

Two early-stage inverse power-law dynamics in nonlinear complex systems far-from-equilibrium

Somnath Bhattacharya* and Asok K. Sen†

TCMP Division, Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, 1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700 064, India

We consider the dynamics of the charge carriers in a *tunneling-enhanced percolation* network, named as a Random Resistor cum Tunneling-bond Network (RRTN), where we allow tunneling in the gap between two randomly thrown nearest neighbour metallic bonds only. Our earlier studies involved the dc and ac *nonlinear response*, the percolative aspects, dielectric breakdown, low-temperature variable range hopping (VRH) conduction, etc. in the RRTN. Here we study the non-equilibrium dynamics of the carriers. With two far-from-equilibrium, initial inverse power-law relaxations extending over several decades, the dynamics has a lot of similarities with a wide variety of naturally occurring avalanche-like, run-away phenomena in *driven*, disordered systems with *statistically correlated* randomness. In the power-law regime, the RRTN violates the Boltzmann's (or, Debye) relaxation time approximation strongly. Beyond this regime, the response relaxes exponentially fast (acquires one time-scale) to a steady-state, and thus the relaxation approximation becomes exact.

PACS numbers: 71.55.Jv, 89.75.-k, 89.75.Hc

I. INTRODUCTION

Slow relaxation phenomena in random composite materials made of components having widely different generalized susceptibilities (*e.g.*, permeability, dielectric constant, electrical/ thermal conductivity, viscosity, elastic moduli etc.), continue to remain intriguing and hence a topic of intense research. The susceptibility, being a measure of the *response* of a system to an appropriate external perturbation or a *driving* force, is typically assumed to be *linear* under a vanishingly small external force. But, many natural systems/ phenomena do not show any measurable response until an appropriate driving force exceeds a measurable *threshold*. For example, a rigid body on a rough surface does not move until the driving force exceeds a finite frictional force. More intriguingly, it is almost a law of nature that in such *driven*, *macroscopic* systems, the response characteristic is *nonlinear* [1] with a concomitant critical behaviour at the threshold. Besides the case of frictional motion, nonlinear response above a threshold is also found in pinned charge-density-wave systems, disordered granular superconductors [2], etc.

In studying the dynamical behaviour of a material, one usually measures its appropriate response property, say, $\phi(t)$, as a function of time t , from a non-equilibrium to an equilibrium (for a closed system) or to a steady (for an open system) state. In general, this relaxation is classified into two groups: (i) a purely Debye type with an exponential relaxation function, $\phi(t) = \exp(-t/\tau)$, τ being a characteristic time-scale, called the *relaxation time*; or (ii) a non-Debye type where $\phi(t)$ is either a linear superposition of exponential functions, or a sub-exponential function (as in some glassy systems), with *multiple* relaxation times. In more intriguing cases, this non-Debye relaxation may even be a power-law or a logarithmic function, without any time-scale, or one with $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. The appearance of such a *scale-free*, *slow* dynamics with one or more power-laws from the early stages of evolution, is what concerns us here because of the fundamental issue of the failure of Boltzmann's *relaxation time approximation* [3] in driven systems far-from-equilibrium.

II. EXPERIMENTS AND SOME RELATED MODELS

In a lucidly written review Scher, Shlesinger and Bendler [4] focus on experimental observations (1970's onwards) and the origin of two power-law kinetics. For a few examples, we cite some transient photocurrent measurements [5] on *a-Si:H*, *a-As₂Se₃* etc. and a couple of hole transport [6] data on PVK and Si-MOS devices, where two consecutive power-law decays, of the forms $t^{-\alpha}$ and $t^{-\beta}$ ($\alpha, \beta > 0$), covering one or more decades in time each (with a crossover in-between) were observed. Based on the *continuous time random walk* with a long-tailed power-law probability density

† Corresponding author. Electronic address: asokk.sen@saha.ac.in

*Electronic address: somnath.bhattacharya@saha.ac.in




Figure 1: (a) A typical configuration of random metallic o-bonds in a $L = 20$, $p = 0.3$ RRN sample on a square lattice. The insulating bonds are kept blank. This RRN configuration, being below its threshold of $p_c = 0.5$, is not percolating (an *insulating* RRN); (b) In the ensuing *maximal* (with fully statistically correlated tunneling t-bonds) RRTN configuration, the t-bonds (dashed lines) are placed between any two nearest neighbour o-bonds. They get activated when the potential differences across them exceed a microscopic voltage threshold, v_g . With all the allowed t-bonds active, this maximal RRTN percolates (is a '*metallic*' RRTN).

function for the *random waiting times* (release time of trapped carriers by tunneling), Scher *et al.* [4] formulated a theory regarding the above results. The latter long-tailed power-law function violates the *Central Limit Theorem*, since all of its moments including the first (mean waiting-time) diverge. The unifying feature of the above random walk is the *scale-invariance* of the shape of the relaxation current $I(t)$, if one normalizes the time by a *transit time* t_r , which is a sample dependent parameter. This stochastic theory by Scher *et al.* [4] explains the results of many early experiments, following the relation $\alpha + \beta = 2$.

