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#### Abstract

W e show that a Bose E instein condensate w ith a vortex lattice in a rotating an isotropic harm on ic potential exhibits a very low frequency scissors $m$ ode. The appearance of this $m$ ode is due to the $S O$ (2) sym m etry-breaking introduced by the vortex lattice and, as a consequence of this, its frequency tends to zero $w$ th the trap anisotropy , with a generic ${ }^{-}$dependence. We present analytical form ulas giving them ode frequency in the low lim it and we show that them ode frequency for som e class of vortex lattices can tend to zero as or faster. $W$ e dem onstrate that the standard classical hydrodynam ics approach fails to reproduce this low frequency mode, because it does not contain the discrete structure of the vortex lattice.


PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi , $02.70 . \mathrm{Ss}$

Since their rst observations in 1995 [1, 2], the trapped gaseous atom ic B ose $£$ instein condensates have been the sub ject of intense experim ental [3] and theoretical [4] investigation. A fruitfulline of studies has been the m easurem ent of the eigenm ode frequencies of the B ose E instein condensate, which can be perform ed $w$ th a very high precision and therefore constitutes a stringent test of the theory. O fparticular interest in the context of super uidity are the scissors m odes, rst introduced in nuclear physics [5] and occurring in quantum gases stored in a w eakly anisotropic harm onic trap. These scissors m odes have been studied theoretically [6] and observed experim entally [7] in a non-rotating gas, both in a condensate (where they have a large frequency even in the lim it of a vanishing trap asym $m$ etry) and in a classicalgas (where their frequency tends to zero in a vanishing trap asymmetry lim it).

A nother fruitful line of studies has been the investigation of rotating B ose $E$ instein condensates: above a critical rotation frequency of the anisotropic trap, it was observed experim entally that vortioes enter the condensate and settle into a regular lattioe [8, [1, 10]. In this paper, we study theoretically the scissors modes of a Bose E instein condensate w ith several vortices present, a study m otivated by the discovery of a low frequency scissors $m$ ode in three-dim ensional sim ulations in [11]. In section [1, we de ne the m odel and the proposed experim ent, and we present results from a num erical integration of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation: condensates $w$ th several vortices present have a scissors m ode w ith a very low frequency, unlike non-rotating condensates. W e show in section that the equations of classical hydrodynam ics, often used to describe condensates w th a very large num ber of vortiges [12], fail to reproduce our num erical experim ent. Then, in the central part of our paper, section III, we interpret this $m$ ode in term $s$ of a rotational sym $m$ etry breaking due to the presence of the vortioes, which gives rise for $=0$ to a Goldstone m ode of zero energy, becom ing for nite a low frequency scissors $m$ ode and this leads to an explanation for the failure of the classical hydrodynam ics approxim ation. W e then use B ogoliubov theory in section IV to obtain an upper bound on the frequency on the scissors $m$ ode, which reveals that tw o situations $m$ ay occur: in what we call the non-degenerate case, the frequency of the scissors $m$ ode tends to zero as the square root of the trap anisotropy, and we show w th perturbation theory that the upper bound gives the exact result in this lim it. In the opposite degenerate case, the frequency of the scissors $m$ ode tends to zero at least linearly in the trap anisotropy, and this we ilhustrate $w$ ith a num erical calculation of the $m$ ode frequency for a three-vortex con guration. $W$ e conclude in section $V$.

## I. M ODELAND A NUMERICALSIMULATION

W e consider a weakly interacting quasitw o-dim ensional (2D ) B ose E instein condensate in an anisotropic harm onic trap rotating at the angular frequency [13]. In the rotating fram $e$, the trapping potential is static and given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x ; y)=\frac{1}{2} m!^{2} \quad(1 \quad) x+(1+) y^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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FIG. 1: For an initial stationary condensate with vortices, num erical sim ulation of the excitation procedure of the scissors $m$ ode, by rotation of the trap by 10 degrees: density $m$ aps at successive tim es. Top row : 4-vortex con guration, w ith $\mathrm{N}=2500$ atom $s$ and a trap rotation frequency $=0: 7$ !, resulting in , $4: 6 \sim$ !; the oscillation period is 265 ! ${ }^{1}$ and the fram es are separated by one quarter of a period. B ottom row : 10-vortex con guration, with $\mathrm{N}=6000$ atom s and a trap rotation frequency $=0: 8$ !, resulting in , $5: 9 \sim$ ! ; the oscillation period is $245!^{1}$ and the fram es are separated by one quarter of a period. T he trap an isotropy is $=0: 025$, the coupling constant is $g=0: 0343 \sim^{2}=\mathrm{m}$. W e have watched these oscillations w ithout seeing signi cant dam ping over 7 periods.
where $m$ is the $m$ ass of an atom, $>0$ is the trap anisotropy and ! is the $m$ ean oscillation frequency of the atom $s$. From now on, we shall alw ays rem ain in the rotating fram e.
$T$ he condensate is in itially in a stationary state of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sim^{2}}{2 m}+g j j^{2}+U \quad L_{z} \quad=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the 2 D coupling constant describing the atom ic interactions [14], is the chem ical potential, is the condensate eld norm alized to the num ber of particles $N$ and $L_{z}=x p_{y} \quad y p$ is the angular $m$ om entum operator along z . In this paper, we shall concentrate on the case that the rotation frequency is large enough so that several vortices are present in the eld ,form ing a regular array.
$T$ he standard procedure to excite the scissors $m$ ode is to rotate abruptly the trapping potential by a sm all angle and to keep it stationary afterw ards. This is theoretically equivalent to abruptly rotating the eld by the angle while keeping the trap unpertunbed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t=0^{+}\right)=e^{i L_{z}=\sim} \quad(t=0): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subsequent evolution of is given by the tim e dependent $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation .
$W$ e have solved this tim e dependent $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation num erically, $w$ ith the FFT splitting techniques detailed in [14], the initial state being obtained by the conjugate gradient m ethod [15]. The results for a 4 -vortex and a 10-vortex con gurations are shown in gure 1 for $=0: 025$, for an initial rotation of the trapping potential by an angle of 10 degrees: we see a sm all am plitude slow oscillation of the vortex lattioe as a whole around the new axis of the trap, accom panied by weak intemal oscillations of the lattice and of the condensate. This suggests that, in this low lim it, the excitation procedure $m$ ainly excites the scissors $m$ ode, and that this scissors $m$ ode has a very low frequency, m uch lower than the trap frequency!.
II. CLASSICALHYDRODYNAM ICS

