
q(x)= & \frac{S}{\frac{{ }_{2}^{3}}{5}} x^{1=2}+\frac{2}{1265} x^{2} \\
& +\frac{17}{144} \frac{2^{13=2}}{6_{5}^{3}} x^{7=2}+O\left(x^{9=2}\right) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he continuous part of the order param eter functions ends for $q=q_{0}$ at the point $x_{C}=x\left(q_{0}\right)$. In the $1 \mp R S B$ phase $x_{C}$ is alw ays sm aller than $m$ and becom es equal to it at the boundary linew ith the FRSB phase. In Figure's' we show the value ofx $x_{c}$ as function of the di erence $q$ $q$ for a $x e d$ value ofm. For values of $x$ betw een $x_{c}$ and $m$ the order param eter function $q(x)$ rem ains constant and equal to $q_{0}$, and then jumps to $q_{1}$ as $x$ goes through $m$, see $F$ igure ${ }_{1}^{6}$ '.


FIG. 6: The order param eter function $q(x)$ in the 1FR SB phase of the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odel. In the gure $\mathrm{m}=0: 8,4=3$ and $2=1: 4$.

## 1. The Transition Lines am ong the Am orphous $P$ hases

 (1RSB, 1FRSB and FRSB)$W$ e have seen that the $1 \mp R S B m$-lines are the continuations into the 1FRSB phase of the 1RSB m-lines. As a consequence, as the 1RSB m -line w th $\mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ arks the transition between the 1RSB phase and RS phase, so the 1¥RSB $m$-line $w$ th $m=1 \mathrm{~m}$ arks the transition between the 1FRSB phase and the FRSB phase. T he transition is discontinuous in the order param eter since Gi a does not vanish at the transition, but the discontinuity appears for $m=1$ and the free energy and its derivatives rem ain continuous across the transition.

The 1FRSB $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$ ends at the critical point ( $t=1$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.p=\frac{2}{27} \frac{p^{p}}{(p r} 1\right)(p-2)(\mathrm{p} \quad 3)^{3}  \tag{69}\\
& \left.2=\frac{1}{(\mathrm{p}} 2\right) \frac{\mathrm{p}}{}^{3} \tag{70}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=q_{i}=\frac{p \quad 3}{p}: \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ${ }_{2}>{ }_{2}$ the transition betw een the 1FRSB phase and the FRSB takes place continuously in the order param eter function with $q_{1} Q!0$ and $x_{C}!m$ at the transition. The continuous transition betw een the $1 F R S B$ and the FRSB phases occurs on the line of end points of the 1FRSB $m$-lines. Inserting $t=1$ into eqs. ( 5 g $\left.{ }^{(1)}\right)-(6 \underline{d})$ one easily gets the param etric equations of the criticalline:

$$
\begin{align*}
p & \left.=\frac{2(p 3+3 m \rho}{27 m^{2}(p 1)(p} 2\right)(p-3)^{3}  \tag{72}\\
2 & =\frac{p(p-3+3 m)^{2}}{27 m^{2}(p-2)} \tag{73}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG.7: The static phase diagram of the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the ( 4 ; 2) plane.
where $0 \quad \mathrm{~m}$ 1. A long this line $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{m},{ }_{1}^{(1)}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=q_{1}=\frac{p 3}{p 3+3 m} ; \quad 0 \quad m \quad 1 \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally the 1FRSB phase is bounded by the transition line $w$ th the $1 R$ SB phase. Indeed by setting $t=0$ into eqs. $\left(\overline{5} \overline{9}_{1}^{1}\right)-\left(\overline{6} \mathbf{\sigma}_{1}^{2}\right)$ one recovers the param etric equations of the 1RSB instability line: ${ }_{0}^{(3)}=0$ and $q_{0}=0$. The transition is continuous in both free energy and order param eter function since $q_{0}!0$ continuously as the transition line is approached from the $1 \mp R S B$ side.

A ll the transition lines, together w ith the $m$-lines with $m=0: 7$ and $m=0: 5$, and the phases of the $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odel $w$ th $p>3$ are show $n$ in $F$ igure 711 . In the gure $p=4$, but the phase diagram does not change qualitatively $w$ ith the value of $p$, provided that it rem ains larger than 3 .

In the $\lim$ it $p$ ! 3 the $1 \neq R S B$ and FRSB phases shrink to zero while the transition lines separating the tw o phases collapse sm oothly onto the verticalline ( $0 ; 2$ ) with 21 and the horizontalline $(1 ; p)$ w ith $0 \quad p$ 1 where $q_{0}=q_{1}=0$, see $F$ igure $\bar{i}_{1}^{1} .0$ ne then sm ooth $l y$ recovers the phase diagram of the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odel, $F$ igure

From Figure' $\bar{\prime} \bar{\prime}$ ', we see that the continuous transition line between that 1¥RSB and the FRSB phases displays a point of vertical slope in the ( p ; 2) plane. A long the continuous transition line between the 1¥RSB and FRSB phases the point of vertical slope is attained for

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{(1)}=\frac{2}{3} \frac{p \quad 3}{p} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.p^{(1)}=\frac{(p-2)(4 p}{} \quad 39\right)  \tag{76}\\
& 2_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{p(\mathrm{p} 2)(4 \mathrm{p} \quad 39}{12(\mathrm{p} 3)^{2}} \tag{77}
\end{align*}
$$

and $q_{0}=q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 2\end{array}\right)=p$. This point exists for any $p>3$.


FIG. 8: Borders lines of the 1¥RSB and FRSB phases for $p=3: 01$ and $p=3: 1$.

Sim ilarly the point of in nite slope along the transition line between the 1FRSB and the 1RSB is attained for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.m^{(1)}=\frac{2(1 \quad \operatorname{ynax}}{}\right) \frac{(\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{p}} 2\right)_{\mathrm{yn} a \mathrm{ax}} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y_{m}$ ax is given by the solution of Eq. (4-1 ). For this value one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\stackrel{(1)}{1}^{(1)}=\frac{(\mathrm{p}}{2} 2\right)(4 \mathrm{p} 39  \tag{79}\\
& 6(\mathrm{p} 1)(\mathrm{p} 3)^{1} \tag{80}
\end{align*} ;
$$

and $q_{0}=0, q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 2\end{array}\right)=p$. This point exists only for $3<p<3: 5197:::$.
D. The Full R eplica Sym m etry B roken Solution (FRSB)

For the FRSB solution the order param eter function $q(x)$ is continuous. The equations for the FRSB phase are easily obtained from those of the $1 \mp R S B$ by setting $q_{0}=q_{1}$ and $m=1$ so that only the continuous part of the order param eter function survives. In the FRSB phase the fiunction $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{q})$ is still given by Eq. ( $6 . \mathrm{F}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) but w ith $q_{0}=q_{1}$ solution of [see Eq. ( $\left.\underline{5}_{1} \mathbf{g}_{1}\right)$ ]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\frac{1}{(1 \quad q)^{2}} \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order param eter function $q(x)$ in the FRSB is shown in Figure'g.

