A ntiferrom agnetism and singlet form ation in underdoped high-T c cuprates: Im plications for superconducting pairing

Damien Bensim on¹, and Naoto Nagaosa^{2,3}

¹D epartm ent of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan
²CREST, D epartm ent of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan
³Correlated Electron Research Center (CERC), National Institute of Advanced Industrial

Science and Technology (AIST), Tsukuba Central 4, Tsukuba 305-8562, Japan

(D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

The extended t J model is theoretically studied, in the context of hole underdoped cuprates. B ased on results obtained by recent numerical studies, we identify the mean eld state having both the antiferrom agnetic and staggered ux resonating valence bond orders. The random phase approximation is employed to analyze all the possible collective modes in this mean eld state. In the static (B ardeen C cooper Schrie er) lim it justi ed in the weak coupling regime, we obtain the elective superconducting interaction between the doped holes at the small pockets located around k = (=2; =2). In contrast to the spin-bag theory, which takes into account only the antiferrom agnetic order, this elective force is pair breaking for the pairing without the nodes in each of the smallhole pocket, and is canceled out to be very small for the dx² y² pairing with nodes which is realized in the real cuprates. Therefore we conclude that no superconducting instability can occur when only the magnetic mechanism is considered. The relations of our work with other approaches are also discussed.

I. IN TRODUCTION

Since the discovery of high tem perature superconducting cuprates,¹ it has been established that the strong Coulomb repulsion between electrons plays an essential role in the physics there² and the magnetic mechanism of the superconductivity has been studied intensively. There are two streams of thoughts; one is the antiferrom agnetic (AF) spin uctuation exchange based on the (nearly) AF ordered state, while the other is the resonating valence bond (RVB) mechanism with the focus being put on the spin singlet formation by the kinetic exchange interaction J. The representative of the form er is the spin-bag theory 3,4 where the doped carriers into the spin density wave (SDW) state form small hole pockets, and exchange the AF spin uctuation to result in the d_{xv} superconductivity. The z-component of the spin uctuation, i.e., ^{zz}, gives the dom inant contribution. The RVB picture, on the other hand, puts more weight on the spin singlet form ation and takes into account the order param eterde ned on the bond but usually does not consider the antiferrom agnetic long range ordering (AFLRO).⁵ These two scenarios have been studied rather separately thus far, and the relation between them remains unclear. Hsu was the rst to take into account both the AFLRO and the RVB correlation at half-lling in terms of the Gutzwiller approximation.6 H is picture is that the AFLRO occurs on top of the dwave RVB or equivalently the ux state. It is also supported by the variational M onte C arlo study on H eisenberg model, which shows that the Gutzwiller-projected wavefunction RVB+SDW starting from the coexisting dwave RVB and SDW mean eld state gives an excellent agreem ent with the exact diagonalization concerning the

ground state energy and staggered m om ent.⁷ The variational wavefunction projecting the simple SDW state, on the other hand, gives higher energy. These results mean that both aspects, i.e., SDW and RVB, coexist in the Mott insulator. At nite hole doping concentration x, the AFLRO is rapidly suppressed and the superconductivity emerges. Here an important question still remains, namely short range AF uctuation dom inates or the RVB correlation is more in portant. Because there is no AFLRO and no distinction between ^{zz} and . this question m ight appear an academ ic one with the reality being som ew here inbetween. Well-known results from the variational M onte C arlo studies are that the variational wavefunction RVB+SDW has the lowest energy therefore RVB (superconductivity) and SDW coexist also at sm all doping level.⁸ From the view point of the particle-hole SU (2) sym m etry, this m eans that the degeneracy, i.e., the SU (2) gauge sym metry, between d-wave pairing and - ux state is lifted at nite x, and the form er has low er energy.

However this accepted view has been challenged by recent studies based on the exact diagonalization⁹ and variational M onte Carlo m ethod.7 These works found that the energetically most favorable state with small pockets at sm all hole doping levels is not superconducting. This nonsuperconducting state is consistent with the doped SDW + - ux state with the hole pockets near k = (=2;=2). Thism eans that the mean eld picture is not so reliable at sm all x because the phase uctuation of the superconductivity is huge at small x where the charge density, which is the canonical conjugate operator to the phase, is suppressed. Once the superconductivity is destroyed by this quantum uctuation, the only order surviving is the AFLRO, and the system remains nonsuperconducting. Considering that the AFLRO state at x = 0 is well described by the SDW + (d-wave RVB or - ux) state, the relevant mean eld state at sm all but nite x is SDW + - ux state with sm all hole pockets.

From the experimental side, recent ARPES datas on Na-CCOC found the small "Fermi arc" near k =(=2;=2), and the k-dependence of the "pseudo-gap" is quite di erent from that of (cosk, cosk, expected for the d_{x^2} y^2 pairing 10,11 T his result strongly suggests that the pseudo-gap is distinct from the superconducting gap, and the superconductivity comes from some other interaction (s) di erent from J. Although only the "arc" is observed experim entally, the Ferm i surface can not term inate at som e k-points inside of the Brillouin zone (BZ). Considering that the Ferm i surface disappears with a large pseudogap at the anti-nodal direction, i.e., near k = (;0) and (0;), it is natural to assume that the sm all hole pocket is form ed, along half of which the intensity is small and/or too broad to be observed experimentally.¹² Then the question of the pairing sym metry arises because $d_{x^2} \ v^2$ requires the nodes at the sm all hole pockets, which usually reduces the condensation energy and is energetically unfavorable. The natural symmetry appears to be d_{xy} without the nodes at the hole pockets, as has been claim ed by the original spinbag scenario.^{3,4}

In this paper, we study the superconductivity of the doped SDW + - ux state for sm allx. This state includes both the RVB correlation and the AFLRO. There are two important e ects of this RVB correlation; one is to introduce the parity anomaly to the nodal D irac fermions at k = (=2; =2) and the other is to enhance the transverse spin-spin correlation compared with the longitudinal ^{zz}. These two aspects might have crutial in uence on the superconductivity in the underdoped region. We have employed the 1=N -expansion, or random phase approximation (RPA), to derive the elective interaction between the quasi-particles along the small hole pockets and the pairing force derived from it.

The plan of this paper follows. In Section II, we discuss the model, formulation, and its mean eld treatment. The Gaussian (second order) uctuation around the mean eld saddle point is treated and the elective interactions between the quasi-particles are studied in Section III. Section IV is devoted to discussion and conclusions.

II. MODEL AND MEAN FIELD THEORY

A. Form ulation of the m icroscopic m odel

The microscopic model of cuprates we consider for the study is the well-known t $J \mod 1^{5,13}$. The second and third nearest neighbor hopping terms are taken into account,¹⁴ as they are necessary to describe the ARPES experiments measurements.¹⁵ We use the slave bosons

representation^{16,17} of the electronic operators

$$c_{i;}^{y} = f_{i;}^{y} b_{i}; \qquad (1)$$

with the constraint

$$b_{i}^{y}b_{i} + \int_{=\#,"}^{X} f_{i;}^{y} f_{i;} = 1:$$
(2)

In terms of this form alism, the double occupancy of each site is excluded.^{18,19,20} The operators f^{Y} , f are the ferm ionic ones while b^{Y} , b are bosonic ones (slave bosons).^{21,22} In all what follows we will exclusively work at zero tem perature, and all the slave bosons will be assumed to be condensed. (In actual calculation, we take an extrem ely low tem perature by technical reason. The results, how ever, are saturated and can be regarded as those at zero tem perature.)

W e consider the follow ing H am iltonian on a square lattice with the lattice constant put to be unity and containing N $_{\rm S}$ sites

$$H = \begin{array}{cccc} X & X & X & X \\ H = & t_{ij} + & t_{ij}^{0} + & t_{ij}^{0} \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ &$$

where hi, hi[°], hi[°] denote nearest neighbor, second nearest neighbor and third nearest neighbor pair, respectively. We assume that the hopping contribution is uniform: $t_{ij} = t$, $t_{ij}^{°} = t^{°}$, $t_{ij}^{°} = t^{°}$. For $t^{0} < 0$; $t^{00} > 0$ the previous H am iltonian deals with hole doped (p-type) cuprates, while the case $t^{0} > 0$; $t^{00} < 0$ concerns electron doped (n-type) cuprates. The unity of energy is: t = 0.4 eV = 1, and we will only study the hole doped case. Following Ref. 15 we assume $t^{°} = 0.3$, $t^{°} = 0.2$ for the extended hopping term s, and J = 0.3 for the H eisenberg interaction. We have checked that slight variations in the num erical values of these parameters do not induce any signi cant m odi cation of our results, as discussed in Section IIIC.

