

A phenomenological model of the Resonance peak in High T_c Superconductors

Benoy Chakraverty

Lepes, C N R S, Grenoble 38042, France.

(Dated: 20/06/2005)

A notable aspect of high-temperature superconductivity in the copper oxides is the unconventional nature of the underlying paired-electron states. The appearance of a resonance peak, observed in inelastic neutron spectroscopy in the superconducting state of the High T_c cuprates, its apparent linear correlation with the critical superconducting temperature of each of the compounds and its disappearance in the normal state are rather intriguing. It may well be that this peak is the signature of the singlet to triplet excitation, and is an unique characteristic of a d-wave superconductor. We develop a simple criterion for the resonance peak which is based on the concept of twistiness and its disappearance at $T = T_c$.

The most notable feature of the unconventional nature of the High T_c cuprates besides its near-neighbor singlet ground state, is its superconducting gap; unlike conventional BCS behavior, where the amplitude of the gap goes up as T_c goes up, the amplitude as revealed by angular resolved photoemission spectroscopy¹ goes down as T_c goes up! This has led some authors² to postulate that the energy scale governing T_c is phase stiffness of the order parameter or the superfluid density rather than the modulus of where is the superconducting condensate density. Inelastic neutron scattering in High T_c cuprate compounds has been of immense help to enhance our understanding of the magnetic aspects underlying physics of High T_c .³ It told us right away without any ambiguity that there are at least two clear signatures of the unconventional superconductivity: spin gap and resonance frequency. Some general features emerge from all the compounds so far studied:

(a) Local antiferromagnetic or singlet correlations in the normal and superconducting states are observed, as evidenced by an incoherent background of spin excitation, $S(q; \omega)$, particularly at wave vector $q = \pi$; & frequency ω .

(b) On the low energy side, an excitation energy gap, called spin gap E_g , opens up in the superconducting state, which tends to zero at the critical hole concentration where superconductivity first appears and is a maximum at optimum doping⁴.

(c) The most unexpected feature of the inelastic neutron spectroscopy is the emergence of an extremely intense and narrow peak only in the superconducting state at the resonance energy ω_r ; at $q = \pi$; that is a hallmark of each superconducting compound. (YBa₂Cu₃O_{7-x},⁵ Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈₊,⁶ La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO₄.⁷). This resonance is a collective spin excitation mode where the magnetic excitation spectrum condenses into a peak at a well defined energy. It generally disappears when T_c goes to zero and is a generic feature of all the cuprates. The striking characteristic of the resonance peak is its linear scaling with T_c ; as measured for a variety of dopings⁸.

Our main objective in this communication is to convey an underlying universality relating to the resonance peak; the simplicity of the model and its connection to underlying symmetries is its appealing feature.

We assume to start with that the superconducting ground state is a d-wave singlet. In order to bring out the underlying symmetry elements of the superconducting and the normal state, let us introduce the well known concept of superconducting phase stiffness (related to charge stiffness)⁹, spin stiffness¹⁰ as well as that of twistiness which is of particular relevance to near neighbor singlets and is associated with chirality. Each of these three stiffnesses are associated with a distinct symmetry operation and expresses the energy increase of the system as each symmetry operation is applied. Let us consider a spinor on site i

$$\chi_i = \begin{pmatrix} c_{i\uparrow}^y \\ c_{i\downarrow}^y \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

Here the $c_{i\uparrow}^y$ are the electron creation operator on site i in a spin state \uparrow and similarly for the other spin \downarrow . There are three sets of transformation that we can consider on the spinors, one in the charge sector, one in the spin sector and one in the twist sector.

