Unexpected Density Fluctuations in Jammed Disordered Sphere Packings

A leksandar D onev $_{1}^{1,2}$ Frank H. Stillinger $_{1}^{3}$ and Salvatore Torquato $_{1,2,3}^{1,2,3}$,

¹Program in Applied and Computational M athem atics,

Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544

²PRISM, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544

³Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton NJ 08544

Abstract

We computationally study jam med disordered hard-sphere packings as large as a million particles. We show that the packings are saturated and hyperuniform, i.e., that local density uctuations grow only as a logarithm ically-augmented surface area rather than the volume of the window. The structure factor shows an unusual non-analytic linear dependence near the origin, S (k) jkj. In addition to exponentially damped oscillations seen in liquids, this implies a weak power-law tail in the total correlation function, h (r) r^4 , and a long-ranged direct correlation function. The characterization of local density uctuations in m any-particle systems is a problem of great fundam ental interest in the study of condensed m atter, including atom ic, m olecular and granular m aterials. In particular, long-wavelength density uctuations are in portant to such diverse elds as therm odynamics, granular ow, and even cosmology (see Ref. [1] and references therein). P revious work by some of us [1] was concerned with the quantitative characterization of density uctuations in point patterns, and in particular, those in which in nite wavelength uctuations are completely suppressed, i.e., the structure factor S (k) vanishes at the origin. In these so-called hyperuniform (or superhom ogeneous [2]) system s, the variance in the number of points inside a large window grows slower than the volume of the window, typically like the window surface area. M ost known examples of hyperuniform system s are either ordered lattices or quasi-crystals [1, 2]. An important open problem is the identi cation of statistically hom ogeneous and isotropic atom ic system s (e.g., glasses) that are hyperuniform.

For equilibrium liquids and crystals, S(k = 0) is proportional to the isotherm alcom pressibility and is thus positive. Strictly jam m ed sphere packings [3] are rigorously incom pressible (and non-shearable) [4], but they are also nonequilibrium system s. In Ref. [1] it was conjectured that all saturated [5] strictly jammed packings are hyperuniform. Of particular in portance to understanding both glasses and granular materials are disordered jam med packings, and in particular the maximally random jammed (MRJ) state [6], which is the m ost disordered among all strictly $\frac{1}{2}$ ammed packings [3]. The MRJ state for hard-particle packings is related to the view of jam ming as a rigidity transition and/or dynamic arrest in both granular [7] and glassy m aterials [8]. P revious studies have identi ed several di erent diverging length scales at the rigidity imm ing transition for system s of soft spheres (see R ef. [9] and references therein), indicating a kind of second-order phase transition at the jam m ing point. Hyperuniform ity involves an \inverted critical phenom enon" in which the range of the direct correlation function c(r) diverges [1]. It is therefore of great interest to test whether disordered jam m ed sphere packings are hyperuniform. In this Letter, we dem onstrate that MRJ packings are indeed hyperuniform and saturated. M oreover, we observe an unusual jkj or equivalently, a quasi-long ranged negative tail non-analytic structure factor S (k) r^4 , just as found in the early Universe [2]. of the total pair correlation function h (r)

W e prepare jam m ed packings of hard spheres under periodic boundary conditions using a m odi ed Lubachevsky-Stillinger (LS) packing algorithm [10], as detailed in Ref. [11]. The generated disordered sphere packings typically have volum e fractions in the range = 0.64 0.65, and to a good approximation the packings should be representative of the MRJ state. For this study, we have generated a dozen packings of N = 10^5 and N = 10^6 particles jam m ed up to a reduced pressure of 10^{12} using an expansion rate of 10^3 [11] with 0.644. Generating such unprecedented one-m illion-particle packings was neccessary in order to study large-scale density uctuations without relying on dubious extrapolations.

