

Quadrupolar Frustration in Shastry-Sutherland Lattice of DyB_4 Studied by Resonant X-ray Scattering

Daisuke Okuyama¹, Takeshi Matsumura¹, Hironori Nakao¹ and Youichi Murakami¹

¹Department of Physics, Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai 980-8578

(Received March 23, 2024)

We have observed geometrical frustration of quadrupolar and magnetic moments in dysprosium tetraboride, DyB_4 , where the rare-earth sites form a Shastry-Sutherland lattice. Resonant X-ray scattering at the L_{III} absorption edge of Dy was utilized. Analysis of the energy, polarization, temperature, and azimuthal-angle dependences of the $(1\ 0\ 0)$ forbidden reflection show that the magnetic and quadrupolar components within the frustrated c-plane have a short-range correlation, suggesting that the moments are fluctuating. In contrast, the basic antiferromagnetic component along the c-axis has a long-range order.

KEYWORDS: geometrical frustration, DyB_4 , resonant X-ray scattering, quadrupole order, fluctuation

Magnetic materials with geometrically frustrated magnetic interactions exhibit a wide variety of intriguing phenomena caused by fluctuating spins that cannot order down to very low temperatures. For example, hexagonal ABX_3 (A = alkali metal, B = transition metal, X = halogen) compounds such as CsCoCl_3 with a triangular lattice of Ising spins exhibit an unusual antiferromagnetic state in which one of its three sublattices is disordered.¹ Frustrations in kagome and pyrochlore lattices offer a platform where a macroscopic number of spin configurations are degenerate, giving rise to short-range correlated fluctuating spins called spin liquid states.² These exotic phenomena are caused, of course, by the spin degree of freedom of the magnetic ions. On the other hand, there are only a few examples that suggest frustration of the orbital degree of freedom. In LiNiO_2 and FeSc_2S_4 , for example, their anomalous magnetic properties are interpreted in association with the orbital frustration.^{3,4} However, to the best of our knowledge, there has been no experiment in which the frustrated orbitals were observed directly by an orbital-sensitive method. In this letter, we present direct evidence for fluctuating quadrupolar and magnetic moments in a rare-earth compound, DyB_4 , which has a Shastry-Sutherland-type geometrical frustration.⁵

Rare-earth tetraborides, RB_4 , have been attracting growing interest as a system in which the rare-earth network involves a geometrical frustration. The R ions are at the $4g$ sites of the tetragonal space group of $P4=mm$: $R_1 = (x; x + \frac{1}{2}; 0)$, $R_2 = (\frac{1}{2}; x; x; 0)$, $R_3 = (x; \frac{1}{2}; x; 0)$, and $R_4 = (\frac{1}{2} + x; x; x; 0)$, with $x = 0.3175$ for DyB_4 .⁶ This is equivalent to the Shastry-Sutherland lattice as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1(b).⁵ It is noted that the nearest-neighbor and next nearest-neighbor distances differ only by 0.33% and that the Dy^{3+} ion has a huge magnetic moment of $10\ \mu_B$. Therefore, the Shastry-Sutherland lattice of Dy can be regarded as a combination of triangles and squares of classical moments. In addition, an orbital degree of freedom is active in DyB_4 as described next. Consequently, the way of removing frustration in DyB_4 is expected to be different from

the orthogonal dimer system of quantum spins such as $\text{SrCu}_2(\text{BO}_3)_2$, where the frustration can be removed by making spin-singlet dimers.^{8,9}

The physical properties of DyB_4 have been studied intensively by Watanuki et al.^{6,7} Two phase transitions take place, at $T_{N1} = 20.3\text{ K}$ and at $T_{N2} = 12.7\text{ K}$. Below T_{N1} , it is established by neutron powder diffraction that an antiferromagnetic order takes place with the magnetic moments aligned along the c axis; those on Dy(1) at R_1 and Dy(2) at R_2 are directed upwards and those on Dy(3) at R_3 and Dy(4) at R_4 downwards. As a result, forbidden (odd $0\ 0$) reflections arise, but unit cells do not change. What is intriguing is that the C_{44} mode of the elastic constant keeps softening even below T_{N1} . The softening, which follows the normal Curie law in phase I ($T < T_{N1}$) that indicates a quadrupolar degeneracy, is even more enhanced in phase II ($T_{N2} < T < T_{N1}$). Moreover, in phase II, strong ultrasonic attenuation occurs, suggesting fluctuation of the quadrupolar moments. Specific heat measurement shows that the entropy of $R \ln 2$ and $R \ln 4$ is released at T_{N2} and at T_{N1} , respectively, with increasing temperature. Therefore, the ground state is a pseudo-quartet with quadrupolar degeneracy, which is somehow not lifted even in phase II. In phase III ($T < T_{N2}$), the ultrasonic attenuation and softening stop; neutron powder diffraction suggests that a c-plane magnetic component arises in phase III.¹⁰ These anomalous behaviors strongly suggest that phase II is not a normal antiferromagnetic state and that there remains fluctuating quadrupolar and magnetic moments, as first pointed out by Watanuki et al.⁷

