

Landau damping of Bogoliubov excitations in optical lattices at finite temperature

Shunji Tsuchiya^{1,2,} and Allan Griffin^{1,y}

¹Department of Physics, University of Toronto,
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5S 1A7

²Department of Physics, Waseda University,
3-4-1 Okubo, Tokyo 169-8555, Japan.^z

(dated: November 18, 2021)

Abstract

We study the damping of Bogoliubov excitations in an optical lattice at finite temperatures. For simplicity, we consider a Bose-Hubbard tight-binding model and limit our analysis to the lowest excitation band. We use the Popov approximation to calculate the temperature dependence of the number of condensate atoms $n^0(T)$ in each lattice well. We calculate the Landau damping of a Bogoliubov excitation in an optical lattice due to coupling to a thermal cloud of excitations. While most of the paper concentrates on 1D optical lattices, we also briefly present results for 2D and 3D lattices. For energy conservation to be satisfied, we find that the excitations in the collision process must exhibit anomalous dispersion (i.e. the excitation energy must bend upward at low momentum), as also exhibited by phonons in superfluid ^4He . This leads to the sudden disappearance of all damping processes in D -dimensional simple cubic optical lattice when $Un^0 > 6DJ$, where U is the on-site interaction, and J is the hopping matrix element. Beliaev damping in a 1D optical lattice is briefly discussed.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Lm, 03.75.Kk, 05.30.Jp

^Electronic address: tsuchiya@iis.u-tokyo.ac.jp

^yElectronic address: griffin@physics.utoronto.ca

^zPresent address Institute of Industrial Science, University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 153-8505, Japan.

I. INTRODUCTION

Bose-Einstein condensates in a periodic optical lattice potential have attracted much interest. An optical lattice is an ideal system for studying a variety of solid state physics phenomena, such as Bloch oscillations [1, 2], Landau-Zener tunneling [3, 4], and Josephson oscillations [5]. An optical lattice is an ideal crystal without lattice imperfections or impurities, and parameters can be changed easily over a wide range. The experimental achievement of the superfluid-Mott insulator transition in an optical lattice [6] also proved the usefulness of optical lattices to understand basic properties of strongly correlated systems. Moreover, Bose condensates in an optical lattice are predicted to show novel phenomena such as dynamical instability [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] and swallow-tail energy loops in the band structure [12, 13, 14]. Recent studies have concentrated on the case of pure Bose condensates at zero temperature. The effect of a thermal cloud on the dynamics of the condensate excitations in an optical lattice have not been studied very much. This is the subject of the present paper.

Recently, several experimental papers have reported results on the collective modes of Bose condensates in a one-dimensional optical lattice [15, 16, 17, 18] and its damping at finite temperature [16]. In these experiments, a shift of the oscillation frequency in the presence of the optical lattice and a sharp change of the damping rate with increasing depth of the optical lattice have been observed. The measured frequency shift [15] of the collective modes is in good agreement with the renormalized mass theory of Kramer et al. [19]. The damping of condensate oscillations in a 1D optical lattice at $T = 0$ has been measured [17]. The damping of the condensate excitations at finite T has not been studied in any detail.

Since our major interest in this paper is the thermal cloud of excitations, it is important to make a clear distinction at the outset between (a) The Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations associated with linearized fluctuations of an equilibrium Bose-condensate and (b) The stationary states of the time-independent Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the Bose order parameter. In a continuum model, the latter states can be described by the eigenfunction (we use a 1D lattice for illustration)

$$\phi_k^0(\mathbf{x}) = e^{ikx} u_k(\mathbf{x}); \quad (1)$$

where the condensate Bloch function satisfies the usual periodicity condition $u_k(\mathbf{x}) = u_k(\mathbf{x} + l\mathbf{d})$, where d is the optical lattice spacing and l is an integer. Physically, $\phi_k^0(\mathbf{x})$ corresponds

to a solution of the GP equation with a superfluid flow in the periodic potential with the condensate quasimomentum k . Recent theoretical literature (see, for example, Refs. [7] and [9]) has reported extensive studies of such condensate Bloch states, including their energy band structure and stability. The latter question can be studied by considering the dynamic fluctuations $\psi_k(\mathbf{x};q)$ around the equilibrium state $\psi_k^0(\mathbf{x})$, with the generalized Bogoliubov excitation energy $E_q(k)$. These excitations are also described by a quasimomentum q in the first Brillouin zone (BZ) and will be referred to as the Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations of the optical lattice. The thermal cloud of non-condensate atoms which is present at finite temperature is described as a gas of these Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations. As emphasized in the literature [20], one must not confuse the energy bands of these Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations $E_q(k)$ with the condensate energy bands or Bloch eigenstates described by Eq. (1). That is, we must distinguish between the "condensate" energy band and the "excitation" energy band. In our tight-binding model, the analogue of Eq. (1) is

$$\psi_k^0(l) = e^{ikld} \sqrt{\frac{p}{n^c(k)}}; \quad (2)$$

where d is the optical lattice spacing and l is an integer. While one could generalize our analysis, we only consider the Bose condensate in the $k = 0$ Bloch state, in which case Eq. (2) reduces to

$$\psi_{k=0}^0(l) = \sqrt{\frac{p}{n^{c0}}}; \quad (3)$$

Here n^{c0} denotes the number of condensate atoms trapped in each well of the optical lattice, labelled by l .

In this paper, we study the dynamics of Bose atoms in an optical lattice at finite temperatures. We discuss a Bose-Hubbard tight-binding model using the Gross-Pitaevskii approximation, but generalized to include the presence of non-condensate atoms. We calculate the temperature dependence of the condensate atom number $n^{c0}(T)$ in each lattice well using the static Popov approximation. This is needed in our calculation of the Landau damping of condensate modes due to coupling to thermal excitations. We also extend our earlier results for a 1D optical lattice [21] to 2D and 3D optical lattices. As discussed in Ref. [21], for damping processes to occur, the dispersion relation of the Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations E_q must initially bend upward as the quasimomentum q increases. This is referred to as "anomalous dispersion" and is also the source of 3-phonon damping of long wavelength phonons in

superfluid ^4He [22, 23]. This condition leads to a dramatic disappearance of all damping processes of phonon modes in a D -dimensional optical lattice when $U n^0 = J > 6D$, where U is the on-site interaction and J is the hopping matrix element.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we introduce the well-known Bose-Hubbard tight-binding model which describes Bose gases in an optical lattice. In Sec. III, we discuss the generalized discrete Gross-Pitaevskii equation and the characteristic changes in the Bloch-Bogoliubov excitation dispersion relation as a function of the dimensionless interaction parameter $U n^0 = J$. In Sec. IV, we introduce the static Popov approximation for optical lattices, and calculate the condensate fraction and λ as a function of the optical lattice depth and temperature. In Sec. V, we calculate the Landau damping of Bogoliubov excitations in an optical lattice of dimension D . We also briefly remark on Beliaev damping into two excitations. We make some concluding remarks in Sec. VI.

As mentioned, some of results of this paper in a 1D optical lattice were briefly described in Ref. [21]. In the present paper, we give a more detailed derivation and discussion, as well as new results for 2D and 3D optical lattices.

