

# Adiabatic pumping through interacting quantum dots

Janine Splettstoesser<sup>1,2</sup>, Michele Governale<sup>1,2</sup>, Jürgen König<sup>2</sup>, and Rosario Fazio<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>NEST-INFM & Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56126 Pisa, Italy

<sup>2</sup>Institut für Theoretische Physik III, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

(Dated: May 1, 2019)

We present a general formalism to study adiabatic pumping through interacting quantum dots. We derive a formula that relates the pumped charge to the local, instantaneous Green's function of the dot. This formula is then applied to the infinite- $U$  Anderson model both for weak and strong tunnel-coupling strengths.

PACS numbers: 73.23.-b, 72.10.Bg

*Introduction.* The idea of producing a DC current at zero bias voltage by changing some parameters of a conductor periodically in time dates back to the work of Thouless [1]. This method of exploiting the explicit time dependence of the Hamiltonian of the system is known as *pumping*. If the parameters change slowly as compared to all internal time scales of the system, pumping is *adiabatic*, and the average transmitted charge does not depend on the detailed time dependence of the parameters. For non-interacting mesoscopic systems, Brouwer [2], using the concept of emissivity proposed by Büttiker *et al.* [3], related the charge pumped in a period to the derivatives of the instantaneous scattering matrix of the conductor with respect to the time-varying parameters. In case of noninteracting electrons, a general framework for the computation of the pumped charge has been developed [2, 4]. Pumping through open quantum dots has also been investigated experimentally [5].

The situation is profoundly different for pumping through interacting systems. In fact, there are only few works that address this problem [6, 7, 8] with methods suited to tackle specific systems or regimes. As far as pumping through interacting quantum dots is concerned, the work by Aono [7] exploits the zero-temperature mapping of the Kondo problem [9] to a noninteracting system and uses the noninteracting formalism. On the other hand, Cota *et al.* [8] study adiabatic pumping in a double-dot system in the sequential tunneling limit.

The aim of this Letter is to derive a formula for the charge pumped through an interacting quantum dot, which is valid from the high-temperature limit where sequential tunneling dominates down to low temperatures where Kondo correlations are relevant.

*Model and formalism.* We consider a single-level quantum dot coupled to two noninteracting leads. The system is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\text{leads}} + H_{\text{dot}} + H_{\text{tun}}, \quad (1)$$

with  $H_{\text{leads}} = \sum_{k,\sigma,\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha}(k) c_{\sigma k \alpha}^{\dagger} c_{\sigma k \alpha}$ , where  $c_{\sigma k \alpha}$  ( $c_{\sigma k \alpha}^{\dagger}$ ) is the fermionic annihilation (creation) operator for an electron with spin  $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$  and momentum  $k$  in lead  $\alpha = L, R$ . The leads are assumed to be in thermal equilibrium

with the same chemical potential and to have flat bands with constant density of states  $\rho_{\alpha}$ .

The quantum dot is described by  $H_{\text{dot}} = [\epsilon + \Delta\epsilon(t)] \sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$  with  $n_{\sigma} = d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma}$ , where  $d_{\sigma}$  ( $d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}$ ) is the fermionic annihilation (creation) operator for a dot electron with spin  $\sigma$ . The level position of the dot contains a time-independent part  $\epsilon$  and a time-dependent part,  $\Delta\epsilon(t)$ . Coulomb interaction in the dot is described by the on-site energy  $U$ . Tunneling is modeled by  $H_{\text{tun}} = \sum_{k,\sigma,\alpha} [V_{\alpha}(t) c_{\sigma k \alpha}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + \text{H.c.}]$  with time-dependent tunnel matrix elements  $V_{\alpha}(t)$ . We only allow for the modulus, but not the phase, of  $V_{\alpha}(t)$  to vary in time, since a time-dependent phase would correspond to a bias voltage.

