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#### Abstract

We analyze quantitatively the accuracy of eigen fiunction and eigenvalue calculations in the fram e work of W KB and instanton sem iclassical methods. W e show that to estim ate the accuracy it is enough to com pare two linearly independent (w ith the sam e quantum number) solutions to the Schrodinger equations $w$ ith the potential under study, and w ith the approxim ating piecew ise sm ooth potential. The $m$ ain advantage of the approach is related to the appropriate choice of the approxim ating potential, providing absolutely convergent $m$ ajorant series for the solutions. W e test our $m$ ethod for a strongly anharm on ic one dim ensional potential, but the basic ideas inspiring our work and its results can be applied to a large variety of interesting chem ical and physical problem swhich are of relevance to various $m$ olecular system $s$.


PACS num bers: 05.45.-a, 72.10.-d

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is a textbook wisdom that if the de B roglie wavelengths of particles are sm all in com parison w the characteristic space scales of a given problem, then the problem can be treated sem iclassically. The comm only used W K B
 of the action is large, but slow ly variable (this is suggested also by it containing the factor $h$, since we are dealing w ith a sem iclassical approxim ation, in which $h$ is taken as sm all). The corresponding condition can be form ulated m ore quantitatively $[\underline{1}[1]$ as follow s : m ust vary only slightly over distances of the order of itself

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d((x)=2)}{d x} \quad 1 \text {; } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(x)=2 h=p(x)$, and $p(x)$ is a classical $m$ om entum. H ow ever, this simple criterion is not a practical tool to estim ate how accurate could be found sem iclassical solutions of particular problem s , since nothing is speci ed regarding the convergence of the sem iclassical series. T he criterion (11) does not work to estim ate the magnitude of the error involved in the approxim ate calculation of physical quantities (e.g., matrix elem ents), neither to nd the dom ain of validity in the complex plane in which the sem iclassical solutions are de ned. Indeed from (11)) one can conclude only that higher order corrections to sem iclassicalwave functions are $s m$ all in the asym ptotic regions, but this $m$ athem atical criterion has alm ost nothing to do with say the physical accuracy of sem iclassicalm atrix elem ents $w$ hich depends on the $w$ ave finction accuracy in space regions providing $m$ ain contributions into the $m$ atrix elem ents under consideration. For exam ple, the energy eigenvalues are determ ined by the asym ptotical region of the linear tuming points (i.e., the region distant from these points), and as well by the proxim ity region to the second order tuming points, since in the both regions the w ave fiunctions possess the largest values.

W thin the W KB m ethod such kind of a physical accuracy estim ation has been perform ed long ago by N . and P.O.From an [][]. They analyzed higher order corrections to the sem iclassicalw ave functions and found that although those are really sm all over $1={ }^{2}$ ( $\quad 1$ is sem iclassical param eter), the corrections are proportional to the factor $[(E=) U]^{2}$, (where $E$ is energy and $U$ is potential), and thus the fiunction has non-integrable singularity at the linear tuming points where $[(E=) \quad U]=0$ (or, within the altemative to $\mathrm{W} K \mathrm{~K}$ sem iclassical form alism so-called extrem e tunneling tra jectory or instanton instanton approach ['] - [1] , the corrections are singular in the second order
 function into the com plex plane has been proposed, and it gives im practically bulky expressions even for sim ple model
potentials. Since this problem has relevance far beyond W K B treatm ent of a particular m odel potential, this is an issue of general interest to develop a sim ple and convenient in practice quantitative $m$ ethod to study the accuracy of the sem iclassicalapproach, and it is the im $m$ ediate $m$ otivation of the present paper to develop a system atic procedure how to do it.

The idea of our approach is to construct two linearly independent continuous (w ith continuous rst derivatives) approxim ate solutions to the Schrodinger equation, which in the asym ptotic region coincide w ith sem iclassic solutions, and in the vicinity of the tuming points - w ith the exact solutions of the so-called com parison equation (i.e. the exact solution of the Schrodinger equation for the chosen appropriately approxim ate near the tuming points potentials $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{X})$, henceforth w ill be referred to as the com parison potential). A though, scanning the literature we found one rather old paper [12]] w ith a sim ilar com parison equation approach, but our accuracy criterion is form ulated as the $m$ ajorant inequalities for a certain $m$ atrix (which we nd in the explicit analytical form and calculated num erically) connecting our approxim ate and exact solutions in the nite space interval (not only in the vicinity of the tuming points). Since this $m$ ethod has largely gone unnoticed in the study of sem iclassics, we found it worthw hile to present its derivation in a short and explicit form, and also to point out its practicalusability.
$T$ he rem ainder of this paper is organized as follow s . In section ${ }_{l} \mathrm{II}$ we present the basic expressions necessary for our investigation. In this section we also present the $m$ ain steps and qualitative idea of our $m$ ethod. Section contains our results. W e derive the inequalities which enable us to nd the nite space interval (not at the isolated points) where the solutions have to be $m$ atched, and calculate the 22 coordinate dependent $m$ atrix connecting the approxim ate and exact solutions. Since the sem iclassical solutions of the ham onic potential coincide w ith the exact solutions, the accuracy of any sem iclassically treated problem depends crucially on its potentialenergy anharm onicity. $T$ hat is why as the touchstone to test ourm ethod the results presented in the section III are applied to an anharm on ic