By de ning $=21$ from eqs. $\left(\frac{1}{(8)}\right)$ and $(6 \pm)$ it follow $s$ that when the RS instability line $2=1$ is approached from the FRSB side then

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} \quad \frac{-}{2} ; \quad! \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 9: O rder param eter function $q(x)$ in the FRSB phase. In the gure for $p=44_{4}=2$ and $2=1: 5$.
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{C} \quad p^{(p 1)(p \quad 2)} \bar{p}^{p 3} ; \quad!0^{+} \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that for a generic $p$ the expansion of $q_{0}$ in powers of coincide with that of $1 \quad 1=\overline{1+}$ up to order O ( ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ ) not included. For exam ple for $p=4$ one has

$$
q_{1} \quad \frac{1}{2}+\frac{3}{8}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 1 \tag{84}
\end{array}\right)^{2}+O\left({ }^{3}\right)
$$

$w$ hile for $p=5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{1} \quad-\quad \frac{3}{8}{ }^{2}+\frac{1}{16}(5+4)^{3}+O\left({ }^{4}\right) \tag{85}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so on.
The transition betw een the FRSB and RS phases occurs for $2_{2}=1$ where both $q_{1}$ and $x_{C}=x\left(q_{1}\right)$ vanish and the FRSB solution goes over the RS solution $q=0$. The transition line ( $\mathrm{p} ; 1$ ) ends at the crossing point w ith the $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$. The transition betw een the FRSB and the RS phases is continuous in the order param eter function and hence in the free energy.

## III. C OM P LE X IT Y

The 1RSB and 1FRSB ansatz both contain the param eter $m$ which gives the location of the discontinuity in the order param eter function. Strictly speaking the replica calculation does not give a rule to $x$ it. Going back to the expression of the $m$ om ents of the replica partition function, E q. (1-1 ${ }^{-1}$ ), one indeed sees that the replica calculation requires that for any overlap $m$ atrix $q$ the free energy functional $m$ ust be extrem ized for $N$ ! 1 w ith respect to the elem ents of the m atrix. H ow ever, it does not say anything about the structure of the $m$ atrix $q$. This $m$ eans that in the 1RSB [and 1¥RSB] ansatz the free energy functional $m$ ust be extrem ized $w$ th respect to $q_{1}$ [and $\left.q(x)\right]$ but not necessarily $w$ ith respect to
$m$, since it is related to the $m$ atrix structure. This rises the question of which value of $m$ has to be taken when there exist di erent values of $m$, all of which leading to a stable solution. In the solution discussed so far the value of $m$ yielding the $m$ axim $u m$ of the free energy ${ }^{3}$ was chosen.

The free energy functional, Eq. (1-5), evaluated on the stable saddle point solution gives the free energy of a single pure state. A s a consequence, choosing for $m$ the value which $m$ axim izes the free energy functional is therm odynam ically correct, provided that the logarithm of the number of di erent pure states w the sam e free energy, called com plexity or con gurational entropy, is not extensive. If the con gurational entropy is extensive, it gives a contribution to the them odynam ic free energy which must be considered when com puting the extrem a. In other words if the num ber of states is extensive the extrem a of the them odynam ic free energy follow from a balance betw een the single state free energy and the con gurationalentropy. $T$ his is what happens in system s,y ith a 1RSB phase, as rst noted in the p-spin
 ofm.

W e shall not give here the details of the direct calculation of the com plexity for the $2+\mathrm{p} m$ odel, but rather we shall use the shortcut of deriving it from a Legendre transform of the replica free energy functional $w$ th respect to $m$.

Tobem orespeci cthe complexity it in the 1RSB and 1FRSB phases is obtained as the Legendre transform of m ( $m$ ) where ( $m$ ) is the replica free energy functional (1515) evaluated w th the 1RSB or 1FRSB ansatz keeping $m$ as a free param eter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { It }(f)=\underset{m}{\max }[\mathrm{mf} \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad(\mathrm{~m})] \tag{86}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shalluse for the com plexity the notation it to stress that it is obtained from the Legendre transform, and to distinguish it from the \selfenergy" function (x) used in section III. Strictly speaking this is the com plexity density, even if it is custom ary to call it just com plexity. In the Legendre transform, Eq. [8-G), $f$ is the variable conjugated to $m$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}=\frac{\varrho \mathrm{m}(\mathrm{~m})}{@ \mathrm{~m}} \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its value equals the value of the free energy inside a single pure state for the given value of $m$. Introducing this expression into the Legendre transform one gets the follow ing relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { 此 }(f)=m^{2} \frac{@(m)}{@ m}_{m(f)} \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ ( $f$ ) is the value ofm found by solving Eq. ( $8 \mathrm{~B}_{1}$ ). $B y$ using the expression $\left(5 \mathbf{I I}_{1}^{1}\right)$ for the functionalg $[q]$ the complexity of the 1FRSB solutions of the $2+\mathrm{pmodel}$
reads:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
2_{\text {II }}(m)= & m^{2} g\left(q_{1}\right) \quad g(q)
\end{array} \quad \ln \frac{\left(q_{1}\right)}{\left(q_{0}\right)}\right)
$$

