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#### Abstract

The magnetic eld dependence of the electronic properties of real single vertical quantum dots in circular and rectangular $m$ esas is investigated $w$ th in a full three-dim ensional $m$ ultiscale selfconsistent approach w ithout any a priori assum ptions about the shape and strength of the connem ent potential. The calculated zero eld electron addition energies are in good agreem ent with available experim ental data for both $m$ esa geom etries. C harging diagram $s$ in a $m$ agnetic eld for num ber of electrons up to ve are also com puted. C onsistent with the experim entaldata, we found that the charging curves for the rectangular $m$ esa dot in a $m$ agnetic eld are atter and exhibit less features than for a circular $m$ esa dot. Evolution of the singlet-triplet energy separation in the two electron system for both dot geom etries in $m$ agnetic eld was also investigated. In the lim it of large eld, beyond the singlet-triplet transition, the singlet-triplet energy di erence continues to becom e $m$ ore negative in a circularm esa dot $w$ thout any saturation $w$ ith in the range of considered $m$ agnetic elds whilst it is predicted to asym ptotically approach zero for the rectangularm esa dot. This different behavior is attributed to the sym $m$ etry "breaking" that occurs in the singlet wave-functions in the rectangular $m$ esa dot but not in the circular one.


PACS num bers: 73.21 .6

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In recent years the electronic properties of sem iconductor quantum dots (Q D s) have attracted considerable attention because these zero-dim ensional ob jects exhibit properties characteristic of atom ic few-electron system $s$. $Q D$ s are also regarded as prom ising candidates for future device applications [11], not least of which is the possibility of realizing a scalable quantum com puter ["]. A considerable am ount of theoretical and experim ental research has been devoted to understanding the electronic

[^0]properties ofcylindricalm esa structuresw ithin which the QD has a shape of a thin disc. These disc-shaped QD s are usually form ed from double barrier structures $m$ ade in to $s m$ all m esas and surrounded by a gate electrode. $T$ he number of electrons is fiully tunable starting from zero $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. In such system $s$ three-dim ensional quantization coupled w th rotational sym m etry leads to the existance of a "shellstructure" in the electron addition energy, i.e. for certain electron num bers the electron addition energies show pronounced $m$ axim a. Spin e ects also lead to the appearance of $s m$ aller secondary peaks in the $m$ idshell regions, in accordance w ith $H$ und's rst rule [ $\underline{L}_{1}^{1}$ ].

In contrast to real atom $s$, electrons in vertical QD s occupy a sizable region of space of the order of 100 nm . This allow sutilization of a relatively sm alland readily acœessible $m$ agnetic eld to probe their electronic structure
and also to induce transitions betw een the various electronic states as the num ber of particles and the strength of eld are varied. H ow ever, perfect circular sym $m$ etry of the $Q D$ is di cult to achieve and $m$ aintain in realscalable electronic circuits. Ideal atom istic features are also very sensitive to any kind ofdisnuption in the system [5్1]. $T$ his dictates the necessity of understanding the response of the electronic structure to various geom etric deform ations.

C om pared w ith circular Q D s which have been studied by a variety of $m$ ethods [ $\left.4^{4}\right]$, QD s in rectangular $m$ esas (hence forth called rectangular Q D s forbrevity) have attracted considerably less attention both experim entally and theoretically. Invariably, $m$ ost calculations assum e a pure two-dim ensional con nem ent potential. Frequently $[\underline{6}, 1 / \overline{9}, \overline{1}, \overline{1}, 1]$ m onic oscillator potential $(1=2) \mathrm{m} \quad\left(!{ }_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{x}^{2}+!{ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{2} \mathrm{y}^{2}\right)$ characteristic of an elliptic QD w ith con nem ent strengths ! x and $!_{\mathrm{y}}$ in the x and y directions respectively, is used in theoretical calculations for this purpose. Sim ulations conducted w ith such a potential $[\underline{6}]$ show ed that it is not straightforw ard to m atch the experim entalgeom etric aspect ratio $=L=S$, where $L(S)$ is the length of the longest (shortest) side of the top $m$ esa contact, to the potential deform ation ratio $=!_{y}=!_{x}$. B reakdown of H und's rst rule was also found in QDswith a deform ation ratio as sm all as 12 [ [ [G] E Evolution of the excitation spectra in circular and elliptic Q D s in a m agnetic eld up to 4 T was also studied $\left[100_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. W hilst the previous works gave som e understanding and clari cation of the shell structure evolution in response to potential deform ations, the detailed analysis and com parison $w$ ith experim ental data is still lacking.

In this work we try to rem edy this shortcom ing and present calculations of the zero eld addition energy spectra and charging diagram $s$ in an applied $m$ agnetic eld for realcircular and rectangular verticall D m esa structures. W e perform a full scale three-dim ensional (3D ) analysis of the QDsw ithout any a priori assum ptions about the shape or strength of the con nem ent potential. We utilize density-function theory (DFT) to describe the $m$ any body interactions am ongst the electrons in the Q D, and em ploy a sem i-classical Thom asFerm iapproach to treat charge evolution outside the QD region. In this multiscale approach, the con nem ent potential is obtained directly from the self-consistent solution of the $P$ oisson and Schrodinger ( $K$ ohn-Sham ) equations w ith corresponding device boundary conditions. O ur calculations are based on real experim ental structures for which well resolved addition energy spectra and magnetic eld characteris-
 full scale $m$ ethod was applied to a variety of problem s such as, e.g., the sim ulation of four-gated Q D m esas with a square geom etry [1] [1] which show ed that elliptical defor$m$ ations have little e ect on the addition energy spectra but a ected the charge con guration greatly. P relim inary calculations for rectangular QD s also show ed that the shell structure is com pletely destroyed for $\quad>1: 2$
and a W igner molecule in the two-electron system is form ed for > 3 [12].