II. MODEL

We consider bosonic atoms in an optical lattice potential

$$V_{\text{op}}(\mathbf{r}) = sE_R \sum_{i=1}^D \sin^2(kx_i); \quad (4)$$

where s is the usual dimensionless parameter describing the optical lattice depth in units of the photon recoil energy $E_R = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$. D is the dimension of the optical lattice and $d = \frac{2\pi}{k} = \frac{2\pi}{2}$ is the lattice period. We only consider simple cubic lattices considered in recent experiments [6, 24]. We call attention to the recent technique [17] of producing a two-dimensional array of long, tightly confined condensate tubes by loading a Bose condensate into a deep 2D optical lattice potential, which prevents atoms from hopping between different tubes. With an additional 1D optical lattice potential along a tube, an ideal 1D system can be experimentally realized [17]. One can also have an ideal 2D system by loading a condensate into a deep 1D optical lattice and a shallow 2D optical lattice. We assume this experimental setup for the realization of 1D and 2D optical lattices in the present paper. We also assume that the laser intensity determining the depth of the optical lattice wells is

large enough to make the atomic wave functions well localized on the individual sites (i.e., where we can use the tight-binding approximation). The energy gap between the first and the second excitation bands is large compared to the thermal energy ($2k_B T = E_R$), and thus only the first band is thermally occupied.

Within a tight-binding approximation, the Hamiltonian is effectively described by the Bose-Hubbard model [25, 26, 27, 28] as

$$H = \sum_{\langle j,l \rangle} J (a_j^\dagger a_l + a_l^\dagger a_j) + \frac{1}{2} U \sum_j a_j^\dagger a_j^\dagger a_j a_j; \quad (5)$$

where a_j and a_j^\dagger are destruction and creation operators of atoms on the j -th lattice site. $\langle j,l \rangle$ represents nearest neighbor pairs of lattice sites. The first term describes the kinetic energy due to the hopping of atoms between sites. The hopping matrix element J is given by

$$J = \int d\mathbf{r} w_j(\mathbf{r}) \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{op}}(\mathbf{r}) \right) w_l(\mathbf{r}); \quad (6)$$

where $w_j(\mathbf{r})$ is a wave function localized on the j -th lattice site, and m is the atomic mass. Expanding the optical lattice potential around the minima of the potential wells, the well trap frequency is $\omega_s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial r^2}}$. Approximating the localized function as the ground state wave function of a harmonic oscillator with frequency ω_s at the potential minima of j -th site

$$w_j(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\pi^{D/4}} \exp \left(-\frac{m \omega_s}{2\hbar} (\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)^2 \right); \quad (7)$$

one obtains

$$\frac{J}{E_R} = \left(\frac{\omega_s}{4} \frac{\omega_s^{1/2}}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \omega_s (1 + \exp(-\omega_s^{1/2})) e^{-\omega_s^{1/2}}; \quad (8)$$

Here $\omega_s^{1/2} = \frac{\sqrt{2m(sE_R)}}{\hbar} d$ can be interpreted as a WKB factor for tunneling in an optical lattice potential which has height sE_R and well width d .

The second term in Eq. (5) describes the interaction between atoms when they are at the same site. We assume that atoms can move along z direction in 1D case and in xy plane in 2D case. The on-site interaction U depends on the dimensionality of the optical lattice.

U is given by [26]

$$U = g \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dr_2 \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \psi(r_2) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz \psi_j(z) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz \psi_j(z) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz \psi_j(z) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz \psi_j(z); \quad (1D) \quad (9)$$

$$= g \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dz \int_{\mathbb{Z}} \psi_k(z) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx dy \psi_j(x; y) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx dy \psi_j(x; y) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx dy \psi_j(x; y) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dx dy \psi_j(x; y); \quad (2D) \quad (10)$$

$$= g \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dr \psi_j(r) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dr \psi_j(r) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dr \psi_j(r) \int_{\mathbb{Z}} dr \psi_j(r); \quad (3D) \quad (11)$$

where $g = 4 \sim^2 a = m$ and a is the s-wave scattering length. Here $\psi(r_2) = \frac{m \sim^2}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{m \sim^2}{2} r_2^2 \right)$ and $\psi_k(z) = \frac{m \sim^2}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{m \sim^2}{2} z^2 \right)$ are the ground state wave functions in optical lattice well traps for confining atoms in 1 and 2 dimensions. Approximating the localized function w_j as a simple Gaussian, one obtains [26]

$$\frac{U}{E_R} = \frac{g}{(2 \sim^2)^{3=2} a_s^2 a_s} = \frac{2^{3=2} a d}{3=2 a_s^2} s^{1=4}; \quad (1D) \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{g}{(2 \sim^2)^{3=2} a_k a_s^2} = \frac{2^{3=2} a}{1=2 a_k} s^{1=2}; \quad (2D) \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{g}{(2 \sim^2)^{3=2} a_s^3} = \frac{2^{3=2} 1=2 a}{d} s^{3=4}; \quad (3D) \quad (14)$$

where $a_s = \frac{q}{m \sim^2}$, $a_2 = \frac{q}{m \sim^2}$, and $a_k = \frac{q}{m \sim^2}$.

III. THE GENERALIZED GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION

In order to investigate the collective excitations of a Bose condensate in an optical lattice, we restrict ourselves to the superfluid phase of the system described by Eq. (5). We start from the Heisenberg equation of motion for $a_j(t)$ (we set $\sim = 1$ from now on),

$$i \frac{d}{dt} a_j(t) = [a_j(t); H] \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{hli} a_l(t) + U a_j^y(t) a_j(t) a_j(t); \quad (16)$$

where hli means that l runs over the nearest neighbor sites of site j . In the presence of Bose condensation, we write $a_j(t) = \psi_j(t) + \tilde{\psi}_j(t)$, where $\psi_j(t) = \langle a_j(t) \rangle$ is the condensate wave function at site j and $\tilde{\psi}_j(t)$ is the non-condensate field operator (with $\langle \tilde{\psi}_j(t) \rangle = 0$). This non-condensate operator $\tilde{\psi}_j$ satisfies the usual Bose commutation relations,

$$[\tilde{\psi}_j; \tilde{\psi}_l^y] = \delta_{jl}; \quad [\tilde{\psi}_j; \tilde{\psi}_l] = [\tilde{\psi}_j^y; \tilde{\psi}_l^y] = 0; \quad (17)$$

The equation for the condensate wave function is obtained by taking an average of the Heisenberg equation. If, as usual, we neglect the anomalous correlations $\langle \hat{b}_j(t) \hat{b}_j^\dagger(t) \rangle$ and $\langle \hat{b}_j^\dagger(t) \hat{b}_j(t) \rangle$, we are left with a "generalized" form of the discrete Gross-Pitaevskii equation [29] which includes the mean field of non-condensate atoms

$$i \frac{d}{dt} \psi_j(t) = -J \sum_{\text{hli}} \psi_l(t) + U (n_j^c(t) + 2n_j(t)) \psi_j(t); \quad (18)$$

Here, $n_j^c(t)$ is the number of condensate atoms on the j -th lattice site and $n_j(t) = \langle \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j \rangle$ is the number of the non-condensate atoms on j -th lattice site. The time-dependent Hartree-Fock mean field $2Un_j(t)$ in Eq. (18) arises from the thermal gas of non-condensate atoms. Equation (18) reduces to the usual discrete Gross-Pitaevskii equation [5, 8, 28] when all the atoms are assumed to be in the condensate (i.e., if we set $n_j = 0$).

