Exact results for the 1D interacting mixed Bose-Fermigas

M.T.Batchelor, M.Bortz, XW.Guan, N.Oelkers

Department of Theoretical Physics, Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering and Department of M athematics, M athematical Sciences Institute,

Australian National University, Canberra ACT 0200, Australia

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Abstract

The exact solution of the 1D interacting mixed Bose-Ferm i gas is used to calculate ground-state properties both for nite systems and in the therm odynamic limit. The quasimomentum distribution, ground-state energy and generalized velocities are obtained as functions of the interaction strength both for polarized and non-polarized ferm ions. We do not observe any demixing instability of the system for repulsive interactions.

PACS num bers: 03.75.Ss, 05.30 Fk, 67.60.-g,71.10 Pm

The cooling and trapping of quantum Ferm i gases of ultracold atom s poses a number of additional challenges to those faced for bosons [1]. A key point is that no m ore than one identical ferm ion can occupy a single state due to the Pauli exclusion principle. However, a m ixed gas of ferm ions and bosons provides an elective m eans of cooling single-com ponent ferm ions by them all collisions with evaporatively cooled bosons [2, 3, 4, 5], providing an avenue for investigating m any-body quantum elects in degenerate Ferm i gases. A nother development is the use of Feshbach resonance, in which the energy of a bound state of two colliding atom s is magnetic eld tuned to vary the scattering strength from 1 to 1, allow-ing the investigation of the crossover from BCS super uidity to Bose-Einstein condensation [6, 7, 8]. These achievements in realizing quantum Ferm i gases of ultracold atom s m ay also provide insights into other areas of physics, such as ultracold superstrings [9].

Particular theoretical and experimental interest has been paid to the Fermigas conned in 1D geometry [10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. Recent attention has turned to the 1D model of mixed bosons and polarized fermions [15, 16, 17, 18], revealing quantum elects such as interactiondriven phase separation [17, 18, 19], bright solitons in degenerate Bose-Fermimin in ixtures [20] and Luttinger liquid behaviour [15, 16]. In this model, only s-wave scattering for boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions is considered, with the boson-fermion collisions minimizing the Pauli-blocking elect in momentum space.

The theoretical studies of the m ixed Bose-Ferm i gas have focused on the case of bosons and single-component ferm ions [15, 16, 17, 18]. Our aim here is to investigate the 1D m odel of a Bose-Ferm i m ixture on a line of length L with periodic boundary conditions, with H am iltonian H = $P_{i=1}^{N} \frac{2^{-2}}{2m_i} \frac{q^2}{q_{x_i^2}} + P_{i<j}^{p} g_{ij}$ (x_i x_j), where among the N particles there are N_f = N_# + N_{*} ferm ions and N_b bosons. The pairwise —interaction has strength q_{ij} . The crucial observation from a theoretical point of view is that if all particles have equal m asses and if the interaction strength between all particles is the same, the above m odel is exactly solvable by Bethe Ansatz (BA) [21]. A lthough this restricts the applicability of the m odel, experiments with isotopes of atom s are expected to m set the BA conditions [18]. Then $g_{ij} = 2^{-2} = (m a)$, where c = 2 = a is the inverse 1D scattering length of the con ned particles. For convenience of notation, the energy is measured in units of $^{-2} = (2m)$, such that

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}x_{i}^{2}} + \sum_{i < j}^{X} 2c (x_{i} - x_{j}):$$
(1)

The model contains two special limiting cases: (i) the 1D interacting Fermigas with arbi-

trary polarization [22, 23, 24, 25], and (ii) the 1D interacting Bose gas [26]. Them ixed model was recently discussed for the case of single component (fully polarized) ferm ions [18]. Here we consider the more general case of two-component ferm ions with arbitrary polarization. We present analytical and numerical results for the ground state energy, quasimomentum density prole, and the spin and charge velocities. In the weak coupling limit, these quantities reveal the typical signatures of pure quantum gases with an additional weak interaction due to them ixture. In the other extrement, that is for strong repulsive interactions, the ground state properties resemble those of a single-component non-interacting Ferm i gas.