In order to investigate phases II and III of DyB_4 , we have utilized resonant X-ray scattering (RXS), which has been developed as a powerful tool to probe both quadrupolar and magnetic moments.¹¹ Since RXS measures the average structure of the relevant moments in a very short time scale determined by the lifetime of the intermediate state ($\sim 10^{-15}$ sec), it would be possible to observe a snapshot of the correlated moments if the fluctuation rate is slower than the time scale of the observation. In the present experiment, we have detected a broadened forbidden reflection, indicating a short-ranged




Fig. 1. Incident energy dependences of the peak-top intensity of the (1 0 0) reflection for $\Psi = 0^\circ$ at (a) $\Psi = 0^\circ$ and (b) $\Psi = 90^\circ$. The peak around 7.824 keV for $\Psi = 0^\circ$ is due to the multiple scattering. The top figure shows the fluorescence spectrum. The inset shows the Dy lattice; the nearest neighbor bonds are shown by the thick lines.

quadrupolar and magnetic order.

A single crystal of DyB₄ was grown by the coating zone method using a high-frequency furnace. The sample quality was checked in terms of magnetic susceptibility and electrical resistivity, which showed good agreements with the results in ref. 6, 7. Synchrotron X-ray scattering experiments were performed using a four-circle diffractometer at beam line BL-16A2 of the Photon Factory in KEK. The incident X-ray was tuned near the L_{III} absorption edge of Dy (7.79 keV), where the resonance of 2p \rightarrow 5d dipole transition occurs. We investigated the (h 0 0) reflections using a sample with the (100) surface. Azimuthal-angle scans and polarization analysis using a PG analyzer-crystal were also carried out; the azimuthal angle Ψ is defined to be zero when the c-axis lies in the scattering plane. The mosaic width of the sample was about 0.03 full width at half maximum (FWHM) in phase I, indicating the high quality of the crystal.

Figure 1 shows the incident energy dependences of the (1 0 0) forbidden reflection for the σ - π' channel at 6 K (phase III), 15 K (phase II), and 25 K (phase I) at two azimuthal angles of $\Psi = 0^\circ$ and 90° .¹² The resonant enhancement is clearly observed around the absorption edge. We notice three resonant features at $\Psi = 0^\circ$, which are indicated by an arrow at 7.79 keV and two bars at 7.795 keV and 7.801 keV. The resonance at 7.79 keV, the inelastic point of the fluorescence spectrum, disappears above T_{N1} ; this can be ascribed to the resonant exchange magnetic scattering originating from the 4f




Fig. 2. Azimuthal-angle dependence of the integrated intensity of the (1 0 0) resonant reflection at $E = 7.79$ keV for $\Psi = 0^\circ$. The lines are fits with \cos^2 and \sin^2 .

magnetic moment.¹³ The other two resonances at 7.795 keV and 7.801 keV, which exist even above T_{N1} , can be ascribed to the anisotropic tensor susceptibility (ATS) scattering that reflects the local crystal-field anisotropy of the surrounding boron atoms. We call this boron-ATS scattering hereafter. The double peak energy-spectrum and the \cos^2 dependence in intensity are the same as those in GdB₄.^{14,15} At $\Psi = 90^\circ$, the boron-ATS scattering vanishes and only the resonance at 7.79 keV is observed as indicated by the arrow. We also observed nonresonant reflection below the edge, which we ascribe to magnetic scattering; the intensity is proportional to the resonance at 7.79 keV.