But, there is a huge variety of relatively recent, more intriguing experiments on *soft-condensed* or *complex* systems where the couple of exponents α and β do not seem to follow any simple algebraic relation. Weron and Jurlewicz note that an experiment on dielectric relaxation in a system of dipoles [7] involves the crossover between different forms of self-similarity. They argue that [8] a couple of power-law decays appear due to the coupling of micro-clusters of dipoles with a distribution of τ 's (*i.e.*, multiple time-scales). An experiment on fluorescence intermittency of *single* ZnS overcoated CdSe quantum dots [9], the distribution of *on* and *off* times (*blinking kinetics*) is reported to follow a single power-law [25]. In a biological system of photo-dissociated heme-proteins, the rebinding of the ligands of iron (*i.e.*, the CO and the O₂ molecules) is observed to follow an inverse power-law dynamics [10]. In a theoretical study of the same work, Tsallis *et al.* [11] claim two inverse power-law regimes and demonstrated its possible connection with *nonextensive thermo-statistics* (entropy). Naudts and Czachor [12] analyzed the data of many experiments including those of [9, 10], and maintain that these two power-law decays result from some choice of parameters of their probability density function. All of the above theories lead to the result that $\alpha < \beta$, if two power-law relaxations exist. In a different biological system, the dynamics of Ca channels in living cells [13], the distribution of the survival-times of the channels has been studied. A stochastic dynamical model, with one dimensional geometry, was proposed specifically to explain only the later power-law [14] dynamics [26].

Two power-law growths are also claimed to have been found, using atomic force microscopy (AFM), in the early dynamics of sputtered Ag particles on Si(0 0 1) substrate [15]. These authors relate the two different growth-dynamics to two competing structural rearrangements at two different length-scales. In the case of the growth of a large single DLA (diffusion limited aggregation) cluster, using upto 10^8 particles in a computer experiment, two power-laws seem to dictate the growth process as a function [16] of a time-like entity. A similar theme has been reflected in various methods (*e.g.*, the sol-gel method) of synthesis of nanomaterials [17]. This involves a slow relaxation of restructuring many local clusters by crossing the local barriers due to a *caging effect* (typical of liquid-like, amorphous or dense granular materials) followed by (or, may be, added to) another slow relaxation of global restructuring of the local clusters themselves. Even in some relatively recent studies of cellular automata models of earthquakes [18], one power-law dynamics seems to result under certain choice of parameters.

III. A TUNNELING PERCOLATION MODEL

To study the basic physics behind the transport properties within a minimal model, Sen and co-workers [19, 20] constructed a lattice based bond percolation model. In this model, in addition to the randomly placed ohmic bonds (linear response) in the Random Resistor Network (RRN), *tunneling* bonds (t-bond) were introduced between two nearest neighbour (*nn*) ohmic bonds (o-bonds) separated by one insulator only. This tunneling is actually *semi-quantum* or semi-classical in the sense that no quantum mechanical phase-information of the charge carriers appears in the model. In the steady-state, a t-bond is insulating if the microscopic voltage difference across it (v) is less than a fixed voltage threshold (v_g , identical for all the t-bonds) and conducts linearly a current if $v \geq v_g$. Indeed, the phenomenological parameters p and v_g ensure that both the *disorder* and the *coulomb interaction* (nonlinear response [?]) as an outcome) is in-built in this model.

Historically [19], we call this tunneling-enhanced percolation model as the *Random Resistor cum Tunneling Network* (RRTN). The appearance of the t-bonds in this *perfectly correlated, i.e., deterministic* fashion is the origin of a very low percolation threshold, in the RRTN model. For example, in the fig.1(a), the RRN with $p=0.3$, does not percolate. In this respect, one may note that the percolation threshold for the RRN (square lattice) is $p_c = 0.50$. But, the *maximal* RRTN (where all the possible t-bonds, both along and across the field, are active, i.e., conducts a maximum possible current in the steady state) generated from that particular RRN, percolates as shown in the fig.1(b). Standard calculations with finite-size scaling analysis, establishes a new percolation threshold of $p_{ct} = 0.18$ [20], for the maximal RRTN.

