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#### Abstract

Reciprocity is a second-order correlation that has been recently detected in all real directed netw orks and show $n$ to have a cruciale ect on the dynam icalprocesses taking place on them. H ow ever, no current theoretical $m$ odel generates netw orks $w$ ith th is nontrivial property. H ere we propose a grandcanonical class ofm odels reproducing the observed pattems of reciprocity by regarding single and double links as Ferm i particles of di erent them ical species' govemed by the corresponding chem icalpotentials. W ith in this fram ew ork we nd interesting special cases such as the extensions of random graphs, the con guration $m$ odeland hidden-variable $m$ odels. O ur theoreticalpredictions are also in excellent agreem ent w ith the em pirical results for netw orks w ith well studied recip rocity.


89.75.k

The topological properties of netw orks are know $n$ to a ect crucially the outcom es of dynam icalprocesses taking place on them $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[112} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ played by the (second-order) correlations betw een vertex degrees, which have strong e ects on $m$ any processes in-
 netw orks have been recently shown $[\overline{3} \overline{1}]$ to display an additional type of second-order correlation: the nonrandom presence ofm utual links betw een vertiges, or reciprocity. N ontrivial reciprocity is found in all real netw orks, and provides new insights into their topology [ reciprocity $w$ as recently show $n$ to change dram atically the properties of percolation $\left[\frac{1}{4}\right]$ and epidem ic spreading $\left[{ }_{5}^{\prime}\right]$, and that these unexpected dynam ical properties are triggered even by a sm all fraction of bidirectional links [4] ${ }_{2}^{1}$ ]. H ow ever, despite its ubiquity and relevance, reciprocity is currently not reproduced by any model.

In this Letter we introduce a general theory for netw orks displaying nontrivial reciprocity by extending various im portantm odels_(including random graphs [11, con guration $m$ odel variable $m$ odels $[d[1][1]$. Since all these $m$ odels can be obtained as particular cases of the general class of exponentialm odels' [1] In, a unifying approach is to extend the latter to include reciprocity, so that all the particular cases are autom atically m odi ed accordingly. T herefore we rst reform ulate the standard results for the exponentialm odel de ned by the graph $H$ am iltonian'

$$
H=\sum_{i \neq j}^{X} i j a_{i j}
$$

$w$ here $a_{i j}=1$ if a link from $i$ to $j$ is there (and 0 otherw ise), and ij is the energy' (or bost') of such a link. $T$ he grand partition function and grand potential read

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln Z=\quad \ln Z_{i j}=\quad i j  \tag{3}\\
& \text { if } j \quad i \neq j
\end{align*}
$$

where is the chem ical potential and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i j} \quad 1+e^{i j} \quad{ }_{i j} \quad \ln Z_{i j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e note that is not considered explicitly in the literature $[\overline{[1]}]$ lince its role can be played by an additionalconstant term in H. H ow ever, since in what follow s we shall introduce $m$ ore them icalspecies', we prefer to adopt the inverse strategy to keep and reabsorbe any constant energy term into it (this point will be m ade clearer below). This also allow s us to obtain $m$ any expected topological properties as derivatives of $w$ ith respect to . For instance, the probability $p_{i j}$ of a directed link from $i$ to $j$ and the expected num ber hLi of directed links read

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}=h a_{i j} i=\frac{@ i j}{@}=\frac{1}{1+e^{i j}} \quad h L i=\frac{@}{@}={ }_{i \neq j}^{X} p_{i j} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