In the approxim ation of a coarse-grained vorticity, a condensate $w$ ith $m$ any vortioes is described by a density pro le $(r)$ and a velocity eld $v(r)$ solving the classical hydrodynam ics equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(@_{t}+\mathrm{v} \operatorname{grad}\right) \mathrm{v} & =\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{grad}(\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{g}) \quad 2 \quad \mathrm{v}  \tag{4}\\
@_{\mathrm{t}}+\text { div } \mathrm{v} & =0: \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he rst equation is Euler's equation in the rotating fram $e$, including the $C$ oriolis and centrifigal forces. T he second one is the continuly equation.
$T$ he velocity eld $v$ is the velocity eld of the uid in the rotating fram e. In a stationary state, we set $v=0$ which am ounts to assum ing the solid body velocity eld $r$ in the lab fram $e$. The corresponding stationary density pro le is given by a quadratic ansatz:

$$
0(x ; y)=\frac{m}{g}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1} x^{2} & a_{2} y^{2} \tag{6}
\end{array}\right):
$$

From Eq.(4) one then nds

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1 ; 2}=\frac{1}{2}!^{2}(1 \quad) \quad 2: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hat happens after the rotation of the density pro le by a sm all angle? To answer this question analytically, we linearize the hydrodynam ics equations around the steady state, setting $=0+$ and $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{v}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
@_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{v} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \text { grad } \mathrm{g} \quad 2 \mathrm{v}  \tag{8}\\
@_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{div} 0 \mathrm{v} & =0: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

At timet $=0^{+}, \quad v=0$ and, to rst order in the rotation angle, $=2 \mathrm{~m} x y\left(a \quad a_{2}\right)=g$. The subsequent evolution is given by the tim e dependent polynom ial ansatz:

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{m}{g}[c(t) \quad r \quad A(t) r]  \tag{10}\\
v & =B(t) r \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A(t)$ is a tim e-dependent 22 sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix and $B(t)$ is a tim e-dependent 22 generalm atrix. These $m$ atrices evolve according to

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathrm{A}= & \mathrm{A} \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{t}) & \mathrm{A} & \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{t}) & { }^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{A} \\
\mathrm{~B}-=2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{t})+2 & 0 & 1 & \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

where we have set $A=\begin{array}{cc}a_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{2}\end{array}$. The constant term evolves as $\underline{c}+\operatorname{Tr} B=0$.
First, onem ay look for eigen frequencies of the system solved by $A$ and $B$. For $=0$, thism ay be done analytically [16]: one nds one m ode of zero frequency, and 6 m odes of non-zero frequencies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2!;\left(2!^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{1=2}+\quad i \quad{ }^{h} \quad\left(2!^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad \text { i }: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this stage, the presence of a zero energy $m$ ode for vanishing anisotropy looks prom ising to explain the low frequency of the scissorsm ode. At weak but non-zero, how ever, a num ericaldiagonalization of the resulting 7 m atrix show s that the zero frequency is unchanged, whereas the others change very little. A nalytically, one then easily nds the zero-firequency $m$ ode for arbitrary :

$$
A=\quad A \quad B=\begin{gather*}
0  \tag{15}\\
a_{1}
\end{gather*} 0^{a_{2}}
$$

W hy is there such a zero-frequency mode in the classical hydrodynam ics? $W$ e have found that this is because there a continuous branch of stationary solutions of the classical hydrodynam ics equations param etrized by two real numbers and :

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =y  \tag{16}\\
g=m & =a x^{2} \quad a y^{2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{+}=a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}  \tag{18}\\
& a=a_{2}++\frac{1}{2}: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

These real num bers are not independent since they have to satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(+)+2 a_{1}+2 a_{2}=0: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a second degree equation for at a given so it can be solved explicitly，giving rise to two branches．O ne of them contains the $v=0$ stationary solution as a particular case，with $=0$ ；it term inates in a point where $a_{+}=a=0$. Each stationary solution on this branch has a zero－frequency mode．