(a) In the charge sector it is given by

$$\chi_i^0 = \exp(ie' \phi_i) \chi_i \quad (2)$$

where e is the electron's charge causing a rotation by an angle ϕ_i , in the electromagnetic gauge space. This is the one parameter transformation of symmetry group $U(1)$. In any superconducting ground state, the $U(1)$ symmetry will be broken signifying blocking of the phase ϕ of the superconducting order parameter and hence a non zero superconducting phase stiffness D_s .¹¹

(b) We can also rotate the spinor in the spin sector by rotating the spin through an angle θ_i around the spin axis so that

$$\chi_i^0 = \exp(i \frac{\sigma_z}{2} \theta_i) \chi_i \quad (3)$$

where σ_z is the Pauli spin matrix. The group symmetry is $SO(3)$ or $SU(2)$. We note that if the ground state is a superconducting d spin singlet $S = 0$; the ground state energy will be unaffected by rotation of the spin axis, whatever the Hamiltonian is and as a result the spin stiffness D_s , is necessarily zero:

(c) The twistiness is best understood by introducing the chirality where we write

$$\sigma_i = \exp \frac{i \sigma_i}{2} \quad (4)$$

here the chirality operator σ_i^{12} transcribes the fact that the spin rotation σ_i on site i is exactly equal and opposite to that on the near neighbor site j whence $\sigma_i \sigma_j = 2$. This gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_i &= \exp \frac{i}{2} \sigma_i; \\ \sigma_j &= \exp \frac{i}{2} \sigma_j \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

If the site i and j belong to sublattice A and B; then the chiral rotation twists one sublattice around another by a rigid angle. The symmetry of the operation because of two sites is $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ which is in the same homotopy class as $SO(4)$. If the ground state is a near neighbor singlet, the twist rotation mixes the singlet with the triplet and hence leads to increase of the ground state energy. This will be clear if we consider the four basis pair states on near neighbor sites i & j written as¹³

$$\begin{aligned} |jbi\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_i^\dagger c_{j\#}^\dagger - c_{i\#}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) |j0i\rangle \\ |jti\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_i^\dagger c_{j\#}^\dagger + c_{i\#}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) |j0i\rangle \\ |jti\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_i^\dagger c_{j\#}^\dagger + c_{i\#}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) |j0i\rangle \\ |jtz\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (c_i^\dagger c_{j\#}^\dagger + c_{i\#}^\dagger c_j^\dagger) |j0i\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Here $|jbi\rangle = b^y |j0i\rangle$ & $|jti\rangle = t^y |j0i\rangle$; $|jbi\rangle$ is a $S = 0$ singlet while the three $|jti\rangle$ are $S = 1$; triplets and the four states constitute the symmetry $SO(4)$. Effect of chiral rotation on site i & j with these basis wave functions, will give 4x4 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ t \end{pmatrix} = \exp(i \sigma_i) \begin{pmatrix} b \\ t \end{pmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The twist has mixed up singlets and triplets. This makes the twistiness $D_t \neq 0$ (the twist operation is nonunitary in this ground state). The Lie algebra of $SO(4)$ is closed by the three generators that connect t amongst themselves by pure spin axis rotation, expression (3) and by the other three generators that connect b with the three t 's through the twist rotation (5). As for illustration let us take a model hamiltonian H_0 hamiltonian¹⁴

$$H_0 = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \sum_{i,j} J_{ij} S_i S_j \quad (8)$$

Here the first term is the electron hopping between sites i and j ; t being the hopping integral while the last term

is a Heisenberg antiferromagnetic exchange interaction J between first near neighbor spins S_i and S_j on site i and j . Does H_0 hamiltonian have a superconducting ground state? The first of the gauge transformation (expression 2) has been used to show that its sibling, the Hubbard hamiltonian (in the large U limit) has a superconducting ground state with a nonzero phase stiffness D_s at $T = 0$ ¹⁵. What about the twistiness of the Hamiltonian (8)? In order to calculate the twistiness, we apply uniform twist; between near neighbor sites i & j of sublattice A and B. It is convenient to transform H_0 in terms of singlet and triplet pair operators using the pair representation of the spin operators¹³ which we write as

$$H_0 = \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \sum_{i,j} \frac{3J}{4} b^y b + \sum_{i,j} \frac{J}{4} t^y t; \quad (9)$$

