M agnetic inversion symmetry breaking and ferroelectricity in TbM nO₃

M. Kenzelm ann^{1;2;3}, A. B. Harris⁴, S. Jonas³, C. Broholm^{3;5}, J. Schefer²,

S.B.Kim⁶, C.L.Zhang⁶, S.W.Cheong⁶, O.P.Va^{*}/_k⁵ and J.W.Lynn⁵

(1) Laboratory for Solid State Physics, ETH Honggerberg, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

(2) Laboratory for Neutron Scattering, ETH Zurich & Paul Scherrer Institute, CH-5232 V illigen, Switzerland

(3) Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

(4) Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104S

(5) N IST Center for Neutron Research, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, Maryland 20899

(6) Department of Physics & Astronomy, Rutgers University, 136 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

 $T\,bM\,nO_3$ is an orthorhom bic insulator where incom mensurate spin order for temperature $T_N < 41~K$ is accompanied by ferroelectric order for T < 28~K. To understand this, we establish the magnetic structure above and below the ferroelectric transition using neutron di raction. In the paraelectric phase, the spin structure is incommensurate and longitudinally-modulated. In the ferroelectric phase, however, there is a transverse incommensurate spiral. We show that the spiral breaks spatial inversion symmetry and can account for magnetoelectricity in TbM nO_3.

PACS num bers: 75.25.+ z, 75.10.Jm , 75.40.G b

The coexistence of antiferrom agnetism and ferroelectricity in solid materials is rare, and much rarer still is a strong coupling between these two order parameters [1, 2]. In non-magnetic perovskites like BaT iO₃, ferroelectricity is driven by a hybridization of empty d orbitals with occupied p orbitals of the octahedrally coordinated oxygen ions [3]. This mechanism requires empty d orbitals and thus cannot lead to magnetic ferroelectric materials. In materials such as B M nO₃, hone s² electron pairs can low er their energy by hybridizing with empty p orbitals [4]. W hile it leads to coexistence of magnetic order with electric polarization at low temperatures, the very di erent ordering temperatures show that the two order parameter low er the symmetry of the system s through distinctly di erent collective e ects.

T bM nO $_3$ is an antiferrom agnet which contains M n^{3+} ions with occupied d orbitals and no lone s^2 cation [5]. So, as for a number of recently discovered multiferroics [6, 7, 8], neither of the mechanisms described above can explain the coexistence of magnetic and electric order. In these materials, a magnetic eld of a few Tesla can switch the direction of the electric polarization [5] - proof of a strong direct coupling between the magnetic and electric polarization. Rare earth manganese oxides show a plethora of exciting phenomena which arise from com peting interactions. LaM nO $_3$ is the parent compound to a series of materials featuring colossal magnetoresistance, and shows orbital ordering of its e_{α} orbital, giving rise to layered antiferrom agnetic ordering. W ith decreasing rare-earth size, there is a tendency towards incom m ensurate m agnetic order. Ferroelectric ground states were recently predicted for doped m anganite of the type $R_1 \times Ca_x M nO_3$, but they have not yet been observed [9]. The coexistence and strong coupling of ferroelectricity and antiferrom agnetism in TbM nO₃ suggests the presence of a non-conventional coupling mechanism involving competing spin interactions, and it is thus of both practical and fundam ental importance.

To develop a m icroscopic theory of the new coupling m echanism, unam biguous determ ination of the sym m etry of the m agnetic order is essential. In this Letter, we present neutron di raction m easurem ents of orthorhom – bic TbM nO₃, which determ ine the m agnetic ground states and the phase diagram as a function of tem perature and a m agnetic eld H ja. W e nd that the ferroelectric phase transition coincides with a m agnetic transition from a longitudinal incom m ensurate structure to an incom m ensurate spiral structure that breaks spatial inversion sym m etry. W e show that a recent theory proposed for axialnon-axialparity breaking [10, 11] predicts the observed orientation of the ferroelectric polarization based on the sym m etry of the m agnetic structure that we report.

T bM nO₃ crystals were grown using an optical oating zone furnace. A measurement of the temperature dependence of the dielectric constant of the two sam – ples which we studied con med ferroelectricity below T = 26 K and T = 28 K for sample 1 and 2, respectively. The discrepancy may result from slightly di ering oxygen partial pressure during annealing. The space group is # 62, and in the P bnm setting the lattice parameters are a= 5:3A, b= 5:86A and c= 7:49A. The measurements were performed on two single-crystals weighing 40 m g and 220 m g, respectively, with the BT 2 and SP INS spectrom eters at N IST, and the TriCS 4-circle di ractometer at PSI.