M any static models can be recovered as particular cases of this form alism [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$. For instance, the case ${ }_{i j}=$ is the directed version of the random graph m odel:
where we have reabsorbed in a rede nition of . Another interesting case is the additive one $i j=i+{ }_{j}$, which corresponds to the grandcanonical version [lig $]$ of the directed con guration $m$ odel $\left[\operatorname{lin}_{1-1}^{n} \bar{n}\right]:$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\underbrace{X}_{i}\left({ }_{i} k_{i}^{\text {out }}+{ }_{i} k_{i}^{\text {in }}\right) \quad p_{i j}=\frac{z x_{i} Y_{j}}{1+z x_{i} Y_{j}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the 'fugacity' $z \quad e$ and the 'tness values' $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{Y}_{j} \quad \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j}}$. We nally note that, while the above case corresponds to the choige $i_{i j}=$
$\ln \left(X_{i} Y_{j}\right)$, the general form $i_{j}=\left(x_{i} ; Y_{j}\right)$ is equivalent to the whole class of (directed) hidden-variable models $\left[\frac{10}{10} 10\right]$ de ned by the corresponding tness-dependent probability $p\left(x_{i} ; Y_{j}\right)$. Therefore all the $m$ ost relevant static network models can be recovered as particular cases of eq. (1]-1 . 1 . H ow ever, in all such cases the expected
reciprocity is trivial, since the probability of having a link from $i$ to $j$ is independent on the probability of having the reciprocal link from $j$ to $i\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. In other words, if we write the reciprocity $r$ and its random value $r_{\text {rand }}$ []

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad \frac{L^{\$}}{L} \quad r_{\text {rand }}=a=\frac{L}{N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $L^{\$} \quad$ if ${ }^{\$} a_{i j} a_{j i}$ is the num ber of reciprocated links), all the above $m$ odels display the trivial expected value hri $=h r_{\text {rand }} i$. The only way to have a nontrivial value of hri is adding an extra term to eq. (1, ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sum_{i \neq j}^{X} i j a_{i j}+H^{0} \quad H^{0}=\quad \overline{2}^{\$} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This choice de nes the reciprocity $m$ odel rst proposed in ref. [12] and recently studied analytically by $P$ ark and N ewm an $\left[\right.$ [1] $\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ in the case $\mathrm{ij}=$ by treating $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ as a perturbation. They found that in this particular case the perturbation expansion can be resum $m$ ed to allorders to give an exact expression for Z [1]. In our notation with
absorbed in , the nalexpression for hri is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h r i=\frac{1}{1+e} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hri ? $\mathrm{hr}_{\text {rand }} i$ whenever ? 0 [ $\left.]_{1}^{1} 1\right]$. Therefore the reciprocity of this $m$ odel can be tuned to any desired value, how ever other fundam ental topological properties (such as scale-free behaviour) discovered $m$ ore recently are not reproduced. M oreover, the perturbative approach is analytically com plicated and yields exact results only in the particular case described above.

A non-perturbative theoretical $m$ odel which reproduces all the relevant topological properties including the reciprocity is therefore $m$ issing. We now de ne a general class of such $m$ odels by regarding reciprocated and non-reciprocated links as di erent them icalspecies', each govemed by the corresponding chem ical potential. In particular, we consider each pair of vertioes $i, j$ only once (say, with i< j) and regard a non-reciprocated link from ito $j$ as a particle' of the chem ical species labeled by the sym bol (! ), a non-reciprocated link from $j$ to $i$ as a particle of species ( ), and two mutual links betw een i and j as a single particle oftype (\$ ). W e denote the num bers of particles of such chem ical species by $n$ !, $n$ and $n^{\$}$ respectively, and the corresponding chem ical potentials by ! , and $\$$. The num ber of reciprocated links is therefore $L^{\$}=2 n^{\$}$, and the num ber of non-reciprocated links is $L L^{\$}=n!+n$, so that $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{n}^{!}+\mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{n}^{\text {§ }}$. O ur form alism corresponds to the decom position of any directed graph $w$ th adjacency $m$ atrix $a_{i j}$ into three distinct graphs, $w$ ith adjacency $m$ atrices $a_{i j}^{!} \quad a_{i j}\left(1 \quad a_{j i}\right), a_{i j} \quad a_{j i}\left(1 \quad a_{i j}\right)$ and $a_{i j}^{\$} \quad a_{i j} a_{i i}$. W e can now generalize the H am iltonian de ned in eq. (1, $\mathbf{1}^{1}$ ) to the case w th three chem ical species:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\underbrace{X}_{i<j}\left(!{ }_{i j}^{!} a_{i j}^{!}+{ }_{i j} a_{i j}+{ }_{i j}^{\$} a_{i j}^{\$}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The grand partition function is now