## II. SEM ICLASSICALEQUATIONS IN THEWKBAND INSTANTON FORMS

Technically the basic idea how to overcom e the di culty of the sem iclassical solutions in the vicinity of the tuming points is reduced to an appropriate (adm itting exact analytic solutions) approxim ation of the potential near the tuming points. A fter that step one has to $m$ atch the asym ptotics of this exact solution to the Schrodinger equation for an approxim ate potential w the the sem iclassical solutions to the Schrodinger equation for the potential under consideration (i.e. approxim ate solutions of the exact potential) far from the tuming points. To ilhustrate $m$ ain ideas of any sem iclassic $m$ ethod (and to retain com pactness and transparency of expressions) we discuss here a one dim ensional case. A s it is well known $[\underline{11}]$ in the W K B m ethod solutions to the Schrodinger equation are sought in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=A \exp \frac{i}{h} \quad ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the function called action the one particle Schrodinger equation (traditionally term ed as H am ilton Jacoby equation) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{@}{@ x}^{2}=E \quad U \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is a particle $m$ ass, $E$ is its energy, and $U$ is extemal eld potential. Since the system is supposed quasiclassical in its properties, we seek in the form of a series expanded in powers of $h$. D epending on norm alization prefactor $A(x)$ entering $(\overline{2})$ ( $\bar{Z}^{\prime}$ ) can be also found but the corresponding equation (referred traditionally as transport equation) plays a pure passive role since it is fully determ ined by the action found as the solution of the $H$ am ilton - Jacoby equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{i h}{m} \frac{1}{2} \frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}} A+\frac{@ A}{@ x} \frac{@}{@ x}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 m} \frac{\varrho^{2} A}{@ x^{2}}=0 \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the spirit of the sem iclassical approxim ation the last term (/ $h^{2}$ ) is neglected. Technically of course m ore convenient to use instead of h an expansion over equivalent but dim ensionless param eter $\quad 1 \quad 1$ wewill call in what follow s as sem iclassical param eter and de ne as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{o}_{0}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \quad 1 \text {; } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $a_{0}$ is a characteristic length of the problem, e.g. the tunneling distance, 0 is a characteristic frequency, e.g. the oscillation frequency around the potential minim um. Evidently the sem iclassical param eter 1, and by its physicalm eaning it is determ ined by the ratio of the characteristic potential scale over the zero oscillation energy. W e put $\mathrm{h}=1$, and use 0 and $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ to set corresponding dim ensionless scales, i.e. we introduce dim ensionless energy $\mathrm{E}=0$, dim ensionless coordinate $\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}$, dim ensionless potential $\mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{U}=0$ (except where explicitely stated to the contrary and dim ensions are necessary for understanding or num erical estim ations).
 corresponding to the $\bar{W}$ ick rotation in the phase space, when coordinates rem ain real valued $x!x$ but con jugated $m$ om enta becom e im aginary $p_{x}$ ! $i p_{x}$ ) can be form ulated as the follow ing substitution for the wave function (cf. to (2) )

$$
\begin{equation*}
=A_{E}(X) \exp (E) ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the action $E$ and we use the subscript E to denote so-called Euclidean action obtained from the W K B action
after the $W$ ick rotation. Perform ed above rotation is not a harm less change of variables. T he deep $m$ eaning of this transform ation w ithin the instanton approach is related to redistribution of di erent term s betw een the $H$ am ilton Jacoby and the transport equations. Indeed, like that is in the W K B m ethod, eigenvalues for the ground and for the low-lying states are of the order of ${ }^{0}$, while all other term $s$ in $(\overrightarrow{3})$ are of the order of ${ }^{1}$. Therefore to perform a regular expansion over ${ }^{1}$ for the substitution (G) one has to rem ove the energy term from the $H$ am ilton - Jacoby equation, and to include this term into the transport equation. Besides in the rst order over ${ }^{1}$ one can neglect the term w th the second derivative of the prefactor. A s a result of this redistributions the both equations are presented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \frac{@}{\mathrm{E}}^{2}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X}) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of the W K B H am ilton - Jacoby equation (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ A_{E}}{@ X} \frac{@ E}{@ X}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{@^{2} E}{@ X^{2}} A_{E}=A_{E}: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the both sem iclassicalm ethods can be form ulated neglecting term s of the order of ${ }^{1}$, therefore possessing the sam $e$ accuracy over ${ }^{1}$, the solutions evidently coincide in the asym ptotic classically forbidden region $V(X)$ ) , but their behavior, num ber and type of tuming points (where any sem iclassic approxim ation does not work) are quite di erent. For exam ple in the $W$ K B form alism there are two tuming points where $V(X) \quad(=)=0$ around each $m$ inim um of the potential, while in the instanton approach since the energy does not enter the H am ilton - Jacoby equation (thus one can say that no classically accessible regions at all) the tuming points are extrem alpoints ( $m$ inim a for the case) of the potential. Furthem ore as a consequence of this di erence, in the W K B m ethod alltuming points are linear, whereas in the instanton approach they are second order (quadratic over X ).