The packings generated by the LS and other algorithms have a signi cant fraction (2:5%) of rattling particles which are not truly jammed but can rattle inside a small cage form ed by their jam m ed neighbors [11]. These rattlers m ake a negligible contribution to the mechanical properties of the system, including the pressure, and can be removed sufciently close to the jam ming point. However, they are important when considering density uctuations. Rem oving the rattlers will produce sm all but observable long-wavelength density uctuations. A ssum ing that the rattlers are more or less random ly distributed among all particles, a hyperuniform packing from which the rattlers are then rem oved would have 0.025 > 0. Sim ilarly, the hyperuniform ity could be destroyed by random ly lling S(0) large enough voids with additional rattlers. It is therefore in portant to verify that the imm med packings are saturated, i.e., that there are no voids large enough to insert additional rattlers. Figure 1 shows the complementary cumulative pore-size distribution [12] F (), which gives the probability that a sphere of diam eter could be inserted into the void space, with and without the rattlers. C learly there is no room to insert any additional rattlers; the largest observed voids are around max 0:8D. The algorithm used to produce the packings appears to llall void cages with particles.

It is very di cult to study long-wavelength density uctuations accurately in 3D com – puter simulations. When periodic boundary conditions apply with a periodic box of length L, particle correlations can only be studied up to a distance L=2, and there are large nite-size corrections for distances comparable to L. Additionally, as we show later, strong statistical uctuations appear due to nite system size, making it necessary to use even larger systems to measure pair correlations at large distances. In reciprocal space, S (k) can only be measured for k 2 = L, with large discretization errors for the smallest wavevectors. To overcome these nite-size e ects, it was necessary to generate a packing of one million particles.

Consider a large isotropic three-dimensional packing of N hard spheres of diameter D,

3




Figure 1: (C olor online) The cumulative pore-size distribution F () for a (single) packing with $N = 10^5$ particles, with and without the rattlers. The m ethod of trial spheres with 2 10 trials was used [12]. A very similar F () with cuto around m_{ax} 0.8D is observed when $N = 10^6$, when rattlers are present. The cuto is how ever not as sharply de ned as it is for the FCC crystal, shown for comparison, since the exact size of the largest available void (cavity) uctuates between realizations.

with average number density = N=V and average volume fraction = $D^3=6$. We employ the usual pair correlation function g_2 (x = r=D) or the total correlation function $h(x) = g_2(x)$ 1 in real space, or the equivalent Fourier representation given by the structure factor

$$S(K = kD) = 1 + 24 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{\sin(Kx)}{Kx} x^{2}h(x) dx$$

O f particular interest are the moments of h(x), $hx^n i = \frac{R_1}{0} x^n h(x) dx$. Computer-generated packings are always nite, and thus binning techniques must be used to obtain probability densities like h. A coordingly, we prefer to use the more readily measurable excess coordination

$$Z(x) = 1 + 24$$
 $w^{2}h(w)dw:$

This is the average excess number of points inside a spherical window of radius xD centered at a particle, compared to the ideal-gas expectation 8 x³. Any integral containing h(x) can easily be represented in terms of Z (x) using integration by parts. For the structure factor we get S (K) = $\lim_{R \le 1} S(K;R)$, where

$$S(K;R) = Z(R) \frac{\sin(KR)}{KR} \int_{0}^{Z_{R}} Z(x) \frac{d}{dx} \frac{\sin(Kx)}{Kx} dx:$$
 (1)

This has quadratic behavior near k = 0 when expanded in a Taylor series,

$$S(K) = S(0) + \frac{K^2}{3} \int_{0}^{2} x [Z(x) - S(0)]dx;$$
 (2)

where S(0) = Z(x ! 1) vanishes for a hyperuniform system. For large x, an explicit nite-size correction of order 1=N needs to be applied to the in nite-system excess coordination, $Z(x) = S(0) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 8 \\ x & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$, as it is clear that the excess coordination must vanish for windows as large as the whole system.

Figure 2 shows S (k) for the simulated packings as obtained via a direct Fourier transform (DFT) of the particle positions, S (k) = N¹ $P_{i=1}^{N} \exp(ik p)^{2}$, where k is a reciprocal lattice vector for the periodic unit cell [14]. To obtain an approximation to the radially symmometric in nite-system S (k), we average over the reciprocal lattice vectors inside a spherical shell of thickness 2 = L.U sing Eq. (1) together with a numerical (truncated) Z (x) quickly gives S (k) over a wide range of wavelengths. However, the behavior near the origin is not reliable since it depends on the analytic extension for the tail of Z (x). The results of the DFT calculations are shown in Fig. 2, and they closely m atch the one obtained from Z (x) for wavelengths smaller than about 20 diam eters.

