

Curie temperature for an Ising model on Archimedean lattices

K. Malarz,^{1,*} M. Zborek,¹ and B. Wróbel¹

¹ *Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Mickiewicza 30, PL-30059 Kraków, Poland.*

(Dated: March 23, 2022)

Critical temperatures for the ferro-paramagnetic transition in the Ising model are evaluated for five Archimedean lattices, basing on Monte Carlo simulations. The obtained Curie temperatures are 1.25, 1.40, 1.45, 2.15 and 2.80 [J/k_B] for $(3, 12^2)$, $(4, 6, 12)$, $(4, 8^2)$, $(3, 4, 6, 4)$ and $(3^4, 6)$ lattices, respectively.

PACS numbers: 05.10.-a, 05.50.+q, 07.05.Tp, 68.35.Rh

Keywords: computer modelling and simulation; Ising model; phase transition; critical parameter

I. INTRODUCTION

Beauty of the Ising model (IM) [1, 2] manifests in its simplicity. The system considered is a network of N interacting spins $S_i = \pm 1$ which energy is

$$E \equiv -J \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j, \quad (1)$$

where J is an exchange integral. We assume homogeneous short range spin interactions, i.e. the summation in Eq. (1) goes over pairs (i, j) of nearest neighbours. Positive sign of $J > 0$ gives ferromagnetic interaction among spins. The minimisation of energy (1) for temperature $T = 0$ produces spin dynamics which may be described by deterministic cellular automaton with rule

$$S_i(t+1) = \text{sign} \left(J \sum_j S_j(t) \right), \quad (2)$$

where t denotes discrete time and summation goes over nearest neighbours of i -th spin.

For finite temperature $T > 0$ the deterministic rule (2) is replaced by a probabilistic cellular automaton with spin update rule $S_i(t) \rightarrow S_i(t+1)$ described by Glauber [3] or Metropolis [4] dynamics. Then the phase transition may be observed: below critical temperature $T < T_C$ spontaneous magnetisation $m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N S_i/N \neq 0$ is observed while $m = 0$ for $T > T_C$.

The IM was already investigated

- in many ways, including Monte Carlo simulation [5], series expansion [6, 7], mean-field approach [8] or partition function technique [9],
- and for many systems, for example: antiferromagnets [10, 11, 12], frustrated [10, 12, 13], disordered [14] or diluted [15] networks on complex [12, 16] or shuffled lattices [17], etc. [18].

TABLE I: AL and associated critical temperatures T_C .

z	lattice	T_C [J/k_B]	Ref.
3	$(3, 12^2)$	1.25	—
	$(4, 6, 12)$	1.40	—
	$(4, 8^2)$	1.45	—
	(6^3)	1.52	[22]
4	$(3, 4, 6, 4)$	2.15	—
	(4^4)	$2/\text{arcsinh } 1 \approx 2.27$	[20, 21]
	$(3, 6, 3, 6)$	2.27	[7]
5	$(3^4, 6)$	2.80	—
	$(3^3, 4^2)$	$2/\ln 2 \approx 2.89$	[25]
	$(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$	2.93	[25]
6	(3^6)	3.64	[22]

In this paper the critical temperature T_C is estimated for five two-dimensional lattices, basing on $\langle m(T) \rangle$ dependence, where $\langle \dots \rangle$ denotes the time average. The Archimedean lattices are vertex transitive graphs that can be embedded in a plane such that every face is a regular polygon. Kepler showed that there is exactly eleven such graphs [19]. The names of the lattices are given according to the sizes of faces incident to a given vertex. The face sizes are listed in order, starting with a face such that the list is the smallest possible in the lexicographical order. In this way, the square lattice gets the name $(4, 4, 4, 4)$, abbreviated to (4^4) , honeycomb is called (6^3) and Kagomé is $(3, 6, 3, 6)$. Some results concerning IM on AL were already presented in Refs. [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25], however in a literature known to us the Curie temperatures of several AL are still missing.

Critical properties of these lattices were investigated in terms of site percolation [26] in Ref. [27]. Topologies of all eleven AL are given there as well.

II. RESULTS OF THE SIMULATIONS

We evaluate the Curie temperature T_C basing on the thermal dependence of magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$. Investigated

*URL: <http://home.agh.edu.pl/malarz/>; Electronic address: malarz@agh.edu.pl




FIG. 1: Dependence of the average magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ on temperature T expressed in $[J/k_B]$ units for $(3^4, 6)$, $(3, 4, 6, 4)$, $(4, 6, 12)$, $(4, 8^2)$ and (4^4) AL. The simulations are carried out for $N \approx 6 \cdot 10^4$ spins during $N_{\text{iter}} = 2 \cdot 10^5$ [MCS]. The magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ is averaged over the last 10^5 [MCS].

systems contain about $N \approx 6 \cdot 10^4$ spins, which decorate nodes of $(3^4, 6)$, $(3, 4, 6, 4)$, $(4, 6, 12)$, $(4, 8^2)$ AL. The Glauber dynamics [3] is applied and the simulation takes $N_{\text{iter}} = 2 \cdot 10^5$ Monte Carlo steps (MCS). One MCS is completed when all N spins are investigated (spin-by-spin in a type-writer order), whether they should flip or not. The time average is performed over the last 10^5 MCS for an evaluation of $\langle m \rangle$. The results are presented in Fig. 1. Temperature for which spontaneous magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ vanishes is accepted to be an estimation of T_C . These estimations are shown in Tab. I.

III. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper the Curie temperatures for IM on all AL are collected. Among them, T_C for $(3, 12^2)$, $(4, 6, 12)$, $(4, 8^2)$, $(3, 4, 6, 4)$ and $(3^4, 6)$ AL are evaluated for the first time with the Monte Carlo simulation.

For all investigated AL, the shape of $m(T/T_C)$ curve (see Fig. 2) is roughly the same as for the square lattice. In the latter case, an analytical expression [28] is known

$$|m(\kappa)| = \sqrt[8]{\frac{\cosh^2(2/\kappa)}{\sinh^4(2/\kappa)} [\sinh^2(2/\kappa) - 1]},$$

where $\kappa \equiv T/T_C$.

In contrast to Galam–Mauger [29] semi-exact formula for T_C dependence on system dimensionality d and lattice coordination number z , we show that critical temperature for IM differ slightly for several AL (where $d = 2$) with the same values of z . Similarly to the percolation phenomena [26], also for IM the dimensionality d and the coordination number z are not sufficient [30, 31, 32] for determining the critical point T_C .




FIG. 2: Dependence of the average magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ on normalized dimensionless temperature T/T_C for $(3^4, 6)$, $(3, 4, 6, 4)$, $(4, 6, 12)$, $(4, 8^2)$ and (4^4) AL. The same data as in Fig. 1.

Acknowledgments

K.M. is grateful to Krzysztof Kułakowski for many valuable and fruitful discussions. Calculations were carried out in ACK-CYFRONET-AGH. The machine time on HP Integrity Superdome is financed by the Polish Ministry of Science and Information Technology under Grant No. MNiI/HP_LSD/AGH/047/2004.

-
- [1] W. Lenz, Z. Phys. **21** (1920) 613; E. Ising, Z. Phys. **31** (1925) 253.
 - [2] D.W. Heermann, *Computer Simulation Methods in Theoretical Physics*, (Springer Verlag, Berlin 1990); D. Stauffer, F.W. Hehl, N. Ito, V. Winkelmann, J.G. Zabolitzky, *Computer Simulation and Computer Algebra*, (Springer Verlag, Heidelberg 1993).
 - [3] R.J. Glauber, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 294 (1963).
 - [4] N. Metropolis, A.W. Resenbluth, M.N. Resenbluth, A.H.

- Teller, E. Teller, J. Chem. Phys. **21**, 1087 (1953).
- [5] J. García, J.A. Gonzalo, Physica A **326**, 464 (2003); F. Merz, J.T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 054425 (2002); N. Ito, K. Hukushima, K. Ogawa, Y. Ozeki, J. Phys. Soc. Japan **69**, 1931 (2000); H.-O. Heuer, *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics IV*, ed. D. Stauffer, (World Scientific, Singapore 1996), p. 267; H. Rieger, *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics II*, ed. D. Stauffer, (World Scientific, Singapore 1995), p. 295; M. Acharyya, B.K.

