Multipole ordering in f-electron systems

KatsunoriKubo , TakashiHotta

Advanced Science Research Center, Japan Atom ic Energy Research Institute, Tokai, Ibaraki 319-1195, Japan

A bstract

In order to investigate multipole ordering in f-electron systems from a microscopic view point, we study the so-called $_8$ m odels on three kinds of lattices, simple cubic (sc), bcc, and fcc, based on a j-j coupling scheme with f-electron hopping integrals through (ff) bonding. From the $_8$ model, we derive an electron odel for each lattice structure by using the second-order perturbation theory with respect to (ff). By further applying mean-eld theory to the electron odel, we not a $_{3g}$ antiferro-quadrupole transition for the sc lattice, a $_{2u}$ antiferro-octupole transition for the bcc lattice, and a longitudinal triple-q $_{5u}$ octupole transition for the fcc lattice.

K ey words: multipole ordering, j-j coupling scheme, $_{8}$ crystalline electric eld ground state PACS: 75.30 Et; 71.10 Fd; 75.40 C x

In recent decades, various kinds ofm agnetic and orbital ordering have been found in f-electron systems. In particular, it has been recognized that cubic systems with $_8$ crystalline electric eld ground states frequently exhibit higher-order multipole ordering due to their high sym metry. Indeed, octupole ordering has been proposed to reconcile experimental observations for Ce $_{\rm x}$ La1 $_{\rm x}$ B6 [1,2,3,4,5] and NpO $_{\rm 2}$ [6,7,8,9]. To understand the origin of such multipole ordering from a unied view point, it is important to analyze a simple microscopic model with correct f-electron symmetry.

In this paper, we study the so-called $_8$ m odels on three kinds of lattices, sin ple cubic (sc), bcc, and fcc, based on a j-j coupling scheme. For the description of the model, we de ne annihilation operators in the second-quantized form for $_8$ electrons with and orbitals as f_r $_9$ = $\frac{for}{5=6a_r}$ $_{5=2}$ + $\frac{for}{1=6a_r}$ $_{3=2}$, f_r $_{\#}$ = $a_{r-1=2}$, where a_{r-j_z} is the annihilation operator for an electron with the z-component j_z of the total angular momentum j=5/2 at site r.

In the tight-binding approximation, the model Hamiltonian is given by [10]

where is a vector connecting nearest-neighbor sites, t ; 0.0 is the hopping integral of an electron with (0; 0) at site r+ to the (;) state at r through (ff) bonding [11], $n_{\rm r}=f_{\rm r}^{\rm y}$ fr , and $n_{\rm r}=n_{\rm r}$. The coupling constants U , U 0, J , and J 0 denote the intra-orbital, inter-orbital, exchange, and pair-hopping interactions, respectively. Note that the form of t $_{\rm c}$ 0 characterizes the lattice structure.

By using the second-order perturbation theory with respect to (ff) including only the lowest energy $_{5}$ triplet among the intermediate states, we obtain e ective multipole interactions for each lattice structure. The detail of the elective models will be reported elsewhere, and here we report the ordered state obtained in the mean—eld theory.

For sc lattice, a 3g antiferro quadrupole (AFQ) transition occurs at a nite tem perature, and as lowering tem perature further, we not another transition to

Corresponding author.




Fig. 1. O rdered states in the sc lattice under a magnetic $\,$ eld along [001]. (a) The $_{3g}$ AFQ state. (b) The FM state with the $_{3g}$ AFQ m om ent.




Fig. 2.0 rdered states in the bcc lattice under a magnetic eld along [001]. (a) The $_{2\rm u}$ AFO state. (b) The FM state with the $_{2\rm u}$ AFO moment.

a ferrom agnetic (FM) state. Figures 1 (a) and (b) show schem atic views for the ordered states. In these gures, we depict the surface de ned by r= j (;;)j in the polar coordinates, when the 5f wave-function is decomposed into radial and angular parts as (r; ; ;)=R(r)(; ;), wheredenotes real spin. Note that white-shift in the surface indicates the increase of the weight of up-spin state. Figure 1(a) shows the AFQ state, in which the spin distribution is isotropic and the weights of up- and down-spin states are the same. In the FM state state as shown in Fig. 1 (b), the charge distribution is the same as in Fig. 1(a), while the weight of up-spin state is larger than that of down-spin. Note that the spin distribution is not isotropic due to the spin-orbit interaction.

For the bcc lattice, a $_{2u}$ antiferro octupole (AFO) ordering occurs rst, and then, a FM phase transition follows it. Figure 2 (a) shows the $_{2u}$ AFO state. Since this state does not accompany any other moment, the charge distribution retains cubic symmetry and the weights of up—and down—spin states are the same in to—tal. However, the spin distribution is anisotropic, and this state has nite octupole moment. In the FM state as shown in Fig. 2 (b), the weight of up—spin state increases, and charge distribution is also changed since this state has the $_{5g}$ quadrupole moment.

For the fcc lattice, we have analyzed the e ective model in a previous paper [12], in which we have evaluated multipole correlation functions by applying numerical diagonalization to the elective model on a small cluster. Then, we have determined that relevant




Fig. 3. The triple-q $_{5u}$ octupole state in the fcc lattice.

interactions to the ground state are those between $_{4\mathrm{u}}$ and $_{5\mathrm{u}}$ m om ents. We have further applied mean—eld theory to the simplified model including only these relevant interactions, and reached the conclusion that the ground state is the longitudinal triple-q $_{5\mathrm{u}}$ octupole state, which has been proposed for NpO $_2$ phenom enologically [8,9]. Figure 3 shows the $_{5\mathrm{u}}$ octupole state. This state accompany triple-q $_{5\mathrm{g}}$ quadrupole moment. Note that this state does not have frustration even in the fcc lattice.

In sum m ary, we have derived the multipole interaction model from the microscopic $_{8}$ H am iltonian. By analyzing thee ective model, we nda $_{3g}$ AFQ state for the sc lattice, a $_{2u}$ AFO state for the bcc lattice, and the longitudinal triple-q $_{5u}$ octupole state for the fcc lattice.

We thank S.Kambe, N.Metoki, H.Onishi, Y.Tokunaga, K.Ueda, R.E.Walstedt, and H.Yasuoka for discussions. K.K. is supported by the REIMEIR esearch Resources of Japan Atomic Energy Research Institute. T.H. is supported from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science and from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan

References

- Y.Kuram oto, H.Kusunose, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 69 (2000) 671.
- [2] H.Kusunose, Y.Kuram oto, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 1751.
- [3] T. Sakakibara, et al., J. Phys. Chem. Solids 63 (2002) 1147; T. Sakakibara, et al., Physica B 312-313 (2002) 194.
- [4] K.Kubo, Y.Kuram oto, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72 (2003) 1859.
- [5] K.Kubo, Y.Kuram oto, J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 73 (2004) 216.
- [6] P. Santini, G. Am oretti, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 2188.
- [7] P. Santini, G. Am oretti, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71 (Suppl.) (2002) 11.
- [8] J.A.Paixao, et al., Phys.Rev.Lett.89 (2002) 187202.
- [9] R. Cacin o, et al., J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 15 (2003) S2287.
- [10] T. Hotta, K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 104518.

- [11] K .Takegahara, et al., J.Phys.C:Solid St.Phys.13 (1980)
 583.
- [12] K. Kubo, T. Hotta, Phys. Rev. B 71 (2005) 140404(R).