The BA equations (BE), determining the quantum numbers of the N-particle system, are given by [21]

$$e^{ip \cdot L} = \frac{Y}{p} \frac{p \cdot j + ic^0}{p \cdot j - ic^0}$$
(2)

$$\frac{Y}{k} + \frac{p + ic^{0}}{k} = \frac{Y}{k} + \frac{j + ic + A_{m} + ic^{0}}{k + j + ic + A_{m} + ic^{0}}$$
(3)

$$1 = \bigvee_{j}^{Y} \frac{A_{n}}{A_{n}} \frac{j}{j+ic^{0}};$$
(4)

where $c^0 = c=2$ and $j;k = 1; \dots; N_b + N_r$, $' = 1; \dots; N_n = 1; \dots; N_b$. W ithout loss of generality, we take $N_r < N_{\#}$. Here the set of $N + N_r + 2N_b$ many quantum numbers is divided into three subsets: $fp \cdot g_{1;\dots;N_r}$, $f_k g_{1;\dots;N_r+N_b}$, $fA_n g_{1;\dots;N_b}$. It turns out that the energy eigenvalues E are given by the members of the rst set alone, namely $E = {P_{N_{r=1}}P_{r}^2}$.

We begin with a nite system, for which the Bethe roots are found analytically in the weak coupling limit, thereby yielding the ground state energy. The second step is to carry out the therm odynamic limit (TL). In this limit, the Bethe roots are distributed sm oothly over a certain interval of the real axis, giving rise to continuous densities. Integral equations for these densities have been obtained previously [21]. On the one hand, these equations allow a comparison between the analytical results for weak coupling and nite system s with the weak coupling expansion in the TL.On the other hand, generalized velocities can be calculated within this fram ework. In the weak coupling limit, these reduce to the spin and charge velocities of a pure Ferm i gas and the charge velocity of a pure bosonic system.

In considering the ground state for weak interaction, it is convenient to distinguish between unpaired $p_j^{(u)}$ (j = 1; ...; N_# N_"), paired $p_j^{(p)}$ (j = 1; ...; N_" 1; N_" + 2; ...; 2N_") and bosonic $p_j^{(b)}$ (j = 1; ...; N_b + 2) quasimomenta [27]. Expanding Eqs. (2)-(4) to 0 (c), one obtains $p_j^{(u)} = (N_\# 1+2j)=L + {j \choose j}, j = 1; \dots; (N_\# N_\pi)=2; p_j^{(u)} = (2N_\pi N_\# 1+2j)=L + {j \choose j}; j = (N_\# N_\pi)=2+1; \dots; N_\# N_\pi \text{ and } p_j^{(p)} = (1 N_\# 2j_+) + {j \choose j_+}^{(p)} \frac{p}{C=L}$. Here $j_+ = j$ if j odd and $j_+ = j$ 1 if j even. The deviations j from $p_j^{(u,p)}$ are linear in c, with

$${}_{j}^{(u)} = \frac{c}{L} \frac{X}{\prod_{k} p_{j,0}^{(u)} p_{k,0}^{(p)}} + \frac{N_{b} + 1}{p_{j,0}^{(u)}};$$
(5)

$${}_{j}^{(p)} = \frac{C}{L} \frac{X}{\sum_{k \in j} \frac{1}{p_{j;0}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{p_{k;0}^{(p)}} + \frac{X}{p_{k;0}^{(p)}} \frac{1}{p_{k;0}^{(p)}} + \frac{N_{b} + 1}{p_{j;0}^{(p)}} + \frac{N_{b} + 1}{p_{j;0}^{(p)}} ;$$
(6)

Here $p_{j,0}^{(p,u)}$ denotes the quasimom enta in the free particle lim it as given above. The sum s in (5), (6) over paired momenta $p_{k,0}^{(p)}$ count each pair only once. The bosonic momenta $p_j^{(b)}$ collapse at the origin for c = 0. Their c-dependence is given by

$$p_{j}^{(b)} = \frac{2c^{X}}{L} \frac{1}{p_{j}^{(b)} - p_{k}^{(b)}}$$
(7)

A coording to (7), the $p_j^{(b)}$ are the roots of H erm ite polynom ials of degree N_b + 2 [28, 29].