Introducing the usual phase and amplitude variables for the condensate, $\psi_j(t) = \sqrt{n_j^c(t)} e^{i\theta_j(t)}$, Eq. (18) is equivalent to

$$\frac{dn_j^c(t)}{dt} = -2J \sum_{\text{hli}} \sqrt{n_l^c(t)n_j^c(t)} \sin(\theta_l(t) - \theta_j(t)); \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{d\theta_j(t)}{dt} = J \sum_{\text{hli}} \frac{n_l^c(t)}{n_j^c(t)} \cos(\theta_l(t) - \theta_j(t)) - U (n_j^c(t) + 2n_j(t)) \theta_j(t); \quad (20)$$

Equation (19) is the continuity equation for the condensate and Eq. (20) often called the Josephson equation. The energy of a condensate atom $\epsilon_j^c(t)$ reduces to the equilibrium chemical potential ϵ_0 of the condensate in static thermal equilibrium (θ_j , n_j^c and n_j are independent of site index j)

$$\epsilon_0 = -zJ + U (n^c(T) + 2n(T)); \quad (21)$$

Here z is the number of the nearest neighbor sites, n^c is the number of the condensate atoms per site in equilibrium, and n is the number of the non-condensate atoms per site in equilibrium. The solution of Eq. (20) in static equilibrium is $\theta_0(t) = \epsilon_0 t$. From Eq. (19), one finds that the Josephson current between the j -th and l -th lattice sites is $J_{jl}(t) = 2J \sqrt{n_j^c(t)n_l^c(t)} \sin(\theta_l(t) - \theta_j(t))$.

The well-known Bogoliubov excitation spectrum for a uniform optical lattice is easily obtained from the above equations [27, 28, 30]. Considering small fluctuations from equilibrium, $n_j^c(t) = n^c + \delta n_j^c(t)$, $\theta_j(t) = \theta_0(t) + \delta \theta_j(t)$ and $n_j(t) = n + \delta n_j(t)$, Eqs. (19) and




FIG. 1: The Bogoliubov excitation spectrum E_q in a 1D optical lattice plotted as a function of the quasi-momentum q in the first Brillouin zone. The spectrum is plotted for $\alpha < 6$ and $\alpha > 6$. The dotted lines give the low q phonon dispersion relation $E_q = \alpha q$.

(20) reduce to

$$\frac{d n_j^c(t)}{dt} = -2Jn^{c0} \sum_{\text{hli}} (n_1(t) - n_j(t)); \quad (22)$$

$$\frac{d n_j(t)}{dt} = \frac{J}{2n^{c0}} \sum_{\text{hli}} n_1^c(t) - \tilde{n}_j(t) - U n_j^c(t) + 2 n_j(t); \quad (23)$$

Ignoring the non-condensate atom term ($n_j = 0$), the solution of these coupled equations are the normal modes

$$n_j(t) = \langle q \rangle e^{i[q n_j - E_q t]}; \quad n_j^c(t) = n^c(q) e^{i[q n_j - E_q t]}; \quad (24)$$

The Bloch-Bogoliubov excitation energy in an optical lattice is given by

$$E_q = \frac{q}{q} \frac{0}{q} (0 + 2U n^{c0}); \quad (25)$$

where

$$\frac{0}{q} = 4J \sum_{i=1}^D \sin^2 \frac{q_i d}{2}; \quad (26)$$

For small q , this spectrum is phonon-like $E_q \propto q$, with the phonon velocity

$$c = \frac{p}{2Jd^2 U n^{c0}} = \frac{r}{m} \frac{U n^{c0}}{m}; \quad (27)$$

where $m^* = \frac{1}{2Jd^2}$ is an effective mass of atoms in the first excitation band of the optical lattice [19, 31]. This $T = 0$ excitation spectrum in 1D case is shown in Fig. 1, for two values of the dimensionless interaction parameter $Un^0 = J$.

We call attention to an important feature of the dispersion relation E_q in Fig. 1, considered as a function of the ratio J . For $J < 6$, the excitation energy E_q bends up before bending over, as q approaches the BZ boundary. This behavior is analogous to the so called "anomalous dispersion" of the phonon spectrum in superfluid ^4He [22, 23, 32]. For $J > 6$, in contrast, the spectrum simply bends over as one leaves the low q (phonon) region. This feature will play a crucial role when we consider damping processes in optical lattices. The excitation spectrum in 2D and 3D optical lattices also exhibit this kind of spectrum. However, the critical value of J then depends on the direction of q , since simple cubic optical lattices in 2D and 3D do not have rotational symmetry. The crucial effect of this anomalous dispersion on damping processes will be discussed in detail in section V.

IV. STATIC POPOV APPROXIMATION

In this section, we calculate the condensate fraction $n^0 = n$ and the parameter $Un^0 = J$ as a function of the optical lattice depth s and the temperature. This is needed as input to the calculations in Sec. V. We base our discussion on the static Popov approximation [28, 29, 33].

Substituting $a_j = \alpha_j + \tilde{\alpha}_j$ to $K = \frac{1}{2} \sum_j \langle a_j^\dagger a_j \rangle$, where $N = \sum_j a_j^\dagger a_j$ is the total number of

atom s , one obtains,

$$K = K_0 + K_1 + K_2 + K_3 + K_4; \quad (28)$$

$$K_0 = \sum_j \sum_{h,j,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 + \sum_j X_{j,j}^4; \quad (29)$$

$$K_1 = \sum_j \sum_{h,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + U \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 \tilde{y}_j + \sum_j \sum_{h,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + U \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 \tilde{y}_j \quad (30)$$

$$K_2 = \sum_j \sum_{h,j,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + \sum_j \sum_{h,j,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 (\tilde{y}_j)^2 + 4 \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j + (\sum_j X_{j,j}^2)^2 \tilde{y}_j^2; \quad (31)$$

$$K_3 = U \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 \tilde{y}_j^2 + \sum_j (\tilde{y}_j)^2 \tilde{y}_j; \quad (32)$$

$$K_4 = \frac{U}{2} \sum_j (\tilde{y}_j)^2 \tilde{y}_j^2; \quad (33)$$

Here, we use the Hartree-Fock-Bogoliubov-Popov approximation that takes into account the third and fourth order terms of \tilde{y}_j , \tilde{y}_j^y within a mean-field approximation, but neglects terms involving the anomalous averages $\langle \tilde{y}_j \tilde{y}_j \rangle$ and $\langle \tilde{y}_j^y \tilde{y}_j^y \rangle$, namely we use

$$K_3' = 2U \sum_j n_j \tilde{y}_j + \sum_j \tilde{y}_j^y; \quad K_4' = 2U \sum_j n_j \tilde{y}_j^y \tilde{y}_j; \quad (34)$$

Within this approximation, we have

$$K = K_0 + K_1^0 + K_2^0 \quad (35)$$

$$K_1^0 = \sum_j \sum_{h,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + U (n_j^c + 2n_j) \sum_j \tilde{y}_j + \sum_j \sum_{h,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + U (n_j^c + 2n_j) \sum_j \tilde{y}_j \quad (36)$$

$$K_2^0 = \sum_j \sum_{h,j,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + \sum_j \sum_{h,j,l,i} X_{j-1+} X_{j-1-} + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 (\tilde{y}_j)^2 + 4n_j \sum_j \tilde{y}_j^y \tilde{y}_j + (\sum_j X_{j,j}^2)^2 \tilde{y}_j^2; \quad (37)$$

where $n_j = n_j^c + n_j = \sum_j X_{j,j}^2 + \langle \tilde{y}_j^y \tilde{y}_j \rangle$. The coefficients of the linear terms in \tilde{y}_j , \tilde{y}_j^y in Eq. (36) identically vanish using the fact that \tilde{y}_j satisfies the generalized Gross-Pitaevskii equation Eq. (18). As a result, $K_1^0 = 0$.

