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#### Abstract

A spin $1=2$ system on a honeycom b lattice is studied. T he interactions betw een nearest neighbors are of X X , Y Y or ZZ type, depending on the direction of the link; di erent types of interactions $m$ ay di er in strength. T he $m$ odel is solved exactly by a reduction to free ferm ions in a static $Z_{2}$ gauge eld. A phase diagram in the param eter space is obtained. O ne of the phases has an energy gap and carries excitations that are Abelian anyons. $T$ he other phase is gapless, but acquires a gap in the presence of m agnetic eld. In the latter case excitations are non-A belian anyons w hose braiding rules coincide w th those of conform alblocks for the Ising $m$ odel. W e also consider a general theory of free ferm ions w ith a gapped spectrum, which is characterized by a spectral Chem number . The Abelian and non-A belian phases of the originalm odel correspond to $=0$ and $=1$, respectively. The anyonic properties of excitation depend on mod 16, whereas itself govems edge them al transport. The paper also provides $m$ athem atical background on anyons as well as an elem entary theory of $C$ hem num ber for quasidiagonalm atrioes.
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## C om m ents to the contents: W hat is this paper about?

Certainly, the $m$ ain result of the paper is an exact solution of a particular two-dim ensional quantum m odel. H ow ever, I was sitting on that result for too long, trying to perfect it, derive som e properties of the $m$ odel, and put them into a $m$ ore general fram ework. Thus $m$ any ram i cations have com e along. Som e of them stem from the desire to avoid the use of conform al eld theory, which is m ore relevant to edge excitations rather than the bulk physics. This program has been partially successfiul, but som e rudim ents of conform al eld theory (nam ely, the topological spin $\left.{ }_{a}=e^{2} i^{\left(h_{a}\right.} \bar{h}_{a}\right)$ and the chiral œentral charge $c=c \quad{ }^{-}$c) are still used.

The paper is self-contained and provides an introduction into the sub ject. For m ost readers, a good strategy is to follow the exposition through the beginning of Sec. 8 , $N$ onabelian anyons and take a glance at the rest of that section, where things becom em ore technical. But the $m$ athem atically inclined reader $m$ ay be interested in those details, as well as som e of the appendices. I have tried to $m$ ake the paper $m$ odular so that som e parts of it can be understood w ithout detailed reading of the other. This has caused som e redundancy though .

A ppendix E, A lgebraic theory of anyons is an elem entary introduction into unitary m odular categories, which generalizes the discussion in Sec.8.

A ppendix Cl, Q uasidiagonalm atrices is also $m$ ostly expository but som e of the argum ents $m$ ay be new. It begins w th a simpli ed treatm ent of \operator ow " and \noncom mutative Chem num ber" (the latter has been used to prove the quantization of H all conductivity in disordered system s [1]), but the $m$ ain goal is to explain \unpaired $M$ a jorana $m$ odes", a œertain parity phenom enon related to the C hem num ber.

A ppendix Don the chiralcentral charge and A ppendix Fon weak sym m etry breaking contain som e raw ideas that $m$ ight eventually develop into interesting theories.

## Introduction

O verview of the sub ject. A nyons are particles w ith unusual statistics (neither Bose nor Ferm i), which can only occur in two dim ensions. $Q$ uantum statistics $m$ ay be understood as a specialkind of interaction: when tw o particles interchange along som e speci ed trajectories, the overall quantum state is multiplied by $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}$. In three dim ensions, there is only one topologically distinct way to swap two particles. Two swaps are equivalent to the identity transform ation, hence $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}=1.0 \mathrm{n}$ the contrary, in two dimensions the double swap corresponds to one particle $m$ aking a fulltum around the other; this process is topologically nontrivial. Therefore the exchange phase' can, in principle, have any value | hence the nam e \anyon". (H ow ever, a stability consideration requires that' be a rationalmultiple of 2 .) Of course, the real question is whether such particles exist in nature or can be built som ehow, but we w ill follow the historic path, approaching the problem from the $m$ athem aticalend.

The study of anyons was initiated by W ilczek [2, 3] in early 1980's. H e proposed a sim ple but rather abstract $m$ odel, which was based on $(2+1)$-dim ensional electrodynam ics. This theory has integer electric charges and vortioes carrying m agnetic ux (which is a real num ber de ned up to an integer). C onsidered separately, both kinds of particles are bosons. But when a charge $q$ goes around a vortex v, it picks up the phase 2 qv, known as the A haronov-Bohm phase. T hus, charges and vortioes have nontrivialm utual statistics and therefore $m$ ust be called
anyons when considered together. M oreover, com posite ob jects ( $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{v}$ ) consisting of a charge and a vortex are anyons by them selves because they have nontrivial exchange phase ' ${ }_{(q, v)}=2 \mathrm{qv}$.

A generalw ay to describe quantum statistics is to consider particle worldlines in the (2+1)dim ensional space-tim e. Such worldlines form a braid, therefore the statistics is characterized by a representation of the braid group. In the preceding discussion we assum ed that braiding is characterized just by phase factors, i.e., that the representation is one-dim ensional. The corresponding anyons are called Abelian. But one can also consider m ultidim ensional representations of the braid group; in this case the anyons are called non-Abelian. A ctually, it $m$ ay not be so im portant how the braid group acts, but the very existence of a m ultidim ensional space associated w ith several particles is a key feature. Vectors in this space are quantum states that have alm ost the sam e energy (see discussion of topological quantum com putation below ).

H istorically, the theory ofnon-A belian anyons em erged from conform altheory (CFT).H ow ever, only topological and algebraic structure in CFT is relevant to anyons. Di erent pieces of this structure were discovered in a colossal work ofm any people, culm inating in the paper by M oore and Seiberg [4]. W itten's w ork on quantum C hem-Sim ons theory [5] was also very in uential. A m ore abstract approach (based on local eld theory) was developed by Fredenhagen, Rehren, and Schroer [6] and by Frohlich and G abbiani [7].

The most am azing thing about anyons is that they actually exist as excitations in som e condensed $m$ atter system $s$. Such system $s$ also have highly nontrivial ground states that are described as possessing topological order. T he best studied exam ple (both theoretically and experim entally) is the Laughlin state [8] in the fractional quantum H all system at the lling factor $=1=3$. It carries Abelian anyons w th exchange phase' $==3$ and electric charge
$1=3$. It is the fractionalvalue of the charge that w as predicted in originall laughlin's paper and con m ed by severalm ethods, in particular by a shot noise $m$ easurem ent [9,10]. The statistical phase is a subtler property which is deduced theoretically [11, 12]; a nontrivial experim ental test has been perform ed recently using quasiparticle tunneling [13].

A di erent kind of state is observed at the lling factor $=5=2$, though it ism ore fragile and less studied experim entally. There is much evidence suggesting that this system is described by a beautiful theory proposed by M oore and Read [14, [15]. The M oore Read state adm its nonA belian anyons $w$ ith charge $1=4$. If $2 n$ such particles are present, the associated $H$ ilbert space has dim ension $2^{n}{ }^{1}$. (T he non-A belian anyons studied in this paper have sim ilar properties, though there is no electric charge.)

The notion of anyons assum es that the underlying state has an energy gap (at least for topologically nontrivial quasiparticles). O therw ise excitations are not localizable and braiding $m$ ay not be de ned. N ote that if all excitations are gapped, then all equal-tim e correlators decay exponentially with distance [16].

A $n$ exam ple of anyons in a spin $-1=2$ system originates from the theory of resonating valence bond (RVB). The idea of RVB was put forw ard by A nderson [17] and used later as a m odel of the undoped insulating phase in high- $T_{c}$ cuprate superconductors [18]. W thout electrically charged holes, the problem seem s to be described adequately by a $H$ eisenberg-like $H$ am iltonian, but its solution has proved very di cult. Several variants of an RV B state have been proposed, both gapless and gapped. Here we discuss a particular gapped RVB phase, nam ely the one which is realized on the triangular lattice [19], but which apparently exists on the square lattioe as well. This phase adm its quasiparticles of four types: trivial excitations (such as spin waves), spinons (spin-1=2 ferm ions, which are conserved $m$ odule 2 ), $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-vortioes (spinless bosons, also


Figure 1: A classical vortex (a) distorted by uctuations (b).
called visons), and spinon-vison com posites [20]. The m utual statistics of spinons and visons is characterized by the A haronov-B ohm factor 1, therefore the com posite particles are bosons. $N$ ote that the relevance of this theory to cuprate superconductors is under debate. Senthil and $F$ isher proposed an interesting way to detect visons in these $m$ aterials [21], but the experim ent gave a negative result [22]. H ow ever, som e kind of RV B state is likely to realize in a di erent m aterial, $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CuCl}_{4}$. This conclusion is drawn from neutron-scattering experim ents that have shown the presence of spin $-1=2$ excitations [23].

A nyonic particles are best viewed as a kind of topological defects that reveal non-trivial properties of the ground state. T hus anyons carry som e topological quantum num bers which $m$ ake them stable: a single particle cannot be annihilated locally but only through the fusion w ith an antiparticle. A $n$ intuitive way to picture an anyon is to im agine a vortex in a $m$ edium with a local order param eter (see Fig. 1 a). N ow suppose that quantum uctuations are so strong that the order param eter is com pletely washed out and only the topology rem ains (see Fig.(1]). O fcourse, that is only a rough ilhustration. It resem bles the K osterlitz-T houless phase w ith power-law correlation decay, while in anyonic system s correlations decay exponentially due to the energy gap.

A real exam ple can be constructed with spins on the edges of a square lattioe. B asis states of the spins are described by the variables $s_{j}=1$, which $m$ ay be regarded as a $Z_{2}$ gauge eld (i.e., \vector potential"), whereas the $\backslash m$ agnetic eld intensity" on plaquette $p$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=\underset{\mathrm{j} 2 \text { boundary }(\mathfrak{p})}{ } \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e say that there is a vortex on plaquette p if $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=1 . \mathrm{N}$ ow we m ay de ne the vortex-free state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=c \underbrace{X}_{\substack{s: w_{p}(s)=1 \\ \text { for all } p}} \text { jsi; where } s=\left(s_{1} ;::: ; s_{N}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c is a nom alization factor). The state $w$ ith a single vortex on a given plaquette is de ned sim ilarly. It is clear that the vortex can be detected by $m$ easuring the observable ${ }_{j 21}{ }_{j}^{z}$ for any enclosing path 1 , though no local order param eter exists.

The state (2) can be represented as the ground state of the follow ing H am iltonian with
four-body interaction [24]:


Its elem entary excitations are $Z_{2}$-chargesw ith energy $2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{e}}$ and vortioes w ith energy $2 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{m}}$. C ertain essential features of thism odelare stable to sm all localperturbations (such as extemalm agnetic eld or $H$ eisenberg interaction betw een neighboring spins). $N$ ote that the robust characteristic of excitations is not the energy or the property of being elem entary, but rather superselection sector. It is de ned as a class ofstates that can be transform ed one to anotherby localoperators. $T$ his particular $m$ odel has the vacuum sector 1 , the charge sector $e$, the vortex sector $m$, and a charge-vortex com posite ". Particles of type $e$ and $m$ are bosons $w$ ith nontrivial $m$ utual statistics, whereas " is a ferm ion. Thus, the m odel represents a universality class of topological order | actually, the sam e class as RVB.

A nyonic superselection sectors $m$ ay or $m$ ay not be linked to conventional quantum num bers, like spin or electric charge. M ost studies have been focused on the case where anyons carry fractional electric charge or half-integer spin. Such anyons are potentially easier to nd experim entally because they contribute to collective e ects (in particular, electric current) or have characteristic selection rules for spin-dependent scattering. C hargeless and spinless quasiparticles are generally harder to identify. But anyons, by virtue of their topological stability, m ust have som e observable signatures. For exam ple, anyons can be trapped by im purities and stay there for su ciently long tim e, m odifying the spectrum of localm odes (m agnons, excitons, etc.) . H ow ever, e ective $m$ ethods to observe anyons are yet to be found.

Thus, the hunt for anyons and topological order is a di cult endeavor. W hy do we care? First, because these are conceptually im portant phenom ena, breaking som e paradigm s. In partioular, consider these principles (which work well and provide im portant guidance in $m$ any cases) :

1. C onservation laws come from symm etries (by $N$ oether's theorem or its quantum analogue);
2. Sym $m$ etries are initially present in the $H$ am iltonian (or Lagrangian), but $m$ ay be spontaneously broken.

Let us lim it our discussion to the case of gauge sym m etries and local conservation law S , which are described by fusion rules between superselection sectors. A profound understanding of the
rst principle and its underlying assum ptions is due to D oplidher and R oberts [25, 26]. They proved that any consistent system of fusion rules for bosons is equivalent to the multiplication rules for irreducible representations of som e com pact group. Ferm ions also $t$ into this fram $e^{-}$ work. H ow ever, anyonic fusion rules are not generally described by a group! As far as the second principle is concemed, topological order does not require any preexisting sym $m$ etry but leads to new conservation law s. Thus, the form ation of topologicalorder is exactly the opposite of sym $m$ etry breaking!

Topological quantum com putation. A m ore practical reason to look for anyons is their potentialuse in quantum com puting. In Ref. [24] I suggested that topologically ordered states can serve as a physical analogue of error-correcting quantum codes. Thus, anyonic system s
provide a realization of quantum mem ory that is protected from decoherence. Som equantum gates can be im plem ented by braiding; this implem entation is exact and does not require explicit error correction. Freedm an, Larsen, and $W$ ang [27] proved that for certain types of non-A belian anyons braiding enables one to perform universal quantum com putation. This schem e is usually referred to as topologicalquantum com putation (TQC).

Let us outline some basic principles of TQC. First, topologically ordered system s have degenerate ground states under certain circum stances. In particular, the existence of Abelian anyons im plies the ground state degeneracy on the tonus [28]. Indeed, consider a process in which a particle-antiparticle pair is created, one of the particles winds around the torus, and the pair is annihilated. Such a process corresponds to an operator acting on the ground state. IfA and B are such operators corresponding to two basic loops on the torus, then ABA ${ }^{1} B^{1}$ describes a process in which none of the particles e ectively crosses the torus, but one of them $w$ inds around the other. If the A haronov-Bohm phase is nontrivial, then $A$ and $B$ do not com $m$ ute. Therefore they act on a multidim ensional space.

A ctually, the degeneracy is not absolute but very precise. It is lifted due to virtual particle tunneling across the tonus, but this process is exponentially suppressed. Therefore the distance betw een ground energy levels is proportional to $\exp (\mathrm{L}=$ ), where L the linear size of the torus and is som e characteristic length, which is related to the gap in the excitation spectrum .

In non-A belian system s, degeneracy occurs even in the planar geom etry when several anyons are localized in som e places far apart from each other (it is this space of quantum states the braid group acts on). The underlying elem entary property $m$ ay be described as follow s: two given non-A belian particles can fuse in several ways (like multi-dim ensional representations of a non-A belian group). For exam ple, the non-A belian phase studied in this paper has the follow ing fusion rules:

$$
" \quad "=1 ; \quad " \quad=\quad ; \quad=1+\quad " ;
$$

where 1 is the vacuum sector, and " and are som e other superselection sectors. The last rule is especially interesting: it $m$ eans that two -particles $m$ ay either annihilate or fuse into an "-particle. But when the -particles stay apart, 1 and " correspond to tw o quantum states, $j_{1} i$ and $j$ " i. These states are persistent. For exam ple, if we create j " i by splitting an " into two 's, wait some time, and fuse the -particles back, we will still get an "-particle.

Here is a subtler property: the fusion states $j_{1} i$ and $j n i$ are practically indistinguishable and have alm ost the sam e energy. In fact, a natural process that \distinguishes" them by multiplying by di erent factors is tunneling of a virtual "-particle between the xed -particles (which is possible since $\quad "=$ ). H ow ever, "-particles are gapped, therefore this process is exponentially suppressed. Of course, this explanation depends on $m$ any details, but it is a general principle that di erent fusion states can only be distinguished by transporting a quasiparticle. Such processes are unlikely even in the presence of them al bath and extemal noise, as long as the tem perature and the noise frequency are much $s m$ aller than the gap.

In the above exam ple, the two-particle fusion states $j_{1} i$ and $j n i$ cannot form coherent supenpositions because they belong to di erent superselection sectors (1 and ", resp.). In order to im plem ent a qubit, one needs four -particles. A logical j0i is represented by the quantum state $j_{1} i$ that is obtained by creating the pairs $(1 ; 2)$ and $(3 ; 4)$ from the vacuum (see $F$ ig. (2) . A logical 7 li is encoded by the com plem entary state $j n i$ : we rst create a pair of "-particles, and then split each of them into a pair. $N$ ote that both states belong to the vacuum sector and
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Figure 2: Four ways to initialize an anyonic qubit.
therefore can form supenpositions. A lso shown in $F$ ig. Z are two altemative ways to in itialize the qubit, $j_{1} i$ and $j n i$. The detailed analysis presented in Secs. 8.4 and 8.5 im plies that

$$
j_{1} i=\frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} j_{1} i+j n i ; \quad j n i=\frac{1}{P_{\overline{2}}} j_{1} i \quad j_{n i}:
$$

$T$ herefore we can perform the follow ing gedanken experim ent. $W$ e create the state $j_{1} i$ and then $m$ easure the qubit in the $j_{1} i_{i} j n i g-b a s i s$ by fusing the pairs $(1 ; 3)$ and $(2 ; 4)$. W ith probability $1=2$ both pairs anninilate, and w ith probability $1=2$ we get two "-particles. O ne can also think of a simple robustness test for quantum states: if there is no decoherence, then both $j_{1} i$ and $j_{1} i$ are persistent.

As already $m$ entioned, braiding is described by operators that are exact (up to virtual quasiparticle tunneling). Indeed, the operators of counterclockw ise exchange betw een tw o particles ( $R \mathrm{~m}$ atrices) are related to the fusion rules by so-called hexagon equations and pentagon equation. We will on concrete exapm les that these equations have only a nite num ber of solutions and therefore do not adm it sm all deform ations. In general, it is a nontrivial theorem known as 0 cneanu rigidity [29, 30], see Sec. E.6.

Thus, we have allessentialelem ents of a quantum com puter in plem ented in a robust fashion: an initialstate ism ade by creating pairs and/orby spllting particles, unitary gates are realized by braiding, and $m$ easurem ents are perform ed by fusion. This \purely topological" schem e is universal for su ciently com plicated phases such as the $\mathrm{k}=3$ paraferm ion state [31], lattioe m odels based on som e nite groups (e.g. $S_{5}$ [24], $A_{5}$ [32, 33] and $S_{3}$ [34]), and double C hemSim ons m odels [35, 36, 37]. U nfortunately, the model studied in this paper is not universal in this sense. O ne can, however, com bine a topologically protected quantum m em ory w ith a nontopological realization of gates (using explicit error correction). N ote that som e weak form of topological protection is possible even in one-dim ensional Josephson junction arrays [38], which is due to the build-in U (1)-Symm etry. Several other schem es of Josephson junctionbased topological quantum m em ory have been proposed recently [39, 40, 41].

Unlikem any otherquantum com putation proposals, T Q C should not have serious scalability issues. W hat is usually considered an initial step, i.e., im plem enting a single gate, $m$ ay actually be close to the solution of the whole problem. It is an extrem ely challenging task, though. It dem ands the ability to control individual quasiparticles, which is beyond the reach of present technology. O ne should how ever keep in $m$ ind that the ultim ate goal is to build a practical quantum com puter, which w ill contain at least a few hundred logical qubits and involve errorcorrecting coding: either in softw are (w ith considerable overhead) or by topologicalprotection or $m$ aybe by som $e$ other $m$ eans. At any rate, that is a task for the technology of the future.

But for the $m$ eantim $e$, nding and studying topological phases seem $s$ to be a very reasonable goal, also attractive from the fundam ental science perspective.
$C$ om parison $w$ ith earlier work and a sum $m$ ary of the results. In this paper we study a particular exactly solvable spin $m$ odel on a two-dim ensional lattioe. It only involves tw o-body interactions and therefore is sim pler than H am iltonian (3) considered in [24], but the solution is less trivial. It is not clear how to realize this $m$ odel in solid state, but an optical lattice im plem entation has been proposed [42].

The m odelhas two phases (denoted by A and B ) which occur at di erent values of param eters. The exact solution is obtained by a reduction to free real ferm ions. Thus quasiparticles in the system $m$ ay be characterized as ferm ions and $Z_{2}$-vortioes. V ortioes and ferm ions interact by the A haronov B ohm factor equal to 1 . In phase A the ferm ions have an energy gap, and the vortices are bosons that fall into two distinct superselection sectors. (Interestingly enough, the tw o types of vortioes have identical physical properties and are related to each other by a lattice translation.) The overall particle classi cation, fusion nules, and statistics are the sam e as in the m odel (2) or RVB. In phase B the ferm ions are gapless and there is only one type of vortioes w ith unde ned statistics. A dding a magnetic eld to the $H$ am iltonian opens a gap in the ferm ionic spectrum, and the vortioes becom e non-A belian anyons. The di erence between the vortex statistics in phase A and phase B w ith the $m$ agnetic eld $m$ ay be attributed to di erent topology of ferm ionic pairing.

Topological properties of Ferm i-system s were rst studied in the theory of integer quantum H all e ect [43, 44]. Let us outline the m ain result. To begin $w$ th, the H all conductivity of noninteracting electrons in a periodic potential (e.g., in the $H$ ofstadter $m$ odel with $m=n$ ux quanta perplaquette) is expressed in term sofa single-electron H am iltonian in the Fourierbasis. Such a Ham iltonian is an $n \quad n$ matrix that depends on the $m$ om entum $q$. For each value of $q$ one can de ne a subspace $L$ ( $q$ ) $C^{n}$ that is associated $w$ ith negative-energy states, i.e., ones that are occupied by electrons. Thus, a vector bundle over the $m$ om entum space is de ned. $T$ he quantized $H$ allconductivity is proportional to the $C$ hem num ber of this bundle. Bellissard at al [l] have generalized this theory to disordered system s by using a powerfilm athem atical theory called noncom $m$ utative geom etry [45].

Even $m$ ore interesting topological phenom ena occur when the num ber of particles is not conserved (due to the presence of term $s$ like $a_{j}^{y} a_{k}^{Y}$, as in the $m$ ean-eld description of superconductors). In this case the single-electron H am iltonian is replaced by a m ore general ob ject, the B ogolyubov-N am bu m atrix. It also has an associated C hem num ber , which is tw ice the num ber de ned above when the previous de nition is applicable. But in general is an arbitrary integer. The rst physical exam ple of this kind, the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ - m , was studied by Volovik [46]. Volovik and Yakovenko [47] show ed that the C hem num ber in this system determ ines the statistics of solitons. M ore recently, R ead and G reen [48] considered B C S pairing of spinless particles w th angular m om entum $\mathrm{l}=1$. They identi ed a \strong pairing phase" w th zero C hem num ber and a \weak pairing phase" w ith $=1$. The latter is closely related to the M oore R ead state and has non-A belian vortioes and chiraledge m odes.

In the present paper, these results are generalized to an arbitrary Ferm i-system described by a quadratic H am iltonian on a tw o-dim ensional lattice. W e show that $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$-vortioes are A belian particles when the C hem num ber is even and non-A belian anyons when is odd. The nonA belian statistics is due to unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ odes associated with vortices. O ur method
relies on a quasidiagonalm atrix form alism (see A ppendix C), which is sim ilar to, but $m$ ore elem entary than, noncom $m$ utative geom etry. It can also be applied to disordered system $s$.

Furthem ore, we nd that there are actually 16 (8 Abelian and 8 non-Abelian) types of vortex-ferm ion statistics, which correspond to di erent values of mod 16 . O nly three of them (for $=0 ; 1$ ) are realized in the original spin $m$ odel. $W$ e give a com plete algebraic description of all 16 cases, see tables on pages 30, 41, and 42.

## 1 The m odel

W e study a spin $-1=2$ system in which spins are located at the vertices of a honeycom blattice, se Fig. [3a. This lattice consists of tw o equivalent sim ple sublattices, referred to as \even" and


Figure 3: T hree types of links in the honeycom b lattioe.
\odd" (they are show $n$ by em pty and full circles in the gure). A unit cell of the lattice contains one vertex of each kind. Links are divided into three types, depending on their direction (see Fig.(3b); we call them \x-links", \y-links", and $\backslash z$-links". The H am iltonian is as follow $s:$
where $J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}$ are $m$ odel param eters.
Let us introduce a special notation for the individual term $s$ in the $H$ am iltonian:

Rem arkably, all operators $K_{j k}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith the follow ing operators $W_{p}$, which are associated to lattice plaquettes (i.e., hexagons) :


$$
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}=\begin{array}{llllll}
\mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{z} & \mathrm{x} & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{z}  \tag{6}\\
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}=\mathrm{K}_{12} \mathrm{~K}_{23} \mathrm{~K}_{34} \mathrm{~K}_{45} \mathrm{~K}_{56} \mathrm{~K}_{61}:
$$

Here $p$ is a label of the plaquette. $N$ ote that di erent operators $W p$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith each other.
Thus $H$ am iltonian (4) has the set of \integrals of $m$ otion" $W$, which greatly sim pli es the problem. In order to nd eigenstates of the $H$ am iltonian, we rst divide the totalH ilbert space $L$ into sectors $\mid$ eigenspaces of $W_{p}$, which are also invariant subspaces of $H$. This can be w ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\sum_{W_{1} ;:: ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}}^{M} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{w}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the num ber of plaquettes. Each operator $W_{p}$ has eigenvalues +1 and 1 , therefore each sector corresponds to a choige of $w_{p}=1$ for each plaquette $p$. Then we need to solve for the eigenvalues of the H am iltonian restricted to a particular sector $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{w}_{1} ;::: \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{m}}}$.

The honeycom b lattice has $1=2$ plaquette per vertex, therefore $m \quad n=2$, where $n$ is the num ber of vertices. It follows that the dim ension of each sector is $2^{2}=2^{m} \quad 2^{2=2}$ (we w ill in fact see that all these dim ensions are equal). Thus splitting into sectors does not solve the problem yet. Fortunately, it tums out that the degrees of freedom within each sector can be described as real ( M ajorana) ferm ions, and the restricted H am iltonian is sim ply a quadratic form in $M$ ajorana operators. $T$ his $m$ akes an exact solution possible.

## 2 Representing spins by M a jorana operators

### 2.1 A general spin-ferm ion transform ation

Let us rem ind the reader som e general form alism pertaining to Ferm i system s. A system w ith n ferm ionic $m$ odes is usually described by the annihilation and creation operators $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}, \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}$ ( $k=1 ;::: ; n$ ). Instead, one can use their linear com binations,

$$
\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{k} 1}=a_{k}+a_{k}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \quad \mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{k}}=\frac{a_{k} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{k}}}{i} ;
$$

which are called M a jorana operators. The operators $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{j}=1 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{n})$ are H erm itian and obey the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j}^{2}=1 ; \quad C_{j} C_{1}=\quad \Phi C_{j} \text { if } j \not 1: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that all operators $C_{j}$ can be treated on equalbasis.
We now describe a representation of a spin by two ferm ionic $m$ odes, i.e., by four $M$ a jorana operators. Let us denote these operators by $b^{x}, b^{y}, b^{2}$, and $c$ (instead of $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$ ). The M ajorana operators act on the 4-dim ensional Fock space Nf , whereas the H ilbert space of a spin is identi ed w ith a two-dim ensional subspace M $M$ de ned by this condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { j i2 M if and only if } D j i=j \text { i; } \quad \text { where } D=b^{7} b^{z} c \text { : } \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e call M and 1 ff the physical subspace and the extended space, respectively; the operator D $m$ ay be thought of as a gauge transform ation for the group $Z_{2}$.

The P auli operator ${ }^{x},{ }^{y},{ }^{z}$ can be represented by som e operators $e^{x}, e^{y}, e^{z}$ acting on the extended space. Such a representation $m$ ust satisfy two conditions: (1) $e^{x}, e^{y}, e^{z}$ preserve
the subspace M ; (2) when restricted to M , the operators $e^{x}, e^{y}, e^{z}$ obey the sam e algebraic relations as ${ }^{x},{ }^{y},{ }^{z}$. W e w ill use the follow ing particular representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{b_{0}^{\mathrm{b}}}{b_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{x}}} \stackrel{e}{c}_{b^{\mathrm{y}}} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{x}}=i b^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{c} ; \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{y}}=i b^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{c} ; \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{z}}=i b^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{c}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

(W e have associated the M a jorana operators $w$ ith four dots for a reason that $w$ illbe clear later.) This representation is correct since e ( = x;y;z) commuteswith D (so that M is preserved), $(e)^{y}=e,(e)^{2}=1$, and

$$
e^{x} e^{y} e^{z}=i b^{x} \cdot b^{y} b^{z} c=i D:
$$

The last equation is consistent w the form ula $x y z=i b e c a u s e D$ acts as the identity operator on the subspace M .

A multi-spin system is described by four M ajorana operators per spin. The corresponding operators e ${ }^{j}, D_{j}$ and the physical subspace $L$ If are de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e^{j}=i b_{j} c_{j} ; \quad D_{j}=b_{j}^{x} b_{j}^{y} b_{j}^{z} c_{j} ;  \tag{11}\\
j \text { i2 } L \quad \text { if and only if } D_{j} j i=j \text { ifor all } j:
\end{gather*}
$$

 H fe ${ }^{j} \mathrm{~g}$ the action of which is restricted to the physical subspace. ( T he resulting H am iltonian $I f$ is rather special; in particular, tit com m utes $w$ ith the operators $D_{j}$.)
$R$ em ark 2.1. The substitution $\quad 7 \quad e_{j}=i b_{j} C_{j}$ is gauge-equivalent to a m ore fam iliar one (see [49] and references therein):

Thus one can represent a spin by only 3 M a jorana operatorsw thout im posing gauge constraints. H ow ever, this is not su cient for our purposes.

### 2.2 A pplication to the concrete $m$ odel

Let us apply the general procedure to the the spin $H$ am iltonian (4). Each term $K_{j k}=j k$ becom es $\mathbb{R}_{j k}=\left(i b_{j} c_{j}\right)\left(i b_{k} q_{k}\right)=i\left(i g_{k}\right) c_{j} q_{k}$. The operator in parentheses, $\hat{u}_{j k}=i b_{j} b_{k}$, is $H$ erm itian; we associated it w ith the link ( $j ; k$ ). ( $T$ he index takes values $x, y$ or $z$ depending on the direction of the link, i.e., $=\quad j k$.) Thus we get:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{u}_{j k}=i b_{j}{ }^{j k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{jk}}: \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that each pair of connected sites is counted tw ige, and $\hat{u}_{k j}=\quad \hat{u}_{j k} . T$ he structure of this H am iltonian is shown in F ig. 4 .


Figure 4: G raphic representation of H am iltonian (13).

Rem arkably, the operators $\hat{A}_{j k}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith the $H$ am iltonian and with each other. Therefore the $H$ ilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ splits into comm on eigenspaces of $\hat{u}_{j k}$, which are ${ }_{L}$ indexed by the corresponding eigenvalues $u_{j k}=1$. Sim ilarly to ( $\nabla_{\text {) }}$ we may write $\mathbb{G}={ }_{u} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{u}}$, where $u$ stands for the collection of all $u_{j k}$. The restriction of $H$ am iltonian (13) to the subspace $\mathbb{H}_{u}$ is obtained by \rem oving hats", i.e., replacing operators by num bers. This procedure results in the $H$ am iltonian $\mathscr{F}_{u}=\frac{i}{4}{ }_{j ; k} A_{j k} C_{j} \mathrm{C}_{k}$, which corresponds to free ferm ions. The ground state of $\mathrm{If}_{\mathrm{u}}$ can be found exactly; let us denote it by je ${ }_{u}$ i.

N ote, how ever, that the subspace $\mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{u}}$ is not gauge-invariant: applying the gauge operator $D_{j}$ changes the values of $u_{j k}$ on the links connecting the vertex $j w i t h$ three adjacent vertioes $k$. Therefore the state $j_{u} i$ does not belong to the physical subspace. To obtain a physical space we $m$ ust sym $m$ etrize over all gauge transform ations. Speci cally, we construct the follow ing state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{w} i=Y_{j}^{Y} \frac{1+D_{j}}{2} j_{u}{ }_{u} 2 L: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here w denotes the equivalence class of $u$ under the gauge transform ations. For the planar lattice (but not on the torus) w is characterized by numbers $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=1$ de ned as products of $u_{j k}$ around hexagons. To avoid am biguity (due to the relation $u_{k j}=u_{j k}$ ), we choose a particular direction for each link:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}=\quad \mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{jk}} \quad j 2 \text { even sublattice; } \mathrm{k} 2 \text { odd sublattice : } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(j;k)2 boundary (p)
The corresponding operator $\frac{F_{j}}{p}={ }^{Q} \hat{u}_{j k}$ comm utes $w$ ith the gauge transform ations as well as the $H$ am iltonian. The restriction of this operator to the physical subspace coincides $w$ ith the integral ofm otion $W_{p}$ de ned earlier (see (6)).

N otation change: From now on, we will not make distinction between operators acting in the extended space and their restrictions to the physical subspace e.g., ${ }_{\mathrm{F}}^{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{p}$ versus $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$. The tilde m ark willbe used for other punposes.

### 2.3 Path and loop operators

O ne may think of the variables $u_{k j}$ as a $Z_{2}$ gauge eld. The number $w_{p}$ is intenpreted as the $m$ agnetic ux through the plaquette $p$. If $w_{p}=1$, we say that the plaquette carries a vortex.

The product of $\hat{u}_{j k}$ along an arbitrary path corresponds to the transfer of a ferm ion betw een the initialand the nalpoint. H ow ever, this product is not gauge-invariant. O ne can de ne an invariant ferm ionic path operator in term $s$ of spins or in term $s$ of ferm ions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(j_{0} ;::: ; j_{n}\right)=K_{j_{n} j_{n} 1}::: K_{j_{1} j_{0}}=Y_{s=1}^{Y^{n}} \quad i \hat{j}_{j_{s} j_{s} 1} \quad C_{n} C_{0} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{j k}$ is given by (5). If the path is closed, i.e., $j_{n}=j_{0}$, the factors $c_{n}$ and $c_{0}$ cancel each other. In this case the path operator is called the $W$ ilson loop; it generalizes the notion of $m$ agnetic ux.

O n the honeycom b lattice all loops have even length, and form ula (16) agrees w ith the sign convention based on the partition into the even and odd sublattice. H ow ever, the spin $m$ odel can be generalized to any trivalent graph, in which case the loop length is arbitrary. For an odd loop l the operator $W$ (l) has eigenvalues $w_{1}=$ i. This is not just an artifact of the de nition: odd loops are special in that they cause spontaneous breaking of the tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry.
$T$ he tim e-reversal operator is a conjugate-linear unitary operator $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}_{j} \mathrm{~T}^{1}=\quad{ }_{j} ; \quad \mathrm{T} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~T}^{1}=\mathrm{b}_{j} ; \quad \mathrm{T} \mathrm{c}_{j} \mathrm{~T}^{1}=\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ he rst equation is a physical requirem ent; the other two represent the action of $T$ in the extended space.) Therefore $T$ com $m$ utes $w$ th the $H$ am iltonian (4) and the $W$ ilson loop. $M$ ultiplying the equation $W_{1} j i=w_{1} j i$ by $T$, we get $W{ }_{1} T j i=W_{1} T j i . T h u s$ the time-reversal operator changes $w_{1}$ to $w_{1}$. For a bipartite graph $w_{1}=w_{1}$ for all loops, therefore xing the variables $w_{1}$ does not break the tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry. O $n$ the contrary, for a sim ilar $m$ odel on a non-bipartite graph (e.g., a lattice containing triangles) the operator $T$ does not preserve the eld con guration, which is de ned by the values of $w_{1}$ on all loops. But $T$ is a sym $m$ etry operator, therefore all H am iltonian eigenstates are (at least) two-fold degenerate.