Z
.
where we have de ned the vertex-pair partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.Z_{i j} \quad 1+e^{(!} \quad \frac{1}{i j}\right)+e^{(i j)}+e^{(s}{ }_{i j}^{s}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that, when exchanging sum $s$ and products in eq.(12), we have replaced the sum over the con gurations $f a_{i j}^{!} g ; f a_{i j} g ; f a_{i j}^{\$} g$ with a sum over the allowed states $\left(a_{i j}^{!} ; a_{i j} ; a_{i j}^{\text {s }}\right)=\mathrm{f}(0 ; 0 ; 0) ;(0 ; 0 ; 1) ;(0 ; 1 ; 0) ;(1 ; 0 ; 0) \mathrm{g}$, nonzero adjacency $m$ atrix elem ents being mutually excluding. The grand potential is
where ij $\quad \ln Z_{i j}$. O urm odel is com pletely de ned. For each unrepeated pair of vertioes $i<j$, the probabilities of having a non-reciprocated link from ito $j$, a non-reciprocated link from $j$ to $i$, tw o reciprocated links betw een $i$ and j, or no link at all are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{i j}^{!}=h a_{i j}^{!} i=\frac{@{ }_{i j}}{@!}=\frac{\left.e^{(!}{ }_{i j}\right)}{Z_{i j}}  \tag{15}\\
& p_{i j}=h a_{i j} i=\frac{@{ }_{i j}}{@}=\frac{e^{( }{ }^{(i j)}}{Z_{i j}}  \tag{16}\\
& p_{i j}^{s}=h a_{i j}^{s} i=\frac{@ i_{i j}}{@ s}=\frac{\left.e^{(s}{ }_{i j}^{s}\right)}{Z_{i j}}  \tag{17}\\
& p_{i j}^{=}=1 \quad p_{i j}^{!} \quad p_{i j} \quad p_{i j}^{s} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. $N$ ote that form ally eqs. 15 ned for i> j. H ow ever, since (! ) and ( ) are actually the sam e chem ical species which has been split' in order to consider each pair of vertioes only once, we require $p_{i j}^{!}=p_{j i}$ for $i>j$. Sim ilarly, we require $p_{i j}^{\$}=p_{j i}^{\$}$ and $p_{i j}^{=}=p_{j i}^{\overline{=}}$. This is realized by setting $!=, \quad{ }_{i j}^{\prime}={ }_{j i}$ and $\$$ and $p_{i j}^{5}$ to de ne the $m$ odel com pletely. We can write the ordinary (unconditional) probability $p_{i j}$ and the conditionalprobability $r_{i j}$ introduced in ref. [3] $]$ explicitly as

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i j} p(i!j)=p_{i j}^{!}+p_{i j}^{s}  \tag{19}\\
r_{i j} \quad p(i!j j!!i)=\frac{p_{i j}^{s}}{p_{j i}}=\frac{1}{1+e^{(!} \sum_{j i}^{!}}+\frac{s i j)}{s} \tag{20}
\end{gather*}
$$

and the expected values hri and $h r_{\text {rand }} i$ can be obfained ["] $]$ either as the aperage values $h r_{\text {rand }} i=$
${ }_{i}{ }_{j} p_{i j}=N(\mathbb{N}$