So what happens after the scissors mode excitation，in the classical hydrodynam ics approxim ation？We have num erically integrated the linearized equations Eqs．（12，13）w ith the initialconditions speci ed above these equations （see gure（2）．We nd a scissors mode oscillation，however at a large frequency close to the $=0$ prediction $=\left(2!^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)^{1=2} \quad$ ，in disagreem ent $w$ ith the sim ulations of the previous section［17］．


FIG．2：In the linearized classical hydrodynam ics approxim ation，angle of the long axis of the condensate with the $x$ axis of the trap，as a function of time，after the stationary density pro le was tumed abruptly by a sm all angle．Here $=0: 025$ and $=0: 8!$ ．No low frequency oscillation appears：the $m$ ain oscillation frequency is $0: 37!$ ，in agreem ent with the low est frequency of the $=0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it given by Eq．（14）．

## III．ROTATIONALSYMMETRYBREAKING：FROM GOLDSTONEMODETOSCISSORSMODE

H aving failed to nd the scissorsm ode of section $⿴ 囗 ⿰ 丿 ㇄$ it and tum to a quantum treatm ent of the problem．C onsider a stationary solution of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation w ith a vortex lattioe present，in the case of an isotropic trap，$=0$ ．This solution clearly breaks the rotational sym $m$ etry $S O$（2）of the $H$ am iltonian．Since this is a continuous sym $m$ etry group，$G$ oldstone＇s theorem guarantees us the existence of a degree of freedom behaving as a massive boson［18］．In particular，this im plies the existence of a zero energy eigenm ode of the condensate，corresponding to the rotation of the wave function in real space．T he \m ass＂of the G oldstone boson can be show $n$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=@ \quad L_{\mathrm{z}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable conjugate to the $G$ oldstone $m$ om entum $P$ has the physicalm eaning of being a rotation angle of the lattice $w$ ith respect to a reference direction．N ote that a quantum spreading of this angular variable takes place w hen tim e proceeds，as a consequence of the G oldstone H am iltonian $\mathrm{P}^{2}=2 \mathrm{M}$ ．

N ow，for a non－zero value of the trap anisotropy，there is no $S O$（2）sym $m$ etry any longer in the $H$ am iltonian，so that the $G$ oldstone $m$ ode is tumed into a regular $m$ ode of the condensate，behaving as a harm on ic oscillator $w$ ith a nite frequency．This $m$ eans that the variable now experiences a potential．A $n$ intuitive estim ate of this potential is obtained by taking the stationary solution，rotating it by an angle and calculating its G ross P taevskiienergy in the presence of a trap anisotropy：

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{P^{2}}{2 M}+V() \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we keep only the dependent part of the energy：

$$
\begin{equation*}
V()=\frac{1}{2} m!^{2} \quad h x^{2} \quad Y^{2} i(1 \quad \cos 2)+2 h x y i \sin 2 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where h: ::i= R ::: . We note in passing that the fact that is a localm inim um ofenergy im poses that $V() \quad 0$ for all rotation angle so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h x y i=  \tag{24}\\
h x^{2} & y^{2} i \tag{25}
\end{align*} \quad 0:
$$

Q uadratising V ( ) around $=0$ leads to the prediction that the angle $h$ i oscillates $w$ hen in itially its value is di erent from zero. The resulting $m$ otion of the condensate is an oscillation of the lattice as a whole, i. e. a scissors $m$ ode with an angular frequency:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\text { scissors }}{2}, \frac{2 m!^{2} h x^{2} y^{2} i}{@ h L_{z} i}: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his results in a ${ }^{p-}$ dependence of the m ode frequency in the case when $h x^{2} \quad Y^{2} i$ does not vanish in the low lim it. It is now clear why classical hydrodynam ics does not exhibit this low energy m ode: since it does not break SO (2) sym $m$ etry, the corresponding $G$ oldstone $m$ ode is absent and so the low energy scissors $m$ ode does not appear. W e note that, in cases where SO (2) sym $m$ etry breaking would occur in the hydrodynam ics equations, the scissors $m$ ode frequency would be predicted to tend to zero, even if the $m$ otion of the particles is not quantized; this was indeed show $n$ by an explicit solution of the super uid hydrodynam ic equation for a rotating vortex-free condensate exhibiting rotational sym $m$ etry breaking and the ${ }^{-}$dependence of the scissors $m$ ode frequency was seen experim entally [IS].
IV. ANALYTICALRESULTSFROM BOGOLIUBOV THEORY
A. Technicalities of B ogoliub ov theory

A system atic way of calculating the eigen frequencies of the condensate is sim ply to linearize the tim e dependent G ross $P$ itaevskii equation around a stationary solution, taking as unknown the vector ( ; ) where is the deviation of the eld from its stationary value. O ne then faces the follow ing operator,
 for is an eigenstate of this operator, and the corresponding eigenvalue over ~ gives the frequency of the $m$ ode.
At this stage, a technical problem appears, com ing from the fact that the operator $L_{G P}$ is not diagonalizable [20]. This can be circum vented by using the num ber-conserving B ogoliubov theory, in which the eigenm odes of the condensate are the eigenvectors of the follow ing operator:

$$
L=\begin{array}{ccccc}
Q & 0 & L_{G P} & Q & 0  \tag{28}\\
0 & Q & 0 & Q
\end{array}
$$

where Q pro jects orthogonally to the condensate wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{N}^{1=2}}{} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and Q therefore pro jects orthogonally to . W e shall assum e here that the operator $L$ is diagonalizable for non-zero values of the trap anisotropy. We shall see in the next subsection that it is in general not diagonalizable for $=0$ when several vortioes are present.