## 3 Q uadratic H am ilton ians

In the previous section we transform ed the spin $m$ odel (4) to a quadratic ferm ionic $H$ am iltonian of the general form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(A)=\frac{i}{4}_{j ; k}^{X} A_{j k} C_{j} G_{k} ; \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a real skew -sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix of size $n=2 m$. Let us brie y state some general properties of such $H$ am iltonians and $x$ the term inology.
$F$ irst, we com $m$ ent on the nom alization factor $1=4$ in Eq. (18). It is chosen so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\text { iH }(A) ; \text { iH }(B)]=\quad \text { iH } \mathbb{A} ; B]: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the Lie algebra ofquadratic operators iH (A) (acting on the $2^{2}$ dim ensionalFock space) is identi ed $w$ th $\mathrm{so}(2 \mathrm{~m})$. O perators of the form $\mathrm{e}^{\text {iH }(A)}$ constitute the Lie group $\operatorname{Spin}(2 m)$.

The center of this group consists of phase factors 1 (e.g., $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{c}_{1} \mathrm{c}_{2}}=1$ ). The quotient group $\operatorname{Spin}(2 \mathrm{~m})=\mathrm{f}+1 ; \quad 1 \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{SO}(2 \mathrm{~m})$ describes the action of $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iH}(A)}$ on M ajorana operators by conjugation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i H(A)} q_{k} e^{i H(A)}=\sum_{j}^{X} Q_{j k} C_{j} ; \quad \text { where } Q=e^{A} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

( Q is a real orthogonalm atrix w ith determ inant 1).
N ote that the sum in Eq. (20) corresponds to them ultiplication of the row vector ( $c_{1} ;::: ; c_{2 m}$ ) by the $m$ atrix $Q$. On the other hand, when we consider a linear com bination of $M$ ajorana operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)={ }_{j}^{x} x_{j} C_{j} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

we prefer to view x as a colum n vector. If the coe cients $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are real, we call F ( x ) (or x itself) a M ajorana mode.

To nd the ground state of the $H$ am iltonian (18), one needs to reduce it to a canonical form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {canonical }}=\frac{i}{2}_{k=1}^{X^{m}} "_{k} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\infty}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{m}} "_{k}\left(a_{k}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \frac{1}{2}\right) ; \quad "_{k}>0 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}$, $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\infty}$ are norm alm odes, and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{j}}\right)$, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}+i \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{k}}^{0}\right)$ are the corresponding creation and annihilation operators. The ground state of H canonical is characterized by the condition $a_{k} j i=0$ for all $k$. The reduction to the canonical form is achieved by the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}^{0} ; \mathrm{b}_{1}^{\infty} ;::: ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}^{0} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\infty}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}_{1} ; \mathrm{c}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} 1 ; \mathrm{c}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}\right) Q ; \quad \text { Q } 2 \circ(2 \mathrm{~m}) ; \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

The numbers $k$ constitute the spectnum of the Hem itian matrix iA, whereas odd (even) colum ns of Q are equal to the real (resp., im aginary) part of the eigenvectors. The ground state of the $M$ a jorana system has energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{1}{2}_{k=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}}{ }_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr} \ddot{\mathrm{p} A} \mathrm{j} j \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $j$ jacts on the eigenvalues, the eigenvectors being xed. (In fact, any function of a real variable can be applied to $H$ erm itian $m$ atrioes.)
$N$ ote that di erent quadratic H am iltonians m ay give rise to the sam e ground state. The latter actually depends on
(W e assume that A is not degenerate.) The matrix B is real skew-sym metric and satis es $B^{2}=1$. It determ ines the ground state through the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{X} P_{j k} C_{j} j i=0 \quad \text { for all } k ; \quad \text { where } P_{j k}=\frac{1}{2}\left({ }_{j k} \quad i B_{j k}\right): \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Loosely speaking, $B$ corresponds to a pairing between $M$ ajorana m odes. The operators $b^{0}=$ $F\left(x^{0}\right)$ and $b^{\infty}=F\left(x^{\infty}\right)$ are paired if $x^{\infty}=B x^{0}$.

The $m$ atrix $P$ in Eq. (27) is called the spectral projector. It projects the 2 m -dim ensional com plex space $\mathrm{C}^{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ onto the m -dim ensional subspace L spanned by the eigenvectors of iA corresponding to negative eigenvalues. For any vector z 2 L the corresponding operator $F(z)$ annihilates the ground state, so we m ay call L the space of annihilation operators. $N$ ote that if $z ; z^{0} 2$ L then ${ }_{j} z_{j} z_{j}^{0}=0$. The choice of an $m$-dim ensional subspace $L \quad C^{2 m}$ satisfying this condition is equivalent to the choice of $m$ atrix $B$.

The ground state of a quadratic H am iltonian can also be characterized by correlation functions. The second-order correlator is $h \dot{J}_{j} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} j \mathrm{i}=2 \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{kj}}$; higher-order correlators can be found using $W$ idk's formula.

## 4 The spectrum of ferm ions and the phase diagram

W e now study the system of M ajorana ferm ions on the honeycomb lattice. It is described by the quadratic $H$ am ittonian $H_{u}=H(A)$, where $A_{j k}=2 J_{j k} u_{j k}$, $u_{j k}=$ 1. A though the H am iltonian is param etrized by $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{jk}}$, the corresponding gauge-invariant state (or the state of the spin system ) actually depends on the variables $w_{p}$, see (15).

F irst, we rem ark that the global ground state energy does not depend on the signs of the exchange constants $J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}$ since changing the signs can be com pensated by changing the corresponding variables $u_{j k}$. $W$ e further notice that the ground state energy for $H_{u}$ does not depend on these signs even if $u$ is xed. Suppose, for instance, that we replace $J_{z}$ by $J_{z}$. Such a change is equivalent to altering $u_{j k}$ for all $z$-links. But the gauge-invariant quantities $w_{p}$ rem ain constant, so we $m$ ay apply a gauge transform ation that retums $u_{j k}$ to their original values. The net e ect is that the $M$ a jorana operators at som e sites are transform ed ascj 7 G. Speci cally, the transform ation acts on the set of sites $z$ that lie in the shaded area in the picture below. In term s of spins, this action is induced by the unitary operator


So, for the purpose of nding the ground state energy and the excitation spectrum, the signs of exchange constants do not $m$ atter (but other physical quantities $m$ ay depend on them ).

[^0]Them ost interesting choice ofu $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{jk}}$ is the one that minim izes the ground state energy. It tums out that the energy $m$ in $\dot{m} u m$ is achieved by the vortex-free eld con guration, i.e., $w_{p}=1$ for all plaquettes $p$. This statem ent follows from a beautiful theorem proved by Lieb [50]. (N ot know ing about Lieb's result, I did som e num erical study suggesting the sam e answ er, see A ppendix 国.) Thus we $m$ ay assum e that $u_{j k}=1$ for all links ( $j ; k$ ), where $j$ belongs to the even sublattice, and $k$ belongs to the odd sublattice. This eld con guration (denoted by $u_{j k}^{\text {std }}$ ) possesses a translational sym $m$ etry, therefore the ferm ionic spectrum can be found analytically using the Fourier transform .

The general procedure is as follow $s$. Let us represent the site index $j$ as ( $s$; ), where $s$ refers to a unit cell, and to a position type inside the cell (we choose the unit cell as show $n$ in the gure accom panying Eq. (32)). The $H$ am iltonian becom es $H=(i=4)$ s;, ; $A_{s} ; \quad C_{s} C_{t}$, where $A_{s, t}$ actually depends on , and $t \quad s . T h e n$ we pass to the $m$ om entum representation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H=\frac{1}{2}_{q ;}^{X} \text { if (q)a } q ; a_{q} ; \quad \text { R }(q)=\underbrace{X}_{t} e^{i\left(q ; r_{t}\right)} A_{0} ; t ;  \tag{29}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}} ;=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{d}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{r})} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}} ; \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $N$ is the total number of the unit cells. (Here and on, operators in the $m$ om entum representation are $m$ arked $w$ ith tilde.) N ote that $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}},=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}$; and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q} ;}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{p}}$; $=\mathrm{pq}$. The spectrum " (q) is given by the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix if (q). O ne may call it a \double spectrum " because of its redundancy: "( $q$ ) = "( q). The \single spectrum " can be obtained by taking only positive eigenvalues (if none of the eigenvalues is zero).

W e now apply this procedure to the concrete H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {vortex-free }}=\frac{i_{4}^{X}}{j ; k} A_{j k} C_{j} G_{k} ; \quad A_{j k}=2 J_{j k} u_{j k}^{s t d}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e choose a basis ( $n_{1} ; n_{2}$ ) of the translation group and obtain the follow ing result:


$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{if}(q)=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \text { if }(q) \\
\text { if }(q) & 0
\end{array} ; \quad "(q)=\quad \dot{f}(q) j ;  \tag{32}\\
f(q)=2 J_{x} e^{i\left(q ; n_{1}\right)}+J_{y} e^{i\left(q ; n_{2}\right)}+J_{z} ;
\end{gather*}
$$

where $\mathrm{n}_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{3}}{2}\right), \mathrm{n}_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2} ; \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{F}} \overline{3}}{2}\right)$ in the standard xy-coordinates.
An im portant property of the spectrum is whether it is gapless, ie., whether " (q) is zero for som eq. The equation $J_{x} e^{i\left(q ; n_{1}\right)}+J_{y} e^{i\left(q ; n_{2}\right)}+J_{z}=0$ has solutions if and only if $j J_{x} j j_{y} j$ $j J_{z} j$ satisfy the triangle inequalities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{j} J_{x} j 6 \quad j J_{y} j+j J_{z} \dot{j} \quad j J_{y} j 6 \quad j J_{x} j+j J_{z} j ; \quad j_{z} j 6 \quad j J_{x} j+j_{y} j: \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the inequalities are strict ( $\backslash<"$ instead of $\backslash 6^{\prime \prime}$ ), there are exactly 2 solutions: $q=q$. The region de ned by inequalities (33) is m arked by B in Fig. [5; this phase is gapless. The


Figure 5: P hase diagram of the m odel. The triangle is the section of the positive octant $\left(J_{x} ; J_{y} ; J_{z}>0\right)$ by the plane $J_{x}+J_{y}+J_{z}=1$. T he diagram $s$ for the other octants are sim ilar.
gapped phases, $A_{x}, A_{Y}$, and $A_{z}$, are algebraically distinct, though related to each other by rotational sym $m$ etry. They di er in the way lattioe translations act on anyonic states (see Section 52.2). Therefore a continuous transition from one gapped phase to another is im possible, even if we introduce new term $s$ in the $H$ am iltonian. On the other hand, the 8 copies of each phase (corresponding to di erent sign com binations of $J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}$ ) have the sam e translational properties. It is unknow $n$ whether the 8 copies of the gapless phase are algebraically di erent.

W e now consider the zeros of the spectrum that exist in the gapless phase. Them om entum $q$ is de ned $m$ odulo the reciprocal lattice, i.e., it belongs to a tonus. W e represent the m om entum space by the parallelogram spanned by $\left(q_{1} ; q_{2}\right) \mid$ the basis dualto $\left(n_{1} ; n_{2}\right)$. In the sym m etric case $\left(J_{x}=J_{y}=J_{z}\right)$ the zeros of the spectrum are given by


$$
\begin{array}{lll}
q & \frac{1}{3} q_{1}+\frac{2}{3} q_{2} & \left(\bmod q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)  \tag{34}\\
q & \frac{2}{3} q_{1}+\frac{1}{3} q_{2} & \left(\bmod q_{1} ; q_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$

If $j J_{x}$ jand $j J_{y} j$ jecrease while $j J_{z} j r e m$ ains constant, $q$ and $q$ move tow ard each other (w ithin the parallelogram ) until they fuse and disappear. This happenswhen $j_{x} j+j_{y} j=j_{z} j$. The points $q$ and $q$ can also e ectively fuse at opposite sides of the parallelogram . (N ote that the equation $q=q$ has three nonzero solutions on the tonus).

At the points $q$ the spectrum has conic singularities (assum ing that $q \in q$ ):

" (q)

$w$ here $q=q$ or $q=q+q:$

## 5 P roperties of the gapped phases

In a gapped phase, spin correlations decay exponentially $w$ ith distance, therefore spatially separated quasiparticles cannot interact directly. That is, a sm all displacem ent or another local action on one particle does not in uence the other. H ow ever, the particles can interact topologically if they $m$ ove around each other. $T$ his phenom enon is described by braiding rules. (W e refer to braids that are form ed by particle worldlines in the 3-dim ensional space-tim e.) In our case the particles are vortices and ferm ions. W hen a ferm ion moves around a vortex, the overall quantum state is multiplied by 1. A smentioned in the introduction, such particles (w ith braiding characterized sim ply by phase factors) are called Abelian anyons.

The description ofanyons beginsw ith identifying superselection sectors, i.e., excitation types de ned up to localoperations. (A $n$ \excitation" is assum ed to be localized in space, but it $m$ ay have uncertain energy or be com posed of several unbound particles.) The trivial superselection sector is that of the vacuum ; it also contains all excitations that can be obtained from the vacuum by the action of local operators.

At rst sight, each gapped phase in ourm odel has three superselection sectors: a ferm ion, a vortex and the vacuum. H ow ever, we w ill see that there are actually two types of vortioes that live on di erent subsets of plaquettes. T hey have the sam e energy and other physical characteristics, yet they belong to di erent superselection sectors: to transform one type of vortex into the other one has to create or annihilate a ferm ion.

To understand the particle types and other algebraic properties of the gapped phases, we willm ap ourm odel to an already known one [24]. Let us focus on the phase $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}$, which occurs $w h e n ~ j J_{x} j+~ j J_{y} j<~ j J_{z} j$. Since we are only interested in discrete characteristics of the phase, we $m$ ay set $j J_{x} j j^{j} j_{y} j \quad j_{z} j$ and apply the perturbation theory.

### 5.1 P erturbation theory study

The $H$ am iltonian is $H=H_{0}+V$, where $H_{0}$ is the $m$ ain part and $V$ is the perturbation:


Let us assum e that $J_{z}>0$ (the opposite case is studied analogously).
$W$ e rst set $J_{x}=J_{y}=0$ and nd the ground state. It is highly degenerate: each two spins connected by a z-link are aligned ("" or \#\#), but their common direction is not xed. W e regard each such pair as an e ective spin. The transition from physical spins to e ective spins is show $n$ in $F$ ig. $6 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. T he ground state energy is $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{J}_{2}$, where N is the num ber of unit cells, i.e., half the num ber of spins.

O ur goal is to nd an e ective Ham iltonian that would act in the space of e ective spins $L_{e}$. O ne way to solve the problem is to choose a basis in $L_{e}$ and com pute the $m$ atrix elem ents

H ow ever, we will use the $m$ ore general $G$ reen function form alism .
Let $: L_{e}!\mathrm{L}$ be the embedding that $m$ aps the e ective $H$ ibert space onto the ground subspace of $H_{0}$. The map simply doubles each spin: jin $i=j n m i$ where $m="$ or


Figure 6: Reduction of the $m$ odel. Strong links in the originalm odel (a) becom e e ective spins (b), which are associated w th the links of a new lattice (c).
$m$ = \#. The eigenvalues of the \e ective Ham iltonian" (if one exists) are supposed to be the energy levels of $H$ that originate from ground states of $H_{0}$. These levels can be unam biguously de ned as poles of the $G$ reen function $G(E)={ }^{y}(\mathbb{E} \quad H)^{1}$, which is an operator acting on $L_{e}$ and depending on the param eter $E$. The G reen function is conventionally expressed as $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0} \quad(\mathbb{E})^{1}$, where ( $E$ ) is called self-energy, so the energy levels in question are the values of E forwhich the operator $\mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{E}_{0} \quad(E)$ is degenerate. N eglecting the the dependence of $(E)$ on $E$ (for $E \quad E_{0}$ ), we de ne the e ective $H$ am iltonian as $H_{e}=E_{0}+(E)_{0}$ ).
$T$ he selfenergy is com puted by the standard $m$ ethod. Let $G_{0}^{0}(\mathbb{E})=\left(\mathbb{E} \quad H_{0}\right)^{1}{ }^{0}$ be the unperturbed G reen function for exited states of $\mathrm{H}_{0}$. The ${ }^{0}$ sign indicates that the operator $\left(\mathbb{E} \quad H_{0}\right)^{1}{ }^{0}$ acts on excited states in the natural way but vanishes on ground states. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{E})={ }^{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{~V}+\mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0}(\mathbb{E}) \mathrm{V}+\mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0}(\mathbb{E}) \mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0}(\mathbb{E}) \mathrm{V}+; \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e set $E=E_{0}$ and com pute $H_{e}=E_{0}+$ in the zeroth order $\left(H_{e}{ }^{(0)}=E_{0}\right)$, rst order, second order, and on, untiliwe nd a nonconstant term 2 T he calculation follow s.

1. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{(1)}={ }^{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{V}}}=0$.
 of the second $V$ in the expression ${ }^{Y} V G_{0}^{0} V$. Each term $\underset{j}{x} \underset{k}{x}$ or $\underset{j}{y} \underset{k}{y}$ ips two spins, increasing the energy by $4 \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{z}}$. The other V must ip them back.
2. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{(3)}={ }^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{VGG}{ }_{0} \mathrm{VGG}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{~V}=0$.
3. $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(4)}={ }^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{VGG}_{0}^{0} \mathrm{VG}{ }_{0}^{0} \mathrm{~V}=$ const $\frac{J_{x}^{2} J_{Y}^{2} \mathrm{X}}{16 J_{z}^{3}}{ }_{\mathrm{p}} Q_{\mathrm{p}}$, where $Q_{\mathrm{p}}=(\mathbb{W})_{\mathrm{e}}$ is the e ective spin representation of the operator (6). The factor $\frac{1}{16}$ is obtained by sum $m$ ing 24 term $s$,

[^1]each of which corresponds to ipping 4 spin pairs in a particular order:
$$
\frac{1}{16}=8 \frac{1}{64}+8 \frac{1}{64}+8 \frac{1}{128}:
$$

The above argum ents can easily be adapted to the case $J_{z}<0$. Now we have : j"i 7 j"\#i; 井il j\#"i. The result tums out to be the sam e, with $J_{z}$ replaced by $j J_{z} j$.
$T$ hus the e ective $H$ am iltonian has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\frac{J_{x}^{2} J_{y}^{2} X}{16 \mathrm{j}_{z}{ }^{3}}{ }_{p}^{X} Q_{\mathrm{p}} ; \quad Q_{\mathrm{p}}=\underset{\text { left(p) }}{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\text { right }(p)}{\mathrm{p})} \underset{\mathrm{up}(\mathrm{p})}{z} \underset{\operatorname{down}(\mathrm{p})}{z} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

(the geom etric arrangem ent of the spins corresponds to Fig.6b).

### 5.2 A belian anyons

H am iltonian (37) already lends itself to direct analysis. H ow ever, let us rst reduce it to the $m$ ore fam iliar form (3). We construct a new lattice ${ }^{0}$ so that the e ective spins lie on its links (see $F$ ig. 66). This is a sublattice of index 2 in the original lattice (here \lattice" m eans \translational group"). The basis vectors of ${ }^{0}$ are $m_{1}=n_{1} \quad n_{2}$ and $m_{2}=n_{1}+n_{2}$. The plaquettes of the e ective spin lattioe becom e plaquettes and vertioes of the new lattioe, so the H am iltonian can be w ritten as follow s:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{J}_{\text {vertices }}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{s}}+{\underset{\text { plaquettes }}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}} \quad \text {; } ; ~}_{\text {; }}
$$

$w h e r e J_{e}=J_{x}^{2} J_{y}^{2}=\left(16 \mathrm{j}_{z} \mathcal{J}^{3}\right)$.
N ow we apply the unitary transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\underset{\text { horizontal links }}{Y} \underset{X_{j}}{Y} \underset{\text { vertical links }}{Y} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitably chosen spin rotations X and Y so that the H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{0}=\mathrm{UH} \mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{Y}}=\underset{\text { vertices }}{\mathrm{J}} \quad \mathrm{X} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{s}}+\underset{\text { plaquettes }}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~B}_{\mathrm{p}} ; \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{s}$ and $B_{p}$ are de ned in Eq. (3) . (C aution: transform ation (38) breaks the translational sym $m$ etry of the originalm odel.)

The last H am iltonian has been studied in detail [24]. Its key properties are that all the term $s A_{s}, B_{p}$ com $m$ ute, and that the ground state $m$ inim izes each term separately. Thus the ground state satis es these conditions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{s} j i=+j i ; \quad B_{p} j i=+j i: \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Excited states can be obtained by replacing the + sign to a sign for a few vertioes and plaquettes. Those vertioes and plaquettes are the locations of anyons. W e call them \electric charges"
and $\backslash m$ agnetic vortioes", or e-particles and $m$-particles, respectively. W hen an e-particle m oves around a m-particle, the overallstate of the system is m ultiplied by $1 . T$ his property is stable w th respect to sm all local pertunbations of the H am iltonian. (A local operator is a sum of term s each of which acts on a sm all num ber of neighboring spins.) It is also a robust property that the num ber of particles of each type is conserved m odulo 2.

The model has 4 superselection sectors: 1 (the vacuum), $e, m$, and $"=e \mathrm{~m}$. The latter expression denotes a com posite ob ject consisting of an \electric charge" and a \m agnetic vortex". These are the fusion nules:

$$
\begin{gather*}
e \quad e=m \quad m=\|\quad\|=1 ;  \tag{41}\\
e \quad m=" ; \quad e \quad "=m ; \quad m \quad "=e:
\end{gather*}
$$

(In general, fusion rules m ust be supplem ented by associativity relations, or 6j-sym bols, but they are trivial in our case.)

Let us discuss the braiding rules. O ne special case has been m entioned: moving an e-particle around an $m$-particle yields 1. This fact can be represented pictorially:


The st diagram shows the \top view " of the process. The diagram $s$ in the second equation correspond to the \front view ": the \up" direction is tim e.

It is easy to show that e-particles are bosons w ith respect to them selves (though they clearly do not behave like bosons w ith respect to $m$-particles); $m$-particles are also bosons. H ow ever "-particles are ferm ions. To see this, consider two processes. In the rst process two "-pairs are created, tw o of the four "-ob jects are exchanged by a 180 counterclockw ise rotation, then the pairs are annihilated. (E ach "-ob ject is represented by e and m, so there are 8 elem entary particles involved.) In the second process the two pairs are annihilated im m ediately. It does not $m$ atter how exactly we create and annihilate the pairs, but we should do it the sam e way in both cases. For exam ple, we m ay use this de nition:

N ow we com pare the two processes. Each one e ects the m ultiplication of the ground state by a num ber, but the two num bers di er by 1 :


Indeed, in the left diagram the dashed line is linked w ith the solid line. $T$ his corresponds to an e-particle going around an $m$ particle, which yields the $m$ inus sign.


Figure 7: W eak breaking of the translational sym $m$ etry: e-vortioes and $m$-vortioes live on altemating row s of hexagons.

B raiding an "-particle w ith an e-orm -particle also gives 1. This com pletes the description ofbraiding rules.

It rem ains to interpret these properties in term $s$ of vortices and ferm ions in the original m odel. Tracing sites and plaquettes of the reduced $m$ odel (3) back to the originalm odel, we conclude that e-particles and $m$-particles are vortioes that live on altemating row sofhexagons, see Fig. 7. N ote that the two types of vortioes have the same energy and other physical properties, yet they cannot be transform ed one to another w ithout creating or absorbing a ferm ion. A general view on this kind of phenom enon is given in A ppendix $\mathbb{F}$.

The ferm ions in the original model belong to the superselection sector ", although they are not com posed ofe and $m$. In the perturbation-theoretic lim it, the energy of a ferm ion is about $2 j_{z} j$ whereas an em -pair has energy $4 J_{e} \quad j j_{z} j$. The ferm ions are stable due to the conservation of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{p}}$ (and also due to the conservation of energy). H ow ever, they will decay into $e$ and $m$ if $w e$ let the spins interact $w$ ith a zero-tem perature bath, i.e., another system that can absorb the energy released in the decay.

## 6 Phase B acquires a gap in the presence of $m$ agnetic eld

### 6.1 T he con ic singularity and the tim e-reversal sym m etry

Phase B (cf. Fig. [5) carries gapped vortioes and gapless ferm ions. N ote that vortioes in this phase do not have well-de ned statistics, i.e., the e ect of transporting one vortex around the other depends on details of the process. Indeed, a pair of vortioes separated by distance $L$ is strongly coupled to ferm ionic m odes near the singularity of the spectrum, $\dot{\mathcal{M}} \quad \mathrm{qj} \mathrm{L}^{1}$. This coupling results in e ective interaction betw een the vortices that is proportionalto " (q) L ${ }^{1}$ and oscillates $w$ ith characteristic wavevector $2 q$. W hen one vortex $m$ oves around the other, the quantum state picks up a nonuniversal phase ' $L^{1} t$, where $t$ is the duration of the process. Since the vortex velocity $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{t} \mathrm{m}$ ust be sm all to ensure adiabaticity (or, at least, to prevent the em ission of ferm ionic pairs), the nonuniversal phase' is alw ays large.

The conic singularity in the spectrum is, in fact, a robust feature that is related to tim ereversal sym $m$ etry. A s discussed in the end of Section 2, this sym $m$ etry is not broken by xing the gauge sector (i.e., the variables $\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{p}}$ ) since the honeycom b lattice is bipartite. Let us show
that a sm allperturbation com $m$ uting $w$ ith the tim e-reversaloperator $T$ can not open a spectral gap.

W e may perform the perturbation theory expansion relative to the gauge sector of the ground state (i.e., any term that changes the eld con guration is taken into account in the second and higher orders). This procedure yields an e ective $H$ am iltonian which acts in the xed gauge sector and therefore can be represented in term $s$ of $C_{j}$ and $\hat{u}_{j k}$. $T$ he operator $T$ de ned by (17) changes the sign of $\hat{u}_{j k}=i b_{j}{ }^{j k} b_{k}{ }^{j k}$, but this change can be com pensated by a gauge transform ation. Thus we get a physically equivalent operator $T^{0}$ such that

$$
T^{0} \hat{u}_{j k}\left(T^{0}\right)^{1}=\hat{u}_{j k} ; \quad T^{0} C_{j}\left(T^{0}\right)^{1}=\quad \begin{array}{r}
C_{j} \text { if } j 2 \text { even sublattice; } \\
G \text { if } j 2 \text { odd sublattice: }
\end{array}
$$

A $\mathrm{T}^{0}$-invariant perturbation to the ferm ionic H am iltonian (31) (corresponding to a xed gauge) can not contain term $s$ like $i c_{j} q_{k}$, where $j$ and $k$ belong to the sam e sublattioe. Thus the perturbed $m$ atrix $\mathcal{R}(q)$ in Eq. (32) still has zeros on the diagonal, though the exact form of the function $f(q) m$ ay be di erent. H ow ever, a zero of a com plex-valued function in two real variables is a topological feature, therefore it survives the perturbation.

### 6.2 D erivation of an e ective $H$ am iltonian

W hat if the perturbation does not respect the tim e-reversal sym m etry? W ew ill now show that the sim plest perturbation of this kind,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V={ }_{j}^{X} h_{x} \underset{j}{x}+h_{y} \underset{j}{y}+h_{z}^{z} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

does open a spectral gap. (P hysically, the vector $h=\left(h_{x} ; h_{y} ; h_{z}\right)$ is an extemalm agnetic eld acting on all spins.) For sim plicity we will assum e that $J_{x}=J_{y}=J_{z}=J$.

Let us use the perturbation theory to construct an e ective H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}$ acting on the vortex-firee sector. O ne can easily see that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(1)}=0$. A though the second-order term $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(2)}$ does not vanish, it preserves the tim e-reversalsym $m$ etry. T herefore wem ust consider the third-order term, which can be w ritten as follow s:

$$
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(3)}=0 \mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right) \mathrm{VG}_{0}^{0}\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}\right) \mathrm{V} \quad{ }_{0} ;
$$

where 0 is the pro jector onto the vortex-fire sector, and $\mathrm{G}_{0}^{0}$ is the unperturbed $G$ reen function $w$ th the vortex-free sector excluded. In principle $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{R}}$ the G reen function can be com puted for each gauge sector using the formula $\left.G_{0}(E)=\quad i_{0}^{1} e^{i(E)} H_{0}+i\right) t d t$ (where is an in nitely $s m$ all num ber). For xed values of the eld variables $u_{j k}$ the unperturbed $H$ am iltonian $m$ ay be represented in the form (18) and exponentiated im plicitly by exponentiating the corresponding $m$ atrix A ; the nalresult $m$ ay be w ritten as a norm al-ordered expansion up to the second order. H ow ever, it is a rather di cult calculation, so we w ill use a qualitative argum ent instead.

Let us assum e that all interm ediate states involved in the calculation have energy E jJj above the ground state. (A ctually, E 0:27j j jfor the low est energy state w th two adjacent vortioes, see A ppendix 因.) Then $G_{0}^{0}\left(E_{0}\right)$ can be replaced by ( $\left.1 \quad 0\right)=j \mathrm{j} j$. The e ective H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}^{(3)} \quad \frac{h_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{X}}{\mathrm{~J}^{2}} \underset{\mathrm{j} ; \mathrm{k} ; 1}{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{j}}{\mathrm{x}} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{y}} \underset{\mathrm{l}}{\mathrm{Z}} ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the sum $m$ ation takes place over spin triples arranged as follow $s$ :
a)
 (and sym m etry-equivalent), or
b)

$C$ on guration (a) corresponds to the term $\underset{j}{x} \underset{k}{y}{\underset{1}{z}}_{z}=\quad i D_{1} \hat{U}_{j 1} \hat{U}_{k 1} C_{j} G_{k}$ (where $D_{1} m$ ay be om itted as we work in the physical subspace), or sim ply igak in the standard gauge. C on guration (b) corresponds to a four-ferm ion term and therefore does not directly in uence the spectrum . $T$ hus we arrive at this e ective H am iltonian:


$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}} & =\frac{i}{4}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X} k} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{C}_{j} \mathrm{C}_{k} ; \\
\mathrm{A}= & 2 \mathrm{~J}(-\longrightarrow)+2(---) ;  \tag{48}\\
& \frac{h_{\mathrm{x}} h_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{z}}}{J^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$

Here (- ) is just another notation for $u^{\text {std }}$, ie., the $m$ atrix whose entry ( - ) $)_{j k}$ is equal to 1 if there is a solid arrow from $k$ to $j$ in the gure, 1 if an arrow goes from $j$ to $k$, and 0 otherw ise. (---) is de ned sim ilarly.

### 6.3 The spectrum and the C hern num ber

The ferm ionic spectrum " (q) of the H am iltonian (48) is given by the eigenvalues of a m odi ed matrix if (q) (cf. Eq. (32) ):
where $f(q)=2 J e^{i\left(q ; n_{1}\right)}+e^{i\left(q ; n_{2}\right)}+1$ and $(q)=4 \quad \sin \left(q ; n_{1}\right)+\sin \left(q ; n_{2}\right)+\sin \left(q ; n_{2} n_{1}\right)$. A ctually, the exact form of the function (q) does not $m$ atter; the im portant param eter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
=(q \quad)=(q)=6^{p} \overline{3} \quad \frac{h_{x} h_{\mathrm{y}} h_{z}}{J^{2}} ; \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

which determ ines the energy gap. T he conic singularities are resolved as follow s:

" (q) $\quad \mathrm{P} \overline{3 \mathrm{~J}^{2} \mathrm{j} q \mathrm{q}+{ }^{2} \text {; }}$
where $q=q$ q or $q=q+q$ :

Rem ark 6.1. The magnetic eld also gives nontrivial dispersion to vortioes. Indeed, the operators $W_{p}$ are no longer conserved, therefore a vortex can hop to an adjacent hexagon. $T$ hus the vortex energy depends on the $m$ om entum. This e ect is linear in $h$, but it is not so im portant as the change in the ferm ionic spectrum.

Let us also nd the ferm ionic spectral pro jector, which determ ines the ground state. T he global spectral projector $P$ is de ned by Eq. (27); we now consider its Fourier com ponent:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P^{2}(q)=\frac{1}{2} 1 \quad \operatorname{sgn}(q)=\frac{1}{2} 1+m_{x}(q)^{x}+m_{y}(q)^{y}+m_{z}(q)^{z} ;  \tag{52}\\
& 8 \\
& \text { そ } p \frac{1}{\left(q_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(q_{y}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}=\left(3 J^{2}\right)} \quad q ; \quad q ; \quad{ }^{p} \overline{\overline{3} J} \quad \text { if } q \quad q ; \\
& \text { m (q) } \\
& 3 \quad p \frac{1}{\left(q_{x}\right)^{2}+\left(q_{y}\right)^{2}+{ }^{2}=\left(3 J^{2}\right)} \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he function $m \mathrm{~m}$ aps the torus to the unit sphere. If $>0$, then this $m$ ap has degree 1 . Indeed, the neighborhood of $q$ is $m$ apped onto the low er hem isphere, the neighborhood of $q$ is $m$ apped onto the upper hem isphere; in both cases the orientation is preserved. (T he rest of the tonus is $m$ apped onto the equator.) For negative the $m$ ap has degree 1.

An im portant topologicalquantity characterizing a two-dim ensional system of noninteracting (or weakly interacting) ferm ions w ith an energy gap is the spectral C hem num ber. It plays a central role in the theory of the integer quantum H alle ect [43, 44, 1]. In our m odel there is no analogue of H all conductivity (because the num ber of ferm ions is not conserved), but the C hem num ber determ ines the edge $m$ ode chirality and anyonic properties of vortices (cf. [48]).

The spectral Chem number is de ned as follow $s$. For each value of the $m$ om entum $q$ we consider the space $\mathcal{E}(q)$ of annihilation operators, i.e., ferm ionic $m$ odes $w i t h$ negative energy; this is the subspace the $m$ atrix $P(q)$ pro jects onto. Thus we obtain a com plex vector bundle over the $m$ om entum space. (In our case $E(q)$ is a one-dim ensional subspace of $C^{2}$, so the bundle is one-dim ensional.) The rst Chem num ber of this bundle is denoted by and can be expressed as follow s (cf. [44]):

The C hem num ber is alw ays an integer. If the spectralpro jector (q) is given by Eqs. (52), (53), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{4}^{\mathrm{Z}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~m}}{@ q_{k}} \frac{@ \mathrm{~m}}{@ \mathrm{q}_{y}} ; \mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{dq}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{dq}_{y}=\operatorname{sgn}=1: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Wewilluse the notation $B$ (where $=1$ ) to designate phase $B$ in the $m$ agnetic eld. In the $A$ belian phases $A_{x}, A_{y}, A_{z}$ the $C$ hem num ber is zero.

## 7 Edge m odes and therm al transport

Rem arkably, any system w ith nonzero $C$ hem num ber possesses gaplessedge m odes. Such $m$ odes were rst discovered in the integer quantum H alle ect [51]; they are chiral, ie., propagate only in one direction (see $F$ ig. (8). In fact, left-m oving and right-m oving $m$ odes $m$ ay coexist, but the follow ing relation holds [52]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { edge } \xlongequal{\text { def }} \# \text { of left-m overs \# of right-m overs }=: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8: C hiral edge m odes: left-m oving (a) and right-m oving (b).

In the absence of special sym m etry, counterpropagating m odes usually cancel each other, so the surviving $m$ odes have the sam e chirality. A calculation of the edge spectrum in phases B (for som e speci c boundary conditions) and a sim ple proofofE q. (56) are given in A ppendix B. $M$ ore rigorous and general results, which even apply to disordered system $s$, can be found in Refs. [53, 54].

It is im portant to note that the analogy to the quantum H all e ect is not exact. In our m odel (like in two-dim ensional super uid and superconducting system s [55, 48]) edge $m$ odes are described by real ferm ions, as contrasted to com plex ferm ions in the quantum $H$ alle ect. Therefore each quantum H allm ode is equivalent to two m odes in our system.

C hiral edge $m$ odes can carry energy, leading to potentially $m$ easurable them al transport. ( $T$ he tem perature $T$ is assum ed to be $m$ uch sm aller than the energy gap in the bulk, so that the e ect of bulk excitations is negligible.) For quantum $H$ all system $s$, this phenom enon was discussed in Refs. [56, 57]. The energy current along the edge in the left (counterclodkw ise) direction is given by the follow ing form ula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=\frac{-}{12} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{~T}^{2} ; \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is som e realnum ber. ( T he factor $=12$ is introduced to m ake a connection to conform al eld theory, see below .) It is rem arkable that c does not depend on particular conditions at the edge, but rather on the bulk state. Indeed, the energy current is conserved, therefore it rem ains constant even if som e conditions change along the edge. The e ect is invariant w ith respect to tim e rescaling. Since the energy current has dim ension (time) ${ }^{2}$, it m ust be proportional to $\mathrm{T}^{2}$. But the value of the dim ensionless proportionality coe cient cannot be found using such sim ple argum ents.