The paper is organized in the follow ing way. T he Q D structures utilized in this work are brie y described in the next Section. A detailed description of ourm odel is given in Section III. In Section 'IV1' we rst com pare addition energy spectra and shell structures of the circular and rectangular QD $s$ in the absence of a $m$ agnetic eld
 states under application of $m$ agnetic eld are then considered in Section $\bar{I} \bar{I} \bar{B}_{1}$. C om prarison $w$ th experim entally $m$ easured charging diagram $s$ in a $m$ agnetic eld is also perform ed in the sam e section. T he particularly interesting and im portant case of a two electron system is addressed in Section 'IV C!. Finally, Section V', contains concluding rem arks.

## II. DEVICESTRUCTURES

O ur QD structures have a layered pro le in the vertical z -direction $\left[\mathbb{3}_{1}^{3}\right]$ which are the sam e for both the circular and rectangular m esa structures. Two layers of A $\boldsymbol{f}_{0: 22} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 78 \mathrm{~A}$ s are used as potentialbarriers for electrons con ned in a quantum wellm ade of $\operatorname{In}_{0: 05} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 95 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{s} .\mathrm{C} \mathrm{on-}$ nem ent of electrons in the lateral xy-plane is achieved by applying a bias $V_{G}$ to the gate on the side of the $m$ esa. Full details on the geom etry, layer com position of these structure, and the $m$ easurem ent techniques can be found


For the sim ulations described below, we represent the $m$ esa and substrate as a cylinder or a rectangle $w$ ith total height of 350 nm . The side gate width is taken to be equal to 150 nm , while the center of the quantum well is located 116.5 nm from the top of the side gate. T he low er 100 nm of the sim ulation cylinder is assum ed to be buried in the substrate. The diam eter of a circularm esa is equal to 0.5 m in accordance w th experim entally m easured m esa $\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{B} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. For the rectangular QD m esas, $L$ and $S$ are taken to be 0.55 m and $0.4 \mathrm{~m} \quad(=1: 375)$, and 0.65 m and $0.45 \mathrm{~m} \quad(=1: 44)$. These m esas correspond respectively to structures identi ed as rectangular Q D X and rectangular QD Y studied earlier at low m agnetic elds [6]. A ccordingly we refer to them as rectangular QD X and rectangularQD $Y$ in this paper.

## III. MODELAND SIMULATION DETAILS

W ithin the spin-density functional theory, which we use to describe electrons con ned in the QD region, the charge density is calculated after solving separate singleparticle $K$ ohn-Sham equations for electrons $w$ ith spin up (") and down (\#):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{"(\#)} \quad "(\#)(r)="^{(\#)} \quad "^{(\#)}(r) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $H$ am iltonian $\hat{H}^{"(\#)}$ is given as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{\prime \prime}(\#)=\hat{\mathrm{T}}+(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\prime \prime(\#)}(\mathrm{r}): \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation $\hat{T}$ is the kinetic energy operator which in the presence of an extemalm agnetic eld $B$ reads as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{T}}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{i} \sim r+\frac{e}{C} A \quad \frac{1}{m \quad(r)} \quad i \sim r+\frac{e}{C} A \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ ( $r$ ) is the position dependent electron e ective m ass, and $A=(1=2)(B y ; B x)$ is the corresponding vector potential. $W$ e assum e here that the $m$ agnetic eld is oriented along the $z$-direction and neglect Zeem an splitting for clarity.

In Eq. $(\underset{\sim}{-1}), E_{c}(r)$ stands for the conduction band $O-$ set betw een the di erent $m$ aterials. The values of E c ( r ) are $x e d$ at 180 and -40 meV for $\mathrm{A} \mathrm{l}_{0: 22} \mathrm{Ga} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 78 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{G}$ aA s and $\mathrm{In}_{0}: 05 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 95 \mathrm{~A}$ s/G aA s respectively. N ote that for the realstructures w ith non-zero doping in the $G$ aA s contact regions, the value of $\mathrm{E} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{r})$ for $\mathrm{In}_{0: 05} \mathrm{G} \mathrm{a}_{0}: 95 \mathrm{~A} \mathrm{~s} / \mathrm{G}$ aAs is di cult to determ ine precisely.

The exchange-correlation potential $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{xc}}{ }^{\prime \prime(())}(\mathrm{r})$ is computed within the local spin density approxim ation (LSD A) [1] depend on $m$ agnetic eld. Com parison of DFT results with calculations using current-spin density functional theory [ $1 \bar{L}^{\prime}$ '] for tw o-dim ensional system $s$ show ed that this approxim ation is reliable over a w ide range of $m$ agnetic eld, although at higher elds, e ects of param agnetic currents in $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\prime \prime(\#)}(\mathrm{r})$ becom e m ore im portant.