From the above equations we obtain the ground state energy, $E = E^{(0)} + E^{(1)}$, to leading order in c. The energy of the free particles, E^{0} , is given by the corresponding expression for a free Ferm igas with N_f ferm ions [25]. The rst correction in c is $E^{(1)} = E_{b}^{(1)} + E_{f}^{(1)} + 2\frac{c}{L}N_{b}N_{f}$, where $E_{b}^{(1)}$ ($E_{f}^{(1)}$) is the linear order in c for a pure Bose (Ferm i) gas of N_b bosons [29] (N_f ferm ions [25]). The last term is due to the interactions between bosons and ferm ions. Thus

$$E = \frac{2}{L} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{6} N_{\#} (N_{\#}^{2} 1) + \frac{1}{12} (N_{\#} N_{\#}) (1 + N_{\#}^{2} + N_{f}^{2} N_{f} N_{\#}) + \frac{2c}{L} N_{\#} N_{\#} + \frac{1}{2} N_{b} (N_{b} 1) + N_{b} N_{f} :$$
(8)

This expression is valid for both weak repulsive and attractive interaction. Especially, the TL is well de ned in the weakly attractive case, as opposed to the strongly attractive case [23]. Let us now carry out the TL, i.e., N ;L ! 1 where the densities n = N = L are held constant, with = #;";b. In this limit, Eqs. (5) and (6) give the distribution of

quasim om enta per unit length,

$$_{u}(p) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{2^{2}} + \frac{A}{p^{2}} + \frac{B}{p^{2}} ; A < \dot{p}j < C$$
 (9)

$$p_{p}(p) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{c}{\frac{2}{2}} \frac{A}{A^{2}} \frac{A}{p^{2}} + \frac{C}{C^{2}} \frac{2B}{p^{2}} \frac{2B}{p^{2}} ;$$

$$p_{p} \frac{p}{CB} = \frac{c}{CB} \frac{c}{p^{2}} \frac{c}{p^{2}} \frac{2B}{p^{2}} ;$$
(10)

$$_{b}(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2 c} 4cB = p^{2}^{1=2}; jpj < 2^{p} \overline{cB} = ;$$
 (10)

where $A = N_{\pi}=L$, $B = N_{b}=L$, $C = (N_{\#} N_{\pi})=L$.

The quasimom entum distribution function of the bosonic momenta (11) for c > 0 is given by the sem i-circular law behaviour as for a pure bosonic system [26, 28, 29]. For c < 0, the $p_j^{(b)}$ in (7) are imaginary, so that (11) with imaginary k yields the dark-soliton like distributions of the bosonic quasimom enta on the imaginary axis. These encode the binding energy of the bosons, a quantity potentially accessible by experiments. In the distribution of the ferm ionic quasimom enta, divergences occur near the cuto s. The quasimom entum distribution functions calculated from the BE (2)-(4) are compared with the approximations (9)-(11) in Fig. 1.

In order to study the e ect of arbitrary interaction in the TL, we use the linear integral equations derived by Lai and Y ang [21] which determ ine the densities of the quasim on enta. In the following, we restrict ourselves to two limiting cases, namely $N_* = N_{\#}$ and $N_* = 0$. In the latter case, the fermions do not interact among them selves due to the Pauliprinciple, so that the interaction potential in (1) is only e ective between fermions and bosons. The ground-state energy for this case has been calculated recently [18]. In the other limiting case, $N_* = N_{\#}$, all the fermions interact with each other and with the bosons. Fig. 2 shows the ground-state energy per unit length E = L for di erent densities n_b and n_f obtained from numerical solution of the integral equations. A lso shown is a comparison between the analytic result (8) and the TL.

Up to this point, we have focussed on the density $= _u + _p + _b$ of the quasimom enta $p_s^{(u,p,b)}$. In an analogous fashion, one may introduce densities , of the roots $_j;A_m$. Using the dressed energy formalism [23], one can calculate the corresponding dressed energies ; ; , which are the energies necessary to add or remove a root to or from the seas of $p_{j;j}A_m$. The dressed energies give rise to generalized velocities, which determ ine the asymptotics of correlation functions [30]. In the case of pure bosons, there is only one

species of BE numbers, associated with one dressed energy function, yielding the charge (or sound) velocity $v_c^{(b)}$ [23, 26]. For pure ferm ions, the two sets of BE numbers are linked with the charge and spin velocities $v_{c;s}^{(f)}$. As has been proven by Haldane [31], these velocities coincide with those calculated in the harm onic- uid (or bosonization) approach [32].