$w$ here $q_{0}$ and $q_{1} m$ ust be evaluated as function of $\quad$ p, 2 and $m$ using the saddle point equations ( $54_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $58_{1}^{\prime}$ ). A ltematively we can use Eq. (554) to elim inatem in favor of qi so that the expression of the com plexity for the 1FRSB solutions becom es:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2_{\text {It }}\left(q_{1}\right)=1 \quad \ln \frac{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(q) & (\mathbb{q})](1 \\
\left(q_{1}\right)
\end{array}\right)^{2}}{(q)} \\
& \\
& \frac{1}{1 \quad q} \quad(1 \quad q) \frac{(q)}{q_{1}} \text { (q) }^{2} \\
& g\left(q_{1}\right) \quad g(q) \quad(q \quad q) \quad(q) \tag{89}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q_{0}$ is given by the solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
2+p(p \quad 1) q^{2}=\frac{(1 \quad q)^{2}(q) \quad(q)^{2}}{\left(q_{1} \quad q\right)^{2}} \tag{90}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $q_{1}$ is such that $\left(q_{i}\right)=f$, i.e., $m\left(q_{i}\right)=m(f)$.
$T$ he com plexity for the 1R SB solution is obtained just setting $q_{0}=0$ into the 1FRSB complexity [and neglecting Eq. (9d)]. A simple check of the 1R SB complexity consists in verifying that for $2=0$ one recovers the com plexity of the sphericalp-spin $m$ odell ${ }^{48-}$
$B y$ varying $q_{i}$ one selects $1 R S B$ or $1 \mp R S B$ solutions $w$ th di erent $m$. As a consequence not all values of $q$ betw een 0 and 1 are allowed but only those which lead to stable solutions $m$ ust be considered. This $m$ eans nonnegative eigenvalues $1_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\int_{0}^{(3)}$ for 1R SB solutions and non-negative eigenvalue ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ for 1 $\mp R S B$ solutions. The eigenvalue ${ }_{0}^{(3)}$ is identically zero for 1 $\mp \mathrm{RSB}$ solutions. $T$ he requirem ent that only solutions $w$ ith non-negative
${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ are physically acceptable is also know as the Plefka's criterion 49.50 . H ere it com es out naturally from the stability analysis of the replica saddle point, how ever it can be show $n$ to have a $m$ ore general validity.

The complexity 4 is the logarithm of the number of states of given free energy, divided by the system size N . It is, therefore, clear that in the them odynam ic lim it only solutions with a non-negative complexity $m$ ust be considered. All others will be exponentially depressed and hence are irrelevant.

T he static solution discussed in previous Sections was obtained by im posing @ $(m)=@ m=0$. The complexity it is consequently zero for the static solution, and the num ber of ground states is not extensive.

The solution $w$ ith the largest com plexity, ofboth $1 R$ SB or 1 FRSB type, is the one for which ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ vanishes,


FIG. 10: lt ( $q_{1}$ ) as function of $q_{1}$ in the region where only 1R SB solutions are stable and have non-negative com plexity. O nly the part of the curve it ( $q_{1}$ ) 0 is shown. The thick line show s the relevant part of the curve. T he dashed line correspond to unstable solutions. T he point $m$ arked \D ynam ic" is where ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ vanishes and corresponds to the solution of largest complexity. The gure is for the $2+4 \mathrm{model} w$ ith $2=3$ and $4=10$.


$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\frac{1}{(1 \quad q)^{2}}: \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

In F igures ${ }^{-10}$, $\overline{1} 1$ in the three relevant regions where (i) only 1RSB solutions have non-negative com plexity and are stable, (ii) both 1RSB and 1FRSB solutions have non-negative com plexity and are stable and (iii) both 1RSB and 1FRSB solutions have non-negative com plexity but only the latter are stable.

T he condition ofm axim al com plexity (91) is known as the $\backslash m$ arginal condition" since for ${ }_{1}^{(1)}=0$ the saddle point is $m$ arginally stable.

In the relaxation dynam ics the eigenvalue ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ is related to the decay of the two-tim es correlation function to the \interm ediate" value $q_{1}$, and hence the $m$ arginal condition com es naturally in as the condition for critical decay ${ }^{7122}$. For this reason the solution of $m$ axim al com plexity is also called the \dynam ic solution" as opposed to the \static solution" discussed so far which, on the contrary, has vanishing com plexity.

In the FRSB phase ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ is identically zero and the two solutions, static and dynam ic, coincide.

## IV . THE DYNAM IC SOLUTION

In this paper we shall not give here the fiull derivation of the dynam ic solution, and of the $m$ arginal condition ( $9 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ), starting from the relaxation dynam ic equations but rather we shall rely on the fact that the dynam ic solution can be obtained from the replica calculation just


FIG. 11: it ( $q_{1}$ ) as function of $q_{1}$ in the region where both 1R SB and 1FR SB solutions are stable and have non-negative com plexity. W e have indicated explicitly which part of the curve corresponds to each solutions. Only the part of the curve it ( $q_{1}$ ) 0 is shown. T he thick line show s the relevant part of the curve. T he dashed line correspond to unstable solutions. The point $m$ arked \D ynam ic" is where $\int_{1}^{(1)}$ vanishes and corresponds to the solution of largest com plexity. T he gure is for the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odel w ith $\quad 2=3$ and ${ }_{4}=7$.


FIG. 12: ut ( $q_{1}$ ) as function of $q_{1}$ in the region where $1 R$ SB and 1FRSB solutions have non-negative com plexity but only the latter are stable. W e have indicated explicitly which part of the curve corresponds to each solutions. Only the part of the curve ${ }^{2 t}\left(q_{1}\right) \quad 0$ is shown. The thick line shows the relevant part of the curve. The dashed line correspond to unstable solutions. The point $m$ arked \D ynam ic" is where
${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ vanishes and corresponds to the solution of largest com plexity. The gure is for the $2+4 \mathrm{model} \mathrm{w}$ ith $2=3$ and
$4=4$.
using the $m$ arginal condition instead of stationarity, of the replica free energy fiunctionalw ith respect to m $122^{2481}$ It can be shown,that this shortcut applies also to the 1FRSB solution 511

The static and dynam ic solutions di er only for what concem the 1RSB and 1FRSB phases, therefore here we shall only discuss shortly the $m$ ain di erences in the phase diagram which follow s from the $1 R S B$ and $1 \mp R S B$
dynam ic solutions.

## A. The D ynam ic 1R SB Solution

The equations of the dynam ic 1R SB solution are given by Eq. (29) w ith $q_{0}=0$ and by the $m$ arginal condition (911). Solving these equations for $(p ; 2)$ and using $q_{1}$ as a free param eter one gets the param etric equations of the dynam ical1R SB $m$-lines

For any $0 \quad m \quad 1$ the $m$ axim um allow able value of $q$ is xed by the requirem ent that $2 \quad 0$, while the $m$ inim um by the requirem ent that the eigenvalue $3_{3}^{(0)}$, Eq. (32) $w$ ith $q_{0}=0$, be non-negative. In the dynam ic approach this eigenvalue controls the lang tim e relaxation of the tw o-tim es correlation function $n^{2}-2$ and hence $m$ ust be nonnegative. A straightforw ard calculation show $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{p 3}{p \quad 3+m} \quad q \quad \frac{p 2}{p} 2+m \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$.