As discussed in Sec. I, we consider a Bose condensate in static thermal equilibrium in the $k = 0$ Bloch state, and hence $\bar{n}_j = \frac{1}{I^D} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq 0} n_{\mathbf{q}}^0$. Using the value of the condensate chemical potential in thermal equilibrium given in Eq. (21), the remaining term K_2^0 in Eq. (36) reduces to

$$K_2^0 = \sum_j \left(J \sum_{\langle j,l \rangle} \tilde{n}_j^y \tilde{n}_l^y + (zJ + U n^0) \sum_j \tilde{n}_j^y \tilde{n}_j^y \right) + \frac{U}{2} \sum_j n^0 (\tilde{n}_j^y)^2 + n^0 \sum_j \tilde{n}_j^2 : \quad (38)$$

We next introduce Fourier components,

$$\tilde{n}_j = \frac{1}{I^D} \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq 0} a_{\mathbf{q}} e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j}; \quad (39)$$

where the number of lattice sites in one direction is denoted by I and hence the total number of sites is I^D . The Momentum sum is over the first Brillouin zone of the optical lattice. Substituting Eq. (39) into Eq.(38), one finds

$$K_2^0 = \sum_{\mathbf{q} \neq 0} \left((n^0 + U n^0) a_{\mathbf{q}}^y a_{\mathbf{q}}^y + \frac{U n^0}{2} (a_{\mathbf{q}}^y a_{-\mathbf{q}}^y + a_{\mathbf{q}} a_{-\mathbf{q}}) \right); \quad (40)$$

We can diagonalize $K = K_0 + K_2^0$ by the Bogoliubov transformation [27, 28],

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\mathbf{q}} &= u_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}} + v_{\mathbf{q}} a_{-\mathbf{q}}^y; \\ a_{-\mathbf{q}}^y &= v_{\mathbf{q}} a_{\mathbf{q}} + u_{\mathbf{q}} a_{-\mathbf{q}}^y; \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

If we assume that $a_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $a_{-\mathbf{q}}^y$ obey the usual Bose commutation relations, we obtain the following conditions for $u_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $v_{\mathbf{q}}$;

$$u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 - v_{\mathbf{q}}^2 = 1; \quad (42)$$

From the condition for the diagonalization of K , we find that $u_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $v_{\mathbf{q}}$ have to satisfy the following equation,

$$U n^0 (u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 + v_{\mathbf{q}}^2) - 2(n^0 + U n^0) u_{\mathbf{q}} v_{\mathbf{q}} = 0; \quad (43)$$

Solving both Eq. (42) and Eq. (43), one can easily derive the parameters for the Bogoliubov transformation (we take $u_{\mathbf{q}}$ and $v_{\mathbf{q}}$ real),

$$u_{\mathbf{q}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{q}}} + 1 \right); \quad v_{\mathbf{q}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{E_{\mathbf{q}}}{E_{\mathbf{q}}} - 1 \right); \quad (44)$$

We have here introduced the Hartree-Fock (HF) excitation spectrum

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_q &= [\epsilon_0(q) + 2U(n^{c0} + \bar{n}^0)] - \epsilon_0 \\ &= 4J \sin^2\left(\frac{qd}{2}\right) + U n^{c0} : \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Putting all these results together, our total Hamiltonian has been reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} K &= K_0 + \sum_{q \neq 0} \tilde{E}_q v_q^2 - U n^{c0} u_q v_q + \sum_{q \neq 0} E_q \frac{y}{q} a_q \\ &= \frac{U N_{c0}}{2} (n^{c0} + 4\bar{n}^0) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q \neq 0} E_q - \tilde{E}_q + \sum_{q \neq 0} E_q \frac{y}{q} a_q : \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Here $N_{c0} = n^{c0} I^D$ is the total number of condensate atoms. The Bogoliubov-Popov excitation spectrum E_q appearing in Eq. (46) is identical to Eq. (25), except that now $n^{c0}(T)$ is the temperature-dependent number of condensate atoms in any given lattice well. The Bogoliubov-Popov excitation spectrum can be obtained by ignoring the non-condensate fluctuation ($\bar{n}_j = 0$) but using the temperature-dependent number of condensate atoms $n^{c0}(T)$ as given by Eq. (22) and Eq. (23). We thus assume that the thermal cloud is always in static equilibrium when dealing with the time-dependent density fluctuations $\bar{n}_j^c(t)$ of the condensate. The approximation of a static thermal cloud has been successfully used in other discussions of condensate collective modes [29, 33], which we refer the reader for further discussion.

It is easy to verify that \tilde{E}_q in Eq. (25) reduces to the HF energy \tilde{E}_q in the limit $J \rightarrow U n^{c0}$, i.e., when $\bar{n}^0 \rightarrow 1$. This HF limit corresponds to setting the Bogoliubov amplitudes $u_q^2 = 1$, $v_q^2 = 0$ in Eq. (44). In dealing with Bose gases trapped in harmonic potentials, one can always use this HF approximation [34] for the excitations describing the thermal cloud as long as the kinetic energy of the atoms ($\sim k_B T$) is much larger than the interaction energy ($U n^{c0}$). In contrast, apart from the limiting case of $\bar{n}^0 \rightarrow 1$, we must always use the full Bogoliubov spectrum E_q to describe the thermal cloud composed of excitations in the first band of an optical lattice.

Expressing $\bar{n}^0(T)$ in terms of these Bogoliubov-Popov excitations, we have [27, 28, 29]

$$\begin{aligned} n &= n^{c0} + \frac{1}{I^D} \sum_{q \neq 0} \langle a_q^\dagger a_q \rangle \\ &= n^{c0} + \frac{1}{I^D} \sum_{q \neq 0} (u_q^2 + v_q^2) f^0(E_q) + v_q^2 ; \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where $f^0(E_q) = [\exp(E_q/k_B T) + 1]^{-1}$ is the usual Bose distribution function. The number of condensate atoms n^0 at a site is found by solving Eq. (47) self-consistently for a fixed value of the total site density n . The condensate fraction n^0/n in a D -dimensional optical lattice is shown in Fig. 2. We take $n = 2$ and use the parameters from Ref. [17]. The spurious finite jump in the condensate atom number n^0 at the transition temperature T_c is an inherent problem of the Bogoliubov theory in a uniform gas (for further discussion see, for example, Ref. [35]).

Strictly speaking, there are no solutions of Eq. (47) at finite temperature for an infinite optical lattice in 1D and 2D because of the divergent contribution from excitations with small momentum, in accordance with the well-known Mermin-Wagner-Hohenberg theorem [36]. However, for the finite systems discussed in this paper, this divergence is not present. That is, Eq. (47) has a solution describing a finite value of the condensate $n^0(T)$ below T_c .

In Fig. 3, we plot the parameter Un^0/J as a function of the temperature for several values of the optical depths. The dimensionless interaction parameter and the results in Fig. 3 will play an important role in the discussion in the rest of this paper. Since we limit our discussion to the first energy band of the optical lattice, our results only apply when $s \leq 2k_B T = E_R$. Higher excitation bands would be thermally populated if we consider lower values of s and would have to be considered.