Further, because of the finite threshold of the t-bonds, the bulk dc conductance $G(V)$ (defined either as $\frac{I}{V}$ or as $\frac{dI}{dV}$) is a strongly *nonlinear* curve, as stated earlier. At a sufficiently low voltage (below v_g), no t-bond is active, and hence the effective bulk conductance is only due to the percolating ohmic backbone. So the $G(V)$ vs V graph is parallel to the V -axis (falls on the V -axis if the ohmic backbone is non-percolating). This region is termed as the lower linear/ohmic regime. Thereafter, under further increment of the external voltage V , yet more microscopic t-bonds cross the threshold (v_g), i.e., become active, and give rise to extra parallel paths in the network. At an very high voltage [21] (asymptotically infinite), all the possible t-bonds become active (i.e., creates a maximal RRTN) and hence the conductance saturates to its largest possible value (for that particular configuration). Obviously, this region belongs to the upper linear/ohmic regime. Other than the success of this discrete model in understanding various experiments on an ultra-low percolation threshold, and various aspects of nonlinear dc and ac responses, it has also been quite useful for understanding some very unusual aspects observed in low-temperature variable range hopping (VRH) conduction [22], and some interesting aspects of breakdown phenomena [23]. Thus, even though, time enters in an implicit fashion in some of the above studies, an explicit characterization of the relaxation dynamics in the RRTN model in the perspective of various experiments and models (as described above) was considered necessary.

IV. NON-EQUILIBRIUM DYNAMICS IN THE RRTN MODEL

In this paper, we study the current relaxation dynamics towards a steady-state in the RRTN model. Away from equilibrium, a t-bond with a microscopic $v < v_g$ behaves like a dielectric material between two metals (o-bonds); and the resulting charging effect gives rise to a *displacement current* ($C\frac{dv(t)}{dt}$), where C is the capacitance). Thus, a t-bond gives rise to a displacement current if $v(t) < v_g$, and an ‘ohmic’ current if $v(t) \geq v_g$ [24]. For our calculations, we use the values of the microscopic conductance $g_o = 1.0$ (o-bonds), $g_t = 10^{-2}$ (t-bonds), $v_g = 0.5$, and $C = 10^{-5}$ for the t-bonds (in some arbitrary units).

In our numerical study, we apply an uniform electric field across RRTN’s of different system sizes (L) and ohmic bond concentrations (p). We study the evolution of the current in a RRTN starting from the switching on state until it approaches its *asymptotic* steady-state. To do this, we follow basically the current conservation (Kirchhoff’s laws) locally at each node of the lattice. The aim is to study the achievement of a *global current conservation* as an outcome of the *local current conservation* (hence, the dynamics). A discrete, *scaled* time unit has been chosen as one complete scan through each site of the lattice. This local conservation or the equation of continuity reads as,

$$\sum i_{nn}(t) = 0, \quad \forall t. \quad (1)$$

Here the *sum* has been taken over currents $i_{nn}(t)$ through various types of nearest neighbour (*nn*) microscopic bonds around any node/site of the lattice. For the case of a square lattice, one considers the four *nn*’s around a node inside the bulk (three and two *nn*’s respectively at any boundary or a corner). If eq. (1) were true simultaneously for each site of the lattice, then the global conservation (the steady state) for the entire network would automatically be




Figure 2: Non-equilibrium dynamics in terms of a *scaled time* shows two initial power-law decays (with intermediate crossover) in the current relaxation for (a) one RRTN sample ($p = 0.55, L = 60$) with the exponents of 0.44 and 0.72; and (b) another sample ($p = 0.35, L = 80$) where the exponents are 0.78 and 0.57 respectively. The dynamics in the RRTN is always followed by an *exponentially* decaying tail (not shown in the figures here) towards its steady state.

achieved. As we need to start with an initial (arbitrary) microscopic voltage distribution, the eq. (1) would not hold for all the sites of the lattice. Some correction term would be required at each site and this requirement leads to the following time evolution algorithm which we call as the *lattice Kirchhoff's dynamics*:

$$v(j, k, t + 1) = v(j, k, t) + \frac{\sum i_{nn}(t)}{\sum g_{nn}}, \quad (2)$$

where g_{nn} are the various microscopic conductances of the nn bonds around the node (j, k) . Then we numerically solve a set of coupled *difference* equations on the lattice. The move towards a macroscopic steady-state implies that the difference of currents through the first and the last layers tends to zero as a function of time. In practice, the system is considered to have reached its steady state when this difference decreases to a pre-assigned smallness.