Thepotential $(r)=\operatorname{ext}(r)+i o n(r)+r(r)$ in Eq. $\left.(2)^{1}\right)$ is the sum of the extemal potential ext (r) due to the applied voltage, screening potential ion (r) arising from the ionized im purities in the structure, and $H$ artree potential H (r) accounting for the repulsive electronelectron interactions. It is obtained from the solution of the P oisson equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad(r) r \quad(r)=4 \quad(r) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here ( $r$ ) is the dielectric constant of the $m$ edium, and $(r)$ is the charge density which inside the QD region is equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=e^{\mathrm{X}} \quad "(r)^{2}+\mathrm{occup}_{\text {occup }}^{\mathrm{X}} \quad \#(\mathrm{r})^{2} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ th the sum $m$ ations spanning occupied states for electrons with spin up and down (the number of those states is, in general, di erent). Outside the region, charge distribution is determ ined from electron $n(r)$ and hole $p(r)$ densities calculated $w$ ith in the sem i-classical
 ionized donors and acceptors w ith concentrations $N_{D}^{+}(r)$ and $N_{-A}$ (r) (which were assigned their nom inal values


$$
\begin{equation*}
(r)=e N_{D}^{+}(r) \quad N_{A}(r)+p(r) \quad n(r): \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since vertical Q D s are usually much sm aller than the physicaldim ensions of the devioe, the K ohn-Sham wavefunctions actually vanish long before reaching the device boundaries. T his allow s us to em bed a local region in the globalm esh for solving the $K$ ohn-Sham equations. This local region is chosen to be large enough to ensure vanishing wavefunctions on its boundaries. For the P oisson equation ( $\overline{4})$ ), zero norm alelectric eld on the low er part of the sim ulated structure buried in the substrate and on the top contact region are used as a boundary condition. O n other surfaces, not covered by the side gate, the potential is set equal to the Schottky barrier value $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{S}}=0: 9 \mathrm{eV}$. Boundary values of the potential on the side gate are equal to the Schottky barrier value modied by the applied gate bias, $V_{S} \quad V_{G}$. T T he system of K ohn-Sham and Poisson equations ( $\left.\underline{I n}_{1}^{1}\right)$, ( $\underline{L}_{1}^{\top}$ ) is solved iteratively until a self-consistent solution for the K ohn-Sham orbitals " (\#) (r) and eigenvalues " (\#) is obtained.

The calculations are perform ed on a parallel platform by $m$ eans of the nite elem ent $m$ ethod (FEM) w ith trilinear polynom ials on a variable size grid [1] $7_{1}$ ]. P reviously FEM was applied in electronic structure calculations [181]. $T$ he advantages ofFEM utilization are the ability to system atically im prove the accuracy by expanding the basis set and its perfect suitability for parallelization.

In our approach $P$ oisson's equation is solved by $m$ eans of the dam ped $N$ ew ton $-R$ aphson $m$ ethod while the generalized eigenvalue problem obtained after discretization of the $K$ ohn-Sham equation is tack led by $m$ eans of a subspace iteration $m$ ethod based on a sim ple $R$ ayleigh $R$ itz analysis $\left[\bar{l}_{2} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$. The sm all num ber of required eigenpairs (in the present work, less than 10) m ade this approach su cient. A parallel conjugate-gradient $m$ ethod preconditioned with block Jacobiw ith an incom plete LU factorization on the blocks available in PETSc (Portable, E xtensible Toolkit for Scienti c C om putation) [19 $\left.{ }_{1}^{1}{ }_{1}^{1}\right]$ is utilized for solving the resulting $m$ atrix equations. In the presence of a $m$ agnetic eld, the $m$ atrix obtained from the K ohn-Sham equation is Hem itian and the herm itiancon jugate $m$ ethod $[1]$ 1] w ith the sam e preconditioner as above had to be used in solving the eigenvalue problem. $C$ om pared to the ordinary conjugate gradient $m$ ethod with a Jacobi preconditioner, we found that this approach gives rise to at least an order of $m$ agnitude increase in perform ance especially when dealing w ith Her$m$ itian $m$ atrioes [2] [].

A fter the eigenvalues are determ ined, the electron charging diagram can be calculated. T he experim entally $m$ easured charging diagram is obtained by single electron
 regim e of C oulom b blockade w ith only a very sm all bias applied across the QD in the vertical z-direction. C onsequently, the di erence betw een the chem icalpotentials of the source and drain contacts is negligible and both of them can be set to zero w ithout loss of generality. A charging event occurs when the chem icalpotential of the QD becom es equal to that of the source (or drain) contact. Then we can determ ine the stable electronic con $g-$
uration by directly com paring the totalenergy $E(\mathbb{N})$ of the N -electron system w th the system containing $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ electrons, the di erence of w hich gives the required value of the chem ical potential $(\mathbb{N})$. Furthem ore, we use Slater's rule $\left[2 \overline{1} 1,22^{2}\right]$ (transition state technique) in order to calculate $(\mathbb{N})$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbb{N})=E(\mathbb{N}) \quad E(\mathbb{N} \quad 1) \quad "(\mathbb{N} \quad 1=2) ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where " $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1=2)$ is the eigenvalue of the state $w$ th half occupancy in the system with $\mathrm{N} \quad 1=2$ electrons (the transition state). If $(\mathbb{N})<0$, then the $N$-electron conguration is stable, otherw ise the num ber of electrons in the $Q D$ is $N \quad 1 . T$ he voltage $V_{G}(\mathbb{N})$ at which $(\mathbb{N})=0$ gives the charging voltage for the N -th electron (or equivalently it de nes the boundary betw een stable con gurationsw ith N and $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ electrons).