We construct the mean eld theory to study this Hamiltonian. It has been recognized that the validity of the mean eld theory is much less trivial in the theory with constraint. The simple comparison of energy does not o er the criterion because the mean eld theory violates the constraint, and hence can lower the energy in the physically forbidden H ilbert space. Therefore the choice of the mean eld theory requires a physical intuition, and we employ the nonsuperconducting saddle point according to the reasons explained in the Introduction. In order to study simultaneously the in uences of antiferrom agnetism and ux in the resonating valence bond state, we introduce the two mean eld param eters. The rst one is a staggered magnetization^{3,4}

$$m_{i}^{s_{m}} = hS_{i}^{s_{m}} i; S_{i}^{s_{m}} = \frac{1}{2} X_{i;}^{X} e_{i;}^{s_{m}} of_{i;} o; \qquad (4)$$



FIG.1: (Color online) Square lattice exhibiting simultaneously a staggered magnetization and a ux phase.

with $s_m = x, y, z$, and e^{s_m} being the Pauli matrices. The second one is the ux phase parameter^{23,24}

$$i_{j} = h \sum_{\substack{i \neq i \\ i \neq i \neq x}}^{X} f_{i;}^{y} f_{j;} i; = j_{ij} j_{i}$$

$$i_{j;i+x} = \exp + i_{\overline{4}} (1)^{i};$$

$$i_{j;i+y} = \exp i_{\overline{4}} (1)^{i}: (5)$$

Fig. 1 shows the pattern of the ux and the staggered magnetization on the square lattice.

W e rewrite the model H am iltonian (3) as

$$H = Ht + Hm + H ; (6)$$

w ith

$$H^{t} = \begin{array}{cccc} X & X & X & X \\ H^{t} = & t & t^{0} & t^{0} \\ & & &$$

$$H^{m} = J S_{i} S_{j};$$

$$H^{m} = (1) J S_{i} S_{i} S_{i};$$

$$H = (1) J S_{i} S_{i} S_{i};$$

$$H^{n} = (1) J^{n} S_{i} S^{n};$$

$$H^{n} = (1) J^{n} S^{n} S^{n};$$

$$H^{n} = (1) J^{n} S^{n};$$

The mean eld Ham iltonian for each parameter is given by

$$H_{MF}^{m} = J X X \\ h_{i;j1}^{m} S_{m}^{m} = x_{i}y_{;z}$$

$$m_{j}^{m} S_{i}^{m} + m_{j}^{m} S_{j}^{m} \\ h_{i;j1}^{m} S_{m}^{m} = x_{i}y_{;z}$$

$$m_{j}^{m} m_{j}^{m} ;$$

$$H_{MF} = \frac{(1)J}{2} X X \\ ijf_{j;}^{y} f_{i;} + ijf_{i;}^{y} f_{j;} \\ + \frac{(1)J}{2} X \\ h_{i;j1}^{m} ij ij;$$

In the previous expressions a parameter has been introduced to divide the H eisenberg exchange interaction into AF part and ux part. Here, the value of is determ ined so as to reproduce the optim ized result by the G utzw iller variational method at half-lling.⁶ Hence should be regarded as a variational parameter, but we will keep it constant (= 0:301127, as explained in Section IIC) independently of the doping. We work in a path integral form alism by using the Stratonovitch -H ubbard transform ation.^{25,26} The partition function is written

$$Z = D D D D m D D b exp d L(); (8)$$

with $= 1=k_B T$ the therm all factor and the Lagrangian

In Eq. (9) $_{i;}$, $_{i;}$ are the G rassm ann variables associated with the $f_{i;}^{y}$, $f_{i;}$ operators, respectively. The Lagrange multipliers (i $_{i}$) assure that the constraint of no double occupancy (2) is satisfied. The chemical potential associated with the fermions controls the electron density n_{f} , and hence the hole dopping level x.

B. Saddle point hypothesis and derivation of the $$\rm m\ ean\ eld\ H\ am\ ilton\ ian\ }$

To identify the saddle point solution we consider the following assumptions. As we work at zero temperature, all the holons are supposed to be condensed, i.e., $(b_i)_0 = b_0$ with $(b_0)^2 = x$ where x is the hole doping concentration. Therefore the f operators can be sim – ply viewed as the renormalized electron operators. The Lagrange multipliers are considered independent of the sites, which signi es that the constraint is imposed on average at the mean eld level

$$(i_{i})_{0} = _{0}:$$
 (10)

For the antiferrom agnetic part we impose an AF order polarized in z-direction

$$(m_{i}^{x})_{0} = 0; (m_{i}^{y})_{0} = 0; (m_{i}^{z})_{0} = (1)^{i}m:$$
 (11)

Concerning the ux contribution we impose at half-lling (x = 0) = -ux scheme (=), which is energetically

the most favorable case for a square lattice²⁷

To write the mean eld Ham iltonian H $_{\rm M}$ $_{\rm F}$, we note that the wavevector of the AF and - ux is Q = (;). Therefore the sum mation over the momentum is done in the reduced magnetic rst BZ. The borders of the magnetic (or reduced) BZ are given by the Ferm i surface of the Hubbard model at half lling. The reduced BZ is characterized by the nesting property under the vector Q = (;). H_{\rm M} $_{\rm F}$ can be expressed in an appropriate spinor basis of the momentum space

$$f_{k}; f_{k+Q};$$
 (13)

We obtain the mean eld t JH am iltonian written in a matrix form

$$H_{MF} = \begin{pmatrix} X & 0 & h & i \\ & 0 & f_{k}^{y}, f_{k+Q}^{y}, \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\$$

The sum m ation P_k^0 in k-space is over the reduced m agnetic BZ, and we have de ned the following energies

$$k = 2t \cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y}) \quad 4t \cos(k_{x}) \cos(k_{y})$$

$$2t^{\circ} \cos(2k_{x}) + \cos(2k_{y}) ;$$

$$k = k_{x} \quad (0 + 1)$$

$$(1 \quad)J \cos(-4) \cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y}) ; \quad (15)$$

$$k + Q = k + Q \times (0 + 1)$$

$$+ (1 \quad)J \cos(-4) \cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y}) ; \quad (16)$$

The order parameters associated with the staggered magnetization and -ux are respectively

$$k_{x}$$
; = i(1) J sin(=4) cos(k_{x}) cos(k_{y});

for = 1.
$$H_{MF}$$
 can be diagonalized as

$$H_{MF} = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & h & & i \\ & & & E_{k}^{up \ y} & & \\ & & & & k \end{bmatrix}$$
it is the formula of the set o

by a Bogoliubov-Valatin unitary transform ation^{28,29}

$$\begin{array}{cccc} f_{k\,;} & = & u_{k\,;} & v_{k} & & {}^{1k\,;} \\ f_{k\,+\,Q\,;} & & v_{k} & u_{k\,;} & & {}^{2k\,;} \end{array} \, . \eqno(18)$$

In the previous expression $\frac{y}{1k}$, $_{1k}$, $_{2k}$, $_{2k}$, $_{2k}$,) are the creation and annihilation operators of the upper

(low er) H ubbard band, respectively, with the corresponding energy eigenvalues (see Fig.2)

$$E_{k}^{up} = +\frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{k} \frac{k+Q}{k+Q} + 4(j_{k}^{m}, j+j_{k}, j) + \frac{k+k+Q}{2}; \qquad (19)$$

$$E_{k}^{low} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{q}{k} \frac{2}{k+Q} + 4(j_{k}^{m}, j+j_{k}, j)$$

$$+ \frac{k + k + Q}{2} :$$
 (20)

The elements of the unitary matrix are given by

 u_k ; = cos($_k$) : e^{i_k} ; ; v_k = sin($_k$); (21)

with the trigonom etric factors

$$= t \frac{\frac{\cos(k)}{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{k}{(k+Q)^{2} + 4(j_{k}^{m}, j + j_{k}, j)} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k+Q} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k+Q} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} \right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{k}{k} + \frac{k}{k} + \frac$$

$$= t \frac{\frac{\sin(k)}{2}}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$$



FIG.2: (Color online) Upper and lower H ubbard bands associated with the eigenvalues $E_{k}^{\ up}$ and $E_{k}^{\ low}$ of H $_{M\ F}$.

$$\cos(k;) = \frac{q \frac{m}{k;}}{j \frac{m}{k;} f + j \frac{1}{k;} f};$$

$$\sin(k_{k};) = \frac{1}{\frac{j_{k}}{j_{k}} + j_{k}} :$$

It is noted here that the unitary transform ation contains the complex phase factor $e^{i_{k;}}$, which becomes im - portant when we solve the BCS equation in Section III. In the eld-theory term inology, this o ers an example of "parity anomaly" in (2+1)D.³⁰

 ${\tt C}$. Saddle point solution and ${\tt m}$ ean ${\tt eld}$ equations

In the path integral language, the saddle point action is

$$S_{0} = \begin{matrix} X & X & X \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ &$$

w ith i! $_{\rm n}$ the ferm ionic M atsubara frequencies and G_0 the free G reen's functions' m atrix

$$= \frac{1}{ \frac{1}{\binom{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \binom{1}{k + \frac{1}{k + 2}} \frac{1}{k + \frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}} \frac{\binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}}{\binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k}} \frac{\binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k}}{\binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k}} \frac{\binom{1}{k}}{k} \frac{\binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}}{\binom{1}{k} + \frac{1}{k}} \frac{\binom{1}{k}}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k}} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k} \binom{1}{k$$



FIG. 3: (Color online) Dependence of the modulus of the staggered magnetization m obtained at the saddle-point, as a function of the doping x = 1 n_f .