There are two standard ways to calculate the coe cient c . They both rely on certain assum ptions but can be applied to our $m$ odel, yielding this result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\frac{-}{2}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rst argum ent [58] (adapted to real ferm ions) assum es translational invariance and the absence of interaction. E ach edge $m$ ode is described by a free ferm ion $w$ ith an energy spectrum
 agree if the $m$ ode propagates in the direction of positive $q$ (for sm plicity we $m$ ay assum $e$ that " $(\mathrm{q})>0$ when q is positive and " $(\mathrm{q})<0 \mathrm{when} \mathrm{q}$ is negative). T hus the H am iltonian has the form

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}_{q}^{X} \quad "(q) a_{q} a_{q}=\underbrace{X}_{q: "(q)>0} \quad "(q) a_{q} a_{q}:
$$

If " $(\mathrm{q})>0$, then $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}$ is an annihilation operator and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{q}}^{Y}$ is the corresponding creation operator. The $m$ ode propagates $w$ th group velocity $v(q)=d "=d q$, and the occupation num ber $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{q})$ is given by the Ferm idistribution. The energy ow due to each m ode propagating in the positive direction can be calculated as follow s:

$$
I_{1}=Z_{"(q)>0}^{Z} n(q) "(q) v(q) \frac{d q}{2}=Z_{"(q)>0}^{Z} \frac{"(q)}{1+e^{"(q)=T}} \frac{d "}{d q} \frac{d q}{2}=\frac{1}{2}_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{" d "}{1+e^{"=T}}=\frac{L^{\prime}}{24} T^{2}:
$$

Each mode propagating in the opposite direction contributes $\quad \mathrm{F}$, therefore $\mathrm{I}=\overline{{ }_{24}} \mathrm{~T}^{2}$.
$T$ he second derivation [57] is based on the assum ption that the edge $m$ odes can be described by a conform al eld theory (CFT). In this case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=c \quad{ }^{-} \mathrm{c} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ and $\bar{c}$ are the $V$ irasoro centralcharges. Thus, $c$ is called the chiralcentralcharge. Leftm oving ferm ions have $(\mathrm{c} ; \overline{\mathrm{c}})=\frac{1}{2} ; 0$ whereas right-m oving ferm ions have $(\mathrm{c} ; \overline{\mathrm{c}})=0 ; \frac{1}{2}$, which im plies Eq. (58). M ore generally, c and $\bar{c}$ are som e rational num bers, and so is c. The chiral central charge param etrizes a tw o-dim ensional gravitational anom aly [59] of the corresponding CFT; it can also be identi ed w th the coe cient in front of the gravitational C hem-Sim ons action in a three-dim ensional theory [5]. Volovik [60] suggested that for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ e- m s , the role of gravitational eld is played by the order param eter interacting with ferm ions. H ow ever, it is not obvious how to de ne a \gravitational eld" for lattice $m$ odels.

It rem ains a bit mysterious how the chiral central charge is related to the ground state and spin correlators in the bulk. This question is partially answ ered in A ppendix D, but the obtained expression for c is not easy to use, nor can we dem onstrate that c is rational. N ote that there is a beautiful relation betw een the chiral central charge and algebraic properties of anyons [7, 61], which does im ply the rationality of $c$. W e discuss that relation in A ppendix $E$ (see Eq. (172) on page66), though it is unclear how to deduce it only considering the bulk. T he only know $n$ argum ent is to assum e that edge m odes are described by a CFT, then one can use $m$ odular invariance [62]. In fact, the m odular invariance alone would su ce. In A ppendix D we try to derive it from general principles, but again, encounter a problem.

## 8 N on-A belian anyons

W e continue the study of phase $B$ in the $m$ agnetic eld. Now that all bulk excitations are gapped, their braiding rules $m$ ust be well-de ned. Of course, this is only true if the particles are separated by distances that are $m$ uch larger than the correlation length associated $w$ th the spectnum (51). The correlation length $m$ ay be de ned as follows: $=j \mathrm{~m} q j^{1}$, where $q$ is a com plex solution to the equation " $(q)=0$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{{ }^{p} \overline{3} J}{} \quad \frac{J^{3}}{h_{x} h_{Y} h_{z}}: \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The braiding rules for vortioes depend on the spectral Chem number. A though is actually equalto +1 or 1 (depending on the direction of the $m$ agnetic eld), onem ay form ally consider a m odel w ith an arbitrary gapped ferm ionic spectrum, in which case $m$ ay take any


Figure 9: The space-tim e coordinates (a) and particle worldlines (b).
integer value. W e will see that vortioes behave as non-A belian anyons for any odd value of , but their exact statistics depends on mod 16 .
$T$ he properties of the anyons are sum $m$ arized in Table 1 on page 30. The notation and underlying concepts are explained below; see also Appendix E. Let us rst show a quidk way of deriving those properties from conform al led theory (CFT) in the most important case, = 1. (For a general reference on CFT, see 63].) Then we will give an altemative derivation, which uses only rudim entary CFT and refers to the operationalm eaning ofbraiding and fusion.

### 8.1 Bulk-edge corresp ondence

The properties listed in T able 1 form the sam e type of algeb raic structure that w as described by M oore and Seiberg [4] in the CFT context. H ow ever, the actual connection to CFT is indirect: anyons are related to edge modes, which in tum can be described by a eld theory in $1+1$ dim ensions. M ore concretely, the space-tim e $m$ ay be represented as a cylinder (see Fig.9). It is convenient to use the im aginary time form alism ( $t=i$, where $2 R$ ), so that we have a tw o-dim ensional E uclidean eld theory on the side surface of the cylinder. $T$ he surface $m$ ay be param etrized by a com plex variable $z=+i x$, where $x$ is the spatial coordinate.

The two-dim ensional eld theory describes the physics of the edge, which is generally richer than that of the bulk. The theory possesses both local and nonlocal elds. The insertion of a nonlocal eld ( + ix) corresponds to an anyonic particle em erging on the edge or sinking into the bulk at point ( ;x). The correlation function of several nonlocal elds has nontrivial $m$ onodrom $y$ which coincides with the anyonic braiding. Speci cally, the counterclockw ise exchange of anyons in the bulk is equivalent to $m$ oving the elds counterclockw ise on surface (if we look at the cylinder from outside). M oreover, the value of the correlator is not a num ber, but rather an operator transform ing the initial anyonic state (on the bottom of the cylinder) into the nalone (on the top of the cylinder). For non-A belian anyons, the space of such operators is multidim ensional.

The anyon-CFT correspondence has been successfiully used in the study of quantum $H$ all system $s$ [14, 15, 31]. The correspondence is well-understood if all boundary elds are either holom onphic or antiholom onphic, which is the case for our m odel. W e have seen that the edge carries a left-m oving (holom onphic) ferm ion for $=1$, or a right-m oving (antiholom onphic)

Superselection sectors: 1 (vacuum ), " (ferm ion), (vortex).


G lobal dim ension : $D^{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} P d_{u}^{2}=4:$

Fusion rules: $\quad " \quad "=1 ; \quad$ " $=1+$ ":
A ssociativity relations:









$\underbrace{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}{ }_{1}^{\varepsilon}=$

B raiding rules:
De nition of $R_{z}^{x y}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{z}^{y}=\left.R_{z}^{x y}\right|_{z} ^{y} ; \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{\prime \prime}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=\left\{\exp \overline{8}^{i} ;\right. \\
& R^{\prime \prime}=R^{"}=i_{i} \quad R_{"}=\left\{\exp \frac{3}{8} i \quad:\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Topological $S-m$ atrix:


Table 1: A lgebraic properties of anyons in non-A belian phases ( is odd).
ferm ion for $=1$. A vortex em erging on the surface corresponds to a tw ist eld. The correlation functions for such elds are given by holom onphic (resp. antiholom onphic) conform al blocks for the Ising $m$ odel.

A partial bulk-edge correspondence can be established at a m ore elem entary level. Let $=1$. A particularly im portant param eter of the edge theory is the conform al weight of the tw ist eld, $(\mathrm{h} ; \overline{\mathrm{h}})=\frac{1}{16} ; 0$. The related bulk param eter is the topological spin of a vortex,
; it corresponds to the counterclockw ise rotation by angle 2 . Since the rotations in the bulk and on the surface must agree, the follow ing equation holds for an arbitrary eld a:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=e^{2 i\left(h_{a} \overline{h_{a}}\right)}: \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $=e^{i=8}$. Sim ilarly, if $=1$, then $=e^{i=8}$. In the general case, there are $j j=2 n+1$ species of the free ferm ion; the tw ist eld also comes with somemultiplicity ${ }^{3}$. O ne $m$ ay argue that the conform al weight of the tw ist eld is proportional to the number of ferm ionic species. H ence

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{i}=8: \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.2 U npaired M a jorana m odes

W e now begin a rather lengthy derivation of the properties listed in Table 1 , dealing only w ith anyons in the bulk. First, we give a crude description of vortioes by $M$ a jorana operators and nd the fusion nules. The braiding nules and so-called associativity relations (also known as crossing sym $m$ etry, or $6 j$-sym bols) are determ ined up to several free param eters; we discuss what combinations of these param eters have invariant $m$ eaning. Solving the so-called pentagon and hexagon equations, we reduce the continuum of possibilities dow $n$ to eight consistent theories. T he right theory is selected using Eq. (62) .
$T$ he starting point is this: if is odd, then each vortex carries an unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ ode. A su ciently rigorous proof of this statem ent can be found in A ppendix C. Here we give a rough explanation based on the quantum H all analogy.

It is known that the quantized H all conductivity for noninteracting electrons (in units of $e^{2}=h$ ) is equal to the Chem num ber of the projector onto the occupied electron states [44]. $T$ he essential di erence from our case is that electrons are ordinary ferm ions, not $M$ ajorana ferm ions. H ow ever, we can concoct an analogue of an electron system from two copies of the M a jorana system, which m ay be pictured as two layers. The H am iltonian is as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {electron }}=\frac{i}{4}_{j ; k}^{X} A_{j k}\left(C_{j}^{0} C_{k}^{0}+C_{j}^{\infty} C_{k}^{\infty}\right)=\underbrace{X}_{j ; k} \quad i A_{j k} a_{j}^{y} a_{k} ; \quad \text { where } a_{j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{j}^{0}+i c_{j}^{\infty}\right): \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

(W e forget about the original spin $m$ odel for the purpose of this construction.) $N$ ote that the $H$ am iltonian possesses a globalo (2) sym $m$ etry that consists of orthogonal linear transfor$m$ ations $C_{j}^{0} \eta \quad C_{j}^{0}+c_{j}^{0}, C_{j}^{\infty} \eta \quad \varrho_{j}+\varrho_{j}^{\infty}$. The rotational subgroup $U(1)=S O(2) \quad O$ (2) corresponds to the conservation of electric charge, whereas re ections (i.e., transform ations $w$ th determ inant 1) change the sign of the charge.

[^2]A ssum ing that the Ferm i energy is zero, the projector onto the occupied electron states coincides w th the spectral projector for the $M$ ajorana system. T hus the $H$ all conductivity of the electron system is .

A vortex piercing both M ajorana layers corresponds to half-integer $m$ agnetic ux. Such a vortex carries excessive charge $q==2+n$, where $n$ is an arbitrary integer. In particular, if
is odd, a state w th $\mathrm{q}=1=2$ exist. By an $O$ (2) re ection, it is related to a $q=1=2$ state $w$ ith the sam e energy. The doublet of states $w$ ith unit charge di erence $m$ ay be attributed to a zero-energy electron $m$ ode that can be em pty or occupied. This $m$ ode can be represented by tw o M a jorana m odes. Since the layers are independent, we conclude that each layer contains one zero-energy M a jorana m ode.

It has been previously show $n$ that zero-energy $M$ ajorana $m$ odes exist in som e exotic onedim ensional system s [64] as well as vortioes in two-dim ensionalp-w ave superconductors [48, 65]. A pair of $M$ ajorana modes at two vortioes constitutes a full ferm ionic mode with a twodim ensional Fock space. The quantum state of such a pair is virtually inaccessible to m easurem ents or perturbations as long as the vortices stay far apart from each other. A system of $2 n$ vortices possesses a protected space of dim ensionality $2^{n}$ (or $2^{n} 1$, if we require that the whole system have even ferm ionic parity).

### 8.3 Fusion and braiding rules

In our m odel a vortex carrying an unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ ode is just one of the superselection sectors, denoted by . The other sectors are 1 (the vacuum ) and " (a ferm ion). If two vortices fuse, they either anninilate com pletely or leave a ferm ion behind: $\quad=1+$ ". The actual fusion outcom e depends on the initialquantum state. H ence the protected space of the vortex pair has two basis vectors: $j_{1} i$ and $j " i$. (T he upper indioes indicate the particle types before the fusion whereas the subscript indicates the resulting particle.) The com plete set of fusion nules is as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
" \quad "=1 ; \quad \text { " } \quad=\quad ; \quad=1+" ; \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

phus trivial rules of the form $1 \quad \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$. Read badkwards, these relations are understood as splitting rules: for exam ple, an "-particle can split into two -particles. H ow ever, these rules do not capture m ore subtle aspects of fusion and splitting, which w ill be discussed later.
$B$ raiding rules for $M$ a jorana half-vortioes in a spin-triplet superconductor have been derived by D. Ivanov [65]. H ere we follow the m ain idea of Ivanov's w ork. W e should, how ever, keep in m ind one im portant di erence betw een his setting and ourm odel. A spin-triplet superconductor has a locally $m$ easurable vector order param eter, which contributes to vortex-vortex interaction and can interact $w$ ith im purities. O ne vortex $m$ aking a full tum around another $m$ ay pidk up a nonuniversal phase, hence the non-A belian statistics is de ned up to arbitrary phase factors. That is not the case for ourm odel (or for spinless superconductors [48]), so additionalargum ents are required to nd the A belian part of the vortex statistics.

O nœ again, we use the fact that each vortex $p$ carries an unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ ode $C_{p}$, which is a linear combination of the operators $c_{j}$ on neighboring sites. The operators $c_{j}$ do not com $m$ ute $w$ th gauge transform ations and therefore should be used with care. The gauge can be xed in a neighborhood of each vortex, so constructing the linear combination is not a problem. H ow ever, the overall sign of $C_{p}$ does not have invariant $m$ eaning. This am biguity
is avoided if we consider ferm ionic path operators (16), which are gauge-invariant. A suitable linear com bination of elem entary paths constitutes a path that begins or ends at a vortex.

Let us choose som e reference path $l_{p}$ connecting each vortex $p=1 ; 2::$ : to a reference point 0. W e w ill assum e that the vortices lie on the horizontal axis whereas the reference point 0 is located in the low er half-plane. $F$ ixing the gauge along the paths, we $m$ ay w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(l_{p}\right)=C_{p} C_{0}: \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us exchange vortioes 1 and 2 by moving them counterclockw ise. T he exchange process is described by a unitary operator $R$ acting on the physical $H$ ilbert space. It also acts on operators by con jugation: X T R X R ${ }^{Y}$. C learly,


$$
\begin{align*}
& R W \quad\left(l_{1}\right) R^{y}=W \quad\left(l_{1}^{0}\right)=W \quad\left(l_{2}\right) ;  \tag{66}\\
& R W \quad\left(l_{2}\right) R^{y}=W \quad\left(l_{2}^{0}\right)=W \quad\left(\frac{1}{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

(W e have used the fact that $W\left(1_{2}^{0}\right)=W(\nexists)$. Indeed, the paths $l_{2}^{0}$ and $l_{1}$ di er by a loop enclosing a vortex; transporting a ferm ion around the vortex gives rise to the minus sign.) Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{RC}_{1} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{C}_{2} ;  \tag{67}\\
& \mathrm{RC}_{2} \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{C}_{1} ;
\end{align*} \quad \mathrm{R}=\quad \exp \quad-\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} ;
$$

where is a phase factor. (We will see that is actually the topological spin of a vortex; for now it is just an unknown param eter).

At rst sight, it is not clear whether the number has an invariant meaning. Indeed, the operator that m oves a vortex along a given path $m$ ay be de ned up to an arbitrary phase. H ow ever, the am biguity can be avoided by a carefulde nition of the particle exchange process. The follow ing argum ent is com pletely general; it is not based on adiabaticity or translational invariance.

Let us consider a vortex path operator $W$ ( 1 ) that is com posed of elem entary steps, i.e., displacem ents of the vortex from hexagon to hexagon 4 E ach elem entary displacem ent is de ned up to a phase, but the path operator satis es these equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W \quad \overline{(1)}=W \quad(1)^{\mathrm{y}} ; \quad \mathrm{W} \quad\left(l_{1} l_{2}\right)=W \quad\left(l_{2}\right) W \quad\left(l_{1}\right): \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $\bar{l}$ denotes the reverse path, whereas $l_{1} l_{2}$ is the com posite path (the vortex goes along $l_{1}$ and then along $l_{2}$ ). Rem arkably, tw o vortioes can be exchanged in such a w ay that the arbitrary phases cancel each other, see Fig. 10. T he vortices m ove along the indicated lines; each line segm ent is passed by a vortex in both directions.

A s m entioned above, the vortex pair has two states corresponding to the possible fusion outcom es, $j_{1} i$ and $j, i$. They should be identi ed $w$ ith the eigenvectors of $C_{1} C_{2}$, but we do not know which is which. W e can only write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} C_{2} j_{1} i=i j_{1} i ; \quad C_{1} C_{2} j n i=\quad i j n i ; \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]

F igure 10: A realization of the particle exchange in which all localcontributions cancel, revealing the topologicale ect in a pure form .
where $=1$ is unknown. Thus the braiding operator R acts as follow s :

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{1} i=R_{1} j_{1} i ;  \tag{70}\\
& R_{j}{ }^{2}=R_{n} j_{n} i_{;}
\end{aligned} \quad \text { where } \quad \begin{aligned}
& R_{1}=e^{i=4} ; \\
& R_{n}=e^{j=4}:
\end{align*}
$$

$R$ em ark 8.1. O ne $m$ ay wonder why form ula (67) contains the $m$ inus sign in front of $C_{1}$, but not in front of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. This is, in fact, a consequence of the convention that the reference point 0 lies in the low er half-plane. If we m ove it to the upper half-plane, the signs $w$ ill change, will tum into , and the signs in front of in Eq. (70) will change too. The numbers $\mathrm{R}_{1}, \mathrm{R}_{1}$ and are invariant though.

### 8.4 A ssociativity relations

The fiusion rules only indicate what fusion and splitting events are possible. N ontrivial relations arise if we consider a sequence of such events.
8.4.1 Relations based on the anticom m utativity of ferm ionic operators. Let us consider the splitting of a -particle into ", , and ". This can be done in two di erent ways, depending on the order the two "-particles are created. Let us suppose that the -particle is located at point 2 in the $m$ iddle; the "-particles $w$ ill appear at points 1 and 3 on the left and on the right, respectively. The particles (or their future locations) are connected by the paths $l_{12}$ and $l_{23}$.

The -particle is described by the associated $M$ a jorana $m$ ode $C_{2}$. The "-particles can be created from the vacuum by operators $a_{1}^{y}$ and $a_{3}^{y}$. H ow ever, these operators are not physical by them selves. To actually split the rst "-particle o the -particle, one needs to apply a ferm ionic path operator $W$ ( $h_{2}$ ), which equals $a_{1}^{Y} C_{2}$ in a suitable gauge. The second "-particle is created by the operator $W\left(l_{23}\right)=a_{3}^{y} C_{2}$. Since $W\left(l_{12}\right) W\left(l_{23}\right)=W\left(Z_{3}\right) W\left(l_{2}\right)$, we obtain the follow ing associativity relation between the tw o splitting processes:


Sim ilarly, we can consider the splitting of one "-particle into three. For this, we use two conventions 5 (i) m oving an "-particle from place $j$ to place $k$ is described by the operator $a_{k}^{y} a_{j}$;

[^4](ii) creating a ferm ionic pair from the vacuum is described by $a_{j}^{Y} a_{k}^{Y}$, where $j$ is located left of k. Thus we get:

because $\left(a_{1}^{Y} a_{2}^{Y}\right)\left(a_{3}^{Y} a_{2}\right)=\left(a_{2}^{Y} a_{3}^{Y}\right)\left(a_{1}^{Y} a_{2}\right)$. (In these graphs the \up" direction is tim e. The interm ediate state of the $m$ iddle particle is show $n$ in all cases, but lines corresponding to label1, i.e., the vacuum superselection sector, are suppressed.)
8.4.2 S om e gen eralities. The analysis of other splilting processes (e.g., ! ) ism ore com plicated. Ideally, we would use the vortex path operator, but its exact form is unknown. Therefore we have to rely on $m$ ore abstract argum ents. B efore we proceed, let us clarify som e points pertaining to anyons in general.

1. Speaking about anyons, we are interested in particle types (i.e., superselection sectors) and topology of the particle worldlines (braids, trees, etc.). A $n$ actual particle is also characterized by position and local degrees of freedom ; for exam ple, an "-particle may be \elem entary" or consist oftw o adjacent vortices. W e generally ignore such details though.
2. In the study of splilting and fusion, we consider particles located on the horizontal axis. In this case, we only care about the order of the particles on the line, but not about their positions. Allcon gurations w th the sam e particle types and order can be identi ed w ith each other. Unlike in the tw o-dim ensional case, cycling through severalcon gurations has triviale ect on the quantum state! ${ }^{6}$
3. \Q uantum state of a particle" is a rather subtle notion. It $m$ ay be understood as a projector that enforces certain spin correlations in som e neighborhood of the supposed particle location. (T he neighborhood radius m ust be much larger than the correlation length). Such an object has no \overall phase". Supenpositions of states from di erent superselection sectors cannot be constructed either.
4. In spite of that, the state $j_{1} i$ has a well-de ned phase if we consider it relative to the vacuum. A sim ilar argum ent holds for an arbitrary state $j_{z}^{x y} i, w h i d h$ describes particles $x$ and $y$ w ith total \anyonic charge" z. For a generalanyonic system, the xy-pairm ay have several distinct states that belongs to the sam e superselection sector $z$; in other words, there $m$ ay be several ways to split $z$ into $x$ and $y$. Such states form a nite-dim ensional $H$ ilbert space $V_{z}^{x y}$, which is called fusion space!] In our model, all such spaces have dim ension one or zero. For exam ple, $j_{1} i$ is a unit vector in the one-dim ensional space $\mathrm{V}_{1}$.
[^5]For a m ore rigorous de nition of the space $V_{z}^{x y}$, consider the splilting of $z$ into $x$ and $y$ by an operator $L$ that acts on the spins in som e xed nite region. (W e call such operators local.)
 in or w thin the correlation length from. Finally, we consider the set of local operators
 de nition, these are all possible states of the xy-pair that can be obtained from $z$. On the other hand, such states are in one-to-one correspondence w ith equivalence classes of operators $L$ : we say that $L$ and $L^{0}$ are equivalent if $L j_{z} i=L^{0} j_{z} i$. For local operators, the equivalence relation does not depend on the choice of $j{ }_{z} i$. Thus we arrive at the follow ing de nition:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{xy}}$ is the set of equivalence classes of local operators that split z into x and y .
Each vertex in a splitting graph (as in Eqs. (71), (72) above) designates an equivalence class of local operators. For example, $Y_{\sigma}^{\sigma}=j " i 2 \mathrm{~V} "$. One may choose an arbitrary basis in the space $V_{z}^{x y}$. In our case, this am ounts to $x i n g$ the phase of the vectors $j i_{1} i n$ " $i$, $j$ " $i$, $j \quad " i$, and $j_{1} " i . D i$ erent bases are related by a transform ation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
j{ }_{z}^{x y} i^{0}=u_{z}^{x y} j_{z}^{x y} i ; \quad j u_{z}^{x y} j=1 ; \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generally a ects relations like 71) and (72). H ow ever, these particular relations are invariant since they have the sam e set of basis vectors on both sides: j" i, j "i in Eq. (71) and $j_{1}{ }_{1}$ i in Eq. (72).
8.4.3 M ore relations. Let us w rite som e other possible associativity relations in a general form :


The numbers $1,2,1,2, \quad, \quad 1,2$ are equal to 1 in magnitude. These and sim ilar coe cients are called structural param eters.

U sing the transform ation (73), we can elim inate som e of the param eters, e.g., 1,2 , and 1. Indeed, they are transform ed as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}^{0}=\frac{u^{"} u_{"}}{u_{1}} \quad 1 ; \quad{ }_{2}^{0}=\frac{u_{1}}{u^{"} u_{n}} \quad 2 ; \quad{ }_{1} ;=\frac{\left(u^{"}\right)^{2}}{u_{1}^{" "}}{ }_{1}: \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e require that ${ }_{1}^{0}={ }_{2}^{0}={ }_{1}^{0}=1$ and solve for $u_{z}^{x y}$. $N$ ote that the solution is unique up to trivial variations not a ecting the structural param eters, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{XY}} \mathrm{\eta} \mathrm{xyz}^{1} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{XY}}: \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, let us consider the splyting of one -particle into three -particles. T he space $V$ is two-dim ensional. A basis in this space can be chosen in two ways:


O fcourse, one basis can be expressed in term s of the other:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{1} i=a_{11} j_{1} i+a_{n 1} j n i ; \quad j n i=a_{1 n} j_{1} i+a_{n n} j n i ; \quad w h e r e \quad a_{x y}=h_{x} j_{y} i \text { : } \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $a_{x y}$ and the other structural param eters $w i l l$ be found later. At this point, we can only tell that the $m$ atrix ( $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{xy}}$ ) is unitary.

To illustrate the physicalm eaning of the structural param eters, let us retum to the gedanken experim ent considered in the introduction. Suppose we create tw o pairs of -particles from the vacuum . T hen we take one particle from each pair and fuse them. W ith probability $\dot{j}_{11}{ }^{j}$ they w ill annihilate, and w ith probability $\dot{\beta}^{2}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\rho} \mathrm{J}$ they w ill fuse into an "-particle. (W e w ill see that


### 8.5 A lgebraic con sisten cy

A $l l$ the previous argum ents were based on the result that vortioes carry unpaired M ajorana m odes. N ot surprisingly, this property alone is not su cient to fully characterize the fusion and braiding of anyons. $W$ e now invoke som e additionalprinciples. The rst one is consistency: successive fusion and braiding events $m$ ust com $m$ ute $w$ ith each other in certain cases. A $m$ ore careful statem ent of this requirem ent am ounts to the form ulation of an algebraic theory of anyons.

A nyons may be described in the fram ew ork of topological quantum eld theory (TQFT), which originates from W itten's paper on quantum Chem-Sim ons elds [5] and the work of $M$ oore and Seiberg on conform al eld theory [4]. Im portant $m$ athem atical studies in this area were done by Reshetikhin and Turaev [66] and W alker [67]. For our purposes, it su œes to use a construction called unitary m odular category (UMC), which constitutes the algebraic core of TQFT [68]. This construction will be outlined in A ppendix E. A ctually, the full theory is not necessary to understand the calculations below. On the contrary, one $m$ ay use these calculations to $m$ otivate som e of the UM C axiom s.
$O$ ne of the axiom $s$ is know $n$ as the pentagon equation, see F ig. 17a on page 69. It deals $w$ ith the ve ways to split a particle $u$ into $x, y, z, w$, or ve representation of the space $V_{u}{ }^{x y z w}$. The arrow $s$ in the gure $m$ ay be regarded as equality signs, therefore the diagram $m$ ust com $m$ ute. For exam ple, consider the splltting process ! " via interm ediate states $q=1$ and $p=$.

The upper path across the diagram looks like this：

whereas the low er path is as follow $s$ ：


Thus ${ }_{1}{ }_{2} a_{1 "}=a_{11},{ }_{1}{ }_{2} a_{n " ~}=a_{1}$ ．One can also start $w$ ith the splitting graph that has interm ediate state＂instead of 1；this gives another pair of relations betw een the structural param eters．

O verall，there are 23 spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{xyzw}}$ w ith nontrivial upper indioes．Thus we obtain 23 pen－ tagon equations， 5 of which are satis ed autom atically．The rem aining 18 equations im ply the follow ing relations betw een the structural param eters：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{8}{\gtrless} \quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{an}_{1}=\mathrm{a}_{11} \text {; } \\
& { }_{1} \mathrm{a}_{11}={ }_{1} \mathrm{a}_{11} ;  \tag{83}\\
& \begin{array}{l}
{ }_{1} a_{n "}=a_{1} " ; \\
{ }_{1} a_{1 "}={ }_{1} a_{n n} ;
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1} " \text { " : }{ }^{2}=12 \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}:{ }^{2}=12 \text {; }  \tag{85}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{1} \text { "" } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{V}} \text { "" : } 221=1 \text {; } \\
& \text { ジ " ; V." " : } 12=12 \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

Equations（82（85）have only two solutions satisfying the convention that ${ }_{1}={ }_{2}=1=1$ ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& 1=2=1=2=1=2=1 ; \quad=1 ; \\
& a_{11} a_{1 "}=P_{\overline{2}}^{\{ } \frac{1}{1} 1  \tag{86}\\
& a_{" 1} a_{n " n} ; \quad \text { where }\{=1:
\end{align*}
$$

$R$ em ark 8.2. The number of independent equations can be reduced by using a sym $m$ etry between upper and lower indioes: $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{xy}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{zx}}$, where z denotes the antiparticle for z (in our case, " = ", = ). H ow ever this sym $m$ etry generally involves nontrivial phase factors, so we nd the brute-force calculation a safer approach.

Let us now exam ine consistency betw een fusion and braiding. B raiding is fully characterized by the action of the counterclockw ise rotation on fiusion spaces: $\mathbb{R}_{z}^{x y}: V_{z}^{x y}!V_{z}^{y x}$. Since in our case the spaces $V_{z}^{x y}$ and $V_{z}^{y x}$ are one-dim ensional, the linear $m$ ap $R_{z}^{x y}$ is given by a single m atrix elem ent $?^{8}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{z}^{x y} j_{z}^{x y} i=R_{z}^{x y} j{ }_{z}^{y x} i ; \quad \text { where } \quad R_{z}^{x y}=h{ }_{z}^{Y x} j P_{z}^{x y} j_{z}^{x y} i \text { : } \tag{87}
\end{equation*}
$$

In graphic notation, $R_{z}^{x y} j_{z}^{x y} i=\sum_{z}^{y}$, therefore Eq. (87) reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{z}^{y}=\left.R_{z}^{x y}\right|_{z} ^{y}: \tag{88}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ontrivial relations arise if we consider the action of braiding operators on the fusion space of three particles. These relations can be expressed by two com $m$ utative diagram s called the hexagon equations, see Fig. 20 on page 81. The arrow s in those diagram s m ay be understood as equalities of vectors in the space $V_{u}^{y z x}$. Let us consider the follow ing exam ple of the rst equation (the rst line below corresponds to the upper path across the hexagon, the second to the low er path) :



Thus we get: $1+R^{n}=\left\{{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)^{2}, 1 \quad \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2} \mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}\right.\right.$.
$T$ he full set of equations arising from the rst hexagon is as follow $s$ :

[^6]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{n}{ }^{\prime \prime} ; \mathrm{V}_{n} ": \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{n} ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{n} ": \quad\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=1 \text {; }  \tag{90}\\
& \text { V ""; V" " : R"" = } 1 ; \quad \text { V"" }: \quad\left(\mathbb{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}=1 \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$
\]

The second hexagon is obtained from the rst by replacing $R_{z}^{X Y} w$ th $\left(R^{1}\right)_{z}^{x y}=\left(R_{z}^{y x}\right)^{1}$. For exam ple, the equation $R{ }^{\prime \prime} R_{n}=R_{1}$ becom es $\left(R^{"}\right)^{1}\left(R_{n}\right)^{1}=\left(R_{1}\right)^{1}$.

Elim inating redundancies, we get this system of equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{" "}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{R} "=\mathrm{R} "=\quad i ; \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{1}\right)^{2}=\frac{\left\{\left(1+\mathrm{R} \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right.}{\overline{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{n}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{R}_{1} \text {; } \tag{91}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\{=1 . T$ he system has eight solutions, which all tEq. 70 ) . Speci cally, the solutions have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{" "}=1 ; \quad R^{"}=R^{"}=i ; \quad R_{1}=e^{i=4} ; \quad R_{n}=e^{i=4} ; \tag{92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the follow ing com binations of , , and \{ are possible:

|  | $e^{7 i=8}$ | $e^{5 i=8}$ | $e^{3 i=8}$ | $e^{i=8}$ | $e^{i=8}$ | $e^{3 i=8}$ | $e^{5 i=8}$ | $e^{7 i=8}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | +1 | 1 | +1 | 1 | +1 | 1 | +1 | 1 |
| $\{$ | +1 | 1 | 1 | +1 | +1 | 1 | 1 | +1 |

T hus the properties of anyons are de ned by the value of, which satis es ${ }^{8}=1$.

### 8.6 Final details

To choose the the right solution and to com plete the description of non-A belian anyons, we use Eq. (62) in conjunction with som e general results from A ppendix E.

Them atrix elem ent $a_{11}=h_{1} j_{1} i$ (cf. Eqs. (80), (81), (86)) is equal to $\left\{={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}\right.$. But according to Eq. (187) on page 74, $\mathrm{a}_{11}=\{=\mathrm{d}$, where d is the quantum dim ension of the -particle, and $\{$ is the Frobenius-Schur indicator. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
d={ }^{p} \overline{2} ; \quad\{=\{: \tag{94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The topological spin of the -particle can be com puted using Eq. (211) on page 82:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=d^{1} R_{1}+R_{n}=: \tag{95}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result also follows from Eq. (215). M atching it with the expression of in term s of the C hem num ber, we represent all eight cases in (93) by these form ulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=e^{i}=8 ; \quad=(1)^{(1)=2} ; \quad\left\{=(1)^{(22} 1\right)=8: \tag{96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have obtained alm ost all properties in Table 1 . The rem aining structure, nam ely the topologicals $m$ atrix, can be found using general nules (see A ppendix E) .

Superselection sectors: 1 (vacuum ), " (ferm ion), e;m (vortioes).

| Q uantum dim ension: | $\mathrm{d}_{1}=1 ;$ | $\mathrm{d}^{\prime \prime}=1$ | $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{m}}=1 ;$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T opological spin : | $1=1 ;$ | " $=1$; | $e=m=\quad=\exp \overline{8}^{\text {i }}$ |
| Frobenius-Schur indicator: | $\left\{_{1}=1\right.$; | \{ " = 1; | $\left\{_{e}=\left\{_{m}=\left\{=\exp \overline{4}^{i}\right.\right.\right.$ |

Fusion rules:
" " $=1$; " $\quad \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{m} ; \quad$ " $\quad \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{m} \quad \mathrm{m}=1$; $\quad \mathrm{e} \quad \mathrm{m}=$ ":

C ase 1: $0 ; 8(\bmod 16) ; \quad=1,\{=1$.
A ssociativity relations: allassociativity relations are trivial.
B raiding rules:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}_{1} "=1 ; \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{ee}}=\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{mm}}=\text {; } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{e}}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{ee}}=1 \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{m}}=1 ; \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{m} "}=1 \text {; } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{em}}=\text {; } \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{m}}=\quad \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

C ase 2: $\quad 4(\bmod 16) ; \quad=\quad i,\{=1$.
N ontrivial associativity relations:



B raiding rules:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{" "}=1 ; & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{e} "}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{m}}^{\text {"e }}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{" \mathrm{~m}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathrm{m} "}=; \\
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{ee}}=\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{mm}}=; & \mathrm{R}_{n}^{\mathrm{em}}=\mathrm{R}_{n}^{\mathrm{me}}=1:
\end{array}
$$

Table 2: P roperties of anyons for $0(m$ od 4$)$.

Superselection sectors: 1 (vacuum ), " (ferm ion), a;a (vortioes).


Fusion rules:

$$
a \quad\|=a ; \quad a \quad "=a ; \quad\| \quad \|=1 ; \quad a \quad a=a \quad a=7 ; \quad a \quad a=1:
$$

N ontrivial associativity relations:


B raiding rules:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{R}_{1}^{" "}=1 ; & \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a} "}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{" \mathrm{a}} & =\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a} "}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{" \mathrm{a}}=2 ; \\
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{aa}}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{aa}}=; & \mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{aa}}=\mathrm{R}_{1}^{\mathrm{aa}}=1:
\end{array}
$$

Table 3: P roperties of anyons for $2(\bmod 4)$.