V. DAMPING OF BOGOLIUBOV EXCITATIONS

In this section, we discuss the damping of condensate modes in optical lattices. In Sec. V A, we derive a formal expression for the damping of Bogoliubov excitations using the thermal Green's function formalism. In Sec. V B, we use this expression to calculate the Landau damping of Bogoliubov excitations in 1D lattice. Section V C extends this analysis to 2D and 3D optical lattices.

A. Green's function technique

In this section, we take $K_B = K_0 + K_1 + K_2$ in Eq. (33) as the bare zeroth-order Hamiltonian and treat K_3 as a perturbation (We neglect the fourth order term K_4). We use the simplest Bogoliubov theory to diagonalize K_B [27, 28]. We neglect the fourth order




FIG. 2: The condensate fraction n^c/n in D -dimensional optical lattice as a function of temperature. In this paper, the height of the optical lattice potential (in units of E_R) is always denoted by s .




FIG. 3: The dimensionless interaction parameter $\alpha = U n^{c0}(T) = J$, plotted as a function of temperature, for several values of the optical well depth s . The number of condensate atoms at a lattice site $n^{c0}(T)$ is given in Fig. 2. The dashed line shows the critical value $\alpha_c = 6$, above which there is no damping.

term in the fluctuation K_4 . The chemical potential for K_B is given by $\mu_B = \mu_0 + U n^0$ (from the condition $K_1 = 0$). K_2 can be diagonalized by the Bogoliubov transformation Eq. (41) and one obtains Eq. (46).

Using Eq. (39) and Eq. (41), K_3 can be rewritten in terms of the Bogoliubov quasiparticles

$$K_3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 \in 0}^X M_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3} \left(\frac{y}{p_3} \frac{y}{p_1} \frac{y}{p_2} + \frac{y}{p_3} \frac{y}{p_1} \frac{y}{p_2} \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 \in 0}^X L_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3} \left(\frac{y}{p_3} \frac{y}{p_1} \frac{y}{p_2} + \frac{y}{p_3} \frac{y}{p_1} \frac{y}{p_2} \right); \quad (48)$$

where the matrix elements are

$$M_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3} = 2U \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{r}{n^0} \left((u_{\mathbf{p}_1} u_{\mathbf{p}_3} + v_{\mathbf{p}_1} v_{\mathbf{p}_3} - v_{\mathbf{p}_1} u_{\mathbf{p}_3}) u_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right. \\ \left. (u_{\mathbf{p}_1} u_{\mathbf{p}_3} + v_{\mathbf{p}_1} v_{\mathbf{p}_3} - u_{\mathbf{p}_1} v_{\mathbf{p}_3}) v_{\mathbf{p}_2} \right) \delta_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{G}}; \quad (49)$$

$$L_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3} = U \sum_{\mathbf{G}} \frac{r}{n^0} \left((v_{\mathbf{p}_1} v_{\mathbf{p}_2} u_{\mathbf{p}_3} - u_{\mathbf{p}_1} u_{\mathbf{p}_2} v_{\mathbf{p}_3}) \right) \delta_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{G}}; \quad (50)$$

and \mathbf{G} is a reciprocal lattice vector. The Kronecker delta $\delta_{\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{G}}$ expresses the conservation of quasimomentum of the three excitation scattering processes (Umklapp processes are associated with $\mathbf{G} \neq 0$).

The thermal Green's function for Bogoliubov quasiparticles is defined by (see e.g. [37])

$$G_q(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \hbar T \langle \frac{y}{q}(\omega_1) \frac{y}{q}(\omega_2) \rangle; \quad (51)$$

where

$$\frac{y}{q}(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \frac{y}{q} e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}}; \quad \frac{y}{q}(\omega) = \sum_{\mathbf{K}} \frac{y}{q} e^{i\mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}}; \quad (52)$$

The angular brackets $\langle \dots \rangle$ indicates taking an average for thermal equilibrium and T gives the usual time ordered product of operators. Fourier transformation of this imaginary time Green's function is given by

$$G_q(\omega) = \frac{1}{i\omega} \sum_n G_q(i\omega_n) e^{i\omega_n \tau}; \quad (53)$$

where ω_n is the boson Matsubara frequency $\omega_n = \frac{2n\pi}{\beta}$ (n is an integer). The zero-th order Green's function is

$$G_q^0(i\omega_n) = \frac{1}{i\omega_n - E_q}; \quad (54)$$




FIG. 4: The second order self-energies.

where E_q is the Bloch-Bogoliubov excitation energy given in Eq. (25).

The second-order self-energy terms are given by the usual diagrams shown in Fig. 4. Fig. 4 (a) describes a condensate excitation of (quasi) momentum q being absorbed by an excitation p_2 of the optical lattice thermal gas, leading to a thermal excitation with momentum p_1 . This describes Landau damping processes [38, 39, 40]. Fig. 4 (b) describes a condensate excitation of momentum q decay into two excitations with momentum p_1 and p_2 . This describes Beliaev damping [41]. These diagrams give the second-order self-energy of the Bogoliubov excitation

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Sigma_q(i\omega_n) &= \Sigma_q^L(i\omega_n) + \Sigma_q^B(i\omega_n); \\
 \Sigma_q^L(i\omega_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} \sum_{\omega_1} \mathcal{M}_{qp_2p_1} \int G_{p_1}^0(i\omega_1) G_{p_2}^0(i\omega_n - i\omega_1); \\
 \Sigma_q^B(i\omega_n) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} \sum_{\omega_1} \mathcal{M}_{p_1p_2q} \int G_{p_1}^0(i\omega_1) G_{p_2}^0(i\omega_n - i\omega_1);
 \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

The summation over Matsubara frequencies is calculated by the well-known formula (see

Ref. [37])

$$g(i_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C dz g(z) f^0(z); \quad (56)$$

where $f^0(z)$ is the Bose distribution function and the contour encircles the poles of $g(z)$.

Using Eq. (56), one obtains

$$\gamma_q^L(i_n) = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} M_{q, p_2, p_1} \int \frac{f^0(E_{p_1})}{i_n - E_{p_1}} \frac{f^0(E_{p_2})}{E_{p_2}}; \quad (57)$$

$$\gamma_q^B(i_n) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} M_{p_1, p_2, q} \int \frac{1 + f^0(E_{p_1}) + f^0(E_{p_2})}{i_n - E_{p_1} - E_{p_2}}; \quad (58)$$

The damping of excitations is given by the imaginary part of the self-energy

$$\gamma_q = -\text{Im} \gamma_q(E_q + i); \quad (59)$$

where $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This gives the damping of Bogoliubov excitations in an optical lattice,

$$\gamma_q = \gamma_q^L + \gamma_q^B; \quad (60)$$

$$\gamma_q^L = \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} M_{q, p_2, p_1} \int [f^0(E_{p_2}) - f^0(E_{p_1})] (E_q - E_{p_1} - E_{p_2}); \quad (61)$$

$$\gamma_q^B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p_1, p_2 \neq 0} M_{p_1, p_2, q} \int [1 + f^0(E_{p_1}) + f^0(E_{p_2})] (E_q - E_{p_1} - E_{p_2}); \quad (62)$$

Landau damping γ_q^L is expected to be dominant at higher temperatures where there are thermally excited quasiparticles. In contrast, Beliaev damping γ_q^B is due to a decay process and can arise in the absence of thermally-excited excitations. Beliaev damping is possible even at $T = 0$ [i.e. $f^0(E_p) = 0$] and is expected to be dominant at low temperatures.