By periodically changing (at least two of) the three quantities  $V_L(t)$ ,  $V_R(t)$ , and  $\Delta\epsilon(t)$ , a finite charge can be pumped through the quantum dot. The charge  $Q$  that is pumped after one cycle  $\mathcal{T}$  is connected to the time-dependent current  $J_L(t)$  flowing through the left barrier via the relation  $Q = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} J_L(\tau) d\tau$ . The starting point for our analysis is the exact relation that expresses the current in terms of the dot Green's function [10]

$$J_L(t) = -\frac{2e}{\hbar} \sum_{\sigma} \text{Im} \left[ \frac{\Gamma_L(t, t)}{2} G_{\sigma\sigma}^<(t, t) + \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} f(\omega) \int dt' e^{-i\omega(t'-t)/\hbar} \Gamma_L(t', t) G_{\sigma\sigma}^r(t, t') \right], \quad (2)$$

with  $\Gamma_L(t_1, t) = 2\pi\rho_L V_L(t) V_L^*(t_1)$ , and  $f(\omega)$  is the Fermi function. The lesser, retarded, and advanced Green's function are defined as usual,  $G_{\sigma\sigma}^<(t, t') = i\langle d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(t') d_{\sigma}(t) \rangle$ ,  $G_{\sigma\sigma}^r(t, t') = -i\theta(t-t') \langle \{d_{\sigma}(t), d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(t')\} \rangle$ , and  $G_{\sigma\sigma}^a(t, t') = [G_{\sigma\sigma}^r(t', t)]^*$ . The Green's functions are diagonal in spin space since tunneling is spin conserving. Furthermore, spin degeneracy yields  $G_{\uparrow\uparrow}(t, t') = G_{\downarrow\downarrow}(t, t') \equiv G(t, t')$ . We remark that the Green's functions  $G(t, t')$  are defined with a Hamiltonian that explicitly depends on time. They are determined by the Dyson equation

$$\check{G}(t, t') = \check{g}(t, t') + \int dt_1 dt_2 \check{G}(t, t_1) \check{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2) \check{g}(t_2, t'), \quad (3)$$

in matrix notation  $\check{A} = \begin{pmatrix} A^r & A^< \\ 0 & A^a \end{pmatrix}$  for the bare,  $\check{g}$ , and full Green's function  $\check{G}$ , and the self-energy  $\check{\Sigma}$ . The lat-

ter takes into account the tunnel coupling  $\Gamma_\alpha(t)$  to the leads, the Coulomb interaction  $U$  in the dot, and the time-dependent part  $\Delta\epsilon(t)$  of the level position. Note that  $\check{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2) = \check{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2, \{H(\tau)\}_{\tau \in [t_1, t_2]})$  is a functional of the time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(\tau)$  on the interval  $[t_1, t_2]$ .

We are interested in the behavior of the self-energy and, thus, the Green's function for a slowly varying Hamiltonian  $H(\tau)$ . This means that the time scale over which the system parameters are varying is large compared to the lifetime of the system. To construct the adiabatic expansion of the self-energy we first linearize the time dependence of the Hamiltonian,  $H(\tau) \rightarrow H(t_0) + (\tau - t_0)\dot{H}(t_0)$ , with respect to some fixed time  $t_0$ , and expand the self-energy up to linear order in the time derivative, where the time ordering in  $H(t_0)$  is still done with respect to time  $\tau$ . The relation  $\int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \tau \dot{H}(t_0) d\tau = (\tau_1 + \tau_2)/2 \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau_2} \dot{H}(t_0) d\tau$ , valid for each segment of time evolution between two vertices at times  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  in the self-energy, motivates a global replacement of the time variable  $\tau$  with the average time  $(t_1 + t_2)/2$  in the self-energy. This replacement defines an approximation, which we refer to as the *average-time approximation* [11]. As a result, the dependence of the self-energy on the *function*  $H(\tau)$  over the interval  $[t_1, t_2]$  is replaced by the dependence on the three times  $t_0$ ,  $t_1$ , and  $t_2$  only, and we arrive at the adiabatic expansion  $\check{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2, \{H(\tau)\}_{\tau \in [t_1, t_2]}) \rightarrow \check{\Sigma}_0(t_1, t_2, t_0) + \check{\Sigma}_1(t_1, t_2, t_0)$  with