## III. ACCURACY OF SEM ICLASSICALAPPROXIMATION

A $m$ ed $w$ ith this know ledge we are in the position now to construct our approxim ants. Let us introduce besides the com parison potential $V_{c}(X)$, one $m$ ore specially chosen potential $V_{s c}$ (henceforth $w$ illbe referred to as the sem iclassical potential). This potential is chosen by the requirem ent that the exact solutions to the Schrodinger equation w ith $V_{s c}$ coincide asym ptotically w ith the sem iclassical solutions to the Schrodinger equation with the potentialV (X) the problem under study. Thus according to the construction, the sem iclassicalwave function sc satis es the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{s c}^{1} \frac{d^{2} \mathrm{sc}}{\mathrm{dX} \mathrm{X}^{2}}=2^{2} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{sc}}(\mathrm{X}) \quad-\quad ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from here we can relate the sem iclassicalpotential ( $V_{s c}(X)$ ) w the the bare one ( $V(X)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{sc}}^{(1 ; 2)}=\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{X}) \quad \frac{1}{2^{2}} \mathrm{~A}^{1} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{~A}}{\mathrm{dX}}{ }^{2} \quad ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the vicinity of the rst order or of the second order (superscripts 1 or 2 ) tuming points.

Since near the tuming point $X_{0}$ the prefactors $A^{(1)} / X \quad X_{0} j^{1=4}$, and $A^{(2)} /\left(X \quad X_{0}\right)^{n}$ (where $n$ is an integer num ber which occurs from the transport equation ( $\overline{(1)})$ solution at the energy $=n+(1=2)$ ) the potential $V_{s c}^{(1)}$ at $X!X_{0}$ is singular and negative, and $V_{s c}^{(2)}$ has the sam e singularity ( $\left.\left(X \quad X_{0}\right)^{2}\right)$ but positive. The di erence is due to the fact that near the $W$ KB linear tuming points we have dealw th the $V_{s c}^{(1)} w e l l$, whereas near the second order instanton tuming points one has to treat the potentialbarrier $V_{s c}^{(2)}$. It $m$ ight be useful to illustrate the essential features of the introduced above potentials $V_{c}$ and $V_{s c}$ applying the de nition ( $110{ }_{-}^{\prime}$ ) to a sim ple (but the generic touchstone) exam ple of the follow ing anharm onic oscillator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V(X)=\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+x^{3}+x^{4}\right]: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e show in Fig. 1 the sem iclassical and the com parison potentials associated with (11]) for the W KB (Fig. 1a) and instanton ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{ig}}$. 1b) m ethods $(=1: 25,=0: 5$, and the energy w indow corresponds to $\mathrm{n}=3$ excited state of the potential (111)).

The key elem ents to construct our approxim ants are the follow ing com binations related to probability ow $s$ to and from the tuming points
where $X_{1}<X_{0}$ and analogously for $X_{2}>X_{0}$ the ow function $J\left(X_{2}\right)$ is given by (1212) where the integration lim its are from $X_{2}$ to +1 . Since the exact wave functions are continuous with continuous rst derivatives (providing due to these features the continuity of the density probability currents), the idea of our procedure is to require the sam e from the approxim ate $w$ ave functions.
$T$ he integrals entering $J\left(X_{1}\right)$ and $J\left(X_{2}\right)$ can be calculated easily for any form of the potential, and the maxim um accuracy of the any sem iclassical approach can be achieved upon the $m$ atching of the approxim ate solutions at the characteristic points $X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$ where $J\left(X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}\right)=0$. The points $X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$ do exist in the case when the potentials $V_{C}$ and $V_{s c}$ intersect in the region where the approxim ate wave functions $s c$ and $c$ are $m$ onotone ones. It is easy to realize (see e.g., Fig. 1) that the both points occur in the vicinity of the linear tuming points for the potentials w ith $d^{2} V=d X^{2}>0$. O ne such a point disappears when the potential tuming point becom es the in ection point, and there are no $X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$ points at all for $d^{2} V=d X^{2}<0$. In the vicinity of the second order tuming point the com parison potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is a parabolic one. The curvature of the latter potential can be alw ays chosen to guarantee the two intersection points always exist. The choice of the com parison potential corresponds to a certain renorm alization (/ ${ }^{2}$ ) of the characteristic oscillation frequency $\left(d^{2} V=d X^{2}\right)_{x_{x}}=x_{0}$. N ote in passing that the approach we are advocating here conceptually close (although not identical) to the scale transform ation proposed by M iller and G ood [1] $\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ] and further developed in [1] ].

Thus the conditions $J(X \underset{1 ; 2}{\#})=0$ allow us to construct well controlled approxim ate solutions to the Schrodinger equation. The accuracy of the approxim ation depends on the deviation of the approxim ate wave fiuctions from the exact ones in the vicinity of the characteristic points $X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$. In own tum, the deviation is determ ined by the higher over ( $X \quad X_{0}$ ) term s of the potentialV $(X)$ which are not included in the harm onic com parison potential $V_{c}$. Include explicitely the corresponding higher order term $s$ to distinguish $V_{c}$ and $V_{s c}$ potentials, we nd in the vicinity of the linear tuming points

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{sc}}^{(1)} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}_{1}}{2}\left(\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}_{0}\right)^{2}+\frac{!^{2}}{2}\left(\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}_{0}\right)^{2} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the universal num ericalconstant $c_{1}=5=32$, and the second term in the r.h.s. is related to deviation of the bare potential from the linear one. The sam em anner near the second order tuming points

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{sc}}^{(2)} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}, \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}_{2}}{2}\left(\mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{X}_{0} \tag{14}
\end{array}\right)^{3} ;
$$

where the universal constant $c_{2}=n\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1)=4 \text { is zero for the low est vibrational states } n=0 ; 1 \text {, and the last term }\end{array}\right.$ describes non-parabolicity of the potential. N ote that unlike the sem iclassical action which within the instanton $m$ ethod is independent of quantum num bers $n$, the position of the characteristic points does depend on $n$, and the $\mathrm{X}_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$ points are placed near the boundaries of the classically accessible region.

