

Reply to Comment LCK1019 by Prokof'ev and Svistunov

The purpose of Ref. [1] was to study the geometry of real vortex loops (VLs) in 3D superconductors (3DSC) and superfluids (3DSF), and its connection to criticality. 3DSC are dual to 3DSF, and vice versa [1]. VLs originate with transverse fluctuations in the phase θ of the complex pairing field. A fundamental topological constraint is that at every point in a 3DSC or 3DSF, one must have $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$; \mathbf{n} is the vorticity defined by $\nabla \times (\nabla\theta) = 2\pi\mathbf{n}$. *Vortices in 3DSC/3DSF cannot start or end inside the system.*

We related the Hausdorff dimension D_H of the VLs to the anomalous scaling dimension η of corresponding field theory. In the case of VL, this field theory is the *dual* of the original theory. The relation is $\eta + D_H = 2$, where η is defined via the correlation function of the field theory for the VL (i.e. the dual), $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \phi^*(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$. At criticality, it takes the form $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = 1/|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{d-2+\eta}$ in d dimensions and yields the probability of connecting two points \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} with any directed continuous vortex path [1]. *It is implicit [1] that any path entering in computing $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ must be part of a (closed) VL.* Thus, $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = N_L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})/N_L$ where $N_L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the number of VLs passing through both \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , while N_L is the total number of VLs. A useful quantity is the corresponding probability $P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ of connecting \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} with a connected vortex path of length n , which must also be part of a closed VL. Hence, we have $P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = N_L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; n)/N_L$, where $N_L(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; n)$ is the number of VLs in the system passing through both \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , when these two points are separated by paths of length n . Clearly, $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_n P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$. We used the scaling form $P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = F(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|/n^{1/D_H})/n^{d/D_H}$ [1], and assumed $F(0) \neq 0$, in order to have a finite probability of returning to the starting point by going around the entire closed VL. (Had we for instance assumed a form $F(t) \sim t^\vartheta$; $t \ll 1, \vartheta > 0$, we would have reached the erroneous conclusion that the probability of returning to the starting point by following a strictly closed VL path would be zero.) Moreover, the distribution function $D(n)$ of closed loops of length n is given by $D(n) = (1/V) \sum_{\mathbf{x}} P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$. At the critical point, $D(n) \sim n^{-\alpha}$, with $\alpha = d/D_H + 1$ [1].

The graphs of a high-temperature (HT) expansion of the *partition function* Z of the 3DXY model are closed paths that can be identified mathematically with sterically interacting closed loops [2, 3, 4]. The graphs in the HT expansion of the *two-point correlation function* G are open-ended paths (OEP) [3]. Thus, the geometry of graphs contributing to Z differs from that of the graphs contributing to G [3]. The HT-graphs contributing to Z and G can in any case not be *physically* identified with VLs.

Ref. [5] computes D_H of OEPs originating with a HT graph expansion of $\langle \phi^*(\mathbf{x})\phi(\mathbf{y}) \rangle$ for a $|\phi|^4$ -theory [5, 6], which is in the same universality class as the 3DXY model. This entails studying an analog of the above correlation function, namely [3, 5] $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_n P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \sum_n z_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})/z_n$, where $z_n = \sum_{\mathbf{y}} z_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the number of open-ended paths starting in \mathbf{x} and ending up in *any* endpoint \mathbf{y} , while $z_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the number of graphs of length n starting in \mathbf{x} and ending in \mathbf{y} . Importantly, z_n clearly grows with the number of steps n . We write $z_n \sim n^{\vartheta/D_H}$, and $P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = F(|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|/n^{1/D_H})/n^{d/D_H}$ [4, 5]. In Refs. [4, 5], one effectively defines a loop distribution function $D(n) \sim n^{-\alpha}$ even for these OEPs, by setting $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| = a$ in P_n ; a is a lattice constant.

Again $\alpha = d/D_H + 1$ [4], but the factor z_n in $P_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ now requires, for consistency, that $F(t) \sim t^\vartheta$. Including ϑ , the scaling relation proposed in [1] is modified as follows: $\eta + D_H = 2 - \vartheta$, where ϑ *determines the asymptotic number z_n of open-ended paths of length n at the critical point in a system of OEPs* [4]. Computing D_H of such OEPs, Ref. [5] reports $D_H = 1.7655(20)$. Using $\eta = 0.038(4)$ (appropriate for the field theory of closed steric loops) [7], they infer $\theta = 0.1925(29)$ [5].

While the definition of closed paths used in Ref. [5] is appropriate for OEPs, it is not for VLs. OEPs are clearly less compact than VL's, whence their D_H is smaller. Moreover, OEPs are unphysical objects in the context of VLs in 3DSC, as is correctly implied on pg. 3 of Ref. [3]. Hence, computing D_H for OEPs and relating it to η of the dual field theory for VLs in a 3DSC [4, 5], provides no test of the relation $\eta + D_H = 2$. In 3DSC and 3DSF, ϑ is fixed to zero by the topological constraint $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$. J. Hove and A. Sudbø

Department of Physics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, N-7491 Trondheim, Norway

-
- [1] J. Hove, S. Mo, and A. Sudbø, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2368 (2000).
 - [2] H. E. Stanley, *Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, (1971).
 - [3] N. V. Prokof'ev and B. V. Svistunov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 160601 (2001).
 - [4] W. Janke and A. M. J. Schakel, cond-mat/0508734, (2005).
 - [5] N. V. Prokof'ev and B. V. Svistunov, cond-mat/0504008, (2005).
 - [6] N. V. Prokof'ev and B. V. Svistunov, private communication, (2006).
 - [7] M. Campostrini *et al*, Phys. Rev. B **63**, 214503 (2001).