B. Landau damping in a 1D optical lattice

In this subsection, we calculate the Landau damping given by Eq. (61) for a 1D optical lattice. For this, the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p+G} = E_{q+p}$ needs to be satisfied, where G is a reciprocal lattice vector. The solution of the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p}$ for a 1D optical lattice is illustrated in Fig. 5 [42]. First, we draw an excitation spectrum and mark q on the line. Then we draw the spectrum line again with q as origin. If those two lines intersect, solutions consistent with energy conservation condition for three excitation process exist. The intersection can be taken as $(q+p; E_q + E_p = E_{q+p})$.




FIG. 5: The Bogoliubov excitation energy E_q in a 1D optical lattice, for $\beta < 6$. The intersection of the two dispersion curves at $p + q$ shows that the energy conservation condition is satisfied in this case.

Clearly, the condition for these two dispersion curves to have intersections requires that the dispersion relation E_q first bends up as q increases, before bending over. From Fig. 1, we see that the 1D optical lattice dispersion relation E_q has this feature only for $\beta < 6$ and thus Landau damping can occur only when $\beta < 6$. If the intersection $(q + p; E_q + E_p)$ is outside of the first Brillouin zone, it has to be reduced in the first Brillouin zone by subtracting a reciprocal lattice vector $G_n = \frac{2\pi n}{d}$ (n is an integer), corresponding to an Umklapp process [42].

The values of $(q; p)$ satisfying the energy conservation condition for 1D optical lattice are shown in Fig. 6. For a given value of q , we see that as $\beta \rightarrow 6$, the value of p decreases to zero. There is no solution for $\beta > 6$, indicating the disappearance of the damping for an excitation E_q with any value of q . In Fig. 5, the curves of the solution of the energy conservation condition never cross the dashed line at $q + p = \pi/d$. Thus Umklapp scattering processes ($G_n \neq 0$) do not contribute to Landau damping in our present single-band model.

When the excitation of wavevector q has a wavelength much larger than the thermal




FIG . 6: The values $(q;p)$ satisfying the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p}$, for several values of the interaction parameter α .

excitation p (i.e., when $q = p, \omega = d$), one finds that $\frac{dE_p}{dp} = c$ from the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p}$ in Eq. (61). The Landau damping of the excitation $E_q = \alpha q$ comes from absorbing a thermal excitation E_p with a group velocity equal to c [32]. This condition requires

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_p}{dp} &= \frac{E_p}{E_p} 4Jd \sin \frac{pd}{2} \cos \frac{pd}{2} \\ &= c \quad p \frac{1}{2Jd^2 U n^0} : \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

This equation can be written in the form

$$16X^2 + 8(\alpha - 2)X + (\alpha^2 - 6) = 0; \quad (64)$$

where $X = \sin^2 \frac{pd}{2}$. The unique value of p_0 such that $\frac{dE_{p_0}}{dp_0}$ in Eq. (63) equals c is found to be given by the condition

$$\sin^2 \frac{p_0 d}{2} = \frac{(\alpha - 2) + \sqrt{2(\alpha + 2)}}{4}. \quad (65)$$

This expression is only valid for s smaller than 6, such that $p_0 < q$.

For $q > p$, where we can use the following approximations,

$$u_q \approx \frac{s}{2} \frac{U n^{c0}}{2\varphi} \left(1 + \frac{\varphi}{2U n^{c0}} \right); \quad (66)$$

$$v_q \approx \frac{s}{2} \frac{U n^{c0}}{2\varphi} \left(1 - \frac{\varphi}{2U n^{c0}} \right); \quad (67)$$

$$u_{q+p} \approx u_p + \frac{J \sin(pd)}{2u_p} \frac{(U n^{c0})^2}{E_p^3} \varphi d; \quad (68)$$

$$v_{q+p} \approx v_p + \frac{J \sin(pd)}{2v_p} \frac{(U n^{c0})^2}{E_p^3} \varphi d; \quad (69)$$

the matrix element in Eq. (61) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} M_{q,p,q+p} &= 2U \frac{s}{I} \frac{n^{c0}}{I} (u_p u_{q+p} + v_p v_{q+p} - v_p u_{q+p}) u_q - (u_p u_{q+p} + v_p v_{q+p} - u_p v_{q+p}) v_q \\ &\approx 2U \frac{s}{I} \frac{n^{c0}}{I} \left(\frac{0}{E_p} + \frac{U n^{c0}}{2E_p} - \frac{(U n^{c0})^2}{E_p^2} \frac{Jd}{c} \sin pd \right) \frac{s}{2U n^{c0}} \varphi; \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

From the energy conservation condition

$$c = \frac{dE_p}{dp} = \frac{E_p}{E_p} 2Jd \sin pd; \quad (71)$$

the matrix element can be approximated by

$$M_{q,p,q+p} \approx U \left(\frac{0}{E_p} + \frac{E_p}{E_p} \right) \frac{q}{2m c} \frac{1}{I} \frac{N_{c0}^{1=2}}{I}; \quad (72)$$

where $N_{c0} = n^{c0} I$. This is the analogue of Eq. (10.76) in Ref. [43]. Using the delta function for energy conservation to integrate over p , the Landau damping is finally reduced to

$$\Gamma_q^L = \frac{1}{8(s+2)} \frac{E_{p0}}{E_{p0}} \left(\frac{0}{E_{p0}} + \frac{E_{p0}}{E_{p0}} \right)^2 \frac{JU \varphi d}{\sinh^2 \frac{E_{p0}}{2}}; \quad (73)$$

One finds there is no damping when $s > 6$ (see Fig. 3 for Γ_q^L as a function of s and T). In fact, Γ_q^L diverges at $s = 6$, but this is due to the 1D nature of our system. This divergence does not occur in 2D and 3D lattices.

C. Landau damping in 2D and 3D optical lattices

We next discuss the energy conservation condition in a 2D optical lattice. We imagine a surface in a three dimensional space which satisfies the Bogoliubov dispersion relation

$(q_x; q_y; E_q)$. Then, we draw a new dispersion E_q in the three dimensional space, with a point on the surface $(q_{1x}; q_{1y}; E_{q_1})$ as origin. That is, we draw $(q_x; q_y; E_{q-q_1} + E_{q_1})$. If those two surfaces intersect, the energy conservation condition $E_{q_1} + E_{q_2} = E_{q_1+q_2}$ is satisfied, the intersection being given by $(q_{1x} + q_{2x}; q_{1y} + q_{2y}; E_{q_1+q_2})$. For this condition to be satisfied, the surface $(q_x; q_y; E_q)$ has to be above the other surface $(q_x; q_y; E_{q-q_1} + E_{q_1})$ around $(q_{1x}; q_{1y}; E_{q_1})$. Since the Bogoliubov spectrum is phonon like $E_q \propto q$ for small q , the maximum gradient of E_q at $(q_{1x}; q_{1y}; E_{q_1})$ must be greater than c . Since $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q|_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{q}_1}$ is the maximum gradient of E_q at q_1 , this condition is equivalent to the requirement:

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q|_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{q}_1} = 2Jd \frac{\tilde{E}_{q_1}}{E_{q_1}} \frac{q}{\sin^2 q_{1x}d + \sin^2 q_{1y}d} > c: \quad (74)$$

Equation (74) is the 2D version of the condition for the Bogoliubov spectrum of a 1D optical lattice to have anomalous dispersion. If Eq. (74) is satisfied, the energy conservation condition $E_{q_1} + E_{q_2} = E_{q_1+q_2}$ can be satisfied. An excitation E_{q_1} can then decay into $E_{q_1+q_2}$ by absorbing E_{q_2} (Landau), or an excitation $E_{q_1+q_2}$ can decay into two excitations E_{q_1} and E_{q_2} (Beliaev).