1. The D ynam ic Transition Line Betw een the $P$ aram agnet and the 1R SB-G lass P hase

The dynam ic transition line between the RS and the 1RSB phases is given by the dynam ic 1RSB $m$-line $w$ th $m=1$. Inserting $m=1$ into eqs. (924)-(933) one obtains the param etric equations of the transition line

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
p & \left.=\frac{1}{(p} 20\right) q^{p}(1
\end{array}\right) q\right)^{2},\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(p & 2)(1 & q)^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

$w$ ith $(p-3)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 2\end{array}\right) \quad 19 \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 2\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 1\end{array}\right)$. In the $\left.6 ; 2\right)$ plane the line begins on the $p$ axis at the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{(p-1)^{1}}{(p-2)^{2}} ; \quad 2=0 \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and goes up till the point

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=\frac{(p \quad 2)^{2}}{(p} 3 p^{3} ; \quad 2=1: \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{3}^{(0)}$ vanishes. The transition betw een the RS and the dynam ic 1 RSB phase is discontinuous in the order param eter qii since it jum ps from zero, on the RS side, to a nite value on the $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$.

The dynam ic 1RSB phase is bounded by the critical line of equation $3_{3}^{(0)}=0$ which $m$ arks the transition between the dynam ic 1RSB and the dynam ic 1FRSB phases. The explicit form of the equation of this transition line is obtained by inserting $q_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 3\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}p & 3+m\end{array}\right)$, $\operatorname{see} \mathrm{Eq}$. (94), into the equations of the dynam ic 1 R SB $\mathrm{m}-$ line and reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=\frac{(p 3+m p}{(p 2)^{2}\left(p 3 p^{3} m^{2}\right.}  \tag{99}\\
& 2=\frac{(p 3+m)^{2}}{(p 2)^{2} m^{2}} \tag{100}
\end{align*}
$$

with $0 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad 1$.
A s expected, the dynam ic transition lines do not coincide w ith the static ones but, in the ( p ; 2) plane, they are displaced tow ard lower values of $p$ w th respect to the corresponding static transition lines, see F igure 1
B. The Dynam ic 1FR SB Solution

The equations of the dynam ic $1 \mp R S B$ solution are
 by the $m$ arginal condition (911). A s a consequence, the param etric equations of the dynam ic $1 \mp R S B m$-lines are still (5d)-(6d) but w the value of y given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\frac{1 \quad(p \quad 1) t^{1}+(p \quad 2) t^{2}}{p \quad 2(p \quad 1) t+p t^{1}} \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0 \quad t=q=q_{0} \quad$ 1. The continuous part of the order param eter function is given by Eq. (65-1) w ith [see Eq. $\left.\left(5 z_{1}\right)\right]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{0}=t q_{1}=\frac{t(1 \quad y)}{1} \frac{y+m y(1 \quad t)}{} \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he dynam ic $1 \mp R S B m$-line are draw $n$ in the $(p ; 2)$ plane by xing the value ofm and varying trom 0 to 1 .

1. The D ynam ic T ransition Line between the 1RSB and the 1FRSB Am orphous Phases

By setting $t=0$ into the equations of the dynam ic $1 \mp R S B m$-lines and varying $m$ from 1 to 0 one recovers the critical line $q_{0}=0$ and ${ }_{3}^{(0)}=0$ which marks the boundary w ith the dynam ic 1RSB phase. Indeed for $t=$

 criticalline. M oreover on this line $q_{1}=\left(p^{-} 3\right)=\overline{(p-3+m)}$ ), the sam e value found from the dynam ic 1 R SB solution, therefore as it happens for the static solution the dynam ic 1FRSB $m$-lines and the dynam ic 1R SB $m$-lines $w$ th the sam em m atch continuously on the critical line $3_{3}^{(0)}=0$ [and $q_{0}=0$ ]. $T$ his transition is continuous since $q_{0}$ goes to zero as the transition line is approached from the 1FRSB side while $q_{i}$ is continuous through the line.


FIG. 13: Phase diagram $2^{-4}$. C ounterclockw ise the RS, 1RSB, 1FRSB and FRSB phases are plotted, separated by the static phase transition lines (full curves). T he PM / 1R SB and the 1R SB /1FR SB transitions also occur in the dynam ics and the relative lines are draw $n$ as dashed curves. T heir continuation as FRSB/1FRSB transition lines are the dynam ic (dashed) and static (full) $m=1$-lines, com puted in the 1FRSB ansatz. They merge at the \End Point" (see inset). For a com parison, we also plot the dynam ic and static mlines $w$ th $m=0: 5$. They m erge on the FRSB/1¥RSB phase transition line above the end point. A sm decreases from one, the whole continuous FRSB/1¥RSB line is covered. Inset: the discontinuous transitions FRSB/1FRSB (2>1) and PM/1RSB ( $2<1$ ) 。

The dynam ic 1 $\mp \mathrm{R} S \mathrm{SB} \mathrm{m}$-line w ith $\mathrm{m}=1$, continuation of the $1 R S B m$-line $w$ th $m=1$ into the $1 \mp R S B$ phase, $m$ arks the boundary between the 1¥RSB and FR SB phases. A long this line the order param eter is discontinuous since qi jum ps from zero in the FRSB phase to a non-zero value on the line. The discontinuity occurs atm $=1$ so that the free energy rem ains continuous despite the jum $p$ in the order param eter. $T$ he dynam ic 1¥RSB $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$ starts from the end point (98) of the dynam ic 1R SB $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$ and stops at the sam e end point ( $6 \underline{1}(\underline{1})-(7 \underline{1})$ ) of the static $1 \mp R S B \mathrm{~m}-$ line $w$ th $m=1$. From this point the transition betw een the 1FRSB phase and the FRSB phase occurs continuously in the order param eter, i.e., w ith $q_{1} Q!0$ as the transition line is approached form the 1FRSB side (see Fig. ' 1

The continuous transition between the 1FRSB and FRSB phases occurs along the critical line obtained by setting $t=1$ into eqs. $\left(\overline{5} 5_{1}^{1}\right)-\left(\overline{6} \bar{\sigma}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and varying $m$ from 1 to 0 . From Eq. (1011) it follow s that

$$
y=1 \quad \frac{p \quad 3}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t
\end{array}\right)+O\left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & t^{2}
\end{array}\right) ; \quad t!1\right.
$$

(103)
so that the end point of the dynam ic 1FRSB m-line $00-$ incides $w$ th the end points of the static 1FRSB $m$-line for any $m$, and not only for $m=1$. $T$ herefore, the $d y-$ nam ic and the static continuous criticallines betw een the


F IG . 14: P hase diagram $T J_{4}$ in $J_{2}$ units. C lockw ise a param agnetic phase (PM), a glassy phase ( $G-I$ ) described by $m$ eans of a 1RSB ansatz, another glassy phase represented by a 1FRSB ansatz and a purely sp in-glass phase (SG ) com puted in the FRSB ansatz occur. The transitions to and from the two glass-like phases take place both as dynam ic (dotted lines) and as static (full lines). In tem perature the dynam ic transition lines are alw ays above the relative static lines.

