Tunnelling current and emission spectrum of a single electron transistor under optical pum ping

David M.-T. Kuoʻ and Yia-Chung Chang²

David M.-T. Kuoʻ and Yia-Chung Chang²

David M.-T. Kuoʻ and Yia-Chung Chang²

Department of Electrical Engineering, National Central University,

Chung-Li, Taiwan, Republic of China and

Department of Physics

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

Urbana, Illinois 61801

(Dated: November 18, 2021)

Abstract

Theoretical studies of the tunnelling current and en ission spectrum of a single electron transistor (SET)

under optical pumping are presented. The cabulation is performed via Kelkiysh Green's function method within the Anderson model with two energy levels. It is found that holes in the quantum dot (QD) created by optical pumping lead to new channels for the electron tunnelling from emitter to collector. As a consequence, an electron can tunnel through the QD via additional channels, characterized by the exciton, trion and biexciton states. It is found that the tunnelling current as a function of the gate voltage displays a series of sharp peaks and the spacing between these peaks can be used to determine the exciton binding energy as well as the electron-electron Coulomb repulsion energy. In addition, we show that the single-photon emission associated with the electron-hole recomb bination in the exciton complexes formed in the QD can be controlled both electrically and optically.

I. INTRODUCTION

The tunnelling current characteristics of a single electron transistor (SET) have been extensively studied and interesting physical phenomena, such as Coulomb blockade and Kondo elect have been found. The main structure of an SET consists of three electrodes (source, drain and gate) and the active region consists of one or more quantum dots (QDs). Although the physics of Kondo elect is more profound than that of Coulomb blockade, the main device application of SET is based on the Coulomb blockade elect. The tunnelling current of an SET is sensitive to the size of the QD. The charging energy, U, is typically larger than the energy level spacing, E, caused by the quantum connement in large QDs. Consequently, the tunnelling current displays a periodic Coulomb oscillation with respect to the gate voltage. This feature of periodic Coulomb oscillation was observed only at very low temperature due to the small charging energy. Note that the charging energy must be greater than the thermal energy and tunnelling rate multiplied by h in order for an SET to operate properly. For application in high temperature SETs, nanoscale QDs with large charging energy are desired.

To date two major kinds of nanoscale QDs have been used to construct SETs: the Si/GeQDs and the InAs/GaAs self-assembled quantum dots (SAQDs). Silicon (Si) or germanium (Ge) QDs can be miniaturized to reach nanometer scale with advanced fabrication technology. Nanoscale Si/GeSETs typically display non-periodic Coulomb oscillations at room temperatures, as a result of the large charging energy (U) and the unequally spaced values of E, which are comparable to U. Even though Si/GeSETs can operate at room temperatures, it is dicult to gian full understanding of their tunnelling current characteristics because of the multi-valleyed nature of Si/Ge and the unknown Si/GeSiO2 interface properties. Furthermore, the electron-hole recombination rate in Si/GeQDs is not large enough for useful application in optoelectronics, since Si and Ge are indirect-band-gap sem iconductors.

The InAs/GasSAQDs, on the other hand, are better understood, and because InAs is a direct-band-gap sem iconductors, SETs constructed from InAs/GasSAQDs can have useful application in optoelectronic devices such as single-photon generators and single-photon detectors. Recently, InAs/GaAs self-assembled quantum dot (SAQD) embedded in a p-n junction has been employed to generate single-photon emission for application in quantum cryptography. A notherway to achieve

single-photon generation is to use isolated SAQD with optical pumping. Such studies have received a great deal of attention recently. 16 21 The spontaneous em ission spectrum of aQD typically exhibits coexisting sharp em ission peaks, which have been attributed to the electron-hole recombination in the exciton, trion and biexciton formed in the QD. The antibunching feature of the em itted single photon in this kind of device has been demonstrated. $^{13;14}$ In addition, gate electrodes have been used in some experiments to manipulate the emission spectrum. 22 24 .

M any theoreticale orts based on the Anderson model and Keldysh Green's function method have been devoted to the studies of transport properties of SETs, including the dc and ac tunnelling current. The studies on the ac tunnelling current of SET mainly focus on the photon-side band phenom ena caused by the microwave pum $ping^{5;7}$. Only a handful theoretical studies considered the optical properties of SETs. Recently, we have studied the intraband transitions of SETs made of In As/GaAs SAQDs for application as an infrared photodetector with operating wavelength near 10 m $.^{25;26}$ On the other hand, the interband transitions in a QD have been adopted frequently to realize single-photon generation. Theoretical studies of the electronically driven single-photon generator (SPG) have been reported, 27;28 whereas theoretical studies on optically pumped SETs (including the coupling with leads) are still lacking. It is the purpose of this paper to provide a theoretical analysis for such a system. Furtherm ore, the measurement of exciton binding energy in a QD remains illusive, since in a typical photolum inescence measurement or the emission spectrum of a single QD, one can only observe the exciton recombination, but not the free electron-hole recombination. The exciton binding energy is an important physical quantity as it provides information about the e ective dielectric constant and the charge distribution of electron and hole in the QD. In our current theoretical study, we will show that via the measurement of the tunnelling current of an SET made of a nanoscale QD under optical pumping one can determ ine the exciton binding energy of a QD unambiguously.

The schematic diagram for the SET system considered is shown in Fig. 1. Here a nanoscale QD is embedded in a n-i-n junction. This is dierent from the electrically driven SPG, where a QD is embedded in a p-n junction. Therefore, the physical process considered here is also quite dirent. Using optical pumping to create electron-hole pairs in the SET, one can not only electrically manipulate the single-photon emission spectrum arising from exciton complexes in the QD, but also optically control the tunnelling current of the SET. In this paper, we will consider both the hole-assisted tunnelling current due to optical pumping and the electrode-controlled spontaneous emission spectrum.

Our calculation is based on the Keldysh Green's function approach²⁹ within the Anderson model for a two-level system. A elective mass model, which takes into account the anisotropy and inhomogeneity, is used to estimate the interparticle Coulomb energies. We not that the optical excitation creates holes in the QD, which provide new channels (via the electron-hole interaction) for the electron to tunnel from the emitter to the collector. As a consequence, an electron can tunnel through the QD via four additional channels, characterized by the exciton, positive trion, negative trion, and biexciton states. Each addition channel can generate a new plateau (or oscillatory peaks) in the tunnelling current characteristics in addition to the typical plateau (or peaks) caused by the Coulomb blockade elect. This gives rise to a rich tunnelling current characteristics and it can be used to determ ine the exciton binding energy.

This paper is organized as the following. In section II, we derive the tunnelling current of SET under optical pumping. In section III, we calculate the polarization needed for describing the spontaneous emission spectrum. In section IV, we present calculations of the interparticle Coulomb interactions within a simple but realistice ective mass model. In section V, we discuss the results of our numerical calculations on the tunnelling current and spontaneous emission spectrum and demonstrate that the exciton binding energy can be extracted via the measurement of tunnelling current. Finally, a summary and concluding remarks are presented in section VI.