The condition for such a q_1 to exist is that the maximum value of $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q$ as a function of $(q_x; q_y)$ is greater than c . Due to $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q|_{\mathbf{q}=0} = c$, we only need to consider the condition that $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q$ takes its maximum at $q \neq 0$. When $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q$ has its maximum value,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} \nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q = & \frac{2Jd^2 \sin q_x d}{\sin^2 q_x d + \sin^2 q_y d} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ & \otimes \frac{\tilde{E}_q}{E_q} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \cos q_x d & A \\ \cos q_y d & A \end{pmatrix} - \frac{2JU^2 (n^c)^2}{E_q^3} (\sin^2 q_x d + \sin^2 q_y d) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0: \quad (75) \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (75), $\nabla_{\mathbf{q}} E_q$ has its maximum value when $\cos q_x d = \cos q_y d$, i.e., at the two values $q_x = \pm q_y$. If we assume $q_x = q_y$ and define $u = \sin^2 q_x d$, Eq. (75) reduces to

$$u = \sin^2 q_x d = \frac{(3 - 4) + \sqrt{5^2 + 24 + 16}}{16}: \quad (76)$$

From Eq. (76), one sees that u decreases as γ increases, vanishing when $\gamma = 12$. Therefore, we conclude that the Landau damping when $\gamma < 12$ is due to excitations with momentum $q_x = \pm q_y$, and all damping processes in a 2D optical lattice will vanish when $\gamma > 12$. We have confirmed this analytical result by numerically solving the energy conservation condition.

The condition for the disappearance of Landau damping in a 3D optical lattice can be derived by generalizing the procedure described above for a 2D optical lattice. One sees that

$\text{Re } E_q$ has its maximum when $\cos q_x d = \cos q_y d = \cos q_z d$, i.e., $q_x = q_y = q_z$ and

$$\sin^2 q_x d = \frac{3(2 + \sqrt{5^2 + 36 + 36})}{24}. \quad (77)$$

One finds that $u \neq 0$ when $\gamma \leq 18$, and damping in a 3D optical lattice vanishes when $\gamma \geq 18$. As in the 2D case, Landau damping when $\gamma \leq 18$ only occurs for an excitation with momentum $q_x = q_y = q_z$.

We discuss the energy conservation condition in a 2D optical lattice in detail. We only consider the damping of long wavelength phonon q . Using the approximation for the long wavelength phonon $E_q = cq$ and $E_{q+p} = E_q + v_p E_p = q$, the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_q = E_{q+p}$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2} q_x^2 + q_y^2 = \frac{E_p^2}{E_p^2} \sin^2(p_x d) q_x^2 + 2 \sin(p_x d) \sin(p_y d) q_x q_y + \sin^2(p_y d) q_y^2. \quad (78)$$

When $q_x > 0$ and $q_y = 0$, Eq. (78) can be solved easily. Defining $X = \sin^2 \frac{p_x d}{2}$ and $Y = \sin^2 \frac{p_y d}{2}$, the solution of Eq. (78) is

$$Y = X + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{1 - 8X^2 - 8X + 3}}{8X^2 - 8X + 3}. \quad (79)$$

One can confirm that Eq. (79) reduces to the 1D result in Eq. (65) when $Y = 0$.

Equation (79) is plotted in Fig. 7 for several values of γ . We see that as $\gamma \leq 6$ the line in the (p_x, p_y) plane which satisfies the energy conservation condition shrinks and vanishes when $\gamma > 6$. Therefore, the Landau damping of an excitation with $q_y = 0$ disappears when $\gamma > 6$. p_0 in Fig. 2.8 is given by Eq. (65).

For a long wavelength phonon q with $q_x = q_y > 0$, we solve the energy conservation condition numerically. The solution is shown in Fig. 8. There is no solution when $\gamma > 12$ as expected. Figures 7 and 8 clearly show that the threshold value of γ for the disappearance of damping strongly depends on the direction of q due to the anisotropy of 2D square lattice. This result also holds for 3D square lattice.

As for the 1D case, $\frac{L}{q}$ becomes larger than E_q around the threshold value of γ in 2D and 3D optical lattices. In this case, we cannot use the simple Golden Rule expression of the Landau damping given by Eq. (61). We have to extend it using higher order perturbation theory in order to calculate the Landau damping when γ is close to the threshold value [44].




FIG .7: The solution of the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p}$ for a 2D optical lattice, with $\alpha_x > 0$ and $\alpha_y = 0$. p_0 is defined in Eq. (65) and we note $p_0 \neq 0$ as $\alpha \neq 6$.




FIG .8: The solution of the energy conservation condition $E_q + E_p = E_{q+p}$ in a 2D optical lattice, for $\alpha_x = \alpha_y = 0.1 = d$.

D. Beliaev damping in a 1D optical lattice

We briefly discuss the Beliaev damping of the Bloch-Bogoliubov excitation in this subsection. The Beliaev damping is due to spontaneous decay of an excitation into two excitations, and thus we need to satisfy the energy conservation condition $E_q = E_{q-p} + E_p$. We focus on a 1D case in the following.

In Fig. 9, the solution of the energy conservation condition for 1D optical lattice $E_q = E_{q-p} + E_p$ is shown in a $(q;p)$ plane. As predicted in Sec. VB, one finds that the curve of the solution shrinks as μ increases and vanishes when $\mu > 6$, which indicates the disappearance of the Beliaev damping for $\mu > 6$.

For a fixed value of $\mu < 6$, Beliaev damping is only possible when q is between the threshold momenta q_1 and q_2 shown in Fig. (9). At the threshold momenta q_1 and q_2 , two excitations E_q and E_{q-p} created by the decay of an excitation E_q have the same velocity. This effect was first predicted by Pitaevskii in 1959 for the phonon excitation in superfluid ^4He [45]. The two created excitations have the same quasimomentum $q_2=2$ and energy $E_{q_2=2}$ at $q = q_2$. At $q = q_1$, one of the generated excitations is a phonon having the sound velocity c . Therefore, the other one also has the velocity equal to the sound velocity c .

In addition to Landau damping and Beliaev damping, one also has intercollisional damping arising from two-body collisions which transfer atoms between the condensate and thermal cloud at finite temperatures [33, 34]. Such processes also involve the energy conservation condition for three-excitation processes. Thus, the intercollisional damping also disappears when $\mu > 6$ in a D-dimensional optical lattice.

VI. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have given a detailed treatment of the damping of Bogoliubov excitations associated with Bose condensates in an optical lattice at finite temperature using the tight-binding Bose-Hubbard model, extending the work we reported in Ref. [21].

We have used the Popov approximation in the Bose-Hubbard model to extend the usual theory to finite temperatures. As a by-product, we have calculated the number of condensate atoms per lattice site as a function of both the temperature and the lattice well depth s . These results may be of general interest.




FIG .9: The solution of the energy conservation condition $E_q = E_p + E_{q-p}$ for Beliaev damping in a 1D optical lattice.