1FRSB and the FRSB phases coincide. Indeed, when studying the static solution we have seen that along the continuous transition line betw een the 1¥RSB and the FRSB solutions the eigenvalue ${ }_{1}^{(1)}$ vanishes so that the di erence betw een the two solutions disappears. On this line both solutions have zero com plexity and it rem ains equal to zero in the whole FRSB phase.

In Figure in we show the full phase diagram of the spherical $2+\bar{p}$ spin glass $m$ odel in the ( $p ; 2$ ) space w ith both the static and the dynam ic critical lines.

By noticing that both $p$ and 2 areproportionalto ${ }^{2}$ we see that the discontinuous dynam ic transition occurs at a tem perature higher than that of the equivalent static transition, as can be clearly seen from $F$ igure ${ }^{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}$ ' where the phase diagram in the $T=J_{2}=1=\frac{\mathrm{P}}{2}$ and $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{J}_{2}=$ $\overline{2}=\left(p_{2}\right)$ plane is show $n$.

## V. CONCLUSION S

In this paper we have provided a detailed study of the phase space of the spherical $2+$ p_spin glass $m$ odel using the static approach of Ref. [2, $]$ which em ploys the replica $m$ ethod to evaluate the disorder-averaged logarithm of the partition function. By perform ing the Legendre transform of the replica free energy functional we have de ned the complexity function that, whenever it is extensive, counts the num ber of equivalent di erent $m$ etastable states. T his allow ed us to discuss the dynam ic solution as the solution which $m$ axim izes the com plexity. In both solutions, i.e., static and dynam ic, the model displays four di erent phases, characterized by di erent
replica sym $m$ etry breaking schem es, in which the system can nd itselfas the them odynam ic param eters and the intensity of the interaction is changed. O ne of the nige feature of this $m$ odel is that it can be com pletely solved even in the phase described by a full replica sym $m$ etry breaking. To our know ledge this is the rst exam ple of an analyticalFRSB solution.

The $m$ ain result of the present study is the existence oftw o phases exhibiting the qualitative features of glassy $m$ aterials. O ne is the 1R SB solution, displayed by those system $s$ that are considered as valid $m$ ean- eld models for the glass state. Even though no connection w the the $m$ icroscopic constituents of a real glass form er can be set, the collection of spins interacting through a p-body quenched disordered bound behaves very m uch like, e.g., the set of $S \mathrm{SiO}_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ olecules in a window glass. The single breaking occurring in the 1R,SB solution corresponds, in a dynam ic intenpretation $52,1,53,54$ to a tim e-scale bifurcation betw een the fast processes in a realam orphous $m$ aterial (the -processes) and the slow processes ( ) responsible for the structural relaxation.

A nother phase em erges in the study of the spherical $2+\mathrm{p}$ spin glass model. Som ething not occurring in any Ising spin glass model ${ }^{159}$ In a whole region of the phase space the stable phase is, indeed, described by $m$ eans of an overlap function $q(x)$ that is continuous up to a certain value $q_{0}$ and then displays a step, as in aforem entioned 1RSB solution. We call it the one step-full R SB solution ( 1 F R SB).E xploiting the static-dynam ic analogy once again, in this phase, in the relaxation tow ards equilibrium, a rst tim e-scalesbifurcation takes place ( $\backslash$ bifurcation") just as above, but it is no $m$ ore unique. A s the tim e goes by a continuous set of further bifurcations starts to occur betw een slow and even-slow er processes, as in the case of a proper spin-glass ${ }^{13}$ In the continuous part, any kind of sim ilarity betw een (ultram etrically organized) states is allowed but above $q_{0}$ the hierarchy ends in only one extra possible value: the self-overlap, or $E d w$ ards-A nderson order param eter $q_{1}$.

The stability of the 1FRSB solution in the replica space is not lim ited to a single, self-consistent, choioe of the order param eter (in particular the point discontinuity can change in a certain interval) and this im plies that in each point of the phase diagram belonging to this phase there $w$ ill be an extensive num ber of $m$ etastable states, having free energies higher than the equilibrium free energy. In order for this phase to appear a strong enough couple interaction m ust be present (that is the source of the continuous, spin-glass like contribution) but the p boody interaction $m$ ust have a broader distribution of intensities than the 2 body ( $J_{p}>J_{2}$ ).

If this new phase can be considered as a glassy phase di erent from the 1RSB one, the phase diagram that we have com puted describes an am orphous-am orphoustransition, w th the second glass having a $m$ uch $m$ ore com plicated structure outside the single valleys (for very long tim e-scales in the dynam ic language). W hether there is a correspondence w th the am onphous-am onphous tran-
sition betw een-hard-core repulsive and attractive glassy colloids $1^{4} 19,4$ be set is yet to be clari ed and further investigation of the dynam ic properties is needed in order to $m$ ake a link betw een the interplay of 2 -body and p-body interaction in spherical spin glasses and the role of repulsive and attractive potentials in colloids undergoing kinetic arrest.

## APPENDIX A:THEPARISIEQUATION AND THE SOMMERS-DUPONT FORMALISM

$T$ he $P$ arisi equation for the $2+p$ spin glass $m$ odel is $m$ ore easily obtained starting from the free energy functional in the replica space $w$ ritten as
$w$ here the $m$ atrix is the Lagrange $m$ ultiplier associated $w$ th the replica overlap $m$ atrix $q$, see Eq. (14'). In particular the diagonalelem ent $\quad=-$ is the Lagrange
 Stationary of $\mathrm{f}[\mathrm{q} ; \quad] \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to variations of and q leads to the self-consistent equations ( )

$$
\begin{align*}
& =(\mathrm{q})  \tag{A2}\\
q & =\frac{\operatorname{Tr} \exp ^{\mathrm{P}}}{\operatorname{Tr} \exp } \mathrm{P} \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