II. TUNNELLING CURRENT

The system of interest is shown in Fig. 1, which consists of a single InAs/GaAs self-assembled quantum dot (SAQD) sandwiched between two n-doped GaAs leads. Electrons are allowed to tunnel from the left lead (emitter) to the right lead (collector) under the in uence of an optical eld. The Hamiltonian for the system is given by

$$\begin{split} H &= & \underset{;i=e;h}{X} E_{i}d_{i;}^{y} d_{i;} + \underset{k;;'=L;R}{X} e_{k;;'}Q_{k;;'}Q_{k;;'} \\ &+ \underset{X}{(V_{k;;}Q_{k;;}^{y}, d_{e;} + V_{k;;}^{y}, d_{e;}^{y}, d_{k;;'}) \\ &\stackrel{\vdash}{\times} L_{i}R_{i}k_{i;} \\ &+ \underset{X}{(0e^{i!} \circ ^{t}b_{e;k}, b_{h,k}, + \overset{y}{0}e^{i!} \circ ^{t}b_{h,k}^{y}, b_{e,k}^{y},) \\ &\stackrel{k;}{\times} X \\ &+ \underset{i,k,q}{(g_{i;q}Ab_{i;k}^{y}, d_{i;} + g_{i;q}^{y}A^{y}d_{i;}^{y}, b_{i,k},) \end{split}$$

+
$$(e^{i!t}a^{y}d_{e}, d_{h}, + ye^{i!t}ad_{h}, d_{e},);$$
 (1)

where the rst term describes electrons in the QD. We assume that the quantum con nemente ect is strong for the small InAsQD considered here. Therefore, the energy spacings between the ground state and the $\,$ rst excited state for electrons and holes, $\,$ E $_{\rm e}$ and $\,$ E $_{\rm h}$, are much larger than the therm alenergy, $k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ T , where $k_{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ and T denote the Boltzm ann constant and temperature. Only the ground state levels for electrons and holes, E $_{\rm e}$ and E $_{\rm h}$, are considered in the $\,$ rst term . The second term describes the kinetic energies of free carriers in the electrodes. Note that in the current setup, the gate electrode does not provide any carriers, but m erely controls the energy levels of the Q D . The third term describes the coupling between the QD and the leads. Note that only the conduction band of QD is coupled with the electrodes. The fourth term describes the interband optical pumping with a frequency ! 0, which is in resonance with the transition energy for an electron-hole pair in the wetting layer. We treat the electrom agnetic eld as a sem iclassical eld. The fith term describes the optical phonon assisted process for electrons in the wetting layer to relax into the InAsQD. The last term describe the coupling of the QD $\,$ with the electrom agnetic $\,$ eld of frequency $\,$! $\,$. rE is the Rabi frequency, where $r = \langle f jrji \rangle$ is the matrix element for the optical transition and E is electriceld per photon. $a^{y}(A^{y})$ and a (A) denote the creation and annihilation operators of a photon (phonon), respectively.

Due to the large strain-induced splitting between the heavy-hole and light-hole bands for typical QDs, we only have to consider the heavy hole band (with $J_z=3=2$) and ignore its coupling with light-hole band caused by the QD potential. Thus, we can treat the heavy hole as a spin-1/2 particle with =#; "representing $J_z=3=2$. This treatment is convenient for algebraic manipulations in the calculation of Green's functions. Because the electron C oulomb interactions is signicant in small sem iconductor QDs, we take into account the electron C oulomb interactions and electron-hole C oulomb interactions by adding the following terms

$$H_{I} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{X} U_{i,j} d_{i,j}^{y} d_{i,j} d_{i,j}^{y} d_{i,j} + \sum_{i \in j; j}^{X} U_{i,j} d_{i,j}^{y} d_{i,j} d_{j,j}^{y} d_{j,j} d_{$$

where $d_{i;}^{Y}$ and $d_{i;}$ are creation and annihilation operators for particles with spin in the ith energy level of the QD. Once the H am iltonian is constructed, the tunnelling current of SET can be calculated via the K eldysh G reen's function method.²⁷ We obtain the tunnelling current through a single dot

(see appendix A)

$$J = \frac{2e^{Z}}{h} \frac{d}{2} [f_{L} (_{L}) f_{R} (_{R})] \frac{L()_{R} ()}{L() + R()} Im G_{e;}^{r} ();$$
(3)

where f_L () and f_R () are the Fermi distribution function for the source and drain electrodes, respectively. The chem ical potential difference between these two electrodes is related to the applied bias via $_L = _R = eV_a$. $_L$ () and $_R$ () denote the tunnelling rates from the QD to the left (source) and right (drain) electrodes, respectively. For simplicity, these tunnelling rates will be assumed energy and bias-independent. Therefore, the calculation of tunnelling current is entirely determined by the spectral function $A = Im G_{e;}^r$ (), which is the imaginary part of the retarded G reen's function $G_{e;}^r$ (). Eq. (3) is obtained provided that the condition $_{L(R)} > _{ex}$ and $_{L(R)} = R_{eh}$ are satisfied, where $_{ex}$ is the captured rate of electrons. Because of the phonon bottleneck electrons to relax from the wetting layer to the QD is small. Thus, we have ignored the terms involving phonons in the calculation of the tunnelling current. Besides, photon current has also been neglected, since the electron-hole recombination rate, R_{eh} is much smaller than the tunnelling rates.

The retarded G reen's function, $G_{e;}^{r}$ (), can be obtained by the equation of motion of $G_{e;}^{r}$ (t) = i (t)hfd; (t); $d_{e;}^{y}$ (0)gi, where (t) is a step function. The curly brackets represent the anti-commutator and the bracket h::i denotes the thermal average. The Fourier transform of $G_{e;}^{r}$ (t) is given by

$$G_{e;}^{r}() = \int_{1}^{Z_{1}} dt G_{e;}^{r}(t) e^{i(+i)t}$$
 (4)

with being a positive in nitesimal number. $G_{e;}^r$ is the full G reen's function, which includes all type of interactions. G iven the conditions $_{L(\mathbb{R})}$ $_{e;c}$ and $_{L(\mathbb{R})}$ R_{eh} , we can drop the fith and the last term of the Ham iltonian in the calculation of $G_{e;}^r$ (). To solve $G_{e;}^r$ (), we consider only the lowest order coupling between the electrodes and the QD. The equation of motion for $G_{e;}^r$ (t) leads to

$$(E_{e} + i \frac{e}{2})G_{e}^{r}, () = 1 + U_{e}G_{ee}^{r}() U_{eh}(G_{eh;1}^{r}() + G_{eh2}^{r}());$$
 (5)

where the two particle G reen's functions, $G_{ee}^{r}()$, $G_{eh1}^{r}()$ and $G_{eh;2}^{r}()$ arise from the particle correlation and they satisfy

(
$$(E_e + U_e) + i\frac{e}{2})G_{ee}^r$$
 () = N_e ; U_{eh} (G_{ehe1}^r () + G_{ehe2}^r ()); (6)

(
$$(E_e U_{eh}) + i \frac{e}{2})G_{eh1}^r$$
 () = n_h ; + $U_eG_{ehe1}^r$ () $U_{eh}G_{ehh}^r$ (); (7)

and

(
$$(E_e U_{eh}) + i \frac{e}{2})G_{eh2}^r$$
 () = n_h ; + $U_eG_{ehe2}^r$ () $U_{eh}G_{ehh}^r$ (): (8)