We calculated the damping of Bloch-Bogoliubov excitations in 1D, 2D and 3D optical lattices. All previous work [7, 8] on this problem only considered dynamical instabilities. These studies did not include the dissipation processes we have considered. We find that the Bogoliubov-Popov excitation spectrum E_q must exhibit "anomalous dispersion" for damping processes to occur. This is analogous to the case of phonon damping in superfluid ^4He . In the absence of this "bending-up" of the low q spectrum, energy conservation cannot be satisfied. As a consequence, excitation damping is absent when $\mu = U n^{c_0} = J > 6D$ where D is the dimension of the optical lattice.

The first studies [16] of damping of excitations were limited to 1D optical lattices along the axis of a cigar-shaped magnetic trap, but one would need a much tighter magnetic trap (in the radial direction) for our 1D model results to apply. In the more recent experiments by Stoferle et. al. [17], a 3D optical lattice is prepared first, and the lattice potential depths in two lattice axes are then made much larger than the third one to produce 2D array of tightly bound 1D optical lattices. An analogous 2D optical lattice can be formed by choosing a much larger lattice potential depth along one lattice axis than that in other two axes. This effectively 2D optical lattice might be better for checking our theoretical predictions than the 2D periodic array of 1D tubes used in Ref. [24], since excitations along the direction perpendicular to the 2D lattice potential can be neglected.

Due to our use of a tight-binding approximation, our results are not directly applicable to the damping of excitations found in very weak optical lattices ($s < 1$) [18]. Extension of our calculations to such weak optical lattices would be clearly of interest [46].

Acknowledgments

S.T. would like to thank M. Smith, E. Taylor, and D. Luxat for discussions. S.T. was supported by the Japan Society for Promotion of Science (JSPS). A.G. was supported by funds from NSERC of Canada.

-
- [1] O. Morsch, J.H. Müller, M. Cristiani, D. Ciampini, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 140402 (2001).
 - [2] G. Roati, E. de Mirandes, F. Ferlaino, H. Ott, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 230402 (2004).
 - [3] B.P. Anderson and M.A. Kasevich, Science 282, 1686 (1998).
 - [4] M. Jona-Lasinio, O. Morsch, M. Cristiani, N. Malossi, J.H. Müller, E. Courtade, M. Anderlini, and E. Arimondo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 230406 (2003).
 - [5] F.S. Cataliotti, S. Burger, C. Fort, P. Maddaloni, F. Minardi, A. Trombettoni, A. Smerzi and M. Inguscio, Science 293, 843 (2001).
 - [6] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T.W. Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).
 - [7] B. Wu and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. A 64, 061603 (2001).
 - [8] A. Smerzi, A. Trombettoni, P.G. Kevrekidis, and A.R. Bishop, 21, 170402 (2002).
 - [9] E. Taylor and E. Zaremba, Phys. Rev. A 68, 053611 (2003).
 - [10] L. Fallani, L. De Sarbo, J.E. Lye, M. Modugno, R. Saers, C. Fort, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 140406 (2004).
 - [11] M. Modugno, C. Tozzo, and F. Dalfovo, Phys. Rev. A 70, 043625 (2004).
 - [12] B. Wu and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. A 61, 023402 (2000).
 - [13] D. Diakonov, L.M. Jensen, C.J. Pethick, and H. Smith, Phys. Rev. A 66, 013604 (2002).
 - [14] M. Machholm, C.J. Pethick, and H. Smith, Phys. Rev. A 67, 053613 (2003).

- [15] C. Fort, F. S. Cataliotti, L. Fallani, F. Ferlaino, P. M. addaloni, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 140405 (2003).
- [16] F. Ferlaino, P. M. addaloni, S. Burger, F. S. Cataliotti, C. Fort, M. M. odugno, and M. Inguscio, Phys. Rev. A 66 011604 (2002).
- [17] T. Stoferle, H. Moritz, C. Schori, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92, 130403 (2004).
- [18] C. D. Fertig, K. M. O'Hara, J. H. Huckans, S. L. Rolston, W. D. Phillips, and J. V. Porto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 120403 (2005).
- [19] M. Kramer, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 180404 (2002).
- [20] L. P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein Condensation (Oxford, N.Y., 2003), Ch. 6.
- [21] S. Tsuchiya and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. A, 70, 023611 (2004).
- [22] H. J. Maris, Rev. Mod. Phys. 49, 341 (1977).
- [23] F. Mezei, C. Lartigue and B. Farago in Excitations in Two-Dimensional and Three-Dimensional Quantum Fluids, ed by A. F. G. Wyatt and H. J. Lauter (Plenum, N.Y., 1991), p. 119.
- [24] M. Greiner, I. Bloch, O. Mandel, T. Hansch, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 160405 (2001), and H. Moritz, T. Stoferle, M. Kohl, and T. Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 250402 (2003).
- [25] M. P. A. Fisher, P. B. Weichman, G. G. Rinstein, and D. S. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 40, 546 (1989).
- [26] D. Jaksch, C. Bruder, J. I. Cirac, C. W. Gardiner, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3108 (1998).
- [27] D. van Oosten, P. van der Straten, and H. T. C. Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 63, 053601 (2001).
- [28] A. M. Rey, K. Burnett, R. Roth, M. Edwards, C. J. Williams and C. W. Clark, J. Phys. B 36, 825 (2003).
- [29] D. A. W. Hutchinson, E. Zaremba, and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 1842 (1997).
- [30] J. Javanainen, Phys. Rev. A 60, 4902 (1999).
- [31] M. Kramer, C. Menotti, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, Eur. Phys. J. D 27, 247 (2003).
- [32] L. P. Pitaevskii and Y. B. Levinson, Phys. Rev. B 14, 263 (1976).
- [33] J. E. Williams and A. Griffin, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023612 (2001).
- [34] E. Zaremba, T. Nikuni, and A. Griffin, J. Low Temp. Phys. 116, 277 (1999).
- [35] H. Shi and A. Griffin, Phys. Rep. 304, 1 (1998).

- [36] N.D. Mermin, Phys. Rev. 167, 250 (1968); N.D. Mermin and H. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 22, 1133 (1966); P.C. Hohenberg, Phys. Rev. 158, 383 (1967).
- [37] G.D. Mahan, Many-Particle Physics, Third Edition (Plenum, New York, 2000).
- [38] P. Szepfalussy and I. Kondor, Ann. phys. (N.Y.) 82, 1 (1974).
- [39] L.P. Pitaevskii and S. Stringari, Phys. Lett. A 235, 398 (1997).
- [40] P.O. Fedichev, G.V. Shlyapnikov, and J.T.M. Walraven, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 2269 (1998).
- [41] S.T. Beliaev, Sov. Phys. JETP, 7, 299 (1958).
- [42] R.E. Peierls, The Quantum Theory of Solids (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1955), p. 43.
- [43] C.J. Pethick and H. Smith, Bose-Einstein Condensation in Dilute Gases (Cambridge, N.Y., 2002).
- [44] A.J. Leggett and D. ter Haar, Phys. Rev. 139, A 779 (1965).
- [45] L.P. Pitaevskii, Sov. Phys. JETP 9, 830 (1959).
- [46] For further discussion of excitations in weak optical lattices, see S. Tsuchiya, Ph.D. thesis, 2005, Waseda University, Tokyo; I. Danshita, S. Kurihara, S. Tsuchiya, in preparation.