Asmentioned in Section I, an extemalm agnetic eld can induce changes in the spin con guration even when the num ber ofelectrons con ned in the QD rem ains constant. Slater's rule can be used only when there is a change in occupancy of one eigenlevel. If a change in the num ber ofelectrons is accom panied by spin rotations (that is, the occupancy of several levels is changed at the sam e tim e), it becom es necessary to use several transition states sequentially (th is increases the am ount of com putations but does not invalidate Slater's rule). H ow ever, the num ber of such situations is sm all so that the overall application of Slater's rule signi cantly decreases the am ount of com putational tim e required to calculate the full charging diagram in $m$ agnetic elds [2] $\underline{Z}_{1}$.

H aving obtained $(\mathbb{N})$, we can determ ine the electron addition energy by rst com puting the so-called capacitive energy [23]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N}) \quad(\mathbb{N} \quad 1): \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e evaluate this quantity at the bias $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}(\mathbb{N})$ corresponding to the addition of the N th electron to the system so that $2(\mathbb{N})=(\mathbb{N} 1)$. Together $w$ ith the charging voltage $V_{G}(\mathbb{N})$, it is this quantity which is analyzed in detail in the follow ing Section. N ote also that this de nition of the addition energy is consistent w ith other calculations where a rigid extemal potential is used to

IV. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION
A. A ddition energy spectra at zero $m$ agnetic eld

W e rst consider the addition energy spectra of the circular and rectangular Q D s in the absence of a m agnetic eld. The calculated spectra are show $n$ in $F$ ig. w th the corresponding experim ental spectra taken from Ref. $\overline{6}$. $T$ he spectrum of the $Q D$ in the circular $m$ esa ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{111} 11$, low er part) has been discussed in great detail earlier [ $[\underline{d}]$ so here we just brie y review it. It exhibits pronounced $m$ axim a for two and six electrons due to the
rst and second shell closures characteristic of Q D swith parabolic 2D circular con nem ent. The peaks and valleys are a consequence of the intenplay betw een con ne$m$ ent and $m$ any-body e ects. For two electrons in the QD , the low est single-particle state $"_{1}$ is fully occupied. $T$ he third electron populates the next availab le eigenstate w ith ${ }_{2}>"_{1}$, thus $m$ aking addition of the electron $m$ uch $m$ ore energetically costly than for the case of the second electron. The sam e situation is repeated for $N=6 \mathrm{when}$ the second shell is com plete. A sm aller peak at $N=4$ is due to the ful 1 m ent of H und's rst rule. T he total spin $S$ of the $N$ electron system is equal to zero (singlet) for $\mathrm{N}=2$ and 6 and to one (triplet) for $\mathrm{N}=4$. The agree$m$ ent betw een the calculated and experim ental spectra is very good, for both peaks and valleys. From the energy separation betw een the two low est adjacent eigenvalues we can deduce that the con nem ent strength $\sim$ ! in the em pty $Q D$ is about 6 meV .
$T$ he analysis for the rectangular $Q D$ spectra is $m$ ore challenging. From a simple model of a 2 D anisotropic ham onic oscillatorw ith eigenenergies ${ }^{n_{x} ; n_{y}}=\sim!_{x}\left(n_{x}+\right.$ $1=2)+\sim!_{\mathrm{y}}\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Y}}+1=2\right)$, w ith quantum numbers $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Y}}=$ $0 ; 1 ;:::$, one can see that in general, except at certain specialdeform ations, there are no degeneracies in the eigenspectrum at 0 T [6]. T his m eans that the shell structure of a circular $Q D$ w th strong $m$ axim a for $2,6,12, \ldots$ electrons in the addition energy spectra w ill not hold here, and one should expect $m$ axim a for $m$ ost even electronnum bers. This sim ple interpretation is largely con m ed by our calculations.