By applying the Parisi's replica sym $m$ etry breaking schem e an in nite num ber of tim es and introducing the functions $(x)$ and $q(x), 0$ $x \quad 1$, one for each $m$ atrix, the free energy functional ( $\mathbf{A}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) for the spherical $m$ odel can be w ritten as

$$
1
$$

where $(0 ; y)$ is the solution evaluated for $x=0$ of the $P$ arisiequation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2}-(x) \quad{ }^{\infty}(x ; y)+x^{0}(x ; y)^{2} \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 ; y)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{y}^{2}}{(1)}= \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f=s^{(1)}{\underset{Z}{1}}_{\frac{1}{2} g(1)}^{Z_{1}} \quad \mathrm{dxg}(q(x)) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}-{ }^{2} \quad{ }^{1} d x \quad(x) q(x) \quad \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2}{(1)}= \\
& { }_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{d y}{2(0)} \exp \frac{y^{2}}{2(0)} \quad(0 ; y) \quad(A 4)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{f}[\mathrm{q} ; \quad]=\mathrm{s}(1) \lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{q}) \\
& +\lim _{n!0} \frac{1}{2 n}^{x} \quad q  \tag{A1}\\
& 0 \\
& \lim _{\mathrm{n}!} \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \ln \operatorname{Tr} \exp @ \frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{X}} \tag{A}
\end{align*}
$$

In w riting the P arisiequation we have used the standard notation in which a dot $\backslash$-" denotes the derivative $w$ ith respect to x while the prim $\mathrm{X} \backslash{ }^{0 \prime \prime}$ the derivative w th respect to $y$.
$T$ he advantage of this equation is that it can be solved num erically $w$ thout specifying a-priori the form of $q(x)$ and ( $x$ ). The rst problem one is facing when solving the $P$ arisi equation is that the functional ( $A$ extrem ized over all possible solutions of the P arisiequations, which can be num erically uncom fortable. This, how ever, can be overcom e using the Som $m$ ers-D upont form alism 56. The idea is to introduce a di erent functionalw hose value at the stationary point coincides w ith the extrem a of the free energy functional ( $\mathbf{A}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) over all possible solutions of the $P$ arisi equations.
$T$ his is easily achieved by introducing the $P$ arisiequation into the functional via the Lagrange $m$ ultiplier $P(x ; y)$. The new functional is hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{v}=f+{ }_{1}^{Z+1} d y P(1 ; y) \quad(1 ; y) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{y^{2}}{(1)}= \\
& Z_{1}{ }^{1} Z_{+1} \quad h \\
& +\frac{1}{2}-(x)^{1} h{ }^{\infty}(x ; y)+x{ }^{0}(x ; y)^{2}{ }^{\text {ii }} \tag{A7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is the free energy functional ( $(\bar{A} \overline{-1} \overline{1})$.
The functional $f_{v}$ is stationary w ith respect to variations of $P(x ; y), P(1 ; y), \quad(x ; y),(0 ; y)$, the order param eter functions $q(x)$ and ( $x$ ) and ${ }^{-}$. Stationarity w ith respect to $P_{-}(x ; y)$ and $P(1 ; y)$ just gives back equations ( $\mathrm{A} \mathbf{A}_{-1}$ ) and ( $\left.\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{G}\right)$, while stationarity w ith respect to $(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})$ and $(0 ; y)$ leads to the di erentialequation for $P(x ; y)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2}-(x) \quad P^{n}(x ; y) \quad 2 x P(x ; y) m(x ; y)^{0^{i}} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m(x ; y)={ }^{0}(x ; y), w$ th the boundary condition

$$
\begin{align*}
P(0 ; y) & =p \frac{1}{2(0)} \exp \frac{y^{2}}{2(0)} \\
& =(y) ; \text { as }(0)!0 \tag{A9}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be show $n$ that $P(x ; y)$ is the probability distribution of the local eld $y$ at the scale $q(x)$.
$F$ inally stationarity w ith respect to $q(x),(x)$ and ${ }^{-}$ gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x)=(q(x))  \tag{A10}\\
q(x)=\int_{1}^{Z+1} d y P(x ; y) m(x ; y)^{2} \tag{A11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (1) } \quad-=\frac{1}{1 \quad q(1)} \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.15: T he num ericalorder param eter function $q(x)$ for the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odelw ith $2=2$ and $4=3$. The 1FRSB solution is clearly seen.


FIG. 16: $F$ ield probability distribution $p(x ; y)$ for the $2+4$ modelw ith $2_{2}=2$ and $4_{4}=3$ evaluated from the num erical resolution of the $P$ arisi-Som $m$ ers-D upont equations at $x=0: 4$ and $x=0: 5 . T$ he underlying full_curve (barely visible) is the analytic solution given by Eqs. (B $\left.\mathrm{g}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, ( B 14) .
 the local eld distribution it follows that $m$ ( $x ; y$ ) represents the localm agnetization at scale $q(x)$ in presence of the local eld $y$. It obeys the di erential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\underline{m}(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2}-(x) m^{\infty}(x ; y)+2 x m(x ; y) m^{0}(x ; y)\right] \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(1 ; y)=[1 \quad q(1)] y \tag{A14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The partial di erential equations $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{8})$ and $(\bar{A} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ can be solved num erically using the pseudo-spectralm ethod developed in Refs. 4 der param eter function $\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ found solving the equations for the $2+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odelw th $2=2$ and $4=3$. The 1FRSB structure is clearly seen. In $F$ ig. 16 and the analytical solutions for $P(\bar{x} ; y)$ are displayed.

APPENDIX B: SOLUTION OF THE PARISI-SOMMERS-DUPONTEQUATIONS

It is not di cult to w rite the P arisi-Som $m$ ers-D upont partial di erential equations for the 1FRSB case, cfr. Ref. $\left[\mathrm{L}_{1}^{-1}\right]$. W th obvious notation, the P arisi-Som $m$ ers D upont functionalw the the 1 RSB ansatz reads

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{1}{2}-(x)^{{ }^{1} h}{ }^{\infty}(x ; y)+x{ }^{0}(x ; y)^{2} \tag{B1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f$ is the free energy functional

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{f}=\mathrm{s}(1) \\
& \begin{array}{llll}
s(1) & & \\
\frac{1}{2} g(1) & (1 & m) g(\mathbb{q}) & Z_{m} \\
& & & d x g(q(x))
\end{array} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}-\quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & m
\end{array}\right)_{1} q_{1}{ }_{0}^{m} d x \quad(x) q(x) \\
& \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2}{(1)}= \\
& \frac{1}{2 m} \ln \frac{1}{2} \begin{array}{l}
\text { Z } \\
+1
\end{array} \\
& { }_{1}^{Z+1} \frac{d y}{2(0)} \exp \quad \frac{y^{2}}{2(0)} \quad(0 ; y)(B 2)
\end{aligned}
$$