$$\check{\Sigma}_0(t_1, t_2, t_0) = \check{\Sigma}(t_1, t_2, \{H(t_0)\}), \quad (4)$$

$$\check{\Sigma}_1(t_1, t_2, t_0) = \left( \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2} - t_0 \right) \frac{\partial \check{\Sigma}_0(t_1, t_2, t_0)}{\partial t_0}. \quad (5)$$

The lowest term in the adiabatic expansion corresponds to replacing the time-dependent Hamiltonian  $H(\tau)$  with the constant value  $H(t_0)$ . Then,  $\check{\Sigma}_0(t_1, t_2, t_0)$  depends on  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  only via the difference  $t_1 - t_2$ , and we can introduce the Fourier transform  $\check{\Sigma}_0(\omega, t_0) = \int d(t_1 - t_2) \exp[i\omega(t_1 - t_2)/\hbar] \check{\Sigma}_0(t_1, t_2, t_0)$ .

The adiabatic expansion  $\check{G}(t, t') \rightarrow \check{G}_0(t, t', t_0) + \check{G}_1(t, t', t_0)$  for the Green's function follows from that for the self-energy via the Dyson equation Eq. (3). Again, we can introduce Fourier transforms  $\check{G}_{0/1}(\omega, t_0) = \int d(t - t') \exp[i\omega(t - t')/\hbar] \check{G}_{0/1}(t, t', t_0)$ . Since our goal is an adiabatic expansion of the current at time  $t$  as given in Eq. (2), we choose from now on  $t_0 = t$ . This results in

$$\check{G}_0(\omega, t) = \left[ (\check{g}(\omega))^{-1} - \check{\Sigma}_0(\omega, t) \right]^{-1}, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \check{G}_1(\omega, t) &= i\hbar \frac{\partial \check{G}_0(\omega, t)}{\partial \omega} \frac{\partial \check{\Sigma}_0(\omega, t)}{\partial t} \check{G}_0(\omega, t) \\ &+ \frac{i\hbar}{2} \check{G}_0(\omega, t) \frac{\partial^2 \check{\Sigma}_0(\omega, t)}{\partial \omega \partial t} \check{G}_0(\omega, t). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

We specify these matrix equations for the retarded and lesser part and make use of the equilibrium relations  $\Sigma_0^<(\omega, t) = -2if(\omega)\text{Im}\Sigma_0^r(\omega, t)$  and

$G_0^<(\omega, t) = -2if(\omega)\text{Im}G_0^r(\omega, t)$ , where  $G_0^r(\omega, t) = [\omega - \epsilon - \Sigma_0^r(\omega, t)]^{-1}$ . Furthermore, the adiabatic expansion for  $\Gamma_L(t', t)$  can be constructed as  $\Gamma_L(t', t) \rightarrow \Gamma_L(t) - \frac{t-t'}{2} \dot{\Gamma}_L(t)$  with  $\Gamma_L(t) \equiv \Gamma_L(t, t)$ . Plugging everything into Eq. (2) we find that the zeroth-order term of the adiabatic expansion for the current vanishes (as it should since it is equivalent to time-independent problem at equilibrium). The first-order correction is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J_L(t) &= -\frac{e}{\pi} \int d\omega \left( -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} \right) \text{Re} \left[ \frac{d}{dt} [\Gamma_L(t) G_0^r(\omega, t)] \right. \\ &\quad \left. (G_0^r(\omega, t))^{-1} G_0^a(\omega, t) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