We note that the most mysterious point is the existence of the intensity at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ in phase II. According to the simple magnetic structure deduced from neutron diffraction, where the antiferromagnetic moments align completely along the c-axis,⁶ the structure factor of the resonant magnetic scattering becomes proportional to $\cos \Psi$. However, this is inconsistent with the data of Fig. 1(b) for 15 K. The azimuthal-angle dependence of the resonance intensity shown in Fig. 2 also demonstrates that the intensity at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ does not vanish in phase II. In phase III, a complex magnetic structure is proposed by neutron scattering, where the c-plane component of the magnetic moments appears.⁶ It is therefore expected that the c-plane component gives the intensity at $\Psi = 90^\circ$.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependences of the integrated intensity and half width at half maximum (HWHM) of the (1 0 0) resonant reflection at 7.79 keV. There is an obvious difference between the behavior for $\Psi = 0^\circ$ and 90° . At $\Psi = 0^\circ$ the intensity rises up steeply below T_{N1} and saturates below about 17 K, whereas at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ it increases gradually below T_{N1} and exhibits a sudden increase below T_{N2} . Moreover, the HWHM at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ is obviously broad and exhibits a sudden drop below T_{N2} , whereas at $\Psi = 0^\circ$ it is almost resolution limited and exhibits a slight broadening in phase II. It is noted that the rocking (!) scans in Fig. 3 correspond to the l-scan at $\Psi = 0^\circ$ and k-scan at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ in the hkl reciprocal space. On the other hand, the HWHM for




Fig. 3. Temperature dependences of (a) the integrated intensity and (b) the HWHM of the rocking scan (!) of the (1 0 0) resonant reflection at $\Psi = 0^\circ$ and 90° ($E = 7.79$ keV, $\lambda = 0.158$ nm). The solid lines are guides for the eye. The dotted line indicates the resolution limit estimated from the fundamental reflections. The inset shows the peak profile at 7 K and 15 K for $\Psi = 90^\circ$.

the l-scan at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ (0.01 \AA^{-1} at 16 K and 0.007 \AA^{-1} at 6 K) is also obviously broader than the resolution (0.005 \AA^{-1}), whereas that for the k-scan at $\Psi = 0^\circ$ is resolution limited, although these resolutions are much worse because the scans correspond to the Ψ -scan. These results suggest that a different order parameter exists at $\Psi = 90^\circ$, which has a short correlation length in phase II.

We briefly refer to the structural phase transition in phase III that has been studied using an X-ray from a Mo target (17.48 keV). The single peak of the (0 0 6) reflection at 16 K splits into four peaks along the h and k directions at 9 K; the (1 0 0) peak becomes broad along the l direction. These results, together with the survey of some other Bragg peaks, show that the structure changes from tetragonal to monoclinic. The angle $\angle ac$, or $\angle bc$, is estimated to be 89.84° at 9 K. It should be remarked that the antiferromagnetic domains with the (1 0 0) and (0 1 0) propagation vectors result in the monoclinic distortions within the bc plane ($\angle bc \neq 90^\circ$) and ac plane ($\angle ac \neq 90^\circ$), respectively; this is inferred from the fact that the (1 0 2) resonant magnetic Bragg peak splits only along the k direction in phase III.

Let us discuss the origin of the nonzero intensity of the broad (1 0 0) resonant reflection at $\Psi = 90^\circ$ in phase II. We analyze the RXS result by taking into account the c-plane components of both magnetic (rank 1) and quadrupolar (rank 2) moments. For this purpose, we use a theory developed by Lovesey et al. which directly connects the atomic tensors to the scattering amplitude of RXS.^{11,16}

Here, we examine two possible models of the in-plane




Fig. 4. Models of possible magnetic and quadrupolar structures. The local x- and z-axes correspond to the c-plane and c-axis magnetic components of each Dy ion, respectively. Ellipses with light and dark shades represent $\langle hO_{zx} \rangle$ -type charge distributions that are extended above and below the plane of the paper, respectively.

magnetic and quadrupolar structures that are consistent with the experimental results. They are illustrated in Fig. 4. We take the directions of the c-plane and c-axis components as the local x- and z-axes, respectively. The atomic tensors expressed in the local xyz coordinates are assumed to be the same for all the four Dy ions; they can be transformed to each other by appropriate Euler rotations. Quadrupolar moments represented by the ellipses can coexist because of the strong spin-orbit interaction.