Experim entally, because of side wall etching, the sizes of the fabricated $m$ esas can be determ ined only with about $5 \%$ accuracy $[\underline{6}]$. To assess the e ect of this uncertainty for the rectangulare D s, we rst perform ed calculations of the addition spectra for three system s w ith geom etrical aspect ratios equal and $5 \%$ of the value given for rectangular QD X: nam ely $=1: 306,1: 375$ and 1:444. N ote that the last structure has an aspect ratio that is very close to the nom inal value $=1: 44$ for rectangular Q D Y $[$ [G] $]$. Hence, we com pare our calculated spectra to spectra for both rectangular QD S X and Y. The results are also given in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}$ I' m iddle and upper part respectively. Surprisingly our calculations for
$=1: 444$ are in excellent agreem ent w ith the experim ental data for rectangular QD Y, whilst none of the $5 \%$ variation-spectra are able to describe the experim ental results as well for rectangular Q D X, although the calculated spectrum for the structure $w$ ith $=1: 444$ is closest to that $m$ easured. In fact, the experim ental addition spectrum for $X$ is sim ilar in som e respects to the one for the circular QD indicating that this structure $m$ ay have an e ective aspect ratio $m$ uch low er than can be assum ed on the basis of its geom etrical aspect ratio alone. This conclusion is also corroborated by exam ining the m agnetic eld dependence of the charging digram $s$ for these structures (see Section

Further inspection of the calculated spectra reveals that the di erence (contrast) betw een the peaks and val-
leys for the rectangular $Q D$ s is $m$ uch lower than for the circular QD. We also note that the peak for $N=2$ is signi cantly suppressed, and a $10 \%$ di erence in gives rise to the $N=6$ feature changing from a minim a to a m axim a (increasing from 1.306 to 1.444 ). T his show s that the electronic structure in rectangular $Q D$ s is very sensitive to even $s m$ alldistortions of the con nem ent potential and the precise balance betw een all contributions to the total energy is essential for its accurate description. On the other hand, the four electron peak appears to be robust and is present in all the calculated addition energy spectra. This is because there is a trivial shellclosure at $\mathrm{N}=4$ since there is now no degeneracy betw een the second and third low est single-particle eigenvalues as is the case for the circular QD.

In order to better understand the electronic properties of a rectangular Q D, we plot the wave-functions for the four low est eigenvalues for the case of an em pty QD at $V_{G}=1: 6$ and $1: 3 \mathrm{~V}$, and also for the $Q D$ containing six electrons at $V_{G}=1: 3 \mathrm{~V}$ ( F ig. $\left.\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$. O ne can see that the "spread" of the w ave-functions progressively increases from (a) to (c) not only because the presence of electrons in the QD induces a repulsive C oulom b potentialwhich e ectively " attens" the bottom of the conduction band, but also this e ect occurs in the em pty Q D by solely varying the gate bias. $T$ his is a consequence of the three-dim ensionality of the $m$ esa structure, which cannot be reproduced in $m$ odel2D calculations $w$ ith a rigid con-
nem ent potential. The doped regions just above and below the QD are never fully depleted, and changes in the gate bias a ect the charge distribution in them as well. The resulting changes in the potential are carried over into the QD and lead to the e ective decrease of the con nem ent strength as the gate bias becom es $m$ ore positive. T he sam ee ect is also present in circular Q D s [11]. It can be seen that the con nem ent decreases $w$ ith increasing gate bias and the population in the QD. At the sam e tim e, the potential deform ation ratio also increases, i.e. the QD becom es more elongated. T his is a $m$ anifestation ofa self-consistente ect due to which electrons tend to localize as far as possible from each other in order to $m$ inim ize the $C$ oulom b repulsion. This results in a more ective decrease of the con nem ent along the $x$-direction than the $y$-direction.

## B. Charging diagram $s$ in a magnetic eld

In Fig. ist we plot the calculated charging voltage for $\mathrm{N}=1$ to $\mathrm{N}=5$ electrons as a function ofm agnetic eld (charging diagram) for the circular QD. A gain these results have already been extensively discussed elsew here
 circular and rectangular QD.s. C om parison w th experim ent for the circular QD [ī1] show s that overall agree$m$ ent is very good, albeit the con nem ent potential at zero eld in ourm odelstructure is som ew hat w eaker, i.e., the curves are $m$ ore closely spaced. The charging volt-
ages generally (in the considered range) increase with $m$ agnetic eld since the e ective con nem ent becom es stronger. The curves corresponding to the charging of N 2 electrons exhibit "Cusps" corresponding to various magnetic eld induced spin and angular $m$ om entum transitions. For the $N=3$ charging curve arising from the addition of the third electron to the tw o-electron system, the cusp near 5 T (depicted by a square) is due to a change in the ground state con guration of the twoelectron system at B 5:6 T (the singlet-triplet transition) which a ects the addition energy of the third electron. The shift from 5.6 T to 5.0 T is due to a screening e ect and is consistent w ith the experim ent [1] near 6 T (triangle) re ects an increase in the total spin of the three-electron system from $S=1=2$ to $S=3=2$, nam ely below this point two electrons are spin-up and one is spin-down while above this point all three electrons in the QD are spin-up and form a spin-polarized system. The dashed line in the 4 to 7 T region show s an excited state where three electrons have a total angularm om entum $L=2$ but total spin $S=1=2$. In our calculations this state never becom es the ground state, which is di erent from recent results based on the con $g$ uration interaction $m$ ethod [2] $[4$ where it $w$ as found that the transition from the ground state ( $L=1, S=1=2$ ) to this state ( $L=2, S=1=2$ ) was responsible for the lower eld cusp. H ow ever, the predicted di erence in the charging voltages is so sm all that given the large num ber of experim entalparam eters (such as dopant distribution, geom etrical size of the structure, conduction band osets, etc.) whose values cannot possibly be determ ined precisely, the real cause for this feature is di cult to ascertain at best.