The wave-vector dependence of the di raction intensity along the (0;k;1) direction of reciprocal space (Figs.1 and 2) illustrates the tem perature dependence of m agnetic order in TbM nO₃. M n³⁺ spins develop long-range order at $T_N = 41$ K [12, 13]. Im mediately below the transition tem perature, m agnetic B ragg peaks are ob-



FIG.1: Di raction intensity along the (0;k;1) direction for di erent temperatures (with a factor 10 o set between the sub- $T_{\rm N}$ data sets), showing fundam ental and higher order Fourier components of the magnetic ordering. The 3t and 9t-order peaks associated with the Tb order occur at k = 0:725 and 0:175, respectively, and are di cult to identify unam biguously due to their proximity to strong peaks.

served at (0;1 q;1) and (0;q;1) positions. At 35 K the m agnetic order is described by a single Fourier com ponent associated with a wave-vector (0;q;0) with q = 0.27, and no apparent higher order Fourier com ponents. Upon cooling below T = 28 K, third-orderm agnetic B ragg peaks (0;1 3q;0) appear (Fig.1), indicating step-like m odulation of the m agnetic m om ent. The inset to Fig.2b shows that the wave vector continues to vary with tem perature throughout the ferroelectric phase.

Below T = 7 K, additional magnetic peaks appear that are associated with Tb m on ents and indicate that their interactions favor a di erent ordering wave-vector than for the M n m on ents. At T = 2 K, there is a strong peak at (0;t;1) with t = 0.425, and æveralhigher-order peaks as indicated in Fig. 1. The many strong odd high-order re ections indicate that the order associated with the (0;t;0) wave-vector is strongly distorted (bunched structure) as expected for an isotropic rare-earth m agnets. The correlation length and the incom m ensuration of the Tb order at low-tem peratures is sample dependent, as is often found in system s with phase transitions between incom m ensurate structures. The correlation length along the b-axis was 58 (20) and 280 (20)A, and t was 0.41 and 0.425 in sample 1 and 2, respectively.

The magnetic structures at T = 15 K, and 35 K were determined from up to 922 rst-order magnetic Bragg peaks each. Only rst order peaks were included in the re nement as these are su cient to determine the symmetry of the magnetic structure. Representational analysis was used to not the irreducible representations that describe the magnetic structures. The structure at T = 35 K in the high-temperature incommensurate (HTI) phase can be described by a single irreducible representation. The best t with $^2 = 0.26$ was ob-



FIG.2: (a) T-dependence of the integrated intensity of the (0;q;1) B ragg peak and the associated third order peak at $(0;1 \ 3q;1)$. (b) T-dependence of the wave-vector q, corrected for the T-dependence of the b lattice parameter, showing the absence of a lock-in transition at T = 28 K.

tained for a structure described by representation $_3$, and the excellent agreement between the model and the data is shown in Fig. 3a). The magnetic structure is described by m $_3^{Mn} = (0.0(8);2.90(5);0.0(5))$ _B and m $_3^{Tb} = (0;0;0.0(4))$ _B where the subscript denotes the irreducible representation indicated in Fig. 4c. The magnetic structure is longitudinally-modulated with moment along the b-axis, as illustrated in Fig. 4a and consistent with an earlier study [12]. The absence of observable higher order peaks indicates that the magnetic structure at T = 35 K is sinusoidally modulated.

Two irreducible representations are required to describe the magnetic structure at T = 15 K in the lowtem perature incommensurate (LTI) phase. We found best agreement with $^2 = 2:19$ for magnetic ordering involving 2 and 3, as shown in Fig. 3b. Fits using the $_1$ and $_3$, or the $_3$ and $_4$ representation pairs led to 2 = 14.5 and higher, and can thus be excluded. Neglecting higher order re ections, the magnetic structure is given by $m_{3}^{Mn} = (0:0(5);3:9(1);0:0(7))_{B}$, $m_{3}^{Tb} = (0;0;0(1))_{B}, m_{2}^{Mn} = (0:0(1);0:0(8);2:8(1))_{B}$ and $m_2^{Tb} = (12(1); 0(1); 0)_B$. The experiment was not sensitive to the phase between the y and z-com ponent of the M n m om ent. From the size of the m om ent, how ever, we deduce that the M n m om ents form an elliptical spiral. The data did not favor a phase di erence between the Tb and Mn moments, so these phases remain undeterm ined. Sym m etry splits the T b m om ents into two orbits which representation theory norm ally treats as independent. However, as suggested by Landau theory [10], we took these two Tb am plitudes to be identical. The phase between the two orbits was found to be to 1:3(3). The greatly improved t is evidence that the Tb sublattice carries signi cant magnetization in the LTI phase, pre-