N ow we are in the position to construct the approxim ant wave functions

$$
\sim(X)=\quad \begin{gather*}
c(X) ; X_{1}^{\#}<X<X_{2}^{\#} ;  \tag{15}\\
s c i X<X_{1}^{\#} ; X>X_{2}^{\#} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

which are the solutions to the Schrodinger equation $w$ ith the follow ing piecew ise sm ooth approxim ating potential

$$
\nabla(X)=\begin{align*}
& V_{\mathrm{c}}(X) ; X_{1}^{\#}<X<X_{2}^{\#}  \tag{16}\\
& V_{\mathrm{sc}} ; X<X_{1}^{\#} ; X>X_{2}^{\#}
\end{align*}:
$$

The wave functions calculated according to (1-5) in the fram ew ork of the instanton approach close to the $W$ eber functions in the classically accessible regions, but their exponentially decaying tails in the classically forbidden regions correspond to the exact (bare) potential, not to its harm onic approxim ant. A nalogously in the W K B m ethod these functions ( $\left.\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ coincide $w$ th the sem iclassical ones out of the interval $\left(X_{1}^{\#} ; X_{2}^{\#}\right)$, and w th the A iry functions in this interval.

To proceed further on we have to relate our approxim ant w ave functions ( $\overline{1} \overline{-1} \overline{-1})$ and tw o linearly independent solutions to the bare Schrodinger equation 1 and 2 . It can be written down form ally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X)=\sim^{\sim}(X)+{ }_{x_{0}}^{Z} d X^{0} v^{\left(X^{0}\right) G\left(X ; X^{0}\right)\left(X^{0}\right) ; ~ ; ~} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=V(X) \quad V(X), G\left(X ; X^{0}\right)$ is the $G$ reen function for the Schrodinger equation $w$ th the potential (1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(X ; X_{1}\right) \quad \text { const }\left[r_{1}(X) \sim_{2}\left(X_{1}\right) \quad \sim_{1}\left(X_{1}\right) \sim_{2}(X)\right] ; X_{1} \quad X ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant in $(1 \overline{1})$ ) is the $W$ ronskian equal to $(2)^{1}$ in the instanton $m$ ethod, and $i(2)^{1}$ w ithin the W K B approach. In $\left(\overline{1}_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right) \quad\left({ }_{1} ; 2\right)$ (the sam e de nition for $M$, and we take the tuming point $X 0$, where the functions and $\sim$ are close to each other at the lower integration lim it.
$T$ he solution to the integral equation $\left(\overline{1}_{-1} \overline{7}_{1}\right)$ is expressed as the $N$ eum ann series expansion,
Z x

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X)=\sim(X)+{ }_{X_{0}} d X_{1} v\left(X_{1}\right) G\left(X ; X_{1}\right) \sim\left(X_{1}\right)+ \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $m$-th order term can be factorized and estim ated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{m!} \int_{x_{0}}^{Z_{x}} \mathrm{dX}{ }_{1} \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X}_{;} \mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{\sim}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)^{!}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he integrals entering this estim ation

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{X}_{0}}^{\mathrm{d}} \mathrm{XX}{ }_{1} \mathrm{v}\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{X}_{1}\right) \sim\left(\mathrm{X}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{12=22}(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{1}(\mathrm{X})+\mathrm{L} 11=21(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{2}(\mathrm{X})
$$

contain the $2 \quad 2 \mathrm{~m}$ atrix w th the follow ing m atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j}=X_{x_{0}}^{Z} d X^{0} \sim_{i}\left(X^{0}\right) v\left(X^{0}\right) \sim_{j}\left(X^{0}\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to introduce the $m$ atrix $\hat{C}^{(n)}$ relating the $n$-th order wave function correction $\quad(n)$ with the wave function ~

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n)!  \tag{23}\\
& { }_{(n)}^{1}=\hat{C}^{(n)} \underset{2}{\sim_{1}} \quad ; \quad{ }_{2} \quad ; ~
\end{align*}
$$

and the full connection $m$ atrix betw een the exact and approxim ate $w$ ave fiunctions

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1  \tag{24}\\
& 2
\end{align*}=\hat{C} \quad \stackrel{\sim_{1}}{\sim_{2}}
$$

is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}=\hat{X}^{X} \hat{C}^{(n)}: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to the inequality (20)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{c}^{(n)} \quad \frac{1}{n!} \hat{c}_{0}^{n} ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{C}_{0}=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{L}_{12} & \mathrm{~L}_{11}  \tag{27}\\
\mathrm{~L}_{22} & \mathrm{~L}_{12}
\end{array}:
$$

C om bining nally the expressions ( $\left.2\left(\overline{5}_{1}\right)-\overline{2}_{2} \overline{7}_{-}\right)$we end up with the upper and lower bounds for the correction $m$ atrix $\hat{C}$ estim ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.1+\hat{C}_{0} \quad \hat{C} \quad \exp \hat{c}_{0}\right): \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e conchide from $[2 \bar{d})$, (221) that the $N$ eum ann series posses an absolute convergence if all the $m$ atrix elem ents $L_{i j}$ are nite. Besides, unlike the correction functions introduced w ith in the From an approach [ $\left.\overline{4}_{1}^{1}\right]$, the integrals in (22') have no singularities on the realaxis. Evidently the integrals (221) are nite w ith the oscillating W K B functions, since the perturbation potential $v(X)$ is not zero only in the close proxim ity to the characteristic points $X_{1 ; 2}^{\#}$. H ow ever in the instanton $m$ ethod due to $m$ ixing of increasing and decreasing exponents, the $m$ atrix elem ents $L_{22}$ is divergent. D espite of this divergency the product $L_{22} \sim_{1}$, we are only interested in, is nite, and it is convenient to perform one $m$ ore transform ation to exchude explicitely this divergency.