 $N_{e;}$, $n_{h;}$ and $n_{h;}$ in Eqs. (6)-(8) denote the steady-state electron and hole occupation numbers. The two-particle G reen's functions are coupled with the three-particle G reen's functions de ned as G_{ehel}^{r} () = $hN_{e;}$ $n_{h;}$ $d_{e;}$; $d_{e;}^{y}$ i, G_{ehe2}^{r} () = $hN_{e;}$ $n_{h;}$ $d_{e;}$; $d_{e;}^{y}$ i and G_{ehh}^{r} () = $hn_{h;}$ $n_{h;}$ $d_{e;}$; $d_{e;}^{y}$ i. The equations of motion of the three-particle G reen's functions will lead to coupling with the four-particle G reen's functions (two electrons and two holes), where the hierarchy term inates. Thus, these three-particle G reen's functions can be expressed in the following closed form

$$G_{\text{ehe1}}^{r}() = N_{e}; \quad n_{h}; \quad \frac{1 \quad n_{h};}{(E_{e} + U_{e} \quad U_{eh}) + i - \frac{e}{2}} + \frac{n_{h};}{(E_{e} + U_{e} \quad 2U_{eh}) + i - \frac{e}{2}});$$
 (9)

$$G_{\text{ehe2}}^{r}() = N_{e}; \quad n_{h}; \quad (\frac{1 \quad n_{h};}{(E_{e} + U_{e} \quad U_{eh}) + i\frac{-e}{2}} + \frac{n_{h};}{(E_{e} + U_{e} \quad 2U_{eh}) + i\frac{-e}{2}});$$
 (10)

and

$$G_{ehh}^{r}() = n_{h}; \quad n_{h}; \quad \frac{1 \quad N_{e};}{(E_{e} \quad 2U_{eh}) + i\frac{-e}{2}} + \frac{N_{e};}{(E_{e} \quad 2U_{eh} + U_{e}) + i\frac{-e}{2}};$$
(11)

Eqs. (9) and (10) describe the mixed amplitudes for the propagation of an electron either in the presence of another electron (with opposite spin) plus one hole, or another electron plus two holes. Eq. (11) describes the mixed amplitudes for the propagation of an electron either in the presence of two holes, or two holes plus another electron. Substituting Eqs. (9)-(11) into Eqs. (7) and (8), we obtain, after some algebras, the retarded G reen's function of Eq. (3)

$$\begin{split} & \text{G}_{e;}^{\,r} \; (\;) = \; (1 \quad N_{e;} \quad) \\ & \frac{1}{E_{e} + \frac{1 \cdot e}{2}} \\ & + \frac{n_{h;} \; + n_{h;} \quad 2n_{h;} \; n_{h;}}{E_{e} + U_{eh} + \frac{1 \cdot e}{2}} + \frac{n_{h;} \; n_{h;}}{E_{e} + 2U_{eh} + \frac{1 \cdot e}{2}} \\ & + N_{e;} \quad \\ & \frac{1}{E_{e}} \; \frac{(n_{h;} \; + n_{h;} \;) + n_{h;} \; n_{h;}}{E_{e} \; U_{e} + \frac{1 \cdot e}{2}} \end{split}$$

$$+ \frac{n_{h;} + n_{h;}}{E_{e} \quad U_{e} + U_{eh} + i\frac{-e}{2}} + \frac{n_{h;} \quad n_{h;}}{E_{e} \quad U_{e} + 2U_{eh} + i\frac{-e}{2}} g:$$
(12)

Here $G_{\rm e}^{\rm r}$ () contains an admixture of six possible con gurations in which a given electron can propagate. These con gurations are: empty state, one-hole state, two-hole state, one-electron state, one-electron plus one-hole state, and one-electron plus two-hole state. In Eq. (12), $_{\rm e}$ is the electron tunnelling rate $_{\rm e}$ $_{\rm L}$ + $_{\rm R}$. The particle correlation has not been included in the tunneling rates of Eq. (12). Such approximation has been known to be adequate for describing the Coulomb blockade e ect, but not the K ondo e ect. The electron occupation number of the QD can be solved self-consistently via the relation

$$N_{e;} = \frac{Z}{d} \frac{d}{L_{L} + R} \frac{L_{R} f_{R} ()}{L_{R} + R} Im G_{e;}^{r} ()$$
: (13)

 $N_{e;}$ is limited to the region 0 $N_{e;}$ 1. Eq. (13) indicates that the electron occupation numbers of the QD, $N_{e;}$ and $N_{e;}$, are primarily determined by the tunnelling process. To obtain the electron and hole occupation numbers ($n_{e;} = n_{e;}$ and $n_{h;} = n_{h;}$) arising from the optical pumping, we solve the rate equations (see appendix B) and obtain

$$n_e = n_e; = n_e; = \frac{e_{xc}N_{e,k} (1 N_e)}{e_{xc}N_{e,k} + R_{eh}n_h + e}$$
 (14)

and

$$n_h = n_h; = n_h; = \frac{h_{,c}N_{h,k}}{h_{,c}N_{h,k} + R_{eh}(n_e + N_e) + h_{,s}};$$
 (15)

where $_{e(h);c}$ and $N_{e(h);k}$ denote the captured rate for electrons (holes) from the wetting layer to the QD and the occupation number of electrons (holes) in the wetting layer. See Eqs. (37) and (38), $N_{e(h);k}$ is a linear function of p_{exc} for low pumping intensity and small $_{e(h);c}$. $_{h;s}$ denotes the non-radiative recombination rate for holes in the QD. Because $_{e;c}N_{e;k}=_{e}$ 1, n_{e} has been neglected in Eq. (12).

III. SPONTANEOUS EM ISSION SPECTRUM

When electrons and holes appear in the QD, their recombination leads to single-photon emission. The optical polarization of the emitted photon depends on the spin polarization of the electrons. An electron with spin +1/2 (-1/2) can recombine with a heavy hole of angular momentum +3/2 (-3/2) to emit a circularly (+ or) polarized photon. In some experiments, up to four coexisting

peaks (associated with exciton, negative trion, positive trion and biexciton) have been observed in the em ission spectrum. ¹⁶ ¹⁸ The em ission process is described by the last term in the Hamiltonian given in Eq. (1). The bias-dependent em ission spectrum can be obtained by nding the nondiagonal lesser G reen's function at nonequal time. To simplify the problem, we assume that the applied bias is large enough to create the biexciton state (two electrons and two holes) in the QD.

With the above assumption, polarization $P(!) = G_{eh}^{<}(!)$ can be calculated by the equal-time Keldysh G reen function, $G_{eh}^{<}(t;t) = ih\mathring{a}'(t)d_h$; (t)d_e; (t)i (or density matrix method). Prior to the calculation of the polarization P(!), a unitary transformation has been used to remove the phase of the optical transition term. The renormalized energy levels of the electron and hole become $e = E_e = \frac{1}{2}$ and $e = E_h = \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, the hopping term shetween the leads and dot, $V_{j=L,R,k}$ (t) = $V_{j=L,R,k}$ exp $\frac{(-i!,t)}{2}$ become time dependent, in which the energy and time dependence of the coupling are factorized. This factorization leads to time-independent tunnelling rates and it simplies the calculation. Solving the equation of motion for $G_{eh}^{<}(t;t) = ih\mathring{a}'(t)d_h$; (t)d_e; (t)i and considering the steady-state condition, we obtain the lesser G reen's function

$$G_{eh}^{<}(!) = \frac{F \quad (U_{eh})P_{1}(!) \quad (U_{h} \quad U_{eh})P_{2}(!)}{e + h \quad U_{eh} + i\frac{1}{2}};$$
(16)

w here

$$F = h ja^{y}aji(1 N_{e}; n_{h}; N_{e}; n_{h};$$
 (17)