O ne then introduces the eigenm odes of $L$, written as $\left(u_{k} ; v_{k}\right)$, such that ${ }^{R} j_{k} \jmath^{\rho} \quad j j_{k} J^{j}=1$; to each of these eigenm odes ofeigenvalue ${ }_{k}$ corresponds an eigenm ode of L of eigenvalue ${ }_{k}$, given by $\left(v_{k} ; u_{k}\right)$ [21]. W e shallassum e here that the condensate $w$ ave function is a dynam ically stable solution of the stationary $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation, so that all the $k$ are real. We shall also assume that the condensate wave function is a localminim um of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevsk iienergy functional (condition of therm odynam icalm etastability) so that all the $k$ are positive.

W e can then use the follow ing result. For a given deviation of the condensate eld from its stationary value, we form the vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{q} \quad L^{q} \quad Q \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is an integer. As show $n$ in the appendix A $w$ e then have the follow ing inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \operatorname{in}_{k} k \quad{\frac{h e_{0} ; e_{1} i}{h e_{0} ; e_{1} i}}^{1=2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the scalar product $h$; $i$ is of signature $(1 ; 1)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
h{\underset{v}{u}}_{u} ; u_{v^{0}}^{u^{0}} i=u^{Z} u u^{0} \quad v v^{0}: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e apply this inequality, taking for the deviation originating from the rotation of by an in nitesim al angle: expanding Eq.(3) to rst order in the rotation angle, we put

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
e_{0}= & i Q L_{z}  \tag{33}\\
\text { iQ } L_{z}
\end{array} \quad:
$$

A fter lengthy calculations detailed in the appendix A, we obtain the upper bound
where is the angle of polar coordinates in the x y plane.
In the lim it of a sm all, we assum e that the scissors $m$ ode is the low est energy B ogolinbov $m$ ode, as m otivated in section III. Eq.(34) then gives an upper bound to the scissors $m$ ode frequency. A $n$ explicit calculation of $@^{2} U$ show $s$ that the upper bound Eq.(34) coincides w ith the intuitive estim ate Eq.(26) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
@^{2} U=2 m!^{2} h \dot{X}^{2} \quad Y^{2} j i: \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his upper bound suggests tw o possibilities in the low lim it. In the rst one, $h \dot{x}^{2} y^{2} j i$ tends to a non-zero value, which im plies that the scissorsm ode frequency vanishes at most as ${ }^{1=2}$. In the second possibility, $h \dot{j}^{2} \quad y^{2} j$ i tends to zero; under the reasonable assum ption of a $h \dot{j}^{2} y^{2} j i$ vanishing linearly $w$ th , this im plies a scissors $m$ ode frequency vanishing at $m$ ost as. This second case we term degenerate'.

W e point out that the degenerate case contains all the cases where the stationary wave function at vanishing trap anisotropy has a discrete rotational sym $m$ etry $w$ ith an angle di erent from. To show this, we introduce the coordinates rotated by an angle ,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{0}=x \cos \quad y \sin  \tag{36}\\
& y^{0}=x \sin +y \cos : \tag{37}
\end{align*}
$$

The symmetry of implies that $h \dot{x}^{2} \quad y^{2} j i=h \dot{x}^{@} \quad y^{\varrho} j i$ and $h j^{j} y j i=h \dot{x}^{0} y^{0} j i$. By expansion of these identities, and assum ing $1 \quad \cos 2 \not 0$, one getsh $\dot{j}_{x}^{2} y^{2} j=0$.

An im portant question is to estim ate the relative im portance of the $m$ odes other than the scissors $m$ ode excited by the sudden rotation of the condensate. A s show $n$ in the appendix $\AA$, under the assum ption that the scissors $m$ ode is the only $m$ ode of vanishing frequency when ! 0 , and anticipating som e results of the next subsection, the w eight of the initial excitation $e_{0}$ on the non-scissors m odes behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{j}_{0}^{\text {non }} \text { scissors } j_{j}^{D}=O\left({ }^{2}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

both in the degenerate and the non-degenerate cases.

## C. Perturbation theory in

$W$ e now treat the anisotropic part of the trapping potential as a perturbation, $U=m!^{2}\left(y^{2} \quad x^{2}\right)=2$. The B ogolubov operator $L$, considered as a function of , can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{0}+\mathrm{L} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a perturbation. N ote that the explicit expression of $L$ cannot be given easily, as it involves not only $U$ but also the ect of the rst order change of the condensate wave function entering the $m$ ean eld term $s$ of $L$; but we shall not need such an explicit expression.