Technically one can easily elim inate the both $o$-diagonal elem ents of the $m$ atrix $\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{0}$ and thus to get rid of the divergency of the (exponentially decreasing solution $\sim_{1}$ ) am plitude due to the contribution to the $\sim_{1}$ the exponentially increasing solution $\sim_{2}$. These linear transform ations renorm alize the correction $m$ atrix elem ents $L_{22}$ and $L_{11}$ as follow $s$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{22}(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{1}(\mathrm{X}) \quad \mathrm{L}_{22}(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{2}(\mathrm{X}) ; \mathrm{L}_{11}(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{2}(\mathrm{X}) \quad \mathrm{L}_{11}(\mathrm{X}) \sim_{1}(\mathrm{X}) ; \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the renom alized $m$ atrix elem ents $L_{22}$ and $L_{11}$ read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{22}(X)=\sum_{X_{0}}^{Z} d X^{0} \frac{\sim_{1}(X) \sim_{2}\left(X^{0}\right)}{\left.\sim_{1}\left(X^{0}\right)^{2} \sim_{2}\right)} \sim_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) v\left(X^{0}\right)_{2}\left(X^{0}\right) \quad L_{12}(X) ; \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{11}(X)=Z_{x_{0}}^{Z} d X^{0} \frac{\sim_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) \sim_{2}(X)}{\sim_{1}(X) \sim_{2}\left(X^{0}\right)} \sim_{1}\left(X^{0}\right) v\left(X^{0}\right) \sim_{2}\left(X^{0}\right) \quad L_{12}(X): \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow all the integrals entering $L_{11}, L_{22}$, and $L_{12}$ are convergent and nite at any $X$, the correction $m$ atrix $\hat{C}_{0}$ is transform ed into the diagonal and positively de ned $m$ atrix $\hat{C}_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{0}=\mathrm{L}_{11}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{L}_{12}}{ }^{\frac{L_{12}}{0}} \mathrm{~L}_{22}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then-th order corrections in the instanton approach satisfy the inequality

$$
0 \quad{ }_{1}^{(\mathrm{n})} \quad(\mathrm{n}!)^{1}\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{L}_{11} & \left.\mathrm{~L}_{12}\right)^{\sim} \sim_{1} ; 0 & { }_{2}^{(n)} & (\mathrm{n}!)^{1}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{12}\right. & \mathrm{L}_{22} \tag{33}
\end{array}\right)^{\sim} \sim_{2}:
$$

Explicit summ ation of rhs in (3z) gives us the upper and the lower bound lim its for the solutions of the initial Schrodinger equation, i.e. the stripe where increasing and decreasing solutions are con ned

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{j}_{1}(X) j \quad j_{1}(X) j \quad \tilde{\jmath_{1}}(X) j \exp \left(L_{11} \quad L_{12}\right) ; \tilde{j}_{2}(X) j \quad j_{2}(X) j \quad \tilde{\jmath}_{2}(X) j \exp \left(L_{12} \quad L_{22}\right): \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is ourm ain result in this paper, and the stripe ( ${ }^{3} 4_{1}^{1}$ ) gives the accuracy of the sem iclassicalinstanton $m$ ethod. Besides we are in the position now to estim ate the contribution of increasing sem iclassicalsolutions into decreasing ones (w hat is relevant to solve eigenvalue problem s). The sum $m$ ation convergent $m$ a jorant series enables us to estim ate the upper bound for this contribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{L}_{11}}{\mathrm{~L}_{22}}\left(1 \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{~L}_{22}\right)\right): \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore at $L_{12} \quad 1$ the sum $m$ ation of all order perturbation term $s$ enhances the 1 -st order correction by the factor $\mathrm{L}_{12}=\mathrm{L}_{22}$. A nalogously the m ajorant estim ates described above can be used to construct the connection m atrices linking the sem_iclassical solutions through the tuming points. The com parison of the bare connection $m$ atrices (see
 accuracy.

A s it wasmentioned already, for the W K B m ethod the procedure is even more sim ple, since no any divergency and
 w ith (217) for the instanton approach)

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\hat{C}_{0}=i & L_{12} & \mathrm{~L}_{11}  \tag{36}\\
\mathrm{~L}_{22} & \mathrm{~L}_{12}
\end{array} ;
$$

and the estim ations for the $n$-th order contribution (cf. w ith ( $\mathbf{B}_{3} \overline{3}$ ) can be form ulated now as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\boldsymbol{c}}^{(2 n)} j \frac{1}{(2 n)!} 0^{0} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{c}}^{(2 \mathrm{n}+1)} j \frac{1}{(2 \mathrm{n}+1)!}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \hat{\mathrm{C}}_{0} ; \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote $\quad L_{12}^{2} \quad L_{11} L_{22}$. C orrespondingly to $\left(\overline{3}_{3} \bar{T}_{1}\right),\left(\overline{3} \bar{z}_{1}\right)$ the diagonal and 0 -diagonal correction $m$ atrix elem ents are bounded from above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{X}_{11=22} j \quad \cos ^{\mathrm{P}-}+i \operatorname{ir} \frac{\sin _{12}^{\mathrm{p}-}}{\mathrm{P}-} \text {; } \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{12=21} \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{11} \frac{\sin ^{\mathrm{P}-}}{\mathrm{P}-} \text { : } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The whole procedure we em ployed is rationalized in the Fig. 2, where we com pare the solutions to the com parison equation w th the anharm onic oscillator sem iclassical wave functions com puted with in the instanton and W KB approaches and indicate the optim alm atching points $X^{\#}$ found accordingly to the condition $J\left(X^{\#}\right)=0$.