Now we expand the action up to the second order with respect to the deviation from the saddle point solution. At the rst order we can derive the self-consistent m ean eld equations. The details are given in Appendix A, and we give here the nal results

$$1 \quad \frac{4}{N_{s}} \quad J^{X} \quad 0 \qquad \frac{1}{E_{k}^{up} \quad E_{k}^{low}} = 0 ; \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{k}^{X} \frac{\cos(k_{x}) + \cos(k_{y}) (k_{k} + Q_{k})\cos(-4)}{E_{k}^{up} E_{k}^{low}} (25)$$

$$\frac{2i\cos(k_{x}) \cos(k_{y})}{E_{k}^{up} E_{k}^{low}} = ;$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{s}} \frac{X}{k} = \cos(-4); \qquad (26)$$

$$\frac{4}{N_{s}} \sum_{k}^{N} \int_{k}^{0} \tilde{t}_{k} \frac{\left(\frac{k+Q}{E_{k}} + \frac{Q}{E_{k}}\right)}{E_{k}} \qquad (27)$$

$$+ (\tilde{t}_{k}^{0} + \tilde{t}_{k}^{\infty}) \frac{\left(\frac{k+Q}{E_{k}} + \frac{Q}{E_{k}} + \frac{Q}{E_{k}}\right)}{E_{k}^{up} - E_{k}^{low}} = 0;$$

where the quantities $_{k}$, $_{k+Q}$, $_{k}$, E_{k}^{up} , E_{k}^{low} , t_{k}^{c} , t_{k}^{o} and t_{k}^{oo} are given in Eqs. (15), (16), (17), (19), (20), (A11), (A12) and (A13), respectively.

W e adjust the electron num ber $n_{\rm f}$ by the chem ical potential . At zero tem perature the upper H ubbard band is empty, while the lower band is partially lled as

$$\frac{1}{N_{s}} \frac{X}{k} = \frac{1}{\exp E_{k}^{low} + 1} = 1 \quad x;$$
(28)



FIG.4: (Color online) Dependence of the ux parameters and , Lagrange multiplier $_0$ and chemical potential obtained at the saddle point, as a function of the doping x = 1 n_f .

where $\binom{r}{k}$ is extended over the rst BZ.

We have solved numerically these equations by discretizing the reduced BZ in 2 m illions of points, providing us a precision on the obtained values better than 10 5 . In particular at half-lling (x = 0) we have found

$$= 0:301127;$$
 (29)

by imposing the relation: m = 0.5 previously obtained by H su.⁶ A way from half-lling this relation between m and will be changed but the value of (29) is assumed to be the same on all the range of doping. This assumption m eans that the weight assigned to each of the decoupling terms of the H eisenberg exchange interaction is kept constant as a function of the doping. This assum ption does not change the essential features of our results presented below.

We present the numerically obtained values of the mean eld parameters as a function of the doping x in Figs. 3 and 4. We see that the Neel state disappears at a very small value of the doping, typically 1.5 %, which is in agreement with the experimental phase diagram of hole doped cuprates. This is in sharp contrast to the previous studies of the t J m odel, which found that the AF state could remain until doping values of around 15 %.³¹ The ux decreases relatively linearly from at

half-lling, and reaches ' 2 for a doping of 10 %; this result is similar to the data obtained by H su et al.³²

We also show the shape of the Fermi surface for three doping cases: x = 0.01; 0.05; 0.1 in Fig. 5, where the Fermi surface is composed of arcs which delim it the hole pockets located around the four nodes k =(=2; =2).

In the next section we will consider the quantum uctuation around this mean eld solution. The second order G aussian uctuation corresponds to the RPA.We will calculate the \a la BCS^{33,34} pairing potential in terms of the exchange of these uctuations, to see the e ects of the coexistence of antiferrom agnetism and the staggered ux on the pairing force in underdoped region.

III. GAUSSIAN FLUCTUATION AND BCS PAIR ING INTERACTION BETWEEN QUASI-PARTICLES

A. Second order action and correlation functions

In this Section we expand the action with respect to the uctuations of the mean eld parameters up to second order starting from the saddle point solution for the t J



FIG. 5: (Color online) Representation of the Fermi surface for three di erent values of the doping: x = 0.01; 0.05; 0.1.

m odel (14). By analogy with a diagram m atic language such a treatment is equivalent to taking into account all the bubbles associated with the uctuating bosonic ekls.³⁵ This approach allows us to calculate the di erent correlation functions (or susceptibilities, both being linked via the uctuation-dissipation theorem $^{\rm 36})$ between those elds.

Integrating over the ferm ionic G rassm an variables and expanding the action with respect to the uctuations of the bosonic modes up to the second order, we obtain

Evaluating the bubbles $\frac{1}{2}$ Tr $G_0 V_1 G_0 V_1$ as explained in Appendix B, the quadratic action is given by

$$S_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X^{9} \\ 0 & X & X^{q_{1}} \\ q & q_{1};q_{2} = q;q+Q & i! \\ q & q_{1};q_{2} = q;q+Q & i! \\ 0 & X_{1}(q_{1};i!) & M_{i;j}(q;q_{1};q_{2};i!) + \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & i;j(q;q_{1};q_{2};i!) & X_{j}(q_{2};i!); \\ 0 & (31) \end{bmatrix}$$

where the rst order uctuations of the bosonic elds X_i are de ned in Eq. (B5), and the matrix elements $M_{i;j}$ and i;j are detailed in Eqs. (B6) and (B12), respectively. In the previous form ula, $i! \cdot denotes$ the bosonic M atsubara frequencies.

In a path-integral form alism, the second order term of the e ective action gives easy access to the di erent correlation functions between bosonic elds renorm alized at a RPA $evel^{35}$

$$C'(q;q_{1}; q_{2};i! \cdot) = C_{i;j}(q;q_{1}; q_{2};i! \cdot) = hX_{i}(q_{1};i! \cdot)X_{j}(q_{2}; i! \cdot)i_{RPA};$$

$$I_{i;j} = hX_{i}(q_{1};i! \cdot)X_{j}(q_{2}; i! \cdot)i_{RPA} = M^{\sim}(q;q_{1};q_{2};i! \cdot) + \frac{1}{2}^{\sim}(q;q_{1};q_{2};i! \cdot) = (32)$$

The behaviour of the obtained transverse spin-spin correlation function is in agreem ent with well-known results concerning the H eisenberg antiferrom agnets, as discussed in Appendix D.

B. Derivation of the e ective action

We can now explicitly calculate the "a la BCS" 33,34 pairing potential exchanging all the collective modes described in the previous section. Following Schrie er et al: $r^{3,4}$ we adapt their approach to the fram ework of the t J m odel. W e neglect the retardation e ects speci c to the E liashberg theory^{34,37} and build a pairing potential by assuming the static $\lim it (i! = 0)$. Therefore the frequency dependence will not be mentioned from now on. This is justiled in the weak coupling region, where the adiabatic approximation $k_B T_c$; sc << ~! D is satis ed (T_c: transition tem perature, sc: superconducting order parameter, $!_{D}$: frequency of the exchanging bosons). This approach is not su cient to describe the superconducting state in underdoped cuprates where the features of the strong coupling e ect are observed experim entally.³⁸ However, as will be shown below, the magnetic mechanism based on the generalized spin-bag theory gives only a very small pairing force or is pair breaking. Therefore this weak coupling approximation is justi ed a posteriori, even though it does not describe the real cuprates.