V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

As shown in the figs. 2, we observe a non-Debye type current relaxation with two consecutive initial power-laws (and a crossover in-between), each of them spanning at least a decade. This asymptotic steady state current (whether insulating or conducting) for any randomly chosen RRTN, is found to be very robust against any initially chosen voltage distribution on the lattice. To analyse the current evolution of an insulating or a conducting sample on the same footing, we subtract the corresponding steady current, $I(t \rightarrow \infty)$, from the evolving current $I(t)$ at the time t . Our observation on the transient current response, indicates clearly the existence of a couple of initial power-laws, whose exponents differ significantly for systems with different configurations, p , L and external voltage V . So, we choose to work with one sample at a time and analyze its results within our numerical accuracy.

For example, in the fig. 2(a), we show the dynamics for a sample with $L = 60, p = 0.55, V = 2.0$ and in the fig 2(b) another with $L = 80, p = 0.35, V = 20.0$. The first figure (*i.e.*, fig. 2(a)) represents the class of relaxation, where the second exponent (0.72) is larger than the first (0.44) (the only class reported in our previous work at a particular $p = p_c$ [24]). There are quite a few theoretical works [4, 8, 11] in this regard. Some other experiments [13, 14, 15] find a second exponent smaller than the first. Since our earlier report [24], we have been able to reproduce this other class of relaxation (*e.g.*, fig. 2(b) with the exponents 0.78, 0.57), as well, within the context of our RRTN. In special cases, we do find only one power-law relaxation, which may be considered to be the borderline between the above two, or as the merging of the two power-law exponents. Further, we do not find any particular relation between the exponents. Next, the existence or the lack of any asymptotic exponential kinetics is not explicitly stated in most of them. Indeed, in some of the theoretical studies (*e.g.* in [4, 8, 16]), the second power-law persists upto asymptotically infinite times. This trend can not describe the possibility for these systems to reach an appropriate steady state. In contrast, beyond

the power-law relaxations (whether one or two), our model acquires a relaxation time τ and the system follows a fast exponential dynamics as a precursor of a final steady state which is effectively diffusive (or ohmic). Obviously, the power-law relaxations at times $t < \tau$ imply a strong deviation from the Boltzmann's *relaxation time approximation* (i.e., strongly non-Debye type).

As far as the origin of the two power-law dynamics are concerned, we have already outlined the main content of some of them [4, 8, 11, 12, 14, 18] in the section on experiments. In most of them they occur due to local structural rearrangements preceding the final global structural rearrangements. In our case, the basic structure in a particular sample is created once for all, and it is the fields across the bonds which keep changing in such a fashion that the local conservation (Kirchhoff's laws at each node) dominates the first power-law regime and the global current conservation is dominated in the latter power-law regime (of course, there are the required structural rearrangements of the active t-bonds). So, it is interesting that these two very different mechanisms give rise to a qualitatively identical outcome. Further, since the power-law dynamics occurs even for p 's away from p_c or p_{ct} , it clearly demonstrates that they are not organized by any type of criticality. Finally, as discussed above, while most of the other theoretical works, are destined to get only one class of two-power-law relaxation behaviour (namely, $\alpha < \beta$), the RRTN dynamics has the ability to capture both of the classes for different sets of parameters.

Acknowledgments

Sincere thanks are due for warm supports to the organizers of many International Conferences where earlier results on relaxation in the RRTN were presented. Foremost among them are Profs. P. Sheng and P.M. Hui for one named ETOPIM5 (AKS in 1999) was held at Hong Kong. Two more on Nonlinear Dynamics and Earthquake (SB in SMR1322 in 2001 and SMR1519 in 2003) were held at the AS-ICTP, Trieste, Italy. The last one named ICAMMP2002 (AKS and SB in 2003) were held at the SUST, Sylhet, Bangladesh. AKS also acknowledges fruitful discussions with Prof. H. Levine in 2003 on their Ref.[14] and the support (a Visiting Professor in 2004) plus discussions with Prof. A. Hansen of the NTNU, Trondheim, Norway.