## IV. ANHARMONICOSCILLATOR

 it is not great trium ph to re-derive the known results, our derivation ilhustrates several characteristic features of the correction $m$ atrix techniques derived in the section better accuracy, rapid convergence, simple disposal of divergences, and ease of com putation in particular. The $m$ ain $m$ essage of our consideration in the precedent section 'IIt is that the quantitative accuracy of the sem iclassics depends crucially on the proxim 代y of the sem iclassical wave fiuctions to the solutions of the com parison equation in the region of the asym ptotically sm ooth $m$ atching. Therefore, it is tem pting to im prove the accuracy by taking into account the anharm onic corrections to the com parison potential $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{X})$. H ow ever, since the eigenvalues and the norm alization of the wave functions are alm ost independent of the detailed behavior in the vicinity of the linear tuming points because near these points, situated at the boundaries of the classically accessible region, the probability density (i.e. $j j^{2}$ ) is exponentially $s m a l l$ ) this idea is useless for the W KB approach. In contrast with this, for the instanton $m$ ethod, the accuracy can be im proved considerably upon including the anharm onic corrections into the com parison potential. Indeed, within the instanton $m$ ethod the accuracy is determ ined by the vicinity of the second order tuming points where the wave functions acquire the largest values (and just in this region the $s m$ ooth $m$ atching described above has to be perform ed).

Let us rem ind rst the traditional (but form ulated w ith in the sem iclassical fram ew ork) perturbation theory. K eeping in the transport equation (4) the second derivative of the prefactor $A$, the system of the equations of I am ilton -Jacoby $(\underline{1})$ ) and the exact transport equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} A}{d X^{2}} \quad 2 \quad \frac{d A}{d X} \frac{d}{d X}+\frac{d^{2}}{d X^{2}} \quad A=0 \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

are exactly equivalent to the Schrodinger equation under consideration. For the second order tuming points the anharm onic corrections

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}=\frac{1}{2} \quad x^{3}+x^{4} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be considered as a perturbation and it is convenient to include this perturbation $V_{p}$ into the transport equation (4ㄱㅍㄱ). Then the com parison equation is reduced to the inhom ogeneous $W$ eber equation, and its solutions can be expanded over the $W$ eber functions $D(X)$ ( $2 \mathbb{d}]$ (see also [13], [14]

$$
(X)=N \quad D \quad(X)+\sum_{k}^{X} \mathrm{~b}_{k} D+{ }_{k}(X) \quad ;
$$

where $N^{2}=1+{ }^{P}{ }_{1 \rho} b_{k}^{2}$ is the wave function norm alization factor, the expansion coe cients are proportional to the sm all param eters _ ${ }^{1}-{ }^{k}$, and $=$, and the $W$ eber function index is related to the energy eigenvalue $=\quad+(1=2)$. $T$ his expansion (43니) looks like a conventional perturbation series, but it does not. In the com parison equation we are keeping the both (decreasing and increasing) waves, and as a result of it, the indiges of the $W$ eber functions $+k$ are not integer num bers. In the rst order over the perturbation $V_{p}$ the only non-zero coe cients in (4.43) correspond to the follow ing selection rules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=1 ; \quad 3 \text {; and } \mathrm{k}=0 ; 2 ; \quad 4 \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the cubic and fourth order anharm onic corrections respectively. Explicitely these non-zero expansion coe cients can be found by straitforw ard calculations, and they are

$$
\begin{align*}
& b_{0}=\frac{3}{2} 2^{2}+\frac{1}{2} ; b_{1}=3 \quad 2 ; b_{1}=(+1) ; b_{2} \quad \frac{1}{2}(1) \quad \frac{1}{2} ; b_{2} \quad \overline{2} \quad+\frac{3}{2} ;  \tag{45}\\
& b_{3}=\frac{1}{3}(1)(2)(3) ; b=\frac{1}{3} ; b_{4}=\frac{1}{4} \quad(1)(2)(3)\left(4 d ; b \frac{1}{4}:\right.
\end{align*}
$$

On equal footing we can nd the perturbative corrections to the Bohr-Som merfeld quantization rules, and therefore the eigenvalues. The calculation is straightforw ard, though deserves som e precaution and rather tedious. Skipping a large am ount of tedious algebra we end up with the fractional part of the quantum num ber