 $_{\rm e}$ + $_{\rm h}$, which leads to a broadening of the spectrum, and j i denotes a photon state. P $_{\rm 1}$ (!) and P $_{\rm 2}$ (!) are given by

$$P_{1}(!) = FN_{e}; \quad \frac{1}{e^{+} + H^{+}U_{e} - 2U_{eh} + i_{2}} + \frac{n_{h};}{e^{+} + H^{+}U_{e} + U_{h} - 3U_{eh} + i_{2}})$$
(18)

and

$$P_{2}(!) = F_{n_{h}}; \left(\frac{1 \quad N_{e};}{e + h + U_{h} \quad 2U_{eh} + i_{\overline{2}}} + \frac{N_{e};}{e + h + U_{e} + U_{h} \quad 3U_{eh} + i_{\overline{2}}}\right);$$
(19)

The rst term in the lling factor F [Eq. (17)] arises from the stimulated process, which vanishes in the vacuum state. The second term, N_e , n_h , is due to the spontaneous emission process. This is a quantum electron agnetic eld. Expressions of Eqs. (18) and (19) are derived, respectively, from the equation of motions for lihar (t) N_e ; (t) d_h ; (t) d_e ; (t) i and lihar (t) n_h ; (t) d_h ; (t) d_e ; (t) i, which are coupled with lihar (t) n_h ; (t) n_h ;

$$P (!) = (N_{e;} n_{h;})$$

$$= {}^{2}f \frac{(1 N_{e;})(1 n_{h;})}{E_{g} U_{eh} ! + i = 2} + \frac{(1 n_{h;})N_{e;}}{E_{g} + U_{e} 2U_{eh} ! + i = 2}$$

$$+ \frac{(1 N_{e;})n_{h;}}{E_{g} + U_{h} 2U_{eh} ! + i = 2}$$

$$+ \frac{N_{e;} n_{h;}}{E_{g} + U_{h} + U_{e} 3U_{eh} ! + i = 2} g;$$
(20)

The spectrum of the polarization P (!) displays four peaks, which are attributed to the exciton X , negative trion X , positive trion X $^+$ and biexciton X 2 (biexciton decaying to exciton). The corresponding peak positions occur at ! = E $_g$ U $_{eh}$, ! = E $_g$ + U $_e$ 2U $_{eh}$, ! = E $_g$ + U $_h$ 2U $_{eh}$ and ! = E $_g$ + U $_e$ + U $_h$ 3U $_{eh}$, which are signi cantly in uenced by the particle C oulom b interactions.

IV. ENERGY LEVELS AND INTER-PARTICLE INTERACTIONS

A coording to Eqs. (12) and (20), particle Coulomb interactions will signicantly a ect the tunnelling current of SET and the emission spectrum of single photons. To illustrate this elect, we apply our theory to a pyram id-shaped InAs/GaAsQD. First, we calculate the interparticle Coulomb interactions using a simple but realistic elective mass model. The electronic structures of InAs/GaAsQDs have been extensively studied by several groups with dierent methods. The electron (hole) in the QD is described by the equation

$$[r \frac{h^2}{2m_{QD}(z)} r + V_{QD}^{e(h)}(z) eFz]_{e(h)}(r)$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{e(h)} (r); \tag{21}$$

where m $_{\rm e}$ (;z) (a scalar) denotes the position-dependent electron electron electron mass, which has m $_{\rm eG}$ = 0.067m $_{\rm e}$ for G aA s and m $_{\rm eI}$ = 0.04m $_{\rm e}$ for the strained InA s in the QD .m $_{\rm h}$ (;z) denotes the position-dependent electron mass tensor for the hole. It is a fairly good approximation to describe m $_{\rm h}$ (;z) in InA s/G aA s QD as a diagonal tensor with the x and y components given by m $_{\rm t}$ 1 = ($_{\rm 1}$ + $_{\rm 2}$)=m $_{\rm e}$ and the z component given by m $_{\rm 1}$ 1 = ($_{\rm 1}$ + $_{\rm 2}$)=m $_{\rm e}$. $_{\rm 1}$ and $_{\rm 2}$ are the Luttinger parameters. Their values for InA s and G aA s are taken from Ref. 34. V $_{\rm QD}^{\rm e}$ (;z) ($V_{\rm QD}^{\rm h}$ (;z)) is approximated by a constant potential in the InA s region with value determined by the conduction-band (valence-band) o set and the deformation potential shift caused by the biaxial strain in the QD. These values have been determined by comparison with results obtained from a microscopic model calculation and we have $V_{\rm QD}^{\rm e}$ = 0.5eV and $V_{\rm QD}^{\rm h}$ = 0.32eV. The eF z term in Eq.(21) arises from the applied voltage, where F denotes the strength of the electric eld. U sing the eigenfunctions of Eq. (21), we calculate the inter-particle C oulomb interactions via

$$U_{i;j} = {^{Z} dr_{1}}^{Z} dr_{2} \frac{e^{2} [n_{i} (r_{1}) n_{j} (r_{2})]}{{_{0} j r_{1}} r_{2} j};$$
 (22)

where $i(j) = e_i h \cdot n_i(r_1)$ denotes the charge density. $_0$ is the static dielectric constant of InAs. We have ignored the image force arising from the small dierence of dielectric constant between InAs and GaAs. Although the Coulomb energies are dierent in dierent exciton complexes, their dierence is rather small. Therefore, only one set of Coulomb interaction parameters has been used in this study.

For the purpose of constructing the approximate wave functions, we place the system in a large conning cubic box with length L. Here we adopt L = 40nm. The wave functions are expanded in a set of basis functions, which are chosen as sine waves

$$p_{n \text{ lm}} (;;z) = \frac{p_{\overline{8}}}{\sum_{1}^{3}} \sin(k_{1}x) \sin(k_{m} y) \sin(k_{n} z);$$
 (23)

where $k_n = n = L_1 k_m = m = L_1 k_n = 1$. In mand 'are positive integers. The expression of the matrix elements of the Ham iltonian of Eq. (21) can be readily obtained. In our calculation n = 20, m = 10, and '= 10 are used in solving Eq. (21). Fig. 2 shows the lowest three energy levels of the pyram idal InAs/GaAsQD as a function of QD size. The ratio of height and base length is xed at h=b=1=4, while h varies from 2.5 nm to 6.5 nm. D iagram (a) and (b) denote, respectively, the energy levels for electrons and holes. We can see that the energy level spacing between the ground state and

the rst excited state is much larger than $k_B T = 2m \text{ eV}$, which will be considered throughout this article. Therefore, the lowest energy levels, E_e and E_h , are adopted in the Ham iltonian of Eq. (1). The intralevel Coulomb interactions U_e and U_h and interlevel Coulomb interaction U_{eh} are calculated using Eq. (22). Fig. 3 shows the inter-particle interactions as functions of QD size. The strengths of Coulomb interactions are inversely proportional to the QD size. However as the QD size decreases below a threshold value (around b = 12nm), Ue is signi cantly reduced due to the leak out of electron density for small QDs. These Coulomb interactions approach approximately the same value in the large QD lim it. This indicates sim ilar degree of localization for electron and hole in large QDs. We also note that $\rm U_{eh}\,$ is sm aller than $\rm U_e\,$ in the large QD. This is due to the fact that in large QDs the degree of localization for the hole becomes similar to that for electron, while the anisotropic nature of hole wave function reduces U_{eh} . The repulsive Coulomb interactions, U_e and U_h , are the origin of Coulomb blockade for electrons and holes, respectively. The attractive Coulomb interaction U_{eh} gives rise to the binding of the exciton. To study the behavior of tunnelling current and bias-dependent spontaneous em ission spectrum, we consider a particular pyram idal InAs/GaAsQD with base length b = 13nm and height h = 3.5nm. The other relevant parameters for this QD are $E_{e;0} = 0.14$ eV, $E_{h;0} = 0.125 \text{eV}$, $U_e = 16.1 \text{m}$ eV , $U_{eh} = 16.7 \text{m}$ eV and $U_h = 18.5 \text{m}$ eV .