A factor 2 accounts for the spin degeneracy. Equation (8) is the central result of this Letter [12]. It generalizes Brouwer's formula [2] to interacting quantum dots. We emphasize that this result relies on the average-time approximation for the self-energy. The latter is exact whenever the self-energy contains two vertices (either tunneling or interaction) only. This is the case for  $U = 0$  but also for  $U \rightarrow \infty$  as long as the self-energy is calculated up to linear order in the tunneling coupling  $\Gamma$ , as well as for arbitrary interaction at zero temperature, where the interacting problem can be mapped to a non-interacting one. We now specialize Eq. (8) to the case of weak pumping due to time-dependent tunneling barriers,  $\Gamma_\alpha(t) = \bar{\Gamma}_\alpha + \Delta\Gamma_\alpha(t)$ , where  $|\Delta\Gamma_\alpha(t)| \ll \bar{\Gamma}_\alpha$  at any time  $t$ . To the lowest order in  $\Delta\Gamma_\alpha(t)$  the charge  $Q = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} J_L(\tau) d\tau$  in one period  $\mathcal{T}$  is

$$Q = -\frac{e\eta\bar{\Gamma}}{\pi\bar{\Gamma}_L\bar{\Gamma}_R} \int d\omega \left( -\frac{\partial f}{\partial \omega} \right) \frac{\partial \bar{\delta}(\omega)}{\partial \bar{\Gamma}} \bar{T}(\omega), \quad (9)$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma} = \bar{\Gamma}_L + \bar{\Gamma}_R$ , and  $\eta = \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \Delta\dot{\Gamma}_L(t) \Delta\Gamma_R(t) dt$ . The symbol  $\bar{\delta}(\omega)$  denotes the phase of the Green's function  $\check{G}_0^r(\omega) = |\check{G}_0^r(\omega)| \exp[i\bar{\delta}(\omega)]$  computed with  $\Gamma_\alpha(t)$  replaced by  $\bar{\Gamma}_\alpha$ , and  $\bar{T}(\omega) = 2\bar{\Gamma}_L\bar{\Gamma}_R/\bar{\Gamma} \cdot \text{Im}[\check{G}_0^r(\omega)]$  can be interpreted as the transmission probability through an interacting quantum dot [13].

*Examples.* We now consider only weak pumping with the barriers, and we restrict ourselves to the case  $\bar{\Gamma}_L = \bar{\Gamma}_R = \bar{\Gamma}/2$ . We start by studying the noninteracting single-level quantum dot, using Eq. (9), with  $\check{G}_0^r(\omega) = (\omega - \epsilon + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\Gamma})^{-1}$ . From inspection of Eq. (9) it is clear that there is no pumping in the noninteracting case if the level is resonant ( $\epsilon = 0$ ). In the high-temperature limit  $\beta\bar{\Gamma} \ll 1$  (with  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ), the pumped charge reads  $Q = -\frac{e\eta}{2} f''(\epsilon)$ .

We now turn our attention to weak pumping with the barriers in the limit of large electron-electron interaction,  $U \rightarrow \infty$ . For temperatures larger than the Kondo temperature (defined below), we approximate the instantaneous Green's function of the dot within the equation-of-motion method [14]. Replacing  $\Gamma_\alpha(t)$  by  $\bar{\Gamma}_\alpha$  one finds  $\check{G}_0^r(\omega) = (1 - \langle n \rangle) \left( \omega - \epsilon - \frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{2} A(\omega) + i\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{2} [1 + f(\omega)] \right)^{-1}$ ,




FIG. 1: Pumped charge in units of  $e\eta/\bar{\Gamma}^2$  as a function of the level position in units of  $\bar{\Gamma}$  for  $U \rightarrow \infty$  (solid line) and  $U = 0$  (dashed line). The temperature is  $k_B T = 5\bar{\Gamma}$ , and  $E_c = 20\bar{\Gamma}$ .