1) and hri $=$
${ }_{i f}{ }_{j} r_{i j}=N \mathbb{N}$
2) or from
$\mathrm{hn}^{\$} \mathrm{i}=\frac{@}{@ \$} \mathrm{hn}!\mathrm{i}=\frac{@}{@!} \mathrm{hn} \quad i=\frac{@}{@}$
(21)
$N$ ote that hri $=h r_{\text {rand }} i$ if $r_{i j}=p_{i j}$ ．Unlike the model de ned in eq． $19{ }^{-1}$ ，in our $m$ ulti－species form alism all the term s of the H am iltonian are equally im portant，with no perturbations＇．As a consequence，our results are obtained in a non－perturbative way and are exact for all choices of H．This rem arkable advantage allow s to perform otherw ise com plicated analytical calculations in a very sim ple and direct way．

N ow we consider various special cases of our m odel． The simplest choige ${ }_{i j}^{!}=!$and ${ }_{i j}^{\$}=\$$ yields
$H=!\left(n^{!}+n\right)+\$ n^{\$}=!L+\frac{\$ 2}{2} L^{\$}$
which is the reciprocity model de ned by eq．（श⿹勹巳刂$)$ in the case $\mathrm{ij}^{=} \mathrm{w}$ ith the identi cation ！$=$ and $\$=$ 2 ．A fter ！and \＄are reabsorbed in ！and \＄， this identi cation becomes ！$=$ and $\$=2+$ ，or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\$=2!+ \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we expect to recover eq．（1］ $1 \mathbf{1}$ ）through it．We nd

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{i j}^{!}=\frac{e^{!}}{1+2 e^{!}+e^{s}} \quad p_{i j}^{s}=\frac{e^{s}}{1+2 e^{!}+e^{s}}  \tag{24}\\
h r i=r_{i j}=\frac{1}{\left.1+e^{(!} s^{s}\right)} \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

and eq．（25）is indeed equivalent to eq．（1］）through eq．（23）．Therefore we recover the results by Park and N ew m an［11］ m uch m ore directly，and we can also gener－ alize them im $m$ ediately to $m$ ore realistic $H$ am iltonians．

A nother case is the additive choige ${ }_{i j}={ }_{i}+{ }_{j}$ ，${ }_{i j}=$ $i^{+}$．If we de ne the non－reciprocated out－and in－ degrees $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the reciprocated degree $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\$}$［4］${ }^{4}$＂，

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
k_{i}^{!} & \mathrm{X} & a_{i j}^{!} & k_{i} & \mathrm{X} & a_{i j} & k_{i}^{\$} &  \tag{26}\\
& { }_{j} & a_{i j}^{\$}
\end{array}
$$

then we can rew rite the H am iltonian as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}={ }^{\mathrm{X}}\left({ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{!}+{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}+{ }_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\$}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation $\left(\overline{2}_{2} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ should be com pared with eq．$\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ ．W hile
 quences $f k_{i}^{\text {in }} g$ ，$f k_{i}^{\text {out }} g$ appear in $H$ and are preserved while higher－order properties are random ized，here the sam e happens for the three degree sequences $f \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}}^{!} \mathrm{g}, \mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\$} \mathrm{~g}$ ． We e can therefore denote this case as the con guration $m$ odel $w$ ith reciprocity．The di erence in term $s$ of the statistical weight of graphs in the ensem－ ble is shown in $g$ ．n．The graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ have the same $f k_{i}^{\text {in }} g$ and $f k_{i}^{\text {out }} g$ and the same $f k_{i}^{l} g$ ，$f k_{i} g$ and $\mathrm{fk}_{i}^{\$} \mathrm{~g}$ ，and are equiprobable in both m odels．The sam e occurs for $G_{3}$ and $G_{4}$ ．By contrast，$G_{5}$ and $G_{6}$ have the sam e $f k_{i}^{\text {in }} g, f k_{i}^{\text {out }} g$ but di erent $f k_{i}^{!} g, f k_{i} g$ and $f k_{i}^{\$} g$ ． $T$ herefore in the ordinary con guration $m$ odel they are equiprobable，while in our model they are not．Trans－ form ing $G_{1}$ into $G_{2}$ and $G_{3}$ into $G_{4}$（but not $G_{5}$ into $G_{6}$ ）