We start with the linear interaction between the fermions and the bosonic elds (A15)

$$S_{1}^{fe} = \begin{cases} X & X & h & i \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & &$$

U sing the notations de ned in Appendix B , the interaction m atrix ∇_1 (A16) can be rew ritten as

$$\vec{\nabla}_{1} (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}; \overset{\circ}{}; \mathbf{k};)$$

$$= X X^{9} X c_{\mathbf{i};a^{0}} \overset{\circ}{}; (\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{q}_{1}) \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i};a^{0}} (\mathbf{q}_{1})$$

$$q_{1} = q; \mathbf{q} + Q \mathbf{i}; = 1 a^{0} = 1; 2 X_{\mathbf{i}} (\mathbf{q}_{1});$$

$$X_{\mathbf{i}} (\mathbf{q}_{1});$$

$$(34)$$

where $X_i, c_{i;a^0}, s_{i;a^0}$ are given by Eqs. (B5), (B8), (B9), and (B10), respectively.

We remember that , are the G rassmann variables associated with the f^y, f spinon operators. As we are interested in the interactions between two $_2$ fermions of the lower Hubbard band, we introduce , the G rassmann variables associated with the $_2^y$, $_2$ operators. By

neglecting the contribution of the upper H ubbard band we obtain from the diagonalization of the mean-eld H am iltonian (18)

$$k_{k} = \sin(k_{k}) + k_{k}; ;$$

 $k_{k+Q}; = e^{i_{k};} \cos(k_{k}) + k_{k}; ;$ (35)

The set order action related to the $_{\rm 2}$ operators is given by

$$S_{1}^{fe} = \begin{array}{c} X & _{0}X \\ & _{k;q} & ^{0}; \\ & & \\ & \sin(_{k+q}) & e^{i_{k+q;} & ^{0}}\cos(_{k+q}) \\ & (& X & X^{9} & X & _{c_{i;a}^{0}}^{;} & (k;q_{1})s_{i;a}^{,} & (q_{1}) \\ & q_{1} = q;q+Q & i;=1 & _{a}^{0} = 1;2 \\ & & & \\ & & & X_{1}(q_{1}) \\ & & \\ & & e^{-i_{k;}} & \cos(_{k}) \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\$$

To incorporate the interaction e ects at a RPA level, we build an elective action by adding to S_1^{fe} the second order in X_i contribution S_2 , i.e. using Eqs. (31) and (32),

$$S_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} X & X & X^{9} \\ 0 & X_{i}(q_{1}) & (37) \\ q & q_{1};q_{2} = q;q+Q & i;j=1 \\ & C_{i;j}^{-1}(q;q_{1}; q_{2}) & X_{j}(q_{2}); \end{bmatrix}$$

then the elective action S^{eff} is given by

$$S^{eff} = S_1^{fe} + S_2$$
: (38)

In order to obtain the pairing potential, we integrate out the bosonic elds X_i in S^{eff} . For convenience we de ne ~ (q₁) for q₁ = q;q + Q as

$$\sim (q_1) = i (q_1)_{1 i 9};$$

$${}_{i}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{k_{1}} X {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{k_{1}} X {}_{1}(q_{1}) X {}_{k_{1}+q_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{k_{1}+q_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) X {}_{k_{1}+q_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{i_{1}} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) = \frac{1}{2} X {}_{1} Y {}_{1}(q_{1}) Y {}_{$$

A fler integrating out the bosonic elds we have

$$S^{eff} = \begin{pmatrix} X & X \\ 0 & & \\ q & q_1 \, \varkappa_2 = q \, \varkappa_1 + Q \end{pmatrix} \sim (q_2) \mathcal{C}(q_1; q_1; q_2) \sim (q_1);$$

or equivalently from Eq. (39)

$$S^{eff} = \frac{1}{4} X_{0} X_{0} X_{0} X_{0} X_{0} X_{2}^{9} X_{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{2}^{0} X_{1}^{0} X_{1}^{0}$$

Γ

C. Calculation of the BCS pairing interaction

To have a BCS form in the previous e ective action (40) we impose the following relations

$$k_{1} = k ; k_{2} = k ; q = k k;$$

$$k_{1}^{\circ} = k ; k_{2}^{\circ} = k ; q = k k;$$

$$k_{2}^{\circ} = k ; k_{2}^{\circ} = k ; q = k k;$$

$$k_{1}^{\circ} = k ; k_{2}^{\circ} = k ; q = k k^{\circ};$$
(41)

$$K_{\mathbf{q}} = K ; K_{2} = K ; q = K K ;$$

 ${}_{1}^{\circ} = \# ; {}_{2}^{\circ} = " ; {}_{2} = " ; {}_{1} = \# :$ (42)

$$k_1 = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} + k;$$

 $k_1^{\circ} = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} + k;$
 $k_1^{\circ} = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} + k;$
 $k_2^{\circ} = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} + k;$
 $k_3^{\circ} = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} + k;$
(43)

$$k_1 = k; k_2 = k; q = k^{\circ} k;$$

$$_{1} = #; _{2} = "; _{2} = #; _{1} = ":$$
 (44)

The con gurations (41) and (42) are related to the zz contribution, while the form s (43) and (44) give the contribution. Therefore the BCS e ective action is

$$S_{BCS}^{eff} = \sum_{k;k^{\circ}}^{X} V_{BCS}(k;k^{\circ})$$

$$K_{k}^{\circ};* K_{k}^{\circ};* K$$

where

$$V_{BCS}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{k}^{\circ}) = V_{BCS}^{zz}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{k}^{\circ}) + V_{BCS}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{k}^{\circ})$$
(46)

The zz BCS –type pairing potential V_{BCS}^{zz} is obtained by putting the congurations (41) and (42) in the elective action (40)

$$\begin{aligned} V_{BCS}^{zz}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{k}^{\circ}) &= \begin{array}{c} X & X^{9} & \frac{1}{4} \\ & & & \\ & & & \\$$



FIG. 6: (Color online) Pairing potentials V_{BCS}^{zz} (k;k[°]) (47), V_{BCS} (k;k[°]) (48) and V_{BCS} (k;k[°]) (46) as a function of k[°], with xed k = (=2; =2), for two values of the doping: x = 0.01;0.04. Num erical datas are plotted in unit of t.

By in posing the congurations (43) and (44) in the elective action, we get the BCS - type pairing potential

$$V_{BCS}(k;k^{\circ}) = \frac{X \qquad X^{9}}{q_{1};q_{2}=k^{0}+k;k^{0}+k+Q} + \frac{1}{4}$$

$$(sin(_{k^{\circ}}) e^{i_{-k^{\circ};*}}cos(_{k^{\circ}}) \qquad X \qquad c_{i;a_{2}^{\circ}}^{\sharp;n_{2}^{\circ}}(k; q_{2})s_{i;a_{2}^{\circ}}(q_{2}) \qquad e^{i_{-k,*}}cos(_{k}) \qquad C_{i;j}(k^{\circ}+k;q_{1}; q_{2})$$

$$sin(_{k^{\circ}}) e^{i_{-k^{\circ};*}}cos(_{k^{\circ}}) \qquad X \qquad c_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}^{\sharp;n_{2}^{\circ}}(k;q_{1})s_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}(q_{1}) \qquad e^{i_{-k,*}}cos(_{k})$$

$$+ sin(_{k^{\circ}}) e^{i_{-k^{\circ};*}}cos(_{k^{\circ}}) \qquad X \qquad c_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}^{\sharp;n_{2}^{\circ}}(k;q_{2})s_{i;a_{2}^{\circ}}(q_{2}) \qquad e^{i_{-k,*}}cos(_{k})$$

$$sin(_{k^{\circ}}) e^{i_{-k^{\circ};*}}cos(_{k^{\circ}}) \qquad X \qquad c_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}^{\sharp;n_{1}^{\circ}}(k;q_{1})s_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}(q_{1}) \qquad e^{i_{-k,*}}cis(_{k}) \qquad (48)$$

$$sin(_{k^{\circ}}) e^{i_{-k^{\circ};*}}cos(_{k^{\circ}}) \qquad X \qquad c_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}^{\sharp;n_{1}^{\circ}}(k; q_{1})s_{j;a_{1}^{\circ}}(q_{1}) \qquad e^{i_{-k,*}}cos(_{k}) \qquad (48)$$

The correlation functions and pairing interactions have been num erically calculated, the results of which are presented in Figs. 6 and 7. These gures show the elective interactions between two $_2$ ferm ions, one located at the xed position k = (=2; =2), while the other one is at k° m oving inside the magnetic BZ. The intensities of the elective interactions are plotted as a function of k° : for example the values given for $k^{\circ} = (=2; =2)$ corres-



FIG. 7: (Color online) Pairing potentials V_{BCS}^{zz} (k;k') (47), V_{BCS} (k;k') (48) and V_{BCS} (k;k') (46) as a function of k', with xed k = (=2; =2), for two values of the doping: x = 0:06;0:10. Num erical datas are plotted in unit of t.