The situation is less clear for the mixture of bosons and fermions. In the BA approach, this corresponds to two seas of BE numbers p_i ; j_i , and correspondingly two dressed energies and two velocities, which we call $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b;f)}$. For c = 0 we have $\mathbf{v}_c^{(f)} = 2 n_f$ and $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)} = 0$. The dependence of $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b;f)}$ on c for di erent values of n_f ; n_b is shown in Fig. 3. The lowest c-order of $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)}$ is obtained from the Bethe-Ansatz as $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)} = 2n_b c=n_b$ (1=2) (c= n_b)³⁼² ¹⁼², which is the velocity of a non-interacting Bose gas for small c [26].

We now compare our results to those of the hydrodynam ic (HD) approach [15]. In this fram ework, the pure gases correspond to harm onic oscillators, such that the coupling between them leads to new norm alm odes v , with v (c = 0) = $v_c^{(f,b)}$ (c = 0). In the weak interaction lim it, $v = 2^p \overline{cn_b}$, $v_+ = 2 n_f + \frac{n_b c^2}{n_f^2}$. For the sake of comparison, the latter result is also shown in Fig. 4. In the strongly repulsive lim it, a dem ixing instability is predicted from the HD [15] and mean-eld approaches [17]. We do not observe any instability for repulsive interaction for the integrable model, in agreement with [18, 21]. The reason for the discrepancy is that to our present understanding, the HD approach for the mixture, especially the calculation of norm alm odes, is a low-energy theory. That is, it is expected to yield reliable results for small interaction strengths jcj 1. Investigation of $v_c^{(f,b)}$ within the BA approach in the strongly repulsive lim it yields

$$\mathbf{v}_{c}^{(f)} = 2 n 1 \frac{4n}{c} \frac{h}{n} \frac{n_{b}}{n} + \sin \frac{n_{b}}{n} ;$$
$$\mathbf{v}_{c}^{(b)} = \frac{4^{2}n^{2}}{3c} \sin \frac{n_{b}}{n} ;$$

where $n = n_f + n_b$.

It remains a very interesting question for future research if the normal modes of the eld theory coincide with the generalized velocities from the BA for mixed Bose-Ferm i system s. Furthermore, it should be carefully investigated whether or not the HD approach is applicable in the strongly interacting regime.

The generalized velocities can also be computed from the BA for interacting fermions $(n_r = n_{\#})$. In this case, the three dressed energies ; ; give rise to three velocities, which we call $v_{c;s}^{(f)}$ and $v_c^{(b)}$. As shown in Fig. 4, we observe that in the weak interaction limit,

 $\mathbf{v}_{c;s}^{(f)} = \mathbf{n}_f (1 \quad c=(\mathbf{n}_f^{-2}))$, which corresponds to the charge and spin velocities of a pure ferm ionic system [12]. In the strong interaction lim it, $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)} = \mathbf{v}_s^{(f)} = 0$, whereas $\mathbf{v}_c^{(f)} = 2 \, \mathrm{n}$. This again indicates the ferm ionic nature of the system in the strongly interacting lim it.

In conclusion, we have investigated ground state properties of the 1D interacting Bose-Ferm im odel from its exact BA solution. We obtained results for the distribution of quasim om enta and the ground state energy both for weak attractive and repulsive interactions. We computed the generalized velocities and compared them to the norm alm odes obtained from the HD approach. In contrast with other approaches [15, 17, 33], we do not observe any instability or dem ixing in the system.

A cknow ledgem ents. This work has been supported by the Australian Research Council and the G erm an Science Foundation under grant number BO/2538.