V. RESULTS

In this section, we discuss our num erical results for the tunnelling current and spontaneous em ission spectrum. For sim plicity, we assume that the tunnelling rate $_{\rm L}$ = $_{\rm R}$ = 0.5m eV is bias-independent. We apply a bias voltage V_a across the source-drain and V_g across the gate-drain. With the applied voltages, the QD electron and hole energy levels will be shifted to $E_e+eV_a=eV_g$ and $E_h+eV_a=eV_g$, respectively. In our calculation, we assume = 0.5 and = 0.7. Meanwhile the chemical potentials of the electrodes corresponding to a Fermi energy $E_F=60$ m eV (relative to the conduction band minimum in the leads) are assumed to be 70m eV below the energy level of E_e at zero bias. O therefore parameters adopted are: $_h=0.2$ m eV and $R_{eh}=10$ eV.

A. E ects of optical pum ping on the tunnelling current

Applying Eqs. (3), (13) and (15), we solve for the electron occupation number $N_e = N_e$; = N_e ; and the tunnelling current J. Fig. 4 shows the calculated results for N_e and J as functions of

gate voltage with and without photo-excitation at zero temperature and $V_a = 2m V$. Solid line and dashed line correspond to I = 0 (no pump) and I = 0.9 (with pump), respectively. Here I is a dimensionless quantity, de ned as I $h_{i,c}N_{h,k} = h_{i,s}$ which is proportional to the pump power. The electron occupation number displays several plateaus, while the tunnelling current displays an oscillatory behavior. Both are typical behaviors due to the Coulomb blockade. The manipulation of gate voltage can tune the energy levels of the QD. For the no-pump case (I = 0), carriers in the em itter electrode are allowed to tunnel into the QD as the gate voltage exceeds the threshold voltage, V_{q3} (100m V). W hen the energy level of $E_e + V_a$ Va is below the emitter chemical potential, but $E_e + V_a = V_q + U_e$ above the em itter chem ical potential, N_e (for a given spin) reaches 0.5 and displays a plateau which is caused by the Coulomb blockade associated with $U_{\rm e}$ (the charging energy of the electron ground state). At higher gate voltage, $E_e + V_a = V_q + U_e$ m oves below the em itter chem ical potential, and Ne approaches 1. When electron-hole pairs are generated due to optical pumping in addition to the tunnelling electrons, various exciton complexes such as the exciton, positive and negative trions, and biexciton can be formed. These new channels allow carriers in the emitter electrode to tunnel into the QD at lower gate voltage. When the gate voltage is below V_{q2} , but above V_{q1} , only the positive trion state is below the em itter chem ical potential, hence only a sm all plateau is seen. As the gate voltage increases, more exciton complex levels are depressed below the emitter chemical potential, and a series of plateaus appear. The value of Ne depends on which exciton complex state is led and on the pumping intensity.

The tunnelling current as a function of the gate voltage can be measured directly. We see that optical pumping leads to two additional peaks below the threshold voltage V_{g3} , which is caused by the electron tunnelling assisted by the presence of a hole in the QD. This interesting phenomenon was observed by Fujiwara et al. in an SET composed of one silicon QD and three electrodes. The behavior of the photo-induced tunnelling current can be understood by analyzing the energy poles of the retarded G reen's function as given in Eq. (12). In Figure 4, the rst peak of the dashed line is caused by a resonant tunnelling through the energy pole at $E_{e} = E_{e} = E$

to be distinguished. The third peak is caused by another pair of poles at $= E_e$ (the single-electron state) and $= E_e + U_e$ (the negative trion state) with probabilities (1 N_e ,) (1 $(r_h, + n_h, n_h, + n_h, n_h, + n_h, n_h, + n_h,$

Figure 5 shows the tunnelling current as a function of gate voltage for various strengths of photo-excitation power at zero temperature. According to the denition of e(h); = $_{q}$ $\dot{y}_{e(h);q}$ \dot{j} Im $1=(_{e(h);s}(_{q}+i_{e(h);s}))$, the condition $_{L}=_{R}$ $_{e;c}$ is still satis ed, even though we tune $I = h_{ic}N_{h;k} = h_{is}$ up to 10 in Fig. 5. I = 10 can be regarded as increasing p_{exc} by 10 times, but it is still in the weak pumping regime. We notice that increasing photo-excitation power tends to suppress the tunnelling current at high gate-voltage. For instance, the peak located at $V_q = 123$ m V alm ost vanishes due to the much reduced probability for the pole at $= E_e + U_e$. Based on the results of Figs. 4 and 5, we see that the SET has potential application as an optically controlled switch. For practical applications, we must consider the behavior of the tunnelling current for an SET operated at nite temperatures. Figure 6 shows a comparison of the electron occupation number and tunnelling current at zero tem perature and n ite tem perature (k_B T = 2m eV). We see that the plateaus become broadened and the magnitude of the tunnelling current peak is reduced as the temperature increases. Thus, a large charging energy is required for SETs to be operated at high temperatures. The tunnelling current as a function of applied bias (V_a) for various strengths of photo-excitation power at $k_B T = 2m \text{ eV}$ and $V_q = 0$ is shown in Fig. 7. The tunnelling current exhibits a staircase behavior. This is the well-known Coulom b blockade e ect. The e ect of the optical pum ping is reduce the threshold voltage and increase the number of plateaus in the J-V characteristics. We also notice that a negative di erential conductance occurs at high voltages when the resonance level of the QD

is below the conduction band minimum of the emitter electrode.

B. E ects of electrodes on the spontaneous em ission spectrum

SET has been considered as a single-photon generater (SPG) for quantum information application such as quantum cryptography and teleportation. Thus, it is of great interest to study the spontaneous single-photon em ission spectrum of the SET.We previously reported the theoretical studies of the em ission spectrum of a single QD embedded in a p-n junction. 27;28 Here, we consider the singlephoton emission of an SET (a QD embedded in a n-i-n junction) under optical pumping. Since the m agnitudes of inter-particle Coulomb interactions Ue, Uh and Ueh are fairly close, it will be dicult to resolve the peaks of exciton (X), negative trion (X), positive trion (X $^+$) and biexciton (X 2) for the case of large tunnelling rate as we considered above ($_{\rm L}$ = $_{\rm R}$ = 0.5m eV). Therefore, for this study we adopt a small tunnelling rate, L = R = 0.1 m eV in order to resolve these peaks in the em ission spectrum. This can be achieved, for example, by increasing the barrier thickness between the QD and the conducting leads. Figure 8 shows the emission spectrum for various gate-voltages at x = 0.9). For $V_q = 100 \text{m V}$, the calculated spontaneous emission spectrum displays four peaks corresponding to X , X, X² and X⁺. The red shift of the X peak relative to the exciton peak agrees well with experimental observations. 16;22;24;37 The biexciton peak displaying a blue shift with respect to the exciton peak (showing an antibinding biexciton) is also consistent with the observation reported in ref.[14,19-21] Recently, studies of the binding and antibinding of biexcitons were reported in Ref. 21. Our calculation given by Eqs. (21) and (22) provides only the antibinding feature of biexciton. In Ref. 21 it is pointed out that the biexciton complex changes from antibinding to binding as the QD size increases. For QDs with dimension larger than the exciton Bohr radius (around 20nm), the correlation energy becomes signicant. In this study, we have not taken into account the correlation energy. This is justiled as long as we restrict ourselves to QDs with size less than 20nm. We see in this gure when the gate-voltage is increased up to $V_q = 125 \text{m V}$, only the and X 2 peaks survive in the em ission spectrum as N $_{\rm e}$ approaches 1. Furtherm ore, we can adjust the intensity of excitation power to either enhance or suppress the strength of the biexciton peak. Thom pson et al. dem on strated that the single photon generated by the biexciton state is signicantly less in emission time than the single exciton state. From this point of view, the biexciton state is favored for the application of single-photon generation. We nd that one can increase the pump power

in order to select the biexciton state as the desired source to produce the single-photon emission. This is demonstrated in Fig. 9, where the emission spectra for various strengths of excitation power at the xed gate voltage $V_g = 125 \text{m V}$ are shown. We see that the negative trion saturates at I = 1.9 and diminishes afterwards, while the biexciton peak increases quadratically with I and becomes the dominant peak in the emission spectrum.