pond to a wavevector $q_d = k^{\circ}$ k = (;). As we assume the static limit (i! = 0), the pairing potentials take real values. The dark color region means the repulsive interaction (V (k;k) > 0), while the light region displays the attractive interaction (V (k; \dot{k}) < 0). An interesting feature we can observe in Figs. 6 and 7 is that the total pairing potential $V_{B\,C\,S}$ is alm ost com pletely driven by the zz (i.e. longitudinal or "spin-bag") contribution, without any major modication introduced by the (i.e. transverse or "RVB") correlation. A sm entioned in Section IIA, the results displayed in Figs. 6 and 7 have been obtained by assuming t = 0.3, t = 0.2, and J = 0:3. We have also considered several additional sets of num erical values for the param eters in the range: 0:35 03,02 025,03 J ť t 0:35.We do not have observed any signi cant modi cation of the results. The robustness of these results with respect to slight variations of the param eter values can be explained as followed. In the present approach, the ferm ion spectrum contains two D irac ferm ions and associated sm all hole pockets at the nodal points. The appearance of D irac ferm ions m eans that a topologically nontrivial feature is introduced, which does not exist in the previous spin bag theory. Such a property gives qualitatively speci c characteristics to the system which are independent

of slight variations of the param eter values, and therefore can explain the robustness of the results. In the next section, we will discuss the implications of the interaction $V_{B\ C\ S}$ on the possible superconductivity in this model.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In previous sections, we have calculated the e ective interactions between the two holes in the background of the AF and RVB orders. There are four half-pockets of holes in the reduced rst BZ as shown in Fig. 7, or the two hole pockets in the shifted BZ. These sm all hole pockets around k = (=2;=2) are di erent from those of the simple SDW state as assumed in the original spinbag theory.^{3,4} N am ely the description of the antiferrom agnetic state includes the singlet form ation via the RVB order param eter in addition to the N celorder, and correspondingly the wavefunctions of the doped holes are distinct from the SDW state. A lready there opens the gap near k = (;0); (0;) due to the staggered ux, and the superconducting pairings near these points are irrelevant. How ever the pairing force is still dom inated by the zz-component of the spin susceptibility, and is repulsive for the momentum transfer $q_d = (;)$. When the superconducting gaps at k = (;0); (0);) are dom inant, this leads to the d_{x^2} v^2 pairing as discussed by several authors⁴¹ since the sign of the order param eter is opposite between k = (; 0) and k = (0;). However in the present case, only the states near k = (=2; =2)could contribute to the pairing. One of the main predictions of the spin-bag theory^{3,4} is that the superconductivity occurs through the pairing of holes in the sm all pockets, which are delimited by the Fermi surface as we can see in Fig. 5. The present study shows that the total pairing potential V_{BCS} is clearly repulsive, or pair breaking, on all the range of doping for a wavevector q_d in the (0;0), (;0) and (0;) areas of the magnetic BZ. An attractive behaviour is also found when the momentum transfer is around (;). It corresponds to relatively large values of q_d. Namely, the repulsive interaction (dark contribution) neark = (=2;=2) is dom inating over the attractive (light) region with large $q_{\rm d}$. Therefore as long as one considers the pairing form which is constant over each of the small hole pocket, there occurs no superconducting instability because the intra-pocket pair breaking force is larger than the inter-pocket force independently of the relative sign of the order param eters between di erent pockets.

On the other hand, one can consider the pairing with the nodes in the small hole pockets as in the case of d_{x^2} v² pairing realized in real cuprates.^{39,40} In this case, how ever, most of the interaction cancels within the small pocket due to the sign change of the order parameter. We could not determ ine the sign of the residual pairing interaction, but we can safely conclude that it is very weak even though pair creating. Therefore we conclude that the doped staggered ux state is stable against the superconducting instability when only the magnetic mechanism is considered. This is in accordance with the recent exact diagonalization studies on the t J m odelat sm all doping.⁷ Furtherm ore this suggests that the other mechanism of superconductivity is active in cuprates at least for sm allhole doping region. A lso a related work has been done by Singh and Tesanovic,⁴² where the quantum correction to the spin-bag mean eld theory is studied up to one loop level and also the doped case is considered. This is along the same line as the present study, and they also obtained the repulsive interaction between two holes. The new aspect introduced in our study is the RVB correlation represented by the ux order parameter. Combining these two works, the pair breaking nature of the magnetic interactions seems to be rather robust in the low hole doping lim it.

There are two possible routes leading to the superconductivity. One possibility is that the starting mean eld ansatz becomes inappropriate for optimal doping case. A coording to the SU (2) formulation of the RVB state, the staggered ux state is the quantum mechanicalmixture of the ux state we discussed above and the $d_{x^2 y^2}$ pairing state, and the latter one is more and more weighted as the doping proceeds. Therefore our form ulation is valid only for the small doping region and can not capture the crossover to the superconducting state since it is based on the perturbative m ethod around the AF + ux state. On the other hand, starting from the dwave superconducting state in the SU (2) formalism, the staggered ux uctuation has been studied.^{43,44} This is another language describing the instability towards the AF ordering, which is represented by the chiral sym m etry breaking in the gauge theory. It is also found the selfenergy due to the staggered ux uctuation leads to the quasi-particle damping strongly anisotropic in the mom entum space.⁴⁴ These works are the approach from the superconducting side, and are com plem entary to ours.

Taking the view that the magnetic interaction is pair breaking in the low hole doping limit, we should look for other forces which are active in the cuprates. One of the most promising candidates for this is the electronphonon interaction, 45,46 which already manifests itself in the angle-resolved photoem ission spectroscopy.⁴⁷ However, much more work is needed to establish this scenario. E specially the interplay between the strong correlation and the electron-phonon interaction remains an important issue to be studied.

In conclusion, we have studied the extended spin-bag scenario taking into account the staggered ux resonating valence bond order in addition to the antiferrom agnetic order. The pairing potential between the quasi-particles has been calculated at the G aussian level, and it is found that the magnetically mediated interaction is pair breaking or very weak at the small hole doping limit, suggesting the other mechanisms such as electron-phonon interaction are active.

A cknow ledgm ents

D.B. is thank fulto M ireille Lavagna, Andres Jerez and Roland Zeyher for extrem ely fruitful discussions.

APPENDIX A: DERIVATION OF THE SELF-CONSISTENT EQUATIONS

We allow the dierent elds to uctuate at the rst order around their saddle point values (10), (11) and (12)

$$\begin{split} b_{i} &= b_{0} \left(1 + b_{i} \right); \\ i_{i} &= 0 + i_{i}; \\ m_{i}^{s_{m}} &= (m_{i}^{s_{m}})_{0} + m_{i}^{s_{m}}; s_{m} = x; y; z; \\ i; i + s &= i_{i}^{s} = (i_{i}^{s})_{0} + i_{i}^{s}; \\ s &= i_{i}^{s} + i_{i}^{o_{s}}; s = x; y; \end{split}$$

By expanding the uctuations at the rst order we obtain

In the preceding formula, like in those which will follow in the appendices, the imaginary time dependence of the G rassmann variables and rst order bosonic uctuations follows the Lagrangian (9) and is implicit. For clarity in the following calculations we decompose S_1 into two parts

$$S_1 = S_1^{bo} + S_1^{fe}$$
: (A2)

 S_1^{bo} contains terms with exclusively auxiliary bosonic elds and no G rassm ann variables. It corresponds to the three rst term s of Eq. (A 1)

$$S_{1}^{bo} = \begin{array}{cccc} Z & X \\ S_{1}^{bo} = \begin{array}{cccc} d & (b_{0})^{2} (i_{i} + 2_{0} & i_{j}) & (A3) \\ & & & \\ &$$

 S_1^{fe} includes all the term s containing ferm ionic variables , . It takes the four last term s of Eq. (A1)

We start by focusing on the bosonic part S_1^{bo} of the action S_1 expanded at the rst order in uctuations. By passing in the space of moments and M atsubara frequencies we obtain

$$S_{1}^{bo} = {\begin{array}{c} p & \\ \hline N_{s} & (b_{0})^{2} i_{q=0} (i! \cdot = 0) \\ 2 (b_{0})^{2} & _{0} & _{0} b_{0} (i! \cdot = 0) \\ + 4 J & m & _{q} \underline{m}_{Q} (i! \cdot = 0) \\ + (1) J & _{q} (i! \cdot) & _{q} (i! \cdot) \\ & _{q;i!} \cdot \\ & + _{q}^{x} (i! \cdot) & _{q}^{y} (i! \cdot) ; \end{array}}$$
(A 5)

where i! , labels the bosonic M atsubara frequencies and $_{\alpha}$ is expanded over the $\,$ rst BZ.