In general, the rightm ost cusp in the $F$ ig. . agram alw ays corresponds to com plete spin polarization of the electron system. The magnetic eld at which the form ation of this state occurs increases w ith the num ber of electrons since a stronger eld is required to overcom e the large kinetic energy accom panying single occupancy of consecutive orbitals. Cusps in the N -electron curves in the vicinity of $B=5 \mathrm{~T}$ are either due to changes in the (N 1)-electron con guration or due to single spin rotations in the N -electron system. The tw o cusps around B 0:25 T in the $\mathrm{N}=4$ and $\mathrm{N}=5$ curves m ark the breakdown of H und's rst rule lling in the four-electron system and respective change in the addition energy of the fth electron due to the decrease of exchange energy in the four electron system, sim ilar to the $N=3$ curve. The cusp at $\mathrm{B} \quad 1: 5 \mathrm{~T}$ in the $\mathrm{N}=5$ curve can be understood in term sof the Fock $-D$ arw in spectrum describing non-interacting particles [ill $]$. A round that point, an electron undergoes a transition in the quantum numbers $m$ oving from the Fock-D arw in state $w$ ith $(n ; 1)=(0 ; 1)$ to $(n ; 1)=(0 ; 2)$, thereby increasing the totalangularm o$m$ entum of the system while keeping the total spin value constant.

The calculated charging diagram of the rectangular $Q D$ w ith $=1: 444$ shown in $F$ ig. $\overline{4}$ super cially looks
sim ilar to that of the circular QD. H ow ever, all cusps are less pronounced and the separation betw een consecutive curves is generally sm aller. T he form er is due to the absence of a clear shell structure while the latter nds its origin in the decreased separation betw een the low er eigen levels arising from the weaker con nem ent in the $x$-direction. W e also observe that the $m$ agnetic elds at which transitions occur are approxim ately the sam e as in the circular QD (com pare w ith $F$ ig. explained by noticing that the QD surface area and the resulting electron density in both $Q D$ s are approxim ately equal. Since in the DFT the electron density determ ines them agnitude of the $C$ oulom b and exchange interactions, it takes roughly the sam e am ount ofenergy in the tw o Q D system $s$ to change these interactions in order to induce single electron transitions. T he charging curvesm ove upw ard slightly faster w ith m agnetic eld than those in F ig.彩. The reason for this behavior is again, com pared to the $m$ agnetic con nem ent $!_{c}=e B=m c$, a sm aller value of $\sim!\times$ so that the $m$ agnetic eld a ects the related energy levels $m$ ore strongly. N ote that for the rectangular Q D the $\mathrm{N}=4$ curve corresponds, at zero eld, to the charging of the fourth electron to form a singlet $S=0$ state (two electrons w ith spin-up, two with spin-down), and this behavior is clearly di erent from that for the circular QD where four electrons obey H und's rst rule close to zero $m$ agnetic eld. The singlet state continues to be the ground state up to B 5 T where the $\mathrm{S}=1$ state (shown by a dashed curve) brie y takes over.

At low magnetic elds (but above B 0:25 T), the calculated charging voltages for both $\mathrm{N}=3$ and $\mathrm{N}=4$ in the circular QD ( $F$ ig. corresponding curves for the rectangular Q D are initially
 the di erent response of the second low est single-particle state to them agnetic eld. For a circularpotentialit rst decreases and then increases with $m$ agnetic eld, whilst a su ciently large potential deform ation results in the m onoton ic increase ofth is eigenvaluew ith m agnetic eld. $T$ his is what happens in our m odelled structure where
\& 2 (see Fig. ${ }_{2}^{(2)}$ ). At sm allmagnetic elds the experim ental charging diagram for rectangular QD X [see Fig. 'sis (a) ] actually looks very sim ilar to both the experim ental and calculated diagram s for a circular Q D (see R ef. $\bar{T}_{1} \overline{1}$ and Fig. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{I}$ respectively), although notably the cusps related to $H$ und's rst rule lling for $N=4$ are absent near 0 $T$. This indicates that the e ective aspect ratio characterizing the con nem ent potential in rectangular Q D X is m uch closer to one than could be deduced from its geom etricalaspect ratio. O $n$ the other hand, the $m$ easured charging diagram for rectangularQD Y $\mathbb{F}$ ig. ${ }^{\prime}$ is closer in appearance to the calculated diagram for the rectangular $Q D$ show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\overline{4}$. In particular, curves for $N=3$ and $N=4 \mathrm{~m}$ ove gradually upwards, whilst the curve for $\mathrm{N}=5 \mathrm{~m}$ oves only slightly dow nw ards. $T$ he experim entalcharging spectra forboth circular and rectangular QD s nonetheless reveal a w eaker dependence of the charging voltages on the $m$ agnetic eld and a larger
separation betw een consecutive curves (w ithin 30\%) not observed in the theoretical spectra [28]. B oth these discrepancies $m$ ay be explained if the con nem ent is indeed stronger (in both $x$ and $y$ directions) in the experim ental devices, so that the $m$ agnetic eld is unable to perturb it appreciably.