Finally, we discuss the emission spectrum of an isolated charge-neutral QD with $_{\rm L}$ = $_{\rm R}$ = 0. For this case the rate equation of exciton number N $_{\rm X}$; can be readily obtained by solving the rate equation

$$_{X,c}N_{k}(1 N_{X,j})$$
 $\frac{d!}{d!}!$ (!) jIm P (!) j= 0 (24)

with

$$P(!) = N_{X}; \left(\frac{1}{E_{X}}, \frac{1}{!+i=2} + \frac{N_{X};}{E_{X} + E_{C}}, \frac{!+i=2}{!+i=2}\right);$$
 (25)

where = $4 \text{ n}_{\text{r}}^3 \text{ }_{\text{r}}^2 = (6c^3h^3 \text{ }_0)$ and n_{r} is the refractive index of the system . (!) = $!^2$ arises from the density of states of photons. E_X and $E_{X,c}$ denote, respectively, the exciton energy and excitonexciton correlation energy. E_X denotes the capture rate for excitons from the wetting layer to the QD. A first the integration of ! in Eq. (24), we obtain

$$N_{X}$$
: $((1 N_{X}) E_{X}^{3} + N_{X}$: $(E_{X} + E_{C})^{3})$ (26)

for small, and

$$N_{X;"} = N_{X;\#} = \frac{X; cN_k}{X; cN_k + X; r};$$
 (27)

where $_{X,x} = E_X^3$ denotes the exciton decaying rate, and we have ignored E_c , since it is small compared to E_X . A coording to Eq. (25), we see that the intensities of exciton and biexciton peaks are proportional to $p_{\rm exc}$ and $p_{\rm exc}^2$, if N_X ; is proportional to $p_{\rm exc}$ (the excitation power). This is in very good agreement with many experimental reports. Note that in some experiments, the pumpenergy is far above the QD band gap and the emission occurs after the electrons and holes are captured. This situation becomes similar to the open system considered in the SET with optical pumping. In this case, we can replace $N_{\rm e}$; in Eq. (20) by $n_{\rm e}$; the electron occupation due to optical pumping, and we can still prove that the intensities of X and X² peaks as functions of the excitation power are described by Eqs. (14), (15) and (20). Thus, they exhibit linear and quadratic behavior as a function of $p_{\rm exc}$, respectively. So, there is no qualitative difference between Eq. (20) and Eq. (24) regarding the behavior of X and X², except that Eq. (20) also describes the possibility of emission

due to recombination in trions. This provides an explanation to the experimental observation of Ref. 17, in which the pumpenergy is far above the QD band gap and both X and X^+ peaks (in addition to the X and X^2 peaks) were observed in the emission spectrum.

VI. SUMMARY

In this article we have studied the tunnelling current and em ission spectrum of an SET under optical pumping theoretically. We apply our theoretical analysis to an SET, which consists of a single quantum dot em bedded in a n-i-n junction. We use a simple but realistic elective mass model to calculate the interparticle Coulomb interactions of pyramid-shaped InAs/GaAsQDs. These Coulomb interactions are essential for the study of optical and transport properties of QDs. The retarded Green's function was calculated via a non-equilibrium Green's function method. It is found that the holes in QD generated by optical pumping leads to new channels for electrons to tunnel from the emitter to the collector, which creates a variety of ways to control both the electrical and optical signals. The binding energy of exciton complexes as well as electron charging energy can be determined by examining the tunnelling current as a function of gate voltage V_g with a small applied bias V_a . In addition, the emission spectrum of SET can be modified signicantly by adjusting bias voltage, the gate voltage, or the pump intensity.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

This work was supported by National Science Council of Republic of China under Contract Nos. NSC 93-2215-E-008-014, NSC -93-2120-M -008-002, and NSC 93-2215-E-008-011.

VII. APPENDIX A

In the system described by Eq. (1), electrom agnetic eld of frequency ! o is used to resonantly pump carriers into the InAs wetting layer. Therefore, electrons can be injected into the InAsQD via either the tunnelling process or carrier capture from the wetting layer. On the other hand, holes in InAsQD are provided only by the capture process. The dominant carrier capture process is the the optical-phonon assisted carrier relaxation as described by the fifth term in Eq. (1). Because of the phonon-bottleneck e ect, the carrier capture rates for QDs are suppressed. Consequently, the condition e exc is usually satisted, where e and exc denote the electron tunnelling rate and electron capture rate, respectively. Based on the above condition, we can ignore the electron

capture process in the calculation of the tunnelling current. The tunnelling current from the left electrode to the InAsQD can be calculated from the time evolution of the occupation number, $N_{\rm L} = {}^{\rm P}_{\rm k;\; jL}\; C_{\rm k;\; jL}^{\rm V}\; C_{\rm k;\; jL}\; C_{\rm k;\; j$

$$J = \frac{2e^{Z}}{h} \frac{d}{2} L() \frac{1}{2} G_{e;}^{<} () + f_{L}() Im G_{e;}^{r} ()];$$
 (28)

where $_L$ () = 2 P $_k$ $^J\!\!\!/_{L,k}$ $^J\!\!\!/_2$ ($_k$), and f_L () is the Ferm i distribution function. $G_e^<$ () and G_e^r () are the Fourier transform ation of the lesser G reen function $G_e^<$ (t) = ihd_e^y (0) d_e ; (t) i and retarded G reen's function G_e^r ; (t) = i (t) hfd_e ; (t); d_e^y ; (0)gi. Applying D yson's equation, we obtain

$$G_{e;}^{<}() = f^{<}()[G_{e;}^{r}() G_{e;}^{a}()]$$
 (29)

with

$$f^{<}() = \frac{i^{P}_{k;}, \mathcal{J}_{k;}, \mathcal{J}_{g_{e;k;}}, () + i^{P}_{Q} j \mathcal{J}_{h;}^{<} ()}{i^{P}_{k;}, \mathcal{J}_{k;}, \mathcal{J}_{g_{e;k;}}, () \mathcal{J}_{g_{k;}}, ()};$$
(30)

where $g_{e;k;j}^<$, $() = f_*()(g_{e;k;j}^c, ())$ $g_{e;k;j}^c$, $()).(g_*^c())$ $g_*^c()) = i2$ $(_k)$. The lesser G reen's function and retarded G reen's function for holes are denoted by $G_h^<$, () and G_h^r , (). Due to the small electron-hole recombination rate R_{eh} 1=ns, terms involving j^2 can be droped. That is to ignore the photocurrent in Eq. (28). A first rewriting $f^<()$ as

$$f^{<}() = \frac{L()f_{L}() + R()f_{R}()}{L() + R()};$$
(31)

and substituting it into Eq. (28), we obtain the tunnelling current

$$J = \frac{2e^{Z}}{h} \frac{d}{2} \frac{L()_{R}()}{L() + R()} [f_{L}()] f_{E}()] Im G_{e}^{r}, ():$$
 (32)

The expression of Eq. (32) was also obtained by M eir, W ingreen and Lee⁶. It is of no surprise that we reproduce their result, because the system considered here reduces to their case as the carrier relaxation process and electron-hole recombination process are both turned o . Note that the retarded G reen's function G_{e}^{r} () includes the fulle ects due to the electron-electron C oulomb interaction and

electron-hole Coulomb interaction and the e ect due to the dot-electrodes coupling to the lowest order. Also note that the electrodes are coupled to the conduction band of the QD, but not to the valence band of the QD.