## 9 The sixteen-fold w ay

Let us again consider the theory w ith $Z_{2}-$ vortioes and free ferm ions whose spectrum is gapped and characterized by the $C$ hem number. The properties of anyons in this $m$ odel depend on
mod 16. In the previous section we studied the case of odd ; now we assum e that is even.
For even , the vortioes do not carry unpaired M a jorana m odes (see A ppendix(C), therefore a vortex cannot absorb a ferm ion while rem aining in the sam e superselection sector. Thus, there are actually two types of vortioes, which are transform ed one to another by adding a ferm ion. Two vortioes of the sam e type may either annihilate or fuse into a ferm ion. In the rst case the vortices are denoted by e and $m$; they obey the fusion nules (41). In the second case, we denote the vortioes by a and $a$; the fiusion nules are as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \quad\|=a ; \quad a \quad\|=a ; \quad\|\quad\|=1 ; \quad a \quad a=a \quad a=7 ; \quad a \quad a=1: \tag{97}
\end{equation*}
$$

B oth sets of rules are A belian, i.e., they do not contain \+ " on the right-hand side.
The associativity relations can be found along the same lines as in the non-Abelian case. $F$ irst, the ferm ions m ust obey the trivial relation (72). The argum ent used in the derivation of Eq. (71) rem ains valid, but we obtain two relations instead of one. $N$ ote that they are not invariant individually; for exam ple, the sign in both relationsm ay be changed to $\backslash+$ ". F inally, we solve the pentagon equation. The fusion rules $w$ th $e$ and $m$ adm it tw o nonequivalent solutions, one of which is trivial; see Table 2. B oth solutions are invariant under the transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{j{ }^{m} e_{i} \eta} \quad j_{n}^{m e} i_{i} \quad j_{m}^{e " i} i \eta \quad j_{m}^{e "} i_{;} \quad j e_{e}^{m} i \eta \quad j_{e}^{m} i \tag{98}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $j_{z}^{x y}$ is the basis vector in the corresponding fusion space), as well as trivial transfor$m$ ations

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{z}^{x y} i \eta \quad x y z^{1} j_{z}^{x y} i: \tag{99}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of a and a, there is only one solution, which doesn't adm it any nontrivialsym $m$ etry; see Table 3 .

The braiding rules are found by solving the hexagon equations in conjunction with the requirem ent that $R_{1}^{" "}=1$ and that transporting a ferm ion around a vortex is described by the multiplication by 1 . Then we com pute the topologicalspin of the vortex and identify each solution $w$ ith a particular value of m od 16. The results are sum m arized in T ables 2 and 3 . (W e have elim inated redundant solutions that can be obtained by the transform ation (98)).

Let us mention a couple of interesting properties of these Abelian theories. For ( $m$ od 16), all three nontrivial particles ( $e, m$, and ") are ferm ions. For $4(m$ od 16), the particles $e$ and $m$ are sem ions $w$ th trivial $m$ utual braiding. (In com parison, the well-known K alm eyer-Laughlin state [69] has one sem ionic species.)

## 10 O dds and ends

W hat fillow s are som e open questions, as well as thoughts of how the present results can be extended.

1. D uan, D em ler, and Lukin [42] proposed an optical lattioe im plem entation of the H am irtonian (4). It would be interesting to nd a solid state realization as well. For exam ple, the anisotropic exchange could be sim ulated by interaction of both lattioe spins with a spin-1 atom coupled to a crystal eld.
2. The weak translational sym $m$ etry breaking in the Abelian phase has some interesting consequences. A particularly unusual phenom enon takes place when the lattice has a dislocation. A particle winding around the dislocation changes its type: e\$ m. Since $m=e \quad "$, the ferm ionic parity appears not to be conserved. To restore the conservation law, we m ust assum e that the dislocation carries an unpaired $M$ a jorana $m$ ode. Therefore, A belian phases can also be used for the im plem entation of quantum $m$ em ory.
3. C hiral phases $(\in 0)$ require that the tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry be broken. In the present m odel, this is achieved by applying a m agnetic eld. H ow ever, a spontaneous breaking of tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry occurs in the presence of odd cycles in the lattice. For exam ple, one can replace each vertex of the honeycom b lattice by a triangle. In this case, a gapped
$=1$ phase is realized w thout extemalm agnetic eld 70].
4. The representation of a spin by four M a jorana ferm ions $m$ ight be usefiul for otherm odels, even though 边 does not lead to an exact solution. In particular, one can consider a variational mean-eld state in which $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}$ are decoupled from $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{j}}$. It is unclear whether this type of states occur in H eisenberg antiferrom agnets. On the triangular lattiae, such a state has larger energy than the 120 N eel-type state. It would be interesting if the form er could be stabilized by additional interactions and quantum uctuations.
5. Topological phases w ith free ferm ions coupled to an e ective $Z_{2}$ gauge eld have been classi ed by mod 16. H ow ever, this analysis does not inchude m ultilayer system s. O ne can argue that if the interaction betw een the layers is w eak enough, topologicalparticles cannot tunnelbetw een the layers. T hus, each layer is described by one of the 16 theories studied above. In $m$ athem aticalterm $s$, we have the direct product of severalunitary modular categories (UMCs). Strongly interacting layers are roughly described by n ferm ionic species interacting w ith $\left(\mathrm{Z}_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}$-vortioes, but a com plete classi cation of such phases is yet to be found.
6. In addition to the particle classi cation, the chiralcentralcharge $c==2$ is an im portant robust characteristic, though its topologicalm eaning is not so clear. It appears that in $m$ ultilayer system $s$ the total value of is shared between the layers, i.e., increasing by 16 in one layer while decreasing it in another does $m$ ake a di erent topological phase. M ore generally, a topological phase is characterized by a UM C and a real num ber c satisfying the relation (172). To prove or disprove this statem ent, a m athem atical notion of equivalence betw een topological phases is necessary. It may be based on local (or quasilocal) isom onphism s betw een operator algebras.
7. A related question is whether the space-tim e boundary of an arbitrary topological phase can be described by a two-dim ensional conform al eld theory (CFT) and when two such theories have the sam e topological content. Two CFTs m ay be considered topologically equivalent if there is a consistent theory for a one-dim ensional boundary betw een them . C on jecturally, this is the case ifand only ifboth CFT s have the sam e chiral central charge $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{c}$ and correspond to the sam e UMC.
8. A nother topic to study is B ose-condensation of vortioes, which occurs when a vortex energy becom es negative due to som e param eter change. T he condensation ofe-particles in the $=0$ phase is equivalent to the con nem ent of $m$ - and "-particles. Thus, the topological order is destroyed. In the $=16$ system, this process produces a phase w ithout anyons or ferm ions, but w ith the chiral central charge $\mathrm{c}=8$. U nder special circum stances, the boundary of this phase is described by the $\mathrm{E}_{8}$ CFT at level1, though a generic (nonconform al) perturbation drives it into a state w ith 8 chiralbosonspropagating $w$ ith di erent velocities.
9. The condensation of ""-pairs in two adjacent $=1$ layers leads to the binding of singlelayer vortioes into pairs, which are equivalent to a or a in the $=2$ phase. Thus the direct product of two $=1$ theories is related to the $=2$ theory. It would be interesting to have a generalm athem atical characterization of such relations. T he notion of lax tensor functor (see Rem ark E 26 on page 101) can be useful in this regard.

## A ppendix A: N um erical results on the stability of the vortex-free phase

The goal of this study is to com pare the energy of the vortex-firee phase with that of other phases. The case $J_{x}=J_{y}=J_{z}=1$ has been investigated most carefully. The energy of the vortex-firee phase equals $\mathrm{E}_{0} \quad 1: 5746$ per unit cell (i.e., per two sites, or one hexagon). The actual com putation was done for tori w ith periodic or antiperiodic boundary conditions in each direction. The vortex-free phase and other periodic phases w ith sm all period are com putationally very sim ple, so dealing w ith large tori is not a problem. H ow ever, the energy calculation for an arbitrary vortex con guration requires nding the singularvalues of an $N \quad N$ $m$ atrix, where $N$ is the num ber of unit cells. W th our setup (MATLAB on a PC pwe could handle $m$ atrices of size N 6 2500, which corresponds to system $s$ of linear size $L=\bar{N} 650$. A though these numbers are pretty large, nite-size e ects are still appreciable due to the gapless nature of the spectrum (see Section (4).


Figure 11: F inite size e ects in the vortex-free phase.
Let us rst discuss the nite-size e ects in the vortex-free phase. From now on, we consider the relative energy, i.e., we subtract $N E_{0}$ from actual results. The plot in $F$ ig. (11a show s the relative energy as a function of size for sym $m$ etric $L \quad L$ tori. The oscillatory behavior $w$ ith period 3 is due the fact that " (q) vanishes at $q=q$ (see (34)). O nem ay argue that each period $r$ of the tonus contributes $j r j^{1} \cos (2(q ; r))$ to the total energy (there are in nitely $m$ any such term s since the periods form a lattioe). Interestingly enough, these contributions alm ost cancel each other for periodic boundary conditions on the torus $w$ ith basis ( $\left(\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{Ln}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)$, $w$ here $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are the basis vectors of the lattice (see gure in Eq. [32)). The corresponding plot is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 11b.

The energy of an isolated vortex is $\mathrm{E}_{\text {vortex }} \quad 0: 1536$ above the ground state? The calculation was done for tori $w$ th basis ( $\left(\mathrm{Ln}_{1} ; \mathrm{Ln}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)$ for $\mathrm{L}=9 ;::: ; 32$. (W e actually put 4 vortices on the torus of tw ice this size because the num ber of vortioes $m$ ust be even). Then the results were extrapolated to $L=1$ by tting the curve $E(L)=E_{\text {vortex }}+a_{1} L^{1}+a_{2} L^{2}$ to the data, separately for $\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{k}, \mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{k}+1$, and $\mathrm{L}=3 \mathrm{k}+2$ (see F ig.(12).
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Figure 12: Extrapolation to in nite size: 4 equally spaced vortices on the tonus $w$ ith basis ( $2 \mathrm{Ln}_{1} ; 2\left(\mathrm{Ln}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{1}\right)$ ).


Table 4: Num erical results for $J_{x}=J_{y}=J_{z}=1$. Vortioes are shown in gray; for periodic phases the unit cell is indicated by a parallelogram .

The energy of a vortex pair is sm aller than $2 \mathrm{E}_{\text {vortex }}$ if the vortioes are close to each other: by 0:04 for nearest neighbors and by 0:07 for next-nearest neighbors. (W e didn't try to com pensate the nite size e ects, so the precision is poor). These numbers suggest that inter-vortex interaction is rather strong and could, in principle, result in som e con gurations having negative energy. H ow ever, our further calculations give evidence for the contrary.

W e have checked all periodic phases with unit cell containing 1, 2, 3 or 4 hexagons, see Table 4. (A s m entioned above, this com putation requires much less com puter resouroes than the study of separate vortices or vortex pairs). In all these cases the energy is positive and increases as $m$ ore vortices are added. The sm allest energy per vortex is achieved by phases 1 and 5 | $0: 067$ and $0: 078$, respectively.

A 1114 phases have positive energies (relative to the vortex-firee phase) for all nonzero values of $J_{x}, J_{y}, J_{z}$.

## A ppendix B: Edge modes in phases B

It is understood that the edge spectrum depends strongly on particular conditions at the edge. The calculations below are only m eant to illustrate the universal feature of the spectnum | the existence of a chiral gapless m ode.

Let us suppose that the honeycom b lattioe lls the lower half-plane and is cut as follow s:


Row 0 consists of the M ajorana operators $\mathrm{b}_{j}^{2}$, which would be decoupled from the rest of the system if not for the $m$ agnetic eld. Perform ing the Fourier transform in the horizontal direction, we com pute e ective couplings betw een the row s as functions of $q_{x}$ :


Let us rst nd edge $m$ odes ignoring the operators $b_{j}^{z}$, ie., the rst row and colum $n$ in the above $m$ atrix. If $=0$, then for $2=3<q<4=3$ the $m$ atrix has a null vector $w$ ith elem ents
$(2 j)=0$ and $(2 j+1)=200{\frac{Q^{2}}{2}}^{j}$, which corresponds to a zero-energy state localized near the edge. If is not zero but still sm all, we get this spectrum :

$$
\begin{equation*}
"\left(q_{x}\right) \quad 12 \sin g ; \quad q_{x} 2 \quad[2=3 ; 4=3]: \tag{101}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 13: Schem atic form of the edge spectrum in the sim plest case (a) and w th the operators $b_{j}^{2}$ taken into account (b). T he shaded area represents the bulk spectrum .

It is shown in F ig. 13a, assum ing that $>0$, ie., $=+1$. The point where the energy curve crosses zero, $q_{k}=$, corresponds to a left-m oving gapless m ode.

Now we take the operators $b_{j}^{2}$ into account. If $=0$, then a zero $m$ ode exists for $q_{k} 2$ [ $2=3 ; 2=3]$, whereas forg $2[2=3 ; 4=3]$ there are tw m odes w th energies

$$
\begin{equation*}
"\left(q_{x}\right) \quad q \overline{1} \quad 4 \cos ^{2} \frac{q_{x}}{2}: \tag{102}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spectrum for $>0$ is show $n$ schem atically in $F$ ig. 13b. In this case a left-m oving gapless $m$ ode occurs at $q_{k}=0$.

In conclusion, let us give a sim ple (but not com pletely rigorous) proofofEq. (56) . T he idea is due to Laughlin [71]: we put the system on a cylinder and run magnetic ux through it. For sim plicity, we consider a cylinder of the sm allest radius, which is equal to the lattioe period divided by 2 . Thus the Ham iltonian is given by the $m$ atrix $i A\left(q_{k}\right)$; the variable $q_{k}$ plays the role of the $m$ agnetic ux.

Let $q$ vary from 0 to 2 . W hen the energy of an edge stat $1^{10} j$ i crosses zero, the spectral projector $P\left(q_{x}\right)$ changes by $j$ ih $j$. For an arbitrary operator $Q$ the quantity $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q P\left(q_{x}\right)\right)$ changes by h id $j$ i. Let

$$
Q_{j k}=g(j)_{j k} ; \quad g(j)=\quad \begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { near the edge; }  \tag{103}\\
0 & \text { far aw ay from the edge }
\end{array}
$$

(the exact form of the function $g$ is not important). Then $h \mathbb{D} j \quad 1 . \operatorname{Since} \operatorname{Tr}(Q P(G))$ is a periodic function of $q_{x}$, the abrupt changes $m$ ust be com pensated by a continuous variation, which we call \adiabatic". Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { edge }=\quad \mathrm{I}\left(q_{x}\right) d q_{x} ; \quad \text { where } I\left(q_{x}\right)=\quad \operatorname{Tr}(Q P-) ; \quad P=\frac{d P}{d q_{x}} \text { adiabatic }: \tag{104}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^8]The adiabatic evolution of the spectral pro jector $m$ ay be represented by the $H$ eisenberg equation w th a suitable $H$ am iltonian:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{i} \mathbb{H} ; \mathrm{P}] ; \quad \text { where } \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{P} \mathbb{\mathbb { P }} ; \mathrm{P}-] \text { : } \tag{105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $P^{2}=P$, hence $P$ P- $P-P=P-$ and $P P-P=0$, im plying that $\left.\quad[P P-] ; P\right]=P-$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.I\left(q_{x}\right)=\quad \operatorname{iTrQHP} \quad Q P H=\quad i \operatorname{TrHPQ} \quad H Q P=\quad i \operatorname{TrH} \mathbb{P} ; Q\right]: \tag{106}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T hese transform ations are valid because each trace is represented by a nite sum .) The last expression in Eq. (106) $m$ ay be calculated using the spectral pro jector for the bulk. Indeed, if the function $g$ were constant, the com $m$ utator $\mathbb{P} ; Q]$ would vanish; thus the $m$ ain contribution com es from the region where $g$ changes from 1 to 0 .

In the nal part of the proof, we take into account the periodicity of the bulk spectral projector, representing the site index $j$ as ( $s$; ). As is usual, lattice cells are indexed by $s$; it is an integer that increases in the positive $y$-direction. $W$ e $m$ ay assum e that the function $g$ depends only on $s$ and satis es $\lim _{s!1} g(s)=1, \lim _{s!} \quad g(s)=0$. Then

$$
\mathbb{P} ; Q]_{t ; s}=g(s) \quad g(t) P_{t ; s} \text {; }
$$

X

$$
g(t+r) \quad g(t)=r:
$$

t
U sing these auxiliary identities, we pass to the $m$ om entum representation:

Substituting the result into (106) and (104), we get

## A ppendix C: Q uasidiagonalm atrices

$T$ he goalofthis appendix is to provide a form alargum ent for the existence ofunpaired M a jorana $m$ odes on vortioes for odd values of the C hem num ber. H ow ever, the developed form alism $m$ ay be interesting on its ow n right. It suggests a rather e cient approach to problem s like quantization of H all conductivity in disordered system s w ithout the use of exœessively heavy tools. Som e of results (in particular, the ones conceming the ow of a matrix and the Chem num ber) are actually poor $m$ an's variants of known $m$ athem atical theorem $s{ }^{111}$ A powerfil theory, called noncom $m$ utative geom etry [45]] was used by B ellissard at al [l] to prove rigorously that the Hall conductivity is quantized provided the electron are localized (see [72, 73] for

[^9]

Figure 14: Cutting a $M$ ajorana chain: $M \quad(B)=1$ in cases (a) and (c) whereas $M \quad(B)=1$ in case (b). The dashed lines in (c) show a possible way to reconnect the broken pairs.
another proof). We will use sim ilar ideas, but focus m ainly on providing the intuition rather than $m$ athem atical rigor.

A physical exam ple of a quasidiagonalm atrix is an electron hopping $m$ atrix $T=\left(t_{j k}\right)$ on a d-dim ensional lattice. It is a $H$ erm titian $m$ atrix $w$ th the property that $t_{j k}$ is bounded in $m$ agnitude and vanishes if the distance j $k j b e t w e n$ the sites $j$ and $k$ is greater that som $e$ constant L. Furtherm ore, if T has a spectralgap, ie., if the eigenvahes are bounded aw ay from zero, then the corresponding spectral projector $P=\frac{1}{2} 1$ sgn $T$ is also quasidiagonal. M ore speci cally, the $m$ atrix elem ents $P_{j k}$ decay exponentially $w$ ith distance ${ }^{12}$

In general, a quasidiagonalm atrix is a lattioe-indexed matrix $A=\left(A_{j k}\right) w$ ith su ciently rapidly decaying o -diagonalelem ents. Technically, one requires that

$$
\overbrace{j k}{ }^{2} 6 \text { cjj } \quad \mathrm{kj} ; \quad>\mathrm{d} ;
$$

where $c$ and are som e constants, and $d$ is the dim ension of the space. $N$ ote that \lattioe" is simply a way to im pose coarse $\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ geom etry at large distances. W e $m$ ay think about the problem in these term $s$ : m atriges are operators acting in som e H ibert space, and lattige points are basis vectors. But the choige of the basis need not be xed. O ne may safely replace the basis vector corresponding to a given lattice point by a linear com bination of nearby points. O ne $m$ ay also use som e kind of coarse-graining, replacing the basis by a decom position into orthogonal subspaces corresponding to groups of points, or regions in $R^{d}$.

Let us outline the $m$ ain results. W e rst consider quasidiagonal unitary $m$ atrices in one dim ension and de ne an integraltopologicalcharacteristic called ow. T hen we study pro jection $m$ atriges in two dim ensions. The C hem num ber ( P ) of a quasidiagonalprojection $m$ atrix $P$ is expressed directly in term s of the m atrix elem ents $P_{j k}$, see Eqs. (124) and (122). This de nition does not rely on translational invariance, but in the translationally invariant case we reproduce Eq. (54).

A fter those prelim inaries, we sw itch to questions related to $M$ a jorana ferm ions (allnecessary background is given in Section(3). From them athem aticalpoint ofview, we study quasidiagonal real skew -sym $m$ etric $m$ atriges $B$ satisfying the condition $B^{2}=1$. In one dim ension, such a $m$ atrix is assigned a cutting obstruction $M \quad(B)=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to an arbitrary cut dividing the chain into two parts. Let us explain the physicalm eaning of this num ber. The matrix $B$ de nes a pairing of $M$ ajorana $m$ odes (in the exact sense stated right after Eq. (27), but we $w$ ill use a cartoon description for illustration). If we cut the chain, the resulting pieces $m$ ay carry unpaired boundary m odes [64]. T his happens when an odd num ber of pairs is broken, as

[^10]

Figure 15: A $m$ ap from two to one dim ension: annular regions around the vortex (a) are collapsed into points on a ray (b).
in $F$ ig. 14b; otherw ise one can $m$ odify the $m$ atrix near the cut so that to avoid broken pairs, se F ig. 14c. M ore form ally, let us consider quasidiagonal real skew -sym $m$ etric $m$ atrioes $B^{0}$ that agree w ith B at in nity but have no nonzero elem ents across the cut. W e prove that if M $(B)=1$, then no such $B^{0}$ exists that satis es the equation $\left(B^{0}\right)^{2}=1 . N$ ote that while $M$ (B) depends on the cut, the relative $M$ ajorana num ber of tw o $m$ atrices on the sam e chain, $M \quad(A ; B)=M \quad(A) M \quad(B)$ is invariant.

The concept of M a jorana num ber is also applicable in two dim ensions. Let $\mathrm{B}^{2}=1$, and let us construct another $m$ atrix $B^{0}$ by inserting a $Z_{2}$-vortex at the origin. M ore exactly, $B_{j k}^{0}=B_{j k}$, where the $m$ inus sign occurs when the link ( $j ; k$ ) crosses some xed ray $r$ (an analogue of the $D$ irac string). N ote that the condition $\left(B^{0}\right)^{2}=1$ is only true asym ptotically (i.e., far aw ay from the origin); itm ay orm ay not be possible to satisfy this equation by altering the $m$ atrix elem ents near the vortex. In fact, the presence of an unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ ode at the vortex is de ned as locally unrepairable faiture of the equation $\left(\mathrm{B}^{0}\right)^{2}=1$. Such a m ode is detected by an absolute $M$ ajorana num ber $M\left(B^{9}\right)$. For its construction, let us regard $B$ and $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ as one-dim ensional by keeping track of the radial direction only: we divide the plane into concentric rings and $m$ ap all sites in each ring to a single location on a ray (see Fig. (15). To sim plify the calculation, we cut out the interior of a su ciently large circle ; let j jbe the num ber of sites rem oved. The presence or absence of an unpaired mode in this case is given by $\mathrm{M} \quad\left(\mathrm{B}^{9}\right)$, which is de ned using som e annular neighborhood of . Extrapolating to $j \mathrm{j}=0$, we get $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)=(1)^{j} \mathrm{j} M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)=M \quad\left(B ; B^{0}\right)$. The last num ber only depends on the $m$ atrix elem ents of $B$ in som e neighborhood of the intersection point between and $r$. Finally, we show that $M\left(B ; B^{0}\right)=(1)^{(\mathbb{P})}$, where $(P)$ is the $C$ hem num ber associated $w$ ith the pro jector $P=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad i B)$. Thus, vortioes carry unpaired $M$ a jorana $m$ odes if and only if $(P)$ is odd.

## C.1 The ow of a unitary m atrix

C.1.1 $D e n$ nition. Let usconsider an arbitrary (possibly in nite) unitary $m$ atrix $U=\left(U_{j k}\right)$. $W$ e refer to the values of $j$ and $k$ as \sites" and de ne a \current" ow ing from $k$ to $j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j k}=j J_{j k}{ }^{2} \quad J_{k j} j^{2}: \tag{107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U$ is unitary, ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} U_{j 1} U_{j k}={ }^{P}{ }_{j} U_{l j} U_{k j}={ }_{1 k}$, therefore the current is conserved at each site: X

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{jk}}=0: \tag{108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the sites are positioned on a line (m ore speci cally, enum erated by integens), and that the o -diagonalm atrix elem ents of $U$ decay fast enough. $W$ e will see later that the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{jk}} j 6 \mathrm{c} j \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{kj} ; \quad \text { where }>1 \text {; } \tag{109}
\end{equation*}
$$

is su cient for our purposes. For now, let us sim ply assum e that $U{ }_{j k}$ vanishes if $j \vec{j} j$ is greater than som e constant L. Then it is obvious that the total current through a \cross section",

$F(U)=$| $X$ | $X$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $f_{j k} ;$ |  |

does not depend on the choice of . The number $F(U)$ is called the ow of $U$. For exam ple, the $m$ atrix $w$ ith entries $U_{j k}={ }_{j ; k+s}$ has ow $s$.
C.1.2 Integrality of the ow . A nontrivial property is that the ow is quantized, ie., has an integer value. To prove this statem ent, let us introduce the pro jector onto the sites $M$ through N :

$$
\underset{j k}{M ; N]}=\begin{align*}
& 1 \text { if } \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{k} 6 \mathrm{~N} ;  \tag{111}\\
& 0 \text { otherw ise: }
\end{align*}
$$

The projector onto an in nite interval is de ned sim ilarly. Let $={ }^{(0 ; 1)}$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(U)=\operatorname{Tr} U^{Y} U(1 \quad) \quad \operatorname{Tr}^{Y}(1 \quad) U \quad=\operatorname{Tr} U^{Y} U \quad: \tag{112}
\end{equation*}
$$

(C aution: In the last expression, the ordee of operations is im portant: we rst com pute the $m$ atrix $=U^{Y} U$, and then its trace, $j j j$. It is not possible to use the cyclic property of the trace, $\operatorname{TrAB}=\operatorname{TrBA}$, since it is only valid if one of the $m$ atrices has a nite num ber of nonzero elem ents or, $m$ ore generally, if the sum involved in the calculation of the trace converges absolutely.)
$N$ ote that the $m$ atrioes and $U{ }^{Y} U$ are orthogonal projectors. If they were nite, their traces would be integers, and the di erence would also be an integer. In the in nite case, one $m$ ay refer to the general notion of the relative index of two pro jectors [73, 74]. H ow ever, we w ill proceed in a $m$ ore pedestrian fashion and sim ply truncate the $m$ atrioes.

Let $=U^{V} U \quad$. It is clear that the $m$ atrix elem ent $\quad j k$ vanishes if $j j$ or $k j$ is greater than $L$, so is not changed by the truncation to the interval [ L;L]. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(U)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{Y} U\right)_{\text {trunc }} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \text { trunc } ; \quad \text { where } A_{\text {trunc }} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}[\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}] A \quad[\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L}]: \tag{113}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A$ is an orthogonal projector and commutes with $[\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}]$, then $A_{\text {trunc }}$ is also an orthogonal projector. Obviously, and commutewith $[\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}]$, and so does thematrix $U^{Y} U=+$. Thusboth trunc and $\left(U^{Y} U\right)_{\text {trunc }}$ are orthogonalpro jectors. It follow s that $F(U)$ is an integer.

In equation (112), the projector $m$ ay be replaced by another operator $w$ th the sam $e$ asym ptotics at in nity. (In other words, we can \blur" the boundary between the left and the right half-line.) Indeed, the expression $\operatorname{Tr}\left(U^{Y} A U \quad A\right)$ vanishes if the cyclic property of the trace is true, i.e., if the elem ents of A decay at in nity fast enough. A dding such a m atrix A to $w$ ill not change the result. T hus

$$
\left.F(U)=\operatorname{Tr} U^{Y} Q U \quad Q=\operatorname{Tr} U^{Y} Q ; U\right] ; \quad \text { where } Q_{j k}=\begin{align*}
& j k \text { for } j ; k!+1 ;  \tag{114}\\
& 0 \quad \text { for } j ; k!\quad 1: ~
\end{align*}
$$

(Here we also assum e that the $m$ atrix $Q$ is quasidiagonal, i.e, $\sum_{j k} j$ is bounded by a rapidly decaying function of $j \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{kj}$ cf. (109) .)
C.1.3 Translationally-invariant case. The ow can be easily calculated ifU possesses a translational sym $m$ etry. Let us group the sites by unit cells and index them as ( $s$; ), where s is the num ber of the cell, and refers to a position type inside the cell. W e assum e that $U_{s}, t$ depends only on $t S$, and. In this case, we can express $F(U)$ in term $s$ of the trace per unit cell (denoted by tr) and a position operator X :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F(U)=\operatorname{tr} U^{Y} \mathbb{X} ; U\right] ; \quad \text { where } X_{s, t}=s_{s t} \quad \text { : } \tag{115}
\end{equation*}
$$

(For a proof, replace the factor $s$ in the de nition of $X$ by the function $g(s)$ such that $g(s)=s$ for jisj 6 N and $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{s})=\mathrm{N}$ sgn s for $j \mathrm{j} j>\mathrm{N}$, where N is large; then use Eq. $\mathrm{p}^{(114)}$ ).

In the m om entum representation, the operator $U$ becom es $\mathcal{V} \quad(q)={ }_{t} e^{i(q, t)} U_{0}, t \cdot W e$ $m$ ay also use these sim ple rules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X ; A]!i \frac{d A}{d q} ; \quad \operatorname{tr} A!\quad \frac{d q}{2} \operatorname{TrA}: \tag{116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

## C . 2 G eneral setting

The result about the ow quantization can be extended to $m$ atrioes satisfying condition (109), which guarantees that the sum in Eq. (110) converges absolutely.
 sites are now described by orthogonal subspaces of the total H ilbert space. This generalization is useful if $w$ ant to treat a two-dim ensional system as one-dim ensional.

F inally, we m ay try to apply the notion of ow to nite system s. Let us assum e that the unitarity condition, ${ }_{j} \mathrm{U}_{j 1} \mathrm{U}_{j \mathrm{k}}={ }_{j} \mathrm{U}_{1 j} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{kj}}={ }_{\mathrm{k}}$, is approxim ate and holds only if j and k belong to som e interval. In this case, one needs to restrict the sum (110) to the sam e interval, and the result $w$ ill not be an exact integer. But one can actually give an upper bound for the deviation from the closest integer.

Setting the last generalization aside, let us put the integrality theorem into a rigorous form. (T he reader $m$ ay safely skip this form alism and proceed to the next subsection.)
Theorem C.1. Let U be a unitary operator acting in the Hibert space $H=L_{j=1} H_{j}$ and represented by a $m$ atrix whose entries $U_{j k}$ are linear $m$ aps from $H_{k}$ to $H_{j}$. Suppose that the - -diagonalentries are H ilbert-Schm idt operators satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kU}_{j \mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{HS}} 6 \mathrm{c} \boldsymbol{\mathrm { j }} \mathrm{j} \quad \mathrm{kj} \tag{118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c and $>1$ are som e constants, and $k \quad H^{k}$ is the $H$ ibert-Schm idt norm. Then the sum in the expression for the ow,

$$
F(U)=\begin{gather*}
X \quad X \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(U_{j k}^{Y} U_{j k}\right) \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(U_{k j}^{Y} U_{k j}\right), ~ \tag{119}
\end{gather*}
$$

converges absolutely and has an integer value.

Proof sketch. Let $H=L_{j<0} H_{j}$ and $H_{+}=L_{j>0} H_{j}$. An operator A is said to be quasi-trace-class relative to the decom position $H=H \quad H_{+}$ifthem atrix elem ents $A_{+}$and $A$ are trace class, whereas $A_{+}$and A + are $H$ ilbert-Schm idt. By de nition, TrA $=\operatorname{Tr} A_{++}+\operatorname{TrA} \quad$. $A n$ operator $B$ is said to be quasi-bounded if $B_{++}, B$ are bounded and $B_{+}, B+$ are H illbert-Schm idt. B oth types of operators form B anach spaces with respect to suitable norm s; quasi-trace-class operators form an ideal in the algebra of quasi-bounded operators.

O ne can show that the operator $=U{ }^{Y} U$ is quasi-trace-class. A lso, the operators $P(L)={ }^{[L ; L]} U^{Y} U \quad[\mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L}]$ are alm ost projectors, in the sense that $\mathrm{lm}_{\mathrm{L}!} \mathrm{I}_{1}\left(\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{L})^{2} \quad \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{L})\right)=0$ w ith respect to the quasi-trace norm. H ence $P$ ( $L$ ) can be approxim ated by a projector, which has an integer trace.

W e om it the details and proceed to higher dim ensions. G enerally, our style w ill not be very rigorous, but one can hopefully elaborate the results using the follow ing de nition.
De nition C.2. Let a positive integer d (the dim ension) and a real number $>d$ be xed. A matrix ( $A_{j k}$ : j;k $2 \mathrm{Z}^{\mathrm{d}}$ ) w ith operator entries is called quasidiagonal if all its o -diagonal entries are $H$ ilbert-Schm idt, and there are som e constants $c$ and $c^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k A_{j j} k 6 C ; \quad k A_{j k} k_{H S} 6 c^{0} \ddot{j} \quad k j \quad \text { for } j \in k: \tag{120}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote that if A is unitary, then $k A_{j j} k 61$ autom atically. Q uasidiagonalm atrioes form a Banach algebra w ith the norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
k A k_{q d}=\sup _{j} k A_{j j} k+\sup _{j \hbar k} \ddot{j} \quad k j k A_{j k} k_{H S} ; \tag{121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a su ciently large constant.

## C . 3 C hern num ber

C.3.1 General de nition of (P). Let $P$ be an orthogonal pro jector represented by a quasidiagonalm atrix in two dim ensions. (For sim plicity, we assum e that the $m$ atrix elem ents $P_{j k}$ are scalars, though they $m$ ay be operators as well.) For each triple ( $j ; k ; l$ ) we de ne a \2-current" ${ }^{13}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{jk} 1}=12 i \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k} 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{lj}} \quad \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{j} 1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{jk}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{kj}}: \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $h_{j k 1}$ is antisym $m$ etric in all three indioes. Since $P$ is $H$ erm itian, $h_{j k l}$ is a real num ber. $M$ oreover, since $P^{2}=P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(@)_{k l} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}{ }_{j}^{X} h_{j k l}=0: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let uspartition the plane into three sectors and de ne a quantity (P ), which w illbe show $n$ to generalize the notion of the C hem num ber:


$$
(P)=h(A ; B ; C) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \quad \begin{array}{lll} 
& X & X  \tag{124}\\
& h_{j k 1}: & k 2 B \quad 12 C
\end{array}
$$

[^11]This sum converges absolutely. O n the other hand, its value does not change if one reassigns any site from one sector to another (due to Eq. (123)). T herefore (P) is a topological invariant: it is constant, provided that A , B , C are arranged in the counterclockw ise order. W ew ill see that
( P ) is actually an integer, and its value agrees w ith Eq. (54) in the translationally invariant case.
C.3.2 S om e properties and the translationally invariant case. Let us rew rite Eq. (124) in an operator form using the relation $A+B+C=1$, where the symbols $A, B, C$ designate the projectors on the corresponding sectors:

```
(P) = 12 iTr(APBPCP) Tr(APCPBP)
```

$=4$ iTrAPBPCP + BPCPAP + CPAPBP APCPBP CPBPAP BPAPCP

$$
\begin{equation*}
=4 \text { iTrPAPBP } \quad \mathrm{BPAP}=4 \text { iTrPAP;PBP } \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(P ; A ; B): \tag{125}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the value of $(P ; A ; B)$ will not change if we add arbitrary nite $m$ atrides to $A$ and B. M oreover, the com m utator $\mathbb{P}$ AP;PBP] is nonzero only in the region where the supports of $A, B$, and $C=1 \quad A \quad B$ touch each other, hence we can $m$ ake arbitrary changes aw ay from the triple contact point. Thus the operators A, B , C do not have to be projectors or even com m ute w ith each other; it is only im portant that they are supported by regions w ith the particular topological con guration (possibly w ith som e overlap along the boundaries and triple overlap at the center).
$T$ his freedom in the choige of $A$ and $B$ is very usefiul. A s one application, we show that ( P ) is additive. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be projectors onto orthogonalsubspaces (i.e., $P_{1} P_{2}=P_{2} P_{1}=0$ ) and let $P_{3}=1 \quad P_{1} \quad P_{2}$. W e $m$ ay replace $A, B$, and $C=1 \quad A \quad B$ with

$$
A^{0}=X_{k=1}^{X^{3}} P_{k} A P_{k} ; \quad B^{0}=X_{k=1}^{X^{3}} P_{k} B P_{k} ; \quad C^{0}=X_{k=1}^{X^{3}} P_{k} C P_{k} ;
$$

which di er from A , B, and C only at the boundaries of the corresponding regions. T hen

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{A}^{0}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right) \mathrm{B}^{0}\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{P}_{1} \mathrm{AP}_{1} \mathrm{~B}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{AP}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{2} ; \\
\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{A}^{0} ; \mathrm{B}^{0}=\left(\mathrm{P}_{1} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}\right)+\left(\mathrm{P}_{2} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}\right):
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}+\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)+\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right) ; \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{P})=\quad(\mathrm{P}): \tag{126}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow, we calculate ( $\mathrm{P} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}$ ) for a di erent topologicaltopologicalcon guration. Let ${ }^{(\mathrm{x})}=$ ${ }^{(1)}+{ }^{(4)}$ and ${ }^{(y)}={ }^{(2)}+{ }^{(1)}$ be the projectors onto the right and the upper half-plane, respectively (see the picture below ). T hen


It follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P)=2 \operatorname{iTr} P \quad{ }^{(x)} P ; P \quad{ }^{(y)} P=2 \operatorname{iTr} P \quad\left[{ }^{(x)} ; P\right] ;\left[{ }^{(y)} ; P\right]+P\left[{ }^{(x)} ;{ }^{(y)} \mathbb{P} \quad ;\right. \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last term vanishes because ( ${ }^{(x)}$ and ${ }^{(y)}$ commute. Of course, ${ }^{(x)}$, (y) may be replaced by any topologically equivalent pair of operators, i.e., we $m$ ay deform or blur the boundaries of the corresponding half-planes.