A part from eigenm odes of non-zero eigen frequency, the operator $L_{0}$ has a norm al zero energy mode characterized by the vector $e_{n}$ and an anom alous $m$ ode characterized by the vector $e_{a}$, in accordance $w$ ith $G$ oldstone's theorem . $T$ hey are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{\mathrm{n}}=\begin{array}{cc}
Q_{0} L_{z} & 0 \\
Q_{0} L_{z} & 0
\end{array}  \tag{40}\\
& e_{\mathrm{a}}=\begin{array}{lll}
Q_{0} @ & 0 \\
Q_{0} @ & 0
\end{array} \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

where 0 is the stationary condensate eld for $=0$ and $Q_{0}$ is the projector on the $=0$ condensate wavefunction
0 . As shown in the appendix A, one has indeed $L_{0} e_{n}=0$ and $L_{0} e_{a}=e_{n}$. W ithin the subspace generated by $e_{n}$ and $e_{a}$, the operator $L_{0}$ has therefore the Jordan canonical form:

$$
L_{0} \text { خ̈ubspace }=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{42}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}:
$$

$N$ ote that the norm al and the anom alous vectors are, up to a global factor, adjint vectors for the $m$ odi ed scalar product Eq.(32), in the sense that he $e_{n} ; e_{a} i=M_{0}$ where $M_{0}$ is given in Eq.(21) for $=0$.

As usual in rst order perturbation theory, one takes the restriction of the perturbation $L$ to the subspace and one then diagonalizes 立:

$$
L \text { ǰubspace }=\begin{array}{cc}
L_{n n} & 1+\underset{L_{n a}}{ }  \tag{43}\\
L_{\mathrm{an}} & L_{\text {aa }}
\end{array}:
$$

$T$ he notation $L_{a n} m$ eans than one takes the com ponent of $L e_{1}$ onto the vector $e_{a}$. Since the adjint vector of $e_{a}$ is $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{M} 0$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{a n}=M_{0}{ }^{1} h_{n} ; L_{Q_{1}} i: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

A sim ilar notation is used for $L_{n n}$ and $L_{\text {aa }}$. Form ing the characteristic polynom ial of the 22 m atrix Eq (A3) and taking all the L's to be $O\left(\right.$ ), one realizes that to leading order in , the eigenvalues are $\frac{1=2}{d m}$, that is they scale as ${ }^{1=2}$ if $L_{a n}$ ( 0 .

Finally, we use the exact identity he ; Le $i=N h j\left(@^{2} U\right) j$ i, proved in the appendix $A$; noting that $e_{n}$ and $e_{0}$ di er by tem $s$ of rst order in , expanding $L$ in $L_{0}+L$ and using the fact that he; $L_{0} e_{n} i=h e_{n} ; L_{0}$ ei $=0$ whatever the vector e, we get for the scissors $m$ ode angular frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\underset{\text { scissors }}{2}}_{2} L_{a n}+O\left({ }^{2}\right)=\frac{h o j\left(@^{2} U\right) j o i}{@ h_{0} J_{z} j o i}+O\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $h x^{2} Y^{2} i_{0} \in 0$, this coincides $w$ ith the upper bound Eq.(34) to leading order in : the upper bound is then saturated for low.

D . A nalytic expressions in the $T$ hom as $F e r m$ i lim it for the non-degenerate case

In the $T$ hom as $F$ erm ilim it ( $\quad$ ! ) there exist asym ptotic functionals giving the energy of the vortex lattice as a function of the vortex positions in the isotropic [14] and anisotropic [22] cases. H ere we shall use these functionals to evaluate the frequency of the scissors m ode in Eq. (45).

From the general expression (Eq.(2.12) in [22]) we take the sim plifying assum ption that the distances of the vortex cores to the trap center are $m$ uch $s m$ aller that the $T$ hom as $F e m$ i radius of the condensate, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}_{2} \quad \mathrm{X} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \quad \log \left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1\right)+O\left({ }^{2}\right)  \tag{46}\\
& \text { if j }
\end{align*}
$$