Stationarity of ( $\mathbf{B}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) $w$ ith respect to variations of $P(x ; y)$ and $P(m ; x) y$ ields the $P$ arisiequation $(A, 5)$ w th $x$ restricted to the interval $[0 ; m$ ] and initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m ; y)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^{2}}{m \quad-\quad\left(_{1}\right)} \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly stationarity $w$ ith respect to variations of $(x ; y),(0 ; y), \underline{q}(x), \quad(x)$ for $0 \quad x \quad m$ gives again eqs. (A 8 ) , ( A 9), ( A 10) and (A11), while stationarity w ith respect to leads back to eq (A 1 •
For the 1FRSB ansatz there are three m ore equations. The rst two follow from stationarity $w$ ith respect to $q$, 1 and read

$$
\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{ll}
1= & (q) \\
1 & 0=\frac{q_{1}}{} \quad q  \tag{B5}\\
(1 & q)[1
\end{array} \quad q \quad m \quad(q) \quad q\right)\right]\right] .
$$

$F$ inally stationarity $w$ ith respect to $m$, the discontinuity point in the order param eter function, leads again to equation (515). It is not di cult to recognize in these
equations the saddle point equations for $q_{0}, q_{1}$ and $m$ derived for the 1FRSB phase.

For the spherical $m$ odel the $P$ arisi-Som $m$ ers $-D$ upont equations can be solved analytically. Indeed de ning

$$
F(x)=1^{-} \quad m\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0)  \tag{B6}\\
Z_{m} \\
d x^{0} x^{0}-\left(x^{0}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

it is easy to verify that the solution reads

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2} \frac{y^{2}}{F(x)}+Z_{x}^{Z_{m}} d x^{0} \frac{-\left(x^{0}\right)}{F\left(x^{0}\right)}  \tag{B7}\\
m(x ; y)=\frac{y}{F(x)} \tag{B8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x ; y)=p \frac{1}{2(x)^{2}} \exp \frac{y^{2}}{2(x)^{2}} \tag{B9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)^{2}=F(x)^{2} \int_{0}^{Z} d x^{0} \frac{-\left(x^{0}\right)}{F\left(x^{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{B10}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\int_{0}^{Z} d x^{0} \frac{-\left(x^{0}\right)}{F\left(x^{0}\right)^{2}} \tag{B11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the derivative ofeqs. $(\bar{B} \overline{-})$ and $(\bar{B} \overline{\overline{1}} \overline{\overline{1}})$ w th respect to x it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{dF}}{\mathrm{~F}^{2}}=\mathrm{xdq} \tag{B12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which integrated yields the equality
$(x)=1=F(x)$. ThusEq. (B11) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x)=\int_{0}^{Z} d x^{0}-\left(x^{0}\right) \quad\left(x^{0}\right)^{2} \tag{B13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inverting this relation one gets back Eq. (53'). W e note that changing the integration variable from $x$ to $q$ in Eq. (B11) one can show that $\mathrm{F}^{2}(\mathrm{q})=$ (q) so that the variance $(x)^{2}$ of the local eld distribution (B) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)^{2}=\quad(q(x)) q(x) \tag{B14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This com pletes the solution of the P arisi-Som m ersD upont equations for the spherical2 +p spin glass m odel in the 1FRSB phase. The FRSB solution can be obtained taking $q_{0}!q_{1}$ and $m$ ! 1 .

## APPENDIX C:THESPHERICALs+pSPIN G LASS M ODEL

The sphericals + p spin glass $m$ odel is the generalization of $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odels in which the two-spin interaction is replaced by a s-body spin interaction:

In the follow ing we shall assum $e$ that $s$ < p, even if the H am iltonian is trivially invariant under the exchange of $s$ and $p$.

The study of the $s+p m$ odel follow s closely that of the $2+p$ with the replacem ent of $g(x)$ by [see Eq. (12 2 )]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x)=\frac{s}{s} x^{s}+\frac{p}{p} x^{p}: \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As it happens for the $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odels the RS solution $w$ th $q \& 0$ is unstable, how ever, at di erence with these, the RS solution with $q=0$ is stable everyw here in the ( p ; s ) plane since for $q=0$ the relevant eigenvalue 1 , eq. (211), is identically equal to one for any $s>2$.

For $p$ and/or 2 large enough a them odynam ically $m$ ore favorable 1R SB solution appears. T his solution has $q_{0}=0$ and $q_{i}$ given by the saddle point equation (2d) [ $w$ ith $q_{0}=0$ ]. For the static solution the value of $m$ is
 low s from stationarity of the free energy functional w ith respect to variations ofm. T hese equations can be solved for any $s$ and $p w$ th the help ofthe CS z-fiunction and one obtains the param etric equations of the static $m$-lines:

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =\frac{p}{(p s)} \frac{(1 \quad y+m y)^{p}}{m^{2} y\left(1 \quad y^{p}\right.} \frac{[2 \quad s z(y)]}{2}  \tag{C3}\\
s & =\frac{s}{(p s)} \frac{(1 \quad y+m y)^{s}}{m^{2} y(1 \quad y)^{s}} \frac{[p z(y) \quad 2]}{2} \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $y=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & q+m q_{1}\end{array}\right)$. $N$ otice that, as expected, the expressions are sym $m$ etric under the exchange of $s$ and $p$.

The 1RSB solution never becom es unstable since for $s>2$ the eigenvalue $0_{0}^{(3)}$, Eq. (3 $\left.3 \bar{I}_{1}\right)$, evaluated at $q_{0}=0$
 rem ains positive for any $p \quad 0$.

A s a consequence the lim it on $y$ are given by the conditions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
p=0, & z\left(y_{p}\right)=\frac{2}{s} \\
s=0, & z\left(y_{s}\right)=\frac{2}{p} \tag{C6}
\end{array}
$$

TheCS z-fiunction is an increasing function ofy therefore if $s<p$ then $y_{p}<y_{s}$. For $s=3$ and $p=4$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{4}=0: 195478::: ; \quad y_{3}=0: 354993::: \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.17: The phase diagram of the $3+4 \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the $(3 ; 4)$ plane. The thick dashed lines are the $m$-line $w$ ith $m=1$, i.e. represent the discontinuous transition betw een the RS and the 1R SB phases.