FIG. 3: Integrated intensities of m agnetic B ragg peaks m easured at T = 15 K and T = 35 K using TriC S are compared to various m agnetic structures. Peaks are sorted by decreasing m easured intensity. (a) The T = 35 K structure is described by a single inreducible representation, $_3$, and the m om ents point along the b-axis. (b) The T = 15 K m agnetic structure is a spiral described by a y-component of $_3$ and an x-component of $_2$ with a =2 phase shift.

sum ably as a consequence of the exchange eld from the ordered M n sublattice.

Fig. 5 (a-b) shows the eld dependence of the (0;q;1) m agnetic B ragg re ection, which arises from the M n spin spiral. Both the position and the intensity are eld independent to within errorbar - evidence that the structure rem ains a spiral up to at least 6 T . O ur calculations show that the intensity should drop by 7% if the z-com ponent of ₂ were extinguished. In contrast, no decrease is observed to within an error bar of 2% between 0 and 6 T.

The (0;1 q;1) B ragg re ection shown in Fig.5a arises from M n $_3$ m agnetization along the a-axis and from Tb $_2$ m agnetization along the a-axis. Because the xcomponent of the M n m om ent is small, the (0;1 q;1) B ragg re ection is particularly sensitive to T b order. For T < 28 K the (0;1 q;1) intensity is suppressed by a

eld H jja con m ing that the m odulated T b m om ent is oriented along that direction. Below the T b ordering tem perature, the eld dependent m agnetic B ragg intensity has a nite- eld m axim um (F ig. 5a), indicating a spin- op transition.

W e collected 51 m agnetic B ragg peaks at T = 4 K and H = 4 T along a to determ ine the magnetic structure at low temperatures above the critical eld for (0;t;0) Tb order (Fig. 5c). The magnetic structure can be described by $_2$ and $_3$ with $^2 = 3.81$ or by $_1$ and $_3$ with $^2 = 4.19$. Since a eld along the a-direction disfavors antiparallel spin alignment in the same direction as in the $_1-_3$ structure, we infer that the structure is given by m $_3^{Mn} = (0.3(4);4:7(3);0.0(5))$ B, m $_3^{Tb} =$



FIG. 4: Schem atic of the magnetic structure at (a) T = 35 Kand (b) T = 15 K, projected onto the b-c plane. Filled arrows indicate direction and magnitude of M n moments. The longitudinally-modulated phase (a) respects inversion sym metry along the c axis, but the spiral phase (b) violates it, allowing an electric polarization (un lled arrow). (c) Inreducible representation of the group G_k for the incommensurate magnetic structure with k = (0;q;0). (d) Phase diagram as a function of the perature and eld applied along the a-axis. Full circles indicate second order phase transitions. Open circles indicate the characteristic eld for reduction of magnetic (0;1 q;1) Bragg scattering from Tb moments by 50% from its zero- eld intensity.

 $(0;0;0:0:0(3)) = m_2^{Mn} = (0:0(2);0:0(4);3:0(3)) = and m_2^{Tb} = (0:3(2);0:0(4);0) = .$ This result suggests that the spin spiral structure is more stable for elds along the a-axis than for elds along the b-axis [5].

Harris et al. [10, 11] recently showed that insulators with axial-non-axial parity breaking magnetic phase transitions must also be electrically polarized. G iven the magnetic structure and the tem perature dependence of the magnetic order parameter, the theory predicts the direction and tem perature dependence of the electric polarization resulting from a symmetry allowed trilinear coupling term . In the following we show that this theory correctly accounts for the direction of the electric polarization in the LTI phase of TbM nO₃, and the absence of electric polarization in the HTI phase. The trilinear m agnetoelectric coupling term pin the Landau free energy expansion is written as V =uv a_{uv} u(k) v(k)P. Here $_{u}$ (k) is the magnetic order parameter of irreducible representation u, P is the electric polarization along the the crystallographic direction and auv param etrizes the strength of the interaction between the electric and magnetic order parameters. In the HTI phase, the magnetic order is described by only one irreducible representation, $_3$ and due to the high sym m etry of the Mn moments, it is possible to de ne u such that under inversion $_{\rm u}$ (k) ! $_{\rm u}$ (k)[?]. The trilinear coupling



FIG. 5: Field dependence of magnetic B ragg scattering from TbM nO₃. (a) and (b) show data for the incommensurate peaks that occur for T < 41 K. (a) The (0;q;1) peak that is mostly sensitive to staggered magnetization on M n sites and the (0;1 q;1) peak that is sensitive to staggered magnetization on Tb sites. (c) and (d) show data for the incommensurate peaks that develop for T < 7 K.

thus consists only ofterm s such as $V = \begin{bmatrix} P & a j_3(k) \int P \\ P & Since interactions in a Landau expansion must have the sym metry of the paramagnetic phase, this interaction must be invariant under inversion. This requires that a vanishes, so that <math>P = 0$ in the HTI phase. The conclusion remains valid for Tb order with no restriction on the phase between its two orbits.