- M.H.Anderson, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E.W iem an and E.A.Cornell, Science
 269 (1995) 98; K.B.D avis et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3969.
- [2] A.G.Truscott, K.E.Strecker, W.I.M cAlexander, G.B.Partridge, R.G.Hulet, Science 291 (2001) 2570.
- [3] Z.Hadzibabic et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 88 (2002) 160401.
- [4] Z.Hadzibabic et al, Phys.Rev.Lett. 91 (2003) 160401.
- [5] C.Silber et al, cond-m at/0506217.
- [6] S. Jochim et al, Science 302 (2003) 2101; C. Chin et al, Science 305 (2004) 1128.
- [7] M.W. Zwierlein et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 250401.
- [8] C.A.Regal, M.Greiner and D.S.Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 040403.
- [9] M. Snoek, M. Haque, S. Vandoren and H. T. C. Stoof, cond-m at/0505055.
- [10] H.Moritz, T.Stoferle, K.Gunter, M.Kohl and T.Esslinger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 210401.
- [11] A.Recati, J.N.Fuchs and W.Zwerger, Phys.Rev.A.71 (2005) 033630.
- [12] J.N.Fuchs, A.Recatiand W. Zwerger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 090408.
- [13] G.E.Astrakharchik, D.Blume, S.Giorgini and LP.Pitaevskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 050402.
- [14] I.V. Tokatly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 090405.

- [15] M.A.Cazalilla and A.F.Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91 (2003) 150403.
- [16] L.M athey et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 120404.
- [17] K.K.Das, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90 (2003) 170403.
- [18] A. Im am bekov and E. Dem ler, cond-m at/0505632.
- [19] K.M lm er, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 1804.
- [20] T.Kapiuk et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93 (2004) 100401
- [21] C K.Laiand C N.Yang, Phys.Rev.A 3 (1971) 393.
- [22] M.Gaudin, Phys.Lett. A 24 (1967) 1312; C.N.Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett. 19 (1967) 1312.
- [23] M. Takahashi, Thermodynamics of One-D imensional Solvable Models (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- [24] T. Lida and M. W adati, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 74 (2005) 1724.
- [25] M.T.Batchelor, M.Bortz, XW.Guan and N.Oelkers, cond-mat/0506264.
- [26] E.H.Lieb and W.Liniger, Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 1605; E.H.Lieb, Phys. Rev. 130 (1963) 1616.
- [27] Since the substitution of two ferm ions into N_b bosons is equivalent to increasing N_b to N_b+ 2, there are N_b+ 2 m om enta $p_j^{(b)}$.
- [28] M.Gaudin, Phys. Rev. A 4 (1971) 386.
- [29] M.T.Batchelor, X.W. Guan and J.B.McGuire, J.Phys.A 37 (2004) L497.
- [30] H.Frahm and G.Palacios, cond-mat/0507368.
- [31] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys. Lett. 81A (1981) 153.
- [32] F.D.M.Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 1840.
- [33] Y. Takeuchi and H. Mori, cond-m at/0508247.



FIG. 1: The quasimom entum distribution functions for a nite system (crosses) ($N_{*} = 15; N_{\#} = 31; N_{b} = 9$) and in the TL (full lines in the insets) for (top) weakly repulsive and (bottom) weakly attractive interactions. The insets a),c) show the distributions near the noninteracting cases (dashed lines) 1=2 for unpaired fermions and 1= for paired fermions. The inset b) depicts the bosonic quasimom enta for c > 0; for c < 0, their real part is zero (thick line).



FIG. 2: G round state energy per unit length E = L in the TL as a function of the interaction strength for densities $n_* = n_{\#}$, $n = n_b + n_f = 1$, with $n_b = 1;0:9;0:7;\ldots;0:1;0$ from bottom to top. The dotted line is the asymptotic result E = L ! ²=3n³ for c ! 1, which is equal to the energy of free ferm ions with density n. The inset compares the analytic (8) (straight dashed lines) with num erical TL-results (solid lines) for weak repulsive interaction.



FIG. 3: Velocities $\mathbf{v}_c^{(f)}$ (upper set of curves) and $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)}$ (low er set of curves) for polarized ferm ions with $n_f = 0.9; 0.7; 0.5; 0.3$ (from top to bottom) interacting with bosons $n_b = 0.1; 0.3; 0.5; 0.7$ (from bottom to top). The insets show the weakly repulsive limit, together with analytical results from BA and HD.



FIG. 4: Velocities $\mathbf{v}_c^{(f)} = (n_f)$ (upper curve) and $\mathbf{v}_s^{(f)} = (n_f)$ (bower curve) for a mixed Bose-Ferm i gas with $n_f = n_b = 0.25$ plotted against c= n_f . The upper inset shows the whole c-range. The second inset shows $\mathbf{v}_c^{(b)}$ for weak couplings.