F IG ．1．P ossible pairs of graphs in the statistical ensem ble．
can also be considered as the allow ed generalizations of
 to detect higher－order correlations．H ere the reciprocity is preserved while random izing the netw ork．This is pos－ sible since we have two fugacities $z^{!} e^{!}, z^{\$} e^{s}$ and three thess variables $x_{i} \quad e^{i}, y_{i} \quad e^{i}, w_{i}$ $e{ }^{i}$ determ ining the probabilities

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{i j}^{!} & =\frac{z^{!} x_{i} Y_{j}}{1+z^{!} x_{i} Y_{j}+z^{!} x_{j} Y_{i}+z^{\$} w_{i} w_{j}}  \tag{28}\\
p_{i j}^{\$} & =\frac{z^{\$} w_{i} w_{j}}{1+z^{!} x_{i} Y_{j}+z^{!} x_{j} Y_{i}+z^{\$} w_{i} w_{j}}  \tag{29}\\
r_{i j} & =\frac{z^{\$} w_{i} w_{j}}{z^{\$} w_{i} w_{j}+z^{!} x_{i} Y_{j}} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

and goveming separately the various expected degrees

$$
\begin{equation*}
h k_{i}^{!} i={ }^{X} p_{i j}^{!} \quad h k_{i} \quad i={ }_{j}^{X} p_{i j} \quad h k_{i}^{\$} i={ }_{j}^{X} p_{i j}^{\$} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The possibility to control the above degrees indepen－ dently of each other is a rem arkable advantage of our m odel．Even if all realdirected netw orks display a non－ trivial reciprocity structure $\left.{ }_{12}^{1}\right]$ ，the $m$ odeling of dynam－ ical processes is $m$ ostly perform ed on purely directed or purely undirected netw orks［1］in＇］．H ow ever，it has been recently show $n$ that the dynam ics of percolation［4］$\left.{ }_{[1}^{1}\right]$ and epidem ic spreading［ET］crucially depends on the degree sequencies $f k_{i}^{!} g$ ， $\mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{g}$ and $\mathrm{fk}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\$} \mathrm{~g}$ ，its general properties being di erent from the sim pler behaviour studied on purely undirected netw orks（where $k_{i}^{!}=k_{i}=08 i$ ）or purely directed netw orks（ w here $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\$}=08 \mathrm{i}$ ）．It has also been show $n$ that on scale－firee netw orks bidirectionallinks act as percolation catalysts＇［ill $]$ ，since even an in nitely sm all fraction of them determ ines a phase transition $w$ ith the onset of a giant strongly connected com ponent．T hus our m odel provides a way to generate random netw orks with an explicit reciprocity structure，where dynam ical processes can be studied $m$ ore realistically．