In the translationally invariant case, the right-hand side of Eq. (128) can be calculated by widening the fuzzy boundaries so that they tum into linear functions. $T$ hus

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P)=2 \operatorname{itr} P \quad[\mathrm{X} ; \mathrm{P}] ;[\mathrm{Y} ; \mathrm{P}] ; \tag{129}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $X$ and $Y$ are the operators of $x$-and $y$-coordinate (resp.), and tr is the trace per unit cell. Passing to the $m$ om entum representation and using a two-dim ensional analogue of the correspondence (116), we recover Eq. (54).

C .3.3 The integrality of ( P ). W e have seen ( P ) has topological nature; let us show that it is an integer. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{(x)}=P \quad{ }^{(x)} P ; \quad Q^{(y)}=P \quad{ }^{(y)} P ; \quad U^{(x)}=\exp 2 \quad \text { iQ }{ }^{(y)} ; \quad U^{(y)}=\exp \quad 2 \quad \text { iQ }{ }^{(x)} \text { : } \tag{130}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he operator $U{ }^{(x)}$ coincides $w$ ith the identity $m$ atrix aw ay from the $x$-axis, and $U(y)$ is equal to the identity aw ay from the $y$-axis. $W$ e m ay proceed in two di erent ways.

First proof. Let us regard the two-dim ensional lattioe as one-dim ensional by identifying all sites in each vertical row. Then $U^{(x)}$ satis es the hypothesis of $T$ heorem [ . .1, hence it has an integer ow. But the ow can be expressed using Eq. 114) w ith $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{Q}^{(\mathrm{x})}$. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
F\left(U^{(x)}\right) & =\operatorname{Tr} e^{2 Q^{(y)}} Q^{(x)} e^{2 \operatorname{iQ}(y)} Q^{(x)}={ }_{0}^{Z 2} \operatorname{Tr} \frac{d}{d^{\prime}} e^{i^{\prime} Q^{(y)} Q^{(x)} e^{i^{\prime} Q(y)} \quad d^{\prime}}  \tag{131}\\
& =2 \operatorname{iTr} Q^{(x)} ; Q^{(y)}=(P):
\end{align*}
$$

N ote an analogy w ith the Laughlin argum ent: the integration over' corresponds to the adiabatic insertion of a magnetic ux quantum .

Second proof (sketch). Let us em ploy the notion of Fredholm determ inant. It is a generalization of the determ inant to in nite $m$ atriges that are close to the identity, speci cally of the form $1+K$, where $K$ has a well-de ned trace 14

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}(1+K) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \exp \operatorname{Trln}(1+K)=1+\operatorname{Tr} K+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\operatorname{Tr} K)^{2}+:::: \tag{132}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exact $m$ eaning ofthis de nition is as follow $s$ : we rst obtain a form alexpression as a pow er series in $K$ and then evaluate each term. (If $K$ is a matrix of size $n$, then all term $s$ of degree higher than n vanish.) W e claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{x})} \mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{y})} \mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{x})}{ }^{1} \mathrm{U}^{(\mathrm{y})}{ }^{1}=1 \text { : } \tag{133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the identity $\operatorname{det} A B A{ }^{1} B^{1}=1$ is true at the form al power series level, assum ing that the cyclic property of the trace holds for any product of $A \quad 1, A^{1} \quad 1, B \quad 1, B^{1} 1$

[^12]containing at least one factor with $A$ and at least one factor with $B$. On the other hand, one can show that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime} y Q^{(y)}} e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \times Q^{(x)}} e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime} y Q^{(y)}} e^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime} \times Q^{(x)}}=\exp \quad_{x}^{\prime} y_{y} \operatorname{Tr} Q^{(x)} ; Q^{(y)} \quad: \tag{134}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

## C . $4 \quad \mathrm{M}$ ajorana num bers

In this section we study real skew -sym $m$ etric $m$ atrices satisfying the equation $B^{2}=1 \cdot R e-$ call that such a matrix de nes the ground state $j$ i of a $M$ ajorana system with a quadratic H am ittonian (cf. Eqs. (26) and (27)). F irst, we consider nite or general in nite matrioes (i.e., work in dim ension zero), then proceed to quasidiagonalm atrices in dim ension one, and nally to dim ension two.

C .4.1 D im ension zero: ferm ion ic parity and the $P$ fa an. A few more words about the $M$ a jorana system, then wew illtum to $m$ atrices. Let us group the sites (or the corresponding M a jorana operators) into pairs ( $2 \mathrm{k} 1 ; 2 \mathrm{k}$ ) to form \full" ferm ionic modes $w$ th the occupation num ber operators $a_{k}^{Y} a_{k}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+i c_{2 k} l_{2 k}\right)$. N ote that the operator $\quad i{ }_{Q_{k}} C_{2 k} C_{2 k}$ has eigenvalue 1 if the $m$ ode is eg pty and 1 if it is occupied. Thus the total ferm ionic parity is characterized by the operator ${ }_{k}\left(i_{q_{k}} C_{2 k}\right)$. The ferm ionic parity of the ground state is equal to the $P$ fa an of the matrix B :

```
Y
    ( ifgk clak)ji= (PfB)ji; PfB = 1:
k
```

Let us recall som e standard de nitions. The $P$ fa an of a skew-sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix is expressed as a sum over allpartitions of the set $f 1 ;::: ; 2 \mathrm{~N} g$ into pairs, or over allelem ents of the perm utation group $S_{2 N}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P f A={\frac{1}{2^{N} N!}}_{2 S_{2 N}}^{X} \operatorname{sgn}() A_{(1) ; ~(2)} \quad\left(2 \mathrm{NA}_{1}\right) ;(2 \mathrm{~N}): \tag{136}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple,

The $P$ fa an satis es the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(P f A)^{2}=\operatorname{det} A ; \quad \operatorname{Pf}\left(\mathbb{W} A W^{T}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}(\mathbb{A}) \operatorname{det}(\mathbb{W}): \tag{137}
\end{equation*}
$$

W ew illalso need a generalization ofthe $P$ fa an to in nitem atrioes, which is called Fredholm $P$ fa an [75]]. Let A and B be realskew -sym m etric, B invertible, and A B have a well-de ned trace. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Pf}(A ; B) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} P \overline{\operatorname{det}(1+K)}=\exp \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \ln (1+K) \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrK}+\quad \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{TrK}^{2}+\frac{1}{8}(\operatorname{TrK})^{2}+::: ; \quad \text { where } K=A B^{1} \quad 1: \tag{138}
\end{align*}
$$

This de nition is understood as that of the Fredholm determ inant: we rst obtain a form al expression as a pow er series in $K$ and then evaluate each term. (For nite m atrioes of size 2 N we get $P f(A ; B)=(P f A)(P f B)^{1}$; note that the term $s$ of degree higher than $N$ vanish $)$. The Fredholm $P$ fa an has the follow ing properties:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{B})=1 ; \quad \operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}) \operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})=\operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{C}) ;  \tag{139}\\
\mathrm{Pf}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B})^{2}=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{AB}^{1}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{Pf}\left(\mathbb{N} A W^{T} ; \mathrm{VBV} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}) \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{V}^{1} \mathrm{~W}\right) ;  \tag{140}\\
\text { if } \mathrm{A}^{2}=\mathrm{B}^{2}=1 ; \quad \text { then } \operatorname{Pf}(\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B})=1: \tag{141}
\end{gather*}
$$

C.4.2 D im ension one: cutting obstruction and the relative $M$ ajorana num ber. $N$ ow, consider a $m$ atrix that describes the ground state of $M$ ajorana ferm ions on an in nite chain. From a form alpoint ofview, it su ces to say that $B=\left(B_{j k}: j ; k 2 Z\right)$ is quasidiagonal, real skew -sym $m$ etric, and that $B^{2}=1$. Suppose that we cut the chain between sites 1 and. Is it possible to nd a new $m$ atrix $B^{0}$ that contains no cross elem ents (i.e., has the form $\left.B^{0} \quad B_{++}^{0}\right)$, satis es the condition $\left(B^{0}\right)^{2}=1$, and coincides $w$ ith $B$ far aw ay from the cut? $T$ he follow ing quantity represents an obstruction for the construction of such a $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} \quad(\mathrm{~B})=\operatorname{PfV}() \mathrm{BV}() ; \mathrm{B}=1 ; \quad \text { where } \mathrm{V}()=1 \quad 2^{[; 1)}: \tag{142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that ${ }^{[; 1}$ ) denotes the projector onto sites and higher; $V() B V()_{j k}=B_{j k}$, where the $m$ inus sign occurs when $j$ and $k$ lie on di erent sides of the cut.
$W$ e claim that the conditions on $B^{0}$ cannot be $m$ et if $M \quad(B)=1$. Indeed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{M} \quad(\mathrm{~B}) \mathrm{M} \quad(\mathrm{~B})^{1} & =\operatorname{PfV}() \mathrm{BV}() ; \mathrm{V}() \mathrm{BV}() \\
& =\operatorname{det} \mathrm{V}()^{1} \mathrm{~V}()=(1): \tag{143}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he sam $e$ is true for $B^{0}$, hence the relative $M$ ajorana num ber,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad\left(B ; B^{0}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} M \quad(B) M \quad\left(B^{0}\right) \tag{144}
\end{equation*}
$$

does not depend on . H ow ever, $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)=M \quad(B)$ if $j \quad j$ is large enough ( $\operatorname{since} B$ and $B$ agree far aw ay from the cut), while $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)=1$ (because $B^{0}=B^{0} \quad B_{++}^{0}$ ) and $M \quad(B)=1$ (by assum ption). W e have arrived at a contradiction.

From the physical point of view, the im possibility to construct a suitablem atrix B ${ }^{0}$ indicates the presence of unpaired $M$ ajorana $m$ odes on both sides of the cut. This situation $m$ ay be described by $m$ atrices $B^{0}$ and $B_{++}^{0}$ having one zero eigenvalue.

The cutting obstruction and the relative M ajorana num ber can also be expressed as Fredholm determ inants. To this end, consider the quasidiagonalm atrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=V(0) \exp (X) ; \quad \text { where } X=\frac{1}{2} \quad{ }^{(x)} B+B \quad(X) ; \quad(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \quad[0 ; 1): \tag{145}
\end{equation*}
$$

C learly, X is realskew -sym $m$ etric and com $m$ utesw ith $\mathrm{B} . \mathrm{Hence} \mathrm{W}$ is orthogonal, and $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{W}^{\mathrm{T}}=$ $V(0) B V(0)$. On the other hand, $X$ is equal to $B$ at +1 and vanishes at 1 , therefore $W$ is equal to 1 at 1 . O ne can actually show that $W 1$ has a well-de ned trace. It follow s that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \circ(B)=P f W B W^{T} ; B=\operatorname{det} W: \tag{146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, one can de ne $X^{0}, W^{0}$ as functions of $B^{0}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
M\left(B ; B^{0}\right)=\operatorname{det} W^{1} W^{0}=\operatorname{det} e^{x} e^{x^{0}}: \tag{147}
\end{equation*}
$$

C.4.3 A pplications to two dim ensions. Eq. (147) m ay be used as a m ore generalde nition of the relative $M$ a jorana num ber, which w orks even ifM $\quad(B)$ and $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)$ do not exist. For
 In other words, $B_{j k}^{0}=B_{j k}$, where the $m$ inus sign occurs when $j$ and $k$ lie on di erent sides of the $x$-axis. If $w e$ regard the system as one-dim ensional (collapsing it in the $y$ direction), the $M$ a jorana num ber $M\left(B ; B^{0}\right)$ is well-de ned and can be expressed in term $s$ of the pro jector $P=\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad i B) . T$ he calculation follow $s$.

First, we obtain an expression for the operator X :

$$
X=i P \quad{ }^{(x)} P \quad(1 \quad \mathrm{P})^{(\mathrm{x})}(1 \quad \mathrm{P}):
$$

Then we use the relation $1 \quad 2^{(y)}=\exp \quad i^{(y)}$ :

$$
M\left(B ; B^{0}\right)=\operatorname{det} e^{x} e^{i(y)} e^{x} e^{i(y)}=\exp i^{2} \operatorname{Tr} X ; \quad(y) \quad:
$$

Finally, we com pute the trace of the com $m$ utator:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{1}{2} \quad(P) \quad(1 \quad P)=\frac{1}{-} \quad(P) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

because (1 $\quad \mathrm{P})=(\mathrm{P})$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
M \quad\left(B ; B^{0}\right)=(1)^{(P)}: \tag{148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (148) can be applied to the geom etry shown in Fig . 15 . In this case, B and $\mathrm{B}^{0}$ correspond to two di erent $Z_{2}$ - eld con gurations: the rst is regarded as vortex-free and the second has a vortex at the origin. The speci c assum ptions are as follows: (i) B and B ${ }^{0}$ are real skew -sym $m$ etric quasidiagonal $m$ atrices in two dim ensions; (ii) $B$ and $B^{0}$ coincide, except that $B_{j k}^{0}=B_{j k}$ for those links which cross the ray $r ;$ (iii) $B^{2}=1$ (therefore $\left(B^{0}\right)^{2}=1$ far aw ay from the vortex). N ote that $M \quad(B)$ and $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)$ m ay be de ned for a su ciently large loop enclosing the vortex. Let j jbe the num ber of sites inside the loop. Then the absolute $M$ ajorana num bers $M \quad(B) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(1)^{j} \mathrm{j} M \quad(B)$ and $M \quad\left(B^{0}\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(1)^{j}{ }^{j} M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)$ do not depend on and indicate the presence of unpaired $M$ a jorana $m$ odes in $B$ and $B^{0}$, respectively. H ow ever, an unpaired $m$ ode cannot exist in $B$ since $B^{2}=1$; therefore $M \quad\left(B ; B^{0}\right)=M \quad\left(B^{0}\right)$. W e conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M} \quad\left(\mathrm{~B}^{0}\right)=(1)^{(\mathbb{P})}: \tag{149}
\end{equation*}
$$

## A ppendix D : Som e rem arks on the ch iral central charge

This appendix is an attem pt to understand the physical and $m$ athem atical m eaning of the chiral central charge beyond the CFT fram ew ork. Recall that chiral central charge is just the coe cient $c$ in the edge energy current form ula (57). This de nition w illbe re ned in Sec. D .1.

It tums out that the edge energy current is a property of the bulk ground state. T he edge current is related to a bulk 2-current. A though the theory is in its em bryonic stage, it looks like a second-quantized version of the C hem num ber for quasidiagonalm atrioes (cf. Sec. C . 3) .

In Sec. D 2 we discuss $m$ odular transform ations of the partition function on a space-tim e torus. In particular, the phase factor $e^{2 \text { ic }}=24$ appears in the description of the $D$ ehn tw ist along a tim e circle. H ow ever, other m odular transform ations are di cult to de ne because space and tim e are not physically equivalent.

## D. 1 The edge energy current and a bulk 2-current

D .1.1 Energy current in the H am iltonian form alism . Let us represent the H am iltonian as a sum of local term s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{j}^{X} H_{j} ; \tag{150}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{j}$ is a $H_{\text {erm itian }}$ operator acting only on spins in som e neighborhood of the point $j$. $N$ ote that the decom position into local term $s$ is not unique. $W$ e also assum e that the ground state $j i$ is separated from excited states by an energy gap; thus equaltim e spin correlators decay exponentially w ith distance [16]. W e m ay slightly extend the notion of locality so that $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}}$ acts on all spins but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{H}_{j} i_{k}\right] \quad 6 \text { u } \ddot{j} \quad k j ; \tag{151}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ is som e function with fast decay at in nity (faster than any power). Then the equaltim e correlators also decay faster than any pow er, provided the spectnum is gapped.
$M$ icroscopic energy current can be de ned for any system with Ham ittonian (150) at nite tem perature. It is convenient to x the tem perature at $\mathrm{T}=1$ and vary the H am iltonian instead. $T$ he them al average of an operator $X$ is de ned in the standard way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hX i= } \operatorname{Tr}(X) \text {; where }=Z^{1} e^{H} ; \quad Z=\text { Tre }^{H}: \tag{152}
\end{equation*}
$$

A coording to the $H$ eisenberg equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\frac{d H_{j}}{d t}=i \mathbb{H}_{j} ; H\right]=\hat{k}_{k}^{X} \hat{f_{j k}} ; \quad \text { where } \quad \hat{f}_{j k}=i \mathbb{H}_{j} ; H_{k}\right]: \tag{153}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the operator $\hat{f}_{j k}$ characterizes the energy current from $k$ to $j$. $W$ e denote its them al average by $f_{j k}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.f_{j k}=f\left(H_{j} ; H_{k}\right) ; \quad \text { where } f(A ; B) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \quad i \mathbb{A} ; B\right]: \tag{154}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $N$ ote that this de nition depends on the decom position of the H am iltonian into local term s.) O f course, the energy current is conserved:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(@ f)_{k} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} f_{j k}=0: \tag{155}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,

$$
\left.(@ f)_{k}=\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=\operatorname{Tr} \quad \mathrm{i} \mathbb{H} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]=\operatorname{Tr} \quad \mathrm{i}[; \mathrm{H}] \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}=0 \text {; }
$$

since and H commute.

Let us try to apply the general form ula (154) to a speci c geom etry. Let $\mathrm{H}^{(1)}$ be the H am itonian of an in nite two-dim ensional system with a ground state $j$ i and gapped excitations. To sim ulate an edge, we introduce another H am iltonian,

$w$ here $y(j)$ is the $y$-coordinate of the point $j$. Thus the lower part of the system is $\backslash b u \mathbb{k}$ $m$ aterial" characterized by the state $j$ ih $j$ w hereas the upper part is \em pty space" (actually, a set of noninteracting spins in the $m$ axim ally $m$ ixed state). By de nition, the chiral central charge is $12=$ tim es the total energy current in the negative $x$-direction.

D .1.2 Representing the ground state by local constraints. At this point, we have encountered a technical di culty: the energy current does not necessarily vanish in the bulk. The problem can be avoided if we describe the ground state by a set of local constraints. (A local constraint is a local operator that annihilates the ground state.) H am iltonians that are explicitly w ritten as sum s of local constraints, e.g., the R okhsarK ivelson m odel [76, 19], have provided a lot of insight into properties of quantum $m$ any-body system $s$.
Proposition D.1. A ny gapped localH am iltonian $H={ }^{P}{ }_{j} H_{j}$ whose ground state $j$ i has zero energy can be represented as a sum of Hem itian local operators $\Psi_{j}$ such that $\Psi_{j} j i=0$.

P roof sketch. W ithout loss of generality we may assume that h $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}=0$. (Ifnot, change $H_{j}$ by a constant.) Let all excited states have energy greater or equal to . C hoose a sm ooth function to (") such that

Its Fourier transform, $w(t)={ }^{R}{ }_{\text {to }}(") e^{" t} \frac{d "}{2}$ decays faster than any power of $t$ though $m$ ore slow ly than e tj (because to is sm ooth but not analytic). W e set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{P}_{j}=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} e^{i H t_{H}}{ }_{j} e^{i H t} w(t) d t: \tag{157}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to check that ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} \mathscr{P}_{j}=H$ and $\mathscr{P}_{j} j i=0$. A locality condition of the form (151) can be established using the bound ofLieb and R obinson [77] on correlation propagation (cf. [16]).

For our punposes, we can actually use an arbitrary gapped H am iltonian $\mathrm{X}={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{j} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{m}$ ade up of localconstraints for the given state $j i$. It tums out that the chiralœentralcharge depends only on the ground state. Indeed, one can intenpolate betw een di erent sets of local constraints for the sam e state. C onsider the situation where the constrains are $X_{j}$ in close proxim ity of the edge, gradually changing to $X_{j}^{0}$ deeper in the bulk. N o energy current is associated with the transition betw een $X$ and $X{ }^{0}$.
$A_{S}$ an aside, we con jecture that there is a set of localconstraints $Y_{j}$ such that the $H$ am ittonian $Y={ }_{j} Y_{j} Y_{j}$ is gapped. Thus excitations can be e ciently detected locally, by coupling each
$Y_{j}$ to an extemal indicator: $H_{\text {detector }}={ }^{P}{ }_{j} \quad Y_{j} \quad$ jlih0 $j_{j}+Y_{j}^{y} \quad j 0 i h 1 j j_{j}$. A stronger version of this con jecture asserts that the originalH am iltonian $H$ can be represented as ${ }^{P}{ }_{j} Y_{j}{ }_{j} Y_{j}$, where $Y_{j}$ are localconstraints. $T$ his is true for the quadratic ferm ionic $H$ am iltonian (18), which needs to be o set by its sm allest eigenvalue (25) so that the gropnd state energy becom es zero. It is easy to see that the $m$ atrix $\ddot{\mu} A j$ is symmetric and that $\quad{ }_{j ; k}$ 朔 $j_{j k} C_{j} \sigma_{k}$ is equal to Tr $\ddot{\mu} A \quad j$ tim es the identity operator. H ence

$$
H=\frac{1}{4}_{j ; k}^{X} \quad i A_{j k}+\ddot{\mu} A j_{j k} C_{j} G_{k}=\underbrace{X}_{j ; k} D_{j k} C_{j} G_{k} ; \quad \text { where } D=\frac{1}{4} i A+\ddot{\mu} A j>0
$$

( $m$ eaning that $D$ is positive sem ide nite). The $m$ atrix ${ }^{P} \bar{D}$ is quasidiagonal, provided $A$ is quasidiagonal and has a spectral gap around zero. Thus we can represent the $H$ am iltonian in the desired form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=Y_{m}^{X} Y_{m}^{Y} Y_{m} ; \quad \text { where } \quad Y_{m}=X_{k}^{X} \bar{D}_{m k} q_{k}: \tag{158}
\end{equation*}
$$

D .1.3 Bulk 2-current. In the disk geometry (i.e., when interaction is strong near the origin and vanishes at in nity), the chiral central charge is $12=$ tim es the energy current in the counterclockw ise direction. Let us divide the disk into three sectors, $A, B$, and $C$ in the counterclockw ise proder (see picture in Eq. (124), or in Eq. (160) below ). In this setting, the energy current is ${ }_{\text {k2B }} \quad{ }_{12 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{k} 1}$.

Let us try to represent the chiral central charge $c$ in a form that would explicitly depend on the bulk H am iltonian rather than the edge. To this end, we construct a 2 -current $h$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=@ h ; \quad \text { where } \quad(@ h)_{k 1} \stackrel{\operatorname{def}^{\prime}}{=} h_{j k 1}: \tag{159}
\end{equation*}
$$

j
(A 2-current is an antisym $m$ etric function of three lattice sites that decays fast enough as the distance between any two sites increases.) Then in the three-sector geom etry we have:

Thus,
$T$ he last sum is dom inated by a neighborhood of the triple contact point betw een $A, B$, and $C$.
U nfortunately, there is no canonical expression for $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{jk} 1}$. Instead, we can de ne a canonical ob ject $g$ which is a 2 -current on the lattice and a 1-form on the space of localH am iltonians. It satis es the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
@ g=d f: \tag{161}
\end{equation*}
$$

A suitable 2-current $h$ is obtained by integrating $g$ over an arbitrary path $H(): 2[0 ; 1)$ in the space of spin $H$ am iltonians. Here we assum e that $H()$ is a sum of local term $s H_{j}()$, the corresponding therm al state has short-range correlators, $H(0)=0$, and $H() \quad H^{(1)}$ as
! 1 . Thus we im plicitly accept the follow ing con jecture.

C on jecture D .2. The ground state of a gapped localH am iltonian on a two-dim ensional lattice can be transform ed into the $m$ axim ally $m$ ixed state $w$ ithout a phase transition.
(In this form ulation, changes from 1 to 0. .) N ote that usual sym m etry-related phase transitions are not a problem because $H$ ( ) is not required to be sym m etric. R ather, we should worry about a transition from a topological phase to a non-topological one. H ow ever, in two dim ensions the long-range topological order in the ground state is destroyed at any nite tem perature. Indeed, all topological excitations are point-like and not con ned, therefore they have a nite density at any non-zero tem perature. This argum ent does not apply to threedim ensional system S , where string-like excitations are possible.

Let us give an explicit formula for $g$. For any two operators A and B, we de ne the $M$ atsubara tim e-ordered average, as well as its \truncated" version 1.5

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~B}(0)=\mathrm{A}() \mathrm{B}(0) \quad \mathrm{hA} \mathrm{ihB} i: \tag{162}
\end{align*}
$$

Next, we de ne a function of three operators:

$$
\left.(A ; B ; C)=i_{0}^{Z_{1}} A() \mathbb{B} ; C\right](0) d:
$$

Finally, we assume that the Ham iltonian $H$ and the operators A, B , C depend on some param eters, so that we can di erentiate them. N ow we can de ne g :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{~A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})=(\mathrm{dA} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})+(\mathrm{dB} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{A})+(\mathrm{dC} ; \mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B}) ; \quad \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{jk} 1}=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \mathrm{H}_{1}\right): \tag{165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us verify Eq. [161). N ote that (X;Y;H)= i[X;Y] because X ( ) [Y;H ](0) = $\frac{d}{d} X() Y(0)$ and $[Y ; H]=0 . W$ e proceed as follow $s:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { @g (B;C) }=\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})=(\mathrm{dH} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C})+(\mathrm{dB} ; \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{H})+(\mathrm{dC} ; \mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{B}) \\
& \left.\left.=\quad \quad \mathrm{Z}^{1} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{Te}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathbb{B} ; \mathrm{C}\right] \quad \text { ihdH ih } \mathbb{B} ; \mathrm{C}\right] \mathrm{i} \quad \text { ih }[\mathrm{dB} ; \mathrm{C}] \mathrm{i}+\text { ih }[\mathrm{dC} ; \mathrm{B}] \mathrm{i} \\
& \left.=\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{ZZ}^{1} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{C}\right]=\mathrm{df}(\mathrm{~B} ; \mathrm{C}) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

## D . 2 M odular transform ations beyond C F T

The m odular invariance [62] is usually form ulated in the CFT fram ew ork. In this section, we dem onstrate som em odular properties ofthe partition function ofedge excitations, not assum ing conform al, rotational, or any other sym m etry. First, let us generalize the transform ation of the vacuum charactel ${ }^{16}$ under the $D$ ehn tw ist:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(w+1)=e^{2 \text { ic }=24} 1(w) ; \tag{166}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^13]$w$ here $w$ is the $m$ odulus of the torus and the subscript 1 refers to the vacuum sector.
In fact, the derivation of Eq. (166) does not require $m$ uch m ore than the know ledge of the energy-m om entum tensor, or just its $x$-com ponent, which is exactly the energy current I. (W e use im aginary tim $e$, it.) In the therm odinam ic lim 様, the coordinate transform ation ( $x$; ) ! ( $x$; $+(x)$ ) induces the action change $S=i I \frac{d}{d x} d x d$. If the im aginary time is cyclic, then twisting it by a full circle results in the action change $S=\frac{i}{12} c$ and the multiplication of the partition function by $e^{S}=e^{2 \text { ic }}=24$. W e now describe a particular setting in which this result is applicable.

Let us consider the partition function $Z=T r e^{H=T}$ of a spin system on a disk. As is usual, we think of the evolution over the tim e period $1=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{m}$ aking the edge into a tonus and the disk itself into the three-dim ensionalm anifold $M=D^{2} \quad S^{1}$. Let us suppose that the tem perature $T$ is much sm aller than the energy gap in the bulk, $s o$ that $Z$ is $m$ ostly determ ined by edge excitations. In fact, the partition function depends on full detail of the edge $H$ am iltonian as well as som e conditions in the bulk. For exam ple, we m ay place an anyon oftype a som ew here in the disk, which slightly changes the edge excitation spectrum. In other words, we insent a particle worldline ' into the $m$ anifold $M$, or act by the tim e-like $W$ ilson loop operator $W$ a ('). $T$ hus a partition function $Z_{a}$ is de ned. If all edge m odes propagate $w$ ith the sam e velocity $v$ and can be described by a CFT, then $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}={ }_{\mathrm{a}}(1=\mathrm{w})$, where $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{v}$. In the lim it w ! 1 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{a}}(\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{w})=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{w}) \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1} 1(\mathrm{w}) ; \tag{167}
\end{equation*}
$$

because all characters $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{w})$ for $\mathrm{b} \in 1$ are exponentially sm aller than the vacuum character 1 (w).

T he follow ing argum ent does not depend on C F T. A s already m entioned, tw isting the tonus by along a tim e circle leads to the the action change by iI $=T$, or the $m$ ultiplication of the partition function by $e^{i 工}=T$. ( $T$ he sign in the exponent depends on the direction of the tw ist; we choose it to be consistent w ith the CFT formula.) For the full tw ist, $=1=T$, we get this equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{e}^{2} \text { ic } \quad=24 \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}}: \tag{168}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the nite-size quantization of edge modes $m$ ight a ect the energy current. W e therefore assum e that the disk circum ference $L$ is $m$ uch larger than the edge correlation length ledge $\quad \mathrm{V}=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{where} \mathrm{v}$ is the m axim um group velocity of any excitation in the system 1.8 Sm all corrections proportional to $\exp \left(\mathrm{L}=l_{\text {dge }}\right)$ are expected. $\mathrm{Thus}, \mathrm{Eq}. \mathrm{(168)} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{its} \mathrm{estim} \mathrm{ated}$ precision are in perfect agreem ent w th the CFT form ulas (166) and (167).
$W$ ith som em ore work, one can also derive an analogue of this CFT result:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{w}+1)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \text { ic }=24} \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{w}) \text {; } \tag{169}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^14]where b is the topological spin of. b . In the non-conform al setting, the role of the characters $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{w})$ is played by linear com binations of the partition functions:
$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}={ }_{a}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{ba}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{a}} ;
$$
$w$ here $S_{a b}$ are the entries of a topological S-m atrix de ned in term $s$ of anyonic braiding, see Eq. (223) on page 84. (W e distinguish it fom the modular matrix $S$, though they actually coincide when the latter is de ned.) A calculation based on the insertion of a space-like W ilson loop $\left.\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}{ }^{( }{ }^{( }\right)$show s that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}}^{0} \quad \mathrm{e}^{2 \text { ic }}=24 \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{b}} \text { : } \tag{171}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

This equation is indeed sim ilar to (169) with one im portant di erence: unlike $a(w)$ and $a(1=w)$, the numbers $Q_{a}$ and $Z_{a}$ are not obtained by evaluating the same fiunction. Another problem is that the D ehn tw ist can only be perform ed along a tim e circle. It is therefore not clear whether the fullm odular invariance can be established w ithout conform al sym $m$ etry, or at least a 90 rotation sym $m$ etry of the space-tim e $m$ anifold.

## A ppendix E: A lgebraic theory of anyons

This appendix is an attem pt to present an existing but di cult and som ew hat obscure theory in an accessible form, especially for the reader w thout extensive eld theory know ledge. (But we do assum e som em athem atical badkground and the $w$ illingness to follow abstract argum ents.) T he presently available resources $m$ ay be divided into three categories: the original eld-theoretic papers where the relevant $m$ athem atical structure was discovered [4, 5], local eld theory theory papers [6, 7] (see H aag's book [78] for a general reference on this sub ject), and purely $m$ athem atical expositions [68, 79, 80]. U nfortunately, all these texts have di erent focus and/or are di cult to read. A nice elem entary introduction can be found in P reskill's lecture notes on quantum com putation [81], but $m$ ore detail is needed for our purposes.

T he theory described below is applicable to tw o-dim ensionalm any-body system $\mathrm{s} w$ th shortrange interactions and an energy gap. U sing som e intuition about local excitations in such system s , we characterize the properties of anyons by a set of axiom s and derive som e corollaries. This approach is som ew hat sim ilar to what has been done rigorously in local eld theory. H ow ever, we avoid many di culties by keeping the discussion at the physical level until all essential properties are cast into a nite algebraic form, at which point we enter the realm of $m$ athem atics.

M ore speci cally, we claim that nite-energy excitations are classi ed by superselection sectors: each sector consists of states that can be transform ed one to another by local operations. (W e do not care about rigorous de nition here.) It is assum ed that the full classi cation can be established by considering a sm all neighborhood of an arbitrary point (of size com pared to the correlation length). A nother assum ption is that local excitations can be $m$ oved from one place to another by applying an operator along an arbitrary path. $N$ ote that the quasiparticle transport need not be adiabatic, nor we require translational sym m etry. Topological properties, such as braiding rules, have invariant m eaning independent of possible disorder, cf. Fig. 10


F igure 16: Som e \obvious" identities.
on page 34. U sing som e additional argum ents, we will arrive at a theory that $m$ ay be called unitary braided fusion category (UBFC) (= unitary ribbon category) in abstract language 19
$W$ ith this approach, we get a weaker set of axiom s com pared to an analogous algebraic structure in conform al eld theory. Therefore som e \obvious" properties require a proof. (A sim ilar situation occurs in local eld theory, but our exposition is di erent in som e details and hopefiully sim pler.) For nonrelativistic quantum $m$ echanical $m$ odels, the space and tim $e$ are not equivalent even if we use the im aginary tim e form alism. Thus the notion of an antiparticle being a particle propagating back in tim em ust be applied with caution. The identity in $F$ ig. 16a illustrates a standard use of this notion. (Shown in the gure are space-tim e diagram $s$; time goes up.) O $n$ the left-hand side of the identity a particle a annihilates $w$ ith its antiparticle a that w as created as part ofan aa pair. Ifspace and tim e were related by a rotationalsym $m$ etry, then the zigzag on the particle w orldline could sim ply be rem oved. In our form alism, this equation is satis ed by a suitable choice ofnorm alization factors for the creation and annihilation operators ofparticle-antiparticle pairs. H ow ever, the relation in Fig. 16b is a nontrivial theorem; it follow s from the positivity of H em itian inner product, section E 2. (In the categorical language, this result reads: \A ny unitary fusion category adm its a pivotal structure".)

H aving form ulated fusion and braiding axiom s , we will touch upon another question: W hat UBFCs can be realized by a H am iltonian with given sym m etry properties? T he sim plest case is where there is no built-in sym $m$ etry or associated conservation law $s$. T hat is, we assum e that the system consists of spins (or other bosonic degrees of freedom) and the H am iltonian is in generic position. (Ferm ions are excluded because their num ber is alw ays conserved m odulo 2.) Thus, any e ective conservation law forquasiparticles m ust have topologicalnature. In Sec. E 5 we form ulate this condition as a certain nondegeneracy property of the braiding rules. Theories satisfying this axiom are called unitary m odular categories (UMC) 20

U nfortunately, UM C does not capture one im portant robust characteristic of the physical system, nam ely the chiral central charge c. H ow ever, there is a beautiful relation [7, 61] that xes $\mathrm{c} \bmod 8$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{1} \quad d_{a}^{2}=e^{2 \text { ic }=8} ; \quad \text { where } \quad D={ }^{G} P{ }_{a} d_{a}^{2} ; \tag{172}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^15]$d_{a}$ is the quantum dim ension of the superselection sector a (see Sec. E 2) and $a$ is the topological spin (see Sec. E 3). The lefthand side ofEq. (172) is denoted by ; its algebraic m eaning w ill be explained in Sec.E.5. R oughly, $=S{ }^{1}$ TSTST, where $S$ is a so-called topologicalS m atrix and $T$ is the diagonalmatrix with entries $a$. Wewill see that is a root of unity, hence Eq. (172) im plies that $c$ is rational.