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

and up to the second order over the anharm onic perturbation $V_{p}$ we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{n}^{(2)}=\frac{15^{2}}{2} n^{2}+n+\frac{11}{30}+\frac{3}{n^{2}+n+\frac{1}{2}:} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ow ever the described standard perturbative approach leads to qualitatively w rong features of the solutions. For
 of polynom ials. A s it is well known [llll in one dim ension the $n$-th excited state wave function $m$ ust have $n$ zeros (and the num ber of zeros $m$ ay not be changed by any perturbation). H ow ever in the $m$ th order perturbation theory approxim ation, the w ave function (43) corresponding to a certain excited state $n$ contains $H$ em itian polynom ials up to the order $n+3 m$ or $n+4 m$ for the cubic or quartic anharm onic perturbations, respectively. T herefore som efalse zeros of the wave function appears in the standard perturbation theory, and the region where the function oscillates becom es $m$ ore and $m$ ore $w$ ide in the higher order over perturbations approxim ation. The contributions of these qualitatively and quantitatively incorrect higher order term sbecom edom_inating in the asym ptotic region at j $j$ 1,

1. It conform $s$ w th the classical results due to $B$ ender and $W$ u anharm onic potential $\left(=0,>0\right.$ in $\left.\left(\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ the convergency radius is zero. M oreover, for $=0$ and for an arbitrary sm all (111) is the cubic anham onic potential, i.e., the decay one. T hus it should have only com plex eigenvalues, $w$ hat is not the case for the eigenvalues calculated $w$ ithin the perturbation theory. T he $m$ ethod we developed in section 'III enables us not only to estim ate $m$ ore accurate the anharm on ic corrections to the eigenvalues, and to bring the whole schem a of the calculations in a $m$ ore elegant form. O ur nding of the correction $m$ atrices is not $m$ erely to surpass a technical di culty of the standard perturbative $m$ ethod, it is $m$ ore one of principle, and we will show that the $m$ ethod has no draw backs of the perturbation theory.

The proof proceeds as follows. Let us consider rst the instanton $m$ ethod for the anharm onic potential (4) ${ }^{1}$ ') possessing one second order tuming point $X=0$. As it was shown in the section characteristic points which are the roots of the equation (1]) . W e denote the points as $X_{L}^{\#}$, and $X_{R}^{\#}$ (to refer by the selfexplanatory subscripts $L$ and $R$ to the left and to the right from the tuming point $X=0$ ). At the next step using the correction $m$ atrices introduced in the section ! wave functions [15) into the unknow $n$ exact $w$ ave functions as

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\hat{C} \underset{\sim_{R}}{\sim_{L}} \quad ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where as above the subscripts $L$ and $R$ refer to the wave functions in the regions to the left and to the right from the tuming point $X=0$. We do not know the correction $m$ atrix $\hat{C}$ but we do know (see $(2 \hat{1})$ - ( $\left.3 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) the boundary estim ations for the $m$ atrix.

The Eq. (4di) can be used also to correct the known at the second order tuming point the connection $m$ atrix $\hat{M}$ $\left[{ }_{3}^{1}\right],\left[\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Indeed the connection $m$ atrices link the sem iclassical solutions in the $X$ regions to the left and to the right from the tuming points. For the isolated second order tuming point (we are dealing $w$ th in the instanton $m$ ethod), the connection $m$ atrix $\hat{M}$ links the exponentially increasing and decreasing solutions in the space regions separated by the tuming point. The condition ensuring the correct asym ptotic behavior is the quantization rule for this case which can be form ulated as $M_{11}=0\left(M_{i j}\right.$ are the $m$ atrix elem ents of the connection $m$ atrix $\left.\hat{M}\right)$. Since in the regions to the left and to the right from the tuming point our approxim ate solutions ~ coincide by their de nition (15) (15) w ith the sem iclassical ones, the correction $m$ atrix $m$ ethod enables us to correct the quantization rule too. $N$ am ely, the quantization rules are form ulated $w$ thin the connection $m$ atrix technique read now as

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{22}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{~T}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{22}^{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{21}^{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{C}_{21}^{\mathrm{L}} \frac{\sin ^{2}(\quad)}{\mathrm{T}_{2}}+\left(\mathrm{C}_{22}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{C}_{21}^{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{C}_{21}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{C}_{22}^{\mathrm{R}}\right) \cos (\quad)=0 ; \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Stokes constant for the second order tuming point $[\underline{3} 1]$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{2}}{(,)} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $C_{i j}^{R ; L}$ are the correction $m$ atrices at the $X_{R}^{\#}$ or $X_{L}^{\#}$ characteristic points respectively. Expanding the $G$ am $m a$ function entering ( $4 \overline{9}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) around the integer num bers, i.e., as above (4-1), $=n+n$ one can nd from the equation ( $4 \overline{-1}$ ) $)$ the fractional part of the quantum num ber. If we w ere known the correction $m$ atrix $\hat{C}$ the solution of ( $\overline{9} \overline{9}$ ) would provide the exact eigenvalues. But we do know only the estim ations from below and from above for the $\hat{C} \mathrm{~m}$ atrix. In the sam e spirit we can calculate the estim ations for the correction $m$ atrioes (and therefore for the eigenvalues) $w$ ithin the W KB approach.