Here μ is the chemical potential assumed same over all space. This term is unaffected by twist and we assume that the sum $\sum b^2 + t^2$ over near neighbor pairs which is $= N_{\text{electron}}$ is conserved. In the limit of small twist the Hamiltonian gets modified

$$H' = H_0 + H' \quad (10)$$

where the first part is the unperturbed untwisted Hamiltonian. By developing H' to second order we obtain for the perturbing term

$$H' = \sum_{i,j} j_{ij} \frac{1}{4} T_{ij}^2 \quad (11)$$

where j_{ij} is the spin current operator and T_{ij} is the kinetic energy operator. They are given respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} j &= \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + H' : \sum_{i,j} iJ b^y t - t^y b \\ \hat{T} &= \sum_{i,j} t_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + H' : \sum_{i,j} \frac{J}{2} b^2 + t^2 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We get ground state energy shift due to twist as

$$E_0 = \langle H' \rangle = \frac{N}{2} D_t^2 \quad (13)$$

$D_t (! = 0)$ is the twistiness (in two dimensions it has the dimension of energy). It is formally given by

$$D_t (!) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{\hat{T}} \sum_{n \neq 0} \frac{0 \hat{j} \hat{j}^n}{n!} \frac{0 D E_2 D E_2 1 3}{n!} \frac{n \hat{j} \hat{j}^0}{n!} \frac{C 7}{A 5} \quad (14)$$

In the absence of the hopping term and of the spin current term, the energy increase per electron is precisely J which is the bare twistiness. The first term of $D_t (!)$

is the diamagnetic current contribution to stiffness due to the average value of the kinetic energy while the second term reflects second order contribution of paramagnetic spin current conductivity" $\chi_t(\mathbf{q})$ although $\hbar\mathbf{q} = 0$. The energy levels ϵ_n are the triplet excited states for a momentum transfer \mathbf{q} (which has a gap E_g as measured by inelastic neutron spectroscopy). The spin current in the twisted frame is the response to a "twist vector potential" (engendered by local twist) just as the charge current is response to an electromagnetic vector potential. The linear coefficient of the total response is the corresponding twist stiffness. We can rewrite the expression (14) more conveniently in analogy to the missing area sum rule¹⁶ of the missing Druide weight as

$$D_t(\mathbf{q}) = D_t^0(\mathbf{q}) - \sum_{\mathbf{q}'} \chi_t(\mathbf{q}') \chi_t(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \quad (15)$$

Here the second term on the right reflects the exhaustion of twist rigidity through incoherent spin excitation where $\chi_t(\mathbf{q})$ is $\text{Im } \chi(\mathbf{q})$; the transverse spin susceptibility. From the experimental neutron data⁸, we know that $\text{Im } \chi(\mathbf{q})$ is very large at the critical hole concentration ϕ_h^c at which $T_c = 0$ while $\text{Im } \chi(\mathbf{q})$ monotonically decreases (integrated spectral weight) in the superconducting state as optimum doping ϕ_h^{opt} is approached so that we can reasonably conclude that $D_t = 0$ at $\phi_h = \phi_h^c$ while D_t ought to be a maximum at $\phi_h = \phi_h^{\text{opt}}$. In other words D_t is a correct indicator of d-wave superconductivity. The non-zero phase stiffness in conventional s-wave superconductor results from broken U(1) electromagnetic gauge symmetry. The non-zero spin stiffness in a system with long range magnetic order is associated with a broken SO(3) symmetry of the rotational invariance of the spin space and D goes to zero at $T = T_N$ when the invariance is restored. What symmetry or symmetries are broken when the phase coherent singlet d-wave ground state emerges? We may think of the d-wave superconducting state as a state where SO(4) symmetry is explicitly broken as well as U(1). The normal state is then a state with zero twist stiffness where the broken SO(4) symmetry pertaining to singlet and the three triplets has been restored. If now we accept the premise that at T_c , twist stiffness D_t goes to zero, then one makes the simple statement that kT_c is equal to the value of twist stiffness at $T = 0$ (strictly speaking one should use renormalised stiffness due to triplet excitations) and we have