## C. Singlet-triplet transition in a tw o electron system

O ne of the most striking di erences betw een the calculated charging behavior of the QD s in the circular and
 the two electron system. The dashed curves for $\mathrm{N}=2$ in both plots represent excited states. At low m agnetic elds, below the cusp on the charging curve, the excited state is a triplet ( $\mathrm{S}=1$ ) whilst at high m agnetic elds, above the cusp, it is a singlet ( $\mathrm{S}=0$ ). This cusp in fact $m$ arks the singlet-triplet ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}$ ) transition. From the full
 Eqs. 7 and $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) the energy separation betw een the singlet and triplet states, nam ely

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=E^{T}(2) \quad E^{S}(2)={ }_{2}^{T}(2) \quad S_{2}^{S}(2) ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where sym bols $T$ and $S$ stand for triplet and singlet states respectively. This singlet-triplet energy di erence for a QD with two electrons is very interesting because of its potential im portance for quantum com putation. To the best of our know ledge this is the rst calculation of this im portant characteristic in a realistic system taking into account the fill 3D nature of the problem. H therto, the singlet-triplet separation has been considered in a realistic non-circularQ D using 2D con nem ent potentials produced by the gate voltages only [2] [2] 1 . O ur theoretical data forboth circular and rectangular $Q D$ s as a function ofm agnetic eld are show $n$ in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\text {' }} \overline{6}_{1}^{\prime}$. O ne can see that in the range of investigated $m$ agnetic elds the behavior of $J$ in the tw o structures is radically di erent. In the lim it of large magnetic eld $J$ ! 0 for the rectangular m esa Q D $[\underline{[1]}]$, whilst it continues to becom em ore negative w ithout any saturation in the case of the circular QD. A lso, the value of $J$ for the rectangular QD is about three tim es sm aller than for the circular $Q D$ at zero $m$ agnetic eld.

In order to gain a better insight into the calculated behavior of $J$ in a magnetic eld, we show in $F$ igs. $\overline{1}, 1$ and , and triplet states in a rectangular Q D w ith $=1: 444$. In Fig. ${ }_{1} \overline{7}_{1}$ we see that at low elds.both of the electrons in the singlet state occupy the sam e space in the Q D, i.e., their $w$ ave-functions are identical, and they becom e ever $m$ ore localized at the center of the QD w ith increasing eld. H ow ever, above B 5:8 T the electrons start to localize in opposite regions of the $Q D$ along the $x$-direction inducing a sym $m$ etry "breaking" so that the w ave-fiunctions no longer have the spatial sym $m$ etry of the con nem ent potentialaround itsm inim um at the center of the QD.This e ect appears sim ilar to so-called $W$ igner crystallization,
i.e., spatialseparation ofelectrons, caused by the applied $m$ agnetic eld [iti]. Further increase of the $m$ agnetic eld gives rise to further localization of the electrons. In the case of the triplet state ( F ig. $\underline{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathbf{8}}^{\mathbf{l}}$ ), there is no such radical reconstruction of the electron w ave-fiunctions. They just becom em ore localized around the center of the Q D. $T$ he sym $m$ etry breaking in the singlet state also results in a change of the total electron density. It attains two "hum ps" sim ilar to the electron density in the triplet state. T he reconstruction of the singlet electron density is responsible for the predicted evolution of the singlettriplet splitting at large $m$ agnetic elds. W hen the electron densities of both states become sim ilar, exchange and Coulom b energies also have close values. C oupled w ith the fact that the single-particle energy separation decreasesw ith increasing eld (the energy levels condense in to the low est Landau band [ $[4]$ ), this results in a vanishing $J$. Interestingly, as can $\bar{b}$ e seen from $F$ igs. $\overline{1}_{1}$, the overlap between the electron wave-functions at $B=8$ $T$ is still appreciable (and close in value to that one at $B=6 T$ ), and yet $J!0$.

The symm etry breaking does not occur in a circular Q D where the electron density of the singlet state retains the sym $m$ etry of the con nem ent potentialin the range of m agnetic elds considered in this work. A s a result, the exchange energy of the triplet state is alw ays sm aller than that of the singlet. Starting from zero eld, the decrease in the separation betw een the tw o low est single-particle energies as the $m$ agnetic eld is increased leads to the triplet becom ing the ground state at B 5:6 T, but the singlet-triplet energy di erence $J$ does not exhibit any saturation behavior at higher eld.

The behavior of the calculated singlet-triplet separation for the circular QD is in good agreem ent w ith experim ental data obtained by excited state spectroscopy $[\bar{T}$,$] which enables the observation of electron tunneling$ through both ground and excited states. A though there is extensive experim ental data for the circular QD, detailed excited state spectra for the vertical rectangular QD s are currently unavailable. C learly in light of the predictions conceming the behavior of $J$, it would be interesting to perform such experim ents in the future. At present, we can only identify the two-electron $S-T$ transtion for both rectangular QD s in the charging diagram $S$ of $F$ ig. . ground states. $\bar{W}$ e do, how ever, note that recently, cotunneling w as utilized to extract the values of the singlettriplet energy sepration for tw o electrons in a laterall D w ith a spatially elongated (approxim ately harm onic) connem ent potential [2] where it was found that $w$ ith increasing $m$ agnetic eld, $J$ changes sign and then saturates, consistent with our calculations.

```
V. CONCLUSION
```

In this work we studied the electronic properties of verticalquantum QD s in circular and rectangularm esas using a $m$ ultiscale density-fiunctionalapproach $w$ thin the local spin density approxim ation. The QD was treated fully quantum $m$ echanically and the surrounding regions were described by a sem iclassicalT hom asFem iapproxim ation. The calculations were done on a 3D mesh by $m$ eans of a nite elem ent $m$ ethod. Slater's rule (the transition state technique) was utilized to study charging of the QD. W th this approach, we w ere able to calculate the zero m agnetic eld electron addition energy spectra in the QD s as well as study the electron charging diagram $s$ in a $m$ agnetic eld.