-
- [1] For example, see M. Kardar on *Dynamics of Fluctuating Interfaces and Related Phenomena*, eds. D. Kim, H.Park, and B. Kahng, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1997), p. 30.
 - [2] R.M. Bradley, D. Kung, P.N. Strenski and S. Doniach, *Physica B* **152**, 282 (1988).
 - [3] J.M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids*, (Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 2nd. Edition, 1972), p. 213.
 - [4] H. Scher, M.F. Shlesinger and J.T. Bendler, *Physics Today* **44**, No.1, p. 26 (1991); H. Scher and E.W. Montroll, *Phys. Rev. B* **12**, 2455 (1975).
 - [5] An article by T. Tiedje in *Semiconductors and Semimetals* eds. J. Pankove Vol. 21C, (Academic, New York, 1984), p. 207; G. Pfister, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **33**, 1474 (1974).
 - [6] F.C. Bos and D.M. Burland, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **58**, 152 (1987); H.E. Boesch Jr, *et al.*, *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* **25**, 1239 (1978).
 - [7] R.M. Hill, *J. Mater. Sci* **17**, 3630 (1982).
 - [8] K. Weron and A. Jurlewicz, *J. Phys. A* **26**, 395 (1993).
 - [9] M. Kuno, D.P. Fromm, H.F. Hamann, A. Gallagher and D.J. Nesbitt, *J. Chem. Phys* **112**, 3117 (2000).
 - [10] F. Parak and H. Frauenfelder, *Physica A* **201**, 332 (1993); R.H. Austin, K. Beeson, L. Eisenstein, H. Frauenfelder, I.C. Gunsalus and V.P. Marshall, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **32**, 403 1974.
 - [11] C. Tsallis, G. Bemsiki and R.S. Mendes, *Phys. Lett. A* **257**, 93 (1999).
 - [12] J. Naudts, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **12**, 1305 (2000); J. Naudts and M. Czachor *arXiv cond-mat/0108354* (2001).
 - [13] I. Bezprozvanny, J. Watras and B.E. Ehrlich, *Nature (London)* **351**, 751 (1991).
 - [14] M. Bar, M. Falcke, H. Levine and L. S. Tsimring, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **84**, 5664 (2000).
 - [15] S. Banerjee and S. Kundu, *Surf. Science* **537**, 153 (2001).
 - [16] B.B. Mandelbrot, B. Kol and A. Aharony, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 055501 (2002).
 - [17] *Nanomaterials: Synthesis, Properties and Applications*, eds. A.S. Edelstein and R.C. Cammarata (Inst. of Phys., Bristol, 1st. paperback edition, 1996), pp. 55-71.
 - [18] H. Nakanishi, *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 6613 (1991).
 - [19] The motivation and the original development of our RRTN model and its nonlinear dc and ac responses were first presented by A.K. Sen and A. Kar Gupta in a book: *Nonlinearity and Breakdown in Soft-Condensed Matter*, Lecture Notes in Physics, eds. K.K. Bardhan, B.K. Chakrabarti and A. Hansen, Vol 437 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994), pp. 271-287. Further works on the dc and ac responses appeared in A. Kar Gupta and A.K. Sen, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 3375 (1998); and A.K. Sen and A. Kar Gupta, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 9167 (1999).
 - [20] A. Kar Gupta and A.K. Sen, *Physica A* **215**, 1 (1995).

- [21] Note that our aim in the paper on the response characteristics in the RRTN is to study the nonlinear effects due to tunneling only. So we do not consider any Joule heating in our system.
- [22] A.K. Sen and S. Bhattacharya *Continuum Models and Discrete Systems* eds. D. Bergman and E. Inan, (Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004), p. 367.
- [23] A. Kar Gupta and A.K. Sen, *Physica A* **247**, 30 (1997); a schematic description of our RRTN model and its breakdown aspects do also appear in an advanced text-book by B.K. Chakrabarti and L.G. Benguigui in *Statistical Physics of Fracture and Breakdown in Disordered Systems* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1997), p. 68.
- [24] S. Bhattacharya, P.P. Roy and A.K. Sen in *Proceedings of an International Conference on Applied Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, 2002* eds. M.A. Hossain and G.D. Roy (SUST, Sylhet, 2004), p. 205. This paper is also available as: *arXiv cond-mat/0310374* (2003).
- [25] Our analysis suggests that two inverse power-law kinetics are present in this experiment [9], as well.
- [26] Two inverse power-law kinetics are clearly present in the Fig.2, a typical result, of this 1-d model [14].