Them ost generalcase w ith arbitrary tness－dependent probabilities $p_{i j}^{!}=p^{!}\left(x_{i} ; y_{j}\right), p_{i j}^{\$}=p^{\$}\left(w_{i} ; w_{j}\right)$ repre－ sents the hidden variable $m$ odel $w$ ith reciprocity．Each vertex is now characterized by three quantities $x, y, z$ determ ining its expected degrees through eqs．（3근）．A s a rst exam ple，we consider $x_{i}=y_{i}=w_{i} 8 i$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}^{!}=\frac{z^{!} x_{i} x_{j}}{1+\left(2 z^{!}+z^{\$}\right) x_{i} x_{j}} \quad p_{i j}^{\$}=\frac{z^{\$} x_{i} x_{j}}{1+\left(2 z^{!}+z^{\$}\right) x_{i} x_{j}} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{!}$and $z^{\$}$ are de ned as above. This m odel reproduces perfectly all the topological properties of the W orld TradeW eb (W TW ), where $x_{i}$ is identi ed w ith the totalG ross D om estic P roduct ofeach country i To see this, note that in this case the conditional probability $\left(\mathbf{2}^{(2)}\right)$ tums out to be constant and equal to the reciprocity $r$ of the netw ork, which is an em piricalproperty observed in each snapshot of the realW TW $[3$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j}=r=\frac{1}{\left.1+e^{(!}{ }^{s}\right)}=\frac{z^{\$}}{z^{!}+z^{\$}} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then note that ifw e regard the netw ork as undirected by draw ing an undirected link betw een tw o vertices i and j if they are connected by at least one directed link in any direction, the probability of such an undirected link is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{i j}=p_{i j}^{!}+p_{i j}+p_{i j}^{\$}=\frac{\left(2 z^{!}+z^{\$}\right) x_{i} x_{j}}{1+\left(2 z^{!}+z^{\$}\right) x_{i} x_{j}} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the above expression is exactly the one which in ref.
 ties of the undirected W TW . In other words, eqs. (32-1) describe com pletely the topology of the W TW, including its reciprocity. W e recallthat, having the form ofa Ferm i function, $q_{i j}$ _ 1 for large $x_{i} x_{j}$, which im plies the quantum e ect' ${ }^{[1 / 1}$, that the W TW is not scale-free even if x is em pirically found to be pow er-law distributed that this m odel can also be obtained from eq. ( $2 \psi_{1}$ ) setting $i=i=i$ and introducing the undirected degree $k_{i} m$ easured on the undirected version of the graph:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H={ }_{i}^{X} \quad i\left(k_{i}^{!}+k_{i}+k_{i}^{\$}\right)={ }_{i}^{X}{ }_{i} k_{i} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our second exam ple concems shareholding netw orks (SN ). In ref. $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[ } \\ L_{-}\end{array}\right]$it has been show $n$ that the ordinary' hidden-variable m odel successfully reproduces the properties of $S N$ if the unconditionalprobability has the form $p_{i j}=p\left(x_{i} ; y_{j}\right)=y_{j} f\left(x_{i}\right)$ where $y_{j}$ is the w ealth invested by the agent $j$ and $x_{i}$ is the inform ation associated to the asset i. On the other hand, in ref. [1] 1 ] we show ed that the SN forNY SE and NASDAQ have no reciprocated links, a property not reproduced by the above form for $p_{i j}$. O ur m odel reproduces all these properties by setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{i j}^{!}=y_{j} f\left(x_{i}\right) \quad p_{i j}^{\$}=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e recall that, unlike the W TW, SN are in the classical lim it' where the em pirical pow er-law distribution of $y$ is re ected in a scale-free degree distribution [151].

W e nally propose an interpretation ofeq. (23) in term $s$ of a chem ical reaction' converting the chem ical species (! ), ( ) and (\$ ) into each other. Let us rst consider our system when $=0$. Since in this case the graphs $G_{5}$ and $G_{6}$ in $g_{11}^{1} 1$ their particles' must be connected through the following chem ical reaction which is at equilibrium :
$(A \$ B)+(C!D)=(A!B)+(B!D)+(A \quad C)$
The condition for equilibrium is obtained by replacing in the above expression each chem ical species $w$ ith its chem icalpotential, which gives $\$=2!$, consistently w ith eq. (231) since $=0$. W hen 0 the graphs $\mathrm{G}_{5}$ and $G_{6}$ have di erent statistical $w$ eights, $m$ eaning that the above chem ical reaction occurs $w$ ith the release of an additional energy' such that ${ }^{\$}=2^{!}+$as in eq. (23). W hen $>0$ the reaction is esotherm ic' and the production of reciprocated links is energetically favoured, while when $<0$ the reaction is endothem id' and the production of reciprocated links is suppressed.

W e have introduced the rst theoreticalm odel reproducing the nontrivial properties of real netw orks including their reciprocity. O ur results provide an im proved characterization of the netw ork topology and a basis for the investigation of the e ects of reciprocity on netw ork dynam ics. T hese ideas, inspired by the Ferm i statistics of $m$ ultispecies system $s$, can be directly generalized to netw orks w ith di erent types of links (in preparation).
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