VIII. APPENDIX B

When light is used to resonantly create electrons and holes in the wetting layer, electrons and holes can relax to the InAsQD via optical phonon-assisted process. When electrons relax into InAsQD, they will tunnel out quickly since the electrons in the ground state of the InAsQD are coupled with the electrodes. However, holes in the InAsQD can decay only via recombination with electrons (radiation process) or via inpurity scattering (nonradiation process). When holes are present in the InAsQD, we expect the tunnelling current to change signicantly due to the large electron-hole Coulomb interactions [see Eq. (12)]. In this appendix, we give the derivations for Eqs. (14) and (15), which describe the electron and hole populations in the InAsQD under optical pumping. First, we introduce the electron and hole distribution functions in the wetting layer, $N_{ejk} = hd_{ejk}^{y}$ (t)d_{ejk} (t)i and $N_{hj,k} = hd_{hj,k}^{y}$ (t)d_{hj,k} (t)i. We use the equation-ofm otion method to calculate $N_{ejk} = hd_{ejk}^{y}$ (t)d_{ejk} (t)i and $N_{hj,k} = hd_{hj,k}^{y}$ (t)d_{hj,k} (t)i. We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{e;k} = i_{e;s}N_{e;k} + 2Im h_{0}^{y}b_{e;k}^{y}b_{h;k}^{y} i$$

$$2 Im g_{e;q}hAb_{e;k}^{y}d_{e;} i;$$
(33)

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{h;k} = i_{h;s}N_{h;k} + 2Im h_{0}^{y}b_{e;k}^{y}b_{h;k}^{y} i$$

$$2 Im g_{h,q}hAb_{h;k}^{y} d_{h;k} i;$$
(34)

where $_{e(h);s}$ denotes the carrier scattering rate due to mechanisms not considered in the Ham iltonian. $h_{0}^{y}b_{e;k}^{y}b_{h;k}^{y}$ i represents the polarization for creating an electron-hole pair in the wetting layer. $g_{e;q}hAb_{e;k}^{y}d_{e;i}$ i $(g_{h;q}hAb_{h;k}^{y}d_{h;i})$ are terms due to the process for transferring one electron (hole) from the QD to the wetting layer by absorbing one optical phonon. To term inate the equations of motion [Eqs. (33) and (34)], we need to solve $h_{0}^{y}b_{e;k}^{y}b_{h;k}^{y}$ i and $g_{e;q}hAb_{e;k}^{y}d_{e;i}$ i $(g_{h;q}hAb_{h;k}^{y}d_{h;i})$. The equation of motion for $g_{e;q}hAb_{e;k}^{y}d_{e;i}$ i leads to

$$\frac{d}{dt}g_{e,q}hAb_{e,k}^{y}d_{e}; i = (i_{q} e_{;s})hAb_{e,k}^{y}d_{e}; i + jg_{e,q}f$$

$$[(1 + n_q) (1 (n_e + N_e))N_{e;k} n_q (n_e + N_e) (1 N_{e;k})];$$
(35)

where n_q denotes the phonon distribution function, de ned as $n_q(!_q) = 1 = (\exp(!_q = k_b T))$ 1). $n_e = kd_e^y$, (t) d_e ; (t) d_e

$$\frac{d}{dt} h_{0}^{y} b_{e;k}^{y} b_{h;k}^{y} i = (i_{0} + i_{e;s} + i_{h;s}) h_{0}^{y} b_{e;k}^{y} b_{h;k}^{y} i$$

$$i j_{0}^{y} (1 N_{e;k} N_{h;k});$$
(36)

where $_0 = _{e;k} + _{h;k} + _{h;k}$!0. In the steady state, we obtain

$$N_{e;k} = p_{exc} (1 \quad N_{e;k} \quad N_{h;k}) \quad _{e;c} [(1 + n_q) (1 \quad (n_e + N_e))]$$

$$N_{e;k} \quad n_q (n_e + N_e) (1 \quad N_{e;k})]; \tag{37}$$

where p_{exc} Im $j_0 \hat{j} = (e_{is} (0 + i(e_{is} + h_{is})))$ and $e_{ic} = e_{ic}^P$ Im $j_{exq} \hat{j} = (e_{is} (q + ie_{is}))$. For low pumping intensity, $N_{e,k}$ is linearly proportional to p_{exc} . In addition, we note that e_{ic} is fairly small due to the phonon bottleneck elect of the QD. Similarly for holes in the wetting layer, we obtain

$$N_{h;k} = p_{exc} (1 \quad N_{e;k} \quad N_{h;k}) \quad _{h;c} [(1 + n_{q}) (1 \quad n_{h})]$$

$$N_{h;k} \quad n_{e} n_{h} (1 \quad N_{h;k})]; \tag{38}$$

where $_{h,c}= \int_{-q}^{p} \text{Im } jg_{h,q} j = (_{h,s}(_{q}+i_{h,s}))$ is the hole capture rate. Next, we need to solve the equation of motion for n_{e} ; and n_{h} ; . We have

$$\frac{d}{dt}n_{e;} = i_{e}n_{e;} + \sum_{Q}^{X} 2Im h^{y} ad_{e;}^{Y} d_{h;}^{Y} i$$

$$+ 2Im g_{exp} hA b_{ek}^{Y} d_{e;} i; \qquad (39)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}n_{h}; = i_{h,s}n_{h}; + \sum_{Q}^{X} 2Im h^{y}d_{e}^{y}; d_{h}^{y}; i + 2Im g_{h,q}hAb_{h;k}^{y} d_{h}; i;$$
(40)

where $_{\rm e}$ = $_{\rm L}$ + $_{\rm R}$ and $_{\rm h;s}$ denote the electron tunnelling rate and hole-impurity scattering rate, respectively. At low temperatures, the phonon-absorption process is negligible, since $n_{\rm q}$! 0. Using Eqs. (35) and (20), we obtain the steady-state solution for $n_{\rm e}$;

$$n_{e;} = \frac{e_{;c}N_{e;k} (1 N_{e})}{e_{;c}N_{e;k} + R_{eh}n_{h} + e}$$
(41)

Note that the electron-hole recombination rate R $_{\rm eh}\,$ is given by

$$R_{eh} = \frac{d!}{(!) j Im P (!) j}$$
(42)

where = $4 \text{ n}_{\text{r}}^{3} \text{ }_{\text{r}}^{2} = (6c^{3}h^{3} \text{ }_{0})$ and n_{r} is the refractive index of the system . (!) = $!^{2}$ arises from the density of states of photons. Similarly, we obtain

$$n_{h;} = \frac{{}_{h;c}N_{h;k}}{{}_{h;c}N_{h;k} + R_{eh} (n_e + N_e) + {}_{h;s}}$$
(43)