The unit cell structure factor of the (h =odd 0 0) reflection for the two models in Fig. 4 is written as

$$F_{-0}^{(E1)} = 2k_1 b \cos \begin{pmatrix} p_- \\ 2hJ_z i \cos & hJ_x i \sin \end{pmatrix} \\ 2k_2 a \cos \begin{pmatrix} p_- \\ 2hO_{22} i \cos + hO_{zx} i \sin \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

for E1 resonance and the -0 channel, where the minus sign in the second line corresponds to model I and the plus sign to model II; $a = \cos(2hx)$ and $b = \sin(2hx)$;

hJ_z is the Bragg angle; k_1 and k_2 are constant real numbers. Note that the tensors in eq. (1) are of the 5d state; hJ_z can be induced through magnetic exchange interaction with hJ_{4f} ; hO_{zx} through d-f Coulomb interaction with hO_{4f} or through mixing with the 2p orbitals of boron atoms (boron-ATS mechanism).¹¹ The boron-ATS mechanism obviously gives rise to hO_{22} , and this term explains the azimuthal-angle dependences of the resonances at 7.795 keV and 7.801 keV in phase I. In phase II, the c-axis component of the 4f magnetic moment induces hJ_z . The hJ_z term explains the \cos^2 component in the azimuthal-angle dependence of the resonance at 7.79 keV as shown in Fig. 2.

The hJ_x and hO_{zx} terms, with the \sin dependence, can explain the nonzero intensity at $\Psi = 90^\circ$. However, because the \cos and \sin terms interfere coherently in eq. (1), the structure factor can be transformed into the form $A \cos(\theta + \phi)$, where A and ϕ are constants. This means that the intensity must vanish somewhere in the azimuthal scan, which is the case in GdB₄.¹⁴ However, the experimental data in Fig. 2 do not support this simple scenario. The data suggest that the \cos and \sin terms contribute independently rather than interfere coherently. This is demonstrated by the lines in Fig. 2. It

seems that the resonance at $\omega = 90$ represents the in-plane components $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$, and the resonance at $\omega = 0$ represents the $h_{J_z i}$ and $h_{O_{zz} i}$ components, respectively.

A possible reason for this behavior is that the correlation length of $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$ components is much shorter than that of $h_{J_z i}$ and $h_{O_{zz} i}$. By fitting the k -scan profile at $\omega = 90$ with a Lorentzian that is convoluted with the resolution function, the correlation length of $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$ along the b -axis is estimated to be $(1.4 \pm 0.1) \times 10^3$ Å at 15 K in phase II and $(4.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^3$ Å at 7 K in phase III. The correlation length along the c -axis is also short, less than the resolution of 1.5×10^3 Å although precise estimation was difficult. On the other hand, the correlation of $h_{J_z i}$ and $h_{O_{zz} i}$ along the c -axis estimated from the l -scan profile at $\omega = 0$ is $(9.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^3$ Å at 15 K and over 2×10^4 Å, the resolution limit, at 7 K. The correlation along the b -axis is also expected to be longer than the resolution limit of 1.5×10^3 Å. Then, the volume fraction from which the scattering occurs coherently is much smaller for $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$ than for $h_{J_z i}$ and $h_{O_{zz} i}$. Therefore, the cos and sin terms in eq. (1) almost do not interfere and they give reflections independently.

Thus, we consider that the resonance at $\omega = 90$ reflects $h_{J_x i}$ or $h_{O_{zx} i}$, which is induced by the magnetic or quadrupolar moments of the 4f electrons, respectively. The peak profiles are clearly broadened in phase II, indicating that the correlation length is short. Furthermore, these in-plane components are considered to be fluctuating. This is supported by neutron powder diffraction in phase II, which shows that $h_{J_z i}$ is only $6.9 \mu_B$ while the full moment is $10 \mu_B$.⁶ The strong ultrasonic attenuation in the C_{44} mode also supports the fluctuation of $h_{O_{zx} i}$.⁷ In phase III, neutron diffraction shows that an in-plane component of $5.3 \mu_B$ appears, resulting in a total moment of $8.8 \mu_B$; the ultrasonic attenuation and softening also stop. RXS at $\omega = 90$ shows that the correlation length becomes longer, although it is not as long as the resolution limit. These results show that the fluctuation stops in phase III and a static short-range order of $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$ remains.

Which of $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$ is dominant in this reflection? Unfortunately, it is not distinguishable from the present experimental results and from eq. (1) because the two factors are completely in phase. In the present case of DyB_4 , because $h_{J_z i}$ is finite, $h_{O_{zx} i}$ will be induced if $h_{J_x i}$ arises because of the strong spin-orbit coupling, and $h_{J_x i}$ will be induced if $h_{O_{zx} i}$ arises as well. Ultrasonic attenuation and softening of the C_{44} mode seem to support the dominance of $h_{O_{zx} i}$. It would be possible to distinguish if we could investigate by RXS the relative change in the signal for $h = 1, 3$, and 5 of the $(h 0 0)$ reflection through changes in the factors a and b , which have different h dependences.