$$kT_c = D_t(T = 0; \mathbf{q} = 0) \quad (16)$$

The expression relating spin stiffness to some characteristic frequency (which we shall baptise resonance frequency ω_r) can be written as^{7,18}

$$D_t(T; \mathbf{q} = 0) = \chi(\mathbf{q}) \hbar^2 \omega_r^2(T) \quad (17)$$

The resonance frequency ω_r is a small amplitude harmonic twist oscillation or rigid precession of sublattice A with respect to sublattice B: Here $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ is the

transverse spin dip magnetic susceptibility, which has its largest value at $\mathbf{q} = 0$. The transverse static susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{q})$ in the high T_c cuprates (as measured by NMR $\frac{1}{T_2}$ spin-spin relaxation rate) can be parametrised as¹⁹

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{A}{k(T + T_c)} \quad (18)$$

where A is a phenomenological constant. That this form of the static susceptibility in the normal state at $T = T_c$ is appropriate can be checked from the imaginary part of susceptibility

$$\text{Im } \chi(\mathbf{q}; T) = \frac{1}{T} \quad (19)$$

which is of a form universally observed for small $\frac{1}{T}$ ²⁰. This behavior in the normal state probably points to proximity to a quantum critical point for spin excitation. In a temperature range above T_c the spin correlations have a rapid decay in space but a slow decay in time due to a large density of $S = 1$ excited states. Real part of the dynamical susceptibility $\chi(\mathbf{q}; \omega)$ would not show a narrow peak around a specific ordering vector but $\text{Im } \chi(\mathbf{q}; \omega)$ will exhibit considerable weight at low frequency. Using expression (17) and (18), we obtain

$$\hbar\omega_r = A k T_c \quad (20)$$

This is our central result. It corroborates a posteriori




FIG. 1: Resonance frequency vs. superconducting critical temperature T_c , extracted from references [5,6,8,21].

the central assumption that the coherent part of the spectral weight is very simply related to twist stiffness and emerges as coherent resonance peak; and whose intensity reflects the incoherent spin excitations that have disappeared from the energy range $0 < \omega < E_g$ in accordance with the sum rule (15). Superconductivity can only arise as the d-wave singlets manage to shake off the triplets from the normal state soup of singlets & triplets, as a result of the opening up of the spin gap $E_g(\mathbf{q})$.

The expression (23) is plotted in figure (1), with neutron and ARPES²¹ data superimposed. The proportionality constant α measured from fig 1 gives the number $0.42 \text{ me} = K$. If we are at the critical hole doping concentration x_h^c at which both T_N , the Neel temperature & T_c ; the superconducting critical temperature are both zero, then we must have $D_s = 0$ and $D_t = 0$; signifying no long range magnetic order and no long range superfluid order; it is a quantum critical point. It is well known that Zn doping destroys T_c : It is seen by neutrons that doping with Zn introduces large low energy spin fluctuations (integrated spectral weight increases, the spin gap $E_g(\vec{q})$ rapidly goes to zero), that will drive D_t to zero suppressing T_c and killing superconductivity. The normal state can be defined as a spin liquid (by definition has no sublattice magnetisation) where we have considerable low energy spin excitation. We also require that translational invariance be unbroken for the system to qualify as a liquid. Thus it describes a gapless spin liquid more in conformity with the original suggestion of the long range RVB liquid²². In its loss of twist stiffness the spin liquid behaves like any conventional liquid losing shear rigidity at the melting transition. The concept of twist stiffness is based on infinitesimally small twist as is customary in these definitions; beyond $T = T_c$; the restored dynamical $SO(4)$ symmetry implies $b(\vec{q})$ triplet fluctuation in the spin liquid phase costing no energy around the untwisted singlet. If this symmetry persists for all twist

angles then we will be in the frustrated HENLEY limit²³ of infinite classical spin degeneracy where one sublattice A will twist freely around the other sublattice B and the two sublattices are totally decoupled. Otherwise the system may develop a region where $D_t(T = T_c)$ may become negative for large twist angles generating large singlet-triplet excursions and hence may go spontaneously to a distorted or twisted ground state²⁴. Although twist stiffness and superconducting phase stiffness are different at $T = 0$; their simultaneous disappearance at $T = T_c$ is indicated by the ARPES results²¹ of the hump and dip structure in the electronic spectral weight and point to strong coupling of triplet and phase fluctuation as T_c is approached.