Because of the condition $_{\rm e}$ $_{\rm e,c}$ and weak pumping intensity, we have N $_{\rm i,k}$ $_{\rm p,xc}$, and n $_{\rm e}$ is negligible. As for N $_{\rm e}$, we can employ Eqs. (29) and (31) to obtain

$$N_{e;} = \frac{Z}{d} \frac{d}{L_{L} f_{L} () + R_{R} f_{R} ()} Im G_{e;}^{r} ();$$
 (44)

- [1] D. Goldhabar-Gordon. H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Nature 391, 156 (1998).
- [2] S.M. Cronenwett, T.H. Oosterkamp, and L.P. Kouwenhoven, Science 281, 540 (1998).
- [3] J.H.F.Scott-Thomas, S.B.Field, M.A.Kastner, H.I.Smith, and D.A.Antoniadis, Phys.Rev.Lett, 62, 583 (1989).
- [4] U.Meirav, M.A.Kastner, and S.J.Wind, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 771 (1990).
- [5] A.P. Jauho, N.S. Wingreen, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B 50, 5528 (1994).
- [6] Y.Meir, N.S.Wingreen, and P.A.Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 2601 (1993).
- [7] H. Haug and A. P. Jauho, Quantum Kinetics in Transport and Optics o Semiconductor (Springer, Heidelberg, 1996).
- [8] L. Zhuang, L. Guo, and S. Y. Chou, Appl. Phys. Lett. 72, 1205 (1998).
- [9] M. Saitoh, N. Takahashi, H. Ishikuro and T. Hiram oto, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 40, 2010 (2001).
- [10] Y.T.Tan, T.Kam iya, D.Zak, H.Ahm ed, J.Appl. Phys. 94, 633 (2003).
- [11] P.W.Li, W.M.Liao, D.M.T.Kuo, S.W.Lin, P.S.Chen, S.C.Lu, and M.J.Tsai, Appl. Phys. Lett., 85, 1532 (2004).
- [12] T. Takagahara and K. Takeda, Phys. Rev. B 46, 15578 (1992).
- [13] P.M ichler, A.K iraz, C.Becher, W.V.Schoenfeld, P.M.Petro, L.D.Zhang, E.Hu and A.Im am oglu: Science 290 2282 (2000).

- [14] Z. Yuan, B. E. Kardynal, R. M. Stevenson, A. J. Shield, C. J. Lobo, K. Cooper, N. S. Beattlie, D. A. Ritchie and M. Pepper: Science 295, 102 (2002).
- [15] A. Im am oglu, and Y. Yam am oto: Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 210 (1994).
- [16] L. Landin, M. S. Miller, M. E. Pistol, C. E. Pryor, and L. Samuelson, Science, 280, 262 (1998).
- [17] C. Santori, M. Pelton, G. Solomon, Y. Dale, and Y. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1502 (2001).
- [18] C. Santori, D. Fattal, M. Pelton, G. S. Solom on, and Y. Yam amoto, Phys. Rev. B 66, 045308 (2002).
- [19] R.M. Thompson, R.M. Stvenson, A.J. Shields, I. Farrer, C.J. Lobo, D.A. Ritchie, M. L. Leadbeater, and M. Pepper, Phys. Rev. B 64, 201302 (2001).
- [20] E. Moreau, I. Robert, L. Manin, V. Thierry-Mieg, J. M. Gerard, and I. Abram, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 18360, (2001).
- [21] S.Rodt, R.Heitz, A.Schliwa, R.L.Sellin, F.Gu arth, and D.Bimberg, Phys.Rev.B 68, 035331 (2003).
- [22] R.J.Warburton, C.Schaein, D.Ha, F.Bickel, A.Lorke, K.Karral, J.M.Garcla, W.Schoenfeld, and P.M.Petro, Nature, 405 926 (2000).
- [23] A. Hogele, S. Seidl, M. Kroner, K. Karrai, R. J. warburton, B. D. Gerardot, and P. M. Petro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 21704 (2004).
- [24] F. Findeis, M. Baier, E. Beham, A. Zrenner, and G. Abstreiter, Appl. Phys. Lett. 78, 2958 (2001).
- [25] Y.C.Chang and David.M.T.Kuo, Appl. Rev. Lett, 83, 156 (2003).
- [26] David.M.T.Kuo, Jap.J.Appl.Phys. 43, L 1607 (2004).
- [27] David.M.T.Kuo and Y.C.Chang, Phys. Rev. B 69, 041306 (2004).
- [28] David.M.T.Kuo and Y.C.Chang, J.Phys.Soc.Jap.74 690 (2005).
- [29] L.V.Keldysh, Zh.Eksp, Teor. Fiz. 47, 1515 (1964) [Sov. Phys. JETP 20, 1018 (1965)].
- [30] O. Stier, M. Grundmann, and D. Bimberg, Phys. Rev. B 59, 5688 (1999).
- [31] S.J.Sun, and Y.C.Chang: Phys.Rev.B 62, 13631 (2000).
- [32] C.Pryor, Phys.Rev.B 57, 7190 (1998).
- [33] L.W.W ang, J.Kim and A.Zunger, Phys.Rev.B 59, 5678 (1999).
- [34] P. Lawaetz, Phys. Rev. B 4, 3460 (1971).
- [35] A. Fu jiwara, Y. Takahashi and K. Murase, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 1532 (1997).
- [36] K.J. Vahala, Nature 424, 839 (2003).
- [37] A. Hartmann, Y. Ducom mun, E. Kapon, U. Hohenester and E. Molinari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 5648

(2000).

[38] K.Brunner, G.Abstreiter, G.Bohm, G.Trankle, and G.Weimann, Phys. Rev. Lett 73, 1138 (1994).

Figure Captions

- Fig. 1: Schematic diagram of a single electron transistor under optical pumping. $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ denote, respectively, the tunnelling rate for electrons in the QD to the source and drain electrodes. $R_{\rm eh}$ denotes the electro-hole recombination rate. The vertical wavy line indicates the optical pumping, and the horizontal wavy line indicates the single-photon emission.
- Fig. 2: The lowest three energy levels of a quantum dot as functions of the QD size b for (a) electrons and (b) holes.
- Fig. 3: Intralevel Coulomb interactions U_e and U_h and interlevel Coulomb interaction U_{eh} as functions of the QD base length b.
- Fig. 4: Electron occupation number N_e and tunnelling current as functions of gate voltage at zero temperature for various strengths of optical excitation. Current density is in units of $J_0 = 2e$ meV=h.
- Fig. 5: Tunnelling current as a function of gate voltage at zero temperature for various strengths of optical excitation.
- Fig. 6: Electron occupation number N_e and tunnelling current as functions of gate voltage for various temperatures at xed optical excitation strength (I = 0:9). Current density is in units of $J_0 = 2e$ m eV=h.
- Fig. 7: Tunnelling current as a function of applied bias at temperature $k_B T = 2m \text{ eV}$ for various strengths of optical excitation. Current density is in units of $J_0 = 2e m \text{ eV} = h$.
- Fig. 8: Intensities of emission spectrum for various gate voltages at xed optical excitation strength (I = 0.9).
- Fig. 9: Intensities of em ission spectrum for various strengths of optical excitation at xed gate voltage ($V_q = 125 \text{m V}$).