where  $A(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left\{ \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta E_c}{2\pi} \right) - \text{Re} \left[ \psi \left( \frac{1}{2} + i \frac{\beta \omega}{2\pi} \right) \right] \right\}$ , and  $\langle \bar{n} \rangle = - \int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \text{Im} \{ \bar{G}_0^r(\omega) \} f(\omega)$  is the occupation of the level per spin,  $\psi$  the Digamma function, and  $E_c$  a high-energy cutoff. For the high-temperature limit,  $\beta \bar{\Gamma} \ll 1$ , we obtain the analytical expression

$$Q = -\frac{e\eta}{2} \left[ f''(\epsilon) + \frac{f'(\epsilon)}{1+f(\epsilon)} \left( f'(\epsilon) + \frac{2A(\epsilon)/\bar{\Gamma}}{1+f(\epsilon)} \right) \right]. \quad (10)$$

Figure 1 shows the pumped charge as a function of the level position in the high-temperature limit. The enhancement of the pumped charge as compared to the noninteracting case, is mainly due to the fact that, in the presence of interactions, the bare level is renormalized by an amount which depends on  $\Gamma(t)$ , and hence the level position becomes time dependent. The oscillation of the level increases the pump effect [third term in Eq. (10)] [15]. Also the fact that the level width is energy dependent,  $\frac{\bar{\Gamma}}{2}[1+f(\omega)]$ , has some small effect on the pumped charge [second term in Eq. (10)]. We note that for  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , the third term in Eq. (10) goes as  $\beta^2 \bar{\Gamma} E_c$ , while the other two terms go as  $(\beta \bar{\Gamma})^2$  [16]. The shape of the curves in Fig. 1 are easily understood from the dependence of  $\partial \bar{\delta} / \partial \bar{\Gamma}$  around  $|\omega| \lesssim k_B T$  on the bare level position  $\epsilon$ . In the noninteracting case, the scale on which the phase  $\bar{\delta}$  varies around the level position  $\epsilon$  increases linearly with  $\bar{\Gamma}$ ; i.e.,  $\partial \bar{\delta} / \partial \bar{\Gamma}$  changes sign when tuning the level position through the Fermi energy. In presence of interaction, though, the dominant mechanism is the variation of the level renormalization, which shifts  $\bar{\delta}(\omega)$  along the  $\omega$  axis, with no sign change in  $\partial \bar{\delta} / \partial \bar{\Gamma}$ .

The equation-of-motion method gives qualitative, reliable results down to the Kondo temperature, given by  $k_B T \approx k_B T_K = \sqrt{E_c \bar{\Gamma}} / 2 \exp(-\pi|\epsilon|/\bar{\Gamma})$ . In Fig. 2, we show the temperature dependence of the pumped charge for the interacting quantum dot, obtained by numerical integration of Eq. (9) and for comparison, the noninteracting result. At very high temperatures the pumped




FIG. 2: Pumped charge in units of  $e\eta/\bar{\Gamma}^2$  as a function of temperature (in units of the level position), for  $U \rightarrow \infty$  (solid line) and for  $U = 0$  (dashed line). The level position is fixed at  $\epsilon = -2.5\bar{\Gamma}$ . In both cases a maximum appears at almost the same temperature. The cutoff energy is  $E_c = 20\bar{\Gamma}$ . Inset: Pumped charge as a function of temperature (in units of  $T_K$ ) for  $U \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $0 < T < 0.5T_K$ ,  $Q$  is obtained by means of the mean-field slave-boson method, performing a Sommerfeld expansion. For  $T > 1.5T_K$  it is computed numerically using the equation-of-motion Green's function.

charge tends to zero. Decreasing the temperature the charge exhibits a maximum both in the interacting and noninteracting case. It occurs when the level position and the temperature are of the same order. Its position is determined by the spectral weight of the integrand function in Eq. (9) which falls in the energy window set by temperature through the derivative of the Fermi function. Approaching the Kondo temperature, the pumped charge in the interacting system increases rapidly, indicating Kondo correlations.