Figure 2 dem onstrates that the saturated packing is indeed hyperuniform [16] to within S (0) < 10³, as conjectured in Ref. [1]. The behavior of S (k) near the origin is very surprising. The observed S (k) follows closely a non-analytic linear relationship [17] well-tted by S (K) 6:1 $10^4 + 3:4$ 10^3 K over the whole range K = 2 < 0:4. By contrast, analytic quadratic behavior is observed for a liquid sample at = 0:49, as shown in the gure. Theoretical nite-size corrections to the small-k behavior of S (k) have only been considered for relatively low-density liquid system s with relatively small N [13], and do not appear useful for our purposes. A line destinating the corrections to the DFT data analytically is certainly desirable, such corrections appear to be rather small at least for the well-understood liquid at = 0:49. C on parison among the di erent N = 10^6 sam ples show s that statistical uctuations in S (k) near the origin are very small.

Equation (2) shows that if h is truly short-ranged, the structure factor must be analytic (i.e., an even power of k, usually quadratic), near the origin. Our num erical observations point strongly to a linear S (K) for sm all K. It is interesting to note that such non-analytic behavior is assumed in the so-called Harrison-Zeldovich power spectrum of the density uctuations in the early Universe [2], and has been veried experimentally with high accuracy. If this observation S(K) K j survives simulations of even larger jammed hard sphere systems, using a variety of packing algorithms, it would imply a negative algebraic powerlaw tailh(x) x⁴ uncharacteristic of liquid states. Such a quasi-long range correlated decrease in the density around a particle is typically only seen in systems with long-range interactions. A long-ranged tail must appear in the direct correlation function c(r) for a strictly hyperuniform system due to the divergence of c(0), in a kind of \inverted critical phenom enon" [1]. Such a tail is uncharacteristic of liquids where the range of c(r) is substantially limited to the range of the interaction potential. The direct correlation function can num erically be obtained from its Fourier transform via the Omstein-Zemike (OZ) equation, $c(k) = (-6) [S(k) \ 1] = S(k)$; and we have shown it in the inset in Fig. 2, along with the corresponding Percus-Yevick (PY) anzatz [18] for c(r) at = 0.49 which makes the approximation that c(r) vanishes outside the core. Two unusual features relative to the liquid are observed for our jam m ed packing. First, there is a positive -function at contact corresponding to the Z = 6 average touching neighbours around each jam m ed particle [11]. Second, there is a relatively long tail outside the core, the exact form of which depends on the behavior of S(k) around the origin [19].

The num erical coe cient in the power-law tail in h (x) is very small, Z (x) 4:4 10 3 x 1 , and cannot be directly observed, as we will show shortly. It is how ever possible to observe its e ect on large-scale density uctuations. For monodisperse hard sphere systems it su ces to focus only on the positions of the sphere centers and consider density uctuations in point patterns. Follow ing R ef. [1], consider moving a spherical window of radius R = X D through a point pattern and recording the num ber of points inside the window N (X). The num ber variance is exactly [1],

$${}^{2}(X) = N {}^{2}(X) h X (X) i^{2}$$

= $\frac{3}{2} (2X)^{2} Z_{0}(2X) Z_{2}(2X)$

where $Z_n(x) = \frac{R_x}{0} w^n Z(w) dw$ denotes a running moment of Z. A symptotically, for

large windows, in an in nite system with analytic S (k), 2 (X) AX 3 + BX 2 , where A = 8 (I + 24 hx²i) = 8 S (0) is the volume uctuation coe cient, and B = 144 2 hx³i = 6 Z ${}_{0}$ (x ! 1) is the surface uctuation coe cient. When a non-integrable power-law tail exists in Z (x), asymptotically the \surface" uctuation coe cient contains an additional logarithm ic term, B (X) = B ${}_{0}$ + C hX. Such a logarithm ic correction does not appear for any of the examples studied in Ref. [1]. Explicit nite-size e ects for non-hyperuniform systems yield a correction A (X) = 8 S (0) [1 8 X 3 =N] [20]. Figure 3 shows num erical results for the number variance as a function of window size, along with the predicted asymptotic dependence, including both the logarithm ic and N 1 corrections [21]. Both corrections need to be included in order to observe this close a m atch between the data and theory. The constants S (0) and C were obtained from the linear t to S (k), while B ${}_{0}$ 1:02 was obtained by num erically integrating Z (x), as explained shortly [22].