The standard proof of Eq. (172) is based on the assum ption that the system adm its conform ally invariant edge $m$ odes under suitable boundary conditions. Then one can em ploy the $m$ odular invariance of the partition function on a space-tim e torus, which is established in the CFT fram ew ork [62]. It is not known whether the conform ality hypothesis is true in general. O ne may, how ever, hope to nd a proof that would not depend on conform al sym $m$ etry. In Sec. D 2 we m ade partial progress tow ard this goal. Speci cally, we showed that the $m$ atrix $T=e^{2}$ ic ${ }^{=24} T$ corresponds to the $D$ ehn tw ist along a time circle. W hile $S$ can also be regarded as a m odular transform ation in a suitable mathem atical theory, it is not clear whether that theory is applicable in the sam e context as the expression for $T$.

In the rem aining part of the appendix we do not discuss the chiral central charge. O ur prim ary goal is to de ne a unitary braided fusion category (UBFC) and its specialcase, unitary m odular category (UMC).T he de nition is rather long, so we break it into several parts. E ach part contains som e physical $m$ otivation, form al axiom $s$ in term $s$ of basic data and equations, as well as som e corollaries. N ote that our form ulation of the axiom s does not involve the $m$ athem atical notion of category, so we call the corresponding ob jects \theories" (e.g., \fusion theory" instead of \fusion category"). Only later do we introduce the powerful but heavy language of categories and functors (also known as \abstract nonsense"). It is surely overkill for $m$ ost of the problem $s$ considered in this paper, but the concept of tensor functor $m$ ay actually be usefulin the study of phase transitions in anyonic system s, e.g., B ose-condensation of spinless particle and weak sym $m$ etry breaking. (T he last topic is considered in A ppendix $\mathbb{F}$.)

## E. 1 Fusion theory

In this section we consider anyons on a line (though the physical system is two-dim ensional). Them otivation for the de nitions has been provided in Sec.8.4 (esp. in 8.4.2) . Each particle is characterized by a superselection sector label (describing its \anyonic charge"). The position and local degrees of freedom $m$ ay be ignored, but the order of particles is im portant. Them ain elem ent of the the fusion theory is the space $V_{c}^{a b}$ |he state space of particles a and b restricted to have totalanyonic charge c. M ore exactly, vectors in this space correspond to di erent ways of splitting $c$ into $a$ and $b$, or equivalence classes of local operators that e ect the splltting.
E.1.1 Splitting and fusion operators. $W$ hile $V_{c}^{a b} m$ ay be called \splitting space", the corresponding fusion space is denoted by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{c}}$. If $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a splitting operator, then ${ }^{\mathrm{y}} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{c}}$ is a fusion operator:

where and ${ }^{y}$ label the corresponding vertioes. O nem ay also use D irac's notation, i.e., write $j$ i instead of and $h$ jinstead of ${ }^{y}$. By de nition, the $H$ erm itian inner product corresponds
to the operator multiplication. Speci cally, if ; $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.a\right|_{c} ^{c} \eta_{j}^{\dagger} b=\left.\mathrm{h} \mathrm{j} \mathrm{i}\right|_{c} ^{c} ; \quad \text { ie. }, \quad \text { y }=\mathrm{h} j \text { iid: } \tag{174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, one can de ne splitting and fusion ofm ultiple particles. T he m ost generalprocess is one transform ing particles $\mathrm{b}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ into $\mathrm{a}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$. It can be perform ed in two steps: rst, fusing all the particles into one, and then splitting it as required. T herefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{b_{1}::: z_{m}}^{a_{1}:: a_{k}}={ }_{c}^{M} V_{c}^{a_{1}:: a_{k}} \quad V_{b_{1}}^{c}:: z_{m}: \tag{175}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, the identity operator acting on particles a and b can be decom posed as follow s:


$T$ he description of splitting and fusion will be com plete when we understand relations betw een di erent spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{a_{1}:: a_{k}}$. T hat is the topic of the follow ing subsection.
E.1.2 B asic data and equations. The basic data include a set of superselection sectors, fusion rules, and associativity relations. The latter satisfy so-called pentagon and triangle equations. An additional condition (requiring the nondegeneracy of certain operators) will be form ulated in Sec.E2.

Superselection sectors (also called \particle types", or \labels"): They form a nite set M .
Fusion rules: For any combination of $a ; b ; c 2 M$ there is a xed nite-dim ensional H ilbert space $V_{c}^{a b}$. The num bers $N_{a b}^{c}=\operatorname{dim} V_{a b}^{c}=\operatorname{dim} V_{c}^{a b}$ are called fusion $m$ ultiplicities. $T$ he choice of a special elem ent 12 M (the vacuum sector) is also considered part of the fusion rules.

A ssociativity relations: For each a;b;c;d 2 M there is a canonical unitary isom orphism between tw o H ibert spaces:

$N$ ote that both spaces in question are sim ply di erent representation of the sam e physical space $V_{u}^{\mathrm{abc}} \mid$ that of the particles $a, b$, and $c$ restricted to have total anyonic charge $u$. Therefore one $m$ ay regard the isom orphism $F_{u}^{\text {abc }}$ as equality. This view is form ally justi ed by the pentagon


Figure 17: The pentagon equation (a) and a \quadrilateralidentity" (b). (T he latter is satis ed autom atically.)
equation and M acLane's coherence theorem, which will be form ulated later. At a cruder, com binatorial level associativity $m$ ay be expressed as follow s: $e^{N} N_{a b}^{e} N_{e c}^{u}={ }_{f}^{u} N_{a f}^{u} N_{b c}^{f}$.

From the physical perspective, splitting o (or fusing with) the vacuum sector is trivial. In any splitting diagram all lines labeled by 1 m ay be sim ply erased. To guarantee the consistency of this procedure, we require that the spaces $V_{a}^{a 1}$ and $V_{a}^{1 a}$ are not only one-dim ensional, but canonically isom orphic to C. In other words, these spaces are endowed with xed unit vectors $j{ }_{a} i 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{al}}$ and $\mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{a}}$ i $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\text {la }}$. The canonical isom orphism s are given by the form ulas
where z 2 C. These isom orphism smust satisfy so-called triangle equations (see below ).
$P$ entagon equation: The graphic representation of this axiom is shown in Fig . 17a. Its exact $m$ eaning is this: for any x;y;z;w;u2 M the follow ing diagram com mutes:


A rrow labels are abbreviated: for exam ple, the arrow on the left (labeled by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{\mathrm{xyz}}$ ) actually


A coording to M acLane's coherence theorem [82], any sequence of F m oves between two given trees results in the sam e isom orphism betw een the corresponding spaces: the equality of all such isom orphism s follow s from the pentagon equation. (A ctually, the theorem is a bit m ore general and also includes - and moves, but we ignore them for the $m$ om ent.) This result

a)

where uv are xed postitive numbers associated w th pairs of leaves (we have used uv = 1).

b)

Figure 18: The graph of $F \rightarrow m$ oves between binary planar trees $w$ ith $n=5$ leaves (a) and the procedure used to assign coordinates $\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 1$ to each tree (b).
$m$ ay be regarded as a com binatorial statem ent. Indeed, let us consider the graph $n$ whose vertioes are binary planar trees with $n$ leaves and whose edges correspond to $\mathrm{F} \cdot \mathrm{m}$ oves. (T he graph 5 is show $n$ in $F$ ig. 18/a.) Then the theorem says that any cycle in the graph can be lled w ith pentagons and quadrilaterals. The latter correspond to the obvious fact that independent $F$ m oves com $m$ ute; such $m$ oves can be nested as in $F$ ig. 17b or occur in disjoint branches. $M$ acLane actually show s that any two directed paths betw een the sam e pair of vertioes can be transform ed one to the other using the pentagon and quadrilateral equations; the proof is by induction on a suitable param eter.

Let us also $m$ ention a beautifil geom etric proof of the coherence theorem : the graph $n$ together w ith the set of 2 -œells (i.e., the pentagons and quadrilaterals) can be realized by the vertices, edges, and 2-faces of som e convex polytope $K_{n}$ of dim ension $n$ 2. This polytope appeared in the work of Stashe [83] and now bears his nam $e$; it is also called associahedron. M ore exactly, this term refers to a certain com binatorial type of a polytope while its exact shape $m$ ay vary. The faces of $K_{n}$ in all dim ensions are associated w ith general (not necessarily binary) planar trees. In particular, the edges correspond to trees w ith one degree-3 vertex, the quadrilaterals correspond to trees $w$ th tw o degree-3 vertioes, and the pentagons correspond to trees w ith one degree-4 vertex. D escribed in $F$ ig. 18b is a concrete geom etric realization of $K_{n}$ (cf. Ref. [84]). It is fairly easy to show that the convex hull of the points ( $\left.x_{1} ;::: ; x_{n} 1\right) 2 R^{n 1}$ obtained this way is characterized by one equation and $\frac{n(n) 1)}{2} \quad 1$ inequalities:


Figure 19: T he fundam ental triangle equation (a) and its corollaries (b and c).

Triangle equations: The three equations in $F$ ig. 19 guarantee that adding or rem oving trivial lines com $m$ utes $w$ ith F moves. Let us write the rst equation in a m ore conventional form :


LemmaE.1. $\quad 1=1$.
Proof. Let $x=u$ and $w=1$. Then rst and the third triangle equations coincide, except that the right arrow is 1 in Fig. 19a and 1 in Fig. 19c. But the right arrow is the com position of the other two, therefore it is the sam e in both cases.
Lem maE. 2 (cf. [80], Lemmax I2 2). The rst triangle equation (together with the pentagon and quadrilaterals) im plies the second and the third.
$T$ he statem ent of the lem $m$ a refers to form altree calculus. $M$ oves betw een trees are bidirectional (therefore we m ay represent them by lines rather then arrow s). The quadrilaterals express the com mutativity of disjoint F -, -, and moves.
$P$ roof. Let us prove the equation in $F$ ig. 19b .
First, we join an additionaltrivialbranch to the trunk ofeach tree and show that the resulting equation is equivalent to the originalone. In the diagram on the right, the old and the new equation constitute the top and the bottom of a triangular prism, respectively. The sides of the prism are com $m$ utative quadrilateral, therefore the top triangle com $m$ utes if and only ifthe bottom one does.


We now consider the bottom of the prism, which $m$ ay be identi ed w th triangle 4-7-5 in the new diagram. In this part of the argum ent it is not im portant that the leftm ost branch of each tree is trivial, so we represent it by a solid line. The outline of the diagram corresponds to the pentagon equation. Triangles 3-1-6 and $4-2-5$ are instances of the equation in Fig. 19a, which is true by hypothesis. The quadrilaterals 1-2-4-3 and 6-3-4-7 also com $m$ ute. Thus the required triangle 4-7-5 com m utes as well.


The equation in F ig. 19c is proved analogously.
E.1.3 E xam ples. Severalconcrete theories have been presented in them ain text, see tables on pages 30, 41, 42. Let us now describe som e general constructions leading to in nite series of exam ples.

1. Let the label set $M$ be a nite group and the fusion rules correspond to the group $m$ ultiplication. That is, the space $V_{z}^{x i y}$ is one-dim ensional (w ith a basis vector ${ }_{z}^{x i y}$ ) if $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{xy}$, otherw ise $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{xiy}}=0$. The associativity relations are trivial. N ote that if the group $M$ is non-A belian, this fusion theory does not adm it braiding.
2. The associativity constraints in the above exam ple can be deform ed as follow s:

$w$ here the vertiges of the trees correspond to basis vectors and $f(x ; y ; z)$ are som e phase factors. In this case the pentagon equation reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x y ; z ; w) f(x ; y ; z w)=f(x ; y ; z) f(x ; y z ; w) f(y ; z ; w): \tag{183}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a cocycle equation, ie., $f$ is a 3-cocycle on $M$ with values in $U(1)$. O ne can show that the triangle equations do not put any additional restriction on $f$ but rather de ne and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} ; 1 ; 1)^{1}{\underset{\mathrm{x}}{\mathrm{x}, 1} ; \quad{ }_{\mathrm{w}}=\mathrm{f}(1 ; 1 ; \mathrm{w}){ }_{\mathrm{w}}^{1, \mathrm{w}} ; \quad(\text { is an arbitrary phase }): ~}_{\text {: }} \tag{184}
\end{equation*}
$$

The basis change $\underset{x y}{x ; y}!u(x ; y) \underset{x y}{x} \quad$ will result in a new cocycle $g$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})^{1} \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})=\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{yz})^{1} \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{xy} ; \mathrm{z}) \mathrm{u}(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})^{1}: \tag{185}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he right-hand side of this equation is the coboundary of the 2 -cochain $u, i . e ., g$ and $f$ belong to the sam e cohom ology class fe. T hus, the associativity relations are classi ed by $H^{3}(M$; U (1)).

For a concrete exam ple, let $M=Z_{2}=f 1$;ag. It is known that $H^{3}\left(Z_{2} ; U(1)\right)=Z_{2}$, i.e., Eq. (183) has one trivial and one nontrivial solution. The nontrivial solution is given by $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{a})=1$, the other seven values being equal to 1 . T his particular fusion theory adm its tw o braidings: the counterclockw ise exchange of two a-particles is characterized by either + i or i. Such particles are called sem ions. Recall that C ase 2 in the table on page 41 represents two independent species of sem ions.
3. Let $M$ be the set of irreducible representations of a nite group $G$ and let $V_{c}^{a b}=$ $H$ om ${ }_{C[G]}(c ; a \quad b)$. In other words, elem ents of $V_{c}^{a b}$ are intertw iners between $c$ and $a b$, i.e., linear $m$ aps that com $m$ ute $w$ ith the group action. The associativity relations are given by $6 j$-sym bols. This theory adm its trivial braiding.
4. E xam ples 3 and 1 ( $w$ ith $M=G$ ) can be com bined in what is called representation theory of $D$ rinfeld's quantum double [85, 80]. M odels w ith anyons described by this theory were proposed in [86] (gauge-sym $m$ etric Lagrangian) and in [24] (lattice H am iltonian not constrained by an extemalsym $m$ etry). This construction can be deform ed by an arbitrary cohom ology class £ $2 \mathrm{H}^{3}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{U}(1))$, see Refs. [87, 88].
5. A very interesting set of fusion theories is based on a Tem perley-Lieb category, see e.g. C hapter X II in book [68]. These theories are also known as \representations of quantum SU (2)".
E.1.4 C alculations w ith planar graphs. The rules we have described allow us to work not only w th trees but with arbitrary oriented planar graphs. In particular, loops can be rem oved using Eq. (174). Ifwe encounter a subgraph like shown in Eq. (174) but w ith di erent labels at the top and at the bottom (say, $c^{0}$ and $c^{\infty}$ ), then its value is zero. Let us illustrate that by a concrete exam ple, using the fusion theory from $T$ able 1 on page 30 for $\{=+1$ :


In general, we consider planar graphs that satisfy the follow ing conditions.

1. Vertioes are associated w th fusion/splitting spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{l}_{1} ;: ;: i_{l}}^{\mathrm{u}_{1}: ; \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{s}}}$; the indioes are represented by prongs. There $m$ ay also be extemal labels (term inals) at the bottom and the top of the graph. B ottom term inals and upper indioes are called sources, lower indioes and top term inals are called targets.
2. Each edge connects a source to an identically labeled target. (In pictures we use a single label for the whole edge.)
3. The edges are transversal to the horizontal direction and oriented upwards. (W e do not show the orientation in draw ing because it is obvious.)

To each vertex we also assign an elem ent of the corresponding space $V_{\mathrm{l}_{1} ;:: \% l_{r}}^{\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \ldots \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{s}}}$. U sing asso-
 where $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k}$ and $b_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{g}_{n}$ are the extemal labels. $N$ ote that we $m$ ay freely add or rem ove edges labeled w ith 1 , thanks to the triangle equations.

A general calculation strategy is based on the idea that X can be represented by a set of $m$ aps $X_{c}: V_{c}^{b_{1},:: m b_{n}}$ ! $V_{c}^{a_{1},: \#: a_{k}}$ for each label $c$; this representation is closely related to the decom position of identity (176). Thus we apply Eq. (176) rst, and then start shrinking and rem oving loops as ilhustrated above. For exam ple:


W ew ill see that for theories w th particle-antiparticle duality, condition 3 can be dispensed with. The form alism will be revised so that edges can bend, changing their direction from upw ard to dow nw ard and back, and vertices can rotate by 360 as in Fig. 16b.

## E. $2 \quad \mathrm{P}$ article-antiparticle duality

In this section we com plem ent the fiusion theory by the follow ing condition.
D uality axiom. For each label a there is some label a and vectors ji2 $V_{1}^{a a}$, ji2 $V_{1}^{\text {aa }}$ such that

$$
a \quad F_{a}^{a a a} \quad a \notin 0:
$$

$N$ ote that the $m$ atrix elem ent in question corresponds to a physical process in which the aparticle from an aa-pair annihilates $w$ ith a di erent copy of $a$ :

(T he dotted lines and the associated labels, i.e., 1, ar a m ay be ignored.)
Let $h a \quad F_{a}^{a a a} j \quad a^{i} i=u$, assum ing that $j i$ and $j i$ are unit vectors. $W$ ew ill see that the spaces $V_{1}^{\text {aa }}$ and $V_{1}^{\text {aa }}$ are one-dim ensional, therefore $j u j$ is uniquely de ned. The num ber $d_{a}=j u j^{1}$, called quantum dim ension, plays an im portant role in the theory. In particular, $d_{a}=1$ for Abelian particles (i.e., such that $a \quad a=1$ ), otherw ise $d_{a}>1$. If $a=a$, then we $m$ ay set $j i=j$ iso that $u$ itself has an invariant $m$ eaning. Speci cally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{V}_{a}^{a}=\left.\frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_{\mathrm{a}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{a}}}\right|_{a} ^{a} ; \tag{187}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $\left\{_{a}\right.$ is a uniquely de ned phase, which is actually equal to 1 (see below). This num ber is called Frobenius-Schur indicator; in the present context it was introduced by Fredenhagen, Rehren, and Schroer [89].
E.2.1 Physicalm otivation for the duality axiom. The existence ofantiparticles follow s from a locally principle m entioned at the beginning of this appendix: a quasiparticle can be $m$ oved from one place to another by applying an operator that acts on spins along a path connecting the given points. (W e are still considering anyons on a line, therefore the path is unique.) T he action on di erent spins can be perform ed at once or in any particular order. For exam ple, to $m$ ove a particle from point 3 to point 1 on its left, it $m$ ay be natural to move it rst to som em iddle point 2, and then to the naldestination. But it is also possible to act on the interval $[1 ; 2]$ before $[2 ; 3]$. $T$ he interm ediate state $m$ ust be a particle-antiparticle pair.

For a slightly m ore rigorous argum ent, let X be an operator that m oves a particle of type a from 3 to 1 , acting on spins along the interval. W e can represent it as follow s:


$$
\begin{equation*}
X={ }_{k}^{X} Y_{k} Z_{k} ; \tag{188}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $Y_{k}$ and $Z_{k}$ act on disjint sets of spins in som e regions around $[1 ; 2]$ and $[2 ; 3]$, respectively. Let ${ }_{[s]}^{x}(s=1 ; 2 ; 3)$ be the projector onto states having particle $x$ at point $s ;$ such operators can be realized locally (to act in the gray circles in the above picture) if we only allow states that are not excited aw ay from the given three points. Finally, we de ne new versions of $X$, $Y_{k}$, and $Z_{k}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{0}=\begin{array}{llllll}
a & 1 & 1 \\
{[1]} & {[2]} & {[3]} & { }_{[1]} & { }_{[2]} & { }_{[3]}=
\end{array} \quad X \quad Z_{k}^{0} Y_{k}^{0} ; \\
& \text { k } \tag{189}
\end{align*}
$$

The operator $X^{0}$ still $m$ oves a from 2 to 3 , but $Y_{k}{ }^{0}$ and $Z_{k}^{0}$ overlap geom etrically and therefore no longer com m ute. It is clear that each operator $Y_{k}{ }^{0}$ creates an a-particle at point 1 and som e particle at point 2, whereas $Z_{k}^{0}$ rem oves the second particle as well as the original particle at point 3. T hus each product $Z_{k}^{0} Y_{k}^{0} e$ ects the process shown in Eq. (186), up to an overall factor. $T$ his factor is nonzero for at least one value of $k$.
E.2.2 Som e properties and norm alization conventions.

Lem m a E.3. For each labela the corresponding labela is unique. M oreover, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{1}=\mathrm{N}_{\text {ba }}^{1}=$ ba . P roof. Recall that $N_{a b}^{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ dim $V_{a b}^{1}=\operatorname{dim} V_{1}^{a b}$. We rst show that this num ber is equal to one ifb $b=a$ and zero otherw ise. Let , be as in the duality axiom, and let $u$ be the corresponding $m$ atrix elem ent. For an arbitrary elem ent $2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ we have:


Therefore


To prove that $\mathrm{N}_{\text {ba }}^{1}=$ ba , we do a sim ilar calculation w th ${ }^{0} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{1}^{\text {ba }}$, putting ( $\left.{ }^{0}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}$ on the left of .

C orollary E.4. $1=1$ and $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{a}$.
Let us now set new norm alization conventions. In the de nition of $d_{a}$ we assum ed that $j i$ and j i are punit vectors, i.e., ${ }^{y}=y=i_{1}$. H ow ever, it is more convenient to multiply
and by $\bar{d}_{a}$ (and some suitable phase factors) so that the zigzag on the lefthand side of equation (186) could be sim ply rem oved. The so norm alized operators for the creation of particle-antiparticle pairs will be represented as sm ooth \cups" with a triangle $m$ ark at the bottom . The adjoint operators are \caps" obtained by ipping the pictures about a horizontal line ${ }^{21}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{a}^{a}=\underbrace{a}_{\bar{a}} ; \quad \overbrace{\bar{a}}^{\bar{y}}=\underbrace{\bar{a}}_{a} a^{\mathrm{Y}} \tag{190}
\end{equation*}
$$

In all four cases, the triangle points from a to a as we follow the line. The four operators are de ned up to a single phase and satisfy the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& a \square \bar{a}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}=\bar{a} \square a ; \tag{191}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (191) and the rst equality in (192) are true by de nition. The second equality can be proved analogously to Lem m a E . 3; the last tw o equalities are obtained by passing to adjoint operators. R oughly, the norm alization conditionsm ay be described as follow s: oppositely oriented triangles canceleach other.

So far the labela was xed. Repeating the sam e procedure for a, we get a new set of basis vectors in $V_{1}^{\text {aa }}, V_{1}^{\text {aa }}, V_{a a}^{1}, V_{a a}^{1}$, which $m$ ust be related to the old ones:

C om bining these relations w ith Eqs. (191), (192), we get:

$$
j\left\{_{a}\right\}=d_{a}=d_{a}=j\left\{_{a} j^{2} ; \quad\left\{a \left(\left\{_{a}\right)^{1}=1:\right.\right.\right.
$$

[^16]Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{a}=d_{a} ; \quad\left\{a=\left\{_{a} ; \quad j_{a} j=1:\right.\right. \tag{194}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the num ber $\left\{_{a}\right.$ depends on the arbitrary phases in the de nition of cups and caps. H owever, if $a=a$, then $\{a$ is de ned uniquely and coincides $w$ ith the Frobenius-Schur indicator 22 In this case, $\left\{_{a}=1\right.$.

N ow we are in a position to relax the requirem ent that edges are transversal to the horizontal direction.

De $n$ ition-P roposition E.5. A line is an altemating sequence of $2 n$ cups and caps w ithout triangle $m$ arks. It $m$ ay be open or closed. Such an ob ject has a canonical norm alization given by $n$ triangles pointing forw ard and $n$ triangles pointing backward (relative to a chosen path direction). All such decorations are equivalent.

Let us also renorm alize the inner product on splilting spaces:
(T riangles $m$ ay be added in one of the tw o consistent ways; one $m$ ay also ip the c-loop to the left.) This renorm alization does not violate the unitarity of associativity relations. T he inner product on fusion spaces is de ned through the antilinear isom onphism $V_{c}^{a b}!V_{a b}^{c}: \quad{ }^{\mathrm{T}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{y}}$. W hen com puting either type of inner product, we stadk two trees w ith branches touching each other and connect the roots by a loop. Stacking the trees root to root $m$ ight produce a di erent result, but it tums out to be the sam e since we can actually rotate vertices by 360 , see below .

Finally, we rew rite Eq. (176) in a form that is consistent w th the new nules:
(the elem ents ${ }_{c ; j}^{\mathrm{ab}} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ form a com plete basis).
E.2.3 R aising and low ering of indices. Let us de ne the follow ing linear m aps:


They are obviously invertible, hence $N_{a b}^{c}=N_{a c}^{b}=N_{b c}^{a}=N_{b a}^{c}=N_{c a}^{b}=N_{c b}^{a}$. Unfortunately, this does not save us from the need to to distinguish between lower and upper indiges because the

[^17]|  | N otation used in this paper | Isotopy-invariant calculus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| V ertices |  |  |
| Lines | $\bar{a} Y_{a}{ }^{a} ; \quad a \bigcirc \bar{a}$ | a ${ }^{a} \bigcirc={ }^{\bar{a}} \bigcirc$ |
| C ancellation rules | $a \underbrace{\bar{a}} a=a^{\bar{a}}=\dot{a}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\rightarrow \rightarrow \square$ |

Table 5: N otation sum mary.
sim ultaneous raising and low ering of indices on the two ends of a line results in the factor $\left\{_{a}\right.$. For exam ple:


H ow ever, as the follow ing theorem show s, we do not have to tie the types of indioes to the orientation of the corresponding vertex. This way, one obtains an isotopy-invariant calculus for planar graphs, see Table 5. W e w ill continue using the previous notation, though.
Theorem E.6. Them aps $A_{c}^{a b}, B_{c}^{a b}$ are unitary $w$ ith respect to the inner product $\mathrm{ln} j$ ii, and the follow ing diagram (in which the arrows $m$ ay be traversed in both directions) com $m$ utes:


N ote that the commutativity of this diagram is equivalent the \pivotal identity" in F ig 16b. The proof of the theorem is preceded by two lem mas.

Lem m a E.7. The com position of any two adjacnt arrows in Eq. (199) is unitary.
P roof. D ue to sym m etry, it su ces to consider just one particular case, e.g., the arrow s connected at the upper left comer. Let $X=\left(B_{a}^{b c}\right)^{1} A_{c}^{a b}: V_{c}^{a b}!V_{a}^{b c}$. For arbitrary elem ents $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}, 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{bc}}$ we have:

$\mathrm{X}^{1}=\underbrace{a}_{\bar{a}} \bigvee_{c}^{b} \bar{c} ;$


Therefore $X^{1}=X^{y}$.
N ow consider the two paths from top to bottom of the hexagon (199):

(200)

From the physical point of view, these operators (which are actually equal) correspond to the CPT symmetry.
LemmaE.8. $\mathrm{U}_{1}^{\mathrm{Y}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}{ }^{1}$.
Proof. Let $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ba}}^{\mathrm{c}}$. Then


Proof of $T$ heorem E.6. Let us consider the path around the diagram (199) in the counterclockw ise direction, $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{U}_{r}{ }^{1} \mathrm{U}_{1}$. This operator is a com position of six arrow S , therefore it is untary (due to LemmaE.7). On the other hand, LemmaE. 8 im plies that $W=U_{1}^{Y_{1}} U_{1}$ is $H$ er$m$ itian and positive sem ide nite. It follows that $W$ is the identity operator, i.e., the diagram com $m$ utes.

Thus, $\mathrm{U}_{1}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r}}$; let us denote this operator sim ply by U. It is unitary by Lem maE.8. Any arrow in the diagram is a com position of (or $U^{1}$ ) and som e num ber of arrow pairs. Therefore all the arrow s are unitary.
E.2.4 Q uantum $d i m$ ension and fusion rules. This is a key identity:

$$
d_{a} d_{b}={ }_{c}^{\Lambda} N_{a b}^{c} d_{c}:
$$

To prove 五, we use Eq. (196), the pivotal property, and Eq. (195) :


Eq. (201) im plies that them atrix $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{a})=\left(\mathbb{N} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ab}}: \mathrm{b} ; \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{M}\right)$ has eigenvector $\mathrm{v}=\left(\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{c}}: \mathrm{c} 2 \mathrm{M}\right)$, and the corresponding eigenvalue is $d_{a}$. $N$ ote that all the entries of $v$ are positive. A coording to the $P$ erron $\mp$ robenius theorem (about $m$ atrioes $w$ ith nonnegative entries), all eigenvahes of I 9 (a) satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{j}(a) j 6 d_{a}: \tag{202}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the quantum dim ension $d_{a}$ is the largest eigenvalue of the $m$ atrix $N(a)$.
The proofofEq. (201) also $m$ otivates a de nition ofquantum trace. It is a num ber assigned to any elem ent X $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{a}_{1}::: a_{n}}^{a_{n}}$. Such an elem ent acts as an operator in the space $\mathrm{V}_{c}^{a_{1}::: a_{n}}$ for each c; we denote this action by $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{c}}$. T he quantum trace is de ned as follow s:


## E. 3 B raiding and topological sp in

$B$ raiding is an additional piece of algebraic structure characterizing anyons. It is de ned by a set of elem ents $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ab}} 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{ba}}$ that represent transposition of two particles:
$T$ hey $m$ ust satisfy the $Y$ ang-B axter equation, i.e., a line can be $m$ oved over or under a crossing between two other lines:

$M$ ore generally, a line can slide over or under an arbitrary vertex. It is su cient to postulate th is property for three-prong vertices representing splitting and fusion and for lines tilted left and right. Furthem ore, splitting and fusion are related by the decom position of the identity (196), whereas changing the slope of the intersecting line is equivalent to replacing $R$ w ith $R^{1}$. Thus the num ber of independent conditions is reduced to two:


The description of braiding in term sofbasic data am ounts to specifying the action of $R_{a b}$ on splitting spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\}_{c}^{b} \psi_{\psi}^{a}=\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \quad \quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}: \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}!\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ba}}: \tag{207}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 20: The hexagon equations.
$N$ ote that $R_{c}^{a b}$ is a unitary $m$ ap, therefore $N_{a b}^{c}=N_{b a}^{c}$.
To express Eq. (206) in term $s$ of $R_{c}^{a b}$, we join the two lines at the bottom of each graph and perform equivalence transform ations. These inchude $F \cdot m$ oves as well as $R-m$ oves $\mid$ absonbing a line crossing by a vertex. T hus we obtain the diagram $s$ in F ig. 20; the bottom m ost arrow in each of them combines an $R$ move with the rst or the second equation in question. W em ay now forget about the topologicalm eaning of braiding and only keep track of the linear $m$ aps involved:

$T$ hese com $m$ utative diagram $s$ are know $n$ as hexagon equations. They actually look nioer in the tensor category form alism, see Eqs. (274) and (275).

N ote that braiding w ith label 1 is trivial:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{a}^{1} \hat{\alpha}_{a}^{a}=\ddots_{a}^{1} \hat{\alpha}_{a}^{a}=\int_{a}^{1} \beta_{a}^{a} ; \quad \text { i.e., } \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a} 1} \quad \mathrm{a}=\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{a}}^{1 \mathrm{a}}\right)^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}={ }_{\mathrm{a}}: \tag{210}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he proof is analogous to that of Lem m a E 2.) D ue to this property, we need not worry about lines labeled by 1, e.g., ones that are im plicitly attached to each cup and cap.

To each label a we associate a complex num ber a, called topological spin :

It $m$ ay also be characterized by any of the follow ing relations:


$N$ ote the follow ing properties of the topologicalspin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{a} j=1 ; \quad a=a_{a}: \tag{213}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed,
$T$ his is yet another expression for the topological spin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overbrace{\square}^{a}=\left.a\right|_{\infty} ^{a}: \tag{214}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T o prove it, we need to put a left-oriented cap on both sides of the equation and rotate the resultant gure-eight on the left-hand side by 90 .) In particular, if $a=a$, then we have the follow ing representation for the invariant scalar $R_{1}^{\text {aa }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{\mathrm{aa}}={ }_{\mathrm{a}}\left\{_{\mathrm{a}}:\right. \tag{215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rem ark E.9.Eq. (215) provides a sim ple physical interpretation for the Frobenius-Schur indicator $\left\{_{a}\right.$. Suppose that the system is rotationally invariant, so that not only the topological spin but also the usual spin $s_{a}$ has physicalm eaning. Of course, $s_{a} m$ ay take di erent values sub ject to the constraint $e^{2}$ is ${ }^{2}={ }_{a}$. Let us consider a pair of identical particles $w$ ith trivial total topological charge. W hat values does the total angular $m$ om entum of this com posite ob ject take? This question $m$ ay be answ ered as follow $s$. A ssum ing that both particles are in the sam e spin state $s_{a}$ and barring additional \isospin" degrees of freedom, the 180 rotation is characterized by the phase factor $e^{i s_{a}} e^{i s_{a}} R_{1}^{\text {aa }}=\left\{_{a}\right.$. Thus, the totalangularm om entum is even if $\left\{_{a}=1\right.$ and odd if $\left\{_{a}=1\right.$.

It is interesting that the e ect ofm oving one particle around another is fully characterized by the topological spin:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}^{\mathrm{ba}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{ab}} \dot{\mathrm{~b}}_{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}}: \tag{216}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P$ roof. C onsider the follow ing elem ent of the space $V_{a b}^{a b}$ :


A cting by it as an operator on the space $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, we obtain the required identity.
T heorem E. 10 (V afa [90]). The topologicalspins are roots of unity. M ore speci cally, ${ }_{a}^{n}=1$, where the integer $n \in 0$ depends only on the fusion $m$ ultiplicities.
$P$ roof. Let us use this fact from linear algebra: for any integer $m$ gtrix $X$ there is an integer $m$ atrix $Y$ (the adjugate) such that $Y X=(\operatorname{det} X) I$. Therefore, if ${ }_{b} \underset{b}{X_{a b}}=1$ for all $a$, then ${ }_{b}^{\operatorname{det} X}=1$ for allb.

To nd multiplicative relations between topological spins, we consider determ inants of Rand F m oves. Such determ inants generally depend on the choice ofbasis in the source and the target space. So, let us x a basis in each space $V_{c}^{a b}$ and replace the linearm aps in the hexagon equations (208) and (209) by their detem inants. D ividing the rst equation by the second, we get:

$N$ ote that the determ inants here are actually invariant and can be expressed in term s of topological spins using Eq. (216) :
where we have substituted $v$ for $u$.
For our purposes, it is su cient to consider the case where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{a}$. Thus we obtain a system of equations described by a square $m$ atrix:

Y

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{b}^{x_{\mathrm{ab}}}=1 ; \quad \text { where } \quad X_{a b}=4 N_{\text {aaaa }} \text { ab } \quad 2 N_{a a}^{b} N_{a a}^{b} \quad N_{a a}^{b} N_{a a}^{b}: \tag{219}
\end{equation*}
$$

It rem ains to show that $X$ is nondegenerate. For this punpose, we may divide the a-th row of $X$ by $N_{\text {aaaa }}$ so that the $m$ atrix becom es $4 I \quad Y$; the eigenvalues of $Y$ are bounded by a suitable m ultiplicative $m$ atrix norm :

$$
Y_{a b}=\left(N_{\text {aaaa }}\right)^{1} 2 N_{a a}^{b} N_{a a}^{b}+N_{a a}^{b} N_{a a}^{b} ; \quad \text { eigenvalue }{ }_{j}(Y) \quad 6 \max _{a}^{X} \underset{b}{Y_{a b} j=3: ~}
$$

Thus the m atrix 4I Y cannot have a zero eigenvalue.

