

# Low temperature magnetoresistance of dirty thin InS and quantum wires near a parallel-field-tuned superconducting quantum phase transition

N. Shah<sup>a,b</sup> A.V. Lopatin<sup>c</sup> V.M. Vinokur<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Festkörpertheorie, Paul Scherrer Institut, CH-5232 Villigen PSI, Switzerland

<sup>b</sup>Theoretische Physik, ETH-Honggerberg, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>c</sup>Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, U.S.A.

---

## Abstract

We study the low temperature magnetoresistance of dirty thin InS and quantum wires close to a quantum phase transition from a superconducting to normal state, induced by applying a parallel magnetic field. We find that the different corrections (Andersson-Larkin, density of states and Maki-Thompson) to the normal state conductivity, coming from the superconducting pair fluctuations, are of the same order at zero temperature. There are three regimes at finite temperatures. In the "quantum" regime, which essentially shows a zero-temperature-like behavior we find a negative magnetoresistance. Since in the "classical" regime the correction is positive, we predict a non-monotonic magnetoresistance at higher temperatures.

Key words: Quantum phase transition, superconductivity, fluctuation conductivity, magnetoresistance

---

There is a flourishing interest in the physics of quantum phase transitions. The realization of quantum critical point (QCP) in dirty superconducting nanowires [1] and thin InS [2,3] tuned by applying a magnetic field furnishes a possibility of a complete experimental exploration as well as a systematic theoretical study. Close to the QCP, important correction to the conductivity comes from the superconducting pairing fluctuations [4], especially in samples of reduced dimensions.

We consider a thin wire (or thin InS) of diameter  $d$  (or thickness  $t$  for the InS) much smaller than the superconducting coherence length  $\xi$  to which a magnetic field  $H$  is applied along the wire (or parallel to the InS). For the dirty case we are considering, the effect of a magnetic field is similar to the effect of paramagnetic impurities [5] and given by a scalar (orbital) pair-breaking parameter  $\Gamma = D(eHd/2c)^2 = 4$  for wire and  $D(eHt/c)^2 = 6$  for InS,  $D$  being the diffusion coefficient.

We have carried out a systematic investigation [6] of fluctuation corrections to the normal state con-

ductivity by evaluating a standard set of diagrams [4] constituting the positive "Andersson-Larkin" (AL) type of contribution that comes from the charge transfer via fluctuating Cooper pairs, the negative "density of states" (DOS) part resulting from the reduction of the normal single-electron density of states at the Fermi level and the more indirect "Maki-Thompson" (MT) interference contribution. Note that the time-dependent Ginzburg-Landau analysis adapted in Refs. [7], accounts only for the AL part, but misses the zero-temperature contribution.

At zero temperature, where the correction comes purely from quantum fluctuations, we find that AL, DOS and MT contributions are all of the same order. The MT correction which has no prescribed sign turns out to be negative and the total correction to the conductivity is also negative. In Fig. 2 we show plots of the dimensionless correction  $\delta\sigma/\sigma_0$  obtained by dividing with  $e^2 D/c_0$  for wire and with  $e^2$  for InS. When the correction is expanded around the QCP one gets




Fig. 1. Phase diagram of a superconducting quantum wire (thin film) in a parallel magnetic field (parameterized by  $\alpha$ ).

$\rho_0(T) = \rho_0(T = T_{c0}) + b(T - T_{c0})^2$  (where  $b = 0.386$  and  $b = 0.070$  for a wire and film).

At finite temperatures we find three distinct regimes in the vicinity of the QCP that show qualitatively different behaviors as illustrated in Fig. 1. There is a "classical" regime for  $T > T_{c0}$ , where the leading correction (which comes from the AL part),

$$\rho_{\text{classical}} = e^2 \frac{p_D}{4} \frac{T}{T_c(T)^{3/2}} \quad \text{wire} \quad (1)$$

is positive and critical. For temperatures less than

$$T < T_0(T) \approx (T_{c0})^{7/4} = \frac{3}{4} T_{c0} \quad \text{wire} \quad (2)$$

one finds a "quantum" regime in which the behavior crosses over to an essentially zero-temperature-like behavior which is not singular and almost temperature independent with the fluctuation correction dominated by  $\rho_0$ . In between there is an "intermediate" regime for  $T_{c0} > T > T_0(T)$  where

$$\rho_{\text{intermediate}} = e^2 \frac{p_D}{12} \frac{T^2}{T_c(T)^{5/2}} \quad \text{wire} \quad (3)$$

Finally we turn to the discussion of magnetoresistance which is of current experimental interest [2,3]. Negative correction to the conductivity means that pairing fluctuations in fact increase the resistance. And since the strength of the fluctuations correction has to decrease as one moves away from the critical point, it means that one would see a drop of resistance in moving away from the superconducting QCP by increasing the field. Our theory thus predicts a negative magnetoresistance at zero temperature. Note that a negative magnetoresistance was found also in granular superconductors and in thin films in perpendicular magnetic




Fig. 2. Dimensionless zero temperature fluctuation conductivity correction as a function of depairing parameter  $\alpha$ : Inset shows dependence of the resistivity on the magnetic field for temperatures  $T/T_{c0} = 0.01$  (upper curves) and  $T/T_{c0} = 0.1$  (lower curves). Resistivity is normalized to the high field resistivity  $R_0$  while the magnetic field is normalized to the critical field  $H_{c0}$  at  $T = 0$ .

field [8]. Since in the quantum region the correction to conductivity is negative whereas in the classical and intermediate region it is positive, we further predict a non-monotonic behavior of the resistivity as a function of the magnetic field at finite temperature (see inset of Fig. 2). Such behavior was indeed observed in experiments on amorphous thin films [2], while for quantum wires, to the best of our knowledge, it was not reported yet. For detailed comparison, the weak localization and Altshuler-Aronov corrections [9] must be subtracted from the experimental data.

This work was partly supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, through contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38.

## References

- [1] Y. Liu, et al., Science 294, 2332 (2001).
- [2] V. F. Gantmakher et al., JETP Lett. 77, 424 (2003), JETP-Lett. 71, 473 (2000).
- [3] T. I. Baturina et al., JETP Lett. 79, 337 (2004)
- [4] A. I. Larkin and A. A. Varlamov in The Physics of Superconductors, Eds. K. H. Bennemann and J. B. Ketterson, Springer-Verlag (2003).
- [5] A. A. Abrikosov and L. P. Gor'kov, Sov. Phys. JETP 12, 1243 (1961).
- [6] A. V. Lopatin, N. Shah, V. M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 037003 (2005).
- [7] R. Ramazashvili and P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3752 (1997), V. P. Mineev and M. Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B 63, 172504 (2001).
- [8] I. S. Beloborodov and K. B. Efetov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3332 (1999), V. M. Galitski and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. B 63, 174506 (2001).
- [9] L. P. Gor'kov, A. I. Larkin, D. E. Khmel'nitskii, JETP-Lett. 30, 228 (1979), B. L. Altshuler, A. G. Aronov and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 44, 1288 (1980).