W e study now the ferm ionic contribution S_1^{fe} and decompose it into four contributions

$$S_1^{fe} = S_1 + S_1^{b} + S_1^{m} + S_1$$
: (A 6)

By passing in the space of m om ents and M atsubara frequencies we obtain

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{N_{s}} \sum_{k;q}^{0} \sum_{i', j', m; i', m}^{0} \sum_{k+q; 0}^{n} (i', m) \sum_{k+q; 0}^{i} (i', m) \sum_{k+q+Q; 0}^{i} (i', m)$$
(A7)

$$S_{1}^{b} = P \frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{0} X_{k} X_{0}^{b} X_{k+q}^{b} Y_{k+q}^{0} (\underline{i}_{m}^{l})_{k+q+Q}^{c} (\underline{i}_{m}^{l})_{k+Q}^{c} (\underline{i}_{m}^{l})_{m}^{c} (\underline{i}_{m$$

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{P - \frac{1}{N_{s}}} X_{0} X_{k} X_{k$$

Г

In the previous form ulas ${}^{0}{}_{o}{}_{;}$ is an element of the (2 2) identity matrix, i! m is (like i! n) a ferm ionic M atsubara frequency and we have de ned the following quantities

$$\tilde{t}_{r} = t\cos(k_{r}) + \cos(k_{v}) ; \qquad (A \, 11)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{0} = \mathbf{x}^{0} \mathbf{x}^{0}$$

$$\mathbf{t}_{k} = \mathbf{t} \, 2 \cos(\mathbf{k}_{x}) \cos(\mathbf{k}_{y}) ; \qquad (A \, 12)$$

$$t_{k}^{00} = t^{00} \cos(2k_{x}) + \cos(2k_{y})$$
; (A 13)

$$J_{q} = J \cos(q_{x}) + \cos(q_{y}) : \qquad (A 14)$$

By using the Paulim atrices, we can put the di erent contributions (A7) - (A10) together, and rewrite S_1^{fe} (A6) as

$$S_{1}^{fe} = \begin{array}{c} X & X & h \\ & &$$

with V_1 the interaction matrix

$$p \frac{1}{N_{s}} \nabla_{1} (k + q; {}^{\circ}; i!_{m}; k; ; i!_{n})$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} X \\ (1 \end{pmatrix} J \cos(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{\circ} {}^{s}_{q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ (1)J \sin(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{\circ} {}^{s}_{q+Q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ (1)J \sin(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{\circ} {}^{s}_{q+Q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ (1)J \sin(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{s} {}^{o} {}^{s}_{q+Q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ (1)J \cos(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{s} {}^{s}_{q+Q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ (1)J \cos(k + q_{s} = 2) {}^{\circ}; {}^{z} {}^{s} {}^{s}_{q+Q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{s} {}^{s}_{q} {}^{s}_{0}, {}^{s} {}^{s}_{n} {}^{s}_{q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{s}_{s_{m}} * J_{q} {}^{s}_{0}, {}^{s}_{n} {}^{s}_{n} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{s}_{0}, {}^{2} i q (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{s}_{0}, {}^{2} i q (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{2} (b_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ} + t_{k}^{\circ\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ\circ}) {}^{\circ}; {}^{s} {}^{s} + 2 (b_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}) {}^{\circ}; {}^{s}; {}^{s} b_{q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

$$+ {}^{2} (b_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ} + t_{k}^{\circ\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ\circ}) {}^{\circ}; {}^{s} {}^{s} + 2 (b_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}) {}^{\circ}; {}^{s}; {}^{s} b_{q} (i!_{m} i!_{n})$$

F inally the mean eld equations are obtained after having integrated out the ferm ionic elds by checking the saddle point property 35

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{G}_0 \mathbf{\tilde{V}}_1 = \mathbf{0}; \tag{A 17}$$

which gives by using the previously obtained expressions (23), (A 2), (A 5), (A 15) the set of coupled mean eld equations (24) - (27).

APPENDIX B:DERIVATION OF THE GAUSSIAN ACTION

W e decompose the second order e ective action S_2 (30) into two parts

$$S_2 = S_2^{bo} + S_2^{bub}$$
: (B1)

 S_2^{bo} contains only contributions due to auxiliary bosonic elds. It includes all the term s of Eq. (30) with the exception of the trace

 S_2^{bub} takes into account the \bubble" contributions

$$S_2^{\text{bub}} = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} G_0 \tilde{\nabla}_1 G_0 \tilde{\nabla}_1$$
 : (B3)

We stly evaluate S_2^{bo} (B2) by passing in the space of m om ents and M atsubara frequencies

where J_q is given by Eq. (A 14) and we have de ned for $s_t; s_{t^0} = x; y$

$$I_{1}^{s_{t}} = h_{i; i+s_{t}}; i = \frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{k} \frac{0(k+Q-k)}{E_{k}^{up} E_{k}^{low}} \cos(k_{s_{t}});$$

$$I_{2}^{s_{t};s_{t}^{0}} = h_{i; i+s_{t}+s_{t}^{0}}; i = \frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{k} \frac{0(k+Q-k)}{E_{k}^{up} E_{k}^{low}} \cos(k_{s_{t}} + k_{s_{t}^{0}}):$$

In order to write S_2^{bub} (B3) and S_2^{bo} (B4) in a compact way which will be useful in the following calculations we de ne the vector of nine components X containing the rst order uctuations of the bosonic elds

$$X^{*}(q; i!, \cdot) = X_{i}(q; i!, \cdot)_{1 = i = 9}^{2} = \begin{cases} 2 & 0 & x_{q}(i!, \cdot)_{q}^{3} \\ 0 & y_{q}(i!, \cdot)_{q}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{q}(i!, \cdot)_{q}^{2} \\ 0 & 0 & y_{q}(i!, \cdot)_{q}^{2} \\ 0 & y_{q}^{2} \\ 0 & y$$

Γ

Using Eq. (B4) we de ne the matrix M^{\sim} so that

$$M^{\sim}(q;q_{1};q_{2};i!,) = M_{i;j}(q;q_{1};q_{2};i!,);$$

$$S_{2}^{bo} = \begin{matrix} X & X & X^{9} \\ & 0 & X_{1}(q_{1}; i!, \cdot) \\ & q & q_{1}; q_{2} = q; q + Q & i!, \cdot i; j = 1 \\ & M & i; j (q; q_{1}; q_{2}; i!, \cdot) & X_{1}(q_{2}; i!, \cdot) : (B 6) \end{matrix}$$

W e now tackle the calculation of the <code>\bubble"</code> part $S_2^{\rm bub}$ (B 3). W e have 35

where tr is related to the spinor basis (13). We denot the vector e° ; containing the coecients associated with the rst order uctuations in the ∇_1 matrix. As we can see in Eq. (A16) b_q (and b_{q+Q}) gives two separated contributions and not one like all the other auxiliary bosonic

elds whose rst order uctuations are contained in the vector X (B5). Therefore c 'i has ten components and not nine, which is indicated by the index a being equal to one for all the elds except b_{i} (and b_{i+Q}) for which it takes the values one and two

$$e^{\circ}; (k;q) = c_{i_{j_{k_{0}}}^{\circ}}(k;q)_{1 i_{9}} = \begin{cases} 2 & (1) J\cos(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\cos(k_{y} + q_{y}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\sin(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\sin(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\sin(k_{y} + q_{y}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ J_{q} \circ_{0}, \\ J_{q} \circ_{0}, \\ 2(c_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ} + t_{k}^{\circ\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ\circ}) \circ_{0}, \\ 2(c_{0})^{2} (t_{k}^{\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ} + t_{k}^{\circ\circ} + t_{k+q}^{\circ\circ}) \circ_{0}, \\ 2(c_{0})^{2} (t_{k} + t_{k+q}) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\sin(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\sin(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{0}, \\ (1) J\cos(k_{x} + q_{x}=2) \circ_{$$

where the term s t_k° , t_k° , t_k° and J_q are de ned in Eqs. (A11), (A12), (A13) and (A14), respectively. In the same manner we de ne the vector s to associate each uctuation element X_i to its corresponding Paulim atrix appearing in the expression of the ∇_1 m atrix (A16)

$$\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{s}_{\mathbf{i};\mathbf{a}^{\circ}}(\mathbf{q})_{1 \ \mathbf{i} \ 9} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{x}^{2}}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{x}^{2}}^{\mathbf{z}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf$$

The sum mation over the ferm ionic M atsubara frequencies $i!_n$ is incorporated in

$$F_{s_{j_{i_{a}}}^{\circ}(q_{1});s_{j_{j_{a}}}^{\circ}(q_{2})}^{\circ}(k;q;i!,\cdot) = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{tr} G_{0}(k+q;^{\circ};i!_{n}+i!_{\cdot})s_{j_{i_{a}}}^{\circ}(q_{1})G_{0}(k;;i!_{n})s_{j_{i_{a}}}^{\circ}(q_{2}); \quad (B11)$$

with $q_1;q_2 = q;q + Q$. Considering the identity matrix and the three SU (2) Paulimatrices we get from Eq. (B11) sixteen di erent therm al integrals which are detailed in Appendix C.