We now turn our attention to real space to observe directly the large-distance behavior of h or equivalently Z. For equilibrium liquids with short-ranged potentials it is expected that the asymptotic behavior of h(x) is exponentially damped oscillatory [23, 24], of the form

h(x)
$$\frac{C}{x} \exp(x =) \cos[K_0(x = x_0)]$$
: (3)

However, it is not clear whether the decay is still exponential for glass-like nonequilibrum jam m ed system s. P revious studies have boked at much smaller system s, where explicit nite-size e ects dom inate, and also focused on the liquid phase [13]. Figure 4 shows the num erical Z (x) along with a relatively good exponentially damped oscillatory t [25] $5:47x \exp(x=1.83) \cos(7.56x 2:86)$ over the range 5 < x < 15. It would be Z (x) desirable to look at larger x and, in particular, directly observe the long-range inverse power tailpredicted from the linear behavior of S(k). The use of cubic periodic boundary conditions in plies that pair distances up to $x_{max} = {\stackrel{p_3}{\overset{}{\longrightarrow}} 1} = 24$ 50 can be studied. However, it is in portant to point out that it is not possible to measure the pair correlations for x > 15due to statistical variations among nite system s, estimated to lead to an uncertainty of the (x) = N. In fact, within the range of validity of the observed Z (x) the order Z(x) dam ped oscillatory t is perfectly appropriate. W e sm oothly com bined the actual num erical data for x < 10 with the tted decaying tail for x > 10, and num erical integration of this smoothed Z(x) gives B₀ 1:02 0:02, as used in producing Fig. 3. This smoothed Z(x)

was used to obtain S (k) via Eq. (1) when producing Fig. 2.

We have given computational results for a million-particle jam med disordered hard sphere packing demonstrating that it is nearly hyperuniform and saturated. However, there are m any open fascinating questions. Can a geometrical signi cance be attached to the period of oscillations K_0 in the jamming limit [26], or to the cuto of F()? We believe that the strict imm ing and saturation conditions dem and hyperuniform ity of our packings. We conjecture that the observed non-analytic behavior of S (k) k is a direct consequence of the condition of maxim aldisorder on the jam med packing. The exponent p appears to increase with increasing order: It approaches in nity for ordered lattices, is two for perturbed lattices, and is one for MRJ. In Ref. [1] we exam ined the possibility of using the surface term coe cient B as an order metric (increasing B indicated greater disorder). We did not anticipate the appearance of a further logarithm ic term for the disordered packings. In this sense, the MRJ packings are markedly more disordered: they have macroscopic density uctuations which are much larger than crystalline packings. Quantitative understanding of this aspect of disorder and its relation to density uctuations remains a fascinating open problem.

The authors were supported in part by the N ational Science Foundation under G rant N o. DM S-0312067.

E lectronic address: torquato@ electron princeton edu

- [1] S.Torquato and F.H.Stillinger, Phys.Rev.E 68,041113 (2003), ibid.069901.
- [2] A.Gabrielli, M. Joyce, and F.S. Labini, Phys. Rev. D 65, 083523 (2002).
- [3] S.Torquato and F.H.Stillinger, J.Phys.Chem. B 105, 11849 (2001).
- [4] S.Torquato, A.Donev, and F.H. Stillinger, Int. J. Solids Structures 40, 7143 (2003).
- [5] A saturated packing is one in which no additional particles can be added.
- [6] S.Torquato, T.M. Truskett, and P.G.Debenedetti, Phys.Rev.Lett. 84, 2064 (2000).
- [7] H.A.Makse, J.Brujic, and S.F.Edwards, The Physics of Granular Media (John Wiley & Sons, 2004), chap.Statistical Mechanics of Jam med Matter, pp. 45{86.
- [8] C.S.O'Hem, S.A. Langer, A.J. Liu, and S.R. Nagel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 111 (2001).
- [9] L.E.Silbert, A.J.Liu, and S.R.Nagel (2005), arX is cond-m at/0501616.