where $p \frac{E}{}$ is the energy di erence per atom betw een the con gurationswith and without vortioes, $=\sim=P^{2 N m g}=$ $\sim!=(\overline{2}) \quad 1$ ( $w$ th being the $T$ hom asFerm ichem icalpotential of the non-rotating gas), ( $x_{i} ; y_{i}$ ) are the coordinates of the $i^{\text {th }}$ vortex core, $d_{i j}$ is the distance betw een the vortex cores $i$ and $j$ and $l$ is a length scale on the order of the $T$ hom as Ferm iradius and independent of [23]. E 0 is a quantity independent of and of the vortex positions: therefore we shall not need its explicit expression. It is assum ed that !, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
>\quad m=\overline{2}^{1=2}!j \log j \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the rotation frequency is large enough to ensure that each vortex experiences an e ective trapping potential close to the trap center. An im portant property of the simpli ed energy functional is that the positions of the vortioes $\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{m} \text { inim izing Eq.(46) are universalquantities not depending on (22] w wen they are rescaled by the length } & \text { 25]: }\end{array}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}=\frac{\sim}{2 \mathrm{~m}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we calculate $h^{2} \quad y^{2}$ i using the $H$ elm an Feynm an theorem :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} E=\frac{1}{2} m!^{2} \quad\left(x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right) j \jmath^{2} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is the energy per atom and is the condensate wave function. W e have also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{E}(=0)=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{E}(=0) \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the vortex-firee con guration is rotationally symmetric for $=0 . \mathrm{W}$ e thus obtain 26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!} h j\left(x^{2} \quad y^{2}\right) j i=2^{p} \overline{2} \frac{m}{!}_{i}^{X} x_{i}^{2} \quad y_{i}^{2}: \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this form ula we study vortex lattices with up to ten vortioes. By considering rst the case $=0$, we nd that they are all degenerate except the case of two, nine and ten vortices, see gure 3a for $n_{v}=9$ and gure 3b for $n_{v}=10$. Interestingly the $=010-v o r t e x$ con guration di ers from the one of the full num erical sim ulation of gure 1. By m inim izing the sim pli ed energy functional for a non-zero, we nd that the 10 -vortex con guration of gure 1 ceases indeed to be a localm inim um of energy when is sm aller than 0.0182 : this prevents us from applying Eq. (45) of perturbation theory to the calculation of the scissors $m$ ode frequency of the num erical sim ulations. The 10 -vortex con guration of gure 3b ceases to be a localm inim um ofenergy when is larger than 0.0173 . T he 10-vortex con guration $m$ in im izing the energy for $0: 0173 \ll 0: 0182$ is a distorted con guration which breaks both the x and $y$ re ection sym $m$ etries of the energy functional, but not the parity invariance, see gure 3 c .
$T$ he last point is to calculate the derivative of the $m$ ean angular $m$ om entum $w$ ith respect to. In the $T$ hom asFerm ilim it, for $=0$, and to rst order in the squared distance of the vortex cores from the trap center, the angular m om entum is given by [14, 22]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!0} h_{z} i^{\prime} \underset{\sim}{\sim} n_{v} \quad \mathrm{P} \overline{2}_{\mathrm{m}}!\quad \mathrm{X} \quad x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $n_{v}$ is the num ber of vortioes. $U$ sing the rescaling by to isolate the dependence we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d} h L_{z} i(=0)=P \overline{2} m!{\frac{d^{2}=d}{2}}_{i}^{X} x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d^{2}=d={ }^{2}=(\quad \mathrm{m})<0$. In thisway, scissors for low can be calculated from Eq (45) in the non-degenerate case analytically in term s of the equilibrium positions of the vortioes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\text {scissors }}^{2}=4(\quad m)^{2} \frac{P}{i}{ }_{i} x_{i}^{2}+Y_{i}^{2} Y_{i}^{2}(: \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 3: U sing the simpli ed energy functionalEq. 46) we nd them in in um energy con gurations of nine vortices (a) and ten vortices (b) for $=0$. These con gurations are non-degenerate: ${ }_{i}\left(x_{i}^{2} \quad \sum_{i}^{2}\right)={ }_{i}\left(x_{i}^{2}+y_{i}^{2}\right)^{\prime} 0: 03$ for both of them. For $0: 0173$ \ll 0:0182 a cross-over takes place betw een the 10-vortex con guration of (a) and the one of gure 1: such a cross-over con guration is shown in (c), for $=0: 018$.

## E. N um erical results in the degenerate case

In the degenerate case we m ust go to higher order in perturbation theory to get the leading order prediction for the frequency of the scissors $m$ ode. A sim pler altemative is to calculate num erically the frequency of this $m$ ode by iterating the operator $L^{1}$ [27] starting $w$ ith the initial guess $e_{0}$ de ned in Eq. [33] [28]. The corresponding num erical results are presented in gure 4 in the non-degenerate case of two vortices, and in gure 5 in the degenerate case of three vortioes. In the non-degenerate case, ${ }_{\text {scissors }}^{2}$ is found to scale linearly $w$ ith for low , as expected, and the corresponding slope is is very good agreem ent w ith the prediction Eq. (45); the agreem ent w th the asym ptotic form ula Eq. (54) is poor, which could be expected since the param eters are not deeply enough in the Thom asFerm i regim e [25]. In the degenerate case w ith three vortiges, we nd num erically that scissors scales as ${ }^{3=2}$ for low, which is indeed com patible with Eq. (34) which leads to a scissor frequency upper bound scaling as. The fact that a strictly higher exponent ( $3=2>1$ ) is obtained show s that som e cancellation happens in the next order of perturbation theory, $m$ ay be due to the threefold sym $m$ etry of the vortex con guration.


F IG . 4: Frequency squared of the scissors m ode as a function of the trap anisotropy for a condensate with two vortices. $\mathrm{N}=2500,=0: 6!$ and $g=0: 0343 \sim^{2}=m$ resulting in a chem icalpotential , 4:9~!. The black circles are determ ined from the iteration of the operator $L^{1}$. The red disks corresp ond to the num erical evaluation of the upper bound Eq. (34). The solid line corresponds to perturbation theory: it is the num erical evaluation of the leading term in of Eq (45), which is linear in w ith a non-zero slope since the tw o-vortex con guration is non-degenerate. $T$ he red dashed line is a guide to the eyes.