- Chakrabarti, *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics I*, ed. D. Stauffer, (World Scientific, Singapore 1995), p. 107.
- [6] P. Butera, M. Comi, Phys. Rev. B **65**, 144431 (2002); P. Butera, M. Comi, Phys. Rev. B **56**, 8212 (1997); A.J. Guttmann, I.G. Enting, J. Phys. A **26**, 806 (1993); J. Oitman, C.J. Hamer, W. Zheng, J. Phys. A **24**, 2863 (1991).
- [7] J. Adler, *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics IV*, ed. D. Stauffer, (World Scientific, Singapore 1996) p. 241.
- [8] F. Jasch, H. Kleinert, J. Math. Phys. **42** (2001) 52; J. Berges, N. Tetradis, C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** (1996) 873; W.L. Bragg, E.J. Williams, Proc. Roy. Soc. A **145**, 699 (1934).
- [9] A.K. Hartmann, cond-mat/0410583; K. Malarz, M.S. Magdoń-Maksymowicz, A.Z. Maksymowicz, B. Kawecka-Magiera, K. Kułakowski, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **14**, 689 (2003); A.F. Ferdinand, M.E. Fisher, Phys. Rev. **185**, 832 (1969).
- [10] M.J. Krawczyk, K. Malarz, B. Kawecka-Magiera, A.Z. Maksymowicz, K. Kułakowski, cond-mat/0412545 — to appear in Phys. Rev. B, **72** (2005).
- [11] J. Richter, J. Schulenburg, A. Honecker, D. Schmalzfuß, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 174454 (2004); S.J. Penney, V.K. Cumyn, D.D. Betts, Physica A **330**, 507 (2003); P. Tomczak, J. Schulenburg, J. Richter, A.R. Ferchmin, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **13**, 3851 (2001); B. Kawecka-Magiera, K. Kułakowski, A.Z. Maksymowicz, J. Magn. Mater. **221**, 391 (2000); P. Tomczak, J. Richter, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 107 (1999).
- [12] B. Tadić, K. Malarz, K. Kułakowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 137204 (2005).
- [13] W. Lebrecht, E.E. Vogel, J.F. Valdes, J. Alloys Compounds **369**, 66 (2004);
- [14] B. Kawecka-Magiera, A.Z. Maksymowicz, M. Kowal, K. Kułakowski, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **9**, 685 (1998); B. Kawecka-Magiera, A. Maksymowicz, K. Kułakowski, A. Lenda, Acta Phys. Pol. A **91**, 297 (1997).
- [15] W. Selke, et al., *Annual Reviews of Computational Physics I*, ed. D. Stauffer, (World Scientific, Singapore 1995) p. 17; Z. Nédá, J. Phys. I **4**, 175 (1994).
- [16] A. Aleksiejuk, J.A. Hołyst, D. Stauffer, Physica A **310**, 260 (2002); G. Bianconi, Physica A **303**, 166 (2002); A. Pękałski, Phys. Rev. E **64**, 057104 (2001).
- [17] K. Malarz, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **14**, 561 (2003); A.O. Sousa, K. Malarz, S. Galam, cond-mat/0503702 — to appear in Int. J. Mod. Phys. C, **16** (2005).
- [18] F. Mancini, *New perspectives on the Ising model* — to appear in Eur. Phys. J. B, (2005).
- [19] J. Kepler, *Harmonices Mundi*, (Lincii 1619).
- [20] H.A. Kramers, G.H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **60**, 252 (1941); H.A. Kramers, G.H. Wannier, Phys. Rev. **60**, 263 (1941).
- [21] L. Onsager, Phys. Rev. **65**, 117 (1944).
- [22] J.M. Dixon, J.A. Tuszyński, E.J. Carpenter, Physica A **349**, 487 (2005).
- [23] W.T. Lu, F.Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 046120 (2005); J. Zheng, G. Sun, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 052408 (2005); R.J. Baxter, T.C. Choy, J. Phys. A **21**, 2143 (1988); H. Giacomini, J. Phys. A **21**, L31 (1988).
- [24] K.Y. Lin, W.N. Huang, Aus. J. Phys. **38**, 227 (1985); K.Y. Lin, J.M. Fang, Phys. Lett. A **109**, 121 (1985); K.Y. Lin, T.L. Chen, Chin. J. Phys. **25**, 178 (1987); K.Y. Lin, C.H. Kao, T.L. Chen, Phys. Lett. A **121**, 443 (1987); K.Y. Lin, J.L. Chen, J. Phys. A **20**, 5695 (1987); K.Y. Lin, J. Stat. Phys. **49**, 269 (1987); K.Y. Lin, S.C. Wang, Phys. Letters A **128**, 143 (1988); K.Y. Lin, Phys. Letters A **128**, 35 (1988); K.Y. Lin, Chin. J. **26**, 150 (1988); K.Y. Lin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B **3**, 1237 (1989); K.Y. Lin, J. Phys. A **22**, 3435 (1989).
- [25] C.J. Thompson, M.J. Wardrop, J. Phys. A **7**, L65 (1974).
- [26] D. Stauffer, A. Aharony, *Introduction to Percolation Theory*, (Taylor and Francis, London 1994); M. Sahimi, *Applications of Percolation Theory*, (Taylor and Francis, London 1994).
- [27] P.N. Suding, R.M. Ziff, Phys. Rev. E **60**, 275 (1999).
- [28] C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. **85**, 808 (1952).
- [29] S. Galam, A. Mauger, Physica A **235**, 573 (1997); S. Galam, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 7040 (2000).
- [30] S. Galam, A. Mauger, Phys. Rev. E **53**, 2177 (1996); S. Galam, A. Mauger, Phys. Rev. E **56**, 322 (1997); S. Galam, A. Mauger, Eur. Phys. J. B **1**, 255 (1998).
- [31] S. C. van der Marck, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 1228 (1997); S. C. van der Marck, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 1514 (1997); S. C. van der Marck, Phys. Rev. E **55**, 3732(E) (1997); S. C. van der Marck, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C **9**, 529 (1998); F. Babalievski, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 1278 (1999).
- [32] S. Galam, K. Malarz, cond-mat/0408359 — to appear in Phys. Rev. E, **72** (2005); K. Malarz, S. Galam, Phys. Rev. E **71**, 016125 (2005).