For the LTI phase, which is described by $_2$ and $_{P^3}$, there are, however, additional terms such as $V = b_2(k)_3(k)P + cc$; where c. c. denotes the complex conjugate. For V to be an invariant, P must transform as the product of $_2$ and $_3$. That is, the electric polarization must be even under 1 and m $_{yz}$, and odd under 2_y and m $_{xy}$. This condition can only be satis ed for an electric polarization along the c-axis. P revious dielectric experiments have shown that the electric polarization that develops below the transition to the LTI phase is indeed oriented along the c-axis.

The coupling of the m agnetic order parameter to electric polarization in TbM nO₃ [5] is similar to that in N $i_2V_2O_8$, which adopts two di erent incommensurate m agnetic structures [14], one of them ferroelectric and described by two irreducible representations. The correct prediction of the electric polarization for both TbM nO₃ and N $i_2V_2O_8$ (Ref.11) suggests that m agnetoelectricity resulting from a trilinear m agnetoelectric coupling term m ay be commonplace in insulating transition m etal oxides with non-collinear incommensurate structures. A c-cordingly we not less compelling the suggestion [5] that the appearance of ferroelectricity is associated with an incommensurate to commensurate phase transition. Indeed, there is no evidence of a lock-in transition (see Fig.2b) and it therefor appears to be irrelevant from the

point of view of ferroelectricity whether the modulated magnetic order is commensurate or truly incommensurate.

In sum m ary, we have determ ined the m agnetic structure of the paraelectric and ferroelectric phases of TbM nO₃. We showed that the paraelectric, magnetically incom m ensurate phase has sinusoidally-m odulated collinear magnetic order that does not break inversion symmetry. The ferroelectric phase, however, has noncollinear incommensurate magnetic order described by two irreducible representations, which explicitly breaks inversion symmetry and thus gives rise to electric polarization. The qualitative aspects of magnetoelectric effects in TbM nO₃ appear to be accounted for by a trilinear coupling term in a Landau free energy expansion as proposed by Harris et al. [10, 11]. Understanding the magnitude of the e ect will require experim ental as well as theoretical work to track lattice, charge, and orbital degrees of freedom through axial-non-axial parity breaking phase transition in insulators such as T bM nO₃. A part from the fundam ental challenge, in proved understanding of magnetoelectricity in these system sm ay help to produce m aterials for room -tem perature applications.

We thank A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, and A. Ram irez for helpful discussions. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under Contract No. PP002-102831. Work at Johns Hopkins University was supported by the DoE through DE-FG02-02ER45983. Work at University of Pennsylvania was supported by the U.S.-Israel B inational Science Foundation under G rant number 2000073. Work at Rutgers University was supported by the NSF-DMR-MRSEC-00-080008. This work is based on experiments performed at the Swiss spallation neutron source SINQ, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland. The work at SPINS is based upon activities supported by the National Science Foundation under Agreement No. DMR-9986442.

- [1] G A.Smolenskiietal, Sov.Phys.Usp.25,475 (1982).
- [2] H. Schm id, Ferroelectrics 62, 317 (1994).
- [3] R.E.Cohen, Nature 358, 136 (1992).
- [4] M. Atanasov et al., J. Phys. Chem. A 105, 5450 (2001).
- [5] T.Kimura et al., Nature 426, 55 (2003).
- [6] T. Lotterm oser et al., Nature 430, 541 (2004).
- [7] N.Huretal, Nature 429, 392 (2004).
- [8] S.Kobayashiet al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 73, 1593 (2004).
- [9] D.V.E frem ov et al., Nature Materials 3, 853 (2004).
- [10] A.B.Harris et al., to be published.
- [11] G.Lawes et al, cond-m at/0503385.
- [12] S.Quezelet al, Physica B 86-88, 916 (1977).
- [13] R.Kajim oto et al., Phys.Rev.B 70, 012401 (2004).
- [14] G. Lawes et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 247201 (2004).