## V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have studied the problem of a non-classical scissors m ode of a condensate containing a vortex lattice. In 2D sim ulations of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation we showed that, when such a condensate experiences a sudden rotation by a sm all angle of the anisotropic harm on ic trapping potential, the orientation of its vortex lattioe w ill undergo very low frequency oscillations of the scissors m ode type. M otivated by these num erical results we searched for this $m$ ode using the well-know $n$ classical hydrodynam ics approxim ation where the condensate density is a sm ooth function show ing no sign of the presence of vortiges and where their e ect on the velocity eld is taken into account through a coarse-grained vorticity. W e showed that this approxim ation does not contain a low energy


FIG. 5: Frequency of the scissors $m$ ode as a function of the trap anisotropy for a condensate $w$ ith three vortices. $N=2500$,
$=0: 7!$ and $g=0: 0343 \sim^{2}=m$ resulting in a chem ical potential, $4: 6 \sim!$. The black circles are determ ined from the iteration of the operator $L^{1}$. The red disks correspond to the num erical evaluation of the upper bound Eq. (34). The result of perturbation theory is not show $n$, since it vanishes for a degenerate con guration. The black line is given by the equation
$=1: 582^{3=2}$ and results from a t of the black disks by a power law ${ }^{3=2}$ over the interval $0: 01$. The red dashed line is a guide to the eyes.
scissorsm ode. $W$ e then were able to explain this discrepancy using the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskiiequation that treats quantum $m$ echanically the $m$ otion of the particles. In this case, the density pro le of the vortex lattioe breaks the rotational sym $m$ etry which naturally gives rise to a Goldstone $m$ ode in the lim it of an isotropic trap and, for a nite anisotropy it becom es a low energy scissors $m$ ode. The existence of a scissors m ode at a low value of the m ode frequency is therefore a direct consequence of the discrete nature of the vortioes in a condensate, which is itself a consequence of the quantization of the $m$ otion of the particles.
$W$ e obtained quantitative predictions for the $m$ ode frequency using two separate $m$ ethods. $F$ irst we calculated an upper bound on the frequency of the m ode using an inequality involving the B ogoliubov energy spectrum. Second, using perturbation theory in , the anisotropy of the trapping harm onic potential, we show ed that this inequality becom es an equality to leading order in when the expectation value $h x^{2} \quad y^{2} i_{0}$ taken in the unperturbed state does not vanish; in this case the frequency of the scissors m ode tends to zero as ${ }^{1=2}$, and we gave an analytic prediction for the coe cient in front of ${ }^{1=2}$ in the $T$ hom as $F e r m i l i m i t$ it. $H$ ow ever, in the cases where the expectation value does vanish (which we term ed \degenerate"), the frequency will be at most linear in . W e have illustrated this using a three-vortex lattice where the frequency vanishes as ${ }^{3}=2$. A lso, in the general case, we have show $n$ that the relative weight of the non-scissors m odes excited by a sudden in nitesim al rotation of the trap tends to zero as $O$ ( ${ }^{2}$ ) so that the excitation procedure produces a pure scissors $m$ ode in the low trap anisotropy lim it.
$F$ inally we point out that the existence of the non-classicalscissorsm ode does not rely on the fact that we are dealing w ith a B ose $£$ instein condensate per se. In particular, we expect, based on the very general argum ents of section III, that this mode will equally be present in a Ferm i super uid containing a vortex lattioe and that its frequency will tend to zero as ${ }^{\mathrm{P}}$ - in the non-degenerate case just like in its bosonic counterpart.

Laboratoire $K$ astler $B$ rossel is a research unit of Ecole norm ale superieure and U niversite Paris 6, associated to CNRS. This work is part of the research program on quantum gases of the Stichting voor Fundam enteel 0 nderzoek der M aterie ( $F O M$ ), which is nancially supported by the $N$ ederlandse $O$ rganisatie voor $W$ etenschappelijk O nderzoek ( $\mathrm{N} W \mathrm{O}$ ).

## APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THEUPPER BOUNDONTHEBOGOLIUBOVENERGIES

In this appendix, we prove several results used to control analytically the frequency and the excitation weight of the scissors m ode.

We rst dem onstrate the inequality Eq. 31). The key assum ption is that the the condensate wavefiunction is a local $m$ in im um of energy and does not break any continuous sym m etry (which im plies here a non-zero trap anisotropy
( 0). Then the B ogoliubov operator $L$ of the num ber-conserving B ogoliubov theory is generically expected to be diagonalizable, $w$ th all eigenvalues being real and non-zero. $W$ e then expand $e_{0}$ on the eigenm odes of $L$ :

$$
\mathrm{e}_{0}=\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{A1}\\
\mathrm{k} 2 \mathrm{~F}+
\end{gathered} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}{\underset{\mathrm{v}}{\mathrm{k}}}_{\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}}^{\mathrm{V}^{2}}+\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}} \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \\
\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F_{+}$is the set ofm odes norm alized as $j_{k} \xlongequal[j]{\rho} \quad j_{k} \xlongequal[J]{ }=1$, and which have here strictly positive energies $k>0$ since the condensate wavefunction is a localm inim um of energy [21]. The $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{k}}$ 's are com plex num bers; the am plitudes on the $m$ odes of the $F$ fam ily (ofenergies $k$ ) are simply $b_{k}$ since $e_{0}$ is of the form ( $f ; f$ ). U sing the fact that for the $m$ odi ed scalar product Eq.(32), di erent eigenm odes are orthogonal, and each eigenm ode has a horm squared' equal to +1 for $F+$ and 1 for $F$, we get:

This is simply the expectation value of ${ }_{k}^{2} w$ th the positive weights ${ }_{k}^{1} p_{k} \jmath^{2}$. Hence Eq. (31).
$N$ ext, starting from $e_{0}$ given by Eq.(33), we have to calculate $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}$ to obtain Eq.(34).
To calculate $e_{1}$, we take the derivative of the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation Eq. (2) $w$ ith respect to the rotation frequency, which leads to

$$
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{GP}} \stackrel{@}{@}=(@ \quad) \quad+\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}  \tag{A3}\\
\mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{z}}
\end{gather*}:
$$

$W$ e have to get $L$ instead of $L_{G P}$ : since is nom alized to the number of particles $N$, which does not depend on , we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Z & \text { Z } \\
& =i \tag{A4}
\end{array}
$$

where is real, so that @ $=i=N+Q(@)$ where $Q$ projects orthogonally to.$U$ sing the fact that ( ; ) is in the kemel of $L_{G P}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{e}_{1}=\begin{array}{c}
\text { iQ }(@ \\
\text { iQ }(@
\end{array}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

which allow s to get
Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{h e}_{0} ; e_{1} i=@ \quad L_{z}: \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In passing, we note that the fact that all $k$ are positive im plies that he $\mathrm{e}_{0} \mathrm{e}_{1} \mathrm{i}>0$ so that them ean angularm om entum is an increasing function of , a standard them odynam ic stability constraint for a system in contact w ith a reservoir of angular $m$ om entum [29].
$W$ e now proceed with the calculation of $e_{1} L e . S$ ince $L_{z}$ is herm itian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{z}=Q\left(L_{z}\right) \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is real. Since ( ; ) is in the kemel of $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{GP}}$, we conclude that

$$
L_{G P} e_{0}=i L_{G P} ; \quad \begin{array}{cc}
L_{z} & 0  \tag{A8}\\
0 & L_{z}
\end{array}
$$

where [i] stands for the com m utator. O ne calculates the com mutator and then computes its action on ( ; ): various sim pli cations occur so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{GP}} \mathrm{e}_{0}=\underset{\sim(@ \mathrm{U})}{\sim(@ \mathrm{U})} \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the angle of polar coordinates in the $x \quad y$ plane and where we used $I_{2}=\quad i \sim @ . e_{1}$ results from this expression by projection. W e nally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{he}_{0} ; \mathrm{e}_{1} i=\sim^{2} \quad\left(\mathbb{C}^{2} \mathrm{U}\right): \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what concems the scissors $m$ ode frequency, the last point is to justify the identities $L_{0} e_{n}=0$ and $L_{0} e_{a}=e_{n}$, where $L_{0}$ is the $=0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it of $L, e_{1}$ is de ned in Eq. 40) and $e_{a}$ is de ned in Eq. 41). First, one notes that, with in a

since @ U vanishes when the trapping potential U is rotationally sym m etric. Second, one notes from Eq. A5) that, $w$ ithin a global factor $i, e_{a}$ is the zero $\lim$ 进 of $e_{1}$. Then taking the zero lim it of the identity $L e_{1}=e_{0}$ leads to $L_{0} e_{a}=e_{n}$.

Finally we control the weight of the $m$ odes other than the scissorsm ode that are excited by the sudden in nitesim al rotation of the condensate:
where $\ddot{j}::: \ddot{\eta}$ is the usuall $L_{2}$ norm and $k_{s}$ is the index of the scissorsm ode. The basic assum ption is that the scissors m ode is the only one w ith vanishing frequency in the ! $0 \lim$ it. W e rew rite Eq A10) as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}  \tag{A12}\\
& k 2 F_{+} \\
& k D_{k} \jmath=2 m!^{2} \quad\left(x^{2} \quad Y^{2}\right) j \jmath: ~
\end{align*}
$$

In the degenerate case the right-hand side is $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ so that each (positive) term $k b_{k} \jmath^{3}$ is $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$. For the norm alm odes,


In the non-degenerate case the sam e reasoning leads to a weight being $O()$. A better estim ate can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e_{0} ; e_{1} i \quad{ }_{k_{s}}^{2} h e_{0} ; e_{1} i=\underset{k 2 F+n f k_{s} g}{X} \quad 2 \quad{ }_{k}^{2}=k_{k} \quad j p_{k}{ }^{2}: \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then using the explicit expression for the scalar products in the lefthand side, see Eq. A 6 and Eq. A 10), and using the result Eq. (45) of the perturbative expansion for scissors $=k_{s}=\sim$, one realizes that the left-hand side of Eq. (A 13) is $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$, which leads to a weight on non-scissors $m$ odes being $O\left({ }^{2}\right)$ as in the degenerate case.
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