To address the limit  $T \ll T_K$  we resort to the slave-boson method [17] in the mean-field approximation in the boson field. The instantaneous dot Green's function can be written as  $G_0^r(\omega, t) = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{pf}}(t)}{\Gamma(t)} G_{\text{pf}}^r(\omega, t)$ , where the pseudofermion Green's function is  $G_{\text{pf}}^r(\omega, t) = (\omega - \epsilon_{\text{pf}}(t) + i\Gamma_{\text{pf}}(t)/2)^{-1}$ . We are interested in  $\bar{G}_{\text{pf}}^r(\omega)$ , where  $\Gamma_\alpha(t)$  is replaced by  $\bar{\Gamma}_\alpha$ . The renormalized level position  $\bar{\epsilon}_{\text{pf}}$  and the renormalized rate  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pf}}$  have to be found as the solutions of the non-linear system of equations:

$$2\bar{\Gamma} \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \text{Re} \{ \bar{G}_{\text{pf}}^r(\omega) \} f(\omega) + \bar{\epsilon}_{\text{pf}} - \epsilon = 0, \quad (11a)$$

$$-4 \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \text{Im} \{ \bar{G}_{\text{pf}}^r(\omega) \} f(\omega) = 1 - \frac{\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pf}}}{\bar{\Gamma}}. \quad (11b)$$

At zero temperature the pumped charge can be expressed by means of Friedel's sum rule [18] and Eq. (9), as

$$Q = -\frac{4e\eta}{\bar{\Gamma}} \frac{\partial \langle \bar{n} \rangle}{\partial \bar{\Gamma}} \sin^2(\pi \langle \bar{n} \rangle), \quad (12)$$

which relates the pumped charge to the average occupation per spin  $\langle \bar{n} \rangle$  only. The full knowledge of the latter,




FIG. 3: Pumped charge in units of  $e\eta/\bar{\Gamma}^2$  as a function of the level position in units of  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , for  $U \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $T = 0$  and  $E_c = 20\bar{\Gamma}$ , computed by means of the mean-field slave-boson method.

e.g. from numerical renormalization group, would establish an exact solution of the problem. Within the mean-field slave-boson approach we get  $\langle \bar{n} \rangle = 1/2(1 - \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pf}}/\bar{\Gamma})$  [19], and  $\bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pf}}$  is computed from Eqs. (11). In the unitary limit ( $-\epsilon \gg \bar{\Gamma}_{\text{pf}}$  and  $T \ll T_K$ , such that  $\langle \bar{n} \rangle \rightarrow 1/2$ ) the level is renormalized to resonance  $\bar{\epsilon}_{\text{pf}} \rightarrow 0$ , and the pumped charge is zero. This result is consistent with the fact that in the unitary limit the problem maps to the noninteracting dot with the level shifted to resonance, and that for the free-electron case there is no pumped charge when the level is at the Fermi energy. On the other hand, in experimentally relevant situations the renormalized level is not exactly at the Fermi energy and non-negligible charge pumping occurs.

In Fig. 3 we show the charge pumped at zero temperature obtained solving numerically Eqs. (11). As expected the charge tends to zero when the level is deep enough below the Fermi energy. The behavior of the pumped charge around  $T \ll T_K$  can be obtained by performing a Sommerfeld expansion in Eq. (9), and in Eqs. (11). The pumped charge goes as  $T^2$ . Comparing the temperature behavior of the charge for  $T \ll T_K$  with the one for  $T$  just above  $T_K$  [see inset of Fig. 2], we expect a maximum at around  $T_K$ . Roughly speaking, this extremum is analogous to the one that occurs at high temperatures.

*Acknowledgments.* We acknowledge useful discussions with E. Mucciolo, Y. Oreg, E. Sela, and F. Taddei, and support from DFG via SFB491 and GRK726 (J.K.) and from EC through grants EC-RTN Nano, EC-RTN Spintronics and EC-IST-SQUIBIT2 (M.G., J.S., and R.F.).