To nd the dynam ic transition line betw een the 1R SB and the RS phase equation ( $3 \overline{2} \overline{3}_{1}$ ) m ust be replaced by the $m$ arginal condition (911). Using $q_{i}$ as the independent variable it is easy to derive the param etric equations for the dynam ic $m$-lines:

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=\frac{1}{(p \quad s)} \frac{(s \quad 2+m) q}{} q_{1}^{p_{1}^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(1 & q
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & q+m
\end{array}\right) \tag{C8}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he range of $q_{1}$ is $x e d$ by the requirem ent that both $p$ and $s$ be non-negative. This yields the boundary values

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=0, \quad q_{1}=\frac{s 2}{s 2+m}  \tag{C10}\\
& s=0, \quad q_{1}=\frac{p 2}{p 2+m} \tag{C11}
\end{align*}
$$

In $F$ igure 1 I-1 we show the phase diagram for the $s+p$ $m$ odel $w$ th $s^{-}>2$ and $p>s$. In the gure $s=3$ and $p=4$, how ever any choice of $s>2$ and $p>s$ leads to $a$ qualitatively sim ilar phase diagram .

## APPENDIX D:THERSB SOLUTIONS

In this A ppendix we show that the spherical2 +p spin glass m odel adm its only solutions of 1R SB, FRSB or 1FRSB type. The procedure is the same as that of Appendix 2 of $R$ ef. $[\underline{l}]$.
$W$ e start from the free energy functional for the $R-R S B$


$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}]= & { }^{\mathrm{Z}_{1}} \mathrm{dq} \times(\mathrm{q}) \quad \text { (q) }  \tag{D1}\\
& +{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}}{ }_{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \mathrm{dqq}^{0} \times\left(\mathrm{q}^{0}\right)
\end{align*}+\ln (1
$$

where

$$
x(q)=p_{0}+X_{r=0}^{X^{R}}\left(p_{r+1} \quad p_{r}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
q & q \tag{D2}
\end{array}\right):
$$

$T$ he saddle point equations are obtained by varying the above functional $w$ th respect to $x(q)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{G}[\mathrm{q}]=\mathrm{Z}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \operatorname{dqF}(\mathrm{q}) \quad \mathrm{x}(\mathrm{q}) \tag{D3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{q})=\quad \text { (q) } \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{R}}} \frac{\mathrm{Tq}^{0}}{\mathrm{~T}_{1} \mathrm{q}^{0} \mathrm{dq}^{\infty} \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{q}^{00}\right)^{亡_{2}}} \tag{D4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& x(q)=x^{X^{R}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
p_{+1} & p) & (q \quad q)
\end{array}\right. \\
& r=0 \\
& \text { XR } \\
& \left(p_{r+1} \quad p_{1}\right) \quad(q \quad q) \quad q  \tag{D5}\\
& r=0
\end{align*}
$$

By requiring stationarity of $G[q] w$ ith respect to the $q_{r}$ and the $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ one gets, respectively

$$
\begin{gather*}
F\left(q_{r}\right)=0 ; \quad r=0 ;::: ; R  \tag{D6}\\
Z_{q_{r}} d q F(q)=0 ; \quad r=1 ;::: ; R
\end{gather*}
$$

The function $F(q)$ is continuous, thus Eq. D_? in plies that betw een any tw o successive $q_{r}$ there $m$ ust be at least two extrem a of $F(q)$. If we denote these by $q$, then the extrem al condition $\mathrm{F}^{0}(\mathrm{q})=0 \mathrm{~m}$ plies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{dqx}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{p} \frac{1}{(\mathrm{q})} \tag{D8}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he left hand side of this equation is a concave function, i.e. $w$ ith a negative second derivative, since $x(q)$ is not decreasing with $q$. For the $2+\mathrm{pm}$ odel $(q)=2^{+} \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{p}$ 1) $q^{2}$ so that the right hand side is concave for sm all $q$, provided $p>3$, and convex for large $q$, see $F$ igure idi. For $p=3$ the right hand side is convex.

A s a consequence Eq. ( $\mathbf{D}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) adm its at $m$ ost tw $o$ solutions and hence only one step of replica sym $m$ etry breaking is possible. $M$ oreover it $m$ ust be $q_{0}=0$. In leed, from Eq. (D-9) and from the fact that in absence of extemal eld $\overline{\mathrm{F}}{ }^{-}(0)=0$ it follow $s$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(0)=F\left(q_{0}\right)=F\left(q_{1}\right)=0 \tag{D9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which w ould im ply the presence of at least three extrem a, which is not possible.

Up to this point the conclusions do not di er much from those found for the $p$-spin $m$ odel. H ere how ever the


F IG . 18: Typical behavior of $1=\frac{p}{(q)}$ as function of $q$ for $p>3$.
presence of a concave part in the right hand side of Eq. ( $(\mathbf{-}$-1) for $p>3 \mathrm{~m}$ akes possible di erent solutions.
Equations $\left(\bar{D} \bar{D}_{-1}^{-1}\right)$ and $\left(\overline{D_{-1}^{\prime}}\right)$ can be solved by a continuous replica sym $m$ étry breaking solution $w$ ith $F(q)=0$ in a given range of $q$ since in this case both equations w ould be identically valid. For this solution Eq. (D- $\left.\mathbf{D}_{1}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ust also be identically valid and this can only be true for

0 q $A_{0}$, where $q_{0}$ is the value of $q$ for which $1=\frac{p}{(q)}$ changes concavity, where both sides of the equation are concave function of $q$. Indeed if Eq. (Dis) were valid also for values of $q$ where the right hand side is convex this would im ply that $x(q)$ is a decreasing function of $q$, which is not possible since $d x(q)=d q$ is the probability density of the overlaps. For the sam e reason for $p=3$ a continuous replica sym $m$ etry breaking solution is not allowed since in this case the right hand side of Eq. (D) is purely convex. T he sam e applies to the $s+p m$ odels $w$ ith $s>2$, so that also these $m$ odels adm its only a 1RSB phase.

The possibility of a continuous replica symmetry breaking solution for $q$ a does not rule out the presence of discrete replica breakings w ith $q_{r}>q_{0}$. These additional discrete breakings $m$ ust satisfy eqs. (D_6) and (D-1). Therefore since the right hand side of Eq. (Dis convex for $q>q$ argum ents sim ilar to those which leaded to the conclusion that for the $2+3 \mathrm{~m}$ odel only 1RSB solutions are possible show that at m ost only one $m$ ore discrete break w th $q_{1}>q_{0}$ is possible.

No other possible non trivial solutions exist for the spherical $2+\mathrm{p}$ spin glass $m$ odel so we conclude that the m odel adm its only solutions of 1R SB, 1FRSB or FRSB type.
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