W e found that the zero eld addition energy spectrum and charging diagram (charging voltages as a function of $m$ agnetic eld) of a circular $Q D$ are in good agree$m$ ent $w$ ith available experim ental results. For rectangulareD s, we found that a $5 \%$ variation from the nom inal aspect ratio can give rise to $m$ arked di erences in the addition energy spectra. On the basis of a com parison w ith experim entaldata in a m agnetic eld for rectangularm esa structures ( $R$ ectangles $X$ and $Y$ in $R$ ef. ('G) w ith di erent aspect ratios, we conclude that rectangular Q D X m ost likely has a sm aller e ective aspect ratio than previously reported, whilst our calculated results are in good agreem ent w th those obtained for the rectangular QD Y.

From the charging diagram s , we also extracted the calculated $m$ agnetic eld dependence of the singlet-triplet energy separation $J$ for a two electron system. Up to the singlet-triplet transition, this quantity decreases w th m agnetic eld for both circular and rectangular QD s. How ever, beyond that transition, in the lim it of a strong eld, $J$ for the rectangular $Q D$ asym ptotically approaches zero whilst it continues to becom em ore negative in the case of the circular QD in the range of considered $m$ agnetic elds. A nalysis of electron wave-functions revealed that the behavior of the singlet-triplet energy separation in a rectangular QD can be attributed to the "breaking" of the sym $m$ etry ofthe singlet $w$ ave-functions.
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F IG . 1: A ddition energy spectra for the circular Q D (low er part), the rectangular QD X (m iddle part), and the rectangular QD Y (upper part) at zero $m$ agnetic eld. The parts are shifted by 3 meV in the vertical direction for clarity. In all parts solid lines represent results of calculations, while dashed lines stand for experim ental data. D otted and dash-dotted lines for rectangular Q D X are the results of calculations for structures w ith $=1: 306$ and 1:444 respectively. The calculated curve for the rectangular QD $Y$ is the same as the dash-dotted curve for the rectangular Q D X .


F IG . 2: T wo-dim ensional contour plots of the w ave-functions for the four low est eigenvalues (from top to bottom) in the rectangular QD w ith $=1: 444:$ (a) $\mathrm{N}=0, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G}}=1: 6 \mathrm{~V}$, (b) $\mathrm{N}=0, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G}}=1: 3 \mathrm{~V}$, (c) $\mathrm{N}=6, \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{G}}=1: 3 \mathrm{~V}$. C oordinate z is xed in the center of the QD.


F IG . 3: C alculated charging diagram (solid curves) for ground states in a m agnetic eld for the circular Q D.T he dashed lines show som e excited states. Triangles ( H ) de ne boundaries of regions w ith di erent total spin and angular momentum in the ground state of the $N$-electron system. Squares ( ) show features in the ground state of the $N$-electron system due to reconstruction of the ( $\mathrm{N} \quad 1$ )-electron system.


FIG.4: Sam e as in F ig. ${ }^{3}$ in but for the rectangular $\mathrm{D} D \mathrm{w}$ ith = 1:444. In this case triangles ( H ) de ne boundaries of regions w ith di erent total spin and ( $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}}$ ) quantum numbers in the N -electron system.


FIG. 5: Experim ental charging diagram showing ground state evolution $w$ th $m$ agnetic eld for (a) rectangular QD $X$, and (b) rectangular QD Y. The position of the $N=2$ singlet-triplet ( $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{T}$ ) transition is m arked.


F IG . 6: C alculated singlet-triplet energy separation $J$ in the two-electron system as a function of the m agnetic eld. = 1:444 for the rectangular Q D .


FIG.7: Single-particle electron densities in the $x$-direction in the rectangular QD w ith $=1: 444$ for the singlet state at di erent $m$ agnetic elds (coordinates $y$ and $z$ are xed in the middle of the QD). A rrows (") and (\#) correspond to the electrons $w$ ith spin up and down. The data for $B=$ $0,4 \mathrm{~T}$ and $6,8 \mathrm{~T}$ are obtained at $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}}=1: 45$ and $1: 47$ V respectively. The curves for di erent $m$ agnetic elds are shifted in the vertical direction by $2: 5 \quad 10^{23} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ for clarity.


FIG. 8: Same as in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{17}$ but for the triplet state. C urves w ith circles ( ) and pluses (+) are for the ground and rst excited occupied states respectively. T he curves for di erent $m$ agnetic elds are shifted in the vertical direction by $2: 0$ $10^{23} \mathrm{am}^{3}$ for clarity.
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