- [10] B.D.Lubachevsky and F.H.Stillinger, J.Stat. Phys. 60, 561 (1990).
- [11] A.Donev, S.Torquato, and F.H. Stillinger, Phys. Rev. E 71, 011105 (2005).
- [12] S.Torquato, Random Heterogeneous Materials (Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002).
- [13] J.J.Salacuse, A.R.Denton, and P.A.Egelsta , Phys.Rev.E 53, 2382 (1996); A.Baum ketner and Y.Hiwatari, Phys.Rev.E 63, 061201 (2001); M.Dijkstra and R.Evans, J.Chem.Phys. 112, 1449 (2000).
- [14] This calculation potentially involves m any reciprocal lattice points and can only be done for a limited range of wavevectors near the origin due to m em ory and CPU constraints, and cannot easily and accurately be m ade faster using Fast Fourier Transform s (FFT) [15].
- [15] D.Potts, G.Steidl, and M. Tasche, Modern Sampling Theory: Mathematics and Applications (Birkhauser Boston, 2001), chap. 12. Fast Fourier transforms for nonequispaced data: A tutorial.
- [16] We believe that this small residual non-hyperuniform ity is an artifact of the packing algorithm. For example, by reducing the particle expansion rate one observes an increase in the hyperuniform ity (and also in density [11]).
- [17] Since S (k) is an even function, its derivative must vanish at the origin for it to be analytic.
- [18] J.K. Percus and G.J. Yevick, Phys. Rev. 110, 1 (1958).
- [19] We used the linear t to S (k) when producing the gure, in plying c(r) r^2 .
- [20] F.L.Roman, J.A.W hite, and S.Velasco, J.Chem. Phys. 107, 4635 (1997); F.L.Roman, J.A.W hite, A.Gonzalez, and S.Velasco, J.Chem. Phys. 110, 9821 (1999).
- [21] A dditional implicit nite size e ects due to the periodicity of the system have been considered for hard disks in Ref. [20], and they have been shown to be signic cantly smaller. While nite-size e ects for hyperuniform systems have not been studied theoretically, Fig. 3 suggests they are also small.
- [22] The surface coe cient B_0 cannot be determined from just the linear part of S (k) near the origin.
- [23] P.Perry and G.J.Throop, J.Chem. Phys. 57, 1827 (1972).
- [24] S.Torquato and F.H.Stillinger, J.Phys.Chem.B 106, 8354, ibid. 11406-11406 (2002).
- [25] Compare this t to 1:4 and K₀ 7:9 as m easured in R.Jullien, P.Jund, D.Caprion, and D.Quitmann, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6035 (1996).
- [26] For example, the height of a tetrahedron of side D $\,$ is around 0.816D, close to the period of

oscillations in h.




Figure 2: (Color online) Structure factor for a 10^6 -particle packing (= 0.642) and for a hard-sphere liquid near the freezing point (= 0.49), as obtained via two alternative num ericalm ethods and also from the Percus-Yevick (PY) theory for the liquid [18]. DFT results are also shown over a larger range of K for a 10^5 -particle packing (= 0.643). The left inset focuses on the range close to the origin, showing that while a parabola matches the liquid data reasonably well [S (K) 0.02 ± 4 10^3 K² according to PY theory, which is known to underestimate S (0)], it does not appear appropriate for the jam m ed packing for large-to-interm ediate wavelengths [as obtained from Eq. (2)]. The very linear behavior of the DFT data for the jam m ed packing in the range up to K = 2 < 0.4 is remarkable. The right inset shows c(r) convolved (sm udged) with a narrow G aussian [due to num erical truncation of S (k)]. The peak at r = D is thus in fact (alm ost) a -function.




Figure 3: (Color online) The variance ² as a function of the window radius for a 10^6 -particle packing. The uncertainty in the variance, as shown with error bars, is estimated to be of the order of ${}^2={}^p\overline{M}$, where $M = 10^4$ is the number of windows used for a given window. Also shown is the theoretically predicted dependence of the form AX ${}^3 + CX {}^2 \ln X + B_0X {}^2$, along with just the surface term $B_0X {}^2$, which dom inates the density uctuations.




Figure 4: (Color online) The excess coordination for a 10⁶-particle packing, along with the best t of the form (3) for the tail, and the estim ated uncertainty. Statistical uctuations overcome the actual correlations after x 15. A veraging over nine samples only shrinks the magnitude of the uctuations by three, without revealing additional information. The inset on the top uses a logarithm ic scale, and the inset on the bottom shows the zeroth and rst running moments along with their asymptotic values as estimated from the tail t. Note that for the range of x shown explicit nite-size corrections are small (less than 5%).