## E. 4 V erlinde algebra and topological $S-m$ atrix

In this section we investigate som e properties of fusion, based on the axiom s stated above. First, let as consider a fusion theory w ith particle-antiparticle duality, but w ithout braiding. The Verlinde algebra is an associative -algebra spanned by elem ents \& : a 2 M whidh satisfy the follow ing relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{a} e_{b}={ }_{c}^{X} N_{a b}^{c} e_{c} ; \quad e_{a}^{y}=e_{a}: \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

The basis elem ent $e_{a} 2$ Ver $m$ ay be represented by the matrix $N(a)=\left(N_{a b}^{c}: b ; c 2 M\right)$; this representation is fathful. $S$ ince $\mathbb{N}(a)=N P(a)^{Y}$, the algebra operation $y$ corresponds to taking the adjoint $m$ atrix. Therefore Ver is actually a nite-dim ensional C -algebra. Equation (201) says that the linearm ap Ver ! $C: e_{a} \eta d_{a}$ is a hom om onphism .

If braiding is de ned, then $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ba}}^{\mathrm{c}}$, therefore the Verlinde algebra is com mutative. A nite-dim ensional com m utative C -algebra splits into several copies of C. T herefore we have $\mathbb{M}$ jdistinct hom om onphism s from Ver to $C$, whidh we refer to as fusion characters:

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { :Ver ! C; } \quad{ }_{j}(a)_{j}(b)={ }^{X} \quad N_{a b}^{c}{ }_{j}(c): \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector $v_{j}=\left({ }_{j}(c): c 2 M\right)$ is a com $m$ on eigenvector of the $m$ atriges $\mathrm{N} P(a)$, the eigenvalues being equal to $j(a)$. $N$ ote that the $m$ atriges $N P(a)$ are norm $a l$, therefore eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues m ust be orthogonal. T hus the follow ing orthogonality condition holds:

$$
X \quad{ }_{j}(a)_{k}(a)=0 \quad \text { if } j \not k \text { : }
$$

a
W e will now construct a map from the set of labels to the set of fision characters. Specifically, we are to show that som e of the characters are given (up to a constant factor) by the colum ns of the topological $S$ m atrix $S=\left(S_{a b}: a ; b 2 M\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ab}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}} \bar{a}\left(\bar{b}(a) b=\frac{\frac{\operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ba}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ab}}\right)}{\mathrm{D}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ba}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}}_{\mathrm{X}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{ab}}^{\mathrm{c}} \frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{c}}: ~: ~}{\text { : }}\right. \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm alization factor $1=D$ w ith $D=P \frac{P}{{ }_{a} d_{a}^{2}}$ is chosen so that $S$ is unitary under certain conditions.

Let us study properties of the num bers $s_{a b}$. First, we observe these sym $m$ etries:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{a b}=S_{b a}=S_{a b}=S_{b a}=S_{a b}=S_{b a}=S_{a b}=S_{b a}: \tag{224}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, up to norm alization we have:
$S_{a b}$


Sa; $\quad S_{a b}$

$S_{a b}:$

Factors of the form $S_{a x}=S_{1 x}$ often arise via this equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left.\right|_{\bar{x}} ^{\bar{x}} a=\left.\frac{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ax}}}{\mathrm{~S}_{1 \mathrm{x}}}\right|_{\bar{x}} ^{\bar{x}} ; \quad \mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}}{\mathrm{D}}:\right. \tag{225}
\end{equation*}
$$

For exam ple, let us repeat the calculation done in proofofe q. (201), but now w ith an additional line passing through the loops:


The rst and the last expression are equal to $\frac{S_{a x} S_{b x}}{S_{1 x}^{2}} i d_{x}$ and ${ }_{c}^{X}{ }_{N_{a b}}^{c} \frac{S_{c x}}{S_{1 x}} i d_{x}$, respectively. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S_{a x} S_{b x}}{S_{1 x}}={ }_{c}^{X} N_{a b}^{c} S_{c x}: \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

C om paring this equation w ith Eq. (221), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{s_{\mathrm{ax}}}{s_{1 \mathrm{x}}}=j(a) \quad \text { for some } j=j(x) . \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, for each $x$ the $m$ ap a $7 S_{a x}=S_{1 x}$ is a fusion character.
In the next section we will see that if braiding is su ciently nontrivial, then the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{m}$ atrix is unitary. In this case, the m ap from labels to fision characters is one-to-one, and Eq. (226) $m$ ay be cast into a form known as Verlinde formula ${ }^{23}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{a b}^{c}={ }_{x}^{X} \frac{S_{a x} S_{b x} S_{c x}}{S_{1 x}}: \tag{228}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^18]
## E . 5 B raiding nondegeneracy $=\mathrm{m}$ odularity

Let us recall the de nition of a superselection sector: it is a class of states that can be transform ed one to another by local operators. From the physical perspective, the operators we use $m$ ust respect any unbroken sym $m$ etry present in the $H$ am iltonian, e.g., the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry associated w th the conservation ofelectric charge. A nother exam ple is the num ber of ferm ions m odulo 2, if that num ber is not conserved as an integer. (H ere we speak about actual ferm ions form ing the system rather than e ective M a jorana $m$ odes obtained by a nonlocal transform ation.) H ow ever, in the $m$ odel studied in this paper the superselection sectors are stable $w$ ith respect to all local operators. M ore generally, we m ay consider an arbitrary system built of spins or other bosonic degrees of freedom, and ask for properties that are stable to a generic perturbation. In this case, the superselection sectors have purely topological nature: som e signature of a nontrivial excitation $x \in 1$ willbe preserved even if we cut out a piece ofm aterial containing the quasiparticle. It is quite reasonable to assume that the presence of such an excitation can actually be detected by an A haronov-B ohm m easurem ent, ie., by moving a test particle a around $x$. T hus we arrive at the condition that the braiding is nondegenerate, which $m$ ust be true for any anyonic system not com plicated by extemal sym $m$ etries.

Den ition E. 11. Braiding is said to be nondegenerate if for each label $x \in 1$ there is some label a such that the operator $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ax}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{xa}}$ is not identity.
$T$ heorem E.12. In a theory with nondegenerate braiding, the following operator $S_{z}$ acting in the space $L_{z}={ }_{b} V_{b}^{b z}$ is unitary:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}} \int_{b}^{b} \psi \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{a}} \prod_{a}^{a} \psi \int_{b}^{z} \psi \quad \text { where } \quad 2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{bz}}: \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the standard $S-m$ atrix corresponds to $z=1$. The operator $S_{z}^{y}$ di ers from $S_{z}$ by the orientation of crossings. (This is easy to show by considering a matrix elem ent of $S_{z}$.) The converse of Theorem E. 12 is also true and can be proved easily: if the standard S m atrix is unitary, then the braiding is nondegenerate.

Lem maE.13. The operator $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{ax}} \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{xa}}$ is trivial for all labels a if and only if x is m apped to the trivial fusion character $j(x)=j(1)$, i.e., if $S_{a x}=S_{1 x}=d_{a}$ for alla.
$P$ roof. The triviality of $R_{a x} R_{x a} m$ eans that for all $c, R_{c}^{a x} R_{c}^{x a}=i d_{c}^{x a}, i e ., \frac{c}{x a}=1$ whenever $N_{x a}^{c} 0 . W$ e calculate $s_{x a}=s_{a x}$ using Eq. (223):

$$
D s_{x a}={ }_{c}^{X} N_{x a}^{c} \frac{c}{x a} d_{c}={ }_{c}^{X} N_{x a}^{c} d_{c}=d_{x} d_{a}:
$$

Thus, $S_{a x}=S_{1 x}=d_{a}$. C onversely, if the operator $R_{a x} R_{x a}$ is nontrivial, then

$$
D \operatorname{Res}_{x a}={ }_{c}^{X} N_{x a}^{c} \operatorname{Re} \frac{c}{x a} d_{c}<{ }_{c}^{X} N_{x a}^{c} d_{c}=d_{x} d_{a} \text {; }
$$

therefore $s_{a x}=S_{1 x} \notin d_{a}$.

The proof of Theorem E. 12 is based on the follow ing equation, which is useful on its own right:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\mathrm{D}^{2}}{ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{a}}\left(\left.\right|_{x} ^{\mid}\right) a=j(\mathrm{x}) ;\left.j(1)\right|_{x} ^{x}: \tag{230}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, the condition $j(x)=j(1) m$ eans that the fusion character $j(x): a$ I $S_{a x}=S_{1 x}$ is trivial, i.e., $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{ax}}=\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{x}}=\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}$. On the other hand, if $\mathrm{j}(\mathrm{x})$ is nontrivial, then it is orthogonal to the trivial character, ie., $\quad \mathrm{d}_{a} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{ax}}=0$. The above equation com bines both cases.

Proof of Theorem E.12. By Lem m a E.13, the nondegeneracy condition im plies that $j(x)=$ $j$ (1) if and only if $x=1$. Thus, the linear com bination of loops in Eq. (230) is a pro jector onto label 1. W e apply it as follow s:



Theories with a unitary S m atrix are often called modular due to their relation to the group of di eom onphism s of the two-dim ensional torus considered up to topological equivalence (nam ely, isotopy). Let us discuss this sub ject at a speculative level. The rst thing to note is that a system of spins or electrons can in principle be put on a torus whether or not the braiding is nondegenerate. H ow ever, as indicated earlier, degeneracy generally occurs due to som e extemal sym metry. If it is a gauge sym metry, then putting the system on the torus involves som e choice. For exam ple, in the case of $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry, one $m$ ay run an arbitrary magnetic ux through each basic circle of the torus. Those uxes can be detected by A haronov-B ohm m easurem ents, but they cannot be changed by intrinsic operations, which include splitting, fusion, and m oving particles around the torus. M athem atically, such operations form a so-called skein algebra, which $m$ ay be de ned in term $s$ of graphs on the torus up to equivalence transform ations. (Recall that a planar graph w ithout extemal lines can be transform ed to a number, therefore the skein algebra of the plane is sim ply C.) The skein algebra is a nite-dim ensional C -algebra; in general it is a direct sum of severalblocks, each block being isom orphic to the algebra of operators on som e nite-dim ensional H ilbert space. P hysically, di erent blocks correspond to di erent values of extemal param eters such as the m agnetic uxes. M odular theories are special in that there is only one block. In other words, the tonus is characterized by a single nite-dim ensional space.

The H ilbert space of the torus is actually $M=C^{M}$. A basis in this space $m$ ay be associated w ith e ective anyonic charge that is detected by an A haronov-B ohm m easurem ent along som e circle. D i erent circles correspond to di erent bases. T he S $m$ atrix e ects a transition betw een two bases. O ther im portant $m$ atrioes are $C=(a b: a ; b 2 M)$ (which corresponds to a 180 degree rotation) and $T=(\mathrm{a}$ ab : a;b2 M ) (which corresponds to a D ehn tw ist). W e will justify this description by show ing that $S, C$, and $T$ obey the m odular relations up to a phase factor :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{ST})^{3}=\mathrm{C} ; \quad \mathrm{S}^{2}=\mathrm{C} ; \quad \mathrm{C}^{2}=\mathrm{I} ; \tag{232}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\mathrm{D}^{1^{\mathrm{X}}} \mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{a}}^{2} \mathrm{a}: \tag{233}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a corollary, note that is a root of unity. Indeed, $S^{4}=I$, therefore ${ }^{4 \mathrm{M}}{ }^{j}=(\text { det } T)^{12}$ is a product of topological spins, which are roots of unity by Theorem E.10.
L Let us actually study relations betw een more general operators acting in the space $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=$ ${ }_{b} V_{b}^{\text {bz }}$ (which corresponds to a punctured tonus, w ith $z$ being the anyonic charge of the puncture). T he operator $S_{z}$ has been already de ned, whereas



Theorem E.14. The operators $S_{z}, C_{z}$, and $T_{z}$ satisfy the following $m$ odular relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z}^{Y} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{z}} S_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; \quad \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}} ; \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}={ }_{\mathrm{z}}: \tag{235}
\end{equation*}
$$

P roof. The calculation of $S_{z}^{Y} T_{z} S_{z}$ parallels the proof of $T$ heorem E. 12 , but the nondegeneracy condition is not necessary. First, let us apply the $S m$ atrix to the row vector $w$ ith entries $d_{a} a$ :

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{a}}{ }_{a} S_{a x}=\frac{1}{D}_{a ; c}^{X} d_{a} N_{a x}^{c} \underset{x}{c} d_{c}=\frac{1}{D}_{c}^{X} \quad d_{x} d_{c}-\frac{c}{x} d_{c}=d_{x}:
$$

T he result $m$ ay be w rilten as follow s:


T hen we replace the single line by two lines and sim plify the result (cf. Eq. (217)) :


Attaching a graph representing $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{YZ}}$ to the rst and the last expression, we get $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{T}_{z} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and $T{ }_{z}^{Y} S_{z}^{Y} T_{z}^{Y}$, respectively.
$N$ ow we show that $S_{z}=S_{z}^{y} C_{z}$. If $2 \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{b}}^{\mathrm{bz}}$, then


The form ula $C_{z}^{2}=z$ follow s from (217).

## E.6 G auge freedom and O cneanu rigidity

In the preceding sections, we de ned a theory of anyons as a solution to a certain system of algebraic equations for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{abc}}$, ar a, and $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Speci cally, these are the pentagon equation, the triangle and hexagon equations as well as unitarity conditions. (T he unitarity conditions are algebraic in the real and im aginary part of the corresponding $m$ atrioes.) The set of solutions is som e real algebraic variety. This description, how ever, does not take into account a gauge degree of freedom. Indeed, two solutions are physically equivalent if they are related to each other by a $\operatorname{sim} u l t a n e o u s$ basis change in the spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. In the exam ples studied in this paper, quotienting over such transform ations $m$ akes the set of solutions discrete. In other w ords, the algebraic structure is rigid, w th the physical consequence that the properties of anyons are stable to sm all perturbations of the H am iltonian. Is it true in general? The a mative answ er was obtained by $O$ cneanu, but he did not publish his proof. The only w ritten proof $I$ know of is due to Etingof, N ikshych, and O strik [30], but it is m ore general and hence com plex; in particular, it does not depend on the unitarity or the pivotal property. M eanwhile, the proof of O cneanu rigidity for unitary theories is not very di cult and $m$ ay be interesting to a $m$ athem atical physicist.

To prove that a solution to an equation system is rigid, one needs to study in nitesim al deform ations. Let us call a deform ation of $F, \quad, \quad R$ perm issible if it satis es the equations in the rst order of Taylor expansion. The deform ation is called trivial if it can be obtained by a change ofbasis, also in the rst order. (By restricting our attention to the rst order, we potentially m ake the space of perm issible deform ations larger and the space of trivial deform ation sm aller.) O ur goal is to calculate the quotient, perm issible modulo trivial, and show that it vanishes. The analysis consists of several steps.

First, we only consider $F$ and the pentagon equation. The resulting deform ation problem resembles the de nition of $H^{3}(G ; R)$ for a group $G$. In fact, it is exactly that in exam ple 2 on page 72. A ssociated w ith an anbitrary fusion theory is a cochain com plex de ned by $C$ rane and Yetter [91] and independently by D avydov [92]. It is a sequence of real spaces and linear maps

$$
\mathrm{Cl}^{1} \stackrel{1}{!} \mathrm{C}^{2} \quad \stackrel{2}{!} \mathrm{C}^{3} \quad \begin{align*}
& 3  \tag{238}\\
& !
\end{align*}
$$

whose third cohom ology space $\mathrm{H}^{3}$ (C) $\stackrel{\text { def }}{=}$ K er ${ }^{3}=\mathrm{Im}{ }^{2}$ classi es the nontrivial deform ations of the theory. (T he rst and the second cohom ology spaces have natural interpretation too.) We
will use the term \tangent cohom ology'24 proposed by D avydov.
It is well known that any nite group has trivial real cohom ology in all dim ensions $n>1$. Likew ise, the tangent cohom ology vanishes for an arbitrary unitary fusion theory (actually, any fusion theory). The proof of this statem ent is based on the sam e idea as the corresponding proof for groups. In the latter case, one uses averaging over the group, which is well-de ned because the group is nite. For a unitary fusion theory, one needs to take the quantum trace (actually, a partial quantum trace) and average it over the label set $w$ ith weight $d_{a}=D^{2}$.

The next step is to include, and to consider the triangle equations. This is just a technical detail that involves trivial changes to the deform ation theory [93]. (T he argum ent is quite general and applicable even if the label set is in nite, in which case the cohom ology $m$ ay not vanish.) The rigidity ofbraiding follows from the vanishing of $\mathrm{H}^{2}$ (C) in conjunction with Vafa's theorem (see Theorem E.10) .
E.6.1 G auge freedom in the description of anyons. An isom onphism between two fusion theories, $A$ and $A^{0}$ is given by a bijection betw een the label sets (we sim ply assum e that they are equal) and a collection of unitary mapd ${ }_{c}^{25}:\left(V^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b}!V_{c}^{a b}$; these data are enough to relate one system of associativity constraints to the other:


For example, let $A^{0}$ be obtained from $A$ by changing left and right, ie., $\left(V^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b}=V^{\text {ba }}$ and $\left(F^{0}\right)_{u}^{a b c}=\left(F_{u}^{\text {caa }}\right)^{1}$. If theory A has braiding $R$, then ${ }_{c}^{a b}=\left(R_{c}^{a b}\right)^{1}$ is an isom onphism (for a quidk dem onstration, apply the Y ang-B axter braid (205) to both trees above). H ow ever, we w ill be m ostly interested in the case where $\left(V^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b}=V_{c}^{a b}$ so that ${ }_{c}^{a b} m$ ay be called \basis change"; exam ples can be found in Sections 8.4 and 9 .

By analogy w ith Eq. (239), one can write sim ilar equations for a vs. ${ }_{a}^{0}$ and a vs. ${ }_{a}^{0}$. H ow ever, the physicalm eaning of and is rather elusive, so it is not clear whether we obtain them ost general form ofequivalence this way. A reasonable notion of isom orphism between two theories, nam ely tensor functor arises naturally in the categorical form alism (see Sec. E.7.3) . To state it in concrete term s , we just need to supplem ent the basis change w ith an overallphase factor so that

F inally, the braiding rules are changed as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{c}^{a b} \underset{c}{a b}={ }_{c}^{b a}\left(R^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b}: \tag{241}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we have de ned the fam ily of theories $A^{0}$ that are isom onphic to a given theory A. $H$ ow ever, their param etrization by ${ }_{c}^{a b}$ and is redundant. In particular, F, , R do not change if we replace ${ }_{c}^{a b}$ and w th ${ }_{c}^{a b}$ and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{c}{\mathrm{ab}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{b}}}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}} ; \quad \quad,=\mathrm{h}_{1} ; \tag{242}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^19]$w$ here $h_{x}$ are anbitrary phase factors. In the categorical language, the tensor functors de ned by ( ; ) and by ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) are isom orphic.

Thus we have entities of dim ension 0,1 , and 2: theories of anyons, 1-isom orphism s (i.e., isom onphism sbetw een theories), and 2-isom onphism s (ie., isom orphism sbetw een 1-isom orphism s). Let us de ne three sets indexed by a com plem entary dim ension:

1. 2-autom onphism sh of a gìven 1-isom onphism ( ; );
2. Equivalence classes of 1-autom orphism s ( ; ) of a given theory A up to 2-isom orphism s;
3. Equivalence classes of theories with given fusion $m$ ultiplicities up to 1-isom orphism s.

The rst set is an A belian group, the second is a group (see A ppendix F), the third does not have any special structure.
E.6.2 In nitesim aldeform ations and the van ishing oftangent cohom ology. Let us de ne in nitesim al analogues of sets $1,2,3$; we w illeventually prove that they are trivial. The constructions involved are typical to cohom ology theory, follow ing a pattem that $m$ ay already be recognized by studying set 1. W e are eventually interested in set 3, which classi es solutions of the pentagon equation up to a basis change. In this subsection we ignore braiding and all attributes of the vacuum sector (i.e., , , , and the triangle equations); these things w ill be considered later.

For a xed fusion theory w ith associativity constraints $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{abc}}$, let
where $X_{a}$ is an in nitely $s m$ all real num ber and $Y_{c}^{a b}, Z_{u}^{a b c}$ are in nitely $s m$ all $H$ erm itian operators acting in $V_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{abc}}$, respectively ${ }^{[6]} \mathrm{Now}$, let us substitute the expressions for h and into Eq. (242), assum ing that ${ }_{c}^{a b}=i d_{V_{c}^{a b}}$. W e get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Y_{c}^{a b}={ }^{1} X \xlongequal{a b} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left(X_{b} \quad X_{C}+X_{a}\right)\right)_{V_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}}: \tag{244}
\end{equation*}
$$

A $n$ in nitesim al analogue of set 1 is the space of deform ations $X=\left(X_{a}:\right.$ a $\left.2 M\right)$ such that
${ }^{1} \mathrm{X}=0$. O ne can dealw th Eq. (239) and the pentagon equation in an analogous way.
To present the results in a m ore convenient form, let us get rid of the lower index $c$. (In a categorical form ulation of the theory, it does not appear at all.) $N$ ote that the set of operators $Y_{c}^{a b}$ for all c represents the action of a single elem ent $Y_{a b} 2 V_{a b}^{a b}$, which $m$ ay be constructed as follow s:

[^20]
$M$ ore generally, we can use the follow ing de nition.
De nition E.15. Let $C^{n}$ be the set of self-adjint elem ents in ${ }^{L} a_{1}::: ; a_{n} V_{a_{1}::: a_{n}}^{a_{n}}$. The tangent com plex of the fusion theory is the sequence of R -linear m aps
where the $m$ aps $f_{k}^{n}: C^{n}!C^{n+1}$ are de ned as follow $s:$
(For $n=0$ we use $V_{?}^{?} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} V_{1}^{1}=C$, hence $C^{0}=R$. C orrespondingly, $f_{0}^{0} ; f_{1}^{0}: 1 \eta^{P} \quad$ id $V_{a_{a}}$, therefore $\left.{ }^{0}=f_{0}^{0} \quad f_{1}^{f}=0.\right)$
$N$ ote that $C$ is indeed a cochain complex, ie., ${ }^{n+1} n=0$, which follow from this easily veri able identity:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{k}^{n+1} f_{m}^{n}=f_{m+1}^{n+1} f_{k}^{n} \quad \text { for } 06 \mathrm{k} 6 \mathrm{~m} 6 \mathrm{n}+1: \tag{249}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

(It is part of structure that $m$ akes $C$ into a cosim plicial space.)
The readerm ay check that Eq. (239) becom es $Z={ }^{2} Y$. Therefore the in nitesim alanalogue of set 2 is given by solutions to the equation ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Y}=0 \mathrm{~m}$ odulo elem ents of the form $\mathrm{Y}={ }^{1} \mathrm{X}$. Sim ilarly, the pentagon equation $m$ ay be written as ${ }^{3} Z=0$, and the solutions should be considered modulo ${ }^{2} \mathrm{Y}$. T hus, low-dim ensional cohom ology of the tangent com plex has the follow ing $m$ eaning:

$$
H^{0}(C)=R \text {; }
$$

$H^{1}$ (C ) Classi es in nitesim al2-autom onphism s of the identity 1-autom onphism ;
$H^{2}(C)$ classi es in nitesim all-autom onphism s (i.e., basis changes that leave the associativity constraints invariant) up to 2 -isom onphism s;
$H^{3}(C)$ classi es in nitesim aldeform ations of the fusion theory up to 1 -isom onphism $s$ (ie., arbitrary basis changes).
Theorem E.16. $H^{n}(C)=0$ for alln $>0$.
P roof. W ew ill use a standard $m$ ethod of proving vanishing cohom ology results, nam ely contracting hom otopy. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{n}: C^{n}!C^{n 1} \text {; } \tag{250}
\end{align*}
$$

W e will show that $\quad+\quad=1$ or, m ore exactly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}+1 \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{n} 1 \mathrm{n}^{n}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathrm{C}^{n}} \quad \text { for } \mathrm{n}>0 \text { : } \tag{251}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ifthis is true, then any X $2 \mathrm{Ker}^{\mathrm{n}}$ can be represented as ${ }^{\mathrm{n} 1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Im}{ }^{\mathrm{n} 1}$, hence $H^{n}(C)=0$.
Equation (251) is an im m ediate corollary of this identity:

$$
{ }^{n+1} f_{k}^{n}=\begin{array}{ll}
\text { id }_{c} n & \text { if } k=0 ;  \tag{252}\\
f_{k 1}^{n} 1 & n \\
\text { ifk } ; n>0:
\end{array}
$$

Let us rew rite it using graphic notation:

(the case $\mathrm{k}>1$ is trivial). The equation for $\mathrm{k}=0$ is also obvious, whereas the one for $\mathrm{k}=1$ follow sfrom this identity:

where we have used Lem m a E.7. (N ote that we did not use the pivotalproperty or the positivity ofthe inner product; this sheds som e light on why the result holds in a m ore generalsetting [30].).
E.6.3 Technicalities related to the unit and braiding. Let us now take into account additional structure that was neglected in the above analysis. We will get m ore variables and more equations, but the old equations will not change. The new degrees of freedom are characterized by in nitely sm all real num bers $g, A_{a}, B_{a}$ and $H$ erm itian operators $W{ }_{c}^{a b}$ which are de ned as follow s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(R^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b} \quad R_{c}^{a b}\left(i d_{V_{c}^{a b}} \quad i V_{c}^{a b}\right): \tag{254}
\end{align*}
$$

(Recall that is part of the de nition of a 1-isom onphism .) It has been previously show $n$ that any in nitesim al deform ation of the associativity constraints $F$ can be com pensated by a suitable basis change. A though , and R m ay still rem ain deform ed, the problem is reduced to the case where $F$ is xed. Furtherm ore, any in nitesim albasis change not a ecting $F$ has the form (242), hence , or $R$ are not altered either. The only param eter to tune is 1 ig.
Let us nst show that any perm issible deform ation of and is trivial, where \perm issible" $m$ eans satisfying the triangle equations and \trivial" m eans satisfying Eq. (240). Taken to an in nitesim al form, the rst triangle equation (see Fig. 19a) and Eq. (240) read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{x}=B_{w} \quad \text { for all } x ; w ; \quad g+A_{a}=g+B_{a}=0 \text { for all } a: \tag{255}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ learly, the rst condition implies the second if we put $g=A_{1}$.
Thus we m ay assum e that the whole fusion theory, i.e., F , , and are xed. It rem ains to show that the braiding deform ation $W$ vanishes, provided it satis es an in nitesim alversion of the hexagon equations. Instead of using the hexagon equations directly, we w ill rely on the fact that braiding de nes an isom orphism betw een the given fusion theory $A$ and the theory $A^{0}$ in which left and right are changed. T hus $\left(R_{c}^{a b}\right)^{1}\left(R^{0}\right)_{C}^{a b}$ is an autom orphism of A. It follow $s$ that
${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}=0$, hence

$$
W_{c}^{a b}={ }^{1} X_{c}^{a b}=\left(X_{b} \quad X_{C}+X_{a}\right) i d_{V_{c}^{a b}}:
$$

for som e X $2 \mathrm{C}^{1}$. It is im portant that the right-hand side is a scalar tim es the identity, which enables us to calculate the deform ation of $R_{c}^{b a} R_{c}^{a b}=\frac{c}{a b}$ easily:

$$
\frac{{ }_{c}^{c}}{\substack{0 \\ a \\ b}} \quad \frac{c}{a b} 1 \quad 2 i\left(X_{b} \quad X_{C}+X_{a}\right):
$$

B ut the topological spin is rigid due to Vafa's theorem, hence $X_{b} \quad X_{C}+X_{a}=0$.

## E. $7 \quad \mathrm{C}$ ategorical form alism (aside)

$C$ ategories and functors are the language used by $m$ athem aticians to describe fusion, braiding, and related concepts. I originally tried to w rite an exposition of the theory of anyons using this form alism, but found it too aw kward. I still think that functors are necessary for the understanding of phase transitions and other advanced properties of anyonic system $s$, but $m$ ost things can be explained in $m$ ore elem entary term $s$. This section is a rem ainder ofthe abandoned plan. P lease be wamed that these notes are very incom plete, e.g., there is no discussion of
duality and related concepts: rigid, pivotal, and spherical categories (not to $m$ ention that we focus on sem ism ple categories | this restriction is natural for the intended applications).

Let us outline the $m$ ain elem ents of the theory. An abstraction called tensor category generalizes the notion of fusion theory. W hile anyonic fusion has a com pact description in term $s$ of basic data, a category is a huge collection of \ob jects" related by \m onphism s". H ow ever, these relations form a regular structure that does not leave $m$ uch freedom of choice. A rather trivial exam ple is the category Hill, whose ob jects are all possible nite-dim ensional H ilbert spaces and the $m$ onphism $s$ are all linear maps. A m ore interesting category $R e p(G)$ is de ned as follow s: the ob jects are nite-dim ensional unitary representations of a com pact group $G$ and the $m$ onphism s are intertw iners. $W$ em ay also think of fusion theory as a category: the ob jects are nite sequences of particle labels, and the $m$ orphism sbetween ( $b_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{b}_{n}$ ) and ( $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k}$ ) are fusion/splitting operators, i.e., elem ents of the space $V_{b_{1}}^{a_{1}::: i_{k}} a_{k}$. A nother w ay to tum a fiusion theory into a category w ill be described later.

By de nition, a tensor category is equipped with an operation 2 that is analogous to fusion. $T$ he role of the vacuum sector is played by a specialob ject 1 . In the categories $H$ ib and $R e p$ ( $G$ ), the operation 2 is the usual tensor product and 1 is the set of com plex num bers C regarded as a one-dim ensional space or the trivial representation of $G$.

Yet another exam ple: the $m$ atrix category $M a t_{m, m}$. In this category ${ }^{27}$ ob jects are $m \quad m$ $m$ atrioes $w$ hose entries are nite-dim ensional com plex linear spaces, $m$ onphism sbetw een $m$ atrices are entryw ise linear m aps, and

$$
(A 2 B)_{j 1}=\begin{gather*}
M  \tag{256}\\
A_{j k}
\end{gather*} \quad B_{k 1} ; \quad 1_{j k}=\begin{array}{ll}
\text { C } i f j=k ; \\
0 & \text { if } j \in k:
\end{array}
$$

(Ifthem atrix entries are nite-dim ensionalH ilbert spaces, we use the notation M at $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{m}}$ because in this case each monphism has a H em itian adjoint.) N ote that ( A 2 B ) $2 \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A} 2$ ( B 2 C ) and $A 21=\mathrm{A}=12 \mathrm{~A}$; tensor categories w ith this property are called strict. In general, the equalities are replaced by isom onphism S F, , and satisfying the pentagon and triangle equations.

A tensor functor is a $m$ ap from one tensor category to another, a classic exam ple being the embedding Rep (G) ! Hill. Tensor functors have some physical applications, such as transform ations of particles by global sym m etries (see A ppendix F). They are also related to the gauge freedom in the description of anyonic fusion, cf. Eqs. (239), (240), and (242). H ow ever, ourm ain goal is to understand the status of the isom onphism SF, , . To this end, we will nd an em bedding of an anbitrary tensor category into a strict one by a tensor functor that preserves distinction betw een m onphism $s$ (such functors are called faithful). In particular, any unitary fusion theory $w$ th label set $M$ embeds into the $m$ atrix category $M$ at $t_{M}^{Y} M$. The em bedding theorem im plies
M acLane's coherence theorem : Allm onphism scom posed of F, , and having the same source and target are equal.

Indeed, in the strict category the source and the target are the sam e ob ject, and any com position of F , , and is the identity monphism (i.e., equality). T hus all such com positions in the

[^21]original category are $m$ apped to the sam e $m$ orphism. Since the functor preserves distinction between $m$ onphism $s$, the originalm onphism $s$ are also equal.
E.7.1 The basics of category theory. A category is a collection of objects and morphism $s$. The set of monhism sfrom $A$ to $B$ in a category $C$ is denoted by $C(A ; B)$.

M orphism s are anything that can be com posed: if $\mathrm{f}: \mathrm{A}!\mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{B}!\mathrm{C}$, then gf : A ! C. It is only required that (hg)f $=\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{gf})$ and that every object A has an identity $m$ orphism $\operatorname{id}_{A}$ such that $f \operatorname{id}_{A}=\operatorname{id}_{B} f=f$ for any $f: A!B$. (E xam ple: ob jects are vertioes of a given graph, m orphism s are paths of arbitrary length, identity monphism $s$ are paths of length 0.$)$

A monphism $f: A!B$ is called an isom onphism if there is a monphism $f^{1}$ : B! A such that $f^{1} f=i d_{A}$ and $f f^{1}=i d_{B}$. Notation for isom onphic ob jects: $A=B$.

For applications in quantum mechanics, the basic exam ple is the category H ilb of nitedim ensional H ilbert spaces. Am ong its ob jects are the set of com plex num bers C and the onedim ensional space corresponding to the rst level of a harm onic oscillator. T hese two spaces are isom onphic but di erent. (T he isom onphism $m$ aps the com plex num ber 1 to a nom alized w avefiunction, but this $m$ ap is not canonicalbecause there is no reason to prefer over .) So, it does not generally $m$ ake sense to ask whether tw o given ob jects are equal (unless they are the sam e by de nition). M orphism s between two given ob jects $m$ ay be com pared for equality though. For two ob jects, $X$ and $Y$, a reasonable question is whether $X=Y . O f$ course, two spaces are isom orphic if and only if they have the sam e dim ension. But we also want to keep track of isom onphism $s$, for they $m$ ay not com $m$ ute: a sequence of isom orphism $s m$ ay result in a nontrivial autom orphism u : X ! X, u id X . (For H ibert spaces, it is natural to consider unitary isom orphism s.)

The next exam ple has motivation in superselection theory. Let us consider an in nite spin system which is alm ost in the ground state, w ith excitations being allowed only in som e nite region. Q uantum states of such a system are classi ed by superselection sectors. For each sector a the state belongs to som e nite-dim ensionalH ilbert space $X_{a}$, which depends on speci c constraints on the excitations. W e are going to consider di erent sets of constraints (called \ob jects") and transform ations from one ob ject to another (called \m orphism s").

Denition E.17. Let $M$ be some set. The category $\mathrm{Ve}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{G}}$ is de ned as follow s .
An object X 2 V is a collection of nite-dim ensionalHilbert spaces ( X a : a 2 M ), of which only nitely $m$ any are nonzero.

A monphism $f: X!Y$ is a collection of linear maps $f: X_{a}!Y_{a}$ (for each a $2 M$ ). $T$ he identity monphism $\mathrm{id}_{\mathrm{X}}: \mathrm{X}!\mathrm{X}$ consists of the unit operators acting in $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{a}}$.

A dditional structure: $T$ he set $V(X ; Y$ ) ofm orphism $s$ from $X$ to $Y$ is a complex linear space. For any $f: X!Y$ we de ne the adjoint $m$ orphism $f^{Y}: Y$ ! $X$ such that $\left(\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)_{\mathrm{a}}=\left(\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)^{\mathrm{Y}}$.

A unitary isom onphism is an isom onphism $f$ such that $f^{1}=f^{y}$.
An simpli ed version of this construction is the category $V \mathrm{Vec}_{\mathrm{M}}$ : we use complex linear spaces instead of H ilbert spaces and do not consider adjoint monphism s.

For each a 2 M we de ne the ob ject [a] such that $[a]_{a}=C$ and $[a]_{b}=0$ for $b a . O b j e c t s$ isom orphic to [a] are called sim ple. For any ob ject Y ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathrm{M}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \quad[\mathrm{a}] ; \mathrm{Y}: \tag{257}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the physical perspective, sim ple ob jects correspond to quantum states (e.g., a particle pinned to a point), whereas [a] is a reference state in the given superselection sector. For a more form al exam ple, consider the category $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$ whose ob jects are nite-dim ensional unitary representations of a com pact group $G$ and whose $m$ orphism $s$ are intertw iners. Let $M$ be the set of irreps considered up to isom onphism, and let [a] be a particular irrep in the given isom orphism class. Then we may identify the category $A=R e p(G) w$ th $V e c_{M}^{y}$ this way: $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{a}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{A}([\mathrm{a}] ; \mathrm{Y}$.

Rem ark E.18. O ur de nition of $V e_{M}$ and $V e c_{M}^{y}$ resembles the construction of a vector space using coordinates. A $n$ invariant characterization is also possible, though som ew hat com plicated. $W$ hen the label set $M$ is unspeci ed, $V e C_{M}$ is called a sem isim ple $C$-linear category, and $V e c_{M}^{Y}$ is called a unitary category.