Several theoretical models exist²⁵ that explain the resonance peak. Our objective in this paper has been relatively simple: can we understand the resonance peak without a detailed model and does it have some predictive ability as to the underlying symmetry nature of the normal and superconducting state? I think the arguments given in this paper will throw some new light on these issues.

The author acknowledges highly stimulating discussions with Dr M. Cuoco, He benefited from comments by M. A. Vignon, P. Bourges, T. Chatterjee, K. Jain, J. Ranninger, T. Ziman, The author is very grateful to Dr S. Fratini for a careful reading of the manuscript at various stages.

-
- ¹ H Ding et al., Nature (London) 382, 51 (1996); J M Harris et al, Phys Rev B 54, R15665 (1996).
 - ² V J. Emery & S A. Kivelson, Nature 374, 434 (1995); B K. Chakraverty, A. Taraphder & M. A. Vignon, Physica C 235-240, 2323 (1994), B K Chakraverty & T V Ramakrishnan, Physica C 282, 290 (1997).
 - ³ L P Regnault, Ph. Bourges & P Burlet - 'Neutron Scattering in Layered Copper Oxides Superconductors' Ed: A. Furrer (Kluwer Academic Publications, 1998) p. 65.
 - ⁴ J Rossat-Mignod et al, Physica B 169, 58 (1991); Physica C 185-189, 86 (1991).
 - ⁵ P Bourges et al, Phys Rev B 53, 876 (1996); P Dai et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5425 (1996); H F. Fong et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 713 (1997).
 - ⁶ H F. Fong et al, Nature (London) 398, 588 (1999).
 - ⁷ B. Lake et al, Nature (London) 400, 43 (1999).
 - ⁸ P. Bourges, 'The gap Symmetry & Fluctuations in High T_c Superconductors' (Ed: J Bok et al, Plenum Press, N.Y., 1998) p. 85; cond-mat/9901333.
 - ⁹ W. Kohn, Phys Rev 133, A171 (1964)
 - ¹⁰ B. Sriram Shastry & B. Sutherland - Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 243 (1990).
 - ¹¹ D J. Scalapino, S R. White & S C. Zhang - Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2830 (1992)
 - ¹² J.J. Sakurai, "Advanced quantum mechanics" (Benjamin Publishing, California, U S A 1984) p. 169.
 - ¹³ S. Sachdev & R N. Bhatt, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9323 (1990).
 - ¹⁴ F C. Zhang & T M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3759 (1988).
 - ¹⁵ A. Paramakanti, M. Randeria and N. Trivedi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 217002 (2001)
 - ¹⁶ R E. Glover & M. Tinkham, Phys. Rev. 104, 844 (1956)
 - ¹⁷ B I. Halperin & P C. Hohenberg - Phys. Rev. 177, 952 (1969).
 - ¹⁸ A. J. Leggett - Nobel Prize Lecture, 2003, Rev Mod Phys, 76, 999 (2004).
 - ¹⁹ Y. Itoh et al, J. Phys. Soc. Japan 61 1287 (1992); M. H. Julien, - Thesis, University of Grenoble, France 1997, p 51
 - ²⁰ B. Keimer et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 1930 (1991)
 - ²¹ J C Camuzano et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 3709 (1999).
 - ²² P W. Anderson, G. Baskaran, Z Zou & T Hsu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2790 (1987).
 - ²³ C. L. Henley, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2056 (1989).
 - ²⁴ B. Shraiman & E. D Siggia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1564 (1989).
 - ²⁵ K. Maki & H. W on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1758 (1993); E. Demler & S C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 4126 (1995); Chien-hua Pao & N E. Bickers, Phys Rev B 51, 16310 (1995); N. Bulut & D J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. 53, 5149 (1996); E. Altman & A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B 65, 104508 (2002).