Using the preceding expressions (B5), (B8), (B9), (B10), and the form ulas associated with the F - integrals, we de ne the matrix ~ which explicitly incorporates at a RPA (\bubble") level the contributions of the di erent elds uctuations to the correlation functions

$$\sim$$
 (q;q₁;q₂;i! ·) = i;j (q;q₁;q₂;i! ·) ; (B12)

with the matricial elements

$$= \frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{k}^{0} X_{k}^{2} C_{i,a^{0}}^{i,a^{0}} (k;q_{1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{N_{s}} X_{k}^{0} X_{k}^{2} C_{i,a^{0}}^{i,a^{0}} (k;q_{1})$$

$$F_{s_{i,a^{0}}(q_{1});s_{j,a^{0}}(q_{2})}^{i,a^{0}} (k;q;i!\cdot) C_{j,a^{0}}^{i,a^{0}} (k;q_{2}) :$$

From Eqs. (B7), (B11) and (B12) we get

$$= \begin{array}{cccc} \operatorname{Tr} G_{0} \nabla_{1} G_{0} \nabla_{1} & (B13) \\ X & X & X^{9} \\ q & q_{1}; q_{2} = q; q + Q & \text{i!} \cdot \text{i}; \text{j} = 1 \\ & & \text{i}; \text{j} (q; q_{1}; q_{2}; \text{i!} \cdot) & X_{j} (q_{2}; \text{i!} \cdot) : \end{array}$$

W ith Eqs. (B1), (B6), (B3) and (B13) we nally obtain S_2 given by Eq.(31).

APPENDIX C:THERMAL IN TEGRALS EXPRESSIONS

W e give here the analytic expressions of the sixteen di erent F integrals (B11) useful to calculate at a RPA level the two (bosonic) elds correlation functions (32). W e de ne

$$E_{u;l}^{4} = E_{k+q}^{up} (i!_{n} + i!_{n}) (i!_{n} + i!_{n}) E_{k+q}^{low}$$

$$E_{k}^{up} i!_{n} i!_{n} E_{k}^{low} ;$$

$$g_{0;0} = 2(i!_{n})^{2} i!_{n} (k + k+Q)$$

$$+ i!_{n} 2i!_{n} (k + k+Q + k+q + k+q+Q) ;$$

$$g_{0;x} = 2i!_{n} (m_{k;}^{*} + m_{k+q;}^{*}) 2i!_{k;}^{*}$$

$$+ m_{k;}^{*} (k+q + k+q+Q) + m_{k+q;}^{*} (k + k+Q);$$

$$g_{0;y} = 2i!_{n} (i_{k;}^{*} + i_{k+q+Q}) + i_{k+q;}^{*} (k + k+Q);$$

$$g_{0;z} = \underline{1}!_{n} \begin{pmatrix} k & k+Q + k+q & k+q+Q \end{pmatrix}$$
$$+ \underline{1}!_{n} \begin{pmatrix} k & k+Q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} k & k+q & k+q+Q \end{pmatrix};$$

$$\begin{split} g_{x;y} &= \text{i!}_n (\text{i}_k + \text{i}_{k+Q} + \text{i}_{k+q} \quad \text{i}_{k+q+Q}) \\ & \text{i!} \cdot (\text{i}_k \quad \text{i}_{k+Q}) + (\text{i}_k \quad \text{k+q+Q} \quad \text{i}_{k+Q} \quad \text{k+q}); \end{split}$$

$$g_{x;z} = 2i!_{n} (k; k+q; \circ) + 2i! k;$$

$$k; (k+q+k+q+Q) + k+q; \circ (k+k+Q);$$

$$g_{y;z} = 2i!_{n} (i _{k;}^{m} i _{k+q; 0}^{m}) + 2i! i _{k;}^{m}$$

$$i _{k;}^{m} (_{k+q} + _{k+q+Q}) + i _{k+q; 0}^{m} (_{k} + _{k+Q});$$

and we obtain

$$F_{z^{0};z^{0}}^{\circ}(k;q;i!,s) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sum_{\substack{i! \ n}}^{X}} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{0;0}$$

$$+ (k + q + k + Q + k + q + Q)$$

$$+ 2(k + q; k + q; k + q; k + q; s^{0});$$

$$F_{x}^{0}; (k;q;i!,v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{M}} \frac{1}{E_{u;1}^{4}} g_{0;0} + (k + q + Q + k + Q + k + Q) + 2(k + q) + 2(k + q; 0) + k; k + q; 0);$$

$$F_{xy;xy}^{\circ}(k;q;i!,v) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{\sum_{i!n}^{M}} \frac{1}{E_{u;1}^{4}} g_{0;0} + (k + q + Q + k + Q + k + q) \\ 2(\sum_{k;n}^{M} \sum_{k+q;n}^{M} + k + Q + k + q; 0) ;$$

$$F_{z_{i}z_{i}z_{i}}^{0}(k;q;1,z) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{1}{E_{u,i}^{4}}} g_{0;0} + (k + q + k + q + k + q + q) \\ 2(k + q + k + q; 0 + k + q + q) ;$$

$$F_{u_{j,x}^{(0)}}(k;q;1,v) = \frac{1}{u_{n}} \frac{1}{E_{u,1}^{4}} g_{0;x}$$

$$k; (k+q k+q+Q) + k+q; \circ (k k+Q);$$

$$F_{x}^{0}(k;q;1|x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{X}} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{4}} g_{0;x}$$

$$\mathbb{F}_{x^{0};x^{y}}^{0}(\mathbf{k};\mathbf{q};\mathbf{i}|\cdot) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathbf{X}}{\prod_{i=1}^{d}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{E}_{u;i}^{4}} g_{0;y}$$

$$\mathbb{i}_{k}^{m}(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}) + \mathbb{i}_{k+q;i}^{m}(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbb{i}_{k+q;i}$$

$$\begin{split} F_{x^{y};x^{0}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{0;y} \\ &+ i \sum_{k}^{m} \left(k+q - k+q+Q\right) - i \sum_{k+q;0}^{m} \left(k - k+Q\right); \\ F_{x^{0};x^{2}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{0;z} \\ &+ 2\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 - k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{0}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{0;z} \\ &2\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 - k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{0}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;y} \\ &+ 2i\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 + k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{2}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;y} \\ &+ 2i\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 + k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{2}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;y} \\ &+ 2i\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 + k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{2}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;z} \\ &+ 2i\left(\sum_{k}^{m} - k+q;0 + k; - k+q;0\right); \\ F_{x^{2};x^{2}}^{0} \left(k;q;l,\cdot\right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{il_{n}}^{X} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;z} \\ &= \sum_{k}^{m} \left(k+q - k+q+Q\right) - \sum_{k+q;0}^{m} \left(k - k+Q\right); \end{split}$$

$$F_{x^{2};x^{x}}^{0}(k;q;i!_{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{i!_{n}} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{x;z}$$

$$g_{x;z}^{m}(k;q;i!_{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{i!_{n}} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{y;z}$$

$$F_{x^{y};x^{z}}^{0}(k;q;i!_{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{i!_{n}} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{y;z}$$

$$i_{k;}(k+q_{k+q+Q}) i_{k+q;0}(k_{k+Q});$$

$$F_{x^{z};x^{y}}^{0}(k;q;i!_{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{X}{i!_{n}} \frac{1}{E_{u;l}^{4}} g_{y;z}$$

$$i_{k;}(k+q_{k+q+Q}) i_{k+q;0}(k_{k+Q});$$

APPENDIX D:EXISTENCE OF A GOLDSTONE MODE

In this appendix we exam ine the contribution to the second order action S_2 given by m $_{q+\,Q}^x$ and m $_{q+\,Q}^y$ in the half lled, uniform and static lim it

$$x = 0; q = 0; 1! = 0:$$
 (D1)

Wewrite it as a part of
$$S_2$$
 (31)

$$S_{2}^{m \times iy} = M_{5;5} (q = 0; Q; Q; i! = 0) + \frac{1}{2} S_{5;5} (q = 0; Q; Q; i! = 0) m_{Q}^{x} (i! = 0)^{2}$$

$$+ M_{6;6} (q = 0; Q; Q; i! = 0) + \frac{1}{2} S_{6;6} (q = 0; Q; Q; i! = 0) m_{Q}^{y} (i! = 0)^{2}$$

$$= J_{q=0}^{x} m_{Q}^{x} + J_{q=0}^{x} m_{Q}^{y} + \frac{1}{2N_{s}} M_{s}^{x} N_{s}^{x} N_$$

Т

By using Eqs. (B6) and (B12) we get in the simplied lim it (D1)

$$S_{2}^{m_{xy}} = 2 J \frac{1}{N_{s}} X \frac{8^{2} J^{2}}{E_{k}^{up} E_{k}^{low}}$$

h
$$m_{Q}^{x} + m_{Q}^{y} i$$
(D3)

The mean $\;$ eld equation (24) related to the magnetization gives

$$\frac{1}{N_{s}}_{k}^{X} \quad \frac{0}{E_{k}^{up}} \frac{8^{-2}J^{2}}{E_{k}^{up}} = 2 J;$$

then with Eq. (D3) we have nally: $S_2^{m \times ;y} = 0$.