---

[1] D. J. Thouless, Phys. Rev. B **27**, 6083 (1983).  
 [2] P. W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B **58**, R10135 (1998).  
 [3] M. Büttiker, H. Thomas, and A. Prêtre, Z. Phys. B **94**, 133 (1994).

[4] F. Zhou, B. Spivak, and B. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 608 (1999); Yu. Makhlin and A. D. Mirlin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87**, 276803 (2001); O. Entin-Wohlman, A. Aharony, and Y. Levinson, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 195411 (2002); M. Moskalets and M. Büttiker, Phys. Rev. B **66**, 035306 (2002); **66** 205320 (2002).  
 [5] M. Switkes, C.M. Marcus, K. Campman, and A.C. Gosard, Science **283**, 1905 (1999); S.K. Watson, R.M. Potok, C.M. Marcus, and V. Umansky, Phys. Rev. Lett **91**, 258301 (2003).  
 [6] H. Pothier, P. Lafarge, C. Urbina, D. Esteve, and M.H. Devoret, Europhys. Lett. **17**, 249 (1992); I. L. Aleiner and A. V. Andreev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1286 (1998); R. Citro, N. Andrei, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. B **68**, 165312 (2003); P. W. Brouwer, A. Lamacraft, and K. Flensberg, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 075316 (2005).  
 [7] T. Aono, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 116601 (2004).  
 [8] E. Cota, R. Aguado, and G. Platero, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 107202 (2005).  
 [9] L. I. Glazman and M. E. Raikh, JETP Lett. **47**, 452 (1988); T. K. Ng and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 1768 (1988).  
 [10] A. P. Jauho, N. S. Wingreen, and Y. Meir, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 5528 (1994).  
 [11] The average-time approximation amounts in neglecting corrections to the self-energy due to the perturbation  $[\tau - (t_1 + t_2)/2]\dot{H}(t_0)$ . By retaining them one gets a correction to  $\tilde{G}_1(\omega, t)$ , Eq.(7), which can be written as  $\hbar\tilde{G}_0(\omega, t)\tilde{\Sigma}_1^{\text{corr}}(\omega, t)\tilde{G}_0(\omega, t)$ . The subsequent correction to the current formula Eq. (8) depends on the vertex function [E. Sela and Y. Oreg, cond-mat/0509467 (2005)]. By comparing with a diagrammatic approach at high temperature [J. Splettstoesser *et al*, in preparation] and relying on the mapping to noninteracting fermions at zero temperature, we can conclude that the neglected term leads to, at most, quantitative corrections.  
 [12] For the case that the dot is replaced by an arbitrary interacting region with many states, Eq. (8) is easily generalized by replacing all Green's functions and  $\Gamma(t)$  with matrices, performing the trace, and dividing a factor of 2 if the spin index is accounted for in the matrix structure.  
 [13] Y. Meir and N. S. Wingreen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 2512 (1992); J. König, H. Schoeller, and G. Schön, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1715 (1996); J. König, J. Schmid, H. Schoeller, and G. Schön, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 16820 (1996).  
 [14] Y. Meir, N. S. Wingreen, and P. A. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 3048 (1991).  
 [15] It is known that for pumping through a noninteracting dot with one of the barriers and the level position, at high temperature, the pumped charge scales as  $\beta\bar{\Gamma}$ , in contrast to  $(\beta\bar{\Gamma})^2$  for pumping with the two barriers.  
 [16] A time-dependent level renormalization also occurs in the absence of interaction but with a non-constant density of state in the leads. The extra contribution to the pumped charge, however, behaves as  $\beta\bar{\Gamma}$  for  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ , and can, therefore, be distinguished from the interacting behavior.  
 [17] P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. B **29**, 3035 (1984).  
 [18] D. C. Langreth, Phys. Rev. **150**, 516 (1966).  
 [19] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge England, 1993).