With respect to the origin of the short-range correlation and fluctuation of the in-plane moment, one plausible scenario is that the $h_{O_{zx} i}$ moments in the triangular connection of the Dy lattice experience frustration. If we assume the charge distribution of the 4f electrons prefer to avoid each other, the arrangement of $h_{O_{zx} i}$ in models

I and II experiences frustration, which could lead to an orbital liquid state.

We consider that the static order of the in-plane moments takes place when the frustration is removed by the lattice distortion. The arrangement of the $h_{O_{zx} i}$ -type quadrupolar moment in models I and II will favor a uniform lattice distortion through a cooperative Jahn-Teller effect into a monoclinic structure where the bc plane is distorted ($\angle bc \neq 90^\circ$). This is consistent with the experimental result in phase III. A possible space group of the monoclinic phase allowed by Landau theory is $P2_1=C$, where all the atomic sites are described by the 4e site.¹⁷ It is intriguing that the peak width at $\omega = 90$ is still broader than the resolution limit, which means that the correlation length does not diverge.

In summary, we have investigated the phase transitions occurring in the Shastry-Sutherland lattice of DyB_4 by resonant X-ray scattering, and detected the short-range correlated in-plane moments $h_{J_x i}$ and $h_{O_{zx} i}$. They are expected to be fluctuating in the high-temperature antiferromagnetic phase because of frustration and they change into possessing a static order with short-range correlation in the low-temperature phase with monoclinic distortion. The present results indicate that the doublet ground state remains well below T_{N1} despite the magnetic ordering, at which the c -axis component is fixed and the pseudo-quartet should be split into four singlets by the Zeeman effect. Why does the doublet exist in Phase II? What kind of quadrupolar degeneracy is realized? Such fundamental issues need to be solved in future studies.

The authors are indebted to R. Watanuki for many fruitful discussions. We also thank S. Kunii, K. Horiuchi, M. Onodera, H. Shida for assistance in single-crystal growth, Y. Wakabayashi for experimental support, and K. Iwasa and K. Suzuki for profitable discussions. This study was performed with the approval of the Photon Factory Program Advisory Committee (No. 2004G235), and was supported by the 21st century center of excellence program, and by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science.

- 1) M. Mekata: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 42 (1977) 76.
- 2) I. Mirebeau and I. N. Goncharenko: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 17 (2005) S771.
- 3) F. Reynaud, D. Mertz, F. Celestini, J.-M. Dubois, A. M. Ghorayeb, P. Simon, A. Stepanov, J. Voiron and C. Delmas: Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3638.
- 4) V. Fritsch, J. Hemmeringer, N. Buttgen, E.-W. Scheidt, H.-A. Kug von Nidda, A. Loidl and V. Tsurkan: Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 116401.
- 5) B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland: Physica 108B (1981) 1069.
- 6) R. Watanuki: Ph.D. thesis, Yokohama National University (2004).
- 7) R. Watanuki, G. Sato, K. Suzuki, M. Ishihara, T. Yanagisawa, Y. Noto and T. Goto: to be published in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 74 (2005).
- 8) H. Kageyam, K. Yoshimura, R. Stern, N. V. Mushnikov, K. Onizuka, M. Kato, K. Kosuge, C. P. Slichter, T. Goto and Y. Ueda: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3168.
- 9) S. Miyahara and K. Ueda: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 3701.
- 10) We call the magnetic components parallel and perpendicular

to the c-axis as the c-axis component and c-plane component, respectively.

- 11) T. Matsumura, D. Okuyama, N. Oumi, K. Hirota, H. Nakao, Y. Murakami and Y. Wakabayashi: Phys. Rev. B 71 (2005) 012405.
- 12) No reflection was observed for $\theta = 0^\circ$ at any azimuthal angle.
- 13) J. P. Hannon, G. T. Trammell, M. Blume and D. Gibbs: Phys. Rev. Lett. 61 (1988) 1245 [Errata, 62 (1989) 2644].
- 14) S. Ji, C. Song, J. Koo, K.-B. Lee, Y. J. Park, J. Y. Kim, J.-H. Park, H. J. Shin, J. S. Rhyee, B. H. Oh and B. K. Cho: Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 257205.
- 15) S. W. Lovesey, J. Fernandez Rodriguez, J. A. Blanco and P. J. Brown: Phys. Rev. B 70 (2004) 172414.
- 16) S. W. Lovesey and K. S. Knight: Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 094401.
- 17) H. T. Stokes and D. M. Hatch: Isotropy subgroups of the 230 Crystallographic Space Groups (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988).