The concepts of functor and naturalm orphism are central in category theory. They are extrem ely general and therefore hard to grasp. W ew ill try to illustrate them by sim ple exam ples, which are still rather abstract. M ore $m$ eaningful (though less direct) exam ples can be found in the next subsection.

Denition E.19. A functor $F$ : A ! B maps each ob ject A of the category A to an ob ject $F(A)$ of the category $B$ and each monphism $f: A!A^{0}$ to a monphism $F(f): F(A)!F(A)$ such that

$$
F\left(f_{2} f_{1}\right)=F\left(f_{2}\right) F\left(f_{1}\right) ; \quad F\left(\operatorname{id}_{A}\right)=\operatorname{id}_{F(A)}:
$$

A monphism (or natural transform ation) between two functors $F ; G: A!B$ is a collection $h$ ofm orphism $s h_{A}: F(A)!G(A)$ such that for any $f: A!A^{0}$ this diagram commutes


W e have already $m$ entioned one exam ple of a functor, nam ely the em bedding $F$ : Rep (G)! H ilb. A cting on $m$ onphism $s$, it $m$ aps the set of intertw iners to the set of all linearm aps betw een tw o representation spaces. A naturalm orphism $h: F!F m$ ay be constructed as follow $s: h_{A}$ is the action of som e xed group elem ent on the representation space of A. Indeed, for this particular choice of the functors $F=G$ the com $m$ utative diagram (258) simply says that $F$ ( $f$ ) is an intertw iner.

Exam ple E.20. Let $H$ be a nite-dim ensional Hibert space. W e de ne a unitary functor H : H ibl H ib by tensoring with $H$ on the left (notation: $H=\mathbb{H} \quad$ ):

$$
H(A) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} H \quad A ; \quad H(f) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} i d_{H} \quad f:
$$

A linear map u:H! G between two spaces de nes a naturalmorphism U = [u ]between the corresponding functors:

$$
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{A}} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathrm{u} \quad \text { id }:
$$

The finctor $H=\mathbb{H} \quad$ ] has som e special properties, nam ely the $m$ ap $f \geqslant H$ ( $f$ ) is linear and $H\left(f^{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=(\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{f}))^{\mathrm{Y}}$. Such fiunctors are called unitary.

Proposition E. 21 .

1. Any unitary functor $\mathrm{F}: \mathrm{H}$ ibl ! H ibl is naturally isom onphic to the left-tensoring functor $\mathbb{H} \quad]$ for som e space $H$, nam ely $H=F(C)$. This isom onphism is unitary.
2. Any naturalm orphism $U:\left[\begin{array}{ll}G \quad] \\ \mathbb{H}\end{array}\right]$ has the form $[u \quad$, where $u=\mathbb{E}$.

P roof. The idea is very sm ple: any space is a direct sum of one-dim ensional spaces, any onedim ensional space is isom onphic to $C$, therefore $F$ and $U$ are com pletely characterized by their action on $C$. Let us go through the detail to see how the form alism works.

1. Let A be an arbitrary nite-dim ensionalH ilbert space. E lem ents of A m ay be associated with morphism sC! A. An prthonorm albasis corresponds to a set ofm orphism sin C ! A such that $\underset{k}{y}{ }_{j}={ }_{k j} i d_{C}$ and ${ }_{j}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}^{y}=i d_{A}$. Since $F$ is a unitary functor,
j
The same is true for the functor $H=\mathbb{F}$ (C) $]. W$ e de ne a monphism $h_{A}: F(A)!H(A)$ as follow s :

$$
h_{A}={ }_{j}^{X} H(j) h_{C} F(j)^{y} ;
$$

where $h_{C}: F(C)!H(C)=F(C) \quad C$ is an equality (indeed, $X=X \quad C$ for any space $X$ ). It is obvious that $h_{A}^{Y} h_{A}=i d_{F}(A)$ and $h_{A} h_{A}^{Y}=i d_{H}(A)$, hence $h_{A}$ is a unitary isom orphism. To show that $h$ is natural, consider another object $A^{0} w$ th an orthonorm albasis $f{ }_{j}^{0} g$ and a linear $m \operatorname{ap} f={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{jk}} \underset{\mathrm{j}}{0} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{\mathrm{y}}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{jk}} 2 \mathrm{C}$. It is easy to check that

$$
h_{A} \circ F(f)=\int_{j ; k}^{X} C_{j k} H\binom{0}{j} h_{C} F\left(k_{k}\right)^{\mathrm{y}}=H(f) h_{A}:
$$

2. Let $G=[G \quad]$ and $H=\mathbb{H} \quad$ ]. For an arbitrary ob ject $A$ w ith an orthonorm al basis $f{ }_{j}$ g we have $U_{A} G(j)=H\left({ }_{j}\right) U_{C}$ (due to the naturality of $U$ ). Hence

A dding another level of abstraction, we can reform ulate P roposition E 21 as follow s : The category Fun ${ }^{y}$ ( H ilb; H ibl ) of unitary functors from H ilb to Hib is isom orphic to the category H ilb. (O ne m ay replace $H$ ibl by the category of nite-dim ensional com plex linear spaces, om itting the unitarity condition.) By analogy, we obtain the follow ing result.

Proposition E.22. The category $\mathrm{Fun}^{Y}\left(\mathrm{Vec}_{M}^{Y} ; \mathrm{Vec}_{N}^{Y}\right)$ is isom onphic to the category M at $t_{N}^{Y} ; M$ whose objects are $N \quad M \quad m$ atrices of nite-dim ensional $H$ ilbert spaces $w$ ith a nite num ber of nonzero entries in each colum $n$ and whose $m$ orphism s are entryw ise linear $m$ aps between such $m$ atrices. (If $M$ is nite, then $M$ at $t_{N ; M}^{Y}=V e c_{N ~ M ~}^{Y}$.)
E.7.2 Fusion in categorical term s. Fusion theory $m$ ay be form ulated as additionalstructure on the category $C=V_{\text {ec }}^{Y}$. Let us assum e that the the label set $M$ contains a special elem ent 1 and that $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{abc}},{ }_{\mathrm{a}}$, a are de ned and satisfy the pentagon and triangle equations as well as unitarity conditions. If in addition $M$ is nite and the duality axiom on page 74 holds, then the resulting construction is called unitary fusion category. (For a generalde nition of fusion category se Ref. [30].)

The use of categorical form alism has $m$ athem atical as well as physical m otivation. Let A and $B$ be spatially con ned excitations such that $A$ is located on the left ofB. Each excitation $m$ ay be described by an ob ject in the category C. If we consider both excitations together, we w ill obtain a new ob ject, A 2 B, which may be called \physical tensor product". If A and B do not have local degrees of freedom, i.e., $A=[a], B=[b]$, then $(A 2 B)_{c}=V_{c}^{a b} . A$ nice property of the physical tensor product is that (A $2 B$ ) $2 C=A 2$ ( $22 C$ ) (provided A, B, and $C$ are arranged on the line in that particular order). $M$ athem atically, the operation 2 is only associative up to a canonical isom orphism, but we will nd som e abstract representation of ob jects in which the isom onphism becom es an equality.

The tensor product of two ob jects, A; B 2 C is de ned by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A 2 B)_{c}={\underset{a ; b}{M} V_{c}^{a b} \quad A_{a} \quad B_{b}: ~}_{\text {: }} \tag{259}
\end{equation*}
$$

This operation is neither com $m$ utative nor a priory associative. D i erent ways to $\mathrm{m} u$ ultiply several ob jects m ay be described by parenthesis structures, or trees. For exam ple,

[a]2
[b] $2[c]{ }_{u}={ }_{e}^{M} V_{e}^{a b} V_{u}^{e c}:$
The operation 2 is a functor, $m$ eaning that for any $m$ onphism $s f: A!A^{0}$ and $g: B!B^{0}$ there is a monphism f $2 \mathrm{~g}: \mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{~B}!\mathrm{A}^{0} 2 \mathrm{~B}^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{2} f_{1}\right) 2\left(g_{2} g_{1}\right)=\left(f_{2} 2 g_{2}\right)\left(f_{1} 2 g_{1}\right) ; \quad \operatorname{id}_{\mathrm{A}} 2 \operatorname{id}_{\mathrm{B}}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{~B}}: \tag{260}
\end{equation*}
$$

 W emay de ne a untary isom onphism between (A 2 B ) 2 C and A 2 ( B 2 C ):
where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\mathrm{abc}}$ is the associativity m ap (177). Sim ilarly,

$$
\text { A : A ! A } 2 \text { [1]; A : A ! [1]2 A }
$$

(262)
(the de nition is obvious). It is im portant that the isom onphism $S F_{A ; B ;}, \quad A, A$ are natural, ie., for any monphism sf:A! $A^{0}, g: B!B^{0}$, and $h: C!C^{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{A} \circ f=\left(f 2 \operatorname{id}_{[1]}\right)_{A} ; \quad A_{A}=\left(\operatorname{id}_{[1]} 2 \mathrm{f}\right)_{A}: \tag{263}
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition E.23. The functor property (260) and the naturality (263) im ply allquadrilateral identities of the tree calculus.

Indeed, there are two kinds of such identities. In the exam ple shown in F ig. 17 b , one of the com $m$ uting $m$ oves occurs inside a subtree descending from the other. The innerm ove plays the role of $f$ in the naturality condition. If both $m$ oves occur in disjoint subtrees, one should use the functor property.
E.7.3 M ain de nitions. Am ong things we are going to de ne, tensor category is just a general form ulation of properties of the operation 2 . Tensor functor is a new concept though . For an elem entary but im portant exam ple, let the labeltypes and fusion spaces be xed but the associativity constraints vary. G iven two sets of associativity constraints, we $m$ ay ask whether they are equivalent up to a basis change, cf. Eqs. (239) and (240). This type of equivalence is a special case of tensor fiunctor. N ote that two basis transform ations $m$ ay di er by trivial factors, cf. Eq. (242); the corresponding tensor functors are said to be naturally isom onphic.

Denition E.24. A tensor category $\hat{C}$ is a category $C$ endowed w th a functor 2 : $C \quad C!C$, a special ob ject 12 C , and natural isom onphism s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C}}:(\mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{~B}) 2 \mathrm{C} \text { ! A } 2 \text { (B2C); A : A ! A } 2 \text { 1; A }: \text { A ! } 12 \text { A } \tag{264}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that the follow ing diagram s (variants of the pentagon equation in Fig. 17a and the triangle equation in Fig.19a) com mute:



The tensor category $\hat{C}$ is called strict if $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{B} ; \mathrm{C},}$ A, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$ are equalities. It is called sem isim ple if the base category $C$ is $V e c_{M}$. It is called unitary if $C=V e c_{M}^{Y}$, the functor 2 is unitary, and the isom onphism s F , , are unitary.

Sem isim ple tensor categories adm it a com pact description in term sof \basic data", which is just slightly more general than that of a fusion theory. Indeed, by analogy w ith P ropositionsE 21 and E 22 one can show that any functor oftwo argum ents, 2 : $\mathrm{Vec}_{\mathrm{M}}$ Vequ ! Vecm is given by a set of nite-dim ensional spaces $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. H ow ever, the unit ob ject is not necessarily $\operatorname{sim} p l e$, an exam ple being the category $M$ at $t_{m}$ or $M a t_{M ; M}^{Y}$ w th the $m u l t i p$ lication rule and the unit (256). (N ote that these tensor categories are strict.) In physical term $s$, the nonsim plicity of the unit $m$ eans that the vacuum is not unique; thus sim ple ob jects in $M$ at $t_{M ; M}^{y}$ are boundaries between vacua.

De nition E.25. Let $\hat{C}=\left(C ; 2 ; 1 ; F ; ~ ; ~ a n d ~ C^{0}=\left(C^{0} ; 2^{0} ; 1^{0} ; F^{0} ;{ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)\right.$ be tensor categories. $\hat{A}$ tensor functor $\hat{G}: \hat{C}!\hat{C}^{0}$ is a functor $G$ betw een the corresponding base categories, plus two natural isom orphism s ${ }^{28}$

$$
A ; B: G(A) 2^{0} G(B)!G(A 2 B) ; \quad: 1^{0}!G(1) ;
$$

(267)
such that the the follow ing diagram s com $m$ ute:




The tensor functor $\hat{G}=(G ;$; ) is called unitary if all three of its com ponents are unitary.
This de nition seem $s$ complicated, but basically it says the follow ing: we $m$ ay identify $G(A 2 B)$ with $G(A) 2^{\circ} G(B)$ and $G(1) w$ th $1^{0}$ (by means of and ) so that

Rem ark E.26. A lax tensor functor is de ned likew ise, but $A_{B}$ and are not required to be isom onphism s . In physics, this construction describes a situation in which theory $\hat{\mathrm{C}}$ is obtained from $\hat{C}^{0}$ as a result of $B$ ose-condensation, cf. note 9 on page 44.

Denition E.27. A monphism between two tensor functors, $\hat{G}=(\mathrm{G} ; ~ ; ~)$ and $\hat{H}=\left(\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{~}^{\prime}\right)$ is a naturalm orphism $h: G!H$ satisfying these additional com $m$ utation relations:

(270)

[^22]The monphism $h$ is called a (unitary) isom onphism if all the $m a p s h_{X}$ are (unitary) isom orphisms.

Let us see how these de nitions work for fusion theories. Let $C$ and $C^{0}$ have labelsets $M$ and $M^{0}$, respectively. We already know that an arbitrary functor $G: C!C^{0}$ is described by som e $m$ atrix ( $G_{a^{0}}^{a}$ : a $2 \mathrm{M} ; \mathrm{a}^{0} 2 \mathrm{M}^{0}$ ) of nite-dim ensional H ilbert spaces. Then the supplem entary com ponents of the tensor functor, and are characterized by som e unitary linearm aps

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { c2 M } \tag{271}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that since the original (themap form $1^{0}$ to $\left.G(1)\right)$ is an isom orphism, $G{ }_{a^{0}}^{1}=0$ if $a^{0} 1^{0}$. Eqs. (268) and (269) im pose certain algebraic relations on ${ }_{\mathrm{c}^{0}}$ and. A unitary isom onphism between two tensor functors is described by a set of unitary $m$ aps $h_{a^{0}}^{a}: G_{a^{0}}^{a}!H_{a^{0}}^{a}$ satisfying the equations that follow from Eq. (270) .

Retuming to the exam ple of basis transform ations, let $C=C^{0}, 2=2^{0}$, but possibly $F \in F^{0},{ }^{0} \in \quad,{ }^{0} \in$. Thuswe $x$ the labeltypes and fiusion spaces while allow ing di erent associativity relations. Let us further assum $e$ that $G$ is the identity functor, ie., $G_{a}^{a}=C$ and $G_{a^{0}}^{a}=0$ if $a^{0} a$. In this case, ${ }_{c}^{a b}$ is just a unitary operator acting in the space $V_{c}^{a b}=\left(V^{0}\right)_{c}^{a b}$, and is a phase factor. Then Eqs. (268) and (269) becom e (239) and (240), respectively. In this setting, an isom onphism between two tensor functors is described by unital com plex num bers $h_{a}$ satisfying Eq. (242) .
E.7.4 The em bedding theorem . Now, we will see the \abstract nonsense" in action. W hile we $m$ anipulate $w$ ith de nitions, som e com binatorial $m$ agic happens behind the soenes. B asically, we tum pentagons into rectangles; this process $m$ ay be viewed as a proof that the 2-skeleton of the Stashe polytope is sim ply connected.
Theorem E.28. For any tensor category $\hat{C}=(C ; 2 ; 1 ; F ; ~ ; ~) ~ t h e r e ~ e x i s t s ~ a ~ s t r i c t ~ t e n s o r ~ c a t-~$ egory $\hat{C}^{0}=\left(C^{0} ; 2^{0} ; 1^{0}\right)$ and a faithfultensor functor $\hat{G}=(G ; ~ ;)$ from $\hat{C}$ to $\hat{C}^{0}$ (where $\backslash$ faithful" $m$ eans that the im ages of distinct $m$ onphism $s$ are also distinct).
Proof (borrowed from Ref. [94]). Let $C^{0}$ be the category of functors from $C$ to itself, $2^{\circ}$ the operation of com posing two functors (usually denoted by ), and 9 the identity functor. We assum e that functors act on the left, i.e., $(X \quad Y)(W) \xlongequal{\text { def }} X(Y)$ ( $W$ ). For any object $X$ we set
 also be de ned in term s of its action on a test object $W$ :

Finally, we de ne

$$
\text { : idc ! [12]; }(\mathbb{W}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \text { W :W ! } 12 \mathrm{~W}:
$$

N ow we sim ply rew rite Eqs. (268) and (269), applying them to W :

$T$ hese are the conditions we need to check. But the rst and the second of them are identical to Eqs. (264) and (265), respectively. T he third condition is a di erent type of triangle equation, which is shown in Fig. 19b. It follow s from the standard one by Lem ma E 2.

To see that the functor $G$ is faithful, we set $W=1$ and observe that a morphism $h: X!Y$ is mapped to $G(h)(1)=h 2$ id $_{1}: X 21$ ! Y 2 1. Thus,

$$
y^{1} \quad G(h)(1) \quad x=h
$$

(due to the naturality of ). It follow s that distinct $m$ onphism $s$ rem ain distinct.
$N$ ote that if $C=V e C_{M}^{y}$, then $C^{0}=M$ at $H_{M}^{Y}$. The functor $G$ takes a simple object a to the $m$ atrix whose $[b ; c]$ entry is $V_{c}^{a b}$.
E.7.5 B raiding. Let us be brief and just give som e de nitions.

Denition E.29. A braiding in a tensor category $\hat{C}=(\mathrm{C} ; 2 ; 1 ; \mathrm{F} ;$; ) is a collection of isom onphism s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{A} ; B}: \mathrm{A} 2 \mathrm{~B}!\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{~A} \tag{272}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are natural $w$ th respect to $A$ and $B$, ie., for any monphism $s f: A!A^{0}, g: B!B^{0}$ this square com $m$ utes:

It is also required that the follow ing diagram $s$ are com $m$ utative:


Den ition E.30. A braided tensor functor is a tensor functor that com $m$ utes $w$ ith braiding. M ore exactly, let $\hat{C}=(C ; 2 ; 1 ; F ; ~)$ and $\hat{C}^{0}=\left(C^{0} ; 2^{0} ; 1^{0} ; F^{0} ;{ }^{0} ;{ }^{0}\right)$ be tensor categories furnished w ith braidings $R$ and $R^{0}$, respectively. A tensor functor $\hat{G}=(G ; ~ ;)$ from $\hat{C}$ to $\hat{C}^{0}$ is called braided if the follow ing diagram com $m$ utes:


E xam ple E.31. Let $\hat{C}$ be an arbitrary braided tensor category and let $\hat{\mathrm{C}}^{0}$ be de ned as follow s:

$$
C^{0}=C ; A 2{ }^{0} B=B 2 A ; \quad 1^{0}=1 ; \quad F_{X ; Y ; Z}^{0}=F_{Z ; Y ; X}^{1} ; \quad 0=; \quad 0=; R_{A ; B}^{0}=R_{B ; A}:
$$

Then the identity functor $G=i d_{C}$ supplem ented with $A ; B=R_{A ; B}{ }^{1}$ and $=i d_{1}$ is a braided tensor functor from $\hat{C}$ to $\hat{C}^{0}$. (Weom it the proof.)

## A ppendix F: W eak sym m etry breaking

A com plete, yet to be discovered description of topological quantum order m ust include fusion and braiding rules (characterized by a unitary braided fusion category (UBFC), see A ppendix E) as well as sym $m$ etries of the underlying $m$ icroscopic $H$ am ittonian. The no-sym $m$ etry case was considered in Sec. E.5. On the other hand, in our study of A belian anyons in the honeycom b lattioe m odel (see Sec. 5 2.) we observed a rather strange property: the translation by a lattioe vector interchanges two superselection sectors. This phenom enon may be called a \weak breaking" of the translational sym m etry.

Let us now consider an arbitrary two-dim ensional $m$ any-body system with a sym $m$ etry group G . For sim plicity, we assum e that the system consists of spins (or other bosonic degrees of freedom ) rather than ferm ions. There are severalw ays in which the ground state can break the sym $m$ etry that is present in the $H$ am iltonian. First, the sym $m$ etry $m$ ay be spontaneously broken in the usual sense, i.e., there $m$ ay be a local order param eter. If such an order param eter does not exist, we may look for ner signs of sym $m$ etry breaking, in particular, for nontrivial action of $G$ on superselection sectors. Such an action is described by a hom om orphism $!_{1}: G!\quad{ }_{1}$, where 1 is some nite group de ned below.

B ut even if $G$ does not perm ute the superselection sectors, there is still possibility for subtle sym $m$ etry-breaking properties, som e of which were studied by $W$ en $[95,96]$ under the rubric of \pro jective sym m etry groups" (PSG). W hile W en's approach is rather indirect, one may instead investigate the action of sym $m$ etry transform ations on superselection sectors. For a sim ple exam ple, let us consider the H am iltonian (3) w ith $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}<0$ (note the analogy w ith W en's m odel [96]). The ground state of this $H$ am iltonian $m$ ay be obtained from the $J_{m}>0$ state by putting an $m$-particle on every plaquette (so that any $m$ issing $m$-particle would now be regarded as an excitation). Therefore an e-particle picks up the phase factor 1 when it winds around a plaquette. It follow s that the translations by tw o basis lattioe vectors com $m$ ute up to a $m$ inus sign when we consider their action on the nontrivial superselection sector $e$.

| E ective dim ension in which the sym$m$ etry is broken | M athem atical description | Exam ples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | A local order param eter taking values in the coset space $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{H}$, where $H$ is a subgroup of $G$ | Ferrom agnet; N eel phase |
| 1 | N ontrivial action of the sym metry group on superselection sectors, which is characterized by a hom om orphism ! $1_{1}$ :G ! ${ }_{1}$ | A belian phases $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{y}}, \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}$ in the honeycom b lattice m odel |
| 2 | The action of $G$ on each superselection sector a is described by a central extension $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$; the whole set of extensions is characterized by an elem ent ! $22 H^{2}(B G ; 2)$ | H am ittonian (3) w ith $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{m}}<0$; a num ber ofm odels in [95, 96] |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 | E ective topological action for a G-gauge eld; such actions are classi ed by $\mathrm{H}^{4}$ (B G ; Z ) | Integer quantum H alle ect |

Table 6: Four levels of sym $m$ etry breaking in a two-dim ensional quantum system .
$M$ athem atically, the up-to-sign com $m$ utation $m$ eans that the translationalgroup $G=Z \quad Z$ is replaced by a central extension $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{e}}!\mathrm{G}$ w ith kemel $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$. If general, central extensions are classi ed by the cohom ology group $H^{2}(G ; U(1))$. For each superselection sector a we get an extension $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The whole collection of extensions is characterized by a cohom ology class $!_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathrm{G} ;{ }_{2}\right)$, where 2 is some nite Abelian group (see below).

H ow ever, this is not the end of the story. Even w thout anyonic excitations (or if anyons exist but do not com plicate the group action in any way), the system $m$ ay have nontrivial properties such as the integer quantum H alle ect. T he latter is described by a C hem-Sim ons term in the e ective action for the electrom agnetic eld. D ijkgraaf and W itten [97] showed that a general topological action for a gauge eld in $2+1$ dim ensions is characterized by an elem ent of the cohom ology group $H^{4}(B G ; Z)$, where $B G$ is the classifying space of $G$. (The group $G$ is assum ed to be com pact.) A $n$ analogue of the $C$ hem-Sim ons action exists even for
nite groups. In this case, $B G=K$ ( $G$; 1 ) ( w here $\mathrm{K}(\mathrm{G} ; \mathrm{n}$ ) is the E ilenberg M acLane space) and $H^{4}(B G ; Z)=H^{3}(G ; U(1))$ (where the rst $H$ refers to the topologicalcohom ology and the second to the group cohom ology).

The four-level classi cation of sym $m$ etry-breaking phenom ena is sum $m$ arized in $T a b l e$ 6. It has the avor of topologicalobstruction theory (see e.g. [98]). O nem ay hypothesize that there is a som ehow relevant topological space $Y$ such that $1(Y)=1_{2}, ~(Y)=2, \quad 3(Y)=0$, ${ }_{4}(Y)=Z$, and all higher hom otopy groups vanish. We now argue that the analogy with obstruction theory has precise $m$ athem aticalm eaning in dim ensions 1 and 2.

Suppose that the sym $m$ etry rem ains unbroken in dim ension 0, but can be rem oved (if so is desired) by introducing a sm all perturbation to the H am iltonian. That is obviously possible if the system consists of spins, while the $Z_{2}$ sym $m$ etry associated w ith ferm ions can never be
rem oved. The properties that survive the pertunbation are described by a unitary m odular category (UMC)A and a chiral central charge c, but we ignore the latter.

The action of the sym $m$ etry group $G$ on superselection sectors can be described algebraically as well as topologically. To begin with algebra, consider the 2 -groupoid $=$ Aut (A). It has a single ob ject, the m orphism s are invertible unitary braided tensor fiunctors A! A, and the 2 m onphism $s$ are natural isom onphism $s$ betw een such functors 29 If the functors are considered up to isom orphism S, we obtain the group 1 that wasmentioned above. The Abelian group 2 consists of allautom onphism softhe identity fiunctor. Note that ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ay act on $\quad 2$ in a nontrivial way. The 2 -groupoid is characterized by this action and a cohom ology classh $2 \mathrm{H}^{3}\left({ }_{1} ; 2\right)$.

On the topological side, one can consider the classifying space $X=B$, which is a topological space $w$ ith a basepoint. I claim that $X$ is connected, $1(X)=1_{1}, \quad(X)=2$, and all higher hom otopy groups vanish. Furtherm ore, the action of $G$ on superselection sectors is described by a continuous map f:BG! X de ned up to a hom otopy (som e explanation is given below). O ne $m$ ay ask if $f$ is hom otopic to a constant $m$ ap. The rst obstruction to such a hom otopy is given by a hom otopy class of $m$ aps $!_{1}: B G!K(1 ; 1)$; such classes are in one-to-one correspondence with group hom om orphism s G ! ${ }_{1}$. If this obstruction vanishes, one can de ne ! $2_{2} 2 H^{2}(B G ; 2)=H^{2}(G ; 2)$. If ! ${ }_{2}=0$, then $f$ is hom otopic to identity, or equivalently, the action of $G$ on $A$ istrivial.
$N$ ote that $X$ can be represented as a bration $w$ th base $K(1 ; 1)$ and ber $K(2 ; 2)$. Its structure is characterized by the elem ent h $2 \mathrm{H}^{3}\left({ }_{1} ;{ }_{2}\right)$. If h 0 , then the bration does not have a cross section. In this case, the hom om orphism $!_{1}: G!\quad{ }_{1} \mathrm{~m}$ ust satisfy a certain constraint, nam ely the inverse im age of $h$ by $!_{1} m$ ust vanish. Thus, som e seem ingly possible types ofsym $m$ etry breaking in dim ension 1 m ay be forbidden due to an obstruction in dim ension 2.

In conclusion, I conjecture a \physical" interpretation of the space $X$ and the $m$ ap $f$. Speci cally, X param etrizes quantum states in the universality class described by the unitary $m$ odular category A. Each point of $X$ is associated w ith a set of states that di er from each other locally; thus all nite-energy excitations of a given gapped Ham iltonian are represented by the sam e point. The original unperturbed system corresponds to some $\mathrm{x}_{0} 2 \mathrm{X}$. To de ne the map BG! X, let us assume that G is a compact Lie group which acts on each spin independently according to a faithful representation $G!U(m)$. The H ilbert space of the spin, $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{m}$ ay be em bedded in a large space $\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{M}}$. Each em bedding $u$ has an associated state $g(u) 2 \mathrm{X}$. $T$ he space E of embeddings is contractible in the $\lim$ it $\mathrm{M}!1$, therefore its quotient $w$ ith respect to the natural $G$-action $m$ ay be regarded as a $m$ odel of $B G$. Furtherm ore, $\sin œ x_{0}$ is symmetric, the $m$ ap $g: E!X$ factors through $B G$. Thus the $m a p f: B G!X$ is de ned.

Rem ark F.1. It is not clear how to de ne the \extended classifying space" $Y$ that has nontrivial hom otopy in dim ension 4 . O nem ay con jecture that $Y=X \quad K(Z ; 4)$, but this de nition seem s contrived. It m ay well.be the case that the very idea to classify the C hem-Sim ons action as sym $m$ etry breaking in dim ension 4 is wrong. Indeed, the $C$ hem-Sim ons action is not fully described by hom otopy theory because the fundam entalhom ology class of the source (physical) m anifold is involved.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~N}$ ote the nonstandard use of term inology: in our sense, electron halfm odes in an insulator are paired as well as in a superconductor. The only di erence is that the insulating pairing com $m$ utes $w$ ith the num ber of electrons while the superconducting one doesn't. This distinction is irrelevant to our m odel because the H am iltonian doesn't preserve any integral charge, and the num ber of ferm ions is only conserved modulo 2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{H}$ igher order term sm ay be less signi cant than the dependence of $(E)$ on $E$ in the rst nonconstant term.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Speci cally, there are $2{ }^{n}$ copies of the tw ist eld, which are transform ed according to the fundam ental representation of $\operatorname{Spin}(2 n+1)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4} T$ he displacem ent $m$ ay be realized as the action of on one of the tw o spins at the boundary betw een the hexagons (which changes the eld con guration) followed by an operator of the form exp $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{km}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{m}}$ (which adjusts the ferm ionic subsystem ).

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ A though these conventions are som ew hat arbitrary, the result is invariant (see subsection 8.4.2).

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ This claim can be justi ed as follows. To m ove a particle z from one place to another, we apply a path operator $W_{z}\left(r ; r^{0}\right)$, which acts on the spins in som e neighborhood of the interval $\left[r ; r^{0}\right]$. These operators are de ned in such a way that it does not $m$ atter whether the particle is $m$ oved at once or in several steps (cf. Eq. (68)). W hen several particles are present, we do not let them pass through each other, which im poses a certain restriction on the sequence of operators applied. A $l l$ such sequences are actually equivalent since the path operators for nonoverlapping intervals com $m$ ute.
    ${ }^{7}$ Technically, it should be called \splitting space", whereas the fusion space is its dual, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{xy}}^{\mathrm{Z}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{xy}}$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ In A ppendix 国, we take the liberty to om it the hat from the notation $\mathbb{R}_{z}^{x y}$. This should not cause confusion because we do not consider m atrix elem ents there.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~N}$ o rigorous precision analysis w as attem pted, but the error in this and the other gures is expected not to exceed 1 \{2 units of the least signi cant digit.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~W}$ e are using the rst quantization form alism, therefore \states" are just supenpositions of lattice points.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~N}$ ote for experts: we are e ectively trying to build a K -theory on a m anifold by considering functions that are not continuous, but rather constant on cells that are dual to sim plices. This seem $s$ to be an aw kw ard approach, but its possible advantage is the relation to a second-quantized case, see A ppendix D.

[^10]:    ${ }^{12}$ Indeed, the function $f(x)=$ sgn $x$ can be approxim ated by a sequence ofpolynom ials $p_{n}(x)$ ofdegree $n!1$ which converges exponentially on the spectrum of $T$. Therefore $k P \quad p_{n}(T) k<a b^{n}$ for some $b<1$. On the other hand, the $m$ atrix elem ents $p_{n}(T){ }_{j k}$ vanish if $j \mathrm{j} k j>n L$.

[^11]:    ${ }^{13}$ A nother name of this object is \sim plicial 2 -chain". M ore exactly, the 2 -chain is the form al sum $j<k<1 h_{j k l} j k l$, where $j k l$ is a combinatorial sim plex.

[^12]:    ${ }^{14}$ It is im portant that any $m$ atrix product that occurs in the problem has a trace satisfying the cyclic property.

[^13]:    ${ }^{15}$ This notation is rem in iscent of the form ula $A()=e^{H} A e^{H}$, but the latter $m$ ay be problem atic to use because e ${ }^{H} \exp \left(H^{(1)}\right)$ diverges as ! 1 . Thus the expression $A() B(0)$ should be view ed as a whole.
    ${ }^{16}$ Som e care should be taken to m ake a proper connection betw een the physics of edge m odes and the the CFT form alism. If all edge $m$ odes have the sam e chirality, characters correspond to representations of som e chiral algebra (as is usual in CFT). In general, the vacuum character 1 is de ned as a sum over all elds with trivialm onodrom $y$ whereas a includes all elds that occur in the two-dim ensional theory when an anyon of type a em erges on the surface (see Fig. (gb on page 29).

[^14]:    ${ }^{17}$ For lattice $m$ odels, one should consider the transform ation ( $j ;$ ) ! ( $j ; \quad+j$ ). It should be possible to give an exact quantum $-m$ echanicalinterpretation of such a reparam etrization by coupling the discrete derivative $j k=j k$ to the energy current operator $\left.\hat{f}_{j k}=i \not H_{j} ; H_{k}\right], w h e r e H_{j}, H_{k}$ are localterm $s$ in the $H$ am iltonian. Such coupling is unam biguously de ned only in the rst order in $j k$, but that does not a ect the therm odynam ic lim it.
    ${ }^{18}$ A rigorous and com pletely general upper bound for the group velocity was obtained by Lieb and R obinson [77]. It is consistent $w$ ith the rough estim ate $v$. rJ , where $J$ is the inter-spin interaction strength and $r$ is its range.

[^15]:    ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~N}$ ote for experts (the general reader shouldn't worry about this) : a fusion category is a k -linear sem isim ple rigid tensor category w ith nite-dim ensionalm onphism spaces and nitely many sim ple ob jects such that End $(1)=k$, see [30]. We take $k=C$. A unitary fiusion category is autom atically pivotal, spherical, and nondegenerate. In the presence ofbraiding, these properties im ply the existence of a ribbon tw ist.
    ${ }^{20}$ The term \m odular" refers to the possibility to de ne a H ibert space associated w ith a torus, on which the $m$ odular group acts. M ore generally, one can construct a so-called m odular functor and a topological quantum eld theory [67, 68, 79] (but we do not cover these topics).

[^16]:    ${ }^{21} \mathrm{~T}$ his notation is not standard, but it is convenient for calculations. In the notation used in [68, 79, 80], cups and caps are not decorated but each particle has a ctitious degree of freedom : it is considered as either a going up or a going dow $n$. This prevents noninvariant phases from appearing in form ulas.

[^17]:    ${ }^{22}$ In category theory, $\left\{_{a}\right.$ is not a scalar but rather a m onphism from $a$ to $a$, which are regarded as di erent (albeit isom orphic) ob jects. Thus the arbitrariness goes aw ay, but the Frobenius-Schur indicator has to be de ned in a m ore com plicated fashion.

[^18]:    ${ }^{23}$ Eqs. (226), (228) were rst obtained by $P$ asquier for statistical $m$ odels and conform al theories associated w ith D ynkin diagrams [100]. A general derivation in the CFT fram ew ork is due to Verlinde [62].

[^19]:    ${ }^{24}$ Etingof, N ikshych, and O strik call it \Yetter cohom ology" in recognition of Yetter's further work in this area.
    ${ }^{25} \mathrm{~T}$ he direction of these m aps is chosen to be consistent w ith the de nition of a tensor functor (see De ni tion E 25).

[^20]:    ${ }^{26}$ To be rigorous, $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\text {abc }}$ acts in the space ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ab}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\text {ec }}$, the representation of $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{u}}^{\text {abc }}$ corresponding to the ((ab)c) label grouping. But that is not so im portant as we alw ays identify di erent groupings ( $=$ trees) using F .

[^21]:    ${ }^{27}$ Them ore scienti c nam e for this creature is $C^{m}-m$ od- $C^{m}$, the category of nite-dim ensionalbim odules over the algebra $C^{m}$ (the product of $m$ copies of C).

[^22]:    ${ }^{28} \mathrm{~T}$ he direction of arrow s is a convention, which is slightly inconvenient for our purposes.

[^23]:    ${ }^{29}$ A s explained to $m$ e by E zra G etzler, higher groupoids $m$ ay be nicely de ned as $K$ an com plexes [99]; this approach also allow s one to endow the morphism sets w th topology. In the de nition of no topology is assum ed. It would be interesting to see what changes (if anything) when a natural topology is included.