The behaviour of the second order action concerning the x and y directions of the m agnetization im plies that the transverse spin-spin correlation function contains a gapless pole. It is a consequence of the Goldstone theorem, 48 which has to be applied in the present case because of the rotational symmetry breaking in spin space due to the imposed AF order. It is in agreement with the e ective eld theory of quantum antiferrom agnets, which was built by Haldane for one-dimensional chains⁴⁹ and extended later to square lattice system s.50 The existence of this Goldstone mode has already been observed in the original spin-bag approach.^{3,4}

Present adress: Max-Planck-Institut fur Festkorperforschung, Heisenbergstrasse 1, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany.

- J.G. Bednorz, and K.A. Muller, Z. Phys. B 64, 189 (1986). 2 For a review see PA.Lee, N.Nagaosa, and X.-G $\ensuremath{\mathbb{W}}$ en, Rev.M od.Phys.78,17 (2006).
- 3 J.R. Schrie er, X.-G. W en, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 944 (1988).
- ⁴ J.R. Schrie er, X.-G. W en, and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 39,11663 (1989).
- ⁵ PW .Anderson, Science 235, 1196 (1987).

1

- ⁶ T.C.Hsu, Phys.Rev.B 41, 11379 (1990).
- ⁷ T.K.Lee, C.-M.Ho, and N.Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 067001 (2003).
- ⁸ A.H im eda and M.O gata, Phys.Rev.B 60, R 9935 (1999).
- ⁹ P.W. Leung, and Y.F. Cheng, Phys. Rev. B 69, 180403 (2004).
- $^{\rm 10}\,$ F . Ronning, T . Sasagawa, Y . Kohsaka, K M . Shen, A . Damascelli, C.Kim, T.Yoshida, N.P.Amitage, D.H.Lu, D.L.Feng, L.L.M iller, H. Takagi, and Z.-X. Shen, Phys. Rev.B 67,165101 (2003).
- $^{11}\,$ Y .K ohsaka,T .Sasagawa,F.Ronning,T .Yoshida,C .K im , T.Hanaguri, M.Azuma, M.Takano, Z.-X.Shen, and H. Takagi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 72, 1018 (2003).
- $^{\rm 12}\,$ The superconductivity occurs through the pairing of holes in the sm all pockets.
- ¹³ F.C. Zhang, and T.M. Rice, Phys. Rev. B 37, 3759 (1988).
- ¹⁴ T.Tanam oto, H.Kohno, and H.Fukuyam a, J.Phys.Soc. Jpn.62,717 (1993).
- ¹⁵ C.Kim, P.J.W hite, Z.-X.Shen, T.Tohyama, Y.Shibata, S. Maekawa, B.O. Wells, Y.J. Kim, R.J. Birgeneau, and M A.Kastner, Phys.Rev.Lett. 80, 4245 (1998).
- ¹⁶ SE.Bames, J.Phys.F 6, 1375 (1976); J.Phys.F 7, 2637 (1977).
- ¹⁷ P.Colem an, Phys.Rev.B 29, 3035 (1984).
- 18 Z.Zou, and P.W .Anderson, Phys.Rev.B 37, 627 (1988).
- ¹⁹ Y. Suzum ura, Y. Hasegawa, and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc.Jpn.57,2768 (1988).
- ²⁰ G. Stemmann, Ph.D. Thesis, Universite Joseph Fourier, Grenoble, 1994 (unpublished); G. Stemmann, C. Pepin, and M. Lavagna, Phys. Rev. B 50, 4075 (1994).
- ²¹ SA.Kivelson, DS.Rokhsar, and JA.Sethna, Phys.Rev. B 35,8865 (1987).
- ²² P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1839 (1990).
- ²³ I.A eck, and J.B.M arston, Phys.Rev.B 37, 3774 (1988).
- ²⁴ J.B. Marston, and I. A eck, Phys. Rev. B 39, 11538 (1989).
- ²⁵ R L. Stratonovitch, Sov. Phys. Dokl. 2, 416 (1958).
- ²⁶ J.Hubbard, Phys.Rev.Lett. 3, 77 (1959).
- ²⁷ Y.Hasegawa, P.Lederer, T.M.Rice, and P.B.W iegm ann, Phys.Rev.Lett. 63, 907 (1989).
- 28 N $\bar{\rm N}$. B ogoliubov, N uovo C im ento 7, 794 (1958).

- ²⁹ J.Valatin, Nuovo C in ento 7, 843 (1958).
- ³⁰ A N.Redlich, Phys.Rev.Lett. 52, 18 (1984); Phys.Rev. D 29,2366 (1984).
- ³¹ H.M atsukawa, and H.Fukuyama, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.61, 1882 (1992).
- 32 T.C.Hsu, J.B.M arston, and I.A eck, Phys.Rev.B 43, 2866 (1991).
- ³³ LN. Cooper, Phys. Rev. 104, 1189 (1956); J. Bardeen, LN. Cooper, and JR. Schrie er, Phys. Rev. 108, 1175 (1957).
- 34 J.R. Schrie er, Theory of Superconductivity, revised printing (Advanced Book Classics, Perseus Books, Reading, Massachusetts, 1999).
- $^{\rm 35}$ N .Nagaosa,Quantum Field Theory in Condensed M atter Physics, chap. 5 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999); Quantum Field Theory in Strongly Correlated Electronic Systems, chap.3 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999).
- ³⁶ R.Kubo, M. Toda, N. Hashitsum e, Statistical Physics II - Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998).
- ³⁷ G M .E liashberg, J.Exptl.Theoret.Phys. (USSR) 38,966 (1960), translated in Soviet Phys. JETP 11, 696 (1960).
- ³⁸ Y.W ang, Z.A. Xu, T.Kakeshita, S.Uchida, S.Ono, Y. Ando, and N P.Ong, Phys. Rev. B 64, 224519 (2001), and references therein.
- 39 C $\ensuremath{\mathcal{L}}$. T suei, and J.R. K irtley, Rev. M od. Phys. 72, 969 (2000).
- ⁴⁰ C.C.Tsuei, J.R.Kittley, Z.F.Ren, J.H.W ang, H.Ray, and Z.Z.Li, Nature 387, 481 (1997).
- 41 For a review see for example T .M oriya and K .U eda, R ep. Prog.Phys.66,1299 (2003).
- ⁴² A.Singh, and Z.Tesanovic, Phys.Rev.B 41, 614 (1990); ibid. 41, 11604 (1990).
- 43 D.H.Kim, and P.A.Lee, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 272, 130 (1999).
- 44 C.Honerkamp, and PA.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. 90, 246402 (2003).
- 45 S. Ishihara, and N. Nagaosa, Phys. Rev. B 69, 144520 (2004).
- 46 R.Zeyher, and A.G reco, Phys.Rev.B 64, 140510 (2001).
- 47 A.Lanzara, , P.V.Bogdanov, X.J.Zhou, S.A.Kellar, D.L. Feng, E.D. Lu, T. Yoshida, H. Eisaki, A. Fujimori, K. Kishio, J.-I. Shim oyam a, T. Noda, S. Uchida, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Nature (London) 412, 510 (2001); Z.-X. Shen, A. Lanzara, S. Ishihara, and N. Nagaosa, Phil. Mag. B 82,1349 (2002).
- ⁴⁸ J.Goldstone, Nuovo Cimento 19, 154 (1961).
- ⁴⁹ F D M .Haldane, Phys.Lett. 93A , 464 (1983); Phys.Rev. Lett. 50, 1153 (1983).
- $^{50}\,$ S.Chakravarty, B.I.H alperin, and D.R.Nelson, Phys.Rev. Lett. 60, 1057 (1988); Phys. Rev. B 39, 2344 (1989).