Luckily it tums out that the $m$ athem aticalnature of the sem iclassicalproblem is on our side here, and, in fact, even the rst order estim ation from below $\hat{C}^{(0)}(2 \overrightarrow{3})$ gives already the accuracy com parable $w$ th the standard perturbation procedure, and the estim ation from above (25) gives the eigenvalues alm ost indistinguishable from the "exact" ones obtained by the num erical diagonalization of the $H$ am iltonian. The sam e true for the wave functions found by the
 $C$ learly the exact num erical results and those obtained by our correction $m$ atrix techniques are correct qualitatively and in the very good quantitative agreem ent (indistinguishable starting from the second order approxim ation) unlike the situation $w$ th the standard perturbation theory. B esides we present in the table the eigenvalues of the anharm on ic potential. W e take the anharm onic coe cients and in (1) wo large, that corresponding perturbations of the eigen values are of the order of the bare harm onic frequency (one in our dim ensionless units $=1: 2 ;=0: 5$ ). In the table the eigenvalues found by the num ericaldiagonalization are presented in the colum n I. T he colum $n$ II contains the harm onic approxim ation results, the colum n III is the second order perturbation theory (4) , and the colum ns IV and $V$ results are obtained by applying our correction $m$ atrix technique: estim ation from below w ith the rst order correction $m$ atrix (23) in the colum $n ~ I V$, and the estim ation from above $w$ ith the $m$ atrix (251) in the colum $n V$.

To conclude, as we have shown how to estim ate the corrections to the $m$ ain technical tool for the sem iclassical approach, the connection $m$ atrioes linking the solutions to the left and to the right from the tuming points. E verything (e.g., the upper and the low er bounds for $n$ ) is detem ined by the $m$ atrioes $L_{12}$ and $L_{22}$. A pproxim ating to ( (121) potential is found from (13) and after that straightforw ard com puting according to (22i) the $m$ atrioes $L_{i j}$ and their renorm alization ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) leads to the corrections w e are looking for, presented in the F ig. 4 , which allow us to estim ate the accuracy of the sem iclassicaleigenstates and eigenfunctions. W e conclude that even for a strongly anharm onic potential the both m ethods ( $W$ K B and instanton) are fairly accurate ones (about 5\%) up to the energy close to the potential
barrier top (in the region ofnegative curvature, we already discussed above). It is w orth noting that in the fram e w ork of the conventional perturbation theory (due to zero convergency radius w ith respect to coe cient in (ilil) pure
 show that to estim ate quantitatively the sem iclassicalaccuracy it is enough to com pare tw o linearly independent (w ith the sam equantum num ber) solutions of the initial potential under study, and ofthe approxim ating piecew ise sm ooth potential. The $m$ ain advantage of the approach is related to the appropriate (13), (16) choige of the approxim ating potential, providing absolutely convergent $m$ ajorant series ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}$ ) for the solutions. A ctually our correction $m$ atrix technique is a fairly universalone and enables to estim ate (and im prove!) the sem iclassicalaccuracy for arbitrary one dim ensional potentials $w$ ith any com bination of the tuming and of the crossing points.
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## Figure C aption

Fig. 1
$T$ he characteristic sem iclassical potentials $V_{s c}$ (dot-dashed lines) and $V_{C}$ (dashed lines) for the bare anharm onic potential (111): $=1: 25,=0: 5(=33$, and the energy $w$ indow corresponds to $n=3)$ :
(a) instanton approach;
(b) W K B m ethod.

Fig. 2
Sem iclassicalwave function $3(\mathrm{n}=3)$ for the anharm onic potential ( $\left.1 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right) \mathrm{w}$ ith $=1: 25,=0: 5(=33)$. Stars indicate the $m$ atching points, dashed lines show the solutions to the com parison equations, and:
(a) - solid line traces the instanton solution;
(b) - solid line show s the W K B wave function.

Fig. 3
C om parison of the exact $j 3 \jmath^{2}$ (solid line) for the anham on ic potential ( $1 \overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ) w ith $=1: 25,=0: 5(=33) \mathrm{w}$ ith tw o low est (zero and rst order) approxim ations of the correction $m$ atrix $m$ ethod (dashed and dot-dashed lines). N ote that the second order approxim ation w ith the relative accuracy $10^{2}$ is indistinguishable from the exact num erical results.

Fig. 4
C orrections to the decreasing solutions in for the anharm on ic potential (1] $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$;
$=0: 5 ; n=3$; $=33$;
$(1,3)$-instanton $m$ ethod, $(2,4)-W \mathrm{~KB},(1,2)$-the rst order corrections $L_{11},(3,4)$-the upper bound estim ation sum $m$ ing up all term $s$. The vertical dashed lines indicate the values of the cubic anharm onic term ( ) where the in ection point and new extrem a of the potential are appeared.

Table
E igenvalues of the anharm on ic potential [ $\overline{1} \overline{1})(=1: 2 ;=0: 5)$.
I the eigenvalues found by the num erical diagonalization;
II the harm on ic oscillator eigenvalues;
III the eigenvalues in the second order perturbation theory ( $4 \overline{7}_{1}$ );
IV the eigenvalues estim ated from below by the correction $m$ atrix (23);
$V$ the estim ation from above $w$ ith the $m$ atrix (25).
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| $\mathrm{n}=$ | I | II | III | IV | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.0149 | 0.0152 | 0.0149 | 0.0149 | 0.0149 |
| 1 | 0.0433 | 0.0455 | 0.0434 | 0.0433 | 0.0433 |
| 2 | 0.0697 | 0.0758 | 0.0701 | 0.0699 | 0.0695 |
| 3 | 0.0942 | 0.1061 | 0.0950 | 0.0946 | 0.0939 |
| 4 | 0.1168 | 0.1364 | 0.1182 | 0.1178 | 0.1162 |
| 5 | 0.1382 | 0.1667 | 0.1